

# Pressure-induced superconductivity in $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$ without a quantum critical point: magnetotransport and upper critical field measurements under high pressure

Nobuyuki Kurita<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Motoi Kimata<sup>3</sup>, Kota Kodama<sup>1,4</sup>, Atsushi Harada<sup>1</sup>, Megumi Tomita<sup>1</sup>, Hiroyuki S. Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, Takehiko Matsumoto<sup>1</sup>, Keizo Murata<sup>5</sup>, Shinya Uji<sup>1,2,4</sup>, and Taichi Terashima<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0003, Japan

<sup>2</sup>JST, Transformative Research-Project on Iron Pnictides (TRIP), Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0003, Japan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

(Dated: April 12, 2018)

Resistivity and Hall effect measurements of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  up to 3.2 GPa indicate no divergence of quasiparticle effective mass at the pressure  $P_c$  where the magnetic and structural transition disappears. This is corroborated by analysis of the temperature ( $T$ ) dependence of the upper critical field.  $T$ -linear resistivity is observed at pressures slightly above  $P_c$ . The scattering rates for both electrons and holes are shown to be approximately  $T$ -linear. When a field is applied, a  $T^2$  dependence is recovered, indicating that the origin of the  $T$ -linear dependence is spin fluctuations.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Op, 74.25.Dw, 74.25.F-, 74.62.Fj

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of superconductivity in  $\text{LaFeAs}(\text{O}, \text{F})$  at  $T_c = 26 \text{ K}$ ,<sup>1</sup> considerable attention has been paid to iron-based superconductors (SCs) with a variety of crystal structures containing stacked iron-pnictide (or -chalcogenide) layers.<sup>2</sup> The maximum values of  $T_c$  thus far achieved are 54-56 K<sup>3-5</sup> and 39 K<sup>6</sup> in the “1111” ( $R\text{FeAsO}$ ;  $R$  = rare earth) and “122” ( $A\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ ;  $A$  = alkaline earth or Eu) groups, respectively. Despite intensive research, the detailed mechanism of the superconductivity, for example, the symmetry of the SC order parameter, remains highly controversial.<sup>7-12</sup> It has been revealed that iron-based SCs have a unique Fermi surface (FS) structure, typically consisting of two- or three-hole and two-electron sheets.<sup>13,14</sup> The 1111 and 122 parent compounds undergo FS reconstruction associated with an antiferromagnetic (AF) order of Fe moments at  $T_0$ .<sup>2</sup> With the suppression of  $T_0$  via dopings<sup>1,6</sup> or the application of pressure ( $P$ ),<sup>15</sup> the superconducting (SC) ground state can be triggered. Hence, magnetic instability may play an important role in iron-based SCs.

One of the intriguing issues of iron-based SCs is the origin of non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior in their transport properties, such as  $T$ -linear resistivity,<sup>16,17</sup> which emerges as  $T_0$  is suppressed. The existence of a quantum critical point (QCP), where the second-order transition temperature becomes zero, in iron-based SCs has theoretically been proposed<sup>18</sup> and has been demonstrated by the observation of a peak in the penetration depth, which is proportional to  $(n/m^*)^{-1/2}$ , at the optimal doping in the  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}, \text{P})_2$  system,<sup>19</sup> for example ( $n$  and  $m^*$  are the carrier number and quasiparticle effective mass, re-

spectively).

However, the existence of a QCP does not appear to be universal in iron-based SCs nor is its relevance to the superconductivity clear, as suggested by phase diagrams of La-based 1111 systems,<sup>20,21</sup> or the composition  $x$  dependences of the penetration depth and Drude weight of optical conductivity, both of which are related to  $n/m^*$ , in the  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$  system,<sup>22,23</sup> for example. In addition, it has been argued that the interpretation of the NFL-like behavior may not be straightforward owing to the multiband character of iron-based systems.<sup>24,25</sup>

Pressure tuning of the electronic structures in stoichiometric compounds is a better means of studying a QCP than tuning by chemical substitution, which might obscure a QCP by the inevitably introduced randomness. We therefore study  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under applied pressure (Fig. 1). The transition temperature  $T_0$  is about 190 K at ambient pressure, and the critical pressure  $P_c$ , where indications of the transition at  $T_0$  disappear and bulk superconductivity appears, is 2.5-2.7 GPa.<sup>26-30</sup> This sudden disappearance of  $T_0$  is incompatible with a QCP. Although the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments exhibit an AF order at  $T_N \sim 20 \text{ K}$ , the ferromagnetic (FM) alignment can be achieved at only a few Teslas<sup>31-33</sup> and the spin disorder scattering can be minimized.<sup>34</sup> Because of the large exchange field from the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments to the conduction electron spins, the upper critical field  $B_{c2}$  for the  $P$ -induced superconductivity is much smaller<sup>27,35</sup> than that for other iron-based SCs with similar  $T_c$ .<sup>36-38</sup> These unique characteristics of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , thus, provide a significant opportunity to experimentally investigate the iron-based superconductivity with high  $T_c$  of 30 K. Our measurements of transport properties and upper critical fields up to 3.2 GPa reported below show no evidence of diverging quasiparticle effective mass at  $P_c$ , indicating that the emergence of  $P$ -induced superconductivity in this clean system does not involve a QCP. However, it does not curtail the importance of spin fluctuations: we

\*Present address: Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan




FIG. 1: (Color online)  $P - T$  phase diagram in  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  with  $RRR = 13$  (circles, bottom axis) and  $7^{29}$  (triangles, top axis), deduced from the resistivity measurements up to 3.2 GPa under zero field. The pressure is scaled with the critical value  $P_c$ . PM, AFM, and SC indicate the paramagnetic, antiferromagnetic, and superconducting states, respectively. For the SC phase, open and solid symbols indicate  $T_c^{\text{on}}$  (onset) and  $T_c^{\rho=0}$  (zero resistivity), respectively.  $T_c^{B=15\text{T}}$  determined at  $B = 15\text{T}$  is also shown. Dashed curves are a guide to the eyes.

observe  $T$ -linear resistivity at pressures near  $P_c$  and find that the Fermi liquid  $T^2$  dependence can be recovered by the application of a magnetic field.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  were grown by the Bridgman method from a stoichiometric mixture of the constituent elements. Resistivity and Hall effect were measured simultaneously by a conventional six-contact method with an ac current  $I$  for  $I \parallel ab$  and  $B \parallel c$ . For the magnetotransport measurements, we used thin platelike samples ( $\sim 1 \times 0.4 \times 0.03\text{mm}^3$ ) with residual resistivity ratio  $RRR = 13$  ( $P_c = 2.7\text{GPa}^{28}$ ). On the other hand, samples with  $RRR = 7$  ( $P_c = 2.5\text{GPa}^{29}$ ) were used to analyze the  $P$  dependence of  $B_{c2}$ . As shown in Fig. 1, The  $P$  evolutions of  $T_0$ ,  $T_N$ , and  $T_c$  for the two samples with different quality can be scaled by their  $P_c$  values. High pressure experiments up to 3.2 GPa were performed down to 1.6 K in a  $^4\text{He}$  cryostat equipped with a 17 T SC magnet using a clamped piston cylinder pressure device.<sup>39</sup> Daphne 7474 (Idemitsu Kosan), which remains liquid up to 3.7 GPa at room temperature,<sup>40</sup> was used as a pressure-transmitting medium. The applied pressure was determined at 4.2 K from the change in resis-




FIG. 2: (Color online) Low-temperature data of  $\rho(B)$  and  $\rho_H(B)$  in  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $RRR = 13$ ) at  $P =$  (a) 0 GPa, (b) 1.6 GPa, (c) 2.6 GPa, and (d) 3.2 GPa. Solid curves are fits to a multicarrier model.

tance of a calibrated Manganin wire.<sup>27</sup> As in our previous works,<sup>27,35</sup>  $B$  denotes the externally applied field, and the magnetization within a sample (up to  $\sim 0.9\text{T}^{34}$ ) is neglected.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

First, we discuss how electron and hole carriers evolve as a function of  $P$  via multicarrier analysis. Figure 2(a) shows the low- $T$  data of transverse magnetoresistivity  $\rho(B)$  and Hall resistivity  $\rho_H(B)$  in  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $RRR = 13$ ) at ambient pressure. The  $\rho(B)$  curves show a minimum (e.g.,  $\sim 2\text{T}$  at 2 K), which is attributable to the  $B$ -induced FM alignment of the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments.<sup>31-33</sup> At high fields,  $\rho(B)$  shows positive magnetoresistance (MR), as expected from the cyclotron motion of electrons.  $\rho_H(B)$  exhibits pronounced nonlinear behavior at low temperatures.<sup>34</sup> The field-induced transition of the




FIG. 3: (Color online)  $T$  dependence of the Hall coefficient  $R_H (=d\rho_{xy}/dB|_{B \rightarrow 0})$  at several pressures. The inset shows an expanded view of  $R_H(T)$  in the low- $T$  region at high pressures.

$\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments is not detectable in the  $\rho_H(B)$  curves, indicating negligible effect of the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments on the number of carriers. At pressures sufficiently below  $P_c$ , the  $\rho_H(B)$  and  $\rho(B)$  curves are qualitatively similar to those at ambient pressure except that the curvature in  $\rho_H(B)$  and the magnitude of MR in  $\rho_H(B)$  decreases with increasing  $P$  [Fig. 2(b)]. In the vicinity of  $P_c$ , SC transitions due to the partial [Fig. 2(c)] or bulk superconductivity appears. In the high field normal state,  $\rho(B)$  and  $\rho_H(B)$  still slightly exhibit a positive MR and nonlinear behavior, respectively. As  $P$  is increased to above  $P_c$ ,  $\rho_H$  exhibits nearly  $B$ -linear dependence [Fig. 2(d)], whereas  $\rho$  indicates negative MR due to the suppression of spin fluctuations of the Fe ions,<sup>34</sup> except in the low- $T$  and high- $B$  regions where the cyclotron motion dominates.

Figure 3 shows the  $T$  dependence of the Hall coefficient  $R_H$ , as defined by  $d\rho_H/dB$  at  $B=0$ , under several pressures.<sup>41</sup> The enhancement of  $|R_H(T)|$  below  $T_0$  for  $P < P_c$  indicates the destruction of substantial parts of the FS.<sup>34</sup> For  $P > P_c$  (inset),  $|R_H(T)|$  still increases below  $\sim 80$  K. Similar enhancement of  $|R_H(T)|$  has been observed in the paramagnetic phase of  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As},\text{P})_2$ , and it has been argued that the behavior cannot be explained by a multiband picture for a Fermi liquid.<sup>42</sup> However, in the present case,  $|R_H|$  at 2.8 GPa is  $2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^3/\text{C}$  ( $T \sim T_c$ ), which corresponds to  $\approx 0.16$  electron/Fe (e/Fe) in a single-carrier model. This value is comparable to the band-calculation value for  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$  (0.15 e/Fe), and hence can be accounted for within a simple two-carrier picture.

$\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  is a compensated metal with an equal number of electrons and holes, for which a simple two-carrier model predicts a linear  $\rho_H$ . The nonlinearity in  $\rho_H(B)$  below  $P_c$  thus indicates that more than two carriers contribute to the electronic transport. In the case of the




FIG. 4: (Color online) Pressure evolution of the carrier density  $n_i$ , mobility  $\mu_i$ , and conductivity  $\sigma_i$ , deduced from multicarrier analysis. Solid and open symbols correspond to the results obtained at  $\leq 10$  K and 20 K, respectively. One hole (H) and two types of electrons (E1 and E2) are considered for  $P \leq P_c$  ( $= 2.7$  GPa), whereas a simple two-band model with  $n_H = n_E = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$  electrons/Fe (e/Fe) is assumed for  $P > P_c$ .

sister compound  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , a Shubnikov-de Haas oscillation study has shown that the Fermi surface in the AF phase consists of one hole and two electron pockets.<sup>43</sup> In keeping with this, a three-carrier model can account for the nonlinear behavior of  $\rho_H(B)$  as well as the  $\rho(B)$  data for  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ .<sup>44</sup>

We therefore apply essentially the same three-carrier analysis to the obtained  $\rho_H(B)$  and  $\rho(B)$  data for  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  at  $P \leq P_c$ , assuming one hole (H) and two electrons (E1 and E2) with density  $n_i$  and mobility  $\mu_i$ . We impose a constraint  $n_H = n_{E1} + n_{E2}$ , owing to the carrier compensation, which is held under applied pressures. To avoid the effect of the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments or superconductivity, high-field data are used for the analysis. The solid




FIG. 5: (Color online)  $\rho$  vs  $T$  of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $RRR=7$ ,  $P_c=2.5$  GPa), under several  $B$  for  $B \parallel ab$  at  $P =$  (a) 2.6 GPa and (b) 3.0 GPa. (c)  $P$  dependence of  $B_{c2}^{50\%}$  vs  $T_c$  of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  for  $B \parallel ab$ . Dashed curves are fits to the multiple pair-breaking formula (see text). Open symbols indicate the published data obtained from high-field resistivity measurements at 2.5 GPa up to 27 T.<sup>35</sup> The inset shows  $\alpha/T_c$  and  $\alpha/\rho_0$  as functions of  $P$ .

curves in Fig. 2(a) indicate the fits, which capture the overall features of the experimental results. The fitting gives  $(n_H, n_{E1}, n_{E2}; \mu_H, \mu_{E1}, \mu_{E2}) = (6.6, 5.6, 0.92 [10^{-2} \text{ e/Fe}]; 0.57, 0.57, 1.5 [10^3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}])$  for  $T=2$  K. The fitting errors are approximately 3% for H and E1, and 20% for E2. The parameter sets obtained at 20 and 30 K are comparable to those at 2 K. One can find the tendency that  $n_H \approx n_{E1} \gg n_{E2}$  and  $\mu_H \approx \mu_{E1} \ll \mu_{E2}$ , similar to the case of  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ .<sup>44</sup> The magnitudes of the parameter sets, particularly  $\mu_i$ , for  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $RRR=13$ ) are comparable to those for as-grown samples of  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ .<sup>44</sup> For other pressures, a similar quality of fitting can be obtained.

At  $P > P_c$ , we assume one electron carrier (E) and one hole carrier (H) with  $n_H = n_E$ . In the analysis, the slope of  $\rho_H(B)$  and the  $\rho$  value at  $B=0$  are used. To determine the parameter sets  $(n_H, n_E; \mu_H, \mu_E)$  uniquely, we fix  $n_H = n_E = 7.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ e/Fe}$ . A band-structure calculation suggests that the carrier density for  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$  is




FIG. 6: (Color online) (a)  $\rho$  vs  $T$  under zero field at several pressures. The data are arbitrarily shifted in the longitudinal direction for clarity. Solid curves are fits to  $\rho = \rho_0 + CT^x$ . (b)  $P$  evolution of the exponent  $x$  under zero field. Solid symbols are obtained from fits in the  $T$  range between 35 and 60 K. Error bars are estimated from fits in several  $T$  ranges from 35 K ( $> T_N, T_c$ ) to temperatures between 50 K and  $T_0$ .

$0.15 \text{ e/Fe}$ .<sup>24</sup> However, the shrinking of the FS has been observed in  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}, \text{P})_2$ <sup>45</sup> and has been theoretically attributed to strong interband scattering.<sup>46</sup> The volume of the FS is approximately halved as the optimal doping is approached in  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}, \text{P})_2$ .<sup>45</sup> We therefore use the halved value.

Figure 4 displays the  $P$  evolutions of  $n_i$ ,  $|\mu_i|$ , and conductivity  $\sigma_i$ . As the pressure approaches  $P_c$ ,  $n_i$  increases, while  $|\mu_i|$  decreases. It appears that  $n_i$  and  $|\mu_i|$  develop reasonably continuously to their values at  $P > P_c$ . Neither of the  $P$  dependences of  $\mu_i$  ( $= e\tau_i/m_i^*$ ) or  $\sigma$  ( $= e^2\tau_i n_i/m_i^*$ ) suggests the divergence of  $m^*$  or  $(n/m^*)^{-1}$  at  $P_c$ .

We further substantiate the absence of a QCP by deriving the  $P$  dependence of the effective masses from  $B_{c2}$ - $T_c$  phase diagrams under applied pressures. Figures 5(a) and (b) show  $\rho$  vs  $T$  at 2.6 and 3.0 GPa, respectively, in the  $RRR=7$  sample under several  $B$  for  $B \parallel ab$ .  $T_c$




FIG. 7: (Color online)  $(m^*/m_0)/\tau_i$  vs  $T$  for electron ( $i=E$ ) and hole ( $i=H$ ) at 2.9, 3.1, and 3.2 GPa ( $> P_c$ ). Solid lines are a guide to the eyes.

under each magnetic field is determined by the midpoint temperature of the SC transitions. Figure 5(c) shows the thus determined upper critical field  $B_{c2}$  as a function of temperature for several pressures. It appears that  $B_{c2}(0)$  is highest at  $P \sim P_c$  and decreases with increasing  $P$ . In  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , orbital and Pauli paramagnetic effects and magnetic  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments all play an important role in determining  $B_{c2}$ , which complicates the understanding of the obtained  $B_{c2}$  vs  $T_c$ . In a previous paper, we analyzed  $B_{c2}(T)$  data obtained at 2.5 GPa (also shown in Fig. 5(c)) using a multiple pair-breaking model<sup>47,48</sup> that includes the antiferromagnetic exchange field  $B_J$  due to magnetic  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments. We obtained the spin-orbit scattering parameter  $\lambda_{\text{so}} = 2.4$ , and the maximum of  $|B_J|$  as  $B_J^m = 75$  T, where the Maki parameter  $\alpha = 3$  is fixed.<sup>35</sup> It is known that  $m^*$  is related to  $\alpha$  through  $m^* \propto \sqrt{\alpha/T_c}$  or  $\propto \alpha/\rho_0$  ( $\rho_0$ : residual resistivity) in the clean or dirty limit, respectively. Thus, we estimate  $\alpha$  as a function of  $P$  using the same model. As  $\alpha$  is highly sensitive to other fitting parameters, we use the values of  $\lambda_{\text{so}} = 2.4$  and  $B_J^m = 75$  T for all the pressures. Dashed curves are fitting results using  $\alpha$  and  $T_c$  as free parameters. The inset of Fig. 5(c) shows the  $P$  dependences of  $\alpha/T_c$  and  $\alpha/\rho_0$ . The former exhibits only a modest increase, whereas the latter exhibits a decrease as  $P_c$  is approached. This indicates that, either in the clean or dirty limit, there is no divergence of  $m^*$  as the pressure approaches  $P_c$ .

We now address the issue of the NFL behavior. Figure 6(a) shows the  $T$  dependence of  $\rho$  under zero field in  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $RRR = 13$ ) at several pressures. Solid curves are fits to  $\rho = \rho_0 + CT^x$  ( $C$ : constant). To avoid the effect of  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments or superconductivity, we use data in a fitting range from 35 K (fixed) to several temperatures between 50 K and  $T_0$ . Figure 6(b) indicates the  $P$  evolution of the exponent  $x$ . At  $P \ll P_c$ , Fermi liquid (FL) like behavior ( $x \sim 2$ ) is observed. As the pressure approaches  $P_c$ ,  $x$  decreases rapidly and reaches approxi-




FIG. 8: (Color online)  $\rho(T)$  at 2.9 GPa under 0, 3, 8, and 15 T. The inset shows the  $T$  dependence of  $x$  under several fields.

mately unity.

It has previously been proposed that the  $T$ -linear resistivity in iron-based SCs arises from the  $T$ -dependent carrier concentration and that the carrier scattering rate  $\tau^{-1}$  obeys a standard FL  $T^2$  law.<sup>24,25</sup> We therefore show the  $T$  dependence of  $(m^*/m_0)/\tau_i = (e/m_0)\mu_i^{-1}$  at 2.9, 3.1, and 3.2 GPa ( $> P_c$ ) obtained from the above two-carrier analyses in Fig. 7. The figures indicate that the scattering rates for both electrons and holes are nearly proportional to  $T$  in a remarkably wide  $T$  range. Although we have assumed  $n_H = n_E = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$  e/Fe in estimating  $\mu_i$  as noted above, any carrier number in the range between 0.05 and 0.1 e/Fe gives a similar approximately  $T$ -linear dependence of  $\mu_i$ .

Figure 8 shows  $\rho(T)$  at 2.9 GPa ( $\sim P_c$ ) under several fields of up to 15 T. The FL  $T^2$  behavior is gradually restored with increasing  $B$ . To estimate the  $T$  variation of the exponent  $x$  under applied fields, we fit the data to  $\rho = \rho_0 + CT^x$  in several temperature ranges. The inset of Fig. 8 shows the  $T$  variation of  $x$  under 0, 8, and 15 T. Under zero field, the  $x$  value is close to one. Under applied fields of 8 and 15 T, with decreasing  $T$ , the  $x$  value increases from  $\sim 1$  and approaches  $\sim 2$ . This clearly indicates that the origin of the  $T$ -linear resistivity is spin fluctuations. As is well known, spin fluctuation theories predict  $T$ -linear resistivity for two-dimensional nearly AF metals.<sup>49</sup> To our knowledge, there has been no observation of a  $B$ -induced change in the resistivity exponent from 1 to 2 in iron-based SCs. The present observation is most likely to result from the fact that the conduction carrier spins are influenced by the large exchange field from the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments, in addition to the externally applied field. That is, as soon as the  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  moments are fully aligned by the applied field, the conduction electrons feel a large exchange field of  $-B_J^m = -75$  T, which effectively suppresses the spin fluctuations.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, our analyses of magnetotransport and upper critical fields in  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under high pressure indicate that there is no QCP at  $P_c$  in this pure compound, which is in sharp contrast to the observation in  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As,P})_2$ .<sup>19,50</sup> On the other hand, we have shown that the scattering rates for both electrons and holes are approximately  $T$ -linear for  $P > P_c$ . The recovery of the FL  $T^2$  dependence of  $\rho$  at high fields clearly indicates that spin fluctuations are the origin of the anomalous scattering. It appears that systematic analyses of spin (and/or orbital) fluctuations based on the electronic structures of individual materials, beyond a generic scenario based on a QCP, are necessary to elucidate the mechanism of iron-based superconductivity.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank H. Eisaki, S. Ishida, and H. Harima for fruitful discussions. This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (No. 23740279) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

#### Appendix

The procedure for the three carrier analysis used in simultaneously fitting the obtained  $\rho$  and  $\rho_{\text{H}}$  data of  $\text{EuFe}_2\text{As}_2$  is shown below. This procedure is essentially the same as that used in the recent work on the sister

compound  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ .<sup>44</sup>

Tensor components of the electrical conductivity,  $\sigma_{xx}$  ( $=\sigma_{yy}$ ) and  $\sigma_{xy}$  ( $=-\sigma_{yx}$ ), for three carriers can be expressed in the following forms using those of the electrical resistivity,  $\rho_{xx}$  ( $=\rho_{yy}=\rho$ ) and  $\rho_{xy}$  ( $=-\rho_{yx}=\rho_{\text{H}}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{xx} &= \frac{\rho_{xx}}{\rho_{xx}^2 + \rho_{xy}^2} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2} \\ \sigma_{xy} &= \frac{\rho_{xy}}{\rho_{xx}^2 + \rho_{xy}^2} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i^2 B}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2}\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where  $q_i$ ,  $n_i$ , and  $\mu_i$  are the charge, density, and mobility of the  $i$ th carrier, respectively, and the tensors of the electrical conductivity  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  and resistivity  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  have the forms:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} \\ -\sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xx} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{xx} & \rho_{xy} \\ -\rho_{xy} & \rho_{xx} \end{pmatrix}\quad (2)$$

From Eq. (1),  $\rho_{xx}$  and  $\rho_{xy}$  can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{xx} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2}}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i^2 B}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2} \right]} \\ \rho_{xy} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i^2 B}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2}}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i n_i \mu_i^2 B}{1 + (\mu_i B)^2} \right]}\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).  
<sup>2</sup> For recent reviews, see D. C. Johnston, *Adv. Phys.* **59**, 803 (2010) and G. R. Stewart, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1589 (2011).  
<sup>3</sup> H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 063707 (2008).  
<sup>4</sup> Z. -A. Ren, W. Lu, J. Yang, W. Yi, X. -L. Shen, Z. -C. Li, G. -C. Che, X. -L. Dong, L. -L. Sun, F. Zhou, Z. -X. Zhou, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **25**, 2215 (2008).  
<sup>5</sup> C. Wang, L. Li, S. Chi, Z. Zhu, Z. Ren, Y. Li, Y. Wang, X. Lin, Y. Luo, S. Jiang, X. Xu, G. Cao, and Z. Xu, *Europhys. Lett.* **83**, 67006 (2008).  
<sup>6</sup> M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 107006 (2008).  
<sup>7</sup> I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 057003 (2008).  
<sup>8</sup> K. Kuroki, H. Usui, S. Onari, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 224511 (2009).  
<sup>9</sup> Y. Nakai, K. Ishida, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 073701 (2008).  
<sup>10</sup> K. Hashimoto, M. Yamashita, S. Kasahara, Y. Senshu, N. Nakata, S. Tonegawa, K. Ikada, A. Serafin, A. Carrington, T. Terashima, H. Ikeda, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 220501(R) (2010).  
<sup>11</sup> H. Kontani and S. Onari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 157001 (2010).  
<sup>12</sup> M. Sato, Y. Kobayashi, S. C. Lee, H. Takahashi, E. Satomi, and Y. Miura, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 014710 (2010).  
<sup>13</sup> D. J. Singh and M.-H. Du, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 237003 (2008).  
<sup>14</sup> H. Ding, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, K. Sugawara, T. Arakane, Y. Sekiba, A. Takayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, Z. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 47001 (2008).  
<sup>15</sup> P. L. Alireza, J. Gillett, Y. T. Chris Ko, S. E. Sebastian, and G. G. Lonzarich, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 012208 (2009).  
<sup>16</sup> R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, D. F. Fang, H. Chen, S. Y. Li, K. Liu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, R. L. Yang, L. Ding, C. He, D. L. Feng, and X. H. Chen *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087001 (2008).  
<sup>17</sup> M. Gooch, B. Lv, B. Lorenz, A. M. Guloy, and C.-W. Chu, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 104504 (2009).  
<sup>18</sup> J. Dai, Q. Si, J. -X. Zhu, and E. Abrahams, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **106**, 4118 (2009).

- <sup>19</sup> K. Hashimoto, K. Cho, T. Shibauchi, S. Kasahara, Y. Mizukami, R. Katsumata, Y. Tsuruhara, T. Terashima, H. Ikeda, M. A. Tanatar, H. Kitano, N. Salovich, R. W. Giannetta, P. Walmsley, A. Carrington, R. Prozorov, and Y. Matsuda, *Science* **336**, 1554 (2012).
- <sup>20</sup> H. Luetkens, H.-H. Klauss, M. Kraken, F. J. Litterst, T. Dellmann, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, C. Baines, M. Kosmala, O. J. Schumann, M. Braden, J. Hamann-Borrero, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, G. Behr, J. Werner and B. Büchner, *Nat. Mater.* **8**, 305 (2009).
- <sup>21</sup> S. Iimura, S. Matuishi, H. Sato, T. Hanna, Y. Muraba, S. W. Kim, J. E. Kim, M. Takata, and H. Hosono, *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 943 (2012).
- <sup>22</sup> M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, K. Kihou, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, Y. Yoshida, C. H. Lee, H. Kito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, K. M. Kojima, and S. Uchida, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 104528 (2010).
- <sup>23</sup> R. T. Gordon, H. Kim, N. Salovich, R. W. Giannetta, R. M. Fernandes, V. G. Kogan, T. Prozorov, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, M. A. Tanatar, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 054507 (2010).
- <sup>24</sup> L. Fang, H. Luo, P. Cheng, Z. Wang, Y. Jia, G. Mu, B. Shen, I. I. Mazin, L. Shan, C. Ren, and H.-H. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 140508(R) (2009).
- <sup>25</sup> F. Rullier-Albenque, D. Colson, A. Forget, and H. Alloul, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 057001 (2009).
- <sup>26</sup> C. F. Miclea, M. Nicklas, H. S. Jeevan, D. Kasinathan, Z. Hossain, H. Rosner, P. Gegenwart, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 212509 (2009).
- <sup>27</sup> T. Terashima, M. Kimata, H. Satsukawa, A. Harada, K. Hazama, S. Uji, H. S. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, and K. Murata, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 083701 (2009); **78**, 118001 (2009)
- <sup>28</sup> N. Kurita, M. Kimata, K. Kodama, A. Harada, M. Tomita, H. S. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, K. Murata, S. Uji, and T. Terashima, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **273**, 012098 (2011).
- <sup>29</sup> N. Kurita, M. Kimata, K. Kodama, A. Harada, M. Tomita, H. S. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, K. Murata, S. Uji, and T. Terashima, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 214513 (2011).
- <sup>30</sup> K. Matsubayashi, K. Munakata, M. Isobe, N. Katayama, K. Ohgushi, Y. Ueda, N. Kawamura, M. Mizumaki, N. Ishimatsu, M. Hedo, I. Umehara, and Y. Uwatoko, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 024502 (2011).
- <sup>31</sup> S. Jiang, Y. Luo, Z. Ren, Z. Zhu, C. Wang, X. Xu, Q. Tao, G. Cao, Z. Xu, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 025007 (2009)
- <sup>32</sup> Y. Xiao, Y. Su, M. Meven, R. Mittal, C. M. N. Kumar, T. Chatterji, S. Price, J. Persson, N. Kumar, S. K. Dhar, A. Thamizhavel, and Th. Brueckel, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 174424 (2009)
- <sup>33</sup> Y. Xiao, Y. Su, W. Schmidt, K. Schmalzl, C. M. N. Kumar, S. Price, T. Chatterji, R. Mittal, L. J. Chang, S. Nandi, N. Kumar, S. K. Dhar, A. Thamizhavel, and Th. Brueckel, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 220406(R) (2010).
- <sup>34</sup> T. Terashima, N. Kurita, A. Kikkawa, H. S. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, K. Murata, and S. Uji, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 103706 (2010).
- <sup>35</sup> N. Kurita, M. Kimata, K. Kodama, A. Harada, M. Tomita, H. S. Suzuki, T. Matsumoto, K. Murata, S. Uji, and T. Terashima, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 100501(R) (2011).
- <sup>36</sup> F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, A. Gurevich, D. C. Larbalestier, R. Jin, A. S. Sefat, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. K. Christen, and D. Mandrus, *Nature* **453**, 903 (2008).
- <sup>37</sup> H. Q. Yuan, J. Singleton, F. F. Balakirev, S. A. Baily, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, *Nature* **457**, 565 (2009).
- <sup>38</sup> H. Kotegawa, H. Sugawara, and H. Tou, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 013709 (2009).
- <sup>39</sup> Y. Uwatoko, M. Hedo, N. Kurita, M. Koeda, M. Abliz, and T. Matsumoto *Physica C* **329-333**, 1658 (2003).
- <sup>40</sup> K. Murata, K. Yokogawa, H. Yoshino, S. Klotz, P. Munsch, A. Irizawa, M. Nishiyama, K. Iizuka, T. Nanba, T. Okada, Y. Shiraga, and S. Aoyama, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 085101 (2008).
- <sup>41</sup>  $R_H(T)$  estimated at different  $B$  shows qualitatively similar behavior.
- <sup>42</sup> S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, K. Hashimoto, K. Ikada, S. Tonegawa, R. Okazaki, H. Shishido, H. Ikeda, H. Takeya, K. Hirata, T. Terashima, and Y. Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 184519 (2010).
- <sup>43</sup> T. Terashima, N. Kurita, M. Tomita, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Liang, M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, S. Uchida, H. Harima, and S. Uji, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 176402 (2011).
- <sup>44</sup> S. Ishida, T. Liang, M. Nakajima, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Kakeshita, T. Kida, M. Hagiwara, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, and S. Uchida, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 184514 (2011).
- <sup>45</sup> H. Shishido, A. F. Bangura, A. I. Coldea, S. Tonegawa, K. Hashimoto, S. Kasahara, P. M. C. Rourke, H. Ikeda, T. Terashima, R. Settai, Y. Onuki, D. Vignolles, C. Proust, B. Vignolle, A. McCollam, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, and A. Carrington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057008 (2010).
- <sup>46</sup> L. Ortenzi, E. Cappelluti, L. Benfatto, and L. Pietronero, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 046404 (2009).
- <sup>47</sup> N. R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, and P. C. Hohenberg, *Phys. Rev.* **147**, 295 (1966).
- <sup>48</sup> Ø. Fisher, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **45**, 331 (1972).
- <sup>49</sup> T. Moriya, Y. Takahashi, and K. Ueda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **59**, 2905 (1990).
- <sup>50</sup> P. Walmsley, C. Putzke, L. Malone, I. Guillamón, D. Vignolles, C. Proust, S. Badoux, A. I. Coldea, M. D. Watson, S. Kasahara, Y. Mizukami, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, and A. Carrington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 257002 (2013)