Impact of Local Lattice Disorder on Spin and Orbital Orders in $Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO_4$

Takuya Sugimoto¹, Daiki Ootsuki², Takashi Mizokawa^{1,2}

¹Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan

²Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan

We have studied relationship between local lattice disorder, Ru 4d spin-orbit interaction, and global spin/orbital orders of $Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO_4$ in the Sr-rich and Ca-rich regions of its phase diagram by using unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculation on a $8 \times 8 \text{ RuO}_4$ lattice model. The calculations show that the local elongation of RuO₆ octahedron in an antiferromagnetic insulator Ca_2RuO_4 induces local orbital change with making the Mott gap narrower. On the other hand, local compression of RuO₆ octahedron in a paramagnetic metal Sr_2RuO_4 induces global antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic states. This result is consistent with a recent systematic μ SR study by Carlo *et al.* which has revealed the static antiferromagnetic order at low temperature in the Sr-rich region.

KEYWORDS: layered ruthenates, antiferromagnetism, orbital degeneracy, Mott insulator

1. Introduction

The layered perovskite $Ca_{2-x}Sr_{x}RuO_{4}$ (CSRO) system has been attracting considerable interest due to the interesting evolution from the spin-triplet superconducting state in Sr_2RuO_4 to the Mott insulating state in Ca_2RuO_4 .¹⁻⁴ The structural phase diagram of CSRO exhibits an interesting interplay between titling, rotation, and Jahn-Teller distortion of RuO₆ octahedron.⁵ The magnetic and electronic properties of CSRO strongly correlate with the structural distortions. The Mott transition at x = 0.0 (Ca₂RuO₄) is accompanied by the Ru 4d orbital change due to the Jahn-Teller distortion.^{6,7} For $x \leq 0.2$, CSRO is an antiferromagnetic insulator at low temperature due to the Jahn-Teller driven compression of RuO₆ octahedron along the c-axis. For $0.2 \leq x \leq 0.5$, the tilting of RuO_6 octahedron provides orthorhombic distortion, and the magnetic susceptibility shows a heavy Fermion behavior at low temperature. The orthorhombic distortion seems to suppress the ferromagnetic and/or small-q antiferromagnetic fluctuation while it still enhances the mass renormalization towards x = 0.2. Although the mass enhancement around x = 0.2 is claimed to be explained by orbital selective Mott transition,⁸ existence of orbital selective Mott transition in multi-band Hubbard models depends on the details of parameters in the Hubbard Hamiltonians.^{9,10} It is still controversial whether the orbital selective Mott transition of the multi-band Ru 4delectrons is relevant for the electronic phase diagram of CSRO or not. As for the tiny Sr substitution in the Mott insulating state of Ca_2RuO_4 , the transport properties are dramatically changed by the Sr doping.¹¹

Very recently, a systematic μ SR study has revealed that static antiferromagnetic order exists at low temperature even in the Sr-rich region $(1.5 \le x \le 2.0)$ of its phase diagram.¹² This indicates that the local distortion of RuO₆ octahedron introduced by the Ca substitution plays important roles to stabilize the antiferromagnetic state. On the other hand, in the Ca-rich region $(0.0 \le x \le 0.5)$, the Sr-substitution reduces the magnitude of the Jahn-Teller distortion, tilting, and rotation of the RuO₆ octahedron in Ca₂RuO₄ and, consequently, destroys the spin and orbital orders of Ca₂RuO₄. In order to gain deeper understandings of the phase diagram, it is important to study the Ru 4*d* spin-orbital states using a realistic model in which the effects of spin-orbit interaction and lattice distortions are considered.

In this paper, we investigate the Sr or Ca doping effects on the electronic structure of CSRO system at both ends of its phase diagram (which are Sr_2RuO_4 and Ca_2RuO_4) by means of unrestricted Hartree-Fock (HF) calculation, which includes the spin-orbit interaction and lattice distortion induced by the chemical substitution.

2. Method of calculation

We use the multiband d-p model where full degeneracy of the Ru 4d orbitals and the O 2p orbitals are taken into account. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathscr{H}} &= \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{p} + \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{d} + \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{pd} \\ \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{p} &= \sum_{kl\sigma} \epsilon_{k}^{p} p_{kl\sigma}^{\dagger} p_{kl\sigma} + \sum_{kll'\sigma} V_{kll'}^{pp} p_{kl\sigma}^{\dagger} p_{kl'\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \\ \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{d} &= \epsilon_{d}^{0} \sum_{i\alpha m\sigma} d_{i\alpha m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\sigma} + \sum_{i\alpha mm'\sigma\sigma'} h_{mm'\sigma\sigma'} d_{i\alpha m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m'\sigma} \\ &+ u \sum_{i\alpha m} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \\ &+ u' \sum_{i\alpha mm'} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \\ &+ (u' - j) \sum_{i\alpha mm'\sigma} d_{i\alpha m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\sigma} d_{i\alpha m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m'\sigma} \\ &+ j \sum_{i\alpha mm'} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m'\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m'\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \\ &+ j' \sum_{i\alpha mm'} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m'\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{i\alpha m'\downarrow} \\ \hat{\mathscr{H}}_{pd} &= \sum_{kml\sigma} V_{kml}^{pd} d_{km\sigma}^{\dagger} p_{kl\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Here, $d_{i\alpha m\sigma}^{\dagger}$ are creation operators for the Ru 4d electrons at site α of the *i*th unit cell and $d_{km\sigma}^{\dagger}$ and $p_{kl\sigma}^{\dagger}$ are creation operators for Bloch electrons which are constructed from the *m*th component of the 4d orbitals and from the *l*th component of the O 2*p* orbitals, respectively, with wave vector **k**. The matrix $h_{mm'\sigma\sigma'}$ denotes the spin-orbit interaction and the effects of crystal field splitting. The magnitude of the spin-orbit interaction for the Ru 4d orbital is fixed as 0.15 eV. The transfer integrals between the O 2*p* orbitals $V_{kll'}^{pp}$ are given by Slater-Koster parameters $(pp\sigma)$ and $(pp\pi)$ which are fixed at 0.60 eV and -0.15 eV respectively. The transfer integrals between the Ru 4d and O 2*p* orbitals V_{kml}^{pd} are represented by $(pd\pi)$ and $(pd\sigma)$. They are fixed as $(pd\sigma) = -2.8$ eV and $(pd\pi) = 1.26$ eV for the longer in-plane Ru-O bond of Ca₂RuO₄ whereas $(pd\sigma) = -3.4$ eV and $(pd\pi) = 1.53$ eV for the shorter in-plane Ru-O bond of Sr₂RuO₄. The summary of the material-dependent parameters are shown in Table I. The tilting of the RuO₆ octahedron is included for Ca₂RuO₄. The distortion parameter $\delta_{\rm JT}$ is defined as $\delta_{\rm JT} = d_{\rm apical}/d_{\rm in-plane}$ which is the ratio between the apical and in-plane Ru-O bond distances. The distortion parameter $\delta_{\rm JT}$ is utilized to express the elongation/compression of RuO₆ octahedron as in Fig. 4(a). In the Sr-rich (Ca-rich) region, $\delta_{\rm JT} = 1.07$ (0.95) for the host lattice and $\delta_{\rm JT} = 0.95$ (1.07) for the locally distorted site. When the RuO₆ octahedron is distorted, the transfer integrals are scaled by Harrison's rule. The intra-atomic Coulomb interactions between Ru 4d electrons are given by Kanamori parameters. They are fixed as -0.4 eV is defined by $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p + 4U$, where ϵ_d and ϵ_p are the energies of the bare Ru 4d and O 2p orbitals and U[=u - (20/9)j] is the multiplet-averaged d-d Coulomb interaction fixed at 1.89 eV.

We set the 8 × 8 supercell with periodic boundary conditions and put the Ru 4d and O 2p electrons on its each site. The local distortion is introduced at one Ru site of the supercell as shown in Figure 4(b). The total number of electron in the supercell is 1792 (256 Ru 4d and 1536 O 2p electrons). The HF mean-field treatment is applied to the two-body part in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$ by replacing its average values, for instance,

$$\begin{split} u \sum_{i\alpha m} d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\downarrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \\ \rightarrow \\ u \sum_{i\alpha m} \langle d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} \rangle d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\downarrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \\ + u \sum_{i\alpha m} d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} \langle d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\downarrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \rangle \\ - u \sum_{i\alpha m} \langle d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\uparrow} d_{i\alpha m\uparrow} \rangle \langle d^{\dagger}_{i\alpha m\downarrow} d_{i\alpha m\downarrow} \rangle \end{split}$$

In this Hartree-Fock calculation, we input the initial values of the order parameters such as $\langle d^{\dagger}d \rangle$ and diagonalize the mean-field Hamiltonian to get a set of eigen functions. Then the order parameters can be calculated using the obtained eigen functions. This self-consistency cycle is iterated until the successive difference of all the order parameters converge less than 10^{-4} .

3. Results and Discussion

We calculate the following four cases to see the doping effects. For the Ca-rich region, we calculate the orbital population of Ca_2RuO_4 and $Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO_4$ with a single-site distortion by the tiny Sr doping (let us label these two cases as case (i) and (ii), respectively). For the Sr-rich region, we calculate Sr_2RuO_4 and $Ca_xSr_{2-x}RuO_4$ with a single-site distortion by the Ca doping (let us label these two cases as case (iii) and (iv), respectively). Since we set the 8×8 supercell, the ratio of one-site transposition is equal to $1/64 \simeq 0.0156$. In terms of the doping amount in this CSRO system, 1.56% is equivalent to x = 0.0312 in $Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO_4$ and $Ca_xSr_{2-x}RuO_4$, respectively.

3.1 Ca-rich region

The present HF calculation using the reasonable parameter set predicts that Ca_2RuO_4 is an antiferromagnetic insulator. The compression of the RuO_6 octahedra stabilizes the Ru 4d xy orbital compared to the Ru 4d yz/zx orbitals (Jahn-Teller type energy splitting). The combination of the Jahn-Teller type energy splitting and the Ru 4d spin-orbit interaction can provide an interesting magnetic anisotropy. The antiferromagnetic insulating state with the in-plane (x or y axis) spin direction is lower in energy than that with the out-of-plane (z axis) spin direction. The energy difference is 37 meV per Ru site for the present parameter set. The expectation value of $d^{\dagger}d$ for each orbital and spin component of the Ru 4d t_{2g} states for Ca₂RuO₄ [case (i)] is shown in Table II for the in-plane and out-of-plane antiferromagnetic states. In the out-of-plane case, the complex orbitals with type of $yz \pm izx$ are unoccupied namely, occupied by the two Ru 4d t_{2q} holes of the Ru⁴⁺ (d⁴) configuration] while the xy orbitals are almost fully occupied by the Ru 4d t_{2g} electrons. Consequently the orbital angular momentum along the z-axis is formed to align the spin moment along the z-axis. As for the in-plane case, the complex orbitals with type of $xy \pm izx$ or $xy \pm iyz$ are unoccupied to give orbital angular momentum in the xy-plane.

Assuming that one of the RuO₆ octahedra is elongated due to the tiny Sr doping in the case (ii), the orbital population of the elongated site would be affected due to the reverse of the Jahn-Teller energy splitting between the xy and yz/zx orbitals. Interestingly, the orbital population of the elongated site is dramatically changed for the in-plane antiferromagnetic state whereas the impact of the elongation is limited for the out-of-plane antiferromagnetic state. As shown in Table II, the orbital population of the elongated site is almost the same as that of the compressed sites for the out-ofplane antiferromagnetic state. On the other hand, the xy orbitals accommodate more holes at the elongated site for the in-plane antiferromagnetic state. The impact of local reverse of the Jahn-Teller energy splitting strongly depends on the global spin direction due to the strong Ru 4d spin-orbit coupling. Since the in-plane antiferromagnetic state is realized in Ca₂RuO₄, the present calculation indicates that the local elongation of the RuO₆ octahedron produces the Ru 4d xy hole which can affect the global spin and orbital orders of Ca₂RuO₄. The density of states (DOS) calculated for the cases (i) and (ii) (which are Ca₂RuO₄ and Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO₄ at x = 0.0312) with the in-plane antiferromagnetic states are shown in Figs. 4(a) and (b), respectively. One can see that the Mott gap of Ca₂RuO₄ becomes narrower by the local elongation of RuO₆ octahedron ($\delta_{\rm JT} = 1.07$) induced by the tiny Sr doping. The reduction of the Mott gap is related to the local orbital change induced by the reverse of the Jahn-Teller energy splitting.

3.2 Sr-rich region

The present HF calculation using the reasonable parameter set predicts that Sr_2RuO_4 is a paramagnetic or ferromagnetic metal. For the present parameter set, the ferromagnetic metallic state is slightly lower in energy than the paramagnetic metallic state, and the energy difference is about 0.4 meV per Ru site. In the HF approximation, stability of ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic states tends to be overestimated. Therefore, the present calculation indicates that the parameter set is reasonable to analyze the paramagnetic metallic state of Sr_2RuO_4 . The expectation value of $d^{\dagger}d$ for each orbital and spin component of the Ru 4d t_{2g} states for Sr₂RuO₄ [case (iii)] is shown in Table III for the paramagnetic metallic state and the ferromagnetic metallic state. In the paramagnetic state, the spin-up and spin-down states have the same population for the xy, yx, and zx orbitals, respectively. In the ferromagnetic state, the spin polarization for the yz/zx orbitals is larger than that of the xy orbital, indicating that the yz/zx states stabilized by the elongation of the RuO₆ octahedra are playing important roles to provide the ferromagnetic interaction. As for the effect of tiny Ca doping to Sr_2RuO_4 [case (iv)], it is assumed that one of the RuO₆ octahedra is compressed due to the Ca doping. The local compression of the RuO_6 octahedron changes the stability of the paramagnetic state and the nature of the magnetic state. The paramagnetic metallic state becomes unstable and only the ferromagnetic and/or antiferromagnetic states are obtained as stable solutions. The xy orbitals are more occupied at the compressed site to induce antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction. The global antiferromagnetic state

is stabilized by the local orbital change. The spin direction is intermediate between the in-plane and out-of-plane directions probably due to the competition between the Ru 4d spin-orbit interaction and the local Jahn-Teller splitting of the Ru 4d levels. In the stable magnetic state, the in-plane components of the Ru 4d spins are ferromagnetically aligned whereas the out-of-plane components are antiferromagnetically arranged. The DOS calculated for the cases (iii) and (iv) (which are Sr_2RuO_4 and $Ca_xSr_{2-x}RuO_4$ at x = 0.0312) are shown in Figs. 4(a) and (b), respectively. The local compression of RuO₆ octahedron by the tiny Ca doping induces the small band gap at the Fermi level, which can be assigned to the magnetic ordering.

4. Conclusion

Investigating the electronic structure of CSRO by unrestricted HF calculation, we find the effects of local distortions on spin and orbital orders of the Ru 4d t_{2g} states. As for the Ca-rich region, we find that the antiferromagnetism survives when the single-site distortion in Ca₂RuO₄ does carry on. The orbital state is locally disturbed for the in-plane antiferromagnetic state resulting in the reduction of the Mott gap. As for the Sr-rich region, on the other hand, the single-site distortion in Sr₂RuO₄ changes the entire system to the ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic state from the paramagnetic state. The present study captures the trend of effects on Sr₂RuO₄ by the tiny amount of Ca doping, which could explain the recent μ SR study.¹²

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Profs. Y. J. Uemura and N. L. Saini for fruitful discussions.

References

- Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg: Nature **372** (1994) 532.
- 2) S. Nakatsuji and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 2666.
- 3) S. Nakatsuji and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 6458.
- 4) M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura: Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 1039.
- O. Friedt, M. Braden, G. Andre, P. Adelmann, S. Nakatsuji, and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 174432.
- 6) T. Mizokawa, L. H. Tjeng, G. A. Sawatzky, G. Ghiringhelli, O. Tjernberg, N. B. Brookes, H. Fukazawa, S. Nakatsuji, and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 077202.
- 7) T. Mizokawa, L. H. Tjeng, H.-J. Lin, C. T. Chen, S. Schuppler, S. Nakatsuji, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 132410.
- 8) V. I. Anisimov, I. A. Nekrasov, D. E. Kondakov, T. M. Rice, and M. Sigrist: Euro. Phys. J. B 25 (2002) 191.
- 9) A. Liebsch: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 096401.
- 10) A. Koga, N. Kawakami, T. M. Rice, and M. Sigrist: Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 216402.
- S. Nakatsuji, V. Dobrosavljevic, D. Tanaskovic, M. Minakata, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno: Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 146401.
- 12) J. P. Carlo, T. Goko, I. M. Gat-Malureanu, P. L. Russo, A. T. Savici, A. A. Aczel, G. J. MacDougall, J. A. Rodriguez, T. J. Williams, G. M. Luke, C. R. Wiebe, Y. Yoshida, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Maeno, T. Taniguchi, and Y. J. Uemura: Nature Materials 11 (2012) 323.
- 13) T. Mizokawa and A. Fujimori: Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 5368.

Tables:

	Table I. Parameter sets for Sr_2RuO_4 and Ca_2RuO_4 .					
	$(pd\sigma)$ for in-plane Ru-O bond	tilting angle	$\delta_{ m JT}$			
$\mathrm{Sr}_{2}\mathrm{RuO}_{4}$	-3.4 eV	0 deg.	1.07			
$\mathrm{Ca}_2\mathrm{RuO}_4$	$-2.8 { m eV}$	$12.5 \deg.$	0.95			

case (i) Ca_2RuO_4 in-plane (xy) AFM $zx\downarrow$ $xy\uparrow$ $xy \downarrow$ $yz\uparrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ 0.950.950.530.760.520.77out-of-plane (z) AFM $xy \downarrow$ $yz\uparrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ $zx\downarrow$ $xy\uparrow$ 0.980.98 0.980.280.990.26case (ii) $Ca_{2-x}Sr_{x}RuO_{4}$ in-plane (xy) AFM $xy\downarrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ $zx\downarrow$ $xy\uparrow$ $yz\uparrow$ compressed site (Ca site) 0.96 0.640.640.640.640.97 in-plane (xy) AFM $xy\uparrow$ $xy\downarrow$ $yz\uparrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ $zx\downarrow$ elongated site (Sr site) 0.660.66 0.640.640.96 0.96 out-of-plane (z) AFM $xy\uparrow$ $xy\downarrow$ $yz\uparrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ $zx\downarrow$ 0.980.980.28compressed site (Ca site) 0.980.990.26out-of-plane (z) AFM $zx\downarrow$ $xy \downarrow$ $yz\uparrow$ $yz\downarrow$ $zx\uparrow$ $xy\uparrow$ 0.99elongated site (Sr site) 0.990.980.280.990.26

Table II. Expectation values of $\langle d_{m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{m\sigma} \rangle$ with m = xy, yz, zx and $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in the Ru 4d t_{2g} states for case (i) and case (ii).

Table III. Expectation values of $\langle d_{m\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{m\sigma} \rangle$ with m = xy, yz, zx and $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in the Ru 4d t_{2g} states for case (iii) and case (iv).

case (iii) Sr_2RuO_4						
PM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
	0.71	0.71	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
FM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
	0.67	0.77	0.80	0.94	0.80	0.94
case (iv) $Ca_x Sr_{2-x} RuO_4$ (Ca-doped)						
AFM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
elongated site (Sr site)	0.60	0.85	0.85	0.88	0.86	0.87
AFM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
compressed site (Ca site)	0.79	0.94	0.61	0.89	0.61	0.89
FM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
elongated site (Sr site)	0.67	0.78	0.80	0.94	0.80	0.94
FM	$xy\uparrow$	$xy\downarrow$	$yz\uparrow$	$yz\downarrow$	$zx\uparrow$	$zx\downarrow$
compressed site (Ca site)	0.88	0.91	0.68	0.81	0.68	0.81

Figure captions:

Figure 1:

(Color online) Schematic diagram of (a) RuO_6 octahedron with the definition of δ_{JT} and (b) the supercell with a single-site distortion.

Figure 2:

(Color online) DOS (calculated with the Ru 4d spin-orbit interaction) of (a) Ca₂RuO₄ and (b) Ca_{2-x}Sr_xRuO₄ at x = 0.0312.

Figure 3:

(Color online) DOS (calculated with the Ru 4d spin-orbit interaction) of (a) Sr_2RuO_4 and (b) $Ca_xSr_{2-x}RuO_4$ at x = 0.0312.





