# Motif Patterns and Coverings of Points with Unit Disks, Part I

Jeremy F. Alm<sup>∗</sup> , Nicholas Hommowun, Elizabeth Manary, and Aaron Schneider

> Department of Mathematics Illinois College 1101 W. College Ave. Jacksonville, IL 62650

> > August 23, 2021

#### Abstract

We consider a modification of Winkler's "dots and coins" problem, where we constrain the dots to lie on a square lattice in the plane. We construct packings of "coins" (closed unit disks) using motif patterns.

## 1 Winkler's problem

In his "Puzzled" column [\[3\]](#page-9-0), Peter Winkler discusses the following problem:

What is the largest integer  $k$  such that any  $k$  points in the plane, no matter how they are arranged, can always be covered with disks with pairwise-disjoint interior having radius 1?

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author

Winkler states [\[4\]](#page-9-1) that there exists a constructive proof that gives a covering for any set of 12 points. In [\[2\]](#page-9-2), the authors give a configuration of 53 points on a triangular lattice that cannot be covered with unit disks. Hence  $12 \leq k < 53$ . This is a challenging problem, one that is likely to generate some interesting mathematics.

We might consider modifying the problem by constraining the locations of the points in some way; for instance, they could be restricted to lying on a square or triangular lattice. In this essay, we take up the question of covering the points of the square lattice:

For which  $d > 0$  is it possible to cover all the points of the square lattice with inter-point distance d (i.e.,  $(d\mathbb{Z}) \times (d\mathbb{Z})$ ) with disks with pairwise-disjoint interior having radius 1?

Call this lattice  $L_d$ . The principal result of this essay is the following:

**Theorem 1.** For all  $d \in \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{13}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}\right]$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\bigcup$   $\left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{26}}, \infty\right)$ ,  $L_d$  can be covered with unit disks with pairwise-disjoint interior.

Note: unlike in [\[2\]](#page-9-2), we consider *closed* disks of unit radius.

$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	۰	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	۰	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	o	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

Figure 1:  $L_d$ 

### 2 Definitions, Theorems, and a Method

It is easy to see that if  $d \geq 2$ , we can cover all the points of  $L_d$  by giving each point its own disk. What about  $d < 2$ ?

Consider  $d = \sqrt{2}$ : a circle with unit radius circumscribes a square with Consider  $a = \sqrt{2}$ : a circle with unit radius circumscribes a square with side length  $\sqrt{2}$ , so we can cover all the points, four at a time, with unit disks:



Figure 2: A covering of  $L_{\sqrt{2}}$ 

It is not hard to see that this same strategy will work for smaller values of d; the only thing we need to worry about is making sure the disks do not overlap. In fact, this configuration works for all  $1 \leq d \leq \sqrt{2}$ . This line of reasoning will be made more rigorous in Theorem [4.](#page-4-0)

Let's try to extract the essential features of the previous example:

- a finite number of points were selected;
- all of those points were within one unit of the "center";
- distinct "centers" were at least two units apart.

We codify this method in the form of a theorem. First we need a few definitions. The first is from [\[1\]](#page-9-3).

**Definition 2.** A motif is a non-empty plane set. A motif pattern  $P$  with **motif** M is a non-empty family  $\{M_i : i \in I\}$  such that

- (i.)  $\forall i, M_i$  is congruent to M;
- (ii.)  $\forall i \neq j, M_i \cap M_j = \varnothing;$
- (iii.)  $\forall i, j$ , there exists an isometry of the plane mapping  $\mathcal P$  onto itself and  $M_i$  onto  $M_j$ .



Figure 3: A Motif Pattern

**Definition 3.** Let  $P$  be a motif pattern with motif M. Let p be a point on M, called the **center**, and let  $p_i$  be the corresponding (center) point on  $M_i$ . Define

$$
\alpha = \min\{d(p_i, p_j) : i \neq j\}
$$

and

 $\beta = \max\{d(p, x) : x \in M\}.$ 

Then the motif pattern  $P$  is **admissible** if  $2\beta \leq \alpha$ .

We consider only closed and bounded motif patterns. See Figure [4](#page-4-1) for an illustration of an admissible motif pattern with  $\beta$  indicated, as well as two candidates for  $\alpha$ . The following theorem is our "workhorse":



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 4: An Admissible Motif Pattern with Centers Shown

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem 4.** Let P be an admissible motif pattern. Suppose that  $L_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , i.e.  $P$  covers all the points of  $L_1$ . Then  $L_d$  can be covered by unit disks with pairwise-disjoint interior for all  $d \in [2/\alpha, 1/\beta]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be an admissible motif pattern with motif M that covers every point on  $L_1$ . Let  $d \in [2/\alpha, 1/\beta]$ . Place a disk  $D_i$  of radius  $\frac{1}{d}$  at the center  $p_i$ of each copy  $M_i$  of  $M$ . We have, by assumption, that

$$
L_1 \subset \bigcup_i M_i.
$$

Since  $\frac{1}{d} \geq \beta$ ,

$$
L_1 \subset \bigcup_i M_i \subseteq \bigcup_i D_i.
$$

Since  $\frac{2}{d} \geq \alpha$ , distinct disks  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  have disjoint interiors. Now dilate  $L_1$ and each  $D_i$  by a factor of d. Then we have a covering of  $L_d$  by units disks, as desired.  $\Box$ 

# 3 Motif Patterns and Coverings of  $L_d$

In light of the previous theorem, what remains to be done is to find admissible motif patterns. In Figures 5-11 below, several motif patterns are given. The centers of the motifs are not indicated, since they are right where you think they should be: at the centers of mass of the motifs.





 $\frac{2}{3}$ 



Figure 7: A Motif Pattern for the Interval  $\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]$  $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 2 i





Figure 10: A Motif Pattern for the Interval [1, 2]



Figure 11: A Motif Pattern for the Interval  $[\sqrt{2},2]$ 

# 4 Further Questions

There is an annoying gap between  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{4}{\sqrt{26}}$ , of width approximately 0.077, that contains  $3/4$ . All of the authors believe that the set of all d such that  $L_d$  can be covered with unit disks is an interval, but we have no proof; nor do we have a way of closing this gap (yet).

**Problem 1.** Is  $L_{\frac{3}{4}}$  coverable?

There is also the question of a lower bound. In [\[2\]](#page-9-2), the authors argue that for  $d < 2(\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} - 1)$ ,  $L_d$  cannot be covered with disjoint unit disks. The present authors believe the true lower bound to be closer to 1/2.

Problem 2. Find a lower bound on

 ${d > 0 : L_d$  can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior.

Naturally, we could also consider the triangular lattice.

**Problem 3.** Let  $T_d$  denote the triangular lattice with inter-point distance d. Determine for which  $d > 0$   $T_d$  can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior.

Now let us return to a question more in the spirit of the original problem.

**Problem 4.** What is the largest integer  $\ell$  so that for all  $d > 0$ , any set of  $\ell$ points on  $L_d$  can be covered with unit disks with disjoint interior?

By Winkler's argument,  $\ell \geq 12$ . In [\[2\]](#page-9-2), the authors note that their method, using the square lattice instead of the triangular lattice, gives a set of 102 points that cannot be covered. So  $12 \leq \ell < 102$ .

We will take up some of these questions in a subsequent essay.

# 5 Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Illinois College Student-Faculty Research Fund. We also thank Jacob Manske for rendering the figures for this paper.

# References

- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[1] Branko Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard. Tilings and patterns. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1987.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[2] M. Kiyomi, Y. Okayama, and R. Uehara. On covering of any point configuration by disjoint unit disks. Geombinatorics, 22(1), 2012.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[3] P. M. Winkler. Puzzled: Figures on a plane. Comm. ACM, 53(8), 2010.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[4] P. M. Winkler. Puzzled: Solutions and sources. *Comm. ACM*, 53(9), 2010.