

V_{ud} from neutron beta decay

Oliver Zimmer
 Institut Laue Langevin, 38042 Grenoble, France

November 9, 2018

Abstract

The experimental determination of V_{ud} from neutron beta decay requires accurate values of the neutron lifetime and the ratio of the weak axial-vector to vector coupling constants of the nucleon. The latter is derived from measurements of angular correlation coefficients in the differential decay probability, such as the beta asymmetry parameter A , the neutrino-electron angular correlation coefficient a , or the parameter C of the proton asymmetry. As a probe free from nuclear structure corrections the decay of the free neutron has the potential to provide the most accurate value of V_{ud} . Towards that end however, the experimental sensitivity still needs to be further improved to become competitive with superallowed nuclear beta decays. This contribution briefly reviews the current status of those neutron decay studies relevant for the determination of V_{ud} .

Proceedings of CKM 2012, the 7th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle, University of Cincinnati, USA, 28 September - 2 October 2012

The semi-leptonic beta decay of the free neutron is a mixed transition with exactly known Fermi and Gamow-Teller matrix elements given by Clebsch Gordan coefficients [1, 2], and with negligible isospin symmetry breaking effects in the neutron-to-proton vector current transition matrix element [3]. As from superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear beta decays [4], nuclear mirror transitions [5] and pion beta decay [6], V_{ud} is determined from the weak vector coupling constant of the nucleon, $g_V = V_{ud}G_F$, with the Fermi coupling constant G_F determined from the muon lifetime [7]. In the standard $V - A$ theoretical description of neutron decay the axial-vector current contributes with an empirical coupling constant g_A , so that two observables are needed to access V_{ud} . These are the neutron lifetime,

$$\tau_n^{-1} \propto g_V^2 + 3g_A^2, \quad (1)$$

and a coefficient appearing in the differential decay probability expressed in terms of various angular correlations [8]. Highest experimental sensitivity has been achieved for the beta asymmetry coefficient A , which within the standard model with negligible time reversal invariance violation is given by

$$A = -2 \frac{\lambda + \lambda^2}{1 + 3\lambda^2}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{g_A}{g_V}. \quad (3)$$

V_{ud} is derived from the following expression given by Marciano and Sirlin,

$$|V_{ud}|^2 = \frac{4908.7 (1.9) \text{ s}}{\tau_n (1 + 3\lambda^2)}, \quad (4)$$

where the numerical value quoted in parentheses represents the uncertainty estimate of their calculation of the radiative correction Δ_R accounting for short-distance loop effects [9]. The theoretical knowledge of Δ_R ultimately limits the accuracy to currently $\delta|V_{ud}| = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$. While the experimental precision of superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear beta decay studies has been pushed beyond this limitation [4, 10, 11], in neutron decay studies there is still large room for improvement. It is reasonable to request that the contributions from τ_n and λ to the total error of V_{ud} should be made smaller than that due to Δ_R . This defines the goal that τ_n and λ need to be measured with accuracies of at least $\delta\tau_n \leq 0.34$ s and $\delta\lambda \leq 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

The experimental situation of the neutron lifetime has been particularly unsatisfactory. Until 2005, the world average of measured values looked fine, 885.7 ± 0.8 s with a reduced $\chi^2 = 0.76$ [12]. In 2005, Serebrov et al. published a new result of 878.5 ± 0.8 s, disagreeing by 6.5 standard deviations [13, 14]. The Particle Data Group (PDG) [15] said: “The most recent result, that of Serebrov 05, is so far from other results that it makes no sense to include it in the average. It is up to workers in this field to resolve this issue”. In the meantime, a new result of 880.7 ± 1.8 s became published [16], and also a still unpublished result of a magnetic trapping experiment [17] seems to support a lower lifetime value than the one accepted before 2005. A closer look on the situation before 2005 shows that the world average value was dominated by a single experiment with a quoted result of $885.4 \pm 0.9_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.4_{\text{syst}}$ s [18]. After publication of a Monte Carlo analysis of that experiment [19], the authors scrutinized their procedures and recently published a corrected, lower neutron lifetime value of $881.6 \pm 0.8_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.9_{\text{syst}}$ s [20]. With these changes included, the most recent PDG average value is now 880.1 ± 1.1 s [21]. However, a scale factor of $S = 1.8$ applied by the PDG indicates that systematic uncertainties were not properly taken into account in all experiments.

The experiments with strongest impact on the world average value were performed using storage of ultracold neutrons (UCN) in material bottles. There, the main challenge is to cope in a reliable manner with UCN losses during wall collisions. A generally adopted strategy employs a variation of trap size and/or mean UCN velocity in order to repeat the trapping experiment with different mean times t_f of free flight between wall collisions. The neutron lifetime value is obtained from an extrapolation of measured UCN storage time constants to $t_f^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. While several experiments involved extrapolations by more than 100 seconds, in the experiment [13] the closest data point was off by only $\Delta t \approx 5$ s, thanks to small UCN losses of vessel walls coated with a fluorinated oil, liquid at low-temperature. Storage time constants almost as good were previously measured by the same group in a lifetime experiment using solid oxygen as wall material [22]. However, the fact that its result of 888.4 ± 3.1 s is more than three standard deviations off the new result illustrates that, despite small corrections, at least in one of these experiments errors were estimated too optimistically. Also the result $886.3 \pm 1.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.2_{\text{syst}}$ s [23] of the only still competitive neutron lifetime experiment using a cold beam instead of UCN trapping is in disagreement but will soon take data with an improved method to determine the fluence of the beam. Magnetic trapping offers a route to determine the neutron lifetime free from uncertainties associated with interactions of UCN with material walls. Experiments well under way are [17, 24, 25], and several new projects were begun around the world [26, 27, 28, 29].

Like the neutron lifetime, also the neutron beta asymmetry was measured many times by several independent groups (see, e.g. the reviews [1, 2]). While in earlier measurements corrections on the raw asymmetry in the order of 15 – 30% had to be applied for neutron polarisation, magnetic mirror effects, solid angle and backgrounds, experimental techniques have strongly been refined in the meantime, so that in latest measurements of the PERKEO collaboration instrumental corrections stayed at the level of experimental uncertainties. As an example of the progress made, the last experiment on A with the spectrometer PERKEO II was performed with a neutron beam polarisation of 99.7(1)% [30], using now well established techniques of neutron polarisation and polarimetry [31, 32, 33, 34].

The latest value for λ compiled by the PDG is $\lambda = -1.2701 \pm 0.0025$ ($S = 1.9$) [21]. Not yet included is a new result from PERKEO II [30], $\lambda = -1.2755 \pm 0.0013$. The determination of $\lambda = -1.27590^{+0.00409}_{-0.00445}$ with UCNA [35], the only experiment on A performed with ultracold neutrons and described in impressive detail in [36], is in agreement with this result, and work to further reduce uncertainties is ongoing. An accuracy of $\delta\lambda = \pm 0.00067$ has been announced for a recent new measurement of A with the new PERKEO III spectrometer [37, 38] for which a blind analysis is underway.

Complementary to the beta asymmetry A , neutron decay offers further observables sensitive to the ratio λ . Within the standard $V - A$ theory the neutrino-electron angular correlation coefficient a is given by

$$a = \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + 3\lambda^2}.$$

Two prior measurements of a [39, 40] contribute only weakly to the global PDG value for λ but new experiments are currently taking data, using the spectrometers a SPECT [41, 42, 43, 44] and a CORN [45]. The proton asymmetry, for which recoil order, Coulomb and model-independent order- α radiative corrections were calculated by Glueck [46], depends on λ as

$$C = 0.27484 \frac{4\lambda}{1 + 3\lambda^2}.$$

It has so far been measured only a single time [47] and will be measured soon again with PERKEO III and a SPECT and is also in the focus of the PANDA proposal [48].

Marciano and Sirlin stated in 2006: "Future precision measurements of τ_n and λ (...) will ultimately be the best way to determine V_{ud} , but for now it is not competitive". Today this statement is still valid but there is visible progress and several ambitious projects are in the pipeline. The present generation of existing neutron decay spectrometers should lead to accuracies close to the goal set by present theory limitations, and projected new spectrometers such as PERC [49] and Nab [50] have the potential to go well beyond.

References

- [1] D. Dubbers and M.G. Schmidt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83** (2011) 1111.
- [2] H. Abele, Prog. Nucl. Phys. **60** (2008) 1.
- [3] N. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. C **64** (2001) 028201.
- [4] D. Melconian, Proceedings to this workshop.
- [5] O. Naviliat-Cuncic, Proceedings to this workshop.
- [6] D. Pocanic et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 181803.
- [7] T. Gorrings, Proceedings to this workshop.
- [8] J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman, H.W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. **106** (1957) 517.
- [9] W.J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 032002.
- [10] J.C. Hardy and I.S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C **79** (2009) 055502.
- [11] I.S. Towner and J.C. Hardy, Rep. Prog. Phys. **73** (2010) 046301.
- [12] N. Severijns, M. Beck, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78** (2006) 991.

- [13] A. Serebrov et al., Phys Lett. B **605** (2005) 72.
- [14] A.P. Serebrov et al., Phys. Rev. C **78** (2008) 035505.
- [15] C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667** (2008) 1.
- [16] A. Pichlmaier, V. Varlamov, K. Schreckenbach, P. Geltenbort, Phys. Lett. B **693** (2010) 221.
- [17] V.F. Ezhov et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 167.
- [18] S. Arzumanov et al., Phys. Lett. B **483** (2000) 15.
- [19] A.P. Serebrov, A.K. Fomin, arXiv:0808.3975 (2008).
- [20] S.S. Arzumanov et al., Analysis and correction of the measurement of the neutron lifetime, Pis'ma v Zh. E. T. Fiz. **95** (2012) 248, JETP Lett. **95** (2012) 224.
- [21] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 010001.
- [22] V. Nesvizhevsky, A. Serebrov et al., JETP **75** (1992) 405.
- [23] J.S. Nico et al., Phys. Rev. C **71** (2005) 055502.
- [24] P.R. Huffman, C.R. Brome, J.S. Butterworth, K.J. Coakley et al., Nature **403** (2000) 62.
- [25] C.M. Shaughnessy et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 171.
- [26] P.L. Walstrom et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **599** (2009) 82.
- [27] K.K.H. Leung and O. Zimmer, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 181.
- [28] O. Zimmer, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **26** (2000) 67.
- [29] S. Materne et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 176.
- [30] D. Mund et al., arXiv:1204.0013 (2012).
- [31] C. Klauser et al., Phys. Conf. Ser. **340** (2012) 012011.
- [32] M. Kreuz et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **547** (2005) 583.
- [33] O. Zimmer, Phys. Lett. B **461** (1999) 307.
- [34] O. Zimmer et al., Phys. Lett. B **455** (1999) 62.
- [35] J. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 181803.
- [36] B. Plaster et al., arXiv:1207.5887 (2012).
- [37] B. Maerkisch et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 216.
- [38] B. Maerkisch, private communication.
- [39] C. Stratowa et al., Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 3910.
- [40] J. Byrne et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **28** (2002) 1325.
- [41] O. Zimmer et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **440** (2000) 548.

- [42] F. Glueck et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **23** (2005) 135.
- [43] M. Simson et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 203.
- [44] G. Konrad et al., Nucl. Phys. A **827** (2009) 529.
- [45] F.E. Wietfeldt et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 207.
- [46] F. Glueck, Phys. Lett. B **376** (1996) 25.
- [47] M. Schumann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 151801.
- [48] T. Chupp et al., PANDA@SNS proposal, <http://research.physics.lsa.umich.edu/chupp/>.
- [49] D. Dubbers et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **596** (2008) 238.
- [50] D. Pocanic et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **611** (2009) 211.