

# Hadron energy resolution as a function of iron plate thickness at ICAL

Lakshmi S. Mohan,<sup>1</sup> Anushree Ghosh,<sup>2</sup> Moon Moon Devi,<sup>3</sup> Daljeet Kaur,<sup>4</sup>  
Sandhya Choubey,<sup>2</sup> Amol Dighe,<sup>3</sup> D. Indumathi,<sup>1</sup> M.V.N. Murthy<sup>1</sup> and Md. Naimuddin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600 113, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Harishchandra Research Institute, Allahabad 211 002, India*

<sup>3</sup>*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400 005, India*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, Delhi University, New Delhi 110 007, India*

March 15, 2019

## Abstract

We report on a detailed study of the hadron energy resolution as a function of the thickness of the absorber plates for the proposed Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) detector at the India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO). We compare the hadron resolutions obtained with absorber thicknesses in the range 1.5 – 8 cm for neutrino interactions in the energy range 2 – 15 GeV, which is relevant to hadron production in atmospheric neutrino interactions. We find that at lower energies, the thickness dependence of energy resolution is steeper than at higher energies, however there is a constant contribution that dominates at the lower thicknesses discussed in this paper. As a result, the gain in hadron energy resolution with decreasing plate thickness is marginal. We present the results in the form of fits to a function with energy-dependent exponent.

## 1 Introduction

The proposed India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO) is an underground laboratory designed primarily for the study neutrinos from various sources. One of the first and largest detector at INO is a magnetized iron calorimeter (ICAL) detector to primarily study atmospheric muon neutrinos (and anti-neutrinos). The ICAL detector is a calorimeter which comprises a stack of iron plates interleaved with Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC)[1, 2], as active detector elements.

Muons produced in the charged current (CC) interactions of atmospheric muon (anti-)neutrinos with the iron target, can be easily detected in ICAL. Their energy and momentum will be reconstructed using either the track length in the detector and/or the curvature due to the magnetic field.

The magnetic field allows the charge identification as well. The main difficulty in reconstructing the energy and direction of the incident neutrino arises from the uncertainty in energy and direction of the associated hadrons, mainly pions produced in interactions at neutrino energies of  $\gtrsim 1$  GeV. Only hit multiplicity in the RPC layers and its distribution are available in the study of hadron response of ICAL [3]. Furthermore, the hits are restricted to a few layers only in contrast to the long track of the minimum ionising muons.

A potentially crucial factor in the determination of hadron energy and direction resolution is the thickness of the absorber material, i.e., iron. This must be optimised taking into account the physics goals of the experiment, apart from the detector size, geometry, stability and cost. In this paper we study the effect on hadron energy resolution with varying plate thickness by analysing the propagation of fixed energy single pions. Such studies, earlier, have concentrated on very high energy hadrons from tens of GeV to hundreds of GeV in hadron calorimeters [4, 5, 6, 7]. These studies have indicated a square root dependence on the thickness  $t$  of the hadron energy resolution on the absorber thickness. But no corresponding systematic analysis of the absorber thickness dependence, at lower energies, in the GeV region, is found in the literature, although the values of the hadron energy resolution at fixed thicknesses are available.

The main focus of this paper is to present the results of a study of the thickness dependence of hadron energy resolution in the energy range of 2–15 GeV. This energy domain is of primary importance to neutrino oscillations studies with ICAL. The default design of ICAL at present uses iron plates of thickness 5.6 cm. The energy resolution of hadrons (both for fixed energy single pions as well as for multiple hadrons from CC interactions of neutrinos with iron) propagating in the default configuration of the ICAL detector has been discussed in Ref. [3]. Here, we study the effect of varying the plate thickness in the range of 1.5 to 8 cm.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the detector configuration and the methodology of the analysis are outlined. The energy dependence of the hadron energy resolutions with different plate-thicknesses is discussed in Section 3. The results for the thickness dependence of the resolution parametrised in the form  $p_0 t^{p_1} + p_2$  are presented in Section 4. Section 5 compares ICAL simulations with simulations and test beam data from both MONOLITH and MINOS. We conclude with a brief discussion and summary in Section 6.

## 2 Detector configuration and methodology

The default configuration of ICAL detector has three modules of 151 layers of 5.6 cm thick magnetized iron plates interleaved with RPCs; each module has a dimension of  $16 \text{ m} \times 16 \text{ m} \times 14.45 \text{ m}$ . The RPCs are placed in the 4 cm gap between two iron plates. Copper pickup strips of width 1.96 cm above and below each RPC, aligned transverse to each other, determine the  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates of the hit. The layer number gives the information about the  $z$ -coordinate. The  $x$ -,  $y$ - and  $z$ - axes are defined with respect to an origin located at the center of the detector. For more details of the geometry and analysis, see Ref. [3].

A GEANT4-based [8] simulation framework has been used for the current analy-

sis. The strip width and spacing between plates are kept unchanged while changing the plate thickness. In order to maintain the approximate weight of the detector for each plate thickness, the number of iron plates (and hence the number of RPC layers) are adjusted accordingly. This does not affect the analysis since the few GeV hadrons which this study focuses on traverse only a few layers and rarely reach the detector edges.

Pions, which constitute the major fraction of hadrons produced in neutrino interactions in the detector mainly propagate as showers. The hits in the shower are denoted as  $x$ -hits or  $y$ -hits depending on whether the information originated from the  $x$ - or the  $y$ -pickup strip. The maximum of these two, named as orig-hits, is chosen for the study. As shown in our previous work [3], the analysis is not sensitive to this choice.

The study has been performed using fixed energy single positive pions ( $\pi^+$ ). For obtaining the hit information, fixed energy single pions are propagated from random vertices inside a fiducial volume of  $200 \text{ cm} \times 200 \text{ cm} \times 200 \text{ cm}$ . The direction of propagation is determined by their zenith angle  $\theta$  and the azimuthal angle  $\phi$  in this geometry which is oriented such that the  $x$ -axis is along the largest dimension of the detector. In order to obtain direction-averaged energy resolutions  $\theta$  is smeared from  $0$  to  $\pi$  and  $\phi$  is smeared from  $0$  to  $2\pi$ . The pion energy is varied from  $2 \text{ GeV}$  to  $15 \text{ GeV}$  in steps of  $0.25 \text{ GeV}$  without smearing. For each energy, we simulate  $10000$  events. Eleven plate thicknesses including the default value  $5.6 \text{ cm}$  are used. The thickness is varied from  $1.5 \text{ cm}$  in steps of  $0.5 \text{ cm}$  upto  $5 \text{ cm}$  and the other thicknesses are  $5.6 \text{ cm}$ ,  $6 \text{ cm}$  and  $8 \text{ cm}$ .

As expected, the mean number of hits increases with decreasing plate thickness, however the width of the distribution also becomes broader. This is illustrated in Figure 1 which shows the hit distributions for different iron plate thicknesses for a  $5 \text{ GeV}$  pion ( $\pi^+$ ).




Figure 1: Hit distributions of  $5 \text{ GeV}$  single pions ( $\pi^+$ ) propagated through sample iron plate thicknesses.

For the comparison of energy resolution at different thicknesses, we choose to

use the mean and rms width ( $\sigma$ ) of the hit distributions at different energies. We use  $\sigma/E$  as the indicator of energy resolution.

The hadron energy resolution is parametrized as  $\sigma(E)/E = \sqrt{(a^2/E + b^2)}$ , where  $\sigma(E)$  is the width of the distribution,  $a$  is the stochastic coefficient which depends on the thickness of the absorber and  $b$  is a constant. The analysis is done by taking the square of the equation since it gives a linear relation between  $(\sigma/E)^2$  and  $1/E$  with  $a^2$  as the slope and  $b^2$  as the intercept:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{E}\right)^2 = \frac{a^2}{E} + b^2. \quad (1)$$

Note that the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are, in general, energy dependent.

### 3 Energy resolution for different plate thicknesses

Energies of interest between 2–15 GeV are used in the analyses presented here. In the energy region below about 5 GeV, all processes including quasi-elastic (nucleon recoil), resonance and deep inelastic scattering can contribute to a comparable extent to the production of hadrons in neutrino interactions in the detector. In contrast, the high energy region is dominated by hadrons created via deep inelastic scattering. Keeping this distinction in mind, we analyse the response to fixed energy single pions in two energy ranges, 2–4.75 GeV and 5–15 GeV, separately.

The arithmetic mean and rms width of the hit distributions in the energy range 2–15 GeV, for various thicknesses, are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: (Left) Mean number of hits and (Right) width of hit histograms as functions of pion energy  $E$  (GeV), in the energy range 2–15 GeV.

The ratio  $\sigma/\text{Mean} = f(E, t)$  is identified as the resolution [3] and its square is fitted to the form given in Eq. (1), where  $t$  is the thickness of the absorber (iron plate) in cm (alternatively, it can be parametrised as  $t/t_0$  where  $t_0$  is a test or standard thickness; here  $t_0 = 1$  cm).

One has to determine the specific functional form of the thickness dependence of the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  on the right hand side of Eq. (1). Before doing this, it is important to determine the values of  $a$  and  $b$  for different thicknesses by fitting this form in the two different energy ranges as specified earlier.

### 3.1 Energy range 2–4.75 GeV

We first analyze the low energy region where the hadron energy resolution is crucial for the ICAL detector. The square of the resolution,  $(\sigma/\text{Mean})^2$ , for the thicknesses from 1.5–8.0 cm, plotted as a function of  $1/E$ , where  $E$  is the pion energy in GeV, is shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that  $a$  increases significantly with thickness as evinced by the increase in slope ( $= a^2$ ) of the fit with thickness, with  $a$  increasing from  $a = 0.65$  to  $a = 0.97$  as the thickness increases. However,  $b$ , as determined by the intercept ( $b^2$ ), is nearly constant in comparison, as it ranges from  $b = 0.28$  to  $b = 0.31$  with increase in thickness.




Figure 3: Plots of  $(\sigma/\text{Mean})^2$  versus  $1/E(\text{GeV})$  fitted to Eq. (1) in the lower energy range 2–4.75 GeV. The thickness varies from 2.5 cm to 8 cm from bottom to top.

### 3.2 Energy range 5–15 GeV

We study the higher energy region separately to probe a possible stronger  $E$ -dependence. Figure 4 shows that the behaviour is similar to the low energy case. Typically, the value of the stochastic coefficient  $a$  varies from 0.70–0.97, which is higher than in the lower energy case by up to 10%.




Figure 4: Plots of  $(\sigma/\text{Mean})^2$  versus  $(1/E(\text{GeV}))$  fitted to Eq. (1) in the lower energy range 5–15 GeV. The thickness varies from 2.5 cm to 8 cm from bottom to top.

Having determined the stochastic and constant parameters in these different energy ranges for different thicknesses, we now proceed to study the thickness dependence of the hadron energy resolutions.

## 4 Parametrisation of the plate thickness dependence

The functional form of the thickness dependence is introduced in two different ways. In the first approach, the thickness dependence is attributed entirely to the stochastic coefficient,  $a$ . This is motivated by the observation that the parameter  $b$  has a much smaller dependence on the thickness, as can be seen from the analyses above. The thickness dependence of the stochastic coefficient  $a$  is parametrised in the standard form,

$$a(t) = p_0 t^{p_1} + p_2, \quad (2)$$

where  $p_2$  is the limiting resolution for hadrons for finite energy in the limit of very small thickness due to the nature of their interactions, detector geometry and other systematic effects. We estimate these parameters in suitably chosen energy ranges as mentioned before.

We use Eq. (2) to determine the thickness dependence of the stochastic coefficient  $a$  separately in three different energy ranges as mentioned earlier. The parameters  $p_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) are determined independently in each energy range.

In Figure 5, we show the fits in the energy ranges 2–4.75 GeV and 5–15 GeV as functions of thickness. The parameters  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ , and  $p_2$  obtained from the fit to the form given in Eq. (2) are also shown in the figure. The thickness dependence is given by the exponent  $p_1$ . From the fit value shown in Figure 5,  $p_1$  is clearly energy-sensitive and decreases in the higher energy range.




Figure 5: The stochastic coefficient  $a$  obtained from the analysis in the energy ranges 2–4.75 GeV and 5–15 GeV versus the plate thickness  $t$  in cm, fitted with Eq. (2). The fitting parameter  $p_1$  in the exponent gives the thickness dependence of the stochastic coefficient  $a$ .

The analyses followed in the two energy ranges show that the dependence on the thickness is stronger than  $\sqrt{t}$ ; however, note the smallness of  $p_0$ , the coefficient of the thickness parameter, in all cases, in comparison with the constant parameter  $p_2$ . Irrespective of the energy range, it remains around  $p_2 \sim 0.60 \pm 0.08$  and contributes substantially to the resolution. This means that *there will always be a residual resolution which cannot be improved further by reducing the thickness*, thereby making the option of going to smaller thicknesses less attractive than what the bare  $t$ -dependence indicates. For example, although the resolution has an approximately linear dependence on the thickness ( $p_1 \sim 1.1$ ) at low energy, it worsens by only about 15% when the thickness doubles from  $t = 2.5$  to  $t = 5$  cm rather than doubling as the bare  $t$  dependence indicates. To our knowledge, this has not been pointed out before.

An alternative approach is to analyse the thickness dependence of the entire width and not just that of the stochastic coefficient. The analysis was done for different energies. A fit to  $\sigma/\sqrt{E}$  with the equation

$$\sigma/\sqrt{E} = q_0 t^{q_1} + q_2, \quad (3)$$

congruent in form with Eq. (2) reveals the following trend as illustrated in Figure 6. The exponent  $q_1$  of the absorber thickness ( $t(\text{cm})$ ) decreases from  $\sim 0.9$  to  $0.66$  in the 2–15 GeV energy range, whereas its coefficient  $q_0$  increases from  $\sim 0.06$ – $0.14$  with energy. The constant term  $q_2$  increases from  $\sim 0.65$ – $0.98$  with energy  $E$  (GeV). Again, the smallness of the coefficient  $q_0$  results in the  $q_2$  dominating over the term  $q_0 t^{q_1}$ . Thus the behaviour closely parallels that of the earlier analysis with the thickness dependence of  $a$  alone. We show a linear fit for the  $E$  dependence of the parameters in the figure. The trends indicate that the thickness exponent decreases with energy and may therefore be compatible with the square-root results of earlier studies at higher beam energies [4, 9].




Figure 6: Variation of the parameters  $q_i$  obtained by fitting  $\sigma/\sqrt{E}$  to Eq. (3) in the energy range 2–15 GeV. The linear fits through the points indicate the  $E$  dependence for each parameter.

## 5 Comparison with other experiments

For the validation of the analysis presented here, the result has been compared with both simulations of MONOLITH and MINOS collaborations and also to the data from the test beam runs conducted by them. In the current simulation, ICAL with 8 cm iron plate has a resolution of  $98.5\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 29.4\%$  which is roughly comparable to the angle-averaged result of  $90\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 30\%$  obtained from the simulation studies of MONOLITH [10] with the same plate thickness. For convenience of comparison, the convention  $a/\sqrt{E} \oplus b \equiv \sqrt{a^2/E + b^2}$  has been used.

Our results cannot be directly compared with the test beam data since beams are highly directional (with  $\cos\theta = 1$  as the beam divergence is typically small). To



enable a comparison with the beam data we consider events where the hadrons are normally incident on the detector plates.

MONOLITH has performed a test beam run with 5 cm iron plates (Baby MONOLITH) with the T7-PS beam at CERN [11, 12]. This beam provides pions of energies ranging from 2–10 GeV which are exactly normally incident on the iron plates. The run reported an energy resolution of  $68\%/\sqrt{E} \pm 2\%$ . The simulation of ICAL detector with 5 cm iron plates with single pions of energies 2–10 GeV incident normally on the detector at a fixed point (100, 100, 0) cm, is shown in Figure 7. The analysis gives a similar energy resolution of  $66.3\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 8.7\%$ .




Figure 7: Energy response of ICAL detector with 5 cm thick iron plates with single pions in the energy range 2–10 GeV, propagated from the vertex fixed at (100, 100, 0) cm in the vertical direction, compared with the data from MONOLITH test beam run [11, 12].

The simulation studies with gaseous detectors by MINOS collaboration have reported a hadron energy resolution of  $70\%/\sqrt{E}$  with 1.5" (i.e., 4 cm) iron plates [13]. The test beam run of MINOS with APT (Aluminium Proportional Tubes) active detectors and 1.5" steel plates in the energy range 2.5–30 GeV was reported to have a hadron energy resolution of  $71\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 6\%$  [9]. ICAL simulation with 4cm iron plates in the energy range 2–30 GeV gives a resolution of  $61\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 14\%$ . The results are comparable, considering that there are differences in geometries (different strip width).

Our results agree with those of MINOS and MONOLITH simulations and test beam results within statistical errors. The slight differences can be attributed to differences in the details of the detector configuration. Note also that fixed vertex

data tend to give smaller values of  $b$  than the smeared vertex case; this is because the hadrons see more inhomogeneities in the detector geometry in the latter case, and this is reflected in the larger residual resolution.

## 6 Conclusions

We have analysed the direction-averaged hadron energy resolution as a function of iron plate thickness (from 1.5 to 8 cm) in the energy range of interest for atmospheric neutrino interactions. The study was motivated by the realisation that the hadron energy resolution is a crucial limiting factor in reconstructing the neutrino energy in charged current interactions of atmospheric neutrinos in the magnetized Iron CALorimeter (ICAL) detector at the proposed India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO). The analysis was done by propagating pions in the simulated ICAL detector at various fixed energies, averaged over all directions ( $\theta, \phi$ ) in each case.

The study shows that the hadron energy resolution depends on plate thickness  $t$  (cm) through a relation  $a = p_0 t^{p_1} + p_2$ , where  $a$ , the stochastic coefficient, is the energy-dependent term in the standard resolution,  $(\sigma/E)^2 = a^2/E + b^2$ . That is, there is a finite energy resolution for hadrons even when the plate thickness is small. This reflects the strong nature of hadronic interactions with matter (iron in this case) that leads to large systematic uncertainties. We find that the constant term  $p_2$  is always dominant compared to the first  $t$ -dependent term because the coefficient  $p_0$  of the  $t^{p_1}$  term is small; hence reducing the plate thickness does not lead to a significant gain in the hadron energy resolution. This is true over all the thicknesses studied in the energy range 2–15 GeV.

Similar results are obtained when the quantity  $\sigma/\sqrt{E} = q_0 t^{q_1} + q_2$  is studied for its thickness dependence. The trends of the fit parameters  $q_i$  as functions of  $E$  (GeV) show that the smallness of the coefficient  $q_0$  is again responsible for the dominance of the thickness independent term  $q_2$ . Comparisons of ICAL simulations with those of MONOLITH and MINOS and their test beam runs have been conducted and are found to match.

The final choice of the plate thickness will depend not only the behaviour of hadrons but also on the energy range of interest to the physics goals of the experiment. Issues like low energy muons, the threshold energy, possibility of electron detection, cost etc will also affect the choice of plate thickness. But these are outside the scope of this study.

## Acknowledgement

We thank G. Majumder, A. Redij for the development of the simulations framework for ICAL; B. Choudhary, V.M. Datar and Y.P. Viyogi for the critical comments and suggestions on the manuscript and content. We also thank N.K. Mondal, P. K Behera and all members of the INO collaboration who gave their comments and suggestions in the weekly simulations meetings. We thank the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), India, and Department of Science and Technology (DST), India, for supporting this research work.

## References

- [1] India-based Neutrino Observatory Report, <http://www.ino.tifr.res.in/ino/OpenReports/INOReport.pdf>, May 2006.
- [2] V.M. Datar *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A 602** (2009) 744–748.
- [3] M.M. Devi *et al.*, *Hadron energy response of the Iron Calorimeter detector at the India-based Neutrino Observatory*, JINST **8** P11003, 2013; arXiv:1304.5115 [hep-ex]
- [4] Dan Green, *Physics of Particle Detectors*, Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [5] Dan Green, *Hadron Calorimeter Performance with a PbWO<sub>4</sub> EM Compartment*, FNAL TM-1958, Dec. 1995; [lss.fnal.gov/archive/test-tm/1000/fermilab-tm-1958.pdf](http://lss.fnal.gov/archive/test-tm/1000/fermilab-tm-1958.pdf).
- [6] C.W. Fabjan, *Calorimetry in high energy physics* CERN-EP/85-54, 1985.
- [7] K. Kleinknecht, Phys. Rep. **84**, (1982) 85–161.
- [8] S. Agostinelli *et al.*, *Geant4 - a simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A 506** (2003) 250-303; <http://geant4.cern.ch/>.
- [9] P. Schoessow *et al.*, *Results from an iron-proportional tube calorimeter prototype*, NUMI-Note , MINOS Document 335-v1
- [10] N.Y. Agafonova *et al.*, *MONOLITH: A massive magnetised iron detector for neutrino oscillation studies*, Monolith Proposal, LNGS P26/2000 CERN/SPSC 2000-031, SPSC/M657, 2000.
- [11] M. Ambrosio *et al.*, *The MONOLITH prototype*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A 456** (2000) 67–72.
- [12] G. Baria *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A 508** (2003) 170–174.
- [13] D.A. Petyt, *A study of parameter measurement in a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment*, PhD thesis, University of Oxford, FERMILAB-THESIS-1998-66 (1998), [www.physics.ox.ac.uk/neutrino/Petyt/Petyt.htm](http://www.physics.ox.ac.uk/neutrino/Petyt/Petyt.htm).