

The X-ray under-luminosity of the O-type supergiants HD 16691 and HD 14947 revealed by XMM-Newton[☆]

M. De Becker

Department of Astrophysics, Geophysics and Oceanography, University of Liège, 17, Allée du 6 Août, B5c, B-4000 Sart Tilman, Belgium

Abstract

The members of the scarce category of Of⁺ supergiants present properties that are intermediate between regular O-stars and Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars. Significant similarities between these transitional stars and WN-type objects are now clearly established, at least in the visible and near-infrared domains, pointing to common stellar wind properties. In this study, we report on the first dedicated X-ray observations of HD 16691 (O4If⁺) and HD 14947 (O5f⁺), revealing a soft thermal spectrum in agreement with the expected X-ray emission from a single O-type star. However, the X-ray luminosity of our targets is slightly lower than expected for single O-type stars, suggesting that the particular properties of their stellar wind has also a significant impact on the X-ray emission of these objects on the way to the WN category. We argue that the X-ray under-luminosity of HD 16691 and HD 14947 may be interpreted as the signature in X-rays of the intermediate stage between O and WR stars, as a consequence of enhanced wind density.

Key words: stars: early-type, stars: individual: HD 14947, stars: individual: HD 16691, X-rays: stars
PACS: 97.10.Me, 97.20.Pm, 97.80.Fk, 95.85.Nv

1. Introduction

A few O-type stars in the Galaxy are considered to be transition objects toward the WN-type, on the basis notably of similar spectral morphologies. Among these, one finds supergiants with significant emission lines in their visible and near-infrared spectrum such as OIf⁺ stars (Conti, 1976; Conti et al., 1995). The probable presence of bubbles around some of these stars, reminiscent of the case of several Wolf-Rayet stars, has also been reported by Cappa & Herbstmeier (2000). Some of these transition objects have been studied at length in the visible domain. For instance, three northern Of⁺ supergiants, namely HD14947, HD15570 and HD16691, have been intensively monitored to investigate their line profile variability in the blue domain (De Becker et al., 2009). The variability pattern revealed by the observations could be interpreted in the framework a large-scale co-rotating structure in the dense stellar wind of HD 16691 (O4If⁺), responsible for double-line shapes of the prominent emission lines, with a variability time-scale of 1.98 d. Such a large-scale structure could be attributed to the interaction of the wind plasma with a significant magnetic field (De Becker et al., 2009). On the other hand, recent theoretical modelling allowed to explain such line shapes on the basis of stellar rotation only (Hillier et al., 2012), but it is still not clear at this stage whether stellar rotation alone could explain the variability pattern revealed by observations. Even though the variations exhibited by HD 14947 (O5f⁺) are less

spectacular, qualitatively its behavior is very similar to that of HD 16691. Despite their interesting properties, stars of this category lack dedicated investigations in X-rays.

The X-ray emission from single O-type stars is mainly believed to be generated through strong shocks intrinsic to stellar winds, as a consequence of the line-driving instability (Feldmeier et al., 1997). On the other hand, the magnetic confinement of the wind leading outflows from both hemispheres to collide close to the equatorial plane may also constitute an additional source of X-rays, producing a hardening of the X-ray emission (Babel & Montmerle, 1997). In this context, only a few very early-type stars benefitted from a dedicated observation with recent X-ray observatories, such as *XMM-Newton* or *Chandra*. More specifically, peculiarities of the stellar wind of very early supergiants revealed in the optical domain suggest that the conditions prevailing in the X-ray active region of the stellar wind may also deviate from those of more 'regular' O-type stars. We therefore selected as targets, among the category of Of⁺ supergiants that have been intensively studied in the optical, the stars presenting among the most striking features in their stellar winds, i.e. HD 16691 and HD 14947.

The paper is organized as follows. The observations and data processing are described in Sect. 2. The results of our analysis are presented and discussed in Sect. 3, and our concluding remarks are given in Sect. 4.

2. Observations

HD 16691 was a target of the *XMM-Newton* satellite (Jansen et al., 2001) during the 10th Announcement of Opportunity (AO10), with the proposal ID067110 (PI: M. De

[☆]Based on observations with XMM-Newton, an ESA Science Mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member states and the USA (NASA).

Email address: debecker@astro.ulg.ac.be (M. De Becker)

Table 1: Observation parameters. The quoted exposure times (expressed in s) are the effective values, after rejection of high background level time intervals.

Target	JD -2 450 000	Rev.	Exposure time		
			MOS1	MOS2	pn
HD 16691	5794.938	2142	18640	19360	12227
HD 14947	6313.458	2402	18013	18080	15012

Becker), on 21st August, 2011, and HD 14947 was a AO11 target of the same observatory on 20th January, 2013 (proposal ID 069088, PI: M. De Becker). EPIC instruments were operated in Full Frame mode, and the medium filter was used to reject optical light. In both cases, about 15 % of the exposure was affected by a rather high background level due to a soft proton flare, and that time interval was rejected for our analysis. Data were processed using the *XMM-Newton* Science Analysis Software (SAS) v.12.0.0 on the basis of the Observation Data Files (ODF) provided by the European Space Agency (ESA). Event lists were filtered using standard screening criteria (pattern ≤ 12 for MOS and pattern ≤ 4 for pn). We note that the aim point of the exposure was set to the position of the target in order to simultaneously obtain RGS spectra, but the low brightness of the two stars in X-rays did not allow us to perform any relevant analysis with these data. Our discussion will therefore focus on EPIC data. The observation parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Spectra of HD 16691 and HD 14947 were extracted using a circular region centered on the target ($\alpha: 02^h 42^m 52.03^s$; $\delta: +56^\circ 54' 16.47''$, and $\alpha: 02^h 36^m 46.99^s$; $\delta: +58^\circ 52' 33.12''$, respectively), with a radius of $30''$. The background spectrum was extracted in an annular region surrounding the source region, whose surface area was set to be equal to that of the source extraction region. We computed the response matrices using the dedicated tools available within the SAS software. We finally grouped our EPIC spectra to get at least 9 counts per energy bin.

3. Results

Considering the results detailed by De Becker et al. (2009) for their spectroscopic optical campaign, there is no reason a priori to consider HD 16691 and HD 14947 as binary stars. We note also that we did not find any hint for the presence of a companion through high angular resolution techniques in the literature. We will therefore adopt the very reasonable hypothesis that our targets are single stars throughout our analysis and our discussion of the results. As a consequence, we will not consider any additional source of X-rays originating from any putative wind-wind interaction region – either thermal (Pittard & Parkin, 2010) or non-thermal (De Becker, 2007) – likely to contribute to the overall X-ray emission.




Figure 1: Simultaneous fit of the three EPIC spectra with a 1-T optically thin thermal model between 0.3 and 10.0 keV for HD 16691 (top) and HD 14947 (bottom). The residuals of the fit are plotted in the lower panels.

3.1. Spectral analysis

The X-ray spectrum of HD 16691 is rather soft, as expected for presumably single stars. Therefore, our data do not point a priori to a hard emission component attributable to magnetic confinement emission. If such a process is at work, it should only be very weakly active. The same statement holds for the spectrum of HD 14947. We analyzed EPIC spectra of our targets using the XSPEC software (v.12.5.1)¹. We used composite models including absorption and emission components. For the absorption, we reproduced the photo-electric absorption by the interstellar medium (ISM) through a wabs component, based on solar abundances from Anders & Ebihara (1982), with the hydrogen column density (N_{H}) as unique parameter. In the case of HD 16691, we used the intrinsic $(B - V)_0$ ($= -0.28$) color given by Martins & Plez (2006). Taking $(B - V) = 0.411$ as given by Markova et al. (2004), we derived $E(B - V) = 0.69$. Inserting this value in the dust-to-gas relation given by Bohlin et al. (1978), we derived $N_{\text{H}} = 0.40 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. In

¹<http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/>, see also Arnaud (1996).

the case of HD 14947, the $(B - V)$ value given by Markova et al. (2004) is 0.389, and the same considerations lead to a color excess $E(B - V) = 0.67$, converted into $N_{\text{H}} = 0.38 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The ISM hydrogen column density of the wabs component was therefore fixed to those values, respectively for both stars, throughout our spectral analysis. The absorption of X-ray photons by the wind material of the target is taken into account following the same procedure as developed by Nazé et al. (2004) and used in the context of other O-type star X-ray investigations (e.g. De Becker et al., 2004). This approach considers photo-electric absorption by an ionized material with solar abundances. In our spectral modelling procedure, that component will be referred to as *wind*, with a unique column density parameters (N_{WIND}) accounting for all material in the line of sight (and not only a hydrogen equivalent column as for the wabs component used for ISM absorption).

The X-ray emission from a single O-type star is expected to be produced by thermal processes. We therefore favored models simulating the emission from an optically thin plasma defined by a unique temperature, at ionization equilibrium (*apec*). We did not allow the element abundances to deviate from the solar values given by Anders & Grevesse (1989). HD 16691 and HD 14947 are indeed not sufficiently evolved to present abundances altered by the central nucleosynthesis at its surface, and the nature and quality of the data do not allow to reach such levels of refinement. We fitted a 1-T model to all EPIC spectra (*wabs*wind*apec*), leading to a typical plasma temperature of the order of 0.4–0.6 keV (i.e. about $5\text{--}7 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$). The best-fit parameters are quoted in Table 2 for all data sets, for both objects. Considering the rather low quality of the data, we will focus the discussion of the results obtained from the simultaneous fit of all three EPIC spectra. The spectra and the best-fit model are plotted in Fig. 1 for both objects. We note that we also confronted our spectra to 2-T models, but it did not improve significantly the results.

3.2. Discussion

The X-ray spectrum of HD 16691 is typical of what could be expected for a single O-type star, with a soft thermal emission spectrum characterized by a temperature of 0.4–0.6 keV. On the basis of the unabsorbed flux (i.e. corrected for the ISM absorption) quoted in Table 2, and assuming a distance of 2.29 kpc (Markova et al., 2004), we derived an unabsorbed X-ray luminosity of $9.8 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Considering a bolometric luminosity of $8.7 \times 10^5 L_{\odot} = 3.4 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Martins et al., 2005), we obtained a $L_{\text{X,unabs}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ ratio of 2.9×10^{-8} . Such a value appears quite low when compared to the expected ratio that should be close to 10^{-7} (Sana et al., 2006; Nazé, 2009) for regular single O-type stars. The X-ray luminosity is about a factor 3.5 lower than expected, which is significant regarding the rather tight dispersion of recent determinations of the $L_{\text{X}}\text{--}L_{\text{bol}}$ relation. HD 16691 appears therefore to be significantly under-luminous in X-rays. In the case of HD 14947, the X-ray spectrum is also quite typical of that of a single O-type star. Assuming a distance of 2.29 kpc (Markova et al., 2004), we converted the unabsorbed flux into an X-ray luminosity of $1.2 \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. With a bolometric luminosity of

$7.4 \times 10^5 L_{\odot} = 2.9 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Martins et al., 2005), we determine a $L_{\text{X,unabs}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ ratio of 4.2×10^{-8} . Here again, this value is somewhat lower (\sim a factor 2.5) than expected on the basis of the $L_{\text{X}}\text{--}L_{\text{bol}}$ relation. However, one should remember that the luminosity ratios derived above are especially sensitive to the uncertainties on the distance to the stars and on the luminosity calibration proposed by Martins et al. (2005). To get rid of these sources of uncertainties, we also determined flux ratios, i.e. $f_{\text{X,unabs}}/f_{\text{bol}}$, for both stars. Following a standard extinction law, we corrected visual magnitudes for the interstellar extinction on the basis of the $E(B - V)$ values used to derive the ISM column density (Sect. 3.1), and we applied the bolometric correction given by Martins & Plez (2006) to derive bolometric magnitudes. After converting these magnitudes into bolometric fluxes, we derived flux ratios of 8.6×10^{-8} and 7.9×10^{-8} , respectively for HD 16691 and HD 14947. These values are slightly larger than those derived on the basis of the luminosities, but we caution that the flux-based approach is very sensitive to the effect of interstellar extinction that is significant in the direction of our targets. In particular, the association of these stars with wind-blown bubbles (Cappa & Herbstmeier, 2000) might indeed cause some deviations with respect to the standard extinction law used in this study. In addition, the latter approach is still sensitive to uncertainties on the calibration of bolometric corrections and intrinsic colors adopted by Martins & Plez (2006), especially considering the status of these stars, with winds significantly denser than those of more classical O-type supergiants. In summary, and considering the rather tight relation derived by Nazé (2009) ($\log(f_{\text{X,unabs}}/f_{\text{bol}}) = -6.45 \pm 0.51$ for O-type star in the complete EPIC bandpass), we report on a slight under-luminosity of these two extreme supergiants in X-rays. Considering the rather high local column densities (N_{wind}) derived from our fits, it is tempting to attribute it to enhanced absorption of X-rays by the wind material. For the sake of clarity, our luminosity and flux ratios are summarized in Table 3.

These results should be discussed in the framework of the evolution stage of our targets. As mentioned in Sect. 1, HD 16691 and HD 14947 are considered to be in transition between the O-type and the WN-type. This statement is notably motivated by the strong similarities reported in the visible domain (strong and broad emission lines) between these objects and WN stars (Conti, 1976). Such similarities have also been reported in the near-infrared by Conti et al. (1995). One may find it relevant to discuss the X-ray under-luminosity of HD 16691 and HD 14947 in the same context. Whilst the $L_{\text{X,unabs}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ is to some extent fairly well established for O-type stars, no equivalent relationship exists for Wolf-Rayet star. As already discussed for instance by Stevens (2005), the $L_{\text{X}}\text{--}L_{\text{bol}}$ relation should result from a simultaneous effect of intrinsic emission and local absorption by the stellar wind material, whose respective variations from one star to the other seem to compensate fairly well to yield a stable linear relation, at least in the case of regular O-type stars. However, the situation is quite different when WR stellar winds are concerned. It is indeed now clearly established that single Wolf-Rayet stars produce stellar winds with sufficient density and metallicity to be

Table 2: Results of the fit of EPIC spectra between 0.3 and 10.0 keV adopting a 1-T model. The quoted error bars are given at the 90% confidence level. The observed flux ($f_{X,obs}$) and the flux corrected for the ISM absorption ($f_{X,unabs}$) are estimated between 0.3 and 10.0 keV.

	EPIC-MOS1	EPIC-MOS2	EPIC-pn	3 EPIC instruments
HD 16691				
$\log N_{WIND}$	$21.94^{22.07}_{21.76}$	$21.80^{22.01}_{21.51}$	$21.92^{22.13}_{21.74}$	$21.89^{21.97}_{21.80}$
kT (keV)	$0.61^{0.77}_{0.45}$	$0.75^{1.10}_{0.51}$	$0.47^{0.60}_{0.29}$	$0.58^{0.64}_{0.48}$
N (cm^{-5})	$3.00^{8.12}_{1.61} \times 10^{-4}$	$1.52^{3.34}_{0.00} \times 10^{-4}$	$4.01^{25.43}_{0.00} \times 10^{-4}$	$2.71^{4.29}_{2.01} \times 10^{-4}$
χ^2_v (d.o.f.)	0.844 (29)	1.416 (26)	0.902 (99)	1.011 (176)
Count rate (cts s^{-1})	0.011 ± 0.001	0.010 ± 0.001	0.033 ± 0.003	–
$f_{X,obs}$ ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	6.55×10^{-14}	5.70×10^{-14}	5.83×10^{-14}	6.04×10^{-14}
$f_{X,unabs}$ ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	1.55×10^{-13}	1.33×10^{-13}	1.69×10^{-13}	1.56×10^{-13}
HD 14947				
$\log N_{WIND}$	$21.90^{22.11}_{21.70}$	$22.09^{22.25}_{21.88}$	$21.92^{22.08}_{21.80}$	$21.98^{22.07}_{21.90}$
kT (keV)	$0.56^{0.72}_{0.31}$	$0.31^{0.47}_{0.23}$	$0.51^{0.61}_{0.36}$	$0.43^{0.50}_{0.34}$
N (cm^{-5})	$2.87^{16.29}_{1.44} \times 10^{-4}$	$16.25^{76.80}_{0.00} \times 10^{-4}$	$3.99^{13.88}_{2.37} \times 10^{-4}$	$5.77^{12.03}_{3.68} \times 10^{-4}$
χ^2_v (d.o.f.)	1.137 (22)	1.013 (26)	0.838 (112)	0.880 (183)
Count rate (cts s^{-1})	0.009 ± 0.001	0.011 ± 0.001	0.034 ± 0.003	–
$f_{X,obs}$ ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	5.43×10^{-14}	6.10×10^{-14}	6.50×10^{-14}	6.15×10^{-14}
$f_{X,unabs}$ ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	1.65×10^{-13}	2.04×10^{-13}	2.04×10^{-13}	1.92×10^{-13}

Table 3: Summary of luminosity and flux ratios for both stars, on the basis of X-ray fluxes corrected for ISM absorption.

Target	$R_L = L_X/L_{bol}$	$\log R_L$	$R_f = f_X/f_{bol}$	$\log R_f$
HD 16691	2.9×10^{-8}	-7.54	8.6×10^{-8}	-7.07
HD 14947	4.2×10^{-8}	-7.38	7.9×10^{-8}	-7.10

come quite opaque to soft X-rays (Oskinova et al., 2003). A striking example is the case of the non-detection of the WN-type star WR40 in X-rays (Gosset et al., 2005), emphasizing the strong differences between O-type stars and their evolved WN counterparts. This critical change in the X-ray activity of early-type stars suggests that a transition should occur during the intermediate evolution stage. This is what we may be observing in the form of the X-ray under-luminosity of these two Of⁺ supergiants. These facts are in agreement with the recent discussion by Owocki et al. (2013) on the L_X – L_{bol} relation for O-type stars. These authors indeed pointed out the expectation that moderately optically thick winds of extreme O-stars in transition to the WN type could cause some deviations with respect to the canonical L_X – L_{bol} relation in the direction reported in the present study.

As Of⁺ stars are rather rare objects, this issue is still a matter of small number statistics. At this stage, one can also mention the case of the OIf⁺ star VB4 in the HM1 cluster, also likely

to be slightly under-luminous in X-rays with a $\log(f_X/f_{bol})$ of about -7.4 (Nazé et al. 2013, A&A, submitted). The observation in X-rays of a sample of transition objects such as HD 16691 and HD 14947 is expected to fill a gap in the census of observational data on early-type stars. In particular, the change in the stellar wind properties occurring from the O-type to the WN-type, and already revealed in other wavebands, should be investigated in X-rays as well. The evolution of the properties of stellar winds across the evolution stages of early-type stars should alter significantly the capability of these winds to absorb X-rays after their production in deeper layers, therefore steepening significantly the L_X – L_{bol} relation leading progressively to the complete extinction of intrinsic X-rays in many single WR stars. A large number of early-type stars, mainly in the transition zone of the evolutionary scheme, should be observed to investigate this issue.

4. Conclusions

We reported on the first dedicated X-ray observation of the Of⁺ supergiants HD 16691 and HD 14947, known to be in transition to the WN category. The spectrum is compatible with a thermal emission and rather soft, with a typical plasma temperature of the order of 5 – 7×10^6 K. However, the X-ray luminosity of HD 16691 and HD 14947 is quite low as compared to the emission level expected for single O-type stars. We tentatively attribute this slight – although significant – X-ray under-luminosity to the somewhat different properties of the stellar

wind of this evolved transition object with respect to regular O-type stars. The deficit in X-rays measured in this study is indeed reminiscent of the case of single WN stars whose stellar winds are intrinsically significantly more opaque to X-ray photons than O-type winds. We argue that the X-ray underluminosity reported here may be considered as an additional criteria supporting the idea that HD 16691 and HD 14947 are indeed transition objects, in addition to other criteria invoked in the optical and in the near-infrared.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part through the PRODEX XMM/Integral contract. The author would like to thank Prof. G. Rauw for his comments on a preliminary version of the manuscript, and for discussions about this topic. The XMM-Newton Science Operations Center (SOC) is kindly acknowledged for the scheduling of the observations. The SIMBAD database has been consulted for the bibliography.

References

- Anders, E. & Ebihara, M. 1982, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 46, 2363
Anders, E. & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 197
Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 101, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, ed. G. H. Jacoby & J. Barnes, 17
Babel, J. & Montmerle, T. 1997, *A&A*, 323, 121
Bohlin, R. C., Savage, B. D., & Drake, J. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 132
Cappa, C. E. & Herbstmeier, U. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1963
Conti, P. S. 1976, *Mémoires of the Société Royale des Sciences de Liège*, 9, 193
Conti, P. S., Hanson, M. M., Morris, P. W., Willis, A. J., & Fossey, S. J. 1995, *ApJ*, 445, L35
De Becker, M. 2007, *A&ARev*, 14, 171
De Becker, M., Rauw, G., Blomme, R., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 420, 1061
De Becker, M., Rauw, G., & Linder, N. 2009, *ApJ*, 704, 964
Feldmeier, A., Puls, J., & Pauldrach, A. W. A. 1997, *A&A*, 322, 878
Gosset, E., Nazé, Y., Claeskens, J.-F., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 429, 685
Hillier, D. J., Bouret, J. C., Lanz, T., & Busche, J. R. 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 1043
Jansen, F., Lumb, D., Altieri, B., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 365, L1
Markova, N., Puls, J., Repolust, T., & Markov, H. 2004, *A&A*, 413, 693
Martins, F., Schaerer, D., & Hillier, D. J. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 1049
Martins, F. & Plez, B. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 637
Nazé, Y. 2009, *A&A*, 506, 1055
Nazé, Y., Rauw, G., Vreux, J.-M., & De Becker, M. 2004, *A&A*, 417, 667
Oskinova, L. M., Ignace, R., Hamann, W.-R., Pollock, A. M. T., & Brown, J. C. 2003, *A&A*, 402, 755
Owocki, S. P., Sundqvist, J. O., Cohen, D. H., & Gayley, K. G. 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 3379
Pittard, J. M. & Parkin, E. R. 2010, *MNRAS*, 403, 1657
Sana, H., Rauw, G., Nazé, Y., Gosset, E., & Vreux, J.-M. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 661
Stevens, I. R. 2005, in *Massive Stars and High-Energy Emission in OB Associations*, ed. G. Rauw, Y. Nazé, R. Blomme, & E. Gosset, 3–12