

Disorder Line and Incommensurate Floating Phases in the Quantum Ising Model on an Anisotropic Triangular Lattice

V.I. Iglovikov, R.T. Scalettar, and R.R.P. Singh

Physics Department, University of California, Davis, California 95616, USA

J. Oitmaa

School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

We present a Quantum Monte Carlo study of the Ising model in a transverse field on a square lattice with nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic exchange interaction J and one diagonal second-neighbor interaction J' , interpolating between square-lattice ($J' = 0$) and triangular-lattice ($J' = J$) limits. At a transverse-field of $B_x = J$, the disorder-line first introduced by Stephenson, where the correlations go from Neel to incommensurate, meets the zero temperature axis at $J' \approx 0.7J$. Strong evidence is provided that the incommensurate phase at larger J' , at finite temperatures, is a floating phase with power-law decaying correlations. We sketch a general phase-diagram for such a system and discuss how our work connects with the previous Quantum Monte Carlo work by Isakov and Moessner for the isotropic triangular lattice ($J' = J$). For the isotropic triangular-lattice, we also obtain the entropy function and constant entropy contours using a mix of Quantum Monte Carlo, high-temperature series expansions and high-field expansion methods and show that phase transitions in the model in presence of a transverse field occur at very low entropy.

PACS numbers: 05.10.-a, 05.30.Rt, 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Mg,

I. INTRODUCTION

The Ising model in a transverse magnetic field,

$$\hat{H} = + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z - B_x \sum_i \hat{S}_i^x, \quad (1)$$

illustrates a variety of interesting statistical mechanics behaviors in part because of the simplicity of its mapping to an equivalent classical problem in one higher dimension¹⁻⁶. In the case when the exchange coupling $J_{ij} < 0$ is ferromagnetic, the model exhibits a quantum phase transition: increasing B_x causes the emergence of a paramagnetic phase at $T = 0$. On the other hand, on a triangular lattice when $J_{ij} > 0$ is antiferromagnetic, B_x can have the opposite effect and cause order to occur by removing⁷ the large ground state degeneracy ($s(T = 0) \approx 0.32$) present in the zero field case⁸. The antiferromagnetic transverse field model on the isotropic triangular lattice was studied by Isakov and Moessner⁹ using Quantum Monte Carlo simulations, who concluded that there are three different phases: a paramagnet and two distinct ordered phases distinguished by the relative dominance of quantum or thermal fluctuations.

Experimental motivation for the study of the transverse field Ising model dates back to deGennes¹⁰, who considered the ferroelectric KH_2PO_4 in which a double well structure of the proton position corresponds to the Ising variable, and the transverse field represents inter-well tunneling¹¹. Much more recently, transverse field Ising models have also begun to be realized experimentally in cold atom systems and this provides the immediate motivation for our work. The Maryland group¹² has assembled small, highly-connected clusters of trapped ¹⁷¹Yb⁺ ions, and demonstrated a sharp

crossover from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic behavior as the Ising coupling is scaled up relative to the transverse field. Similarly, the Bollinger group at NIST¹³ is exploring larger collections of up to hundreds of Be ions in a Penning trap in triangular geometries with a spin-dependent optical dipole force with an adjustable power law decay. Transverse field Ising models with dipolar interactions have also been considered¹⁴ in the context of solid state systems such as the glassy low temperature properties of $\text{LiHo}_x\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{F}_4$.¹⁵

In this paper we calculate the thermodynamics and phase transitions in several specific instances of the transverse field Ising model in two spatial dimensions, using a combination of high temperature and high field series expansions^{16,17} and continuous time Quantum Monte Carlo (CTQMC) approaches^{5,9,18}. Our motivations are twofold. First, in light of the developments in cold-atom systems, we study the entropy function of the triangular lattice model with ferromagnetic and then antiferromagnetic couplings. In both cases CTQMC results are compared with high temperature and high field expansions. When $J > 0$, a key conclusion is that the ordered phases which are induced by $B_x \neq 0$ occur at very low entropy per particle s . For these transitions to be accessible experimentally, $s \lesssim 0.1 k_B$ will typically be required. Longer range couplings, present in the experimental systems described above, are shown not to dramatically alter the isentropes. In particular, ordered phases, if present, will still occur only at rather low entropy.

Our second motivation is to understand how the Ising-like Neel transition in an unfrustrated system gives way to incommensurate order and Kosterlitz-Thouless type behavior as frustrating further neighbor interactions are added. For this, we consider a square

lattice model with nearest neighbor interaction J and an antiferromagnetic next-nearest-neighbor interaction J' along one diagonal, which then interpolates between the square and triangular lattice limits. There have been many previous studies of Heisenberg and Hubbard models in this geometry¹⁹, but we are not aware of any previous work on the quantum Ising model except in the weakly frustrated case²⁰. Indeed, this model raises several challenging questions for any numerical study, but also, as we shall demonstrate, some interesting and novel physics.

For the classical Ising model ($B_x = 0$) it is known^{21,22} that there is a conventional second order phase transition for $J' < J$, but that T_c vanishes for $J' \geq J$. As $J' \rightarrow J$, the critical temperature vanishes as $T_c \sim 2(J - J')/\ln 2$. The order in the low temperature phase remains commensurate in the two-sublattice pattern for all $J'/J < 1$. Stephenson introduced a disorder line in the T/J , J'/J parameter space, where the short-range order in the system moves away from (π, π) . Above $J'/J = 0.6$, the disorder line comes very close to the phase transition line, but the phase transition remains pinned to the (π, π) state. We would like to understand the fate of the disorder line in presence of the transverse-field B_x and see if there is a resulting incommensurate order of the Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) type as would be expected for a system with an emergent phase variable with XY symmetry as discussed by Bak and Villain²³.

In the triangular-lattice limit studied by Isakov and Moessner, the order is locked to a commensurate 3-sublattice pattern. This gives rise to 6th order anisotropies for the emergent phase variable. Although such an anisotropy is irrelevant at the finite temperature phase transition from the paramagnetic phase, thus giving rise to a KT transition with a power-law phase, it ultimately succeeds in driving the system to long-range order, hence their conclusion of 3 different phases in the model. In the $T = 0$ limit, our model is equivalent to a 3-dimensional system and therefore can have a Lifshitz point and a true incommensurate long-range ordered phase.²³ However, there can not be such a long-range ordered phase at finite temperatures. We have not studied the very low temperature limit of our model, where the system may, for some ranges of J'/J , lock in to different commensurate phases, thus giving rise to long-range order which could extend to some finite but very low temperatures. Our system has some similarities with the well studied ANNNI models²⁴ as far as the onset of incommensurate order is concerned. However, one key difference from the ANNNI models is that in the latter one particular direction is singled out by the interactions and becomes the direction in which incommensurate order and various commensurate lock-ins occur. In contrast, in our model incommensurate wave vectors and commensurate phases can have a much more two-dimensional character.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we summarize our three calculational approaches,

Quantum Monte Carlo and high temperature and high field expansions. In Sec. III we discuss the square to triangular interpolation and the nature of the phases and phase transitions. Although this is the second of the motivations presented above, we discuss it first, since it illustrates some of the rich physics introduced by frustrating interactions. We defer to Sec. IV the thermodynamics of the triangular lattice antiferromagnetic Ising model of relevance to optical lattice experiments. Sec. V summarizes our results.

II. CALCULATIONAL APPROACHES

A. Quantum Monte Carlo Method

We employ the CTQMC algorithm described in [9]. In this method, we use the Suzuki-Trotter formalism to map a 2D quantum Hamiltonian, which is on a triangular lattice, onto the 3D classical Ising model on a stacked triangular lattice. The number of layers L_τ in the extra dimension is $L_\tau = \beta/\epsilon$, where β represents the inverse temperature and ϵ the discretization step. The mapping becomes exact as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the number of layers becomes infinite and computationally much less intractable. However, an alternate view as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is to think of consecutive spins, which have the same value along the extra dimension, as parts of continuous segments rather than living on individual, discrete lattice points. We treat these segments as our dynamical objects in the simulation. This approach makes the simulation algorithm non-local, memory efficient and allows one to work explicitly in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit.^{9,26}

Measured quantities include the real space spin correlations $C(\mathbf{r})$,

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+\mathbf{r}}^z \rangle, \quad (2)$$

and their momentum space counterparts, the magnetic structure factor $S(\mathbf{q})$,

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} C(\mathbf{r}). \quad (3)$$

We also extract the Binder ratio,

$$U_L = 1 - \frac{\langle M^4 \rangle}{3\langle M^2 \rangle^2} \quad (4)$$

where M^2 is the value of the structure factor at the ordering wave vector.

Entropy s is measured by numerical integration of the internal energy $\langle E \rangle$

$$s(T) = \ln 2 + \frac{\langle E(T) \rangle}{T} - \int_T^\infty dT' \frac{\langle E(T') \rangle}{T'^2} \quad (5)$$

We have checked that our algorithm leads to data in complete agreement with exact diagonalisation results




FIG. 1: (Color online) Binder crossing for $J'/J = 0.5$ at $B_x/J = 1$. For $J'/J=0$ we have the square lattice $T_c/J = 2.269$. Here the critical temperature has been suppressed by a factor of two by the frustration introduced by the next-near neighbor interaction J' and by the quantum fluctuations due to B_x .

on the 3×3 lattice, and other limiting cases, for which analytic solutions are possible.

We imposed periodic boundary conditions and did simulations for different lattice sizes L and different sets of the magnetic field B_x and the coupling constant J_{ij} . For each simulation, we estimated the autocorrelation time τ and performed 5000τ Monte Carlo sweeps for thermalisation and $10^5\tau$ to carry out the measurements. Results for the ferromagnetic case were on 30×30 lattices, and for the antiferromagnetic case on 27×27 lattices, unless otherwise stated.

B. High Temperature Series Expansions

High temperature series expansions are based on a Taylor series expansion for the Boltzmann factor

$$\exp(-\beta\hat{H}) = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \hat{H}^n \quad (6)$$

The trace of $\hat{H}^n \hat{O}$, where \hat{O} is some local operator involves only a finite number of sites and hence can be evaluated, up to some order, by a straightforward though cumbersome method. A particularly efficient way of calculating high order series expansions for various extensive and intensive properties of the model in powers of β is the Linked Cluster Method. The details of the technique can be found in the literature.^{16,17} We have used this approach to obtain thermodynamic properties of the model for the triangular-lattice transverse-field Ising model with nearest neighbor and second neighbor interactions.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Evolution of the static structure factor with increasing J'/J (ie as the triangular lattice is approached) for $B_x = 1, T = 1$. For $J'/J < 0.7$ the structure factor is peaked at the AF wavevector $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$. The beginning of a shift away from this value is evident at $J'/J = 0.7$ and completely unambiguous at $J'/J = 1.0$, where the peak is at the expected triangular lattice value $(2\pi/3, 2\pi/3)$.

C. High Field Expansions

Since the field term of the Hamiltonian is exactly soluble, one can also develop a series expansion in powers of J/B_x for the ground state properties of the model. We have not done such a high order expansion at $T = 0$. However, we have used finite temperature, high field expansions to order $(J/B_x)^2$, to calculate entropy and other thermodynamic properties. These are useful in determining the isentropic contours at low temperatures and high fields.

III. SQUARE TO TRIANGULAR LATTICE INTERPOLATION

In the introduction we briefly reviewed the physics of the square to triangular lattice interpolation of the classical antiferromagnetic Ising model. In this section, we generalize this problem to the case when a transverse field B_x is included, and connect to what is known about the problem with $B_x \neq 0$ in the triangular and square lattice limits. We consider a square lattice geometry with near-neighbor coupling J and with an additional next-near-neighbor interaction J' across one of the diagonals of




FIG. 4: (Color online) Correlation function $C(r)$ as a function of r for several temperatures and transverse field $B_x/J = 1$. Upper Panel: $J'/J = 0.8$: The evolution of $C(r)$ with r exhibits a clear qualitative difference for $T/J \leq 0.4$ and $T/J \geq 0.6$, and is consistent with power law decay in the lower temperature range, and an exponential decay at the higher temperatures. $C(\mathbf{r}) \sim r^{-1/4}$ (with $r = |\mathbf{r}|$) at $T/J \approx 0.4$ which is the expected universal power law value at the Kosterlitz-Thouless critical temperature. The oscillations in $C(\mathbf{r})$ are associated with the fact the structure factor peak is not at $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$. Lower Panel: $J'/J = 1.0$: Same as upper panel except in the triangular lattice limit. Oscillations are now at wavevector $\mathbf{q} = (2\pi/3, 2\pi/3)$.

contribution which is a lattice size independent constant at high temperatures owing to the exponential fall-off of $C(\mathbf{r})$.

IV. ISENTROPES ON THE NEAREST NEIGHBOR TRIANGULAR LATTICE

A. Ferromagnetic Case

We begin by showing in Fig. 6(a) the entropy function for the ferromagnetic nearest-neighbor Ising model as a function of temperature and three values of transverse




FIG. 5: (Color online) Below T_{KT} the structure factor decays as a power law with the lattice size, giving a straight line on a log-log plot, as shown occurs here for $T = 0.2$ and $T = 0.4$ at $J'/J = 0.8$ and $B_x = 1.0$. At higher $T = 0.6$ the slope simply reflects the lattice normalization in Eq. 3.

field. In this section, we will let J denote the magnitude of the nearest-neighbor interaction. Fig. 6(b) shows the entropy function as a function of B_x/J at four fixed temperature values. In both cases the symbols represent the results of the QMC simulations, while the solid lines give the high temperature series expansion results. The heat capacity as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 7 for the same B_x as the entropy plot. One can see a dramatic suppression in the specific heat peak as the quantum critical point $B_{xc} = 4/67$ is approached. In the thermodynamic limit, this must imply a sharp reduction in the amplitude for the specific heat divergence caused by the substantial loss of entropy before the transition to long-range order occurs.^{28,29}

In Fig. 8 we show the phase diagram for the model together with the isentropic contours. While there is a very healthy amount of entropy in the system at the transition in small transverse fields (it is approximately 46 percent of the total entropy), when B_x/J exceeds 4 the entropy at the transition is very small- less than 10 percent of the total entropy. The quantum critical point is known from previous studies to be at $B_x/J = 4.67$.^{25,26} We found that, as the quantum critical point is approached and the transition temperature T_c goes to zero, the entropy along the transition vanishes as T_c^2 . This is consistent with general scaling arguments.²⁷ The appearance of minima in the isentropes near the phase transition line has been seen in a number of other classical and quantum models³⁰.




FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) Entropy s versus temperature T for several fixed values of the transverse field B_x . (b) Entropy s versus transverse field B_x for several fixed values of the temperature T . Squares (circles) are the results of CTQMC simulations on 27×27 lattice. Solid curves are series expansions.

B. Antiferromagnetic nearest-neighbor triangular lattice model

We now turn to the antiferromagnetic nearest-neighbor Ising model on the triangular lattice in a transverse field. The entropy as a function of temperature at fixed B_x and as a function of B_x at fixed temperatures are shown in Fig. 9. The heat capacity for a few selected B_x values as a function of temperatures is shown in Fig. 10. Note that, in this case, the peak in the heat capacity is associated with short-range order. Any long range order occurs only at much lower temperatures.

Fig. 11 shows the phase diagram and isentropes of the antiferromagnetic model. Here, the phase boundaries showing two transitions as a function of temperature are taken from the work of Isakov and Moessner. Note that the triangular lattice Ising model has a substantial ground state entropy, but that must be removed at $T = 0$ with quantum fluctuations. This means that all contours of constant entropy between the values of 0.320 and zero must originate from the $T = 0, B_x = 0$ point. That singular limit is difficult to approach numerically.

We see that a magnetic field of B_x/J slightly less than unity leads to the largest transition temperature. But, at the transition the entropy is rather small- only about




FIG. 7: (Color online) Specific heat $C(T)$ for the ferromagnetic nearest-neighbor model. The quantum fluctuations introduced by the transverse field B_x reduce T_c from the classical triangular lattice $T_c = 3.64$ until, for sufficiently large B_x , order no longer occurs at any finite T .




FIG. 8: (Color online) Phase diagrams and isentropes of the ferromagnetic triangular lattice model in the temperature (T/J) vs magnetic field (B_x/J) plane. Isentropes obtained from CTQMC on a 27×27 lattice and high temperature expansions. Phase boundary is obtained from the Binder cumulant.

one tenth of the total entropy in the system. This shows that these phase transitions involve a very small fraction of the states and may not be easy to get to.

C. Effect of Longer Range Interactions

In the NIST experiments¹³, spin-1/2 Be ions in a Penning trap interact with long range interactions which fall off with a tunable power law $J_{ij} \propto 1/r_{ij}^a$ with $0 < a < 3$. This range of functional forms allows quantum simulations going from infinite range ($a = 0$) to Coulomb ($a = 1$), to dipole ($a = 3$). Because the interactions are




FIG. 9: (Color online) (a) Entropy s versus temperature T for several fixed values of the transverse field B_x . (b) Entropy s versus transverse field B_x for several fixed values of the temperature T . Squares (circles) are the results of CTQMC simulations on a 30x30 lattice. Solid curves are series expansions.

antiferromagnetic as well as long-range, the experiments correspond to ‘large-scale’ frustration, and, ultimately, it is hoped they will allow the realization of associated novel (eg. spin liquid) phases. In this section we will extend our numerical work on the thermodynamics of the nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic transverse field Ising model on a triangular lattice to include several realizations of longer ranged interactions. While we will not study systems with J_{ij} non-zero between all pairs, these simulations give first indications of the evolutions of the entropy in situations with large-scale frustration.

The isentropes with a non-zero next-nearest neighbors interaction J' are shown in Fig. 12. At low transverse fields this changes the isentropes as the tremendous ground state degeneracy of the nearest-neighbor Ising model is now lifted. However, at and above a transverse field of $B_x/J \approx 1$, the isentropes are very similar to the nearest-neighbor case and phase transitions would only occur at very low values of the entropy.




FIG. 10: (Color online) Specific heat $C(T)$ for the antiferromagnetic case. The peak in $C(T)$ is much broader than when $J < 0$, but shows a similar suppression towards $T = 0$ as B_x grows.




FIG. 11: (Color online) Phase diagram and isentropes of the near neighbor antiferromagnetic case obtained from CTQMC on the 30x30 lattice in the T/J vs B_x/J plane and high temperature expansions. Phase diagram was obtained by Isakov and Moessner.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have provided quantitative results for the thermodynamics and phase transitions of the Ising model in a transverse field, with an emphasis on frustration and the effect of longer range interactions. For the isotropic triangular-lattice model, we have studied the entropy function for both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic exchange interactions. Using Quantum Monte Carlo simulations together with high temperature expansions and high field expansions, we have obtained the contours of constant entropy in the temperature, transverse-field plane. Our main conclusion is that phase transitions in the frustrated antiferromagnetic model occur at very low entropy




FIG. 12: Isentropes of the antiferromagnetic case with non-zero next near neighbor interaction $J' = J/\sqrt{3}^{(1,4)}$. The change from Fig. 11 with $J' = 0$ is minimal. In particular, the key message is that the entropy values remain low in the region where phase transitions might occur.

compared to the unfrustrated case and these results are not substantially altered by adding weaker further neighbor exchange interactions.

We have presented a study of the quantum Ising model on the anisotropic triangular lattice that interpolates between the square and triangular lattice limits that yields new results for the phase diagram and thermodynamic properties. This model, with frustrating antiferromagnetic interactions, raises many subtle issues and presents a real challenge to any computational study. We first obtained the disorder line where the short-range order moves away from (π, π) . Unlike the $B_x = 0$ case studied by Stephenson, in presence of a transverse field, the ground state order does not stay at (π, π) all the way from the square-lattice ($J' = 0$) to the triangular-lattice ($J' = J$) limit. The disorder line crosses the phase transition line near $J'/J = 0.7$ and heads sharply towards $T = 0$. While it represents a crossover from short-range commensurate to short-range incommensurate order above this crossing, it presumably becomes a phase transition line separating long range commensurate order from power-law incommensurate

order. At $T = 0$, it should turn into a Lifshitz point marking the onset of incommensurate long-range order.

Once the system has incommensurate order, the Binder ratios no longer show size independent crossings and it is difficult to determine the transition temperature for the expected Kosterlitz-Thouless phase. Rather than study many J'/J values, we focused on $J'/J = 0.8$. We found that as the temperature was reduced there was a sharp change in the nature of spin-spin correlation functions between $T = 0.6J$ and $T = 0.2J$. The correlations are very small at large distances and consistent with exponential decay at $T = 0.6J$, whereas at $T = 0.2J$ they remain substantial at the largest distances accessible to our simulations and only a close inspection shows a power-law decay. A peak in the structure factor also moves with the size of the system making it difficult to precisely locate the transition temperature. At $T = 0.4J$, the correlation functions are consistent with a $r^{-1/4}$ decay, and hence we take this to be the transition temperature. At very low temperatures, the system may lock into other commensurate phases, as it does for the triangular-lattice case through higher order anisotropies, but those are beyond our simulation capabilities.

Cold atom experiments on the triangular lattice, transverse field Ising model are ongoing¹³. Our results provide quantitative data on the entropies required to reach possible phase transitions for different values of B_x . In addition to the connections of the present work to these experiments, and to triangular-square lattice interpolations of the Heisenberg model¹⁹, similar experimental studies are now being undertaken on itinerant electron magnetism as realized in Hubbard models³¹, as well as companion theoretical treatments³². The focus thus far has been on Dirac points on honeycomb lattices and topological features in the band structure. However, the behavior of spin correlations on such tunable lattices is one of the key goals of the next generation of experiments.

This work was supported by the University of California UCLAB program and by the NNSA under de-na0001842-0 and by the National Science Foundation grant number DMR-1004231.

¹ T.D. Schultz and D.C. Mattis, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 856 (1964).

² P. Pfeuty, Annals of Physics **57**, 79 (1970).

³ M. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46**, 1337 (1971); and Prog. Theor. Phys. **56**, 2454 (1976).

⁴ R.B. Stinchcombe, J. Phys. **C6**, 2459 (1973).

⁵ H.W.J. Blöte and Youjin Deng, Phys. Rev. **E66**, 066110 (2002).

⁶ S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (1999).

⁷ R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, and P. Chandra, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **84**, 4457 (2000).

⁸ G.H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **79**, 357 (1950).

⁹ S.V. Isakov and R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. **B68**, 104409 (2003).

¹⁰ P.G. de Gennes, Solid St. Comm. **1**, 132 (1963).

¹¹ Reference 4 contains an early list of systems related to the Ising model in a transverse field.

¹² E.E. Edwards, S. Korenblit, K. Kim, R. Islam, M.-S. Chang, J.K. Freericks, G.-D. Lin, L.-M. Duan, and C. Monroe, Phys. Rev. **B82**, 060412 (2010); K. Kim, S. Korenblit, R. Islam, E. E. Edwards, M.-S. Chang, C.

- Noh, H. Carmichael, G.-D.Lin, L.-M. Duan, C.-C. Joseph Wang, J.K. Freericks, and C. Monroe, *New J. Physics* **13**, 105003 (2011); and R. Islam, E. E. Edwards, K. Kim, S. Korenblit, C. Noh, H. Carmichael, G.-D.Lin, L.-M. Duan, C.-C. Joseph Wang, J. K. Freericks, and C. Monroe, *Nature Communications* **2**, 377 (2011).
- ¹³ J.W. Britton, B.C. Sawyer, A.C. Keith, C.-C. Joseph Wang, J.K. Freericks, H. Uys, M.J. Biercuk and J.J. Bollinger *Nature* **484**, 489 (2012).
- ¹⁴ S.M.A. Tabei, F. Vernay, and M.J.P. Gingras, *Phys. Rev.* **B77**, 014432 (2008); S.M.A. Tabei, M.J.P. Gingras, Y.-J. Kao, and T. Yavors'kii, *Phys. Rev.* **B78**, 184408 (2008).
- ¹⁵ For a recent comprehensive review see A. Dutta, U. Divakaran, D. Sen, B. K. Chakrabarti, T. F. Rosenbaum, G. Aeppli, *cond-mat:arXiv:1012.0653*.
- ¹⁶ J. Oitmaa, C. Hamer and W-H. Zheng, *Series Expansion Methods for strongly interacting lattice models*, (Cambridge University Press 2006).
- ¹⁷ M.P. Gelfand, and R.R.P. Singh, *High-order convergent expansions for quantum many particle systems*, *Advances in Physics*, **49** N1:93-140 (2000).
- ¹⁸ A. W. Sandvik, *Phys. Rev.* **E68**, 056701 (2003).
- ¹⁹ See for example, Zheng Weihong, Ross H. McKenzie, and Rajiv R. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev.* **B59**, 14367 (1999).
- ²⁰ W. Selke and L. N. Shchur, *Phys. Rev.* **E80**, 042104 (2009).
- ²¹ J. Stephenson, *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 1009 (1964); *ibid.* **11**, 420 (1970); *ibid.* **11**, 413 (1970).
- ²² T.P. Eggarter, *Phys. Rev.* **B12**, 1933 (1975).
- ²³ P. Bak, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **45**, 587 (1982).
- ²⁴ W. Selke, *Physics Reports* **170**, 213 (1988).
- ²⁵ J. Oitmaa, C. J. Hamer and Z. Weihong, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24**, 2863 (1991); H-X He, C. J. Hamer and J. Oitmaa, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23**, 1775 (1990).
- ²⁶ H. Reiger and N. Kawashima, *Eur. Phys. Jour.* **B9**, 233 (1999).
- ²⁷ L. Zhu, M. Garst, A. Rosch and Q. Si, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 066404 (2003).
- ²⁸ P. Sengupta, A. W. Sandvik and R. R. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev.* **B68**, 094423 (2003).
- ²⁹ J. Merino and R. H. McKenzie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 237002 (2001).
- ³⁰ J.D. Cone, A. Zujev and R.T. Scalettar, *Phys. Rev.* **B83**, 045108 (2011).
- ³¹ L. Tarruell, D. Greif, T. Uehlinger, G. Jotzu, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **483**, 10871 (2012).
- ³² N. Bluemer, C-C. Chang, and R.T. Scalettar, unpublished.