

Designing a robustly metallic noncentrosymmetric ruthenate oxide with large thermopower anisotropy

Danilo Puggioni and James M. Rondinelli*

Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

The existence of approximately 30 noncentrosymmetric metals (NCSM) suggests a contraindication between crystal structures without inversion symmetry and metallic behavior. Those containing oxygen are especially scarce. Here we propose and demonstrate a design framework to remedy this property disparity and accelerate NCSM-oxide discovery: The primary ingredient relies on the removal of inversion symmetry through displacements of atoms whose electronic degrees of freedom are decoupled from the states at the Fermi level. Density functional theory calculations validate this crystal–chemistry strategy, and we predict a new polar ruthenate exhibiting robust metallicity. We demonstrate that the electronic structure is unaffected by the inclusion of spin-orbit interactions (SOI), and that cation ordered SrCaRu₂O₆ exhibits a large thermopower anisotropy ($|\Delta\mathcal{S}_\perp| \sim 6.3 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ at 300 K) derived from its polar structure. Our findings provide chemical and structural selection guidelines to aid in the search of new NCS metals with enhanced thermopower anisotropy.

The metallic features in materials, which provide low-resistance channels for electrical conduction, lead to effective screening of local electric dipole moments.¹ Itinerant electrons disfavor both their formation and cooperative ordering.² In spite of the incompatibility between acentricity and metallicity, metallic materials which break the spatial parity operation mapping $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ were originally discussed in the 1960s by Matthias and then later more rigorously by Anderson and Blount.³ The first experimental identification⁴ of a candidate polar metal, the binary intermetallic V₂Hf, was made a decade later. Since then, more noncentrosymmetric metals (NCSM) have been identified (Fig. 1) and found to exhibit unconventional optical responses,^{5,6} magnetoelectricity^{7,8} and superconductivity.^{9–11} Yet, they remain challenging to discover. The principal NCSM material classes are binary and ternary intermetallics and silicides. Intriguingly, few NCSM are oxides with the notable exceptions^{12–15} of a layered cuprate ($\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{Sr}_2\text{GaCu}_2\text{O}_{7\pm y}$), a geometrically frustrated pyrochlore ($\text{Cd}_2\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$), and recently LiOsO₃ with a structural transition similar to ferroelectric LiNbO₃. (Degenerately doped dielectrics like BaTiO_{3– δ} ¹⁶ and ZnO:Al,¹⁷ although exhibiting metallic resistivity, are not intrinsically metallic and NCS—their conductivity is high because of deviations from ideal stoichiometry.) Fig. 1 suggests NCSM tend to require cations with large atomic masses, but this is not always the case, *viz.*, Mg₂Al₃. The absence of reliable crystal-chemistry guidelines and the limited understanding of the microscopic origin of inversion symmetry lifting displacements in metals poses a serious challenge for their discovery: How does one explain, let alone design, cooperative acentric atomic displacements in crystalline oxide conductors?

In this work, we present a microscopic understanding for noncentrosymmetric metals, leading to a design principle which we use in the theoretical prediction of a polar-NCSM transition metal (M) oxide. We formulate a two-step materials selection process based on a weak electron–lattice coupling principle that considers the




Figure 1 | Classification of noncentrosymmetric metals. Known compounds differentiated by crystal class following the NCS classification scheme introduced in Ref. 18, include 4d and 5d transition metal intermetallics, carbides or silicides. Oxide compounds are scarce.

symmetry and atomic species participating in the inversion lifting lattice modes, and the susceptibility of the cations’ electronic configurations to a metallic state. We show that two $k \neq 0$ lattice modes describing rotations of MO₆ octahedra induce polar A-cation displacements in the layered and cation ordered (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ perovskite, for which experimental solid solutions¹⁹ are metallic. Because the microscopic mechanism is independent of the details of the states at the Fermi level, unlike conventional dipolar interactions which are essential to stabilizing acentric displacements of second-order Jahn-Teller cations in dielectrics,²⁰ the design strategy is readily transferable to other transition metal chemistries. We show that spin-orbit interactions do not alter the electronic ground state, and that the unusual coexistence of a polar axis and metallicity produces a novel correlated material possessing a highly anisotropic thermopower response ($|\Delta\mathcal{S}_\perp| \sim 6.3 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ at 300 K) with nearly isotropic electrical conductivity.

TABLE I | Routes to lift inversion symmetry in metallic compounds. The scheme is formulated by classifying the symmetry requirements of the lattice mode instabilities of a centrosymmetric (reference) phase which would drive a thermodynamic transition to a noncentrosymmetric crystal structure. Although compositional ordering may be an obvious route to lift inversion symmetry, we recognize that experimentally in practice it may be challenging to achieve it, especially in oxide materials owing to bond-coordination requirements; therefore, although the route is simple, it is by no means straightforward. The design methodology demonstrated here implements method (3) (in boldface). Note that all materials are expected to show some interesting physical properties enabled by an acentric crystal structure with itinerant electrons, independent of the mechanism leading to the mutual coexistence of the prerequisite atomic and electronic structure.

Inversion-lifting Method	Mode Requirements	Description
(1) Compositional Order	None	Achieved by decorating one or more interleaved lattices with multiple cations, e.g., as realized in half-Heusler alloys or tri-color, $\cdot/ABC/ABC/\cdot$, superlattices.
(2) Packing of Acentric Polyhedra	$1, k = 0$ or $k \neq 0$ mode	Realized by the alignment of acentric metal-oxygen polyhedral units arising from either out-of-center metal distortions or because they intrinsically lack inversion (MO_4 tetrahedron), and contribute few (if any) states to or near the Fermi level.
(3) Geometric-induced Displacements	$\geq 2, k \neq 0$ coupled modes	Obtained by anharmonic coupling of two or more centric lattice modes, which cooperatively remove inversion and produce cation displacements that do not gap the electronic structure. Accessible in some, effectively, two-dimensional compounds, e.g., naturally layered structures or 3D systems with bi-color ordering.

Results

Design of a metallic oxide without inversion. Our design strategy originates from Anderson’s work³ on “ferroelectric metals,” where he writes that, “while free electrons screen out the electric field [in materials] completely, they do not interact very strongly with the transverse optical phonons and the Lorentz local fields [that] lead to ferroelectricity, since umklapp processes are forbidden as $k \rightarrow 0$.” We recast this observation into an operational principle that states: The existence of any NCSM relies on weak coupling between the electrons at the Fermi level, and the (soft) phonon(s) responsible for removing inversion symmetry. An essential, implicit, materials constraint is that the low-energy electronic structure derives from an electron count giving partial band occupation, which may be obtained by judicious selection of the cation chemistries.

We identify three displacive routes fulfilling the weak-coupling hypothesis in solid-state systems, specified by the symmetry behavior of the lattice phonons that would remove inversion symmetry in a centrosymmetric metal and yield a NCS crystal structure (Table I). It should be noted that an order–disorder mechanism could also be operative; the classification of such symmetry breaking is consistent with our scheme; the caveat being that the soft phonon is replaced by an order parameter that describes atomic site occupancy. In either case the same set of irreducible representations may be used.

The third approach, which we focus on here, resembles a condition which supports a novel “improper” mechanism^{21,22} for ferroelectricity that has been exploited to design artificial polar oxides with sizeable electric polarizations by heterostructuring non-polar dielectrics. Note that throughout we choose to refer to these materials as NCS metals rather than “ferroelectric-metals” as articulated by Anderson and recently others to eliminate misconceptions about the presence of switchable spontaneous electric polarizations in metals, and more accurately describe the crystallographic–electronic function of the materials. In this way, one can generally and completely

classify all metals without inversion symmetry and not solely those of the polar subset of NCS structures (Fig. 1).

Within this framework, the design of a NCSM oxide using geometric-induced displacements requires an oxide class exhibiting coupled zone-boundary phonons that lift inversion symmetry. Here we choose orthorhombic AMO_3 perovskites with corner-connected MO_6 octahedra that are rotated about each Cartesian axis; the “tilt” pattern is obtained and described by two phonons with $k = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$ and $(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ wavevectors relative to the cubic aristotype.²³ The $a^+b^-c^-$ MO_6 rotation pattern in the presence of layered A-cation order along an [001]-direction, e.g., accessible through synthetic bulk chemistry routes^{24,25} or heteroepitaxial thin film growth methods,²⁶ is sufficient to produce a polar structure.^{27,28} The chemical species are selected given the constraints that the rotations of octahedra are necessary—determined by the A and M cation size mismatch in perovskites—and that the electronic configuration of the M cation results in a nonzero density-of-states at the Fermi level (E_F). These requirements should be satisfied for ordered (Sr,Ca) Ru_2O_6 , since both bulk $SrRuO_3$ and $CaRuO_3$ are experimentally found in the $a^+b^-c^-$ Glazer tilt pattern and also have partially occupied low-energy Ru $4d t_{2g}$ orbitals.

Ground-state structure. Fig. 2 depicts the polar $Pmc2_1$ ground state crystal structure of (Sr,Ca) Ru_2O_6 obtained from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. (See Supplementary Table 1 for the crystallographic data.) The extended RuO_6 structure is highly rotated, adopting the targeted orthorhombic tilt pattern with rotations of adjacent octahedra out-of-phase about the [011]-direction and in-phase about the layering [100]-direction [Fig. 2(a)]. The Ru–O–Ru bond angles are 157.1° and 145.6° (perpendicular to the CaO/SrO layers) and 150.4° (within the layers). The stabilization of this rotation pattern is understood on the grounds that the π^* band, which is nearly full because of the t_{2g}^4 configuration, is shifted to




Figure 2 | Ground state structure of the polar noncentrosymmetric metal (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆. Crystal structure of cation ordered (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ with the $a^+b^-b^-$ RuO₆ tilt pattern (a). The off-center Ru⁴⁺ distortions (bond lengths in Å) produce local dipoles which cooperatively order along the c -direction (b), removing the mirror plane perpendicular to the A-site cation order. The local coordination environment for (c) Sr (tetra-capped trigonal prism) and (d) Ca (bi-capped trigonal prism) reveal that large A-site distortions from the ideal 12-fold coordination occur in opposite directions (arrows), along the polar c -axis.

lower energy by the electronegative Ru⁴⁺ cation.²⁹

(Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ exhibits small off-center Ru distortions [Fig. 2(b)] and large A-site cation displacements [Fig. 2(c,d)]. Acentric B-site displacements are common to TMs with d^0 -electronic configurations in octahedral coordinations; however, for $d^n, n \geq 1$, such polar displacements are largely disfavored, i.e., the energetic gain due to $d\pi - p\pi$ metal-oxygen bonding decreases upon filling the t_{2g} orbital.³⁰ Nonetheless, we find they occur for d^4 Ru⁴⁺, displacing the metal center nearly towards an edge (~ 0.03 Å), staying in the (110) plane (see Supplementary Table 2). This results in one short-, two long-, and three medium Ru-O bonds [Fig. 2(b)], which are close to the average value found experimentally in the Sr_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}Ru₂O₃ solid solution.³¹ The Ca and Sr atoms make large polar displacements (0.29 and 0.21 Å, respectively) along the [001] and [00 $\bar{1}$] directions (see Supplementary Table 3), resulting in distorted polyhedra [Fig. 2(c)]. We explore these displacements and their effect on the electronic structure in more detail below.

Electronic properties. Fig. 3 shows the total and partial densities-of-states (DOS) for the polar-NCSM (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ without (panel a) and with (panel b) spin-orbit interactions (SOI). The valence band is composed largely of O $2p$ states hybridized with Ru $4d$ states, with the oxygen states predominately found in regions below the E_F . Consistent with low-spin Ru⁴⁺, the large peaks in the DOS near E_F are caused by the fairly flat Ru t_{2g} bands, whereas the strongly hybridized e_g orbitals form broader bands in the conduction band beginning near 1.5 eV. We find that without SOI, weakly dispersive t_{2g} bands lead to a large number of states at the Fermi level, i.e., $N(E_F) \sim 2.2$ eV/spin/Ru atom indicating robust metallic behavior [Fig. 3(a)], despite a ~ 0.75 eV band gap present in both spin channels far above E_F (0.5 eV). It is also ferromagnetic (0.914 μ_B /f.u.) with ~ 0.35 μ_B /Ru atom; the remaining magnetization is distributed among the oxygen ligands due to the itinerant spin-polarized electrons.




Figure 3 | Electronic structure. The total (black line) and Ru $4d$ orbital- and spin-resolved densities of states (DOS) orthorhombic (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ calculated (a) without spin-orbit interactions (SOI) and (b) with SOI. The Fermi level is at 0 eV (dashed line).

Using a simple modified Weiss formula,³² we calculate a Curie temperature $T_c = 47$ K (27 K applying Anderson's rescaling³³), in good agreement with experimental data¹⁹ on solid solution Sr_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}RuO₃ ($T_c = 57$ K).

Since spin-orbit interactions play a significant role in determining the orbital structure in $3d$ transition-metal oxides,^{34,35} we calculate the DOS with spin-orbit interactions (SOI) [Fig. 3(b)]. Although the SOI enable the spin-up and spin-down bands to mix, we find an electronic structure similar to that without the interactions. The Ru $4d$ -band occupation at E_F is weakly modified and the gap in the conduction band is slightly reduced. Nonetheless, the weakly dispersed t_{2g} states persists, and (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ remains metallic [$N(E_F) \sim 4.36$ eV/Ru atom] consistent with SOI calculations on bulk SrRuO₃,³⁶ where spin-orbit coupling also does not greatly alter the electronic structure.

Origin of acentricity. For purposes of evaluating the design approach and the proposed geometric-induced inversion-symmetry-breaking displacements, we perform a group theoretical analysis^{37,38} of the $Pmc2_1$ structure with respect to a fictitious prototypical centrosymmetric phase ($P4/mmm$ symmetry), reducing the polar structure into a set of symmetry-adapted modes associated with different irreducible representations (irreps) of the $P4/mmm$ phase (see Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 4). Note that the hypothetical structure would be related to an experimentally accessible high-temperature phase, assuming that the crystal would not first decompose. The normalized distortion vector is $\xi \simeq 0.32\Gamma_5^- + 0.54M_2^+ + 0.78M_5^-$, where Γ_5^- corresponds to a polar ir -active mode, and M_2^+ and M_5^- describe $k \neq 0$ in-phase and out-of-phase rotation modes, respectively.

The amplitude and energetic contribution of each irrep in the polar structure provides insight into the stability of the NSCM (Fig. 4). Although the zone-center polar mode, transforming as irrep Γ_5^- is weakly unstable in the high-symmetry $P4/mmm$ structure (see Supplementary




Figure 4 | Electron–lattice interactions on the ground state stability. (a) Polar (*ir*-active) mode with Γ_5^- symmetry. The inset depicts the polar cation displacement pattern; apical oxygen and (small) Ru displacements are omitted for clarity. (b) In-phase and out-of-phase RuO_6 rotational modes. (c) Normalized energy gain obtained by increasing amplitude of the polar displacements at fixed percentages of the total $a^+b^-b^-$ tilt pattern found in the ground-state structure, i.e., described by the combination $M_2^+ \oplus M_5^-$. The inset depicts the 100% rotational distortions. (d) Change in the position of the Sr and Ca conduction band center of mass (ΔCB) and (e) variation in the number of states at the Fermi level [$\Delta N(E_F)$] normalized to the value without the polar distortion. The ferromagnetic state is stable for polar displacements larger than ~ 0.25 Å.

Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 5), i.e., characterized by a negative quadratic energy surface with respect to the increasing amplitude of the polar distortion [Fig. 4(a)], its energetic contribution to the ground state structure is two orders of magnitude smaller than that obtained from the octahedral rotation modes [Fig. 4(b)]. To show that the geometric RuO_6 rotations drive the polar Sr and Ca displacements [Fig. 4(a,inset)], circumventing the contra-indication between metallicity and the *ir*-mode, we map the total energy evolution of $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ with increasing amplitude of the *ir*-polar mode at different fixed values of the $a^+b^-b^-$ tilt pattern described by $(M_2^+ \oplus M_5^-)$ [Fig. 4(c)]. We find that with increasing amplitude of the $a^+b^-b^-$ tilt pattern, the negative curvature about the origin vanishes; the energy landscape evolves to a parabola with a single energy minimum, indicating the hardening (stabilization) of the polar phonon mode at finite amplitude induced by the geometric RuO_6 octahedral rotations, which fully accounting for the loss of




Figure 5 | Chemical potential-dependent properties and anisotropy at 300 K. $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ transport properties (left panels) as a function of the chemical potential, μ : (a) thermopower \mathcal{S} , (b) conductivity σ , and (c) the power factor PF. Red, blue, and green line are the transport properties along the a , b , and the polar c -axis, respectively. The dashed line indicates the total behavior, given as an average of the three principle components. Anisotropy (right panels) along (d) the ab -plane ($\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\parallel}$) with (blue) and without (magenta) polar displacements; the difference of the two curves is depicted in (e). (f) The anisotropy between the polar c -axis and the ab -plane ($\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}$), and (g) the difference between the two curves.

inversion symmetry.

Fig. 4(d) shows there are minor changes in the position of the band center of mass for the principal atoms involved in the polar mode, i.e., the Ca ($4s3d$) and Sr ($5s4d$) states which are located ~ 6.2 eV above E_F in the conduction band. The relative change in the number of states at the Fermi level [$\Delta N(E_F)$, Fig. 4(e)] is also small with respect to the centrosymmetric structure and increasing amplitude of the polar mode, confirming the weak interaction between the inversion symmetry lifting displacements and the low-energy electronic structure. The conduction bands marginally shift to higher energy (~ 0.06 eV) and the change in $N(E_F)$ is approximately $\pm 5\%$, validating the proposed NCSM design guidelines. Interestingly, the polar mode activates the ferromagnetic ground state—observed by the exchange split electronic structure that appears around ~ 0.25 Å in Fig. 4(e), indicative of large spin-phonon coupling.^{39,40}

Thermoelectric response. Owing to the orthorhombicity in $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$, the recent interest in thermoelectric properties of perovskite oxide superlattices,⁴¹ and the fact that degenerately doped ferroelectrics with polar axes ex-

hibit unusual thermoelectric properties,^{42–44} we evaluate the thermopower anisotropy at 300 K using Boltzmann transport theory within the constant scattering time approximation.

Fig. 5 shows the relevant thermoelectric properties in the chemical potential range varying from -0.25 eV to 0.25 eV. The average thermopower \bar{S} , denoted by the broken line in Fig. 5(a), ranges from 14 to $-18 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ with two sign changes near $\mu \simeq -0.21$ and $\mu \simeq 0.07$ eV, indicating a change in the dominant charge carriers from holes to electrons, and then from electrons to holes, respectively. We also note that above $\mu \simeq 0.16$ eV, the thermopower components along the polar c direction dominate the thermal properties.

The conductivity σ is found to oscillate in a narrow window over the chemical potential range considered, and all the three components resolved along the crystallographic exhibit similar behavior [Fig. 5(b)]. Above $\mu \simeq 0.05$ eV, where the Seebeck coefficient along the polar axis is extremized, the conductivity along the c -axis (σ_c) begins to exceed that along the other directions.

Fig. 5(c) shows the total and crystal axis-decomposed power factor $\text{PF}=\sigma\mathcal{S}^2$. The total power factor exhibits a pronounced local maximum, $\text{PF}\simeq 0.7 \mu\text{W K}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-1}$, near $\mu=-0.12$ eV (hole doping $h=3\times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-3}$), and two other less pronounced local maxima, $\text{PF}\simeq 0.35 \mu\text{W K}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-1}$ at $\mu \sim 0.05$ eV and $\text{PF} \simeq 0.61 \mu\text{W K}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-1}$ at $\mu \sim 0.18$ eV, which are negligible when compared to thermoelectric materials found in applications ($20\text{--}50 \mu\text{W K}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-1}$).⁴⁵ It is interesting, however, that the maximum at $\mu \sim 0.18$ eV (electron doping of $n = 6 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-3}$) is in the region where the Seebeck coefficient and the conductivity along the polar axis both dominate the transport behavior along the other directions.

To show that this anisotropy arises from the polar displacements and not the orthorhombic lattice symmetry of $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$, we calculate the thermopower anisotropy in the ab -plane as $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\parallel} = \mathcal{S}_a - \mathcal{S}_b$ [Fig. 5(d)], and the thermopower anisotropy along the polar c -axis as $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp} = \mathcal{S}_c - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S}_a + \mathcal{S}_b)$ [Fig. 5(f)], for the equilibrium $Pmc2_1$ structure and for a hypothetical structure with the polar atomic displacements described by irrep Γ_5^- fully removed.

When we remove the polar displacements, we find that $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\parallel}$ is modified for all chemical potentials examined except at 0 eV. The difference between the two cases $\delta\mathcal{S}_{\parallel}$ ranges between $\pm 15 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ [Fig. 5(e)]. The thermopower anisotropy along the polar c -axis, $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}$, behaves as $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\parallel}$, except for a sign change at 0 eV: The difference in the c -axis anisotropy, $\delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}$, also ranges between $\pm 15 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ for the two structures [Fig. 5(g)]. Consistent with our design guidelines, at $\mu = 0$ eV, the change in the thermopower anisotropy is essentially zero, indicating weak coupling between the states at the Fermi level and the polar atomic displacements that lift inversion symmetry. The role of the polar distortions becomes even more evident by analyzing the anisotropy change in the bc -plane




Figure 6 | Temperature-dependent thermopower in paramagnetic $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$. Temperature-dependent thermopower along the a , b and c axes at (a) $\mu=0$ eV and (b) $\mu=0.18$ eV. The shaded area indicates the ferromagnetic phase below the calculated Curie temperature $T_c \sim 50$ K. The average thermopower is calculated as $\bar{S} = (\mathcal{S}_a + \mathcal{S}_b + \mathcal{S}_c)/3$. The insets depict the 300 K quadric surface representations of the second-rank Seebeck tensor, revealing enhanced anisotropy upon doping along the polar axis.

[see Supplementary Figure 3] where the lattice parameters are identical. The removal of polar displacements is found to produce a shift relative to the chemical potential below $\mu=0.18$ eV. Above this value, we find that the anisotropy in the bc -plane is small in the structure without the polar distortions, whereas it is maximized in the equilibrium structure. Such behavior is also observed in Fig. 5(f) over the same electron-doped region. The magnitude of the anisotropy, $|\delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}|$, in the ground state structure exceeds that of the phase with the polar displacements removed.

Fig. 6 shows the computed temperature-dependent thermopower \mathcal{S} as a function of chemical potential $\mu = 0$ and 0.18 eV, which is selected because the power factor ($\sigma\mathcal{S}^2$) exhibits a local maximum that is due mainly to the Seebeck coefficient along the polar axis, \mathcal{S}_c [Fig. 5(c)]. Due to identical lattice constants along the b and c axes in $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$, \mathcal{S}_b and \mathcal{S}_c have similar behavior for $\mu = 0$ eV. The total thermopower, \bar{S} , ranges from ~ 2.5 to $-7 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$, and exhibits parabolic behavior with a maximum around 550 K. The thermopower anisotropy along the polar c -axis, $\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}$ exhibits the same behavior. At $\mu = 0$ eV, the thermopower anisotropy is relatively large ($|\Delta\mathcal{S}_{\perp}| \sim 6.3 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$), reflected in the 300 K representation of the second-rank Seebeck tensor (inset) appearing as a hyperboloid with two sheets.

For $\mu = 0.18$ eV the scenario is different. \bar{S} has a quasi-linear behavior from low to high temperature: \mathcal{S}_a , \mathcal{S}_b and \mathcal{S}_c all become positive. Over the entire temperature range considered, the thermopower along the polar axis \mathcal{S}_c dominates. At 300 K (broken vertical




Figure 7 | Effect of polar displacements on the electronic structure. In the left (right) panel the bands structure for the non-magnetic layered $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ (without Γ_5^- displacements). The highlighted bands are mainly affected by the polar displacements. The red (lower energy) bands are partially occupied in the equilibrium structure. The shaded path corresponds to a k -space trajectory parallel to the polar axis. Brillouin zone labels: Γ (0, 0, 0), X ($\pi/2$, 0, 0), S ($\pi/2$, $\pi/2$, 0), R ($\pi/2$, $\pi/2$, $\pi/2$).

line) the second-rank Seebeck tensor quadric surface becomes an elongated ellipsoid, flattened along the c direction. Accordingly the thermopower anisotropy at 300 K becomes $\sim 5.8 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$.

Discussion

The thermoelectric behavior as a function of doping can be understood by examining the electronic band structures of the equilibrium $Pmc2_1$ structure with that of the hypothetical $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ system with the polar atomic displacements removed (Fig. 7). Inspection of the electronic band structure along the lines of symmetry $X-S$ (corresponding to b in real space) and $S-R$ (corresponding to the polar c axis) reveal strongly hybridized Ru $4d-O$ $2p$ bands dispersing from -0.2 eV to 0.3 eV, where the electron contribution dominates until ~ 0.07 eV above the Fermi level [Fig. 7(a)], explaining the sign change of \bar{S} near $\mu \simeq 0.07$ eV in Fig. 5(a).

Additionally, the polar displacements largely modify the band structure along $S-R$ in the energy range 0.05-0.30 eV. In the absence of the polar mode, the band near 0.16 eV (arrow in Fig. 7), which is derived from the oxygen $2p$ states in the CaO and SrO planes, shifts to lower energy and broadens. In the equilibrium structure, this band along $S-R$ is higher in energy than the band which changes dispersion along $\Gamma-X$ (energy range 0.05-0.18 eV); however, when the polar displacements are removed, the oxygen-derived band along $S-R$ becomes lower than that of the band center of mass along $\Gamma-X$. It is the dependence of these two bands on the amplitude of the polar atomic displacements that leads to the different behavior appearing in Fig. 5(f) for $\mu = 0.18$ eV.

We find that the 300 K thermopower anisotropy of $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ at $\mu=0.18$ eV is close to that of centrosymmetric $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ (YBCO, $\sim 7-10 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$),^{46,47} which exhibits strong thermal and electrical anisotropy owing to the two-dimensional (2D) crystal structure. The

thermopower anisotropy in other metallic systems, e.g., the hexagonal delafossite oxides PdCoO_2 and PtCoO_2 ,⁴⁸ also derive from the 2D layered topology of the system, and so present large Seebeck coefficients along the trigonal axis, i.e., the axis which is most insulating. In contrast, the thermopower anisotropy in $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ is not related to the dimensionality of the system, but rather to the existence of a polar axis along which the electrical conductivity is highest.

The peculiar thermopower anisotropy in $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ dictates that the electric field resulting from an applied heat flux to the material will be non-collinear. This property is a fundamental feature for any anisotropic thermoelectric devices.⁴⁹ It enables the heat flux to be measured in a geometry perpendicular to the induced electrical current, specifically at locations where the temperatures are equal (see Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Note 1). Given the metallic conductivity of $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ and that the relaxation time is small in comparison with that of semiconductors or insulators already finding use in thermoelectric devices, this polar-NCSM would enable sensing on sub-nanosecond timescales (comparable to YBCO⁴⁷). New applications of these oxide materials could be found in ultrafast-thermoelectric devices,^{47,49} where stability under extreme conditions, speed, and the ability to measure heat fluxes of high density are key requirements, e.g., thermal (heat) radiation detectors. Moreover, because of the compatibility of perovskite oxides with Si-based CMOS technologies,⁵⁰ we anticipate these designed NCS metals will more readily find integration and device development than previously identified materials with large anisotropic thermoelectric responses.

In summary, we designed a polar-noncentrosymmetric ruthenate conductor and described how to eliminate the incompatibility between metallicity and acentricity in complex transition metal oxides. We articulated a paradigm for which new noncentrosymmetric metals may be found, and showed that $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6$ exhibits Seebeck coefficients with large anisotropy derived from the polar structure. We believe that other polar metals could exhibit such anisotropic thermal properties; the important discriminating feature, however, is the k -space evolution of the electronic structure with the real space polar distortion. Broadly, such thermopower anisotropy may also be found in any NCS metal, e.g., in compounds which are chiral but non-polar, but continued studies are required to clarify the extent of the response. We hope this work motivates the synthesis of new materials, and the discussion of new applications where highly anisotropic thermoelectric responses in metals can be leveraged.

Methods

Ab initio calculations and group theoretical analysis

We perform first-principles density functional calculations within the local spin density approximation (LDA), which is known to provide a reliable description of perovskite

ruthenates^{36,40,51} as implemented in the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).^{52,53} Calculations carried out with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation functional revised for solids (PBEsol)⁵⁴ provide essentially the same results (see Supplementary Table 6). We use the projector augmented wave (PAW) method⁵⁵ to treat the core and valence electrons using a 550 eV plane wave expansion and the following electronic configurations: $4s^2 4p^6 5s^2$ (Sr), $3p^6 4s^2$ (Ca), $4p^6 5s^2 4d^6$ (Ru), and $2s^2 2p^4$ (O). A $7 \times 7 \times 5$ Monkhorst-Pack k -point mesh⁵⁶ and Gaussian smearing (20 meV width) was used for the Brillouin zone (BZ) sampling and integrations.

For structure optimization, we relax the atomic positions to have forces less than 0.1 meV \AA^{-1} . We impose as a constraint $b = c$ (note that in bulk ruthenates the deviations between the “short” axes are small⁴⁰) to simulate the situation under thin film growth on a cubic substrate with a square lattice net. We search for the ground state structure by computing the phonon frequencies and eigenmodes of the high-symmetry centrosymmetric (Sr,Ca)Ru₂O₆ structure (space group $P4/mmm$), and then systematically “freeze-in” linear combinations of the unstable modes, performing structural optimization on these candidate low-symmetry structures until the global minimum is obtained. The group theoretical analysis was aided with the ISODISTORT⁵⁷ and AMPLIMODES^{58,59} software.

Thermoelectric properties

For the calculation of the Seebeck coefficient and other transport properties, we use Boltzmann transport theory^{60,61} within the constant scattering time (τ) approximation as implemented in the BOLTZTRAP code.⁶² We perform a non-self-consistent band-structure calculation with a much denser sampling of $27 \times 33 \times 33$ (4046 k -points in the irreducible Brillouin zone; 29403 k -points in the full Brillouin zone), using the equilibrium ground state structure. The Seebeck coefficients \mathcal{S} are calculated independently from τ . The electrical conductivity, however, requires a known value of τ , and so we introduce

an empirical parameter. Here, the relaxation time value $\tau = 0.23 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}$ is employed in all calculations, which we obtain from fitting the room-temperature conductivity⁶³ $\sigma \sim 2.7 \times 10^5 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ of solid solution Sr_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}RuO₃. We believe this estimate to provide a meaningful approximation based on our excellent agreement with other physical properties of the solid solution reported in the main text.

The thermoelectric properties at room temperature are calculated using the zero Kelvin polar structure based on the fact that the high-temperature structural transitions away from orthorhombic symmetry in bulk CaRuO₃ and SrRuO₃ do not occur until 1550 K⁶⁴ and 685 K, respectively.⁶⁵ Based on our calculated magnetic Curie temperatures of $\sim 50 \text{ K}$, we also compute all thermoelectric responses using a non-magnetic configuration.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Army Research Office under grant no. W911NF-12-1-0133. The authors acknowledge the High Performance Computing Modernization Program (HPCMP) of the DOD for providing computational resources that have contributed to the research results reported herein. The authors thank K.R. Poeplemeier and C.J. Fennie for insightful discussions.

Author contributions

The study was planned, calculations carried out, and the manuscript prepared by D.P. and J.M.R. Both authors discussed the results, wrote, and commented on the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.M.R.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

* jrondinelli@coe.drexel.edu

¹ M.E. Lines and A.M. Glass, *Principles and Applications of Ferroelectrics and Related Materials* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1977).

² W. Zhong, David Vanderbilt, and K. M. Rabe, “Phase Transitions in BaTiO₃ from First Principles,” *Physical Review Letters* **73**, 1861–1864 (1994).

³ P. W. Anderson and E. I. Blount, “Symmetry Considerations on Martensitic Transformations: “Ferroelectric” Metals?” *Physical Review Letters* **14**, 217–219 (1965).

⁴ A.C. Lawson and W.H. Zachariassen, “Low temperature lattice transformation of HfV₂,” *Physical Letters A* **38**, 1 (1972).

⁵ V. P. Mineev and Yu. Yoshioka, “Optical activity of non-centrosymmetric metals,” *Physical Review B* **81**, 094525

(2010).

⁶ Victor M. Edelstein, “Features of light reflection off metals with destroyed mirror symmetry,” *Physical Review B* **83**, 113109 (2011).

⁷ Victor M. Edelstein, “Magnetoelectric effect in polar superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 2004–2007 (1995).

⁸ Victor M. Edelstein, “Magnetoelectric effect in dirty superconductors with broken mirror symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 172501 (2005).

⁹ Victor M Edelstein, “The Ginzburg - Landau equation for superconductors of polar symmetry,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **8**, 339–349 (1996).

¹⁰ E. Bauer, H. Kaldarar, R. Lackner, H. Michor, W. Steiner, E.-W. Scheidt, A. Galatanu, F. Marabelli, T. Wazumi, K. Kumagai, and M. Feuerbacher, “Superconductivity in

- the complex metallic alloy β -Al₃Mg₂,” *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 014528 (2007).
- 11 E. Bauer, G. Rogl, Xing-Qiu Chen, R. T. Khan, H. Michor, G. Hilscher, E. Royanian, K. Kumagai, D. Z. Li, Y. Y. Li, R. Podloucky, and P. Rogl, “Unconventional superconducting phase in the weakly correlated noncentrosymmetric Mo₃Al₂C compound,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 064511 (2010).
 - 12 K.R. Poeppelmeier, J.P. Thiel, J.T. Vaughey, M.T. Anderson, D.A. Groenke, C.L. Stern, B. Dabrowski, D.G. Hinks, and A.W. Mitchell, “New family of planar cuprate superconductors: Effect of nonmagnetic chains and planes,” *Physica C: Superconductivity* **185-189**, Part 1, 525–526 (1991).
 - 13 J. T. Vaughey, J. P. Thiel, E. F. Hasty, D. A. Groenke, Charlotte L. Stern, K. R. Poeppelmeier, B. Dabrowski, D. G. Hinks, and A. W. Mitchell, “Synthesis and structure of a new family of cuprate superconductors: LnSr₂Cu₂GaO₇,” *Chemistry of Materials* **3**, 935–940 (1991).
 - 14 I. A. Sergienko, V. Keppens, M. McGuire, R. Jin, J. He, S. H. Curnoe, B. C. Sales, P. Blaha, D. J. Singh, K. Schwarz, and D. Mandrus, “Metallic “Ferroelectricity” in the Pyrochlore Cd₂Re₂O₇,” *Physical Review Letters* **92**, 065501 (2004).
 - 15 Youguo Shi, Yanfeng Guo, Xia Wang, Andrew J. Princep, Dmitry Khalyavin, Pascal Manuel, Yuichi Michiue, Akira Sato, Kenji Tsuda, Shan Yu, Masao Arai, Yuichi Shirako, Masaki Akaogi, Nanlin Wang, Kazunari Yamaura, and Andrew T. Boothroyd, “A ferroelectric-like structural transition in a metal,” *Nature Materials* **12**, 1024–1027 (2013).
 - 16 T. Kolodiaznyi, M. Tachibana, H. Kawaji, J. Hwang, and E. Takayama-Muromachi, “Persistence of Ferroelectricity in BaTiO₃ through the Insulator-Metal Transition,” *Physical Review Letters* **104**, 147602 (2010).
 - 17 Amit K. Das, P. Misra, R. S. Ajimsha, A. Bose, S. C. Joshi, D. M. Phase, and L. M. Kukreja, “Studies on temperature dependent semiconductor to metal transitions in ZnO thin films sparsely doped with Al,” *Journal of Applied Physics* **112**, 103706 (2012).
 - 18 P. Shiv Halasyamani and Kenneth R. Poeppelmeier, “Non-centrosymmetric oxides,” *Chemistry of Materials* **10**, 2753–2769 (1998).
 - 19 G. Cao, S. McCall, M. Shepard, J. E. Crow, and R. P. Guertin, “Thermal, magnetic, and transport properties of single-crystal Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO₃ (0 \lesssim x \lesssim 1.0),” *Physical Review B* **56**, 321–329 (1997).
 - 20 Yong Wang, Xiaohui Liu, J. D. Burton, Sitaram S. Jaswal, and Evgeny Y. Tsymbal, “Ferroelectric instability under screened coulomb interactions,” *Physical Review Letters* **109**, 247601 (2012).
 - 21 Nicole A. Benedek and Craig J. Fennie, “Hybrid improper ferroelectricity: A mechanism for controllable polarization-magnetization coupling,” *Physical Review Letters* **106**, 107204 (2011).
 - 22 Eric Bousquet, Matthew Dawber, Nicolas Stucki, Celine Lichtensteiger, Patrick Hermet, Stefano Gariglio, Jean-Marc Triscone, and Philippe Ghosez, “Improper ferroelectricity in perovskite oxide artificial superlattices,” *Nature* **452**, 732–736 (2008).
 - 23 C. J. Howard and H. T. Stokes, “Group-Theoretical Analysis of Octahedral Tilting in Perovskites,” *Acta Crystallographica Section B* **54**, 782–789 (1998).
 - 24 Graham King and Patrick M. Woodward, “Cation ordering in perovskites,” *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **20**, 5785–5796 (2010).
 - 25 Walid Dachraoui, Tao Yang, Chang Liu, Graham King, Joke Hadermann, Gustaaf Van Tendeloo, Anna Llobet, and Martha Greenblatt, “Short-Range Layered A-Site Ordering in Double Perovskites NaLaBBB’O₆ (B = Mn, Fe; B’ = Nb, Ta),” *Chemistry of Materials* **23**, 2398–2406 (2011).
 - 26 Pavlo Zubko, Stefano Gariglio, Marc Gabay, Philippe Ghosez, and Jean-Marc Triscone, “Interface physics in complex oxide heterostructures,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **2**, 141–165 (2011).
 - 27 James M. Rondinelli and Craig J. Fennie, “Octahedral rotation-induced ferroelectricity in cation ordered perovskites,” *Advanced Materials* **24**, 1961–1968 (2012).
 - 28 Andrew T. Mulder, Nicole A. Benedek, James M. Rondinelli, and Craig J. Fennie, “Turning ABO₃ Antiferroelectrics into Ferroelectrics: Design Rules for Practical Rotation-Driven Ferroelectricity in Double Perovskites and A₃B₂O₇ Ruddlesden-Popper Compounds,” *Advanced Functional Materials* **23**, 4810–4820 (2013).
 - 29 P. M. Woodward, “Octahedral Tilting in Perovskites. II. Structure Stabilizing Forces,” *Acta Cryst. B* **53**, 44–66 (1997).
 - 30 Martin Kunz and I. David Brown, “Out-of-Center Distortions around Octahedrally Coordinated d⁰ Transition Metals,” *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **115**, 395–406 (1995).
 - 31 H. Kobayashi, M. Nagata, R. Kanno, and Y. Kawamoto, “Structural characterization of the orthorhombic perovskites: [ARuO₃ (A = Ca, Sr, La, Pr)],” *Materials Research Bulletin* **29**, 1271–1280 (1994).
 - 32 Nathascia Lampis, Cesare Franchini, Guido Satta, Alessandra Geddo-Lehmann, and Sandro Massidda, “Electronic structure of PbFe_{1/2}Ta_{1/2}O₃ : Crystallographic ordering and magnetic properties,” *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 064412 (2004).
 - 33 P. W. Anderson, “New approach to the theory of superexchange interactions,” *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 2–13 (1959).
 - 34 Hua Wu, Z. Hu, D. I. Khomskii, and L. H. Tjeng, “Insulating state and the importance of the spin-orbit coupling in Ca₃CoRhO₆,” *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 245118 (2007).
 - 35 Tulika Maitra and Roser Valentí, “Orbital Order in ZnV₂O₄,” *Physical Review Letters* **99**, 126401 (2007).
 - 36 James M. Rondinelli, Nuala M. Caffrey, Stefano Sanvito, and Nicola A. Spaldin, “Electronic properties of bulk and thin film SrRuO₃: Search for the metal-insulator transition,” *Physical Review B* **78**, 155107 (2008).
 - 37 Mois I. Aroyo, Asen Kirov, Cesar Capillas, J. M. Perez-Mato, and Hans Wondratschek, “Bilbao Crystallographic Server. II. Representations of crystallographic point groups and space groups,” *Acta Crystallographica A* **62**, 115–128 (2006).
 - 38 Danel Orobengoa, Cesar Capillas, Mois I. Aroyo, and J. Manuel Perez-Mato, “AMPLIMODES: symmetry-mode analysis on the Bilbao Crystallographic Server,” *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **42**, 820–833 (2009).
 - 39 Jun Hee Lee and Karin M. Rabe, “Epitaxial-Strain-Induced Multiferroicity in SrMnO₃ from First Principles,” *Physical Review Letters* **104**, 207204 (2010).
 - 40 A. T. Zayak, X. Huang, J. B. Neaton, and Karin M. Rabe, “Manipulating magnetic properties of SrRuO₃ and CaRuO₃ with epitaxial and uniaxial strains,” *Physical Review B* **77**, 214410 (2008).
 - 41 Pablo García-Fernández, Marcos Verissimo-Alves, Daniel I. Bilc, Philippe Ghosez, and Javier Junquera, “First-principles modeling of the thermoelectric properties of SrTiO₃/SrRuO₃ superlattices,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 085305

- (2012).
- 42 Soonil Lee, Jonathan A. Bock, Susan Trolier-McKinstry, and Clive A. Randall, “Ferroelectric-thermoelectricity and mott transition of ferroelectric oxides with high electronic conductivity,” *Journal of the European Ceramic Society* **32**, 3971–3988 (2012).
 - 43 T. G. Xi Y. Fei C. L. Choy, W. P. Leung and C. F. Shao, “Specific heat and thermal diffusivity of strontium barium niobate ($\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_6$) single crystals,” *Journal of the European Ceramic Society* **71**, 170–173 (1992).
 - 44 T. Kolodiazny, A. Petric, M. Niewczas, C. Bridges, A. Safa-Sefat, and J. E. Greedan, “Thermoelectric power, Hall effect, and mobility of n -type BaTiO_3 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 085205 (2003).
 - 45 G. Jeffrey Snyder and Eric S. Toberer, “Complex thermoelectric materials,” *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 105–114 (2008).
 - 46 A. B. Kaiser and C. Uher, in *Studies of High Temperature Superconductors*, Vol. 7, edited by A. V. Narlikar (Nova Science, New York, 1991) p. 353.
 - 47 W. Prettl S. Zeuner and H. Lengfellner, “Fast thermoelectric response of normal state $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ films,” *Applied Physics Letters* **66**, 1833–1835 (1995).
 - 48 Khuong P. Ong, David J. Singh, and Ping Wu, “Unusual transport and strongly anisotropic thermopower in PtCoO_2 and PdCoO_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 176601 (2010).
 - 49 A. T. Burkov, A. Heinrich, and M. V. Vedernikov, “Anisotropic thermoelectric materials, properties and applications,” *AIP Conference Proceedings* **316**, 76–80 (1994).
 - 50 Maitri P. Warusawithana, Cheng Cen, Charles R. Slesman, Joseph C. Woicik, Yulan Li, Lena Fitting Kourkoutis, Jeffrey A. Klug, Hao Li, Philip Ryan, Li-Peng Wang, Michael Bedzyk, David A. Muller, Long-Qing Chen, Jeremy Levy, and Darrell G. Schlom, “A Ferroelectric Oxide Made Directly on Silicon,” *Science* **324**, 367–370 (2009).
 - 51 A. T. Zayak, X. Huang, J. B. Neaton, and Karin M. Rabe, “Structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of SrRuO_3 under epitaxial strain,” *Physical Review B* **74**, 094104 (2006).
 - 52 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, “Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set,” *Physical Review B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
 - 53 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, “From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method,” *Physical Review B* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999).
 - 54 John P. Perdew, Adrienn Ruzsinszky, Gábor I. Csonka, Oleg A. Vydrov, Gustavo E. Scuseria, Lucian A. Constantin, Xiaolan Zhou, and Kieron Burke, “Restoring the density-gradient expansion for exchange in solids and surfaces,” *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 136406 (2008).
 - 55 P. E. Blöchl, “Projector augmented-wave method,” *Physical Review B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994).
 - 56 Hendrik J. Monkhorst and James D. Pack, “Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations,” *Physical Review B* **13**, 5188–5192 (1976).
 - 57 Branton J. Campbell, Harold T. Stokes, David E. Tanner, and Dorian M. Hatch, “*ISODISPLACE*: a web-based tool for exploring structural distortions,” *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **39**, 607–614 (2006).
 - 58 Danel Orobengoa, Cesar Capillas, Mois I. Aroyo, and J. Manuel Perez-Mato, “*AMPLIMODES*: symmetry-mode analysis on the Bilbao Crystallographic Server,” *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **42**, 820–833 (2009).
 - 59 J. M. Perez-Mato, D. Orobengoa, and M. I. Aroyo, “Mode crystallography of distorted structures,” *Acta Crystallographica Section A* **66**, 558–590 (2010).
 - 60 Neil W. Ashcroft and N. David Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Thomson Learning, Inc., 1976).
 - 61 J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids* (University Press, Cambridge, 1972).
 - 62 Georg K.H. Madsen and David J. Singh, “Boltztrap. a code for calculating band-structure dependent quantities,” *Computer Physics Communications* **175**, 67–71 (2006).
 - 63 Nittaya Keawprak, Rong Tu, and Takashi Goto, “Thermoelectric properties of $\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{RuO}_3$ compounds prepared by spark plasma sintering,” *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **523**, 182–187 (2012).
 - 64 Vladimir Vashook Rainer Niewa Hans Boysen Rajeev Rangan, Anatoliy Senyshyn and Friedrich Frey, “Structural stability of conducting oxide CaRuO_3 at high temperatures,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 251913 (2007).
 - 65 Brendan J. Kennedy, Brett A. Hunter, and James R. Hester, “Synchrotron x-ray diffraction reexamination of the sequence of high-temperature phases in SrRuO_3 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 224103 (2002).