# Invariant Scalar Product

on

Extended Poincaré Algebra

George Savvidy

Department of Physics, CERN Theory Division CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Demokritos National Research Center, Ag. Paraskevi, Athens, Greece

#### Abstract

Two methods can be used to calculate explicitly the Killing form on a Lie algebra. The first one is a direct calculation of the traces of the generators in a matrix representation of the algebra, and the second one is the usage of the group invariance of the scalar product. We use both methods in our calculation of the scalar product on the extended Poincaré algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  in order to have a cross check of our results. The algebra is infinitedimensional and requires careful treatment of the infinities. The scalar product on the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  found by both methods coincides and the important conclusion which follows is that Poincaré generators are orthogonal to the gauge generators.

### **1** Introduction

The algebra is defined as follows [1, 2, 3]:

$$[P^{\mu}, P^{\nu}] = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$
$$[M^{\mu\nu}, P^{\lambda}] = i(\eta^{\lambda\nu} P^{\mu} - \eta^{\lambda\mu} P^{\nu}),$$
$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\lambda\rho}] = i(\eta^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\lambda} - \eta^{\mu\lambda} M^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\nu\lambda} M^{\mu\rho} - \eta^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\lambda}),$$

$$[P^{\mu}, L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{s}}] = 0,$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{s}}] = i(\eta^{\lambda_{1}\nu}L_{a}^{\mu\lambda_{2}\dots\lambda_{s}} - \eta^{\lambda_{1}\mu}L_{a}^{\nu\lambda_{2}\dots\lambda_{s}} + \dots + \eta^{\lambda_{s}\nu}L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{s-1}\mu} - \eta^{\lambda_{s}\mu}L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{s-1}\nu}),$$

$$(1.2)$$

$$[L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_i}, L_b^{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}] = i f_{ab}^c L_c^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s} \quad (\mu, \nu, \rho, \lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3; \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, ...),$$
(1.3)

where the flat space-time metric is  $\eta^{\mu\nu} = diag(+1, -1, -1, -1)$ . One can check that all Jacoby identities are satisfied and we have an example of a fully consistent algebra. The algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  incorporates the Poincaré algebra  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  and an internal algebra  $L_G$  in a nontrivial way, which is different from the direct product. The generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  have a nonzero commutation relation with  $M^{\mu\nu}$ , which means that the generators of this new symmetry have nontrivial Lorentz transformation and carry a spin different from zero. The generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  in (1.3) commute to themselves forming an infinite series of commutators of current subalgebra which cannot be truncated, so that the index *s* runs from zero to infinity. We have here an example of an infinitely-dimensional current subalgebra [4, 5].

The algebra is invariant with respect to the following gauge transformations:

$$L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}...\lambda_{s}} \to L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}...\lambda_{s}} + \sum_{1} P^{\lambda_{1}} L_{a}^{\lambda_{2}...\lambda_{s}} + \sum_{2} P^{\lambda_{1}} P^{\lambda_{2}} L_{a}^{\lambda_{3}...\lambda_{s}} + \dots + P^{\lambda_{1}}...P^{\lambda_{s}} L_{a} \quad (1.4)$$
$$M^{\mu\nu} \to M^{\mu\nu}, \qquad P^{\lambda} \to P^{\lambda},$$

where the sums  $\sum_{1}, \sum_{2}, ...$  are over all inequivalent index permutations. The algebra  $L_{G}(\mathcal{P})$  has representation in terms of differential operators of the form:

$$P^{\mu} = k^{\mu},$$

$$M^{\mu\nu} = i(k^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\nu}} - k^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\mu}}) + i(e^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{\nu}} - e^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{\mu}}),$$

$$L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}...\lambda_{s}} = e^{\lambda_{1}}...e^{\lambda_{s}} \otimes L_{a},$$
(1.5)

where  $e^{\lambda} \in M^4$  is a translationally invariant space-time vector.

It is worthwhile to compare the above extension of the Poincaré algebra with the one which has been considered long ago by Ogievetski, Ivanov and others in a series of articles [6, 7, 8, 9]. These authors were developing the idea that gauge bosons such as the photon, the Yang-Mills quanta and the graviton are Goldstone particles and therefore the spontaneously broken symmetry is more profound and general concept than the gauge symmetry [7]. In their approach the gauge transformations were considered as constant parameter transformations of a group which has an infinite number of generators  $Q_a^{\mu_1...\mu_n} = x^{\mu_1}...x^{\mu_n}L_a$  together with the Poincaré generators

$$P^{\mu} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \quad , \qquad M^{\mu\nu} = i \left( x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} - x^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \right)$$
$$Q_{a}^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{n}} = x^{\mu_{1}}...x^{\mu_{n}} L_{a} \; .$$

The generators  $Q_a^{\mu_1...\mu_n}$  are not translationally invariant because  $[P^{\mu}, Q_a^{\mu_1...\mu_n}] \neq 0$ , therefore they are essentially different from the generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  with their vanishing commutators  $[P^{\mu}, L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}] = 0$  in (1.2). Still there is a similarity between the generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ and  $Q_a^{\mu_1...\mu_n}$ .

An example of the infinite-dimensional algebra which contains Lorentz subalgebra and the high-rank multispinor generators  $Q^{\alpha_1...\alpha_n\dot{\beta}_1...\dot{\beta}_m}$  were considered in the article of Vasiliev [10]. It is a generalization of the  $L_{sp(4)}$  algebra to the infinite-dimensional associative algebra  $L_{hs(4)}$  spanned by high order polynomials constructed from mutually conjugated spinor oscillators. In this algebra there are no internal charges  $L_a$  associated with the generators  $Q^{\alpha_1...\alpha_n\dot{\beta}_1...\dot{\beta}_m}$ . The details of the calculations concerning the traces of the generators on  $L_{hs(4)}$  can be found in [10, 11].

For the purposes of constructing field-theoretical models based on a larger group of symmetry one should define the notion of a trace for the fields taking values on the extended algebra and in particular on  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ . In the next section we shall recollect the useful formulae defining the structure of the invariant scalar product both for the compact and noncompact finite-dimensional Lie algebras and in particular the invariant scalar product of the Poincaré generators. Two methods can be used to calculate explicitly the Killing form. The first one is a direct calculation of the traces of the generators in a matrix representation of the algebra, and the second one is the usage of the group invariance of the scalar product. We shall use both methods in our calculation of the scalar product on the extended Poincaré algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  in order to have a cross check of our final result. The reason is that the algebra is infinite-dimensional and requires careful treatment of the infinities. In the third section we shall use the explicit matrix representation of the  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  algebra generators to calculate the traces and in the fourth section we shall use group invariance of the scalar product. The results for the scalar product on the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  found by both methods coincide and are presented in the following table:

$$L_G: \qquad \langle L_a; L_b \rangle = \delta_{ab}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}: \qquad \langle P^{\mu}; P^{\nu} \rangle = 0 \langle M_{\mu\nu}; P_{\lambda} \rangle = 0 \langle M^{\mu\nu}; M^{\lambda\rho} \rangle = \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\lambda}$$
(1.7)

$$L_G(\mathcal{P}): \qquad \langle P^{\mu}; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0, \langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0, \qquad (1.8)$$

$$\langle L_a; L_b^{\lambda_1} \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle L_a^{\lambda_1}; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle = \delta_{ab} \ \eta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2},$$

$$\langle L_a; L_b^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \rangle = \delta_{ab} \ \eta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2},$$

$$\langle L_a^{\lambda_1}; L_b^{\lambda_2 \lambda_3} \rangle = 0,$$

$$(1.9)$$

$$\begin{split} \langle L_a^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_n}; L_b^{\lambda_{n+1}\dots\lambda_{2s+1}} \rangle &= 0, \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \langle L_a^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_n}; L_b^{\lambda_{n+1}\dots\lambda_{2s}} \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \ s! \ (\eta^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\eta^{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\dots\eta^{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}} + \text{perm}). \end{split}$$

The Killing forms on the internal  $L_G$  and on the Poincaré  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  subalgebras are well known (1.6), (1.7). The important conclusion which follows from the above result is that Poincaré generators  $P^{\mu}$ ,  $M^{\mu\nu}$  are orthogonal to the gauge generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  (1.8). The last formulas (1.9) represent the Killing form on the current algebra (1.3).

### **2** Invariant Killing Forms

Our intension is to define the invariant scalar product on the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ . Let us recollect the structure of the invariant scalar product in the cases of finite-dimensional Lie algebras  $L_G$  [12]. The scalar product of arbitrary two elements  $X = X^a L_a$  and  $Y = Y^a L_a$  of the algebra is defined as a trace of the generators in the adjoint representation  $(L_a)_d^c = i f_{ad}^c$ :

$$\langle X; Y \rangle = tr(ad \ X \ ad \ Y) = X^a(L_a)^c_d \ Y^b(L_b)^d_c = X^a i f^c_{ad} \ Y^b i f^d_{bc} = g_{ab} \ X^a Y^b ,$$
 (2.1)

where the associated Cartan metric  $g_{ab}$  is

$$g_{ab} = i f_{ad}^c \ i f_{bc}^d \ . \tag{2.2}$$

If X and Y are the single generators we shall have

$$\langle L_a; L_b \rangle = g_{ab}. \tag{2.3}$$

The scalar product, if defined as a trace of the generators, depends only on the scales set by choice of a given representation and not on a particular representation used, therefore it is convenient to take the generators in the adjoint representation and express the scalar product in terms of the structure constants, as it is in (2.1) and (2.2).

The last two expressions can be used to find the explicit form of the scalar product for a specific algebra. So defined scalar product is symmetric and bilinear:

$$\langle X; Y \rangle = \langle Y; X \rangle, \quad \langle \alpha X + \beta Y; Z \rangle = \alpha \langle X; Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y; Z \rangle,$$

where  $X = X^a L_a$ ,  $Y = Y^a L_a$ ,  $Z = Z^a L_a$  and is invariant under the action of the group

$$\langle gXg^{-1}; gYg^{-1} \rangle = \langle X; Y \rangle \qquad g \in G$$
.

For infinitesimal group elements it is equivalent to the expression [5, 12]:

$$\langle [X,Y];Z] \rangle + \langle Y;[X,Z] \rangle = 0 \qquad X,Y,Z \in L_G , \qquad (2.4)$$

which can also be used to define the invariant scalar product on the algebra. In the subsequent sections we shall use both methods to find scalar product on the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ . Because the representations of this algebra are infinite-dimensional, it is important to have an independent check by both methods, that is, by direct computation of traces (2.1) and by using the group invariance of the scalar product (2.4).

As an example let us consider the general linear algebra  $L_{gl(n)}$  which is defined by the following commutation relation [12]:

$$[L_{ij}, L_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} \ L_{il} - \delta_{il} \ L_{kj}, \tag{2.5}$$

i,j,k,...=1,2,...,n, with its structure constants

$$if_{ij,kl}^{sm} = \delta_i^s \delta_{jk} \delta_l^m - \delta_k^s \delta_{il} \delta_j^m, \qquad (2.6)$$

so that for the Cartan metric (2.2) one can get

$$\langle L_{ij}; L_{pr} \rangle = g_{ij,pr} = i f_{ij,kl}^{sm} \ i f_{pr,sm}^{kl} = 2n \delta_{ir} \delta_{jp} - 2\delta_{ij} \delta_{pr}, \tag{2.7}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\langle X; Y \rangle = \langle X^{ij} L_{ij}; Y^{pr} L_{pr} \rangle = 2n \ tr(XY) - 2tr(X)tr(Y).$$
(2.8)

For the  $L_{so(p,q)}$  algebra with its commutation relation

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = \eta_{AD} \ M_{BC} - \eta_{AC} \ M_{BD} + \eta_{BC} \ M_{AD} - \eta_{BD} \ M_{AC}, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\eta_{AB} = diag(+1, ..., +1, -1, ..., -1)$  is a diagonal matrix with p minuses and q pluses, we have

$$if_{AB,CD}^{KL} = \delta_B^K \eta_{AD} \delta_C^L - \delta_B^K \eta_{AC} \delta_D^L + \delta_A^K \eta_{BC} \delta_D^L - \delta_A^K \eta_{BD} \delta_C^L ,$$

and using (2.2) one can get the following expression for the Cartan metric [12]:

$$\langle M_{AB}; M_{CD} \rangle = g_{AB,CD} = (2p + 2q - 4)(\eta_{AD}\eta_{BC} - \eta_{AC}\eta_{BD}),$$
 (2.10)

or, equivalently,

$$\langle X; Y \rangle = \langle X^{AB} M_{AB}; Y^{CD} M_{CD} \rangle = (p+q-2) tr(X-\tilde{X}) \cdot (Y-\tilde{Y}).$$
(2.11)

Because the Poincaré algebra  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  can be considered as a contraction of the de Sitter algebra  $L_{so(3,2)}$  with  $\eta_{AB} = diag(+--+)$ , A,B=(0,1,2,3,4) by taking  $M_{4\mu} = RP_{\mu}$  and  $R \to \infty$ , where  $\mu, \nu, \dots = (0, 1, 2, 3)$ , we can calculate the scalar product on  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  using invariant scalar product (2.10):

$$\langle P_{\mu}; P_{\nu} \rangle = \frac{1}{R^2} \langle M_{4\mu}; M_{4\nu} \rangle = -\frac{6}{R^2} \eta_{44} \eta_{\mu\nu} \to 0$$
  
$$\langle M_{\mu\nu}; P_{\lambda} \rangle = \frac{1}{R} \langle M_{\mu\nu}; M_{4\lambda} \rangle = \frac{6}{R} (\eta_{\mu\lambda} \eta_{\nu4} - \eta_{\nu\lambda} \eta_{\mu4}) = 0$$
  
$$\langle M_{\mu\nu}; M_{\lambda\rho} \rangle = 6 (\eta_{\mu\lambda} \eta_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\lambda} \eta_{\mu\rho}) = 0.$$

Thus the scalar product of the Poincaré generators is defined as follows:

$$\langle P_{\mu}; P_{\nu} \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle M_{\mu\nu}; P_{\lambda} \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle M_{\mu\nu}; M_{\lambda\rho} \rangle = \eta_{\mu\lambda} \eta_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\lambda}$$

$$(2.12)$$

If the Cartan metric  $g_{ab}$  of the semi-simple algebra  $L_G$  is positive definite, then the corresponding algebra is compact and one can choose the basis of the generators  $\{L_a\}$  of  $L_G$  so that  $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$  and define the covariant rank-3 tensor as

$$f_{abc} = f^d_{ab} \ g_{dc} = f^c_{ab}.$$

It is antisymmetric over (a,b) and, as one can see from the relation

$$f_{abc} = f_{ab}^d \ g_{dc} = f_{ab}^d \ f_{dm}^n \ f_{cn}^m = f_{ad}^n \ f_{bm}^d \ f_{cn}^m + f_{bd}^n \ f_{ma}^d \ f_{cn}^m,$$

it is symmetric over cyclic permutations of  $(a,b,c)^1$ , and thus it is totally antisymmetric. In summary, for a compact semi-simple Lie algebra  $L_G$  one can choose a basis of the generators  $\{L_a\}$  such that the generators are orthogonal [12]

$$\langle L_a; L_b \rangle = \delta_{ab} \tag{2.13}$$

and the structure constants  $f_{abc}$  are totally antisymmetric.

### **3** Killing Form on $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ Algebra

Now let us define the invariant scalar product on the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ . As we mentioned above, there are two ways by which we can define a scalar product. The first one is a direct calculation of the traces of the generators in the matrix representations of the algebra as in (2.1), and the second one is the usage of the group invariance of the scalar product (2.4). We shall proceed with the explicit matrix representation of the  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  generators. These representations have been constructed in [2, 3]. It has the representation of the following form:

$$P^{\mu} = k^{\mu},$$

$$M^{\mu\nu} = i(k^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\nu}} - k^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\mu}}) + i(\xi^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu}} - \xi^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\mu}})$$

$$L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}...\lambda_{s}} = \xi^{\lambda_{1}}...\xi^{\lambda_{s}} \otimes L_{a},$$
(3.1)

where the vector space is parameterized by momentum coordinates  $k^{\mu}$  and translationally invariant vector variables  $\xi^{\mu}$ :

$$\Psi(k^{\mu},\xi^{\nu}) . \tag{3.2}$$

The irreducible representations can be obtained from (3.1) by imposing invariant constraints on the vector space of functions (3.2) of the following form [13, 14, 15, 16]:

$$k^2 = 0, \quad k^{\mu}\xi_{\mu} = 0, \quad \xi^2 = -1.$$
 (3.3)

These equations have a unique solution

$$\xi^{\mu} = \xi k^{\mu} + e_1^{\mu} \cos \varphi + e_2^{\mu} \sin \varphi, \qquad (3.4)$$

<sup>1</sup>One should use the Jacobi identity  $f_{ab}^d$   $f_{dm}^n = -f_{md}^n$   $f_{ab}^d = f_{ad}^n$   $f_{bm}^d + f_{bd}^n$   $f_{ma}^d$ .

where  $e_1^{\mu} = (0, 1, 0, 0), \ e_2^{\mu} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$  when  $k^{\mu} = \omega(1, 0, 0, 1)$ . The invariant subspace of functions (3.1) now reduces to the form

$$\Psi(k^{\mu},\xi^{\nu}) \ \delta(k^2) \ \delta(k\cdot\xi) \ \delta(\xi^2+1) = \Phi(k^{\mu},\varphi,\xi,), \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\varphi$  remain as independent variables on the cylinder  $\varphi \in S^1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^1$ . The generators of the little group L [13, 16, 17], which leave the fixed momentum  $k^{\mu} = k(1, 0, 0, 1)$  invariant, form the E(2) algebra:

$$[h,\pi^{'}]=i\pi^{''},\quad [h,\pi^{''}]=-i\pi^{'},\quad [\pi^{'},\pi^{''}]=0\ ,$$

where  $h = M_{12}$ ,  $\pi' = M_{10} + M_{13}$ ,  $\pi'' = M_{20} + M_{23}$ . Notice that the transformations which are generated by  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  also leave the manifold of states with fixed momentum invariant, so that we have to add them to the little algebra L [2, 3]:

$$h, \pi', \pi'', L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}.$$

The representation of the little algebra L in terms of differential operators is of the form

$$h = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi},$$
  
$$\pi' = \rho \cos\varphi, \quad \pi'' = \rho \sin\varphi, \quad \rho = -\frac{i}{\omega}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi},$$
 (3.6)

and taking into account (3.4) the  $L_a^{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_s}$  generators take the form

$$L_{a}^{\perp \ \mu_{1}...\mu_{s}} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (\xi k^{\mu_{i}} + e_{1}^{\mu_{i}} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\mu_{i}} \sin \varphi) \oplus L_{a}.$$
(3.7)

This is a purely transversal representation in the sense that

$$k_{\lambda_1} L_a^{\perp \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3.8)

Below we shall essentially use the operator representation (3.6) and (3.7) to calculate matrix elements and traces of the operator products. It is also important to know the helicity content of the gauge operators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ . The Poincaré generators  $\pi^{\pm} = \pi' \pm \pi''$ carry helicities h = (1, -1). The  $L_a^{\pm} = L_a^1 \pm iL_a^2$  carry helicities h = (1, -1), as seen from  $[h, L_a^{\pm}] = \pm L_a^{\pm}$ . The rank-2 generators  $L_a^{++}, L_a^{--}$ 

$$L_a^{++} = L_a^{11} + 2iL_a^{12} - L_a^{22}, \qquad L_a^{+-} = L_a^{11} + L_a^{22}, \qquad L_a^{--} = L_a^{11} - 2iL_a^{12} - L_a^{22},$$

carry helicities h = (2, 0, -2) because  $[h, L_a^{\pm\pm}] = \pm 2L_a^{\pm\pm}$ ,  $[h, L_a^{+-}] = 0$ . In general the rank-s  $(L_a^{+\dots+}, \dots, L_a^{-\dots-})$  generators carry helicities in the following range:

$$h = (s, s - 2, \dots, -s + 2, -s), \tag{3.9}$$

in total s+1 states. This result proves that the algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  has representations which describe propagation of the high helicity charged states.

Having in hand the explicit representation of the  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  generators we can find their matrix elements and calculate the corresponding traces of the generators. As a basis of functions on a cylinder  $\varphi \in S^1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^1$  we shall take (see Appendix A for details)

$$|n,m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{in\varphi} \frac{\exp(-\xi^2/2)}{\sqrt{2^m m! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_m(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{in\varphi} \psi_m(\xi),$$

where  $H_m(\xi)$  are Hermite polynomials and the trace should be defined as

$$\langle A; B \rangle = \sum_{n,m} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi d\xi \langle n, m | AB | n, m \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m} \sum_{k,l} \langle n, m | A | k, l \rangle \langle k, l | B | n, m \rangle.$$

$$(3.10)$$

The traces of the Poincaré generators (3.6) can be easily computed:

$$\langle h; \pi' \rangle = \sum_{n,m} \sum_{k,l} \langle n,m|h|k,l \rangle \langle k,l|\pi'|n,m \rangle =$$
$$= \sum_{n,m} \sum_{k,l} k \delta_{n,k} \delta_{m,l} \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{k,n+1} + \delta_{k,n-1}) (\sqrt{2m} \ \delta_{l,m-1} - \sqrt{2(m+1)} \delta_{l,m+1}) = 0,$$

and in a similar way  $\langle h; \pi' \rangle = \langle \pi'; \pi'' \rangle = 0$ . This explicit calculation confirms the previous result (2.12), which we had for the Poincaré generators. Indeed, the Little algebra generators are defined as  $h = M_{12}$ ,  $\pi' = M_{10} + M_{13}$ ,  $\pi'' = M_{20} + M_{23}$  and if one takes into account that the scalar product between  $M_{\mu\nu}$  generators is given by (2.12) we shall see that  $h, \pi'$  and  $\pi''$  are indeed orthogonal.

Now we are prepared to calculate the traces between Poincaré generators and the gauge generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ . We have

$$\langle h; L_a \rangle = \langle n, m | h | r, l \rangle \langle r, l | L_a | n, m \rangle =$$

$$= k \delta_{n,r} \delta_{m,l} \ \delta_{r,n} \delta_{m,l} \langle 1; L_a \rangle = 0,$$

that is they are orthogonal. For the vector generator  $L^{\mu}_{a}$  we shall get

$$\langle h; L_a^{\mu} \rangle = \langle n, m | h | r, l \rangle \langle r, l | \xi k^{\mu} + e_1^{\mu} \cos \varphi + e_2^{\mu} \sin \varphi | n, m \rangle \langle 1; L_a \rangle =$$
$$= r \delta_{n,r} \delta_{m,l} \left\{ k^{\mu} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \, \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \delta_{l,m+1} \right) \delta_{r,n} + \left( e_+^{\mu} \delta_{r,n+1} + e_-^{\mu} \delta_{r,n-1} \right) \delta_{l,m} \right\} \langle 1; L_a \rangle = 0,$$

where  $2e_{\pm}^{\mu} = e_{1}^{\mu} \mp i e_{2}^{\mu}$  and in general one can get convinced that generators  $h, \pi', \pi''$  and gauge generators  $L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}...\lambda_{s}}$  are orthogonal to each other:

$$\langle h; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0,$$

$$L: \qquad \langle \pi'; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \pi''; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0.$$

$$(3.11)$$

This statement can be extended to all Lorentz generators since a state of the Hilbert space with fixed momentum  $k^{\mu}$  will transform to the state with momentum  $k' = \Lambda k$ if one applies the group operator  $U_{\Lambda}$  corresponding to the Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}$ . Therefore we have

$$L_G(\mathcal{P}): \qquad \langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Finally we have to calculate the scalar product between gauge generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ . For the compact Lie algebra  $L_G$  we have (2.13)

$$L_G: \qquad \langle L_a; L_b \rangle = \delta_{ab},$$

and then with the first level generator  $L^{\mu}_b$ 

$$\langle L_a; L_b^{\mu} \rangle = \langle n, m | r, l \rangle \langle r, l | \xi k^{\mu} + e_1^{\mu} \cos \varphi + e_2^{\mu} \sin \varphi | n, m \rangle \langle L_a; L_b \rangle =$$
  
=  $\delta_{n,r} \delta_{m,l} \left\{ k^{\mu} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \, \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \delta_{l,m+1} \right) \delta_{r,n} + \left( e_+^{\mu} \delta_{r,n+1} + e_-^{\mu} \delta_{r,n-1} \right) \delta_{l,m} \right\} \delta_{ab} = 0.$ 

The scalar product between first and third level generators also nullifies, as one can see from the following calculation:

$$\langle L_{a}^{\mu}; L_{b}^{\nu\rho} \rangle = \langle n, m | \xi k^{\mu} + e_{1}^{\mu} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\mu} \sin \varphi | r, l \rangle$$

$$\langle r, l | (\xi k^{\nu} + e_{1}^{\nu} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\nu} \sin \varphi) (\xi k^{\rho} + e_{1}^{\rho} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\rho} \sin \varphi) | n, m \rangle \langle L_{a}; L_{b} \rangle =$$

$$= \{ k^{\mu} (\sqrt{\frac{l}{2}} \, \delta_{m,l-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(l+1)}{2}} \delta_{m,l+1}) \delta_{n,r} + (e_{+}^{\mu} \delta_{n,r+1} + e_{-}^{\mu} \delta_{n,r-1}) \delta_{m,l} \} \times$$

$$\{ k^{\nu} k^{\rho} (\sqrt{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}} \, \delta_{l,m-2} + \frac{2m+1}{2} \, \delta_{l,m} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{4}} \delta_{l,m+2}) \delta_{r,n} +$$

$$+ k^{\nu} (\sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \, \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \delta_{l,m+1}) (e_{+}^{\rho} \delta_{r,n+1} + e_{-}^{\rho} \delta_{r,n-1}) +$$

$$+ (e_{+}^{\nu} \delta_{r,n+1} + e_{-}^{\nu} \delta_{r,n-1}) k^{\rho} (\sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \, \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \delta_{l,m+1}) +$$

$$+ \delta_{l,m} (e_{+}^{\nu} e_{+}^{\rho} \delta_{r,n+2} + (e_{+}^{\nu} e_{-}^{\rho} + e_{-}^{\nu} e_{-}^{\rho} \delta_{r,n-2}) \} \delta_{ab} = 0.$$

Generally when the total number of Lorentz indices in the scalar product is odd one finds that the scalar product vanishes:  $\langle L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_n}; L_b^{\lambda_{n+1}...\lambda_{2s+1}} \rangle = 0$ . The scalar product is

nonzero when the number of indices is  $even^2$ :

$$\langle L_{a}^{\mu}; L_{b}^{\nu} \rangle = \langle n, m | \xi k^{\mu} + e_{1}^{\mu} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\mu} \sin \varphi | r, l \rangle$$

$$\langle r, l | \xi k^{\nu} + e_{1}^{\nu} \cos \varphi + e_{2}^{\nu} \sin \varphi | n, m \rangle \langle L_{a}; L_{b} \rangle =$$

$$= \{ k^{\mu} (\sqrt{\frac{l}{2}} \, \delta_{m,l-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(l+1)}{2}} \delta_{m,l+1}) \delta_{n,r} + (e_{+}^{\mu} \delta_{n,r+1} + e_{-}^{\mu} \delta_{n,r-1}) \delta_{m,l} \}$$

$$\{ k^{\nu} (\sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \, \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \delta_{l,m+1}) \delta_{r,n} + (e_{+}^{\nu} \delta_{r,n+1} + e_{-}^{\nu} \delta_{r,n-1}) \delta_{l,m} \} \delta_{ab} =$$

$$= \delta_{ab} \sum_{n,m} \{ k^{\mu} k^{\nu} (m+1/2) + e_{+}^{\mu} e_{-}^{\nu} + e_{-}^{\mu} e_{+}^{\nu} \}.$$

$$(3.14)$$

We should also average over all orientations of the momentum  $k^{\mu}$ . Its rotation is generated by the application of the group operator  $U_{\Lambda}$  corresponding to the Lorentz rotation with the group parameters  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}$ . This average in nonzero and proportional to the  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ , thus we find that

$$\langle L_a^{\mu}; L_b^{\nu} \rangle = \delta_{ab} \eta^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.15}$$

In a similar way

$$\langle L_a; L_b^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \delta_{ab} \eta^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.16}$$

We can summarize now the structure of the scalar product of the extended algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The sum in the trace can be regularized by defining the traces of the infinite-dimensional matrices (3.10) by the exponential weight factor  $\exp(-n^2 - m^2)$ .

in the following table:

$$L_G:$$
  $\langle L_a; L_b \rangle = \delta_{ab},$ 

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}: \qquad \langle P^{\mu}; P^{\nu} \rangle = 0 \langle M_{\mu\nu}; P_{\lambda} \rangle = 0 \langle M^{\mu\nu}; M^{\lambda\rho} \rangle = \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\lambda}$$
(3.17)

$$L_G(\mathcal{P}): \qquad \langle P^{\mu}; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0, \langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_a^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s} \rangle = 0, \qquad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{split} \langle L_{a}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{1}} \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{2}} \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \ \eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}, \\ \langle L_{a}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \ \eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}, \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}} \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}} \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}} \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \ 2! \ (\eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}\eta^{\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}} + \eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{3}}\eta^{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{4}} + \eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{4}}\eta^{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}}) \\ & \dots \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{n}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{n+1}\dots\lambda_{2s+1}} \rangle &= 0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \langle L_{a}^{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{n}}; L_{b}^{\lambda_{n+1}\dots\lambda_{2s}} \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \ s! \ (\eta^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}\eta^{\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}}\dots\eta^{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}} + \text{perm}), \end{split}$$

The most important conclusion which can be drawn upon above computation is that Poincaré generators  $P^{\mu}$ ,  $M^{\mu\nu}$  are orthogonal to the gauge generators  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ .

Our intention is to derive the expression for the scalar products, this time using the invariance of the scalar product under the action of group transformations which is expressed by the equation (2.4). New derivation will provide us with an independent cross check of the direct calculation of the traces of infinite dimensional matrices which we performed in this section.

## 4 Group Invariance of the Scalar Product

Let us first consider the Poincaré algebra  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$ . The invariant equation for the scalar product has the form (2.4)

$$\langle X; [Y,Z] \rangle + \langle [X,Z]; Y \rangle = 0 \qquad X, Y, Z \in L_G ,$$

and we shall take  $X = P^{\rho}, Y = M^{\mu\nu}$  and  $Z = P^{\lambda}$ . The above equation reduces to

$$\langle P^{\rho}; [M^{\mu\nu}, P^{\lambda}] \rangle + \langle [P^{\rho}, P^{\lambda}]; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0 ,$$

or using the definition of the commutation relations of the  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  algebra (1.1) we shall get

$$\eta^{\nu\lambda} \langle P^{\rho}; P^{\mu} \rangle - \eta^{\mu\lambda} \langle P^{\rho}; P^{\nu} \rangle = 0.$$
(4.1)

At  $\nu = \lambda = 0, \mu = \rho = 1$  we shall get  $\langle P^1; P^1 \rangle = 0$ , at  $\nu = \lambda = 1, \mu = \rho = 0$  we shall get  $\langle P^0; P^0 \rangle = 0$ , at  $\nu = \lambda = 2, \mu = 1, \rho = 0$  we shall get  $\langle P^0; P^1 \rangle = 0$  and so on. This derivation confirms our previous calculation of the product of momentum generators (2.12).

Taking  $X = P^{\sigma}, Y = M^{\mu\nu}$  and  $Z = M^{\lambda\rho}$  the equation (2.4) takes the form

$$\langle P^{\sigma}; [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\lambda\rho}] \rangle + \langle [P^{\sigma}, M^{\lambda\rho}]; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0 ,$$

or using the  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  algebra (1.1)

$$\eta^{\mu\rho} \langle P^{\sigma}; M^{\nu\lambda} \rangle - \eta^{\mu\lambda} \langle P^{\sigma}; M^{\nu\rho} \rangle +$$
  
$$\eta^{\nu\lambda} \langle P^{\sigma}; M^{\mu\rho} \rangle - \eta^{\nu\rho} \langle P^{\sigma}; M^{\mu\lambda} \rangle +$$
  
$$\eta^{\sigma\lambda} \langle P^{\rho}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle - \eta^{\sigma\rho} \langle P^{\lambda}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0.$$
  
(4.2)

At  $\mu = \rho = 0, \sigma = 1, \nu = 2, \lambda = 2$  we shall get  $\langle P^1; M^{23} \rangle = 0$ , at  $\mu = \rho = 1, \sigma = 0, \nu = 2, \lambda = 3$  we shall get  $\langle P^0; M^{23} \rangle = 0$  and so on. This confirms the second equation in (2.12).

Taking  $X = M^{\sigma\delta}, Y = M^{\mu\nu}$  and  $Z = M^{\lambda\rho}$ , the equation (2.4) takes the form

$$\langle M^{\sigma\delta}; [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\lambda\rho}] \rangle + \langle [M^{\sigma\delta}, M^{\lambda\rho}]; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$$

or using the commutators of the  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  algebra (1.1) we get

$$\eta^{\mu\rho} \langle M^{\sigma\delta}; M^{\nu\lambda} \rangle - \eta^{\mu\lambda} \langle M^{\sigma\delta}; M^{\nu\rho} \rangle +$$

$$\eta^{\nu\lambda} \langle M^{\sigma\delta}; M^{\mu\rho} \rangle - \eta^{\nu\rho} \langle M^{\sigma\delta}; M^{\mu\lambda} \rangle +$$

$$\eta^{\sigma\rho} \langle M^{\delta\lambda}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle - \eta^{\sigma\lambda} \langle M^{\delta\rho}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle +$$

$$\eta^{\delta\lambda} \langle M^{\sigma\rho}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle - \eta^{\delta\rho} \langle M^{\sigma\lambda}; M^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0.$$

$$(4.3)$$

At  $\mu = \rho = 0, \sigma = 1, \delta = \nu = 2, \lambda = 3$  we have  $\langle M^{12}; M^{23} \rangle = 0$  and so on. This confirms the third equation in (2.12). Thus all relations for the scalar product in (3.17) are consistent with the requirement of group invariance.

Now let us consider the full algebra  $L_G(\mathcal{P})$  (1.1). In the case when  $X = M^{\mu\nu}$  and the other two operators are spacetime scalars  $Y = L_a$  and  $Y = L_b$ , we have to consider the equation

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; [L_a, L_b] \rangle + \langle [M^{\mu\nu}, L_b]; L_a \rangle = 0$$

or using the commutations relations of the algebra (1.1) and in particular that  $[M^{\mu\nu}, L_b] = 0$  the equation takes the form

$$i f_{abc} \langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c \rangle = 0$$
,

and we can conclude that the product of the operator  $M^{\mu\nu}$  with the spacetime scalar operators  $L_c$  is equal to zero

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c \rangle = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Next we shall consider the product of the  $M^{\mu\nu}$  with the spacetime vector operator  $Y = L_b^{\lambda}$ . For that let consider the case when  $X = M^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $Y = L_a^{\lambda}$  and  $Y = L_b$ , so that we have to consider the equation

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; [L_a^{\lambda} \ L_b] \rangle + \langle [M^{\mu\nu} \ L_b]; L_a^{\lambda} \rangle = 0,$$

or using the definition of the commutators of the algebra (1.1) we get

$$if_{abc}\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^\lambda \rangle = 0,$$

thus we conclude that the scalar product of the operator  $M^{\mu\nu}$  with the vector operator  $L_c^{\lambda}$  is also equal to zero

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^{\lambda} \rangle = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

To proceed we have to consider the product of  $M^{\mu\nu}$  with the higher rank gauge operator  $L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ . Thus let us consider the case when  $X = M^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $Y = L_a^{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$  and  $Y = L_b$  the invariance equation is

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; [L_a^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s} L_b] \rangle + \langle [M^{\mu\nu} L_b]; L_a^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s} \rangle = 0$$

and using the definition of the commutations relations of the algebra (1.1) we get

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; \ L_c^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s} \rangle = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

which is consistent with our previous direct calculation (3.18).

We are interested now to find the scalar products between gauge generators. In the case when  $X = M^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $Y = L_a$  and  $Y = L_b^{\lambda}$  we have the equation

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; [L_a, L_b^{\lambda}] \rangle + \langle [M^{\mu\nu} L_b^{\lambda}]; L_a \rangle = 0$$

or using the definition of the commutators we get

$$if_{abc}\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^{\lambda}\rangle + \eta^{\lambda\nu}\langle L_b^{\mu}; L_a\rangle - \eta^{\lambda\mu}\langle L_b^{\nu}; L_a\rangle = 0$$

and because  $\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^{\lambda} \rangle = 0$  we conclude that the scalar product of the operator  $L_a$  with the vector operator  $L_c^{\lambda}$  is equal to zero

$$\langle L_a; L_b^{\mu} \rangle = 0 \quad . \tag{4.7}$$

Considering the case  $X = M^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $Y = L_a$  and  $Y = L_b^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$  we have the equation

$$\langle M^{\mu\nu}; [L_a, L_b^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}] \rangle + \langle [M^{\mu\nu}, L_b^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}]; L_a \rangle = 0$$

or

$$if_{abc}\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}]\rangle + \eta^{\lambda_1\nu}\langle L_b^{\mu\lambda_2}; L_a\rangle - \eta^{\lambda_1\mu}\langle L_b^{\nu\lambda_2}; L_a\rangle + \eta^{\lambda_2\nu}\langle L_b^{\lambda_1\mu}; L_a\rangle - \eta^{\lambda_2\mu}\langle L_b^{\lambda_1\nu}; L_a\rangle = 0$$

and because  $\langle M^{\mu\nu}; L_c^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \rangle = 0$  we shall get

$$\eta^{\lambda_1\nu} \langle L_b^{\mu\lambda_2}; L_a \rangle - \eta^{\lambda_1\mu} \langle L_b^{\nu\lambda_2}; L_a \rangle + \eta^{\lambda_2\nu} \langle L_b^{\lambda_1\mu}; L_a \rangle - \eta^{\lambda_2\mu} \langle L_b^{\lambda_1\nu}; L_a \rangle = 0$$

It follows then that

$$\langle L_a^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}; L_b \rangle \sim \eta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}.$$
 (4.8)

Considering the case  $X = L_a^{\lambda_1}, Y = L_b^{\lambda_2}$  and  $Z = M^{\mu\nu}$  we have the equation

$$\langle L_a^{\lambda_1}; [L_b^{\lambda_2}, M^{\mu\nu}] \rangle + \langle [L_a^{\lambda_1}, M^{\mu\nu}]; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle = 0,$$

or

$$\eta^{\lambda_{2\mu}} \langle L_a^{\lambda_1} ; L_b^{\nu} \rangle - \eta^{\lambda_{2\nu}} \langle L_a^{\lambda_1} ; L_b^{\mu} \rangle + \eta^{\lambda_{1\mu}} \langle L_a^{\nu} ; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle - \eta^{\lambda_{1\nu}} \langle L_a^{\mu} ; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle = 0$$

and it follows that

$$\langle L_a^{\lambda_1}; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle \sim \eta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}.$$
 (4.9)

Taking in the last two cases instead of  $M^{\mu\nu}$  the operator  $L_c$  one can see that  $\langle L_a^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}; L_b \rangle$ and  $\langle L_a^{\lambda_1}; L_b^{\lambda_2} \rangle$  are the isotropic tensors proportional to  $\delta_{ab}$ , thus reconfirming the result (3.15) and (3.16). In a similar way one can calculate the structure of the scalar products between the higher rank gauge generators and confirm that the result (3.17) for the higher rank generators is fully reproduced.

### **5** Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Ignatios Antoniadis, Ludwig Faddeev and Luis Alvarez-Gaume for discussions and CERN Theory Division, where part of this work was completed, for hospitality. The author would like also to thank M.Vasiliev, E.Ivanov and J.Buchbinder for helpful discussions which take place in Dubna workshop "Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries". This work was supported in part by the General Secretariat for Research and Technology of Greece and the European Regional Development Fund (NSRF 2007-13 ACTION,KRIPIS)

### **6** Appendix A

The useful properties of the normalized Hermite polynomials

$$\psi_m(\xi) = \frac{\exp\left(-\xi^2/2\right)}{\sqrt{2^m m!}\sqrt{\pi}} H_m(\xi) , \qquad \psi'_m = \sqrt{2m} \ \psi_{m-1} - \sqrt{2(m+1)} \psi_{m+1} \tag{6.1}$$

are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l|m\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{l}(\xi)\psi_{m}(\xi)d\xi = \delta_{l,m}, \\ \langle l|\frac{d}{d\xi}|m\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{l}(\xi)\psi_{m}'(\xi)d\xi = \sqrt{2m} \ \delta_{l,m-1} - \sqrt{2(m+1)}\delta_{l,m+1}, \\ \langle l|\xi|m\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{l}(\xi)\xi\psi_{m}(\xi)d\xi = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \ \delta_{l,m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}}\delta_{l,m+1}, \\ \langle l|\xi^{2}|m\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{l}(\xi)\xi^{2}\psi_{m}(\xi)d\xi \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m-1}{2}} \ \delta_{l,m-2} + \frac{(2m+1)}{2}\delta_{l,m} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)}{2}\frac{(m+2)}{2}}\delta_{l,m+2} \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [1] G. Savvidy, Interaction of non-Abelian tensor gauge fields, Arm. J. Math. 1 (2008)
   1 [arXiv:0804.2003 [hep-th]].
- G. Savvidy, Extension of the Poincaré Group and Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 25 (2010) 5765 [arXiv:1006.3005 [hep-th]].
- [3] I. Antoniadis, L. Brink and G. Savvidy, *Extensions of the Poincare group*, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 072303 [arXiv:1103.2456 [hep-th]].

- [4] L. D. Faddeev, Operator Anomaly For The Gauss Law, Phys. Lett. B 145 (1984) 81.
- [5] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, Kac-Moody and Virasoro Algebras in Relation to Quantum Physics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 1 (1986) 303.
- [6] A. B. Borisov and V. I. Ogievetsky, Theory of Dynamical Affine and Conformal Symmetries as Gravity Theory, Theor. Math. Phys. 21 (1975) 1179 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 21 (1974) 329].
- [7] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, Gauge Theories as Theories of Spontaneous Breakdown, Lett. Math. Phys. 1 (1976) 309.
- [8] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, Gauge Theories as Theories of Spontaneous Breakdown, JETP Lett. 23 (1976) 606 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23 (1976) 661].
- [9] E. A. Ivanov, Yang-mills Theory In Sigma Model Representation. (in Russian), Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1979) 452.
- [10] M. A. Vasiliev, Higher spin gauge theories in four-dimensions, three-dimensions, and two-dimensions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 5 (1996) 763 [hep-th/9611024].
- [11] N. Doroud and L. Smolin, An Action for higher spin gauge theory in four dimensions, arXiv:1102.3297 [hep-th].
- [12] A. O. Barut and R. Raczka, Theory of Group Representations and Applications, World Scientific Publishing Co.Pte.Ltd. 1986, Singapore.
- [13] E. Wigner. On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group. Ann. Math. 40 (1939) 149.
- [14] H.Yukawa, Quantum Theory of Non-Local Fields. Part I. Free Fields, Phys. Rev. 77 (1950) 219
- [15] M. Fierz, Non-Local Fields, Phys. Rev. 78 (1950) 184
- [16] E. Wigner, Invariant Quantum Mechanical Equations of Motion, in Theoretical Physics ed. A.Salam (International Atomic Energy, Vienna, 1963) p 59
- [17] L. Brink, A. M. Khan, P. Ramond and X. z. Xiong, Continuous spin representations of the Poincare and super-Poincare groups, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 6279 [arXiv:hep-th/0205145].