## Ken Wilson — The Early Years<sup>∗</sup>

R. Jackiw

Department of Physics Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139

Ken Wilson's life-time achievements in fundamental theoretical physics are well known and are well documented in this memorial volume. Therefore, there is no purpose in my adding yet another appreciation of his seminal work. Rather, I shall describe Ken and some of his activities at the beginning of his career, when he was junior faculty at Cornell and I was his student — one of two in the first cohort of PhDs that he mentored. (The other was Gerald Estberg, long time faculty and now retired at the University of San Diego.)

I entered Cornell's physics PhD program, hoping to study with Hans Bethe. But he decided to leave elementary particle physics and remain with nuclear physics. Another eminent theorist, specializing in S-matrix/Regge theory, left Ithaca for the West Coast. Consequently a position was offered to Ken, and in 1963 he accepted, partially "because Cornell was a good university, was out in the country and [had] a good folk dancing group."[\[1\]](#page-4-0).We graduate students were not familiar with his work because none was published. Evidently he got the job solely on his reputation among senior colleagues as a brilliantly unique quantum field theorist. Perhaps this disappointed some, who wished to follow the then-dominant S-matrix approach. But I was delighted, because my ambition was to master quantum field theory.

We were bemused by Ken's dedicated work habits: One could find him in office most of the time; otherwise he resided in a motel room. We were again bemused two years later when he won tenure after two publications. He attended our parties and other informal gatherings. His interactions were marked by very deliberate responses to conversational gambits. One frequently had to wait some moments before he responded; when an answer came it was complete — there was nothing more to say.

<sup>∗</sup>Ken Wilson Memorial Volume, World Scientific, Singapore

Ken's teaching style was methodical, addressing complicated matters in simple but opinionated fashion. He described his approach as

"...not trying to state the final word on the physically (sic) meaning of quantum fields. Rather, I ... present [an] intuitive understanding of the ... so-called 'asymptotic condition'. Rather than go through the formal mathematics involved in this work, I ... replace the rigorous but formal approach by an intuitive hypothesis used in an intuitive way, to obtain the same results. The value ... is that it is obtained without ... introducing ideas which are physically misleading and mathematically absurd. ('interaction representation' and the 'adiabatic hypothesis')" [\[2\]](#page-4-1)

This attitude led to a clear but leisurely course presentation. By the end of the first semester of quantum field theory, we managed to quantize the free scalar field and discuss interactions, with no Feynman diagram in sight.

I presented myself to Ken and he agreed to direct my thesis research. He suggested that I study the renormalization group by reading the Gell-Mann Low paper [\[3\]](#page-4-2) and the Bogoliubov Shirkov [\[4\]](#page-4-3) text. Evidently already in 1963 Ken was thinking about the renormalization group. This choice came as a surprise to me because prevailing sentiment at that time maintained that nothing physically interesting can be gotten by renormalization group arguments, especially by techniques employed in the Soviet school. [\[5\]](#page-4-4)

In fact Ken was an aficionado of the renormalization group from very early days. Already in his 1961 PhD thesis, he used that formalism to solve the Low equation. The thesis also exhibits Ken's reliance on numerical, computer assisted calculations — another feature of his mature work.  $[6]$ 

When I was ready to begin research, Ken suggested that I use renormalization group methods to determine the large momentum behavior of the vertex (3-point) function in spinor electrodynamics. We hoped that rederiving known partial results would check the new approach, and that new results would demonstrate the power of the renormalization group in new settings. Let me explain.

The vertex function, depicted in the figure, describes the propagation of an off mass-shell electron (solid lines) with the emission of an off mass-shell photon (dashed line). The 4-momenta are, respectively p, q and  $k = p - q$ . The on shell electron mass is  $m$ ; the photon carries an infra-red regulator mass  $\mu$ .

 $\Gamma^{\alpha}(p,q)$  is a 4 x 4 matrix, but the leading term may be isolated as  $\Gamma^{\alpha}(p,q)$  =  $\gamma^{\alpha}\Gamma(p^2, q^2, k^2) + \dots$ . The task is to study  $\Gamma$  for large  $k^2$ . The answer, far off mass-shell,  $|k^2| \gg |p^2|, |q^2| \gg m^2$ , was found by Sudakov.[\[7\]](#page-4-6)



Vertex (3-point) function

Rederiving this with the help of the renormalization group would validate that technique, and then the on mass-shell formula for  $\Gamma(m^2, m^2, k^2)$  could also be found.

Unfortunately I did not succeed. I could not find a defensible renormalization group argument for determining the large  $k^2$  asymptote of  $\Gamma(p^2, q^2, k^2)$  off or on mass-shell. After some futile struggle with the problem, I reported my failure to Ken. I was afraid that he would lose interest, once the renormalization group was abandoned.

Fortunately he was open to other methods. After a few days he told me to take a different, eikonal-type approach: In a Feynman diagram expansion of  $\Gamma^{\alpha}(p,q)$ , a generic propagator should be decomposed as

$$
\frac{1}{r^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2w_r} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^0 - w_r + i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{-r^0 - w_r + i\varepsilon} \right\}
$$

$$
w_r = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}^2 + M^2}
$$

Decomposition of Feynman propagator

Further analysis for large momenta shows that only one of the two terms in the decomposition dominates. With this observation it becomes possible to sum all relevant graphs. The Sudakov formula is reproduced and the on mass-shell asymptote is found. [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
\Gamma(m^2, m^2, k^2) \sim \exp - \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \ln^2 \frac{k^2}{\mu^2} \right\} \quad \text{(on mass-shell)}
$$

$$
m^2 = p^2, q^2 \ll |k^2|
$$

Note that the on mass-shell formula is not merely Sudakov's expression evaluated at  $p^2 = q^2 = m^2$ ; numerical factors differ. (With the advent of Effective Field Theory, a combination of eikonal and renormalization group methods can achieve both on shell and off shell results. [\[9\]](#page-4-8))

While I was completing my research, there appeared a paper by S. Weinberg, [\[10\]](#page-4-9) in which he proposed modified Feynman rules for calculating amplitudes in the infinite momentum frame. These are very similar to Ken's suggestion. When I went to inform Ken, he had already seen the paper, and with a smile called my attention to it. Never did he claim any priority in this matter — the subject simply was outside his interest, yet he could make a crucial contribution.

Ken was very supportive in my career. Upon my graduation he (and Bethe) secured for me a Harvard Junior Fellowship. It gave me great pleasure that he too held one, just before me. One time when I saw him, he was revising a paper on his short distance expansion — a technique with which he hoped to analyze the behavior of quantum fields, but had not yet come to fruition. I asked him how long would he remain with the subject without establishing useful results. He answered that he wouldn't give up for a decade. But he didn't have to wait that long. In 1969 he published the first of his renowned papers, "Nonlagrangian Models of Current Algebra." In it he announced a new approach to quantum field theory.

"What is proposed here is a new language for describing the shortdistance behavior of fields in strong interactions. One talks about operator-product expansions for products of operators near the same point, instead of equal-time commutators. One discusses the dimension of an operator instead of how it is formed from products of canonical fields. Analyses of divergences in radiative corrections, etc., are carried out in position space rather than momentum space. Furthermore, one has qualitative rules for the strength of SU(3) X SU(3)-symmetry-violating corrections at short distances...the hypotheses of this paper have the elegance of simplicity, once one is used to the language." [\[11\]](#page-4-10)

He worked out several illustrative examples of physical processes by his methods. Most pleasing to me is the fact that he devoted a long discussion to the chiral anomaly, which appeared the same year. [\[12\]](#page-4-11)

The Boston Joint Theoretical Physics Seminar met on Wednesdays. The day before Thanksgiving might have been sparsely attended; but this was not so, because the perennial speaker was Ken, who would be coming to visit his Boston area family for the holiday. As the years progressed, the audiences grew larger and larger, and the largest room had to be used.

## References

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] K.G. Wilson in Nobel Lectures in Physics, 1981-1990. World Scientific, Singapore (1993).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] K.G. Wilson, Quantum Field Theory Class Notes, Cornell, (1963).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] M. Gell-Mann and F.E. Low, "Quantum Electrodynamics at Small Distances," Phys. Rev 95, 1300 (1954).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] N.N. Bogoliubov and D.V. Shirkov, Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields. Interscience, New York (1959).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] K. Huang and F.E. Low, "Renormalization Group Method and High Energy Scattering," *Soviet Phys. JETP* **19**, 579 (1964).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] K.G. Wilson, Caltech PhD thesis (1961).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] V.V. Sudakov, "Vertex Parts for Very High Energies in Quantum Electrodynamics," *Soviet Phys. JETP* **3**, 65 (1956).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] R. Jackiw, "Dynamics at High Momentum and the Vertex Function of Spinor Electrodynamics," Annals Phys. 48, 292 (1968).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[9] I. Stewart and J. Thaler, private communication.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] S. Weinberg, "Dynamics at Infinite Momentum," Phys. Rev. 150, 1313 (1966).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] K.G. Wilson, "Non-Lagrangian Models of Current Algebra," Phys. Rev. 179, 1499 (1969).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] J.S. Bell and R. Jackiw, "A PCAC puzzle:  $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ ," *Il Nuovo Cim. A* 60, 47 (1969); S.L. Adler, "Axial-Vector Vertex in Spinor Electrodynamics," Phys. Rev. 177, 2426 (1969)