

NORMAL FAMILIES OF MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS OF SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES FOR MOVING HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

GERD DETHLOFF AND DO DUC THAI AND PHAM NGUYEN THU TRANG

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this article is to give sufficient conditions for a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ to be meromorphically normal if they satisfy only some very weak conditions with respect to moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, namely that their intersections with these moving hypersurfaces, which may moreover depend on the meromorphic maps, are in some sense uniform. Our results generalize and complete previous results in this area, especially the works of Fujimoto [4], Tu [21], [22], Tu-Li [23], Mai-Thai-Trang [8] and the recent work of Quang-Tan [12].

1. INTRODUCTION.

Classically, a family \mathcal{F} of holomorphic functions on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be (holomorphically) normal if every sequence in \mathcal{F} contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on every compact subset of D to a holomorphic map from D into P^1 .

In 1957 Lehto and Virtanen [7] introduced the concept of normal meromorphic functions in connection with the study of boundary behaviour of meromorphic functions of one complex variable. Since then normal families of holomorphic maps have been studied intensively, resulting in an extensive development in the one complex variable context and in generalizations to the several complex variables setting (see [24], [5], [6], [1] and the references cited in [24] and [6]).

The first ideas and results on normal families of meromorphic mappings of several complex variables were introduced by Rutishauser [13] and Stoll [16].

The research of the authors is partially supported by a NAFOSTED grant of Vietnam (Grant No. 101.01.38.09).

The notion of a meromorphically normal family into the N -dimensional complex projective space was introduced by H. Fujimoto [4] (see subsection 2.5 below for the definition of these concepts). Also in [4], he gave some sufficient conditions for a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ to be meromorphically normal. In 2002, Z. Tu [22] considered meromorphically normal families of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ for hyperplanes. Generalizing the above results of Fujimoto and Tu, in 2005, Thai-Mai-Trang [8] gave a sufficient condition for the meromorphic normality of a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ for fixed hypersurfaces (see section 2 below for the necessary definitions, in particular subsection 2.3 for the definition of $D(\dots)$):

Theorem A. ([8, Theorem A]) *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $q \geq 2N + 1$ hypersurfaces $H_1(f), H_2(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ with*

$$\inf\{D(H_1(f), \dots, H_q(f)); f \in \mathcal{F}\} > 0 \text{ and } f(D) \not\subset H_i(f) \ (1 \leq i \leq N+1),$$

where q is independent of f , but the hypersurfaces $H_i(f)$ may depend on f , such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

i) For any fixed compact subset K of D , the $2(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_i(f)) \cap K$ ($1 \leq i \leq N + 1$) with counting multiplicities are bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

ii) There exists a closed subset S of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ such that for any fixed compact subset K of $D - S$, the $2(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_i(f)) \cap K$ ($N + 2 \leq i \leq q$) with counting multiplicities are bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D .

Recently, motivated by the investigation of Value Distribution Theory for moving hyperplanes (for example Ru and Stoll [14], [15], Stoll [17], and Thai-Quang [18], [19]), the study of the normality of families of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ for moving hyperplanes or hypersurfaces has started. While a substantial amount of information has been amassed concerning the normality of families of meromorphic mappings for fixed targets through the years, the present knowledge of this problem for moving targets has remained extremely meagre. There are only a few such results in some restricted situations (see [23], [12]). For instance, we recall a recent result of Quang-Tan [12] which is the best result available at present and which generalizes Theorem 2.2 of Tu-Li [23]:

Theorem B. (see [12, Theorem 1.4]) *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, and let Q_1, \dots, Q_q ($q \geq 2N+1$) be q moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ in (weakly) general position such that*

i) For any fixed compact subset K of D , the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(Q_j) \cap K$ ($1 \leq j \leq N+1$) counting multiplicities are uniformly bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

ii) There exists a thin analytic subset S of D such that for any fixed compact subset K of D , the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(Q_j) \cap (K - S)$ ($N+2 \leq j \leq q$) regardless of multiplicities are uniformly bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D .

We would like to emphasize that, in Theorem B, the q moving hypersurfaces Q_1, \dots, Q_q in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ are independent on $f \in \mathcal{F}$ (i.e. they are common for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.) Thus, the following question arised naturally at this point: *Does Theorem A hold for moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), H_2(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ which may depend on $f \in \mathcal{F}$?* The main aim of this article is to give an affirmative answer to this question. Namely, we prove the following result which generalizes both Theorem A and Theorem B:

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $q \geq 2N+1$ moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), H_2(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied:*

i) For each $1 \leq k \leq q$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $Q_k(f)$ which define the $H_k(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for any sequence $\{f^{(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there exists $z \in D$ (which may depend on the sequence) such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_q(f^{(p)}))(z)\} > 0.$$

ii) For any fixed compact subset K of D , the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_i(f)) \cap K$ ($1 \leq i \leq N+1$) counting multiplicities are bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} (in particular $f(D) \not\subset H_i(f)$ ($1 \leq i \leq N+1$)).

iii) There exists a closed subset S of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ such that for any fixed compact subset K of $D - S$, the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_i(f)) \cap K$ ($N+2 \leq i \leq q$) ignoring multiplicities are bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D .

In the special case of a family of holomorphic mappings, we get with the same proof methods:

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $q \geq 2N + 1$ moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), H_2(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied:*

i) For each $1 \leq k \leq q$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $Q_k(f)$ which define the $H_k(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for any sequence $\{f^{(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there exists $z \in D$ (which may depend on the sequence) such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_q(f^{(p)}))(z)\} > 0.$$

ii) $f(D) \cap H_i(f) = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i \leq N + 1$) for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

iii) There exists a closed subset S of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ such that for any fixed compact subset K of $D - S$, the $2(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_i(f)) \cap K$ ($N + 2 \leq i \leq q$) ignoring multiplicities are bounded above for all f in \mathcal{F} .

Then \mathcal{F} is a holomorphically normal family on D .

Remark 1.1. *There are several examples in Tu [22] showing that the conditions in i), ii) and iii) in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 cannot be omitted.*

We also generalise several results of Tu [21], [22], [23] which allow not to take into account at all the components of $f^{-1}(H_i(f))$ of high order:

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 2.1 of Tu-Li [23] from the case of moving hyperplanes which are independant of f to moving hypersurfaces which may depend on f (in fact observe that for moving hyperplanes the condition H_1, \dots, H_q in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ is satisfied by taking T_0, \dots, T_N any (fixed or moving) $N + 1$ hyperplanes in general position).

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let $q \geq 2N + 1$ be a positive integer. Let m_1, \dots, m_q be positive integers or ∞ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{N}{m_j}\right) > N + 1.$$

Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $N + 1$ moving hypersurfaces $T_0(f), \dots, T_N(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree and there exist q moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i(f)\}_{i=0}^N)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

i) For each $0 \leq i \leq N$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $P_i(f)$ which define the $T_i(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for all $1 \leq j \leq q$, the coefficients $b_{ij}(f)$ of the linear combinations of the $P_i(f)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ which define the homogeneous polynomials $Q_j(f)$ which define the $H_j(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for any fixed $z \in D$,

$$\inf \{D(Q_1(f), \dots, Q_q(f))(z) : f \in \mathcal{F}\} > 0.$$

ii) f intersects $H_j(f)$ with multiplicity at least m_j for each $1 \leq j \leq q$ (see subsection 2.6 for the necessary definitions).

Then \mathcal{F} is a holomorphically normal family on D .

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 of Tu [22] from the case of fixed hyperplanes to moving hypersurfaces (in fact observe that for hyperplanes the condition $H_1(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i(f)\}_{i=0}^N)$ is satisfied by taking $T_0(f), \dots, T_N(f)$ any $N + 1$ hyperplanes in general position).

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let $q \geq 2N + 1$ be a positive integer. Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $N + 1$ moving hypersurfaces $T_0(f), \dots, T_N(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree and there exist q moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i(f)\}_{i=0}^N)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) For each $0 \leq i \leq N$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $P_i(f)$ which define the $T_i(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for all $1 \leq j \leq q$, the coefficients $b_{ij}(f)$ of the linear combinations of the $P_i(f)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ which define the homogeneous polynomials $Q_j(f)$ which define the $H_j(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for any sequence $\{f^{(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there exists $z \in D$ (which may depend on the sequence) such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_q(f^{(p)}))(z)\} > 0.$$

ii) For any fixed compact K of D , the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $f^{-1}(H_k(f)) \cap K$ ($1 \leq k \leq N+1$) counting multiplicities are bounded above for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ (in particular $f(D) \not\subset H_k(f)$ ($1 \leq k \leq N+1$)).

iii) There exists a closed subset S of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ such that for any fixed compact subset K of $D - S$, the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of

$$\{z \in \text{Supp } \nu(f, H_k(f)) \mid \nu(f, H_k(f))(z) < m_k\} \cap K \quad (N+2 \leq k \leq q)$$

ignoring multiplicities for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ are bounded above, where $\{m_k\}_{k=N+2}^q$ are fixed positive integers or ∞ with

$$\sum_{k=N+2}^q \frac{1}{m_k} < \frac{q - (N+1)}{N}.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D .

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 of Tu [21] from the case of fixed hyperplanes to moving hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let $q \geq 2N+1$ be a positive integer. Suppose that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $N+1$ moving hypersurfaces $T_0(f), \dots, T_N(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree and there exist q moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f), \dots, H_q(f)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i(f)\}_{i=0}^N)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) *For each $0 \leq i \leq N$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $P_i(f)$ which define the $T_i(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for all $1 \leq j \leq q$, the coefficients $b_{ij}(f)$ of the linear combinations of the $P_i(f)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ which define the homogeneous polynomials $Q_j(f)$ which define the $H_j(f)$ are bounded above uniformly for all f in \mathcal{F} on compact subsets of D , and for any sequence $\{f^{(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there exists $z \in D$ (which may depend on the sequence) such that*

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_q(f^{(p)}))(z)\} > 0.$$

ii) $f(D) \cap H_i(f) = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i \leq N+1$) for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

iii) There exists a closed subset S of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$ such that for any fixed compact subset K of $D - S$, the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of

$$\{z \in \text{Supp } \nu(f, H_k(f)) \mid \nu(f, H_k(f))(z) < m_k\} \cap K \quad (N+2 \leq k \leq q)$$

ignoring multiplicities for all f in \mathcal{F} are bounded above, where $\{m_k\}_{k=N+2}^q$ are fixed positive integers and may be ∞ with

$$\sum_{k=N+2}^q \frac{1}{m_k} < \frac{q - (N + 1)}{N}.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is a holomorphically normal family on D .

Let us finally give some comments on our proof methods:

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are obtained by generalizing ideas, which have been used by Thai-Mai-Trang [8] to prove Theorem A, to moving targets, which presents several highly non-trivial technical difficulties. Among others, for a sequence of moving targets $H(f^{(p)})$ which at the same time may depend of the meromorphic maps $f^{(p)} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, obtaining a subsequence which converges locally uniformly on D is much more difficult than for fixed targets (among others we cannot normalize the coefficients to have norm equal to 1 everywhere like for fixed targets). This is obtained in Lemma 3.6, after having proved in Lemma 3.5 that the condition $D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q) > \delta > 0$ forces a uniform bound, only in terms of δ , on the degrees of the Q_i , $1 \leq i \leq q$ (in fact the latter result fixes also a gap in [8] even for the case of fixed targets).

The proofs of Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are obtained by combining methods used by Tu [21], [22] and Tu-Li [23] with the methods which we developed to prove our first two theorems. However, in order to apply the technics which Tu and Tu-Li used for the case of hyperplanes, we still need that for every meromorphic map $f^{(p)} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, the $Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_q(f^{(p)})$ are still in a linear system given by $N + 1$ such maps $P_0(f^{(p)}), \dots, P_N(f^{(p)})$. The Lemmas 3.11 to Lemma 3.14 adapt our technics to this situation (for example Lemma 3.14 is an adaptation of our Lemma 3.6)

2. BASIC NOTIONS.

2.1. Meromorphic mappings. Let A be a non-empty open subset of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n such that $S = D - A$ is an analytic set in D . Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic mapping. Let U be a non-empty connected open subset of D . A holomorphic mapping $\tilde{f} \not\equiv 0$ from U into \mathbb{C}^{N+1} is said to be a representation of f on U if $f(z) = \rho(\tilde{f}(z))$ for all $z \in U \cap A - \tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$, where $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is the canonical projection. A holomorphic mapping $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is said

to be a meromorphic mapping from D into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ if for each $z \in D$, there exists a representation of f on some neighborhood of z in D .

2.2. Admissible representations. Let f be a meromorphic mapping of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Then for any $a \in D$, f always has an admissible representation $\tilde{f}(z) = (f_0(z), f_1(z), \dots, f_N(z))$ on some neighborhood U of a in D , which means that each $f_i(z)$ is a holomorphic function on U and $f(z) = (f_0(z) : f_1(z) : \dots : f_N(z))$ outside the analytic set $I(f) := \{z \in U : f_0(z) = f_1(z) = \dots = f_N(z) = 0\}$ of codimension ≥ 2 .

2.3. Moving hypersurfaces in general position. Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by \mathcal{H}_D the ring of all holomorphic functions on D , and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ the set of all homogeneous polynomials $Q \in \mathcal{H}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ such that the coefficients of Q are not all identically zero. Each element of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ is said to be a moving hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

Let Q be a moving hypersurface of degree $d \geq 1$. Denote by $Q(z)$ the homogeneous polynomial over \mathbb{C}^{N+1} obtained by evaluating the coefficients of Q in a specific point $z \in D$. We remark that for generic $z \in D$ this is a non-zero homogenous polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . The hypersurface H given by $H(z) := \{w \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} : Q(z)(w) = 0\}$ (for generic $z \in D$) is also called to be a moving hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ which is defined by Q . In this article, we identify Q with H if no confusion arises.

We say that moving hypersurfaces $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ of degree d_j ($q \geq N+1$) in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ are located in (weakly) general position if there exists $z \in D$ such that for any $1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_N \leq q$, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} Q_{j_i}(z)(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N) = 0 \\ 0 \leq i \leq N \end{cases}$$

has only the trivial solution $\omega = (0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} . This is equivalent to

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z) := \prod_{1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_N \leq q} \inf_{\|\omega\|=1} \left(|Q_{j_0}(z)(\omega)|^2 + \dots + |Q_{j_N}(z)(\omega)|^2 \right) > 0,$$

where $Q_j(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_j} a_{jI}(z) \cdot \omega^I$ and $\|\omega\| = (\sum |\omega_j|^2)^{1/2}$.

2.4. Divisors. Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and f a non-identically zero holomorphic function on D . For a point $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in D$ we expand f as a compactly convergent series

$$f(u_1 + a_1, \dots, u_n + a_n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

on a neighborhood of a , where P_m is either identically zero or a homogeneous polynomial of degree m . The number

$$\nu_f(a) := \min\{m; P_m(u) \not\equiv 0\}$$

is said to be the zero multiplicity of f at a . By definition, a divisor on D is an integer-valued function ν on D such that for every $a \in D$ there are holomorphic functions $g(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ and $h(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ on a neighborhood U of a with $\nu(z) = \nu_g(z) - \nu_h(z)$ on U . We define the support of the divisor ν on D by

$$\text{Supp } \nu := \overline{\{z \in D : \nu(z) \neq 0\}}.$$

We denote $\mathcal{D}^+(D) = \{\nu : \text{a non-negative divisor on } D\}$.

Let f be a meromorphic mapping from a domain D into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. For each homogeneous polynomial $Q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$, we define the divisor $\nu(f, Q)$ on D as follows: For each $a \in D$, let $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$ be an admissible representation of f in a neighborhood U of a . Then we put

$$\nu(f, Q)(a) := \nu_{Q(\tilde{f})}(a),$$

where $Q(\tilde{f}) := Q(f_0, \dots, f_N)$.

Let H be a moving hypersurface which is defined by the homogeneous polynomial $Q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$, and f be a meromorphic mapping of D into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. As above we define the divisor $\nu(f, H)(z) := \nu(f, Q)(z)$. Obviously, $\text{Supp } \nu(f, H)$ is either an empty set or a pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic set in D if $f(D) \not\subset H$ (i.e., $Q(\tilde{f}) \not\equiv 0$ on U). We define $\nu(f, H) = \infty$ on D and $\text{Supp } \nu(f, H) = D$ if $f(D) \subset H$. Sometimes we identify $f^{-1}(H)$ with the divisor $\nu(f, H)$ on D . We can rewrite $\nu(f, H)$ as the formal sum $\nu(f, H) = \sum_{i \in I} n_i X_i$, where X_i are the irreducible components of $\text{Supp } \nu(f, H)$ and n_i are the constants $\nu(f, H)(z)$ on $X_i \cap \text{Reg}(\text{Supp } \nu(f, H))$, where $\text{Reg}(\)$ denotes the set of all the regular points.

We say that the meromorphic mapping f intersects H with multiplicity at least m on D if $\nu(f, H)(z) \geq m$ for all $z \in \text{Supp } \nu(f, H)$ and

in particular that f intersects H with multiplicity ∞ on D if $f(D) \subset H$ or $f(D) \cap H = \emptyset$.

2.5. Meromorphically normal families. Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n .

i) (See [1]) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of D into a compact complex manifold M . \mathcal{F} is said to be a *(holomorphically) normal family on D* if any sequence in \mathcal{F} contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping of D into M .

ii) (See [4]) A sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}$ of meromorphic mappings from D into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is said to *converge meromorphically on D to a meromorphic mapping f* if and only if, for any $z \in D$, each $f^{(p)}$ has an admissible representation

$$\tilde{f}^{(p)} = (f_0^{(p)} : f_1^{(p)} : \dots : f_N^{(p)})$$

on some fixed neighborhood U of z such that $\{f_i^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of U to a holomorphic function f_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$) on U with the property that $\tilde{f} = (f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_N)$ is a representation of f on U (not necessarily an admissible one!).

iii) (See [4]) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic mappings of D into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. \mathcal{F} is said to be a *meromorphically normal family on D* if any sequence in \mathcal{F} has a meromorphically convergent subsequence on D .

iv) (See [16]) Let $\{\nu_i\}$ be a sequence of non-negative divisors on D . It is said to converge to a non-negative divisor ν on D if and only if any $a \in D$ has a neighborhood U such that there exist holomorphic functions $h_i (\neq 0)$ and $h (\neq 0)$ on U such that $\nu_i = \nu_{h_i}$, $\nu = \nu_h$ and $\{h_i\}$ converges compactly to h on U .

v) (See [16]) Let $\{A_i\}$ be a sequence of closed subsets of D . It is said to converge to a closed subset A of D if and only if A coincides with the set of all z such that every neighborhood U of z intersects A_i for all but finitely many i and, simultaneously, with the set of all z such that every U intersects A_i for infinitely many i .

2.6. Other notations. Let P_0, \dots, P_N be $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials of common degree in $\mathbb{C}[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ the set of all homogeneous polynomials $Q = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i P_i$ ($b_i \in \mathbb{C}$).

Let $\{Q_j := \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ji}P_i\}_{j=1}^q$ be q ($q \geq N + 1$) homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$. We say that $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are located in general position in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ if

$$\forall 1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_N \leq q, \det (b_{j_k i})_{0 \leq k, i \leq N} \neq 0.$$

Let T_0, \dots, T_N be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree, where T_i is defined by the (not zero) polynomial P_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$). Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ the set of all hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ which are defined by $Q \in \mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ with Q not zero.

Let P_0, \dots, P_N be $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials of common degree in $\mathcal{H}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ the set of all homogeneous not identically zero polynomials $Q = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i P_i$ ($b_i \in \mathcal{H}_D$).

Let T_0, \dots, T_N be moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree, where T_i is defined by the (not identically zero) polynomial P_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$). Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ the set of all moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ which are defined by $Q \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$.

Denote by $Hol(X, Y)$ the set of all holomorphic mappings from a complex space X to a complex space Y .

For each $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $R > 0$, we set $B(x, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z - x\| < R\}$ and $B(R) = B(0, R)$.

Let $\Lambda^d(S)$ denote the real d -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. For a formal \mathbb{Z} -linear combination $X = \sum_{i \in I} n_i X_i$ of analytic subsets $X_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and for a subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we call $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda^d(X_i \cap E)$ (resp. $\sum_{i \in I} n_i \Lambda^d(X_i \cap E)$), the d -dimensional Lebesgue area of $X \cap E$ *ignoring multiplicities* (resp. *with counting multiplicities*).

Finally we list some facts on Hausdorff measures for later use which can for example be found in the book of Chirka [2].

Lemma 2.1. ([2, p.351f. and p.299f.]) Let $B := B(x, R) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $S \subset B$ a closed subset with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$. Let $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function, $h \not\equiv 0$, and $S_1 := S \cup \{h = 0\}$. Let $z_0 \in B$. Then we have :

a) For almost every complex line $l := \{z_0 + z \cdot u, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ passing through z_0 , we have $\Lambda^1(S_1 \cap l) = 0$.

b) Let $r_0 := \text{dist}(z_0, \partial B)$ and, for every $r \in]0, r_0[$, $\mathcal{C}_r := \{z_0 + r \cdot e^{i\theta} \cdot u : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \} \subset l$. If $\Lambda^1(S_1 \cap l) = 0$ then the subset of the r such that

$\mathcal{C}_r \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ is nowhere dense in the interval $]0, r_0[$.

Corollary 2.2. With the notations of Lemma 2.1 the set $B \setminus S_1$ is pathwise connected.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 and of the fact that $B \setminus S_1 \subset B$ is an open subset. \square

3. LEMMAS.

Lemma 3.1. ([16, Theorem 2.24]) *A sequence $\{\nu_i\}$ of non-negative divisors on a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n is normal in the sense of the convergence of divisors on D if and only if the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $\nu_i \cap E$ ($i \geq 1$) with counting multiplicities are bounded above for any fixed compact set E of D .*

Lemma 3.2. ([16, Theorem 4.10]) *If a sequence $\{\nu_i\}$ converges to ν in $\mathcal{D}^+(B(R))$, then $\{\text{supp } \nu_i\}$ converges to $\text{supp } \nu$ (in the sense of closed subsets of D).*

Lemma 3.3. ([16, Proposition 4.12]) *Let $\{N_i\}$ be a sequence of pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic subsets of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n . If the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $N_i \cap K$ ignoring multiplicities ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are bounded above for any fixed compact subset K of D , then $\{N_i\}$ is normal in the sense of the convergence of closed subsets in D .*

Lemma 3.4. ([16, Proposition 4.11]) *Let $\{N_i\}$ be a sequence of pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic subsets of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that the $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $N_i \cap K$ ignoring multiplicities ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are bounded above for any fixed compact subset K of D and $\{N_i\}$ converges to N as a sequence of closed subsets of D . Then N is either empty or a pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of D .*

Lemma 3.5. *Let natural numbers N and $q \geq N+1$ be fixed. Then for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $M(\delta) = M(\delta, N, q) > 0$ such that the following is satisfied:*

For any homogeneous polynomials Q_1, \dots, Q_q on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} with complex coefficients with norms bounded above by 1 such that $D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q) > \delta$, we have $\max\{\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q\} < M(\delta)$.

Proof. First of all, we make the three following remarks.

i) Let $Q(\omega)$ be a homogeneous polynomial on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} such that

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_\alpha \omega^\alpha,$$

where $|a_\alpha| \leq 1$. Then

$$|Q(\omega)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=d} |\omega_0|^{\alpha_0} \cdots |\omega_N|^{\alpha_N} \leq (d+1)^{N+1} r^d,$$

when $|\omega_k| \leq r \ \forall 0 \leq k \leq N$.

We set

$$M_0 = \sup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (d+1)^{N+1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \right)^d.$$

Since $\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} (d+1)^{N+1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \right)^d = 0$, it implies that $M_0 < +\infty$.

ii) Let Q_0, \dots, Q_N be homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} such that the norms of their complex coefficients are bounded above by 1 and $D(Q_0, \dots, Q_N) > 0$. We choose $\omega^{(0)} = (1/\sqrt{N+1}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Then $\|\omega^{(0)}\| = 1$. By (i), we have

$$D(Q_0, \dots, Q_N) \leq (N+1)M_0^2 < +\infty.$$

iii) Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \frac{1}{x})^x = \frac{1}{e}$, we have $\frac{e(1-\frac{1}{x})^x}{2} < 1$ for x big enough. Therefore,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (x^2 + 1)^{N+1} (1 - \frac{1}{x})^{x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{e(1-\frac{1}{x})^x}{2} \right)^x \frac{(x^2 + 1)^{N+1}}{(\frac{e}{2})^x} = 0.$$

We now come back to the proof of Lemma 3.5, and we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $q = N + 1$.

Assume that such a constant $M(\delta) = M(\delta, N, N + 1)$ does not exist. Then there exist homogeneous polynomials $Q_0^{(j)}, \dots, Q_N^{(j)}$ ($j \geq 1$) with coefficients being bounded above by 1 such that

$$\inf \left\{ D(Q_0^{(j)}, \dots, Q_N^{(j)}) : j \geq 1 \right\} > \delta > 0,$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\max \left\{ \deg Q_0^{(j)}, \dots, \deg Q_N^{(j)} \right\} \right) = \infty.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg Q_i^{(j)} &= d_i \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad \forall j \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ \deg Q_i^{(j)} &= d_i^{(j)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ for each } k+1 \leq i \leq N, \end{aligned}$$

where k is some integer such that $0 \leq k \leq N-1$.

Since $\deg Q_i^{(j)} = d_i \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad \forall j \geq 1$, we may assume that, for each $0 \leq i \leq k$, $\{Q_i^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 1}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} to either a homogeneous polynomial Q_i of degree d_i with coefficients being bounded above by 1 or to the zero polynomial. Since $0 \leq k \leq N-1$, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^k \{H_i := \text{Zero}(Q_i)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, there exists $\omega^{(0)} \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k H_i$ with $\|\omega^{(0)}\| = 1$. We now consider two subcases.

Subcase 1.1. Assume that $r = \max\{|\omega_0^{(0)}|, \dots, |\omega_N^{(0)}|\} < 1$.

+) If $0 \leq i \leq k$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(0)}) = 0.$$

+) If $k+1 \leq i \leq N$, then, by remark *i*), we have

$$|Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(0)})| \leq (d_i^{(j)} + 1)^{N+1} r^{d_i^{(j)}}.$$

Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_i^{(j)} = \infty$ and $r < 1$, it implies that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(0)}) = 0 \text{ for } k+1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} D(Q_0^{(j)}, \dots, Q_N^{(j)}) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^N |Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(0)})|^2 = 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. Assume that $\max\{|\omega_0^{(0)}|, \dots, |\omega_N^{(0)}|\} = 1$.

We may assume that $\omega^{(0)} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Set $\{\omega^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 1}$ such that

$$\omega_0^{(j)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{(j)}}}, \omega_1^{(j)} = \dots = \omega_N^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{d^{(j)}}} - \frac{1}{d^{(j)}}},$$

where $d^{(j)} = \min_{k+1 \leq i \leq N} d_i^{(j)}$.

+) If $0 \leq i \leq k$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(j)}) = Q_i(\omega^{(0)}) = 0.$$

+) Suppose that $k+1 \leq i \leq N$.

Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d^{(j)} = \infty$, there exists j_0 such that:

$$\max \left\{ |\omega_0^{(j)}|, \dots, |\omega_N^{(j)}| \right\} = |\omega_0^{(j)}| = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{(j)}}} = r_j \text{ for any } j > j_0.$$

By remark *i*) and *iii*), for each $k+1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_i^{(j)}(\omega^{(j)})| &\leq (d_i^{(j)} + 1)^{N+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{(j)}}}\right)^{d_i^{(j)}} \\ &\leq (d_i^{(j)} + 1)^{N+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i^{(j)}}}\right)^{d_i^{(j)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction by the same argument as above.

Case 2: $q > N+1$.

By remark *ii*) we have

$$\delta < D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q) = \prod_{1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_N \leq q} D(Q_{j_0}, \dots, Q_{j_N}) \leq CD(Q_{j_0}, \dots, Q_{j_N})$$

for any set $\{j_0, \dots, j_N\} \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$, where C is a constant which depends only on N and q .

By Case 1, we have

$$\max \{ \deg Q_{j_0}, \dots, \deg Q_{j_N} \} < M(\delta/C, N, N+1)$$

for any set $\{j_0, \dots, j_N\} \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$. So if we define

$$M(\delta, N, q) := M(\delta/C, N, N+1)$$

(this is well defined since C only depends on N and q), then we have

$$\max \{ \deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q \} < M(\delta, N, q).$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let natural numbers N and $q \geq N + 1$ be fixed. Let $H_k^{(p)}$ ($1 \leq k \leq q$, $p \geq 1$) be moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) For each $1 \leq k \leq q$, $p \geq 1$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $Q_k^{(p)}$ which define the $H_k^{(p)}$ are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D ,

ii) there exists $z_0 \in D$ such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1^{(p)}, \dots, Q_q^{(p)})(z_0)\} > \delta > 0.$$

Then, we have:

a) There exists a subsequence $\{j_p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for $1 \leq k \leq q$, $Q_k^{(j_p)}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to not identically zero homogenous polynomials Q_k (meaning that the $Q_k^{(j_p)}$ and Q_k are homogenous polynomials in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ of the same degree, and all their coefficients converge uniformly on compact subsets of D). Moreover we have that $D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) > \delta > 0$, the hypersurfaces $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are located in general position and the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (weakly) general position.

b) There exists a subsequence $\{j_p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $r = r(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\inf \{D(Q_1^{(j_p)}, \dots, Q_q^{(j_p)})(z) \mid p \geq 1\} > \frac{\delta}{4}, \quad \forall z \in B(z_0, r).$$

Proof. Let $d_k^{(p)} = \deg Q_k^{(p)}$ be the degree of the non identically vanishing homogenous polynomial $Q_k^{(p)}$ ($1 \leq k \leq q, p \geq 1$). Then we have

$$Q_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k^{(p)}} a_{kpI}(z) \cdot \omega^I,$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{N+1})$, $|I| = i_1 + \dots + i_{N+1}$ and $a_{kpI}(z)$ are holomorphic functions which are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D . Since the coefficients of the polynomials $Q_k^{(j_p)}$ are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D , there exists $c > 0$ such that $|a_{kpI}(z_0)| \leq c$ for all k, p, I . Define homogenous polynomials

$$\tilde{Q}_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega) := \frac{1}{c} Q_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega)$$

Then the $\tilde{Q}_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega)$ satisfy the condition

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(\tilde{Q}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{Q}_q^{(p)})(z_0)\} > \tilde{\delta} > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with $\tilde{\delta} := \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^2 \binom{q}{N+1} \delta$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\max\{\deg \tilde{Q}_1^{(p)}(z_0), \dots, \deg \tilde{Q}_q^{(p)}(z_0)\} < M(\tilde{\delta}).$$

Since by equation (3.1) none of the homogenous polynomials $Q_k^{(p)}(z_0)$ ($1 \leq k \leq q, p \geq 1$) can be the zero polynomial, we get that

$$\max\{\deg \tilde{Q}_1^{(p)}(z), \dots, \deg \tilde{Q}_q^{(p)}(z)\} < M(\tilde{\delta})$$

for all $z \in D$. So if again

$$\tilde{Q}_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k^{(p)}} \tilde{a}_{kpI}(z) \cdot \omega^I,$$

after passing to a subsequence $\{j_p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ (which we denote for simplicity again by $\{p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$), we can assume that $d_k^{(p)} = d_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq q$. So if we still multiply by c , we get

$$Q_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k} a_{kpI}(z) \cdot \omega^I.$$

Now, since the $a_{kpI}(z)$ are locally bounded uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on D , by using Montel's theorem and a standard diagonal argument with respect to an exhaustion of D with compact subsets, after passing to a subsequence $\{j_p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ (which we denote for simplicity again by $\{p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$), we also can assume that $\{a_{kpI}(z)\}_{p=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a_{kI} for each k, I . Denote by

$$Q_k(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k} a_{kI}(z) \cdot \omega^I.$$

Then

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) \geq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} D(Q_1^{(p)}, \dots, Q_q^{(p)})(z_0) > \delta > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

hence, the hypersurfaces $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are located in general position and so the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (weakly) general position (and in particular all the $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are not identically zero), which proves a).

Moreover, by equation (3.2), there exists $r = r(\delta)$ such that

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z) > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall z \in B(z_0, r).$$

Since $\{Q_k^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to Q_k , after shrinking r a bit if necessary, there exists M such that

$$D(Q_1^{(p)}, \dots, Q_q^{(p)})(z) > \frac{\delta}{4}, \quad \forall z \in B(z_0, r), \quad p > M,$$

which proves b). □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\{f^{(p)}\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and let S be a closed subset of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$. Suppose that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ meromorphically converges on $D - S$ to a meromorphic mapping f of $D - S$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that, for each $f^{(p)}$, there exist $N+1$ moving hypersurfaces $H_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, H_{N+1}(f^{(p)})$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, where the moving hypersurfaces $H_i(f^{(p)})$ may depend on $f^{(p)}$, such that the following three conditions are satisfied:*

i) *For each $1 \leq k \leq N+1$, the coefficients of homogeneous polynomial $Q_k(f^{(p)})$ which define $H_k(f^{(p)})$ for all $f^{(p)}$ are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D .*

ii) *There exists $z_0 \in D$ such that*

$$\inf\{D(Q_1(f^{(p)}), \dots, Q_{N+1}(f^{(p)}))(z_0) \mid p \geq 1\} > 0.$$

iii) *The $2(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue areas of $(f^{(p)})^{-1}(H_k(f^{(p)})) \cap K$ ($1 \leq k \leq N+1$, $p \geq 1$) counting multiplicities are bounded above for any fixed compact subset K of D .*

Then we have:

a) *$\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a meromorphically convergent subsequence on D .*

b) *If, moreover, $\{f^{(p)}\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and condition iii) is sharpened to*

$$f^{(p)}(D) \cap H_k(f^{(p)}) = \emptyset \quad (1 \leq k \leq N+1, \quad p \geq 1),$$

then $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping of D to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 and conditions i) and ii), after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that for $1 \leq k \leq N+1$, $Q_k(f^{(p)})$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to Q_k , in particular they have common degree d_k . Moreover, Q_1, \dots, Q_{N+1} are located in (weakly) general position. Denote by H_1, \dots, H_{N+1} the corresponding moving hypersurfaces.

By Lemma 3.1 and condition iii), after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that for every $1 \leq k \leq N + 1$, the divisors

$$\{\nu(f^{(p)}, H_k(f^{(p)}))\} = (f^{(p)})^{-1}(H_k(f^{(p)})) \quad (p \geq 1)$$

are convergent (in the sense of convergence of divisors in D).

By a standard diagonal argument we may assume that $D = B(R)$, and that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ meromorphically converges on $B(R) - S$ to a meromorphic mapping $f : B(R) - S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

We prove that there exists $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, N + 1\}$ such that $f(D - S) \not\subset H_{k_0}$, more precisely that for any representation $f = (f_0 : \dots : f_N)$ of $f : D - S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ (admissible or not) we have $Q_{k_0}(f_0, \dots, f_N) \not\equiv 0$: $E = \{z \in D : f_0(z) = f_1(z) = \dots = f_N(z) = 0\}$ is a proper analytic subset. Since Q_1, \dots, Q_{N+1} are located in (weakly) general position, there exists $z \in D$ such that the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} Q_k(z)(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N) = 0 \\ 1 \leq k \leq N + 1 \end{cases}$$

has only the trivial solution $\omega = (0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} . But since then the same is true for the generic point $z \in D$ it is true in particular for the generic point $z \in D - E$. So for such point z there exists some $k \in \{1, \dots, N + 1\}$ such that $Q_k(z)(f_0(z), \dots, f_N(z)) \neq 0$. In order to simplify notations, from now on we put:

$$Q^{(p)} := Q_{k_0}(f^{(p)}), \quad Q := Q_{k_0}, \quad H^{(p)} := H_{k_0}(f^{(p)}), \quad H := H_{k_0}, \quad d := d_{k_0}.$$

Let z_1 be any point of S . By [16] Theorem 3.6, for any r ($0 < r < \tilde{R} = R - ||z_1||$), we can choose holomorphic functions $h^{(p)} \not\equiv 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$ on $B(z_1, r)$ such that $\nu(f^{(p)}, H^{(p)}) = \nu_{h^{(p)}}$, $\nu = \nu_h$ for the limit ν of $\{\nu(f^{(p)}, H^{(p)})\}$ and $\{h^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(z_1, r)$ to h . Moreover, each $f^{(p)}$ has an admissible representation on $B(z_1, r)$

$$f^{(p)} = (f_0^{(p)} : f_1^{(p)} : \dots : f_N^{(p)})$$

with suitable holomorphic functions $f_i^{(p)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) on $B(z_1, r)$.

Let z be a point in $B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$. Choose a simply connected relatively compact neighborhood W_z of z in $B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$ such that there exists a sequence $\{u_z^{(p)}\}$ of nonvanishing holomorphic functions on W_z such that $\{u_z^{(p)} f_i^{(p)}\} \rightarrow f_i^z$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) on W_z and $f = (f_0^z : f_1^z : \dots : f_N^z)$ on W_z . It may be assumed that $h^{(p)}$ ($p \geq 1$) has no zero on W_z . We have $Q^{(p)}(f_0^{(p)}, \dots, f_N^{(p)}) = v^{(p)} h^{(p)}$, where $v^{(p)}$ is a nonvanishing holomorphic function on $B(z_1, r)$.

This implies that $Q^{(p)}(u_z^{(p)} f_0^{(p)}, \dots, u_z^{(p)} f_N^{(p)}) \neq 0$ on W_z , since $Q^{(p)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial, and we have

$$Q^{(p)}(u_z^{(p)} f_0^{(p)}, \dots, u_z^{(p)} f_N^{(p)}) \rightarrow Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z)$$

on W_z since $Q^{(p)}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to Q . Since $f(D-S) \not\subset H$, it implies that $Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z) \neq 0$ on W_z , and hence $Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z) \neq 0$ on W_z .

We recall that the $Q^{(p)}$, $p \geq 1$, and Q have common degree d . Since

$$Q^{(p)}(u_z^{(p)} f_0^{(p)}, \dots, u_z^{(p)} f_N^{(p)}) \text{ tends to } Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z) \text{ on } W_z \text{ and}$$

$$Q^{(p)}(u_z^{(p)} f_0^{(p)}, \dots, u_z^{(p)} f_N^{(p)}) = (u_z^{(p)})^d \cdot v^{(p)} \cdot h^{(p)},$$

it follows that $(u_z^{(p)})^d \cdot v^{(p)} \cdot h^{(p)}$ tends to $Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z)$ on W_z . Since $v^{(p)} \neq 0$ on $B(z_1, r)$, $v^{(p)} = (k^{(p)})^d$, where $k^{(p)}$ is a nonvanishing holomorphic function on $B(z_1, r)$. We have

$$(u_z^{(p)})^d \cdot (k^{(p)})^d = (u_z^{(p)} \cdot k^{(p)})^d \rightarrow \frac{Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z)}{h} \text{ on } W_z.$$

Define F^z such that

$$(F^z)^d := \frac{Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z)}{h} \text{ on } W_z.$$

We can do this because $\frac{Q(f_0^z, \dots, f_N^z)}{h} \neq 0$ on W_z . So $(u_z^{(p)} \cdot k^{(p)})^d \rightarrow (F^z)^d$ on W_z , hence $(\frac{u_z^{(p)} \cdot k^{(p)}}{F^z})^d$ tends to 1 on W_z . Therefore, there exist infinite (or empty) subsets $\{N_j^z\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$ of \mathbb{N} such that

\mathbb{N} is a disjoint union of sets N_j^z and

$$\left\{ \frac{u_z^{(p)} \cdot k^{(p)}}{F^z} \right\}_{p \in N_j^z} \rightarrow \theta_j = e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi j}{d}} \text{ for each } 0 \leq j \leq d-1.$$

This implies that $\left\{ \frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}} \right\}_{p \in N_j^z} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^z}{\theta_j}$ on W_z , where $F_i^z = \frac{f_i^z}{F^z}$ on W_z .

Take $a \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$. Then $\left\{ \frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}} \right\}_{p \in N_j^a} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^a}{\theta_j}$ on W_a for each $0 \leq j \leq d-1$.

Take $b \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$ such that $W_a \cap W_b \neq \emptyset$. We will prove that $\left\{ \frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}} \right\}_{p \in N_j^a} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^b}{\theta_j} \cdot c$ for each $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. Indeed, without loss of generality we may assume that $f_0^a \neq 0$ on W_a . Then $f_0^x \neq 0$ on

W_x for each $x \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$. Hence $F_0^x \not\equiv 0$ on W_x for each $x \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$.

Consider $|N_j^a| = \infty$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a set.

Assume that there exist N_1^b, N_2^b such that for $\tilde{N} := N_j^a \cap N_1^b$ and $\tilde{N} := N_j^a \cap N_2^b$ we have $|\tilde{N}| = |\tilde{N}| = \infty$. Since $\{\frac{f_0^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in \tilde{N} \cap N_1^b} \rightarrow \frac{F_0^b}{\theta_1}$ on W_b and $\{\frac{f_0^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in \tilde{N} \cap N_j^a} \rightarrow \frac{F_0^a}{\theta_j}$ on W_a , we have $\frac{F_0^b}{\theta_1} = \frac{F_0^a}{\theta_j}$ on $W_a \cap W_b$. Similarly, $\frac{F_0^b}{\theta_2} = \frac{F_0^a}{\theta_j}$ on $W_a \cap W_b$. This is a contradiction. Thus every infinite subset N_j^a intersects and only intersects infinitely with the subset $N_{\alpha(j)}^b$. Moreover, $|N_j^a \Delta N_{\alpha(j)}^b| < \infty$, where $A \Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ for arbitrary sets A, B .

From this it follows that there exists a bijection $\alpha : \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that

$$N_j^a = \emptyset \text{ if and only if } N_{\alpha(j)}^b = \emptyset,$$

$$\text{if } |N_j^a| = \infty \text{ then } |N_j^a \Delta N_{\alpha(j)}^b| < \infty.$$

On the other hand, since $\{\frac{f_0^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in N_j^a \cap N_{\alpha(j)}^b} \rightarrow \frac{F_0^a}{\theta_j}$ on W_a and $\{\frac{f_0^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in N_j^a \cap N_{\alpha(j)}^b} \rightarrow \frac{F_0^b}{\theta_{\alpha(j)}}$ on W_b , we have $\frac{F_0^a}{\theta_j} = \frac{F_0^b}{\theta_{\alpha(j)}}$ on $W_a \cap W_b$. This means that $F_0^a = F_0^b \cdot \frac{\theta_j}{\theta_{\alpha(j)}}$ on $W_a \cap W_b$ for each $0 \leq j \leq d-1$, and hence, $c_b := \frac{\theta_j}{\theta_{\alpha(j)}}$ is a constant independent of j , $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. It implies that $\{\frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in N_j^a \cap N_{\alpha(j)}^b} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^b}{\theta_{\alpha(j)}} = \frac{F_i^b}{\theta_j} \cdot c_b$ on W_b , and hence,

$$\{\frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in N_j^a} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^b}{\theta_j} \cdot c_b \text{ on } W_b.$$

Applying this procedure a finite number of times, we have

$$\{\frac{f_i^{(p)}}{k^{(p)}}\}_{p \in N_j^a} \rightarrow \frac{F_i^x}{\theta_j} \cdot c_x$$

on W_x for each $x \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$ and for each $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. Indeed, by the assumption on the Hausdorff dimension of S and by Corollary 2.2, the open set $B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$ is pathwise connected, and such a path between a and x , which is compact as the image of a closed interval under a continuous map, can be covered by a finite number of such neighborhoods W_y with $y \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$. And since the limit is unique if it exists, it does not depend on the choice of the path. For $p \in N_j^a$ put $\tilde{f}_i^{(p)} = f_i^{(p)} \cdot \frac{\theta_j}{k^{(p)}} (0 \leq i \leq N)$. Then $f^{(p)} = (\tilde{f}_0^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_N^{(p)})$ for all $p \in N_j^a$ and $0 \leq j \leq d-1$ and $\{\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty \rightarrow F_i^x \cdot c_x$ on W_x for each $0 \leq i \leq N$. Note that if $W_x \cap W_y \neq \emptyset$ ($x, y \in B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$) then $F_i^x \cdot c_x = F_i^y \cdot c_y$ for each $0 \leq i \leq N$.

Define the function $F_i : B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $F_i|_{W_x} = F_i^x \cdot c_x$. Then $\{\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty \rightarrow F_i$ on $B(z_1, r) - (S \cup \{h = 0\})$ for each $0 \leq i \leq N$.

We now prove that the sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty$ meromorphically converges on $B(z_1, r)$ to some meromorphic mapping $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_0, \dots, \tilde{F}_N)$. Indeed, let $z^{(0)}$ be any point of $S_1 = S \cup \{h = 0\}$. Since $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S_1) = 0$, by Lemma 2.1 a) there exists a complex line $l_{z^{(0)}}$ passing through $z^{(0)}$ such that $\Lambda^1(S_1 \cap l_{z^{(0)}}) = 0$. Put $l_{z^{(0)}} = \{z^{(0)} + z \cdot u : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Then by Lemma 2.1 b) there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{z^{(0)} + R \cdot e^{i\theta} \cdot u : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \}$$

satisfying $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset B(z_1, r)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$. By the maximum principle, it implies that the sequence $\{\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}(z^{(0)})\}$ converges. Put $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_i^{(p)}(z^{(0)}) = \tilde{F}_i(z^{(0)})$. This means that the mapping F_i extends over $B(z_1, r)$ to the mapping \tilde{F}_i .

We now prove that the sequence $\{\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}(z)\}_{p=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(z_1, r)$ to $\tilde{F}_i(z)$. Indeed, assume that $\{z^{(j)}\} \subset B(z_1, r)$ converges to $z^{(0)} \in B(z_1, r)$. As above, there exists a circle $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{z^{(0)} + R \cdot e^{i\theta} \cdot u : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \} \subset B(z_1, r)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{C}_0 is a compact subset of $B(z_1, r) - S_1$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$V(\mathcal{C}_0, \epsilon_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{dist}(z, \mathcal{C}_0) < \epsilon_0\} \Subset B(z_1, r) - S_1.$$

Consider the circles $\mathcal{C}_j = \{z^{(j)} + R \cdot e^{i\theta} \cdot u : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \}$. It is easy to see that $\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_j) = \|z^{(j)} - z^{(0)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{C}_j \subset V(\mathcal{C}_0, \epsilon_0) \Subset B(z_1, r) - S_1$. By

the hypothesis, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\sup\{\|\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}(z) - F_i(z)\| : z \in V(\mathcal{C}_0, \epsilon_0), p \geq N\} < \epsilon.$$

By the maximum principle, we have $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f}_i^{(j)}(z^{(j)}) - F_i(z^{(j)})\| = 0$. This implies that the sequence $\{\tilde{f}_i^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(z_1, r)$ to \tilde{F}_i . This finishes the proof of part a) of the lemma.

In order to prove part b), we first remark that it suffices to prove that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a subsequence which converges locally uniformly on D to a holomorphic mapping f of D to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, that means that after passing to a subsequence we have:

Let z_1 be any point of D . Then there exists $r > 0$ and, for each $f^{(p)}$ a holomorphic representation on $B(z_1, r)$

$$f^{(p)} = (f_0^{(p)} : f_1^{(p)} : \dots : f_N^{(p)})$$

with suitable holomorphic functions $f_i^{(p)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) without common zeros on $B(z_1, r)$, such that $\{f_i^{(p)}\} \rightarrow f_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) uniformly on $B(z_1, r)$ and $f = (f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_N)$ is a holomorphic map on $B(z_1, r)$, that means the f_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$) are without common zeros on $B(z_1, r)$.

By part a) we know that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a subsequence which converges meromorphically on D to a meromorphic mapping f of D to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, that means that after passing to a subsequence we have:

Let z_1 be any point of D . Then there exists $r > 0$ and, for each $f^{(p)}$ an admissible representation on $B(z_1, r)$

$$f^{(p)} = (f_0^{(p)} : f_1^{(p)} : \dots : f_N^{(p)})$$

with suitable holomorphic functions $f_i^{(p)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) on $B(z_1, r)$, such that $\{f_i^{(p)}\} \rightarrow f_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) uniformly on $B(z_1, r)$ and $f = (f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_N)$ is a meromorphic map on $B(z_1, r)$. Observing that the admissible representations of the holomorphic maps $f^{(p)} = (f_0^{(p)} : f_1^{(p)} : \dots : f_N^{(p)})$ are automatically without common zeros, the only thing which remains to be proved is that under the conditions of part b) we have

$$E = \{z \in B(z_1, r) : f_0(z) = f_1(z) = \dots = f_N(z) = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

We also recall that by the proof of part a) we have that: There exists $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, N + 1\}$ such that $Q^{(p)} = Q_{k_0}(f^{(p)})$, $p \geq 1$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to $Q = Q_{k_0}$, and $f(D - S) \not\subset H_{k_0}$,

more precisely that for any representation $f = (f_0 : \dots : f_N)$ of the meromorphic map $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ (admissible or not) we have

$$Q(f_0, \dots, f_N) \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we can end the proof with an easy application of Hurwitz's theorem: By the condition of b) we have that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$Q^{(p)}(f_0^{(p)}, \dots, f_N^{(p)}) \neq 0$$

on $B(z_1, r)$. And we also have that

$$Q^{(p)}(f_0^{(p)}, \dots, f_N^{(p)}) \rightarrow Q(f_0, \dots, f_N)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $B(z_1, r)$. By equation (3.3) and the Hurwitz's theorem we get that $Q(f_0, \dots, f_N) \neq 0$ on $B(z_1, r)$. But since Q is a homogenous polynomial this implies that

$$E = \{z \in B(z_1, r) : f_0(z) = f_1(z) = \dots = f_N(z) = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

□

We remark that the following corollary of part a) of the previous lemma generalizes the Proposition 3.5 in [2].

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\{f^{(p)}\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and let S be a closed subset of D with $\Lambda^{2n-1}(S) = 0$. Suppose that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ meromorphically converges on $D - S$ to a meromorphic mapping f of $D - S$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. If there exists a moving hypersurface H in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(D - S) \not\subset H$ and $\{\nu(f^{(p)}, H)\}$ is a convergent sequence of divisors on D , then $\{f^{(p)}\}$ is meromorphically convergent on D .*

Lemma 3.9. ([20, Theorem 2.5]) *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of a domain D in \mathbb{C}^n onto $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Then the family \mathcal{F} is not normal on D if and only if there exist a compact subset $K_0 \subset D$ and sequences $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\{z_i\} \subset K_0$, $\{r_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $r_i > 0$ and $r_i \rightarrow 0^+$, and $\{u_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which are unit vectors such that*

$$g_i(\xi) := f_i(z_i + r_i u_i \xi),$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_i + r_i u_i \xi \in D$, converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to a nonconstant holomorphic map g of \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.10. (See [10, Theorem 4']) *Suppose that $q \geq 2N + 1$ hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_q are given in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and q positive*

integers (may be ∞) m_1, \dots, m_q are given such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{N}{m_j}\right) > N + 1.$$

Then there does not exist a nonconstant holomorphic mapping $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that f intersects H_j with multiplicity at least m_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$).

Lemma 3.11. *Let P_0, \dots, P_N be $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials of common degree in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq N + 1$) be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ such that*

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q) = \prod_{1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_N \leq q} \inf_{\|\omega\|=1} \left(|Q_{j_0}(\omega)|^2 + \dots + |Q_{j_N}(\omega)|^2 \right) > 0,$$

where $Q_j(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_j} a_{jI} \omega^I$.

Then $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are located in general position in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ and $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are located in general position in $P^N(\mathbb{C})$. (cf. Sec 2.3 and 2.6).

Proof. a) Suppose that $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are not located in general position in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$. Then there exist $N + 1$ polynomials in $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ which are not linearly independent. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$Q_{N+1} = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j Q_j \quad (b_j \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \mid Q_1(\omega) = \dots = Q_N(\omega) = Q_{N+1}(\omega) = 0\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \mid Q_1(\omega) = \dots = Q_N(\omega) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is an analytic subset in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} . Since $\dim X \geq 1$, there exists $\omega_0 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} such that

$$Q_1(\omega_0) = \dots = Q_N(\omega_0) = Q_{N+1}(\omega_0) = 0.$$

Moreover, since $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are all homogenous polynomials, we may assume that $\|\omega_0\| = 1$. Thus, we have

$$|Q_1(\omega_0)|^2 + \dots + |Q_{N+1}(\omega_0)|^2 = 0,$$

and, hence,

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q) = 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

b) Suppose that $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are not located in general position in $P^N(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists $\omega_0 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} such that

$$P_0(\omega_0) = \cdots = P_N(\omega_0) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have $Q_j(\omega_0) = 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq q$, and thus again

$$D(Q_1, \cdots, Q_q) = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_N) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic mapping and $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ be $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials in general position of common degree in $\mathbb{C}[\omega_0, \cdots, \omega_N]$. Assume that*

$$F = (F_0 : \cdots : F_N) : \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$$

is the mapping defined by

$$F_i(\omega) = P_i(\omega), (0 \leq i \leq N).$$

Then, $F(f)$ is a constant map if only if f is a constant map.

Proof. Since $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials in general position of common degree in $\mathbb{C}[\omega_0, \cdots, \omega_N]$, F is a morphism. Suppose that $F(f) = a$, where $a = (a_0 : \cdots : a_N) \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. We have $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset F^{-1}(a)$. Suppose that $\dim F^{-1}(a) \geq 1$. Take H any hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ with $a \notin H$. Then $F^{-1}(H)$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ since the $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are in general position, so in particular they are not linearly dependant. By Bezout's theorem there exists a point $\omega_0 \in F^{-1}(a) \cap F^{-1}(H)$. Hence, $a = F(\omega_0) \in H$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\dim F^{-1}(a) = 0$, so $F^{-1}(a)$ is a finite set. Since f is continuous and $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset F^{-1}(a)$, it must be a constant map. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let P_0, \cdots, P_N be $N + 1$ homogeneous polynomials of common degree in $\mathbb{C}[\omega_0, \cdots, \omega_N]$ and $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2N + 1$) be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ such that*

$$D(Q_1, \cdots, Q_q) > 0.$$

Assume that m_1, \cdots, m_q are positive intergers (may be ∞) such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{N}{m_j}\right) > N + 1.$$

Then there does not exist a nonconstant holomorphic mapping

$$f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$$

such that f intersects Q_j with multiplicity at least m_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$).

Proof. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is a holomorphic mapping such that f intersects Q_i with multiplicity at least m_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$). For each $1 \leq i \leq q$, we define

$$Q_j = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ji} P_i$$

and

$$H_j = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ji} \omega_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$ be an admissible representation of f on \mathbb{C} (i.e. the f_0, \dots, f_N have no common zeros), and denote $F = (P_0(\tilde{f}) : \dots : P_N(\tilde{f}))$. By Lemma 3.11, $\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are located in general position in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$. This means that the hyperplanes $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ are located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Since f intersects Q_j with multiplicity at least m_j and

$$Q_j(\tilde{f}) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_{ji} P_i \right)(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ji} (P_i(\tilde{f})),$$

F also intersects H_j with multiplicity at least m_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$). By Lemma 3.10, F is a constant map, and by Lemma 3.12, f is a constant map, too. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let natural numbers N and $q \geq N + 1$ be fixed. Let $T_i^{(p)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$, $p \geq 1$) be moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ of common degree $d^{(p)}$ and $H_j^{(p)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{T_i^{(p)}\}_{i=0}^N)$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$, $p \geq 1$) such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) For each $0 \leq i \leq N$, the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials $P_i^{(p)}$ which define the $T_i^{(p)}$ are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D , and for all $1 \leq j \leq q$, the coefficients $b_{ij}^{(p)}(z)$ of the linear combinations of the $P_i^{(p)}$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ which define the homogeneous polynomials $Q_j^{(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij}^{(p)} P_i^{(p)}$ which define the $H_j^{(p)}$ are bounded above uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D .

ii) There exists $z_0 \in D$ such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{D(Q_1^{(p)}, \dots, Q_q^{(p)})(z_0)\} > 0.$$

Then, we have:

a) There exists a subsequence $\{j_p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq N$, $P_i^{(j_p)}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to not identically

zero homogenous polynomials P_i (meaning that the $P_i^{(j_p)}$ and P_i are homogenous polynomials in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ of the same degree d , and all their coefficients converge uniformly on compact subsets of D), and the $b_{ij}^{(j_p)}$ convergent uniformly on compact subsets of D to $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_D$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$.

b) The $Q_j^{(j_p)} = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij}^{(j_p)} P_i^{(j_p)}$ converge, for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, uniformly on compact subsets of D to $Q_j := \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij} P_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$, and we have

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) > 0.$$

In particular the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are located in general position, and the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (weakly) general position.

Proof. Since by our conditions on the coefficients of the $P_i^{(p)}$ and on the $b_{ij}^{(p)}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq q$ the coefficients of the homogenous polynomials $Q_j^{(p)}$ of degree $d^{(p)}$ are locally bounded uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on compact subsets of D , all conditions of Lemma 3.6 are satisfied and we get that after passing to a subsequence (which we denote for simplicity again by $\{p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$), that for $1 \leq j \leq q$, $Q_j^{(p)}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to not identically vanishing homogenous polynomials Q_j (meaning that the $Q_j^{(p)}$ and Q_j are homogenous polynomials in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ of the same degree d_j , and all their coefficients converge uniformly on compact subsets of D). Moreover (still by Lemma 3.6) we have that

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) > 0,$$

so the hypersurfaces $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are located in general position, and the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (weakly) general position. Observe moreover that since all the $Q_j^{(p)}$, $1 \leq j \leq q$ were of the same degree $d^{(p)}$, we have $d = d_j$ independant of j for our subsequence. Hence, we have, for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $p \geq 1$:

$$P_i^{(p)}(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d} a_{ipI}(z) \cdot \omega^I.$$

Now, since the $a_{jpI}(z)$ and the $b_{ij}^{(p)}(z)$ are locally bounded uniformly for all $p \geq 1$ on D , by using Montel's theorem and a standard diagonal argument with respect to an exhaustion of D with compact subsets, after passing another time to a subsequence (which we denote for simplicity again by $\{p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$), we also can assume that $\{a_{ipI}(z)\}_{p=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a_{iI} for each i, I , and

that $\{b_{ij}^{(p)}(z)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to $b_{ij}(z)$ for each i, j . Denote by

$$P_i(z)(\omega) := \sum_{|I|=d} a_{iI}(z) \cdot \omega^I.$$

Since the limit is unique, then we have $Q_j = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij} P_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$ and in particular that none of the $P_0(z), \dots, P_N(z)$ is identically vanishing (otherwise they could not be in (weakly) general position, which contradicted to the general position of the $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$: in fact, if the $P_i(z_0)(\omega)$ had a non-zero solution ω_0 in common, so would the $Q_j(z_0)(\omega)$). Hence, $Q_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$, which completes the proof. \square

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS.

Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let $\{f^{(p)}\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic mappings in \mathcal{F} . We have to prove that after passing to a subsequence (which we denote again by $\{f^{(p)}\}$), the sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}$ converges meromorphically on D to a meromorphic mapping f . Moreover, under the stronger conditions of Theorem 1.2, we have to show that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping f .

In order to simplify notation, we denote, for $1 \leq k \leq q$,

$$Q_k^{(p)} := Q_k(f^{(p)}) \text{ and } H_k^{(p)} := H_k(f^{(p)}).$$

By Lemma 3.6, after passing to a subsequence, for all $1 \leq k \leq q$, $Q_k^{(p)}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to Q_k , meaning that the

$$Q_k^{(p)} = Q_k^{(p)}(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k} a_{kpI}(z) \cdot \omega^I \text{ and } Q_k = Q_k(z)(\omega) = \sum_{|I|=d_k} a_{kI}(z) \cdot \omega^I$$

are homogenous polynomials in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_D[\omega_0, \dots, \omega_N]$ of the same degree d_k , and all their coefficients a_{kpI} converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to a_{kI} . Moreover, Q_1, \dots, Q_q are located in (weakly) general position.

By condition ii) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and by condition iii) and Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (f^{(p)})^{-1}(H_k^{(p)}) = S_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq N+1)$$

as a sequence of closed subsets of D , where S_k are either empty or pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic sets in D , and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (f^{(p)})^{-1}(H_k^{(p)}) - S = S_k \quad (N+2 \leq k \leq q)$$

as a sequence of closed subsets of $D - S$, where S_k are either empty or pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic sets in $D - S$.

Let $T = (\dots, t_{kI}, \dots)$ ($1 \leq k \leq q, |I| \leq M := \max\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$) be a family of variables. Set $\tilde{Q}_k = \sum_{|I| \leq M} t_{kI} \omega^I \in \mathbb{Z}[T, \omega]$ ($1 \leq k \leq q$). For

each subset $L \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $|L| = n+1$, take \tilde{R}_L is the resultant of the \tilde{Q}_k ($k \in L$). Since $\{\tilde{Q}_k\}_{k \in L}$ are in (weakly) general position, $\tilde{R}_L(\dots, a_{kI}, \dots) \neq 0$ (where we put $a_{kI} = 0$ for $|I| \neq d_k$). We set

$$\tilde{S} := \left\{ z \in D \mid \tilde{R}_L(\dots, a_{kI}, \dots) = 0 \text{ for some } L \subset \{1, \dots, q\} \right. \\ \left. \text{with } |L| = n+1 \right\}.$$

Let $E = (\bigcup_{k=1}^q S_k \cup \tilde{S}) - S$. Then E is either empty or a pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic set in $D - S$.

Fix any point z_1 in $(D - S) - E$. Choose a relatively compact neighborhood U_{z_1} of z_1 in $(D - S) - E$. Then $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\} \subset \text{Hol}(U_{z_1}, \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}))$. We now prove that the family $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ is a holomorphically normal family. Indeed, suppose that the family $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ is not holomorphically normal. By Lemma 3.9, there exist a subsequence (again denoted by $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}_{p=1}^\infty$) and $P_0 \in U_{z_1}$, $\{P_p\}_{p=1}^\infty \subset U_{z_1}$ with $P_p \rightarrow P_0$, $\{r_p\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ with $r_p \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{u_p\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, which are unit vectors, such that $g_p(z) := f^{(p)}(P_p + r_p u_p z)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to a nonconstant holomorphic map g of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Then, there exist admissible representations $g^{(p)} = (g_0^{(p)} : \dots : g_N^{(p)})$ of $g^{(p)}$ and an admissible representation $g = (g_0 : \dots : g_N)$ of g such that the $\{g_i^{(p)}\}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to g_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$) (observe that an admissible representation of a holomorphic map is automatically without common zeros). This implies that $Q_k^{(p)}(P_p + r_p u_p z)(g_0^{(p)}(z), \dots, g_N^{(p)}(z))$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to $Q_k(P_0)(g_0(z), \dots, g_N(z))$, ($1 \leq k \leq q$). Thus, by the Hurwitz's theorem, one of the following two assertions holds:

- i) $Q_k(P_0)(g_0(z), \dots, g_N(z)) = 0$ on \mathbb{C} , i.e. $g(\mathbb{C}) \subset H_k(P_0)$,

ii) $Q_k(P_0)(g_0(z), \dots, g_N(z)) \neq 0$ on \mathbb{C} , i.e. $g(\mathbb{C}) \cap H_k(P_0) = \emptyset$.

Denote by J the set of all indices $k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $g(\mathbb{C}) \subset H_k(P_0)$. Set $X = \bigcap_{k \in J} H_k(P_0)$ if $J \neq \emptyset$ and $X = \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ if $J = \emptyset$. Since \mathbb{C} is irreducible, there exists an irreducible component Z of X such that $g(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z - (\bigcup_{k \notin J} H_k(P_0))$. Since $P_0 \in U_{z_1}$, it implies that $\{H_k(P_0)\}_{k=1}^q$ are in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, meaning that

$$\text{for all } I \subset \{1, \dots, q\} \text{ with } \#I = N + 1, \bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0) = \emptyset. \quad (4.1)$$

Put $J^c := \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus J$ and $m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z$. We claim that for the hypersurfaces $\{H_k(P_0)\}_{k \in J^c}$ in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\#J^c \geq 2m + 1; \text{ for all } I \subset J^c \text{ with } \#I = m + 1, Z \cap \left(\bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0)\right) = \emptyset. \quad (4.2)$$

In fact, if $J = \emptyset$, so $X = \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, this holds since $q \geq 2N + 1$ and by (4.1). If $J \neq \emptyset$, the key observation is that by (4.1) and by Bézout's theorem we have

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq l \leq q, \text{ for all } I \subset \{1, \dots, q\} \text{ with } \#I = l, \bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0)$$

$$\text{is of pure dimension } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0) = \max\{N - l, -1\} \quad (4.3)$$

(in particular all irreducible components of $\bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0)$ are of the same dimension), where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\emptyset) = -1$. From that we first get

$$m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap_{k \in J} H_k(P_0) = \max\{N - \#J, -1\}.$$

Since $g(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$, so $m \geq 0$, we get

$$\#J = N - m. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence,

$$\#J^c = q - \#J \geq (2N + 1) - (N - m) = N + m + 1 \geq 2m + 1.$$

Moreover, if $I \subset J^c$ with $\#I = m + 1$, then by (4.4)

$$\#(I \cup J) = (m + 1) + (N - m) = N + 1$$

and

$$Z \cap \bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0) \subset \bigcap_{k \in J} H_k(P_0) \cap \bigcap_{k \in I} H_k(P_0) = \bigcap_{k \in I \cup J} H_k(P_0) = \emptyset,$$

where the last equality follows from (4.1). This proves (4.2) in the case $J \neq \emptyset$. By (4.2) and by [3, Corollary 1] of Eremenko (or by the more general [11, Theorem 7.3.4] of Noguchi-Winkelmann), we get that $Z - (\bigcup_{k \notin J} H_k(P_0))$ is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded, and hence g is constant. This is a contradiction.

Thus $\{f^{(p)}\}$ is a holomorphically normal family on U_{z_1} . By the usual diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence (again denoted by $\{f^{(p)}\}$) which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $(D - S) - E$ to a holomorphic mapping f of $(D - S) - E$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

By Lemma 3.7 a), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a meromorphically convergent subsequence (again denoted by $\{f^{(p)}\}$) on $D - S$ and again by Lemma 3.7 a), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a meromorphically convergent subsequence on D . Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Under the additional conditions of Theorem 1.2 by Lemma 3.7 b), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping of D to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not normal on D . Then, by Lemma 3.9, there exists a subsequence denoted by $\{f^{(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $z_0 \in D$, $\{z_p\}_{p=1}^\infty \subset D$ with $z_p \rightarrow z_0$, $\{r_p\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ with $r_p \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{u_p\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, which are unit vectors, such that $g^{(p)}(\xi) := f^{(p)}(z_p + r_p u_p \xi)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to a nonconstant holomorphic map g of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

By condition i) of the theorem and by Lemma 3.14 there exists a subsequence (which we denote again by $\{p\} \subset \mathbb{N}$) such that for $0 \leq i \leq N$, $P_i^{(p)} := P_i(f^{(p)})$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to P_i , and the $b_{ij}^{(p)} := b_{ij}(f^{(p)})$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to b_{ij} for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$ and that the $Q_j^{(p)} := Q_j(f^{(p)}) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij}^{(p)} P_i^{(p)}$ converge, for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, uniformly on compact subsets of D to $Q_j := \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij} P_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$, and that we have, for any fixed $z = z_0 \in D$,

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z) > \delta(z) > 0$$

(in particular the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (pointwise) general position). We finally recall that with writing both variables $z \in D$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, we thus have that

$$P_i^{(p)}(z)(\omega) \rightarrow P_i(z)(\omega); Q_j^{(p)}(z)(\omega) \rightarrow Q_j(z)(\omega); b_{ij}^{(p)}(z) \rightarrow b_{ij}(z)$$

uniformly on compact subsets in the variable $z \in D$.

For any fixed $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a ball $B(\xi_0, r_0)$ in \mathbb{C} and an index i such that $g(B(\xi_0, r_0)) \subset \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) : \omega_i \neq 0\}$. Without loss

of generality we may assume $i = 0$. Therefore, there exist admissible representations

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}^{(p)}(\xi) &= (1, g_1^{(p)}(\xi), \dots, g_N^{(p)}(\xi)) \\ \tilde{g}(\xi) &= (1, g_1(\xi), \dots, g_N(\xi))\end{aligned}$$

of $g^{(p)}$ and g on $B(\xi_0, r_0)$.

Because of the convergence of $\{g^{(p)}\}$ on $B(\xi_0, r_0)$, $\{g_i^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(\xi_0, r_0)$ to g_i for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. This implies that $Q_j^{(p)}(z_p + r_p u_p \xi)(\tilde{g}^{(p)}(\xi))$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to $Q_j(z_0)(\tilde{g}(\xi))$ and $P_i^{(p)}(z_p + r_p u_p \xi)(\tilde{g}^{(p)}(\xi))$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to $P_i(z_0)(\tilde{g}(\xi))$.

By Hurwitz's theorem, there exists a positive integer N_0 such that $Q_j^{(p)}(z_p + r_p u_p \xi)(\tilde{g}^{(p)}(\xi))$ and $Q_j(z_0)(\tilde{g}(\xi))$ have the same number of zeros with counting multiplicities on $B(\xi_0, r_0)$ for each $p \geq N_0$. Since the map $g^{(p)}$ of $B(\xi_0, r_0)$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ intersects $Q_j^{(p)}$ with multiplicity at least m_j , it implies that any zero ξ of $Q_j(z_0)(\tilde{g}(\xi))$ has multiplicity at least m_j . Hence, g intersects $Q_j(z_0)$ with multiplicity at least m_j for each $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Since we have that Q_1, \dots, Q_q are in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$ and

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z) > 0 \text{ for any } z \in D,$$

we have in particular that $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are in $\mathcal{S}(\{P_i(z_0)\}_{i=0}^N)$ and

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) > 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.13, g is a constant mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. This is a contradiction. \square

Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. Let $\{f^{(p)}\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic mappings in \mathcal{F} . We have to prove that after passing to a subsequence (which we denote again by $\{f^{(p)}\}$), the sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}$ converges meromorphically on D to a meromorphic mapping f . Moreover, under the stronger conditions of Theorem 1.5, we have to show that $\{f^{(p)}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping f .

By condition i) of the theorems and by Lemma 3.14 there exists a subsequence (which we denote again by $\{f^{(p)}\}$) such that for $0 \leq i \leq N$, $P_i^{(p)} := P_i(f^{(p)})$ are homogenous polynomials of the same degree d and converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to P_i , and the $b_{ij}^{(p)} := b_{ij}(f^{(p)})$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of D to b_{ij} for

all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$ and that the $Q_j^{(p)} := Q_j(f^{(p)}) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij}^{(p)} P_i^{(p)}$ converge, for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, uniformly on compact subsets of D to $Q_j := \sum_{i=0}^N b_{ij} P_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\{P_i\}_{i=0}^N)$, and that we have

$$D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z_0) > 0.$$

In particular, the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z_0), \dots, Q_q(z_0)$ are located in general position, and the moving hypersurfaces $Q_1(z), \dots, Q_q(z)$ are located in (weakly) general position.

By condition ii) of Theorem 1.4 and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and by condition iii) of the theorems and Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\{f^{(p)}\}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (f^{(p)})^{-1}(H_k^{(p)}) = S_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq N+1)$$

as a sequence of closed subsets of D , where S_k are either empty or pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic sets in D , and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\left\{ z \in \text{Supp } \nu(f^{(p)}, H_k^{(p)}) \mid \nu(f^{(p)}, H_k^{(p)})(z) < m_k \right\}} - S = S_k \quad (N+2 \leq k \leq q)$$

as a sequence of closed subsets of $D - S$, where S_k are either empty or pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic sets in $D - S$.

Let $T = (\dots, t_{kI}, \dots)$ ($1 \leq k \leq q$, $|I| = d$) be a family of variables. Set $\tilde{Q}_k = \sum_{|I|=d} t_{kI} \omega^I \in \mathbb{Z}[T, \omega]$ ($1 \leq k \leq q$). For each subset $L \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$

with $|L| = n+1$, take \tilde{R}_L is the resultant of the \tilde{Q}_k ($k \in L$). Since $\{Q_k\}_{k \in L}$ are in (weakly) general position, $\tilde{R}_L(\dots, a_{kI}, \dots) \neq 0$ (where we put $a_{kI} = 0$ for $|I| \neq d$). We set

$$\tilde{S} := \left\{ z \in D \mid \tilde{R}_L(\dots, a_{kI}, \dots) = 0 \text{ for some } L \subset \{1, \dots, q\} \right. \\ \left. \text{with } |L| = n+1 \right\}.$$

Let $E = (\bigcup_{k=1}^q S_k \cup \tilde{S}) - S$. Then E is either empty or a pure $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytic set in $D - S$.

Fix any point z_1 in $(D - S) - E$. Choose a relatively compact neighborhood U_{z_1} of z_1 in $(D - S) - E$. Then $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\} \subset \text{Hol}(U_{z_1}, \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}))$. We now prove that the family $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ is a holomorphically normal family. For this it is sufficient to observe that the family $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ now satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1.3: In fact there exists N_0 such that

for $p \geq N_0$, $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ does not intersect $H_k^{(p)}$ for $1 \leq k \leq N+1$, and $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ intersects $H_k^{(p)}$ of order at least m_k for $N+2 \leq k \leq q$, and for all $z \in U_{z_1}$, we have $D(Q_1, \dots, Q_q)(z) > 0$. So if we still put $m_k = \infty$ for $1 \leq k \leq N+1$, the conditions of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied, and so the family $\{f^{(p)}|_{U_{z_1}}\}$ is a holomorphically normal family. By the usual diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence (again denoted by $\{f^{(p)}\}$) which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $(D-S) - E$ to a holomorphic mapping f of $(D-S) - E$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$.

By Lemma 3.7 a), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a meromorphically convergent subsequence (again denoted by $\{f^{(p)}\}$) on $D-S$ and again by Lemma 3.7 a), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a meromorphically convergent subsequence on D . Then \mathcal{F} is a meromorphically normal family on D . The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed.

Under the additional conditions of Theorem 1.5 by Lemma 3.7 b), $\{f^{(p)}\}$ has a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of D to a holomorphic mapping of D to $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. This work was done during a stay of the authors at the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM). We would like to thank the staff there, in particular the partially support of VIASM.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aladro and S. G. Krantz, A criterion for normality in \mathbb{C}^n , *J. Math. Anal. and App.*, **161** (1991), 1-8.
- [2] E. M. Chirka, Complex Analytic Sets, *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)* **46**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1985.
- [3] A. Eremenko, A Picard type theorem for holomorphic curves, *Periodica Math. Hungarica*, **38** (1999), 39-42.
- [4] H. Fujimoto, On families of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **54** (1974), 21-51.
- [5] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, Extension and convergence theorems for families of normal maps in several complex variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125** (1997), 1675-1684.
- [6] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, Some classical theorems and families of normal maps in several complex variables, *Complex Variables*, **29** (1996), 343-362.
- [7] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.*, **97** (1957), 47-65.

- [8] P. N. Mai, D. D. Thai and P. N. T. Trang, Normal families of meromorphic mappings of several complex variables into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **180** (2005), 91-110.
- [9] J. Noguchi and T. Ochiai, Introduction to Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables, *Trans. Math. Monogr.* **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1990.
- [10] E. Nochka, On the theory of meromorphic functions, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **27** (1983), 377-381.
- [11] N. Noguchi and J. Winkelmann, Nevanlinna Theory in Several Complex Variables and Diophantine Approximation, Textbook, to appear.
- [12] Si Duc Quang and Tran Van Tan, Normal families of meromorphic mappings of several complex variables into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ for moving hypersurfaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **94** (2008), 97-110.
- [13] H. Rutishauser, Über die Folgen und Scharen von analytischen und meromorphen Funktionen mehrerer Variablen, sowie von analytischen Abbildungen, *Acta Math.*, **83** (1950), 249-325.
- [14] M. Ru and W. Stoll, The second main theorem for moving targets, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **1** (1991), 477-508.
- [15] M. Ru and W. Stoll, The Cartan conjecture for moving targets, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **52** (1991), 477-508.
- [16] W. Stoll, Normal families of non-negative divisors, *Math. Z.*, **84** (1964), 154-218.
- [17] W. Stoll, Value distribution theory for meromorphic maps, *Aspects of Mathematics* **E7**, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1985.
- [18] Do Duc Thai and Si Duc Quang, Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities of meromorphic mappings in several complex variables for moving targets, *Intern. J. Math.*, **16** (2005), 903-939.
- [19] Do Duc Thai and Si Duc Quang, Second main theorem with truncated counting function in several complex variables for moving targets, *Forum Mathematicum* **20** (2008), 145-179.
- [20] D. D. Thai, P. N. T. Trang and P. D. Huong, Families of normal maps in several complex variables and hyperbolicity of complex spaces, *Complex Variables*, **48** (2003), 469-482.
- [21] Z. Tu, Normality criterions for families of holomorphic mappings of several complex variables into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127** (1999), 1039-1049.
- [22] Z. Tu, On meromorphically normal families of meromorphic mappings of several complex variables into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, *J. Math. Anal. and App.*, **267** (2002), 1-19.
- [23] Z. Tu and P. Li, Normal families of meromorphic mappings of several complex variables into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ for moving targets, *Sci. China Ser. A Math.*, **48** (2005), 355-364.
- [24] L. Zalcman, Normal families : New perspectives, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **35** (1998), 215-230.

Gerd Dethloff^{1,2}

¹ *Université Européenne de Bretagne, France*

² *Université de Brest*

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Bretagne Atlantique - UMR CNRS 6205
6, avenue Le Gorgeu, C.S. 93837
29238 Brest Cedex 3, France
email: gerd.dethloff@univ-brest.fr*

*Do Duc Thai and Pham Nguyen Thu Trang
Department of Mathematics
Hanoi National University of Education
136 XuanThuy str., Hanoi, Vietnam
emails: ducthai.do@gmail.com; pnttrangsp@yahoo.com*