Motif Patterns and Coverings of Points with Unit Disks, Part I

Jeremy F. Alm^{*}, Nicholas Hommowun, Elizabeth Manary, and Aaron Schneider

> Department of Mathematics Illinois College 1101 W. College Ave. Jacksonville, IL 62650

> > August 23, 2021

Abstract

We consider a modification of Winkler's "dots and coins" problem, where we constrain the dots to lie on a square lattice in the plane. We construct packings of "coins" (closed unit disks) using motif patterns.

1 Winkler's problem

In his "Puzzled" column [3], Peter Winkler discusses the following problem:

What is the largest integer k such that any k points in the plane, no matter how they are arranged, can always be covered with disks with pairwise-disjoint interior having radius 1?

^{*}Corresponding author

Winkler states [4] that there exists a constructive proof that gives a covering for any set of 12 points. In [2], the authors give a configuration of 53 points on a triangular lattice that cannot be covered with unit disks. Hence $12 \le k < 53$. This is a challenging problem, one that is likely to generate some interesting mathematics.

We might consider modifying the problem by constraining the locations of the points in some way; for instance, they could be restricted to lying on a square or triangular lattice. In this essay, we take up the question of covering the points of the square lattice:

For which d > 0 is it possible to cover all the points of the square lattice with inter-point distance d (i.e., $(d\mathbb{Z}) \times (d\mathbb{Z})$) with disks with pairwise-disjoint interior having radius 1?

Call this lattice L_d . The principal result of this essay is the following:

Theorem 1. For all $d \in \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{13}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \bigcup \left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{26}}, \infty\right)$, L_d can be covered with unit disks with pairwise-disjoint interior.

Note: unlike in [2], we consider *closed* disks of unit radius.

0	0	٥	o	0	o	0	o	0	o	0	٥
٥	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	٥
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	٥
o	o	0	o	0	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	۰	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
o	o	0	0	0	o	o	0	o	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	0	0	o	o	٥	0	o	o
o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
o	o	o	o	0	o	o	o	o	o	o	o

Figure 1: L_d

2 Definitions, Theorems, and a Method

It is easy to see that if $d \ge 2$, we can cover all the points of L_d by giving each point its own disk. What about d < 2?

Consider $d = \sqrt{2}$: a circle with unit radius circumscribes a square with side length $\sqrt{2}$, so we can cover all the points, four at a time, with unit disks:



Figure 2: A covering of $L_{\sqrt{2}}$

It is not hard to see that this same strategy will work for smaller values of d; the only thing we need to worry about is making sure the disks do not overlap. In fact, this configuration works for all $1 \le d \le \sqrt{2}$. This line of reasoning will be made more rigorous in Theorem 4.

Let's try to extract the essential features of the previous example:

- a finite number of points were selected;
- all of those points were within one unit of the "center";
- distinct "centers" were at least two units apart.

We codify this method in the form of a theorem. First we need a few definitions. The first is from [1].

Definition 2. A motif is a non-empty plane set. A motif pattern \mathcal{P} with motif M is a non-empty family $\{M_i : i \in I\}$ such that

- (i.) $\forall i, M_i \text{ is congruent to } M;$
- (ii.) $\forall i \neq j, \ M_i \cap M_j = \emptyset;$
- (iii.) $\forall i, j$, there exists an isometry of the plane mapping \mathcal{P} onto itself and M_i onto M_j .



Figure 3: A Motif Pattern

Definition 3. Let \mathcal{P} be a motif pattern with motif M. Let p be a point on M, called the **center**, and let p_i be the corresponding (center) point on M_i . Define

$$\alpha = \min\{d(p_i, p_j) : i \neq j\}$$

and

 $\beta = \max\{d(p, x) : x \in M\}.$

Then the motif pattern \mathcal{P} is **admissible** if $2\beta \leq \alpha$.

We consider only closed and bounded motif patterns. See Figure 4 for an illustration of an admissible motif pattern with β indicated, as well as two candidates for α . The following theorem is our "workhorse":



Figure 4: An Admissible Motif Pattern with Centers Shown

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{P} be an admissible motif pattern. Suppose that $L_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, i.e. \mathcal{P} covers all the points of L_1 . Then L_d can be covered by unit disks with pairwise-disjoint interior for all $d \in [2/\alpha, 1/\beta]$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be an admissible motif pattern with motif M that covers every point on L_1 . Let $d \in [2/\alpha, 1/\beta]$. Place a disk D_i of radius $\frac{1}{d}$ at the center p_i of each copy M_i of M. We have, by assumption, that

$$L_1 \subset \bigcup_i M_i.$$

Since $\frac{1}{d} \ge \beta$,

$$L_1 \subset \bigcup_i M_i \subseteq \bigcup_i D_i$$

Since $\frac{2}{d} \ge \alpha$, distinct disks D_i and D_j have disjoint interiors. Now dilate L_1 and each D_i by a factor of d. Then we have a covering of L_d by units disks, as desired.

3 Motif Patterns and Coverings of L_d

In light of the previous theorem, what remains to be done is to find admissible motif patterns. In Figures 5-11 below, several motif patterns are given. The centers of the motifs are not indicated, since they are right where you think they should be: at the centers of mass of the motifs.



Figure 6: A Motif Pattern for the Interval $\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$



Figure 7: A Motif Pattern for the Interval $\left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$





Figure 10: A Motif Pattern for the Interval $[1,\sqrt{2}]$



Figure 11: A Motif Pattern for the Interval $\left[\sqrt{2}, 2\right]$

4 Further Questions

There is an annoying gap between $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{4}{\sqrt{26}}$, of width approximately 0.077, that contains 3/4. All of the authors believe that the set of all d such that L_d can be covered with unit disks is an interval, but we have no proof; nor do we have a way of closing this gap (yet).

Problem 1. Is $L_{\frac{3}{4}}$ coverable?

There is also the question of a lower bound. In [2], the authors argue that for $d < 2(\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} - 1)$, L_d cannot be covered with disjoint unit disks. The present authors believe the true lower bound to be closer to 1/2.

Problem 2. Find a lower bound on

 $\{d > 0 : L_d \text{ can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior}\}.$

Naturally, we could also consider the triangular lattice.

Problem 3. Let T_d denote the triangular lattice with inter-point distance d. Determine for which d > 0 T_d can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior.

Now let us return to a question more in the spirit of the original problem.

Problem 4. What is the largest integer ℓ so that for all d > 0, any set of ℓ points on L_d can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior?

By Winkler's argument, $\ell \geq 12$. In [2], the authors note that their method, using the square lattice instead of the triangular lattice, gives a set of 102 points that cannot be covered. So $12 \leq \ell < 102$.

We will take up some of these questions in a subsequent essay.

5 Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Illinois College Student-Faculty Research Fund. We also thank Jacob Manske for rendering the figures for this paper.

References

- Branko Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard. *Tilings and patterns*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1987.
- [2] M. Kiyomi, Y. Okayama, and R. Uehara. On covering of any point configuration by disjoint unit disks. *Geombinatorics*, 22(1), 2012.
- [3] P. M. Winkler. Puzzled: Figures on a plane. Comm. ACM, 53(8), 2010.
- [4] P. M. Winkler. Puzzled: Solutions and sources. Comm. ACM, 53(9), 2010.