

# CLAW-FREENESS, 3-HOMOGENEOUS SUBSETS OF A GRAPH AND A RECONSTRUCTION PROBLEM

MAURICE POUZET, HAMZA SI KADDOUR, AND NICOLAS TROTIGNON

**ABSTRACT.** We describe  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$ , the class of graphs  $G$  such that  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{G}$  are claw-free. With few exceptions, it is made of graphs whose connected components consist of cycles of length at least 4, paths, and of the complements of these graphs. Considering the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$  made of the 3-element subsets of the vertex set of a graph  $G$  on which  $G$  induces a clique or an independent subset, we deduce from above a description of the Boolean sum  $G \dot{+} G'$  of two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  giving the same hypergraph. We indicate the role of this latter description in a reconstruction problem of graphs up to complementation.

## 1. RESULTS AND MOTIVATION

Our notations and terminology mostly follow [3]. The graphs we consider in this paper are undirected, simple and have no loop. That is a *graph* is a pair  $G := (V, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a subset of  $[V]^2$ , the set of 2-element subsets of  $V$ . Elements of  $V$  are the *vertices* of  $G$  and elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  its *edges*. We denote by  $V(G)$  the vertex set of  $G$  and by  $E(G)$  its edge set. We look at members of  $[V]^2$  as unordered pairs of distinct vertices. If  $A$  is a subset of  $V$ , the pair  $G_{\upharpoonright A} := (A, \mathcal{E} \cap [A]^2)$  is the *graph induced by  $G$  on  $A$* . The *complement* of  $G$  is the simple graph  $\overline{G}$  whose vertex set is  $V$  and whose edges are the unordered pairs of nonadjacent and distinct vertices of  $G$ , that is  $\overline{G} = (V, \overline{\mathcal{E}})$ , where  $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = [V]^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}$ . We denote by  $K_3$  the complete graph on 3 vertices and by  $K_{1,3}$  the graph made of a vertex linked to a  $\overline{K_3}$ . The graph  $K_{1,3}$  is called a *claw*, the graph  $\overline{K_{1,3}}$  a *co-claw*.

In [4], Brandstädt and Mahfud give a structural characterization of graphs with no claw and no co-claw; they deduce several algorithmic consequences (relying on bounded clique width). We will give a more precise characterization of such graphs. We denote by  $A_6$  the graph on 6 vertices made of a  $K_3$  bounded by three  $K_3$  (cf. Figure 1) and by  $C_n$  the  $n$ -element cycle,  $n \geq 4$ . We denote by  $P_9$  the Paley graph on 9 vertices (cf. Figure 1). Note that  $P_9$  is isomorphic to its complement  $\overline{P_9}$ , to the line-graph of  $K_{3,3}$  and also to  $K_3 \square K_3$ , the cartesian product of  $K_3$  by itself (see [3] page 30 if needed for a definition of the *cartesian product of graphs*, and see [15] page 176 and [3] page 28 for a definition and basic properties of *Paley graphs*).

---

*Date:* November 22, 2010.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C60; 05C99.

*Key words and phrases.* Graphs; claw-free graphs; cliques; independent subsets; Paley graphs.

Done under the auspices of the French-Tunisian CMCU "Outils mathématiques pour l'Informatique" 05S1505.




FIGURE 1.

Given a set  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs, we denote by  $\text{Forb}\mathcal{F}$  the class of graphs  $G$  such that no member of  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Members of  $\text{Forb}\{K_3\}$ , resp.  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}\}$  are called *triangle-free*, resp. *claw-free* graphs.

The main result of this note asserts:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The class  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$  consists of  $A_6$ ; of the induced subgraphs of  $P_9$ ; of graphs whose connected components are cycles of length at least 4 or paths; and of the complements of these graphs.*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, note that the graphs  $A_6$  and  $\overline{A_6}$  are the only members of  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$  which contain a  $K_3$  and a  $\overline{K_3}$  with no vertex in common. Note also that  $A_6$  and  $\overline{A_6}$  are very important graphs for the study of how maximal cliques and stable sets overlap in general graphs. See the main theorem of [7], see also [8]. Also, in [10], page 31, a list of all self-complementary line-graphs is given. Apart from  $C_5$ , they are all induced subgraphs of  $P_9$ .

From Theorem 1.1 we obtain a characterization of the Boolean sum of two graphs having the same 3-homogeneous subsets. For that, we say that a subset of vertices of a graph  $G$  is *homogeneous* if it is a clique or an independent set (note that the word homogeneous is used with this meaning in Ramsey theory; in other areas of graph theory it has other meanings, several in fact). Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$  be the hypergraph having the same vertices as  $G$  and whose hyperedges are the 3-element homogeneous subsets of  $G$ . Given two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  on the same vertex set  $V$ , we recall that the *Boolean sum*  $G \dot{+} G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$  is the graph on  $V$  whose edges are unordered pairs  $e$  of distinct vertices such that  $e \in E(G)$  if and only if  $e \notin E(G')$ . Note that  $E(G \dot{+} G')$  is the symmetric difference  $E(G) \Delta E(G')$  of  $E(G)$  and  $E(G')$ . The graph  $G \dot{+} G'$  is also called the *symmetric difference* of  $G$  and  $G'$  and denoted by  $G \Delta G'$  in [3]. Given a graph  $U$  with vertex set  $V$ , the *edge-graph* of  $U$  is the graph  $S(U)$  whose vertices are the edges  $u$  of  $U$  and whose edges are unordered pairs  $uv$  such that  $u = xy$ ,  $v = xz$  for three distinct elements  $x, y, z \in V$  such that  $yz$  is not an edge of  $U$ . Note that the edge-graph  $S(U)$  is a spanning subgraph of  $L(U)$ , the *line-graph* of  $U$ , not to be confused with it.

Claw-free graphs and triangle-free graphs are related by means of the edge-graph construction. Indeed, as it is immediate to see, for every graph  $U$ , we have:

$$U \in \text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}\} \iff S(U) \in \text{Forb}\{K_3\} \quad (\star)$$

Our characterization is this:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $U$  be a graph. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) There are two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  having the same 3-element homogeneous subsets such that  $U := G \dot{+} G'$ ;
- (2)  $S(U)$  and  $S(\overline{U})$  are bipartite;
- (3) Either (i)  $U$  is an induced subgraph of  $P_9$ , or (ii) the connected components of  $U$ , or of its complement  $\overline{U}$ , are cycles of even length or paths.

As a consequence, if the graph  $U$  satisfying Property (1) is disconnected, then  $U$  contains no 3-element cycle, moreover, if  $U$  contains no 3-element cycle then each connected component of  $U$  is a cycle of even length, or a path, in particular  $U$  is bipartite.

The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) in Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. Indeed, suppose that Property (2) holds, that is  $S(U)$  and  $S(\overline{U})$  are bipartite, then from Formula  $(\star)$  and from the fact that  $S(A_6)$  and  $S(C_n)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , are respectively isomorphic to  $C_9$  and to  $C_n$ , we have:

$$U \in \text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}, A_6, \overline{A_6}, C_{2n+1}, \overline{C_{2n+1}} : n \geq 2\}.$$

From Theorem 1.1, Property (3) holds. The other implications, obtained by more straightforward arguments, are given in Subsection 2.3.

This leaves open the following:

**Problem 1.3.** Which pairs of graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  with the same 3-element homogeneous subsets have a given Boolean sum  $U := G \dot{+} G'$ ?

A partial answer, motivated by the reconstruction problem discussed below, is given in [6]. We mention that two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  as above are determined by the graphs induced on the connected components of  $U := G \dot{+} G'$  and on a system of distinct representatives of these connected components (Proposition 10 [6]).

A quite natural problem, related to the study of Ramsey numbers for triples, is this:

**Problem 1.4.** Which hypergraphs are of the form  $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$ ?

An asymptotic lower bound of the size of  $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$  in terms of  $|V(G)|$  was established by A.W. Goodman [9].

The motivation for Theorem 1.2 (and thus Theorem 1.1) originates in a reconstruction problem on graphs that we present now. Considering two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  on the same set  $V$  of vertices, we say that  $G$  and  $G'$  are *isomorphic up to complementation* if  $G'$  is isomorphic to  $G$  or to the complement  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$ . Let  $k$  be a non-negative integer, we say that  $G$  and  $G'$  are *k-hypomorphic up to complementation* if for every  $k$ -element subset  $K$  of  $V$ , the graphs  $G_{\upharpoonright K}$  and  $G'_{\upharpoonright K}$  induced by  $G$  and  $G'$  on  $K$  are isomorphic up to complementation. Finally, we say that  $G$  is *k-reconstructible up to complementation* if every graph  $G'$  which is  $k$ -hypomorphic to  $G$  up to complementation is in fact isomorphic to  $G$  up to complementation. The following problem emerged from a question of P. Ille [12]:

**Problem 1.5.** For which pairs  $(k, v)$  of integers,  $k < v$ , every graph  $G$  on  $v$  vertices is  $k$ -reconstructible up to complementation?

It is immediate to see that if the conclusion of the problem above is positive for some  $k, v$ , then  $v$  is distinct from 3 and 4 and, with a little bit of thought, that if  $v \geq 5$  then  $k \geq 4$  (see Proposition 4.1 of [5]). With J. Dammak, G. Lopez [5] and [6] we proved that the conclusion is positive if:

- (i)  $4 \leq k \leq v - 3$  or
- (ii)  $4 \leq k = v - 2$  and  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

We do not know if in (ii) the condition  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  can be dropped. For  $4 \leq k = v - 1$ , we checked that the conclusion holds if  $v = 5$  and noticed that for larger values of  $v$  it could be negative or extremely hard to obtain, indeed, a positive conclusion would imply that Ulam's reconstruction conjecture holds (see Proposition 19 of [6]).

The reason for which Theorem 1.2 plays a role in that matter relies on properties of incidence matrices.

Given non-negative integers  $t, k$ , let  $W_{tk}$  be the  $\binom{v}{2}$  by  $\binom{v}{k}$  incidence matrix of 0's and 1's, the rows of which are indexed by  $t$ -element subsets  $T$  of  $V$ , the columns are indexed by the  $k$ -element subsets  $K$  of  $V$ , and where the entry  $W_{tk}(T, K)$  is 1 if  $T \subseteq K$  and is 0 otherwise.

Let  $U := G + G'$  and  $M_U$  be the column vector associated to the graph  $U$ . The matrix product  ${}^T W_{2k} M_U$  where the computation is made in the two elements field  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is 0 if and only if the number of edges of  $G_{\uparrow K}$  and  $G'_{\uparrow K}$  have the same parity for all  $K$ 's, a condition satisfied if  $G$  and  $G'$  are  $k$ -hypomorphic up to complementation and  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . According to R.M. Wilson [16], the dimension (over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ) of the kernel of  ${}^T W_{2k}$  is 1 if  $2 \leq k \leq v - 2$  and  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  that is  $M_U$  is the constant matrix 0 or 1, and thus  $G'$  is equal to  $G$  or to  $\bar{G}$ . If  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the dimension is  $v$  and the kernel consists of (the column matrices of) complete bipartite graphs and their complement [5]. If we add the fact that  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-homogeneous subsets then, according to Theorem 1.2,  $U$  is claw and co-claw free. If  $v \geq 5$ , it follows readily that  $U$  is either the empty graph or the complete graph. Hence  $G'$  is equal to  $G$  or to  $\bar{G}$ . If  $3 \leq k \leq v - 3$ , it turns out that *two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  which are  $k$ -hypomorphic up to complementation are 3-hypomorphic up to complementation, which amounts to the fact that  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-homogeneous subsets*, thus in the case  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $G$  and  $G'$  are equal up to complementation. Indeed, a famous Gottlieb-Kantor theorem on incidence matrices ([11, 14]) asserts that the matrix  $W_{tk}$  has full row rank over the field of rational numbers provided that  $t \leq \min\{k, v - k\}$ . From which follows that:

**Proposition 1.6.** *(Proposition 2.4 [5]) Let  $t \leq \min(k, v - k)$  and  $G$  and  $G'$  be two graphs on the same set  $V$  of  $v$  vertices. If  $G$  and  $G'$  are  $k$ -hypomorphic up to complementation then they are  $t$ -hypomorphic up to complementation.*

Up to now, Wilson theorem has not been applied successfully to the cases  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Instead, efforts concentrated on the structure of pairs of  $k$ -hypomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  with the same 3-homogeneous subsets. The form of their Boolean sum as given in (3) of Theorem 1.2 was the first step of a description. With that in hands, it was shown in [6] that the additional hypothesis that  $G$  and  $G'$  are  $k$ -hypomorphic to complementation for some  $k$ ,  $4 \leq k \leq v - 2$ , was enough to ensure that  $G$  and  $G'$  are isomorphic up to complementation.

## 2. PROOFS

Let  $U$  be a graph. For an unordered pair  $e := xy$  of distinct vertices, we set  $U(e) = 1$  if  $e \in E(U)$  and  $U(e) = 0$  otherwise. Let  $x \in V(U)$ ; we denote by  $N_U(x)$  and  $d_U(x)$  the *neighborhood* and the *degree* of  $x$  (that is  $N_U(x) := \{y \in V(U) : xy \in E(U)\}$  and  $d_U(x) := |N_U(x)|$ ). For  $X \subseteq V(U)$ , we set  $N_U(X) := (\cup_{x \in X} N_U(x)) \setminus X$ .

**2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Trivially, the graphs described in Theorem 1.1 belong to  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$ . We prove the converse.

The *diamond* is the graph on four vertices with five edges. We say that a graph  $G$  contains a graph  $H$  when  $G$  has an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (Harary and Holzmann [13]) *A graph  $G$  is the line-graph of a triangle-free graph if and only if  $G$  contains no claw and no diamond.*

*Proof.* Since [13] is very difficult to find, we include a short proof. Checking that a line-graph of a triangle-free graph contains no claw and no diamond is a routine matter. Conversely, let  $G$  be graph with no claw and no diamond. A theorem of Beineke [1] states that there exists a list  $\mathcal{L}$  of nine graphs such any graph that does not contain a graph from  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line-graph. One of the nine graphs is the claw and the eight remaining ones all contain a diamond. So,  $G = L(R)$  for some graph  $R$ . Let  $R'$  be the graph obtained from  $R$  by replacing each connected component of  $R$  isomorphic to a triangle by a claw. So,  $L(R) = L(R') = G$ . We claim that  $R'$  is triangle-free. Else let  $T$  be a triangle of  $R'$ . From the construction of  $R'$ , there is a vertex  $v \notin T$  in the connected component of  $R'$  that contains  $T$ . So we may choose  $v$  with a neighbor in  $T$ . Now the edges of  $T$  and one edge from  $v$  to  $T$  induce a diamond of  $G$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be in the class  $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$ .

(1) *We may assume that  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are connected.*

Else, up to symmetry,  $G$  is disconnected. If  $G$  contains a vertex  $v$  of degree at least 3, then  $N_G(v)$  contains an edge (for otherwise there is a claw), so  $G$  contains a triangle. This is a contradiction since by picking a vertex in another component we obtain a co-claw. So all vertices of  $G$  are of degree at most 2. It follows that the components of  $G$  are cycles (of length at least 4, or there is a co-claw) or paths, an outcome of the theorem. This proves (1).

(2) *We may assume that  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  contain no induced path on six vertices.*

Else  $G$  has an induced subgraph  $H$  that is either a path on at least 6 vertices or a cycle on at least 7 vertices. Suppose  $H$  maximal with respect to this property. If  $G = H$  then we are done. Else, by (1), we pick a vertex  $v$  in  $G \setminus H$  with at least one neighbor in  $H$ . From the maximality of  $H$ ,  $v$  has a neighbor  $p_i$  in the interior of some  $P_6 = p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6$  of  $H$ . Up to symmetry we assume that  $v$  has a neighbor  $p_i$  where  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . So  $N_G(v) \cap \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$  contains an edge  $e$  for otherwise  $\{p_i, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, v\}$  induces a claw. If  $e = p_1p_2$  then  $v$  must be adjacent to  $p_4, p_5, p_6$  for otherwise there is a co-claw; so  $\{v, p_1, p_4, p_6\}$  induces a claw. If  $e = p_2p_3$  then  $v$  must be adjacent to  $p_5, p_6$  for otherwise there is a co-claw, so from the symmetry between  $\{p_1, p_2\}$  and  $\{p_5, p_6\}$  we may rely on the previous case. If  $e = p_3p_4$  then  $v$  must be adjacent to  $p_1, p_6$  for otherwise there is a co-claw; so  $\{v, p_1, p_3, p_6\}$  induces a claw. In all cases there is a contradiction. This proves (2).

(3) *We may assume that  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  contain no  $A_6$ .*

Suppose that  $G$  contains  $\overline{A_6}$ . Then, let  $aa', bb', cc'$  be three disjoint edges of  $G$  such that the only edges between them are  $ab, bc, ca$ . If  $V(G) = \{a, a', b, b', c, c'\}$ , an outcome of the theorem is satisfied, so let  $v$  be a seventh vertex of  $G$ . We may assume that  $av \in E(G)$  (else there is a co-claw). If  $a'v \in E(G)$  then  $vb', vc' \in E(G)$  (else there is a co-claw) so  $\{v, a', b', c'\}$  is a claw. Hence  $a'v \notin E(G)$ . We have  $vb \in E(G)$  (or  $\{a, a', v, b\}$  is a claw) and similarly  $vc \in E(G)$ . So  $\{a', v, b, c\}$  is a co-claw. This

proves (3).

(4) *We may assume that  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  contain no diamond.*

Suppose for a contradiction that  $\overline{G}$  contains a diamond. Then,  $G$  contains a co-diamond, that is four vertices  $a, b, c, d$  that induce only one edge, say  $ab$ . By (1), there is a path  $P$  from  $\{c, d\}$  to some vertex  $w$  that has a neighbor in  $\{a, b\}$ . We choose such a path  $P$  minimal and we assume up to symmetry that the path is from  $c$ .

If  $w$  is adjacent to both  $a, b$  then  $\{a, b, w, d\}$  induces a co-claw unless  $w$  is adjacent to  $d$ , similarly  $w$  is adjacent to  $c$ , so  $\{w, a, c, d\}$  induces a claw. Hence  $w$  is adjacent to exactly one of  $a, b$ , say to  $a$ . So,  $P' = cPwab$  is an induced path and for convenience we rename its vertices  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ . If  $d$  has a neighbor in  $P'$  then, from the minimality of  $P'$ , this neighbor must be  $p_2$ . So,  $\{p_2, p_1, p_3, d\}$  induces a claw. Hence,  $d$  has no neighbor in  $P'$ .

By (1), there is a path  $Q$  from  $d$  to some vertex  $v$  that has a neighbor in  $P'$ . We choose  $Q$  minimal with respect to this property. From the paragraph above,  $v \neq d$ . Let  $p_i$  (resp.  $p_j$ ) be the neighbor of  $v$  in  $P'$  with minimum (resp. maximum) index. If  $i = j = 1$  then  $dQvp_1Pwp_{k-1}p_k$  is a path on at least 6 vertices a contradiction to (2). So, if  $i = j$  then  $i \neq 1$  and symmetrically,  $i \neq k$ , so  $\{p_{i-1}, p_i, p_{i+1}, v\}$  is a claw. Hence  $i \neq j$ . If  $j > i + 1$  then  $\{v, v', p_i, p_j\}$ , where  $v'$  is the neighbor of  $v$  along  $Q$ , is a claw. So,  $j = i + 1$ . So  $vp_i p_j$  is a triangle. Hence  $P' = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ ,  $Q = dv$  and  $i = 2$ , for otherwise there is a co-claw. Hence,  $P' \cup Q$  form an induced  $\overline{A_6}$  of  $G$ , a contradiction to (3). This proves (4).

Now  $G$  is connected and contains no claw and no diamond. So, by Theorem 2.1,  $G$  is the line-graph of some connected triangle-free graph  $R$ . Symmetrically,  $\overline{G}$  is also a line-graph. These graphs are studied in [2].

If  $R$  contains a vertex  $v$  of degree at least 4 then all edges of  $R$  must be incident with  $v$ , for else an edge  $e$  non-incident with  $v$  together with three edges of  $R$  incident with  $v$  and non-adjacent to  $e$  form a co-claw in  $G$ . So all vertices of  $R$  have degree at most 3 since otherwise,  $G$  is a clique, a contradiction to (1). We may assume that  $R$  has a vertex  $a$  of degree 3 for otherwise  $G$  is a path or a cycle. Let  $b, b', b''$  be the neighbors of  $a$ . Since  $a$  has degree 3, all edges of  $R$  must be incident with  $b, b'$  or  $b''$  for otherwise  $G$  contains a co-claw.

If one of  $b, b', b''$ , say  $b$ , is of degree 3, then  $N_R(b) = \{a, a', a''\}$  and all edges of  $R$  are incident with one of  $a, a', a''$  (or there is a co-claw). So  $R$  is a subgraph of  $K_{3,3}$ . So, since  $P_9 = L(K_{3,3})$ ,  $G = L(R)$  is an induced subgraph of  $P_9$ , an outcome of the theorem. Hence we assume that  $b, b', b''$  are of degree at most 2. If  $|N_R(\{b, b', b''\}) \setminus \{a\}| \geq 3$ , then  $R$  contains the pairwise non-adjacent edges  $bc, b'c', b''c''$  say, and the edges  $ab, ab', ab'', bc, b'c', b''c''$  are vertices of  $G$  that induce an  $\overline{A_6}$ , a contradiction to (3). So,  $|N_R(\{b, b', b''\}) \setminus \{a\}| \leq 2$  which means again that  $R$  is a subgraph of  $K_{3,3}$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.2.** The proof of the equivalence between Properties (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2 relies on the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two graphs on the same vertex set  $V$  and let  $U := G \dot{+} G'$ . Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

(a)  *$G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets;*

- (b)  $U(xy) = U(xz) \neq U(yz) \implies G(xy) \neq G(xz)$  for all distinct elements  $x, y, z$  of  $V$ .
- (c) The sets  $A_1 := E(U) \cap E(G)$  and  $A_2 := E(U) \setminus E(G)$  divide  $V(S(U))$  into two independent sets and also the sets  $B_1 := E(\bar{U}) \cap E(G)$  and  $B_2 := E(\bar{U}) \setminus E(G)$  divide  $V(S(\bar{U}))$  into two independent sets.

*Proof.* Observe first that Property (b) is equivalent to the conjunction of the following properties:

$(b_U)$ : If  $uv$  is an edge of  $S(U)$  then  $u \in E(G)$  iff  $v \notin E(G)$ .

and

$(b_{\bar{U}})$ : If  $uv$  is an edge of  $S(\bar{U})$  then  $u \in E(G)$  iff  $v \notin E(G)$ .

$(a) \implies (b)$ . Let us show  $(a) \implies (b_U)$ .

Let  $uv \in E(S(U))$ , then  $u, v \in E(U)$ . By contradiction, we may suppose that  $u, v \in E(G)$  (the other case implies  $u, v \in E(G')$  thus is similar). Since  $u$  and  $v$  are edges of  $U = G \dot{+} G'$  then  $u, v \notin E(G')$ . Let  $w := yz$  such that  $u = xy$ ,  $v = xz$ . Then  $w \notin E(U)$  and thus  $w \in E(G)$  iff  $w \in E(G')$ .

If  $w \in E(G)$ ,  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a homogeneous subset of  $G$ . Since  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets,  $\{x, y, z\}$  is an homogeneous subset of  $G'$ . Hence, since  $u, v \notin E(G')$ ,  $w = yz \notin E(G')$ , thus  $w \notin E(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $w \notin E(G)$ , then  $w \notin E(G')$ ; since  $u, v \notin E(G')$  it follows that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a homogeneous subset of  $G'$ . Consequently  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a homogeneous subset of  $G$ . Since  $u, v \in E(G)$ , then  $w \in E(G)$ , a contradiction.

The implication  $a) \implies (b_{\bar{U}})$  is similar.

$(b) \implies (a)$ . Let  $T$  be a  $K_3$  of  $G$ . Suppose that  $T$  is not a homogeneous subset of  $G'$  then we may suppose  $T = \{u, v, w\}$  with  $u, v \in E(G')$  and  $w \notin E(G')$  or  $u, v \in E(\bar{G}')$  and  $w \notin E(\bar{G}')$ . In the first case  $uv \in E(S(\bar{U}))$ , which contradicts Property  $(b_{\bar{U}})$ , in the second case  $uv \in E(S(U))$ , which contradicts Property  $(b_U)$ .

$(b) \implies (c)$ . First  $V(S(U)) = E(U) = A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $V(S(\bar{U})) = E(\bar{U}) = B_1 \cup B_2$ . Let  $u, v$  be two distinct elements of  $A_1$  (respectively  $A_2$ ). Then  $u, v \in E(G)$  (respectively  $u, v \notin E(G)$ ). From  $(b_U)$  we have  $uv \notin E(S(U))$ . Then  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are independent sets of  $V(S(U))$ . The proof that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are independent sets of  $V(S(\bar{U}))$  is similar.

$(c) \implies (b)$ . This implication is trivial.  $\square$

**2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Implication (1)  $\implies$  (2) follows directly from implication  $(a) \implies (c)$  of Lemma 2.2. Indeed, Property (c) implies trivially that  $S(U)$  and  $S(\bar{U})$  are bipartite.

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose that  $S(U)$  and  $S(\bar{U})$  are bipartite. Let  $\{A_1, A_2\}$  and  $\{B_1, B_2\}$  be respectively a partition of  $V(S(U)) = E(U)$  and  $V(S(\bar{U})) = E(\bar{U})$  into independent sets. Note that  $A_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $G, G'$  be two graphs with the same vertex set as  $U$  such that  $E(G) = A_1 \cup B_1$  and  $E(G') = A_2 \cup B_1$ . Clearly  $E(G \dot{+} G') = A_1 \cup A_2 = E(U)$ . Thus  $U = G \dot{+} G'$ . To conclude that Property (1) holds, it suffices to show that  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets, that is Property (a) of Lemma 2.2 holds. For that, note that  $A_1 = E(U) \cap E(G)$ ,  $A_2 = E(U) \setminus E(G)$ ,  $B_1 = E(\bar{U}) \cap E(G)$  and  $B_2 = E(\bar{U}) \setminus E(G)$  and thus Property (c) of Lemma 2.2 holds. It follows that Property (a) of this lemma holds.

The proof of implication (2)  $\implies$  (3) was given in Section 1. For the converse implication, let  $U$  be a graph satisfying Property (3). It is clear from Figure 1 that

$S(P_9)$  is bipartite (vertical edges and horizontal edges form a partition). Since  $\overline{P_9}$  is isomorphic to  $P_9$ ,  $S(\overline{P_9})$  is bipartite too. Thus, if  $U$  is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of  $P_9$ , Property (2) holds. If not, we may suppose that the connected components of  $U$  are cycles of even length or paths (otherwise, replace  $U$  by  $\overline{U}$ ). In this case,  $S(U)$  is trivially bipartite. In order to prove that Property 2 holds, it suffices to prove that  $S(\overline{U})$  is bipartite too. This is a direct consequence of the following claim:

**Claim 2.3.** *If  $U$  is a bipartite graph, then  $S(\overline{U})$  is bipartite too.*

*Proof.* If  $c : V(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a colouring of  $U$ , set  $c' : V(S(\overline{U})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $c'(\{x, y\}) := c(x) + c(y)$ .  $\square$

With this, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

**2.4. A direct proof for (3)  $\implies$  (1) of Theorem 1.2.** In [6] we gave all possible decompositions of a graph  $U$  satisfying (1) into a Boolean sum  $G \dot{+} G'$  where  $G$  and  $G'$  have the same 3-element homogeneous sets.

When  $U = P_9$ , a decomposition  $U = G \dot{+} G'$  can be given by a picture (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2.

For the other cases, we introduce the following notation.

Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $X_n$  be an  $n$ -element set,  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be an enumeration of  $X_n$ ,  $X_n^0 := \{x_i \in X_n : i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$  and  $X_n^1 := X_n \setminus X_n^0$ . Set  $R_n := [X_n^1]^2 \cup [X_n^2]^2$ ,  $S_n := \{\{x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}\} : 2i < n\}$ ,  $S'_n := \{\{x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}\} : 2i < n-1\}$ . Let  $M_n$  and  $M'_n$  be the graphs with vertex set  $X_n$  and edge sets  $E(M_n) := R_n \cup S_n$  and  $E(M'_n) := R_n \cup S'_n$  respectively. Let  $M''_n := (X_n, R_n \cup S'_n \cup \{\{x_0, x_{n-1}\}\})$  for  $n$  even,  $n \geq 4$ . For  $n \in \{6, 7\}$  we give a picture (see Figure 3). For convenience, we set  $M_1 = M'_1$  the graph with one vertex and we put  $V(M_1) := X_1^0 := \{x_0\}$ . When  $G$  is a graph of the form  $M_n$ ,  $M'_n$ , or  $M''_n$ , with  $n \geq 1$ , we put  $V^0(G) := X_n^0$  and  $V^1(G) := X_n^1$ .






FIGURE 3.

When  $U$  is a cycle of even size  $2n$ , a decomposition  $U = G \dot{+} G'$  can be given by  $G = M_{2n}$  and  $G' = M''_{2n}$ . When  $U$  is a path of size  $n$ , a decomposition  $U = G \dot{+} G'$  can be given by  $G = M_n$  and  $G' = M'_n$ .

When the connected components of  $U$  are cycles of even length or paths, we define  $G$  and  $G'$  satisfying  $U = G \dot{+} G'$  as follows: For each connected component  $C$  of  $U$ ,  $(G_C, G'_C)$  is given by the previous step. For distinct connected components  $C$  and  $C'$  of  $U$ ,  $x \in C$ ,  $x' \in C'$ ,  $xx' \in E(G)$  (and  $xx' \in E(G')$ ) if and only if  $x \in V^0(G_C)$  and  $x' \in V^0(G_{C'})$ , or  $x \in V^1(G_C)$  and  $x' \in V^1(G_{C'})$ .

When the connected components of  $\bar{U}$  are cycles of even length or paths, from  $\bar{U} = \bar{G} \dot{+} G'$ , the previous step gives a pair  $(\bar{G}, G')$ , then a pair  $(G, G')$ .

### Acknowledgements

We thank S. Thomassé for his helpful comments. We thank the anonymous referee for his careful examination of the paper and his suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] L.W. Beineke, Characterizations of derived graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 9 (1970) 129-135.
- [2] L.W. Beineke, Derived graphs with derived complements, In *Lecture Notes in Math. (Proc. Conf., New York, 1970)* pages 15-24. Springer (1971).
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory, Basic Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol 244, Springer, 2008, 651 pp.
- [4] A. Brandstädt and S. Mahfud, Maximum weight stable set on graphs without claw and co-claw (and similar graph classes) can be solved in linear time, *Information Processing Letters* 84 (2002) 251-259.
- [5] J. Dammak, G. Lopez, M. Pouzet, H. Si Kaddour, Hypomorphy up to complementation, *JCTB, Series B* 99 (2009) 84-96.
- [6] J. Dammak, G. Lopez, M. Pouzet, H. Si Kaddour, Reconstruction of graphs up to complementation, in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Relations, Orders and Graphs: Interaction with Computer Science, ROGICS08, May 12-15 (2008)*, Mahdia, Tunisia, pp. 195-203.
- [7] X. Deng, G. Li, W. Zang, Proof of Chvátal's conjecture on maximal stable sets and maximal cliques in graphs, *JCTB, Series B* 91 (2004) 301-325.
- [8] X. Deng, G. Li, W. Zang, Corrigendum to proof of Chvátal's conjecture on maximal stable sets and maximal cliques in graphs, *JCTB, Series B* 94 (2005) 352-353.
- [9] A.W. Goodman, On sets of acquaintances and strangers at any party, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 66 (1959) 778-783.

- [10] A. Farrugia, Self-complementary graphs and generalisations: a comprehensive reference manual, Master's thesis, University of Malta (1999).
- [11] D.H. Gottlieb, A class of incidence matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 1233-1237.
- [12] P. Ille, personal communication, September 2000.
- [13] F. Harary and C. Holzmann, Line graphs of bipartite graphs, Rev. Soc. Mat. Chile 1 (1974) 19-22.
- [14] W. Kantor, On incidence matrices of finite projective and affine spaces, Math.Zeitschrift 124 (1972) 315-318.
- [15] J.H. Van Lint, R.M. Wilson, A course in Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [16] R.M. Wilson, A Diagonal Form for the Incidence Matrices of  $t$ -Subsets *vs.*  $k$ -Subsets, Europ J. Combinatorics 11 (1990) 609-615.

ICJ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* pouzet@univ-lyon1.fr

ICJ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* sikaddour@univ-lyon1.fr

CNRS, LIAFA, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT, PARIS 7, CASE 7014, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* nicolas.trotignon@liafa.jussieu.fr