

# OPERATOR LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND $f$ -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

MOHSEN KIAN

ABSTRACT. We present a characterization of operator log-convex functions by using positive linear mappings. More precisely, we show that the continuous function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is operator log-convex if and only if  $f(\Phi(A)) \leq (\Phi(f(A)^{-1}))^{-1}$  for every strictly positive operator  $A$  and every unital positive linear map  $\Phi$ . Moreover, we study the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional of operator log-convex functions. In particular, we prove that  $f$  is operator log-convex if and only if the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, assume that  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $I$  denote the identity operator. An operator  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called positive (denoted by  $A \geq 0$ ) if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If in addition  $A$  is invertible, then it is called strictly positive (denoted by  $A > 0$ ). A linear map  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be positive if  $\Phi(A) \geq 0$  whenever  $A \geq 0$  and is called unital if  $\Phi(I) = I$ .

A continuous real function  $f$  defined on an interval  $J$  is said to be operator convex if

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all self-adjoint operators  $A, B$  with spectra contained in  $J$  and every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . If  $-f$  is operator convex, then  $f$  is said to be operator concave. If  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is operator convex, then the celebrated Hansen–Pedersen–Jensen operator inequality [6]  $f(C^*AC) \leq C^*f(A)C$  holds true for every self-adjoint operator  $A$  with spectrum contained in  $J$  and every isometry  $C$ . Another variant of this inequality, the Choi–Davis–Jensen inequality asserts that if  $f$  is operator convex, then

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A)) \tag{1}$$

for every unital positive linear map  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (see e.g. [4]). The reader is referred to [4, 5, 7, 8] and references therein for more information about operator convex functions and the Jensen operator inequality.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be  $C^*$ -algebras of Hilbert space operators and  $T$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ . A field  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  of operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to be continuous if the function  $t \mapsto A_t$  is norm continuous on  $T$ . Moreover, if the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A64, 15A60, 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* operator log-convex function, Non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional, perspective function, positive operator, operator mean, operator convex function.

function  $t \mapsto A_t$  is integrable on  $T$ , then the Bochner integral  $\int_T A_t d\mu(t)$  is defined to be the unique element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  for which

$$\rho\left(\int_T A_t d\mu(t)\right) = \int_T \rho(A_t) d\mu(t),$$

for any linear functional  $\rho$  in the norm dual  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

A field  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  of positive linear mappings is said to be continuous if the function  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(A)$  is continuous on  $T$  for every  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are unital and the function  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(I)$  is integrable on  $T$  with integral  $I$ , then we say that the field  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is unital.

By the well-known Kubo–Ando theory [9], an operator mean  $\sigma$  is a binary operation on the set of positive operators which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) monotonicity: if  $A \leq C$  and  $B \leq D$ , then  $A\sigma B \leq C\sigma D$ ;
- (2) Transformer inequality:  $C(A\sigma B)C \leq (CAC)\sigma(CBC)$ . If  $C$  is invertible, then equality holds.
- (3) Continuity: if  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are two decreasing sequences of positive operators which are converging respectively to  $A$  and  $B$  in the strong operator topology, then  $A_n\sigma B_n$  converges to  $A\sigma B$ .

Kubo and Ando [9] showed that for every operator mean  $\sigma$  there exists an operator monotone function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

$$A\sigma B = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

for all positive operators  $A, B$ . Conversely, they proved that if  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is operator monotone, the binary operation defined by (2) is an operator mean. Some of the most familiar operator means are

$$\begin{aligned} A\nabla B &= \frac{A+B}{2} && \text{Arithmetic mean} \\ A\sharp B &= B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} && \text{Geometric mean} \\ A!B &= \left( \frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1} && \text{Harmonic mean.} \end{aligned}$$

A continuous real function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called operator log-convex function if

$$f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sharp f(B)$$

for all positive operators  $A$  and  $B$ . This notion was considered by Ando and Hiai [1]. They presented the following result.

**Theorem A.**[1, Theorem 2.1] Let  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be continuous. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is operator decreasing;
- (2)  $f$  is operator log-convex;
- (3)  $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$  for all positive operators  $A$  and  $B$  and every operator mean  $\sigma$ ;

(4)  $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$  for all positive operators  $A$  and  $B$  and some operator mean  $\sigma \neq \nabla$ .

If  $f$  is operator convex, then (2) defines [2, 3] the perspective of  $f$  denoted by  $g$ , i.e.,

$$g(A, B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is known that  $f$  is operator convex if and only if  $g$  is jointly operator convex [2, 3]. A more general version of  $g$ , the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional  $\Theta$  was defined in [10] to be

$$\Theta(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t),$$

where  $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$  are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

In section 2, we give some properties of operator log-convex functions. We present a characterization of operator log-convex functions by using positive linear mappings. More precisely, we show that the continuous function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is operator log-convex if and only if  $f(\Phi(A)) \leq (\Phi(f(A)^{-1}))^{-1}$  for every strictly positive operator  $A$  and every unital positive linear map  $\Phi$ .

In section 3, we study the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional of operator log-convex functions. In particular, we prove that  $f$  is operator log-convex if and only if  $\Theta$  is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

If  $f$  is operator log-convex, then a sharper variant of the Jensen operator inequality holds true. The proof of the next lemma is based on that of [4, Theorem 1.9].

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an operator log-convex function, then*

$$f(C^*AC) \leq (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1}$$

for every strictly positive operator  $A$  and every isometry  $C$  provided that  $C^*f(A)^{-1}C$  is invertible.

*Proof.* If  $A$  and  $B$  are two strictly positive operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  is regarded as a strictly positive operator in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . Set  $D = \sqrt{I - CC^*}$  so that operators  $U$  and  $V$  defined by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & -C^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ 0 & C^* \end{pmatrix},$$

are unitary operators in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  and

$$U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & C^*AD \\ DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^*XV = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & -C^*AD \\ -DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} f(C^*AC) & 0 \\ 0 & f(DAD + CBC^*) \end{pmatrix} &= f \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & 0 \\ 0 & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&= f \left( \frac{U^*XU + V^*XV}{2} \right) \\
&\leq f(U^*XU)!f(V^*XV) \quad (\text{since } f \text{ is operator log-convex}) \\
&= (U^*f(X)U)!(V^*f(X)V) \quad (\text{since } U, V \text{ are unitary}) \\
&= \left( \frac{(U^*f(X)U)^{-1} + (V^*f(X)V)^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left( \frac{U^*f(X)^{-1}U + V^*f(X)^{-1}V}{2} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} C^*f(A)^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & Df(A)^{-1}D + Cf(B)^{-1}C^* \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(C^*AC) \leq (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Note that the operator convexity of  $f(x) = x^{-1}$  implies that

$$f(C^*AC) \leq (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1} \leq C^*f(A)C.$$

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an operator log-convex function and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are strictly positive operators, then*

$$f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* A_i C_i \right) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* f(A_i)^{-1} C_i \right)^{-1}$$

for all operators  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* C_i = I$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 2.1 to the strictly positive operator  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  and the

$$\text{isometry } C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

We can present the following characterization of operator log-convex functions using positive linear mappings.

**Theorem 2.3.** *A continuous function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is operator log-convex if and only if*

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi \left( f(A)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \quad (3)$$

for every unital positive linear map  $\Phi$  and every strictly positive operator  $A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is a strictly positive operator on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with the spectral decomposition  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ . If  $\Phi$  is a unital positive linear map

on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\Phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i) = I$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\lambda_i)^{-1} \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.2}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)^{-1} \Phi(P_i)\right)^{-1} \\ &= \Phi(f(A)^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  is a strictly positive operator on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then (3) follows by using a continuity argument.

For the converse assume that (3) holds true. put

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}; \quad A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  is a unital closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . Let the unital positive linear map  $\Psi$  be defined on  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  by

$$\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{A+B}{2}.$$

Now if  $A$  and  $B$  are two strictly positive operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(A \nabla B) &= f\left(\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \leq \Psi\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} f(A)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & f(B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{f(A)^{-1} + f(B)^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &= f(A) \sharp f(B) \leq f(A) \# f(B), \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $f$  is operator log-convex.  $\square$

Note that it follows from the operator convexity of  $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$  that

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \leq \Phi(f(A)).$$

Let us give an example to show that in the case of operator log-convex functions, inequality (3) is really sharper than the Choi–Davis–Jensen inequality.

**Example 2.4.** The function  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is operator log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Assume that the unital positive linear map  $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$\Phi((a_{ij})) = (a_{ij})_{2 \leq i, j \leq 3}.$$

If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$  is the positive matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then by a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.1945 & -0.2706 \\ -0.2706 & 0.6533 \end{pmatrix}, & \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.2192 & -0.2933 \\ -0.2933 & 0.6760 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Phi(f(A)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.2420 & -0.3261 \\ -0.3261 & 0.7234 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(\Phi(A)) \preceq \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \preceq \Phi(f(A)).$$

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $\Phi$  is a unital positive linear map and  $A$  is a strictly positive operator, then*

- (1)  $\Phi(A)^{-\alpha} \leq \Phi(A^\alpha)^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-\alpha})$  for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .
- (2)  $\Phi(A^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \Phi(A^{-1})^{-1} \leq \Phi(A)$  for all  $\alpha \leq -1$ .

*Proof.* (1): follows from the operator log-convexity of  $t^{-\alpha}$ .

(2): the function  $t \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  is operator log-convex. So it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\Phi(A)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \left(\Phi\left(A^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}\right)\right)^{-1}$ . Replacing  $A$  by  $A^\alpha$  we get desired inequality.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$  be positive linear mappings on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(I) = I$ . If  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an operator log-convex function, then*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i\left(f(A_i)^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

for all strictly positive operators  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.3 to the strictly positive operator  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  and the unital positive linear map  $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\Phi(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)$ .  $\square$

The next result shows that every operator log-convex function is sub-additive.

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an operator log-convex function, then  $f$  is sub-additive. More precisely*

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) \sharp f(B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$$

for all strictly positive operators  $A, B$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are strictly positive operators. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A+B) &= f((2A)\nabla(2B)) \leq f(2A)\sharp f(2B) \\ &\leq f(A)\sharp f(B) \quad (\text{by (1) of Theorem A}) \\ &\leq f(A)\nabla f(B) \quad (\text{by the A-G inequality}) \\ &\leq f(A) + f(B). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. THE NON-COMMUTATIVE $f$ -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be  $n$ -tuples of positive operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows from the jointly operator concavity of the operator geometric mean that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sharp Y_i \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \sharp \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right). \quad (4)$$

This inequality is known as the operator version of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

To achieve our result, we need a more general version of (4). Assume that  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(B_t)_{t \in T}$  are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We can generalize (4) as

$$\int_T (A_t \sharp B_t) d\mu(t) \leq \left( \int_T A_t d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left( \int_T B_t d\mu(t) \right).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(B_t)_{t \in T}$  are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then*

$$\int_T (A_t \sharp B_t) d\mu(t) \leq \left( \int_T A_t d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left( \int_T B_t d\mu(t) \right).$$

*Proof.* Put  $A = \int_T A_t d\mu(t)$  and  $B = \int_T B_t d\mu(t)$ . we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left( \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T A_t d\mu(t) \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_T \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_T C_t^* (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) C_t d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $C = B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  so that  $\int_T C_t^* C_t d\mu(t) = I$ . It follows from the operator concavity of the function  $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_T C_t^* (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) C_t d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \geq \int_T C_t^* \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_t d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by the operator Jensen inequality}) \\ & = \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \left( \int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

It follows that from (5) and (6) that

$$\left( B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

from which we get the desired result.  $\square$

Now we present a property of the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional of an operator log-convex function.

**Theorem 3.2.** *A continuous function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is operator log-convex function if and only if*

$$\Theta \left( \tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \leq \left( \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \sharp \left( \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

for all continuous fields  $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $\tilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$  of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that if  $f$  is operator log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\Theta$  is operator log-convex in its first variable. Assume that  $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $\tilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$  are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For every  $t \in T$

$$\begin{aligned} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) &= f \left( \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \nabla \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ &\leq f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sharp f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we use the operator log-convexity of  $f$ . Multiplying both sides of (8) by  $B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sharp f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \sharp B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$



The last equality follows from the property of (geometric) means. Integrating (9) over  $T$  and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \int_T \left( B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \# B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by (9)}) \\ & \leq \left( \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right) \# \left( \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\Theta \left( \tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \leq \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \# \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right). \quad (10)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta \left( \tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) & \leq \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \# \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \quad (\text{by (10)}) \\ & \leq \left( \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \# \left( \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left( \tilde{C}, \tilde{D} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the joint operator convexity of  $\Theta$  [10] and monotonicity of operator means.

Assume for the converse that  $\Theta$  satisfies (7). Let  $T = \{1\}$  and  $\mu$  be the counting measure on  $T$ . Let  $A$  and  $C$  be strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then

$$f(A \nabla C) = \Theta(A \nabla C, I) \leq \Theta(A, I) \# \Theta(C, I) = f(A) \# f(C),$$

which means that  $f$  is operator log-convex.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Infact Theorem 3.2 assert that  $f$  is operator log-convex if and only if the non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional  $\Theta$  is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

**Corollary 3.4.** *A continuous non-negative function  $f$  is operator log-convex function if and only if the associated perspective function  $g$  is operator log-convex function in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.*

The next theorem provides a Choi–Davis–Jensen type inequality for perspectives of operator log-convex functions.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a continuous function and  $g$  be the associated perspective function. Then  $f$  is operator log-convex if and only if*

$$g \left( \int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t), \int_T \Phi_t(B_t) d\mu(t) \right) \leq \left( \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \right) \# \left( \int_T \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \right) \quad (11)$$

for all unital fields  $\tilde{\Phi} = (\Phi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  of positive linear maps and all continuous fields  $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}, \tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$  of strictly positive operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is operator log convex. Then  $f$  is operator convex and so  $g$  is jointly operator convex [3, 2]. Put  $X = \int_T \Phi_s(B_s) d\mu(s)$  and let the continuous field of positive linear mappings  $(\Psi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  be defined by

$$\Psi_t(Y) = X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_t \left( B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} Y B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) X^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

so that  $\int_T \Psi_t(I) d\mu(t) = I$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g \left( \int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t), \int_T \Phi_t(B_t) d\mu(t) \right) &= X^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t) X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= X^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( \int_T \Psi_t \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) d\mu(t) \right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq X^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_T \Psi_t \left( f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) d\mu(t) \right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{by the Jensen operator inequality}) \\ &= \int_T \Phi_t \left( B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left( B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t \nabla C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) \sharp g(C_t, B_t) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by Corollary 3.4}) \\ &\leq \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) \sharp \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by operator concavity of } \sharp) \\ &\leq \left( \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left( \int_T \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, put  $T = \{1\}$  and let  $\mu$  be the counting measure on  $T$ . If  $A$  and  $C$  are strictly positive, then with  $\Phi(A) = A$  and  $B = I$ , inequality (11) implies the operator log-convexity of  $f$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** Let the operator log-convex function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be defined by  $f(t) = t^{-1}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$g(\Phi(A \nabla C), \Phi(B)) \leq \Phi(g(A, B)) \sharp \Phi(g(C, B))$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi(B) \Phi(A \nabla C)^{-1} \Phi(B) \leq \Phi(BA^{-1}B) \sharp \Phi(BC^{-1}B).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A \nabla C)^{-1} &\leq \Phi(B)^{-1} \left( \Phi(BA^{-1}B) \sharp \Phi(BC^{-1}B) \right) \Phi(B)^{-1} \\ &= \Phi(B)^{-1} \Phi(BA^{-1}B) \Phi(B)^{-1} \sharp \Phi(B)^{-1} \Phi(BC^{-1}B) \Phi(B)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that it follows from the operator convexity of  $f$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} &= \left( \frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(C)}{2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(A)^{-1} + \Phi(C)^{-1}}{2} \quad (\text{by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(A^{-1}) + \Phi(C^{-1})}{2} \quad (\text{by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

while with  $B = I$ , inequality (12) provides a sharper inequality:

$$\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-1}) \sharp \Phi(C^{-1}) \leq \frac{\Phi(A^{-1}) + \Phi(C^{-1})}{2}.$$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be strictly positive operators. If  $f$  is an operator log-convex function and  $g$  is its perspective function, then*

$$g\left(\left\langle \frac{A+C}{2}x, x \right\rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle\right) \leq \sqrt{\langle g(A, B)x, x \rangle \langle g(C, B)x, x \rangle}$$

for every unit vector  $x$ .

**Example 3.8.** Applying Corollary 3.7 to the operator log-convex function  $f(t) = t^{-1}$  defined on  $(0, \infty)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Bx, x \rangle \left\langle \frac{A+C}{2}x, x \right\rangle^{-1} \langle Bx, x \rangle &\leq \sqrt{\langle BA^{-1}Bx, x \rangle \langle BC^{-1}Bx, x \rangle} \\ &\leq \left\langle B \left( \frac{A^{-1} + C^{-1}}{2} \right) Bx, x \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every unit vector  $x$ .

## REFERENCES

1. T. Ando and F. Hiai, *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*, arXiv:0911.5267v4 [math.FA], (2010).
2. A. Ebadian, E. Nikoufar and M.E. Gordji, *Perspectives of matrix convex functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **108** (2011), no. 18 7313–7314.
3. E.G. Effros, *A matrix convexity approach to some celebrated quantum inequalities*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **106** (2009), no. 4, 1006–1008.
4. T. Furuta, H. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities*, Zagreb, Element, 2005.
5. F. Hansen, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, *Jensen’s operator inequality and its converses*, Math. Scand. **100** (2007), no. 1, 61–73.
6. F. Hansen and G.K. Pedersen, *Jensen’s operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 4, 553–564.
7. S. Ivelić, A. Matković and J. Pečarić, *On a Jensen–Mercer operator inequality*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), no. 1, 19–28.
8. M. Kian and M.S. Moslehian, *Operator inequalities related to  $Q$ -class functions*, Math. Slovaca, (to appear).
9. F. Kudo and T. Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann. **246** (1980), 205–224.
10. M.S. Moslehian and M. Kian, *Non-commutative  $f$ -divergence functional*, Math. Nachr., 1–16 (2013), DOI 10.1002/mana.201200194.

MOHSEN KIAN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. Box: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BOJNORD, P. O. BOX 1339, BOJNORD 94531, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* `kian@member.ams.org` and `kian@ub.ac.ir`.