

Two Fermi surface states and two T_c -rising mechanisms revealed by transport properties in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La, Pr and Nd}$)

Shigeki MIYASAKA^{1*}, Akira TAKEMORI¹, Tatsuya KOBAYASHI¹, Shinnosuke SUZUKI¹, Satoshi SAJO¹, Setsuko TAJIMA¹

¹*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043*

We demonstrate the relation between critical temperature T_c and transport properties in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La, Pr and Nd}$). T_c and resistivity power-law exponent n form a universal line on the T_c vs. n plane for all the R -systems with $x<0.6\sim 0.8$, indicating that T_c increases with bosonic fluctuation. Transport properties show anomalies suggesting a change of Fermi surfaces around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$. Above $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, T_c and n approach the second T_c - n line for the higher T_c systems. A further increase of T_c above $x=0.6\sim 0.8$ indicates the presence of an additional T_c -rising mechanism in this system.

KEYWORDS: superconductivity, iron-based superconductor, transport properties

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of superconductivity (SC) in iron pnictides,¹ a lot of experimental and theoretical efforts have been paid to find key parameters for determining high critical temperature T_c in this system. The pioneering work by Lee *et al.* demonstrated that the crystal structure, particularly the bond angle of (As,P)-Fe-(As,P) is strongly correlated with T_c .² However, further experiments have shown that Lees' conclusion is not applicable for all the iron based superconducting systems. Another parameter related to T_c is the pnictogen height from the Fe-layer (h_{pn}).^{3,4} However, it is not clear yet what electronic parameter is modified by this angle or h_{pn} . Although some theories suggest that the antiferromagnetic (AF) fluctuation plays an important role for the appearance of SC in the iron pnictides,^{4,5} there is no direct experimental evidence that T_c is correlated with the strength of AF fluctuation. Therefore, in order to clarify the mechanism of SC in this system, it is necessary to find a microscopic parameter that scales with T_c , comparing various physical properties of various iron pnictides with different T_c .

*E-mail: miyasaka@phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

In the present study, we focus on $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$, where $R=\text{La, Pr and Nd}$. One of the advantages of this system is that P and As are isovalent elements and thus a carrier number is kept constant in principle. The change in physical properties with x is considered to be induced by a structural change due to chemical pressure. The second advantage is that we can cover a wide range of T_c from ~ 3 K to ~ 50 K by changing x . This helps us to find a physical quantity that scales with T_c . Both end materials are rather well investigated. $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}\text{F}_y$ becomes an AF metal when F is not doped.^{1,6-8} With increasing y , the AF order is suppressed and the SC emerges above $y\sim 0.08$. Therefore, the end material in the present study, $R\text{FeAsO}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ shows SC at low temperatures, but has large AF fluctuation. In contrast, the other end material $R\text{FePO}_{1-y}\text{F}_y$ are superconducting.^{9,10} Even without F-doping, it is also superconducting below ~ 4 K, and shows a paramagnetic metallic behavior in the normal state. Therefore, the AF fluctuation is expected to be controllable by changing x in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$.




Fig. 1. (Color online) Powder X-ray diffraction patterns for $\text{PrFeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ with various x s. Almost all the diffraction peaks are indexed assuming the tetragonal structure with the $P4/nmm$ symmetry. The peaks indicated by triangles are due to impurities.

A similar study was reported in $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{1-x}\text{P}_x)_2$,¹¹ where the AF interaction is modified




Fig. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of resistivity below room temperature ((a)-(c)) and below 120 K ((d)-(f)) for $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La}, \text{Pr}$ and Nd) with various x s, respectively. In the panels (d)-(f), the dots and the broken lines indicate the experimental results and the fitting curves by using $\rho=\rho_0+AT^n$, respectively. The fitting of $\rho(T)$ was performed between the onset T of resistive transition (T_c^{onset}) and 100 K.

by P/As-substitution. With increasing x , AF order is suppressed and SC manifests itself near $x=0.33$, giving a quantum critical behavior. Although we have preliminarily investigated F-free $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}$ which shows an AF order at $x=1.0$, we observed neither SC with T_c higher than 10 K nor any anomalous behavior due to a magnetic quantum criticality. By contrast, $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ does not have any magnetic order, but shows a drastic change with x in physical properties. In the present study, we have investigated the resistivity ($\rho(T)$) and the Hall effect in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ with various T_c , lattice constants and presumably AF fluctuation strength to find a relationship among T_c , crystal structure and electronic properties in iron pnictides.

2. Experimental procedures

Polycrystalline $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($x=0\sim 1.0$) were synthesized by solid state reaction. The mixtures of RA_s , RP , Fe_2O_3 , Fe and FeF_2 in the stoichiometric ratio were pressed into pellets in a pure Ar filled glove box and annealed at 1100 °C for 40 h in evacuated silica tubes.

All the samples were prepared by the same careful procedure. The result of EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) indicates that the actual F concentration is about 0.03 ~ 0.04, which is smaller than the nominal one. Since there are peaks for R and Fe near the peak for F in the EDX spectrum, we could not exactly determine the actual F concentration. Therefore, we show the nominal F concentration (0.1) in this paper.

The samples were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction using Cu K_α radiation at room temperature. In Fig. 1, we show the powder X-ray diffraction pattern for $\text{PrFeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ as an example. Almost all the diffraction peaks can be assigned to the calculated Bragg peaks for the tetragonal $P4/nmm$ symmetry. The in-plane (a) and out-of-plane lattice constants (c) were obtained by the least squares fitting of the X-ray diffraction data. The values of a and c for $x=0$ and 1.0 well agree with the reported data.^{1,6,10,12-14} As shown in Fig. 1, the peak position of the powder X-ray diffraction data is systematically changed with increasing x , and both of a and c linearly increase with x , as indicated later in Fig. 3(a). This proves that solid solutions of the present system have been successfully prepared, and the actual F concentrations are almost constant in the whole x -range.

The magnetic susceptibility was measured in a magnetic field of 10 Oe. The superconducting volume fractions estimated from the diamagnetic susceptibility at 2 K are over 80 % for all the samples. The temperature (T) dependence of electrical resistivity ($\rho(T)$) was measured by a standard four-probe method from room T down to 4.2 K. The Hall coefficient R_H was measured in magnetic fields up to 7 T at various T s.

3. Results and discussion

Figures 2(a)-(c) show the $\rho(T)$ with various x s for $R=\text{La}$, Pr and Nd, respectively. In almost all the samples, the superconducting transitions are sharp enough to determine T_c from the midpoint of T of the resistive transition. (In the $x=0$ samples of $R=\text{Pr}$ and Nd, $T_c < 4.2$ K is defined by an onset transition T in magnetic susceptibility.) In contrast to the linear x -dependence of a and c (Fig. 3(a)), T_c does not monotonically change with x (Fig. 3(b)). In all the systems, T_c gradually increases with x up to $x=0.60$, while the behavior changes above $x=0.60$. For $R=\text{La}$, T_c saturates at $x\sim 0.6$ and slightly decreases above $x=0.6$, while for $R=\text{Pr}$ and Nd, T_c is more rapidly enhanced above $x=0.80$ than that for $x<0.6$.

Non-monotonic x -dependence was also observed in $\rho(T)$. As shown in Figs. 2(a)-(f), $\rho(T)$ for all the samples exhibits a metallic behavior. In all the R -systems, the resistivity value is the lowest at $x=0$. With increasing x , the residual resistivity ρ_0 and the slope of $\rho(T)$ are rapidly enhanced, showing a maximum at $x=0.60\sim 0.80$. Such a non-monotonic but




Fig. 3. (Color online) x dependence of physical properties for $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$. (a) Lattice constants of a - and c -axes at room temperature. (b) Critical temperature T_c . (c) Power n of temperature in resistivity ($\rho = \rho_0 + AT^n$). (d) The coefficient A . (e) Hall coefficient (R_H) at 50 K. The error bars for T_c in panel (b) are estimated from the onset and zero resistivity temperatures. We performed the fitting of $\rho(T)$ in the T -ranges of $T_c^{\text{onset}} < T < 80$ K and $T_c^{\text{onset}} < T < 120$ K, and estimated the error bars of n and A in the panels (c) and (d).

systematic change of $\rho(T)$ with x was observed in all the R -systems, which indicates that the observed change is intrinsic, but not due to a grain boundary effect.

As shown in Figs. 2(d)-(f), the $\rho(T)$ can be expressed as $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$ at low T s, where n is the power of T and A the coefficient. The fitting of $\rho(T)$ was performed between the onset T of resistive transition (T_c^{onset}) and 100 K. Figure 3(c) shows the x dependence of n . For $x=0$, n is close to 2 in all the R -systems, suggesting that the end materials with $x=0$ are a conventional Fermi liquid. As x increases, n decreases and reaches about unity at $x=0.60\sim 0.80$. Above




Fig. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of Hall coefficient ((a)-(c)) for $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La}$, Pr and Nd) with various x s, respectively. The dots indicate the experimental results and the lines are guides for eyes.

$x=0.60\sim 0.80$, n slightly varies, but is still below 1.6. The T -linear $\rho(T)$ ($n=1$) is observed also in high- T_c cuprates¹⁵ and heavy fermion compounds¹⁶ near the quantum critical point, which suggests that the conduction mechanism is governed by strong bosonic fluctuation such as AF fluctuation.¹⁷

The x dependence of power n indicates that the chemical pressure induced by the P/As substitution rapidly increases bosonic fluctuation with x up to $x=0.60\sim 0.80$. In fact, the NMR study in $R=\text{La}$ system detected the strong AF fluctuation around $x=0.6$, while almost no AF fluctuation at $x=1.0$.¹⁸ As shown in Fig. 3(d), A is enhanced at $x=0.60\sim 0.80$ and decreased toward $x=1.0$. The A depends on the power n , and it is difficult to extract the physical origin only from the behavior of A . But all the R -systems show similar and systematic x -dependence of A , and the behavior of A may be related with the enhancement of the AF fluctuation at $x=0.60\sim 0.80$. Here we note that ρ_0 is also enhanced near $x=0.6$ in all the R -system, which cannot be explained by spin fluctuation theory. Although it is hard to discuss absolute values of $\rho(T)$ for polycrystalline samples, the change of ρ_0 in Fig. 2 is quite systematic and common in all the R -systems.

Figures 4(a)-(c) represent T -dependence of Hall coefficient R_H with various x s for $R=\text{La}$, Pr and Nd , respectively. R_H at $x=0$ is almost T -independent and has a small value ($\sim 2 - 3$ C/cm^3), while at $x=1.0$ R_H is also small but shows a weak T -dependence. Our new finding is that the magnitude and the T -dependence of R_H are strongly enhanced around $x=0.60\sim 0.80$ in all the R -systems. Above $x=0.60\sim 0.8$, they are suppressed with x . The x dependence of R_H at 50 K is plotted in Fig. 3(e). R_H has a broad minimum around $x=0.60\sim 0.8$ in all the systems. All the non-monotonic x -dependences of T_c , n , A and R_H seen in Fig. 3 demonstrate a critical

change in the electronic state around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$. This critical concentration x may be slightly dependent on the R element.




Fig. 5. (Color online) Relation between T_c and the power n of temperature in $\rho(T)$ for $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La}, \text{Pr}$ and Nd) and other ion pnictides. The closed symbols with broken lines and open ones indicate the present results for $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($R=\text{La}, \text{Pr}$ and Nd) and the previous ones for $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$ in ref.,¹⁹ respectively. The broad grey lines represent the two different correlations between T_c and n in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ ($x < 0.6\sim 0.8$) and $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$. The results for $(\text{Ba},\text{K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$,¹⁹ $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As},\text{P})_2$ ¹¹ and $\text{SrFe}_2(\text{As},\text{P})_2$ ^{30,31} can also be plotted on these two lines.

Another piece of evidence for the electronic change around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$ can be seen in the relation between T_c and n in Fig. 5. The samples with $x < 0.6\sim 0.8$ show the almost linear relationship between T_c and n , which is universal for all the R -systems. The $x=0$ samples exhibit the lowest T_c and T^2 resistivity, while those with $x=0.6\sim 0.8$ show $T_c\sim 30$ K and almost T -linear $\rho(T)$. This distinct relation suggests that what causes the T -linear $\rho(T)$ is strongly involved in the mechanism of high T_c SC in this system. A similar correlation between T_c and n has been observed in $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$,¹⁹ although the scaling line is shifted in parallel about 20 K from the present one.

In contrast to the samples below $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, the larger x samples show no clear relation between T_c and n . For $R=\text{Pr}$ and Nd , T_c is continuously increased with x , while n is almost unchanged ($n=1\sim 1.4$) at $x > 0.6\sim 0.8$. It suggests that the T_c -rising mechanism for $x > 0.6\sim 0.8$ is different from that for $x < 0.6\sim 0.8$. It is interesting that the data point for the $x=1.0$ samples with $R=\text{Pr}$ and Nd are on another linear correlation for $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$,¹⁹ as shown in Fig. 5. It means that the As 100% compounds of $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ show the T_c - n values sitting on the right correlation line for higher T_c , irrespective of F-content, while the values for $x < 0.6\sim 0.8$

are on the left line and those for $\sim 0.6 < x < 1.0$ are between the two lines. Although the data for $x=1.0$ sample with $R=\text{La}$ is not located on the right line in Fig. 5, the data points for $x > 0.6$ approach those for LaFeAsO_{1-y} with increasing x . One may consider that the left T_c - n line is sifted by 20 K because of the disorder induced pair-breaking effect due to As/P substitution. However, this is unlikely because the disorder effect on T_c is not strong in the present system. It is supported by the fact that h_{pn} determined by a precise Rietveld analysis for $\text{PrFeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ exactly follow the universal h_{pn} - T_c curve.²⁰

What happens at the critical As-content ($x=0.6\sim 0.8$)? The theoretical calculations have predicted that the Fermi surfaces (FSs) of $R\text{FeAsO}$ ($x=1.0$) and $R\text{FePO}$ ($x=0$) are very similar in many aspects but do have some differences.^{4,21} Experimentally, the FSs around Γ and M points of these systems have been confirmed by the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy.²²⁻²⁴ The most prominent difference predicted by theories is that the hole-type FS around $(\pi, \pi, 0)$ is missing in $R\text{FePO}$, while it is present in $R\text{FeAsO}$.^{4,21} Since this hole FS appears when the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band crosses Fermi energy (E_F), it is likely that the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band touches E_F at $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, which gives a critical change in the electronic state. According to this picture, the electronic properties for $x < 0.6\sim 0.8$ should be discussed without the cylindrical hole FS around $(\pi, \pi, 0)$ but with two cylindrical FSs near Γ and M points and one three dimensional FS near (π, π, π) originating from d_{z^2} orbital. A systematic change in n of $\rho(T)$ might be caused by the gradual enhancement of bosonic fluctuation due to the change of FS topology (size and shape) around Γ and M points with increasing x . The maximum T_c is about 30 K in this configuration of FS. On the other hand, for $x > 0.6\sim 0.8$, the hole FS around $(\pi, \pi, 0)$ originating from $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital provides an additional FS nesting channel. This additional channel may contribute to a further increase in T_c .

The different lattice constants for different R -systems should give different FSs. Nevertheless, the critical x -value ($x=0.6\sim 0.8$) is only a little dependent on the R element. In these systems, the electronic structure and the FSs are closely dependent on the local structure around Fe ions. The present results indicate the P/As substitution linearly changes not only the lattice constants but also perhaps the local structure around Fe ions, and resultantly modifies the electronic state.²⁰ The local structural parameter such as h_{pn} is a more important parameter that determines the electronic properties. A relevant experimental report was made for $\text{CeFeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}$ that the AF order in the As-rich compositions disappeared at $x=0.6$.²⁵

Next, we discuss the origin of observed anomalies around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$. In most of the iron pnictides, AF phase is close to the superconducting one. Therefore, AF fluctuation via the FS nesting is a strong candidate for a pairing interaction that may also govern the transport

properties. However, among the observed anomalies around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, the increase of R_H , ρ_0 and A cannot be explained by the spin fluctuation theory,¹⁷ and requires something others.

Another candidate is charge fluctuation. We point out that similar enhancements of R_H , ρ_0 , A and T_c together with T -linear $\rho(T)$ were observed in $\text{CeCu}_2(\text{Si,Ge})_2$.^{26–28} Apart from a magnetic quantum critical point in the pressure- T phase diagram, this heavy fermion compound shows another critical behavior at a higher pressure where the T_c reaches the highest value. The observed anomalies were interpreted as a result of the rapid change of the Ce valence. Watanabe *et al.* successfully explained these anomalies by the microscopic theory for valence fluctuation based on an extended Anderson model.²⁹ In the case of $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x(\text{O,F})$, P/As-substitution is an isovalent substitution in a chemical sense. However, it is likely that the exchange of band energy with x (the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band is lifted up above E_F and the d_{z^2} band shifts down below E_F) causes valence (charge) fluctuation near the critical composition $x=0.6\sim 0.8$. Below $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, this charge fluctuation gradually increases with x and causes the enhancement of T_c .

Finally, we address the issue of T_c -rising mechanism. Although the enhancement of R_H , ρ_0 and A is the largest and n is close to 1 near $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, T_c is not a maximum at this composition but it further increases for larger x . This is because, as shown in Fig. 5, there exists another T_c - n line (high T_c line), and the data above $x=0.6\sim 0.8$ seem to approach towards this line. The samples with $\sim 0.6 < x < 1.0$ have FSs with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} orbital characters, and the two T_c -rising mechanisms perhaps by different nesting conditions and/or different bosonic fluctuations coexist. As a result, the samples with $\sim 0.6 < x < 1.0$ show a crossover behavior and their results are located between two T_c - n lines in Fig. 5. We also plot the data for other iron pnictides such as $(\text{Ba,K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$,¹⁹ $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$ ¹¹ and $\text{SrFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$ ^{30,31} (A-122 system where A=Ba, Sr and K). At a glance, we find that all the compounds are classified into two groups with the two universal T_c - n relations, namely, the compounds which obey the left T_c - n relation (low T_c line) and those which obey the right one (high T_c line). On the low T_c line, T_c is enhanced with x owing to a gradual increase of spin or charge fluctuation. The As/P concentration seems to be a crucial parameter to control the pairing interaction, while the lattice constant controlled by the R -element in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ or the A-element in 122-systems does not play an important role. The maximum T_c value in this class of compounds is about 30 K.

By contrast, all the compounds on the high T_c line are P-free. T_c varies with the lattice parameters controlled by the oxygen content and/or the size of R -element in $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$ or the A-element in 122-systems. The maximum T_c reaches 55 K in this class of compounds,

but there was reported no clear correlation between AF fluctuation and T_c (or n). Therefore, the T_c -rising mechanism along this line is unclear. It is also unknown why the high T_c line is shifted by 20 K from the low T_c line.

It may be worth to note here that a nodal superconducting gap was reported for many compounds on the low T_c line, while a full gap for the compounds on the high T_c line. A qualitative difference in the FSs as revealed in the present study could contribute to this symmetry difference of the superconducting gap. All these facts related to the two T_c - n lines suggest that there exist two different T_c -rising mechanisms in the iron pnictide superconductors and in some cases the two may act additively.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have clarified the relation between T_c and the transport properties by changing the As/P ratio in $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ with $R=\text{La, Pr and Nd}$. It has been revealed that there are two distinct regions of x . In the low x -region ($x<0.6\sim 0.8$), T_c linearly increases from 3 K to 30 K with decreasing the power n in $\rho(T)=\rho_0+AT^n$ from 2 (at $x=0$) to 1 (around $x=0.6\sim 0.8$). This strongly suggests that some bosonic fluctuation is a primary factor to enhance T_c . The universal T_c - n relation holds for all the $R\text{FeP}_{1-x}\text{As}_x\text{O}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ with $x<0.6\sim 0.8$ in the present study as well as $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$ and $\text{SrFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$. In addition to the T -linear $\rho(T)$, R_H , ρ_0 and A are strongly enhanced near $x=0.6\sim 0.8$, suggesting some critical change of the electronic state. In the high x -region ($x>0.6\sim 0.8$), on the other hand, T_c becomes strongly R -dependent and further increases with x , but shows no clear correlation with n . The compounds with $x>0.6\sim 0.8$ seem to approach another universal T_c - n relation which holds for $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-y}$ and $(\text{Ba,K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$. The presence of two distinct T_c - n relations could be the evidence that there are two T_c -rising mechanisms in the iron pnictides.

Acknowledgment

We thank H. Mukuda and K. Miyake for helpful discussion and N. Chikumoto for support of EDX measurement. This work was supported by JST, TRIP and IRON-SEA.

References

- 1) Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **11** (2008) 3296.
- 2) C-H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, H. Kito, M. T. Fernandez-Diaz, T. Ito, K. Kihou, H. Matsuhata, M. Braden, and K. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 083704.
- 3) Y. Mizoguchi, Y. Hara, K. Deguchi, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, K. Takeda, H. Kotegawa, H. Tou, and Y. Takano, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **23** (2010) 054013.
- 4) K. Kuroki, H. Usui, S. Onari, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **79** (2009) 224511.
- 5) I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 057003.
- 6) Q. Huang, J. Zhao, J. W. Lynn, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. B **78** (2008) 054529.
- 7) C. R. Rotundu, D. T. Keane, B. Freelon, S. D. Wilson, A. Kim, P. N. Valdivia, E. Bourret-Courchesne, and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2008) 144517.
- 8) Z. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, X. L. Shen, Z. C. Li, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, F. Zhou and Z. X. Zhao, Europhys. Lett. **82** (2008) 57002.
- 9) R. E. Baumbach, J. J. Hamlin, L. Shu, D. A. Zocco, N. M. Crisosto, and M. B. Maple, New J. Phys. **11** (2009) 025018.
- 10) S. Suzuki, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, T. Kida, and M. Hagiwara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78** (2009) 114712.
- 11) S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, K. Hashimoto, K. Ikada, S. Tonegawa, R. Okazaki, H. Shishido, H. Ikeda, H. Takeya, K. Hirata, T. Terashima, and Y. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010) 184519.
- 12) Z. A. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, and Z. X. Zhao, *J. et al.*, Mater. Res. Innovations **12** (2008) 105.
- 13) Y. Qiu, Wei Bao, Q. Huang, T. Yildirim, J. M. Simmons, M. A. Green, J. W. Lynn, Y. C. Gasparovic, J. Li, T. Wu, G. Wu, and X. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 257002.
- 14) B. I. Zimmer, W. Jeitschko, J. H. Albering, R. G. Laum, M. Reehuis, J. Alloys Comp. **229** (1995) 238.
- 15) H. Takagi, B. Batlogg, H. L. Kao, J. Kwo, R. J. Cava, J. J. Krajewski, and W. F. Peck, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 2975.
- 16) P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, Nature Phys. **4** (2008) 186.
- 17) T. Moriya, *Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag, 1985).

- 18) H. Mukuda, unpublished data.
- 19) S. Ishida, M. Nakajima, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, K. Miyazawa, H. Kito, C. H. Lee, M. Ishikado, S. Shamoto, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, K. M. Kojima, and S. Uchida, *Phys. Rev. B* **81** (2010) 094515.
- 20) A. Takemori, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. B* **81** (2012) SB035.
- 21) R. Thomale, C. Platt, W. Hanke, and B. A. Bernevig, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 187003.
- 22) D. H. Lu, M. Yi, S.-K. Mo, A. S. Erickson, J. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, D. J. Singh, Z. Hussain, T. H. Geballe, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, *Nature* **455** (2008) 81.
- 23) D. H. Lu, M. Yi, S.-K. Mo, J. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, A. S. Erickson, D. J. Singh, Z. Hussain, T. H. Geballe, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, *Physica C* **469** (2009) 452.
- 24) I. Nishi, M. Ishikado, S. Ideta, W. Malaeb, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, Y. Kotani, M. Kubota, K. Ono, M. Yi, D. H. Lu, R. Moore, Z.-X. Shen, A. Iyo, K. Kihou, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, S. Shamoto, and R. Arita, *Phys. Rev. B* **84** (2011) 014504.
- 25) Y. Luo, Y. Li, S. Jiang, J. Dai, G. Cao, and Z. Xu, *Phys. Rev. B* **81** (2010) 134422.
- 26) H. Q. Yuan, F. M. Grosche, M. Deppe, C. Geibel, G. Sparn, and F. Steglich, *Science* **302** (2003) 2104.
- 27) H. Q. Yuan, F. M. Grosche, M. Deppe, G. Sparn, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 047008.
- 28) G. Seyfarth, A.-S. Ruetschi, K. Sengupta, A. Georges, and D. Jaccard, *Phys. Rev. B* **85** (2012) 205105.
- 29) S. Watanabe, M. Imada, K. Miyake, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75** (2006) 043710.
- 30) T. Kobayashi, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. B* **81** (2012) SB045.
- 31) T. Kobayashi, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, T. Nakano, Y. Nozue, N. Chikumoto, H. Nakao, R. Kumai, Y. Murakami, *Phys. Rev. B* **87** (2013) 174520.