

Chapter 1

Multi-Regulator Functional Renormalization Group for Many-Fermion Systems

Yuya Tanizaki* and Tetsuo Hatsuda†

* *RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton,
NY 11973-5000, USA*
yuya.tanizaki@riken.jp

† *iTHES Research Group and Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198,
Japan*
thatsuda@riken.jp

We propose a method of multi-regulator functional renormalization group (MR-FRG) which is a novel formulation of functional renormalization group with multiple infrared regulators. It is applied to a two-component fermionic system with an attractive contact interaction to study crossover phenomena between the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) phase and the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) phase. To control both the fermionic one-particle excitations and the bosonic collective excitations, IR regulators are introduced, one for the fermionic two-point function and another for the four-fermion vertex. It is shown that the Nozières-Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory, which is successful to capture qualitative features of the BCS-BEC crossover, can be derived from MR-FRG. Some aspects of MR-FRG to go beyond the NSR theory are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Functional renormalization group (FRG)¹⁻³ is a pragmatic realization of Wilson's idea of renormalization group.⁴ Functional implementation of coarse-graining realizes an exact evolution of an effective action involving quantum and thermal fluctuations, and enables us to calculate observables of various quantum systems. Especially for interacting many fermions, the fermionic FRG provides a systematic way to analyze the system without *a priori* knowledge on their ground state properties⁵⁻⁷ and is complementary

to bosonized FRG where auxiliary bosonic fields are introduced.^{8–10}

We have previously studied the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) to Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) crossover of the two-component fermionic system with an attractive contact interaction^{11,12} by treating the BCS regime and the BEC regime separately on the basis of fermionic FRG: In the BCS side, the Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov correction¹³ to the BCS theory has been derived from fermionic FRG by introducing an infrared (IR) regulator for the two-point function,^{14,15} while, in the BEC side, fermionic FRG with an IR regulator for the four-fermion vertex has been introduced.¹⁶

The purpose of this paper is to propose a new fermionic FRG with multiple IR regulators, which we call multi-regulator functional renormalization group (MR-FRG), to control the low-energy fermionic and bosonic excitations simultaneously, so that it can treat the whole region of the BCS-BEC crossover. To show how our formalism works, we discuss its relation to the Nozières and Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory,¹⁷ the conventional formalism to treat the BCS-BEC crossover with pairing fluctuations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we consider a model for the two-component fermionic system, and introduce a new formulation of FRG with two different IR regulators and two-parameter flow equations. In Sec.3, formal properties of the flow equations are discussed. After carrying out the ultraviolet (UV) renormalization of the flow equations, we optimize the RG flow by choosing appropriate IR regulators under the vertex expansion of the flow equations. In Sec.4, we derive the NSR theory for the BCS-BEC crossover based on MR-FRG. We first study the RG flow of the four-fermion vertex and observe that one-particle fermion excitations decouple from the flow equation. Using this property, we can solve the flow equation of the self-energy, whose solution correctly reproduces the number equation of the NSR theory. Sec.5 is devoted to summary and perspectives.

2. Fermionic FRG with multiple IR regulators

Let us consider the following action with non-relativistic two-component fermions $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\uparrow \\ \Psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$:

$$S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\bar{\Psi} \left(\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu \right) \Psi(x) + g \bar{\Psi}_\uparrow \bar{\Psi}_\downarrow \Psi_\downarrow \Psi_\uparrow(x) \right], \quad (1)$$

with $\beta(= 1/T)$ the inverse temperature, μ the chemical potential, m the fermion mass, and g the bare coupling constant of the contact interaction. The ultraviolet (UV) regularized form of (1) in the momentum space is given by

$$S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \bar{\Psi}_p G^{-1}(p) \Psi_p + g(\Lambda) \int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0 0^+} \int_{q, q' \leq \Lambda}^{(T)} \bar{\Psi}_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2}+q} \bar{\Psi}_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2}-q} \Psi_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2}-q'} \Psi_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2}+q'}, \quad (2)$$

where $G^{-1}(p) = ip^0 + \mathbf{p}^2/2m - \mu$ with $p = (p^0, \mathbf{p})$, $\psi_{\sigma,p}$ denotes the Fourier expansion coefficient of $\psi_{\sigma}(x)$, $\int_p^{(T)} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p^0}$, and Λ denotes a UV cutoff for the spatial momenta, \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' .

The fermionic field theory (2) contains UV-divergence only in the loop of particle-particle scattering,

$$\Pi(p) = \int_{l \leq \Lambda}^{(T)} \frac{1}{G^{-1}(\frac{p}{2} + l) G^{-1}(\frac{p}{2} - l)} = \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (3)$$

Such a divergence can be absorbed into the bare coupling $g(\Lambda)$ and renormalized into the physical scattering length a_s ;

$$\frac{m}{4\pi a_s} = g^{-1}(\Lambda) + \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2}. \quad (4)$$

Observables are written in terms of m , a_s , T and μ by absorbing all the UV divergences through Eq.(4) and taking $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to describe the BCS-BEC crossover by fermionic FRG, we need to control both fermionic one-particle excitations and bosonic collective excitations. Since fermionic excitations are described by the two-point Green function, we introduce an additional action,

$$\delta S_{k_1}^{(f)}[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \bar{\Psi}_p R_{k_1}^{(f)}(\mathbf{p}) \Psi_p, \quad (5)$$

where $R_{k_1}^{(f)}$ regulates fermionic one-particle excitations with energy smaller than $k_1^2/2m$. On the other hand, the collective bosonic excitation is described by the pole of the four-point Green function. In order to suppress bosonic excitations with energy less than $k_2^2/4m$, we introduce the following action,¹⁶

$$\delta S_{k_2}^{(b)}[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \frac{g^2 R_{k_2}^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip^0 0^+}}{1 - g R_{k_2}^{(b)}(\mathbf{p})} \int_{q, q' \leq \Lambda}^{(T)} \bar{\Psi}_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2}+q} \bar{\Psi}_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2}-q} \Psi_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2}-q'} \Psi_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2}+q'}, \quad (6)$$

with $R_{k_2}^{(b)}$ being an vertex IR regulator. For later convenience, we define

$$g_{k_2}(p) \equiv \frac{g^2 R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p)}{1 - g R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p)}. \quad (7)$$

Note that adding this vertex IR regulator introduces an effective shift of the inverse coupling, $g^{-1} \rightarrow g^{-1} - R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p)$.

With an abbreviated notation $\phi \equiv (\bar{\Psi}, \Psi)$, the Schwinger functional $W_{k_1, k_2}[J]$ depending on two scales (k_1, k_2) can be defined as

$$W_{k_1, k_2}[J] = \ln \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left[- \left(S[\phi] + \delta S_{k_1}^{(f)}[\phi] + \delta S_{k_2}^{(b)}[\phi] \right) + J^\alpha \phi_\alpha \right]. \quad (8)$$

In this expression, α runs over all the arguments of ϕ (spacetime coordinates x , internal degrees of freedom σ , and the global U(1) charges ± 1 for $\bar{\Psi}$ and Ψ). The (k_1, k_2) -dependent one-particle-irreducible (1PI) effective action $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$ with $\varphi \equiv (\bar{\psi}, \psi)$ is defined as the Legendre transform of the Schwinger functional,

$$\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] + \delta S_{k_1}^{(f)}[\varphi] = J^\alpha[\varphi] \varphi_\alpha - W_{k_1, k_2}[J[\varphi]], \quad (9)$$

where $J[\varphi]$ is the solution of $\delta_L W_{k_1, k_2}[J]/\delta J = \varphi$ with $\delta_L/\delta J$ being the left functional derivative. This is the generating functional of the 1PI effective vertices at the scale (k_1, k_2) .

In terms of the parameter k_1 , the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$ obeys the flow equation,¹⁻³

$$\partial_{k_1} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \text{STr} \left[\frac{\partial_{k_1} R_{k_1}^{(f)}}{\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}[\varphi] + R_{k_1}^{(f)}} \right], \quad (10)$$

where $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}[\varphi] = \frac{\delta_L}{\delta \varphi} \frac{\delta_R}{\delta \varphi} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$ is the field-dependent propagator, and STr denotes the supertrace in the functional space. For fixed and large UV cutoff Λ , the initial condition of the flow equation is given by $\Gamma_{k_1=\Lambda \rightarrow \infty, k_2}[\varphi] = S[\varphi] + \delta S_{k_2}^{(b)}[\varphi]$ with a possible field-independent part. For this boundary condition to be satisfied, we need to choose $R_{k_1=\Lambda \rightarrow \infty}^{(f)} = \infty$ and $R_{k_1=0}^{(f)} = 0$.

The k_2 -dependence of Γ_{k_1, k_2} is obtained from the following flow equation,¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] &= \frac{1}{4!} \partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4} \left(\varphi_{\alpha_1} \varphi_{\alpha_2} \varphi_{\alpha_3} \varphi_{\alpha_4} \right. \\ &\quad + 6 \varphi_{\alpha_1} \varphi_{\alpha_2} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_3 \alpha_4} + 3 G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_1 \alpha_2} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_3 \alpha_4} \\ &\quad + 4 \varphi_{\alpha_1} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_2 \beta_2} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_3 \beta_3} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_4 \beta_4} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(3), \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4} \\ &\quad + G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_1 \beta_1} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_2 \beta_2} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_3 \beta_3} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_4 \beta_4} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4), \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4} \\ &\quad \left. + 3 G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_1 \beta_1} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_2 \beta_2} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_3 \beta_3} G_{k_1 k_2, \alpha_4 \beta_4} G_{k_1 k_2, \gamma_1 \gamma_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(3), \beta_1 \beta_2 \gamma_1} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(3), \gamma_2 \beta_3 \beta_4} \right), \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{k_1} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] &= \text{Diagram 1} \\
\partial_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] &= \text{Diagram 2} + \text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \\
&+ \text{Diagram 5} + \text{Diagram 6} + \text{Diagram 7}
\end{aligned}$$

Fig. 1. The flow equations for the effective action, $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$, in terms of k_1 and k_2 . The thin lines represent the field φ , the double lines represent the field-dependent propagator, $G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$, the circle with cross represents $\partial_{k_1} R_{k_1}^{(f)}$, the filled circles represent $-\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$, and the square boxes represent the field-dependent 1PI effective vertex, $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(3,4)}[\varphi]$.

with $G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi] = \left(\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}[\varphi] + R_{k_1}^{(f)} \right)^{-1}$ being the field dependent propagator, and $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(n)}[\varphi]$ being the n -th functional derivative of $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$. The boundary condition of the RG flow is specified by requiring $R_{k_2=\Lambda \rightarrow \infty}^{(b)} \rightarrow \infty$ and $R_{k_2=0}^{(b)} = 0$. For large UV cutoff Λ , the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$ converges to the free action in the limit $k_2 = \Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, because $g + g_{k_2=\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} = g - g = 0$.

Diagrammatic expressions of the flow equations in MR-FRG, (10) and (11), are shown in Fig.1, where the double lines represent the field-dependent propagator, $G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$.

3. Formal aspects of the flow equations in MR-FRG

Eqs. (10) and (11) constitute the basic equations of MR-FRG. In this section, we study formal aspects of the flow equations in MR-FRG, and consider optimization of the flow with respect to the IR regulators.

3.1. UV renormalization of the flow equations

In Eq.(10), the derivative of the fermion IR regulator $\partial_{k_1} R_{k_1}^{(f)}$ introduces a UV-cutoff at the energy scale $k_1^2/2m$ in the loop integration, and thus it is free from UV divergences in the limit, $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Let us now show that Eq.(11) does not have UV divergences too.




Fig. 2. The UV-finite diagram which appears in the flow equation. The grey circles denote the bare vertex g , while the filled circle represents $-\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$. The internal lines with arrows are the field-independent propagator, $G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi = 0]$.




Fig. 3. UV-renormalized k_2 -flow equation of the effective action. The field-independent propagator ($G_{k_1, k_2}[0]$) and the field-independent propagator ($G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$) are represented by the single line and the double line, respectively.

We first note that the bare coupling appears in the flow equation as $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2} = g^2 \partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)} / (1 - g R_{k_2}^{(b)})^2$. Therefore, together with the renormalization condition, Eq.(4), we have

$$\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2} \xrightarrow{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{m\Lambda} \right)^2 \partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)} \sim O(1/\Lambda^2). \quad (12)$$

Now, the UV-finite sub-diagrams originate only from particle-particle scattering contribution (see Fig.2)^a in the present non-relativistic system,

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} [\Pi_{k_1, k_2}(p)]^2 [-\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}(p)] = -\partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p), \quad (13)$$

where $\Pi_{k_1, k_2}(p)$ is a particle-particle 1-loop amplitude of the field-independent propagator, $G_{k_1, k_2}[0] = \left(\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}[0] + R_{k_1}^{(f)} \right)^{-1}$. Since all the other sub-diagrams are suppressed by the powers of $1/\Lambda$, the k_2 -flow equation in Fig. 1 can be much simplified in the UV-limit as shown in Fig.3. To make clear distinction between the field-independent propagator $G_{k_1, k_2}[0]$ and the field-dependent propagator $G_{k_1, k_2}[\varphi]$, we represent the former (latter) by a single (double) line in this figure.

^aFrom now on, we will attach arrows to fermion lines in order to identify whether the fermion is emitted or absorbed at each vertex.

3.2. Vertex expansion of the flow equations

In order to solve the flow equations (10) and (11) for each 1PI vertex function, we need to perform the vertex expansion of $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\bar{\psi}, \psi]$. For simplicity, we assume the symmetry-unbroken phase in the following, so that each vertex couples to the same number of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. Then we consider the following vertex expansion of Γ_{k_1, k_2} up to the fourth order,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}[\bar{\psi}, \psi] &= \int_p^{(T)} \bar{\psi}_p [G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2}](p) \psi_p \\ &+ \int_{p, q, q'}^{(T)} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p, q, q') \bar{\psi}_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2} + q} \bar{\psi}_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2} - q} \psi_{\downarrow, \frac{p}{2} - q'} \psi_{\uparrow, \frac{p}{2} + q'}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where Σ_{k_1, k_2} and $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}$ are the self-energy and the four-point vertex, respectively. The flow equations in terms of k_1 with this truncation are shown in Fig.4 with $\tilde{\partial}_{k_1}$ the k_1 -derivative acting only on the explicit k_1 -dependence of the fermionic IR regulator $R_{k_1}^{(f)}$. Their analytic expressions are obtained by substituting (14) into (10):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k_1} \Sigma_{k_1, k_2}(p) &= \tilde{\partial}_{k_1} \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \frac{\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](l)}, \quad (15) \\ -\partial_{k_1} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p) &= \tilde{\partial}_{k_1} \left[\int_l^{(T)} \frac{\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p) \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p)}{\prod_{\pm} ([G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](\frac{p}{2} \pm l))} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_l^{(T)} \frac{\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(\frac{p}{2} + q + l) \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(\frac{p}{2} \pm q' + l)}{[G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](l) [G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](q \pm q' + l)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here, relative momenta dependence of the 1PI four-point vertex is not shown explicitly just for simplicity.

The flow equations for Σ_{k_1, k_2} and $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}$ in terms of k_2 can be obtained by substituting the vertex expansion (14) into the flow equation in Fig.3. Their diagrammatic expressions are shown in Fig.5, and the analytic forms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k_2} \Sigma_{k_1, k_2}(p) &= \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \frac{\left(\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p+l)\right)^2 \partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](l)}, \quad (17) \\ -\partial_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p) &= -\left(\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p)\right)^2 \partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)}(p) \\ &+ \sum_{\pm} \int_l^{(T)} \frac{\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(\frac{p}{2} + q + l) \left(\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(\frac{p}{2} \pm q' + l)\right)^2 \partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)}(\frac{p}{2} \pm q' + l)}{[G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](l) [G^{-1} - \Sigma_{k_1, k_2} + R_{k_1}^{(f)}](q \pm q' + l)}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$




Fig. 4. The flow equations for the self energy Σ_{k_1, k_2} and the four-point vertex $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}$ in terms of k_1 .




Fig. 5. Flow equation of the self energy Σ_{k_1, k_2} and the four-point vertex $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}$ in terms of k_2 .

When we write down the full momentum dependence of this flow equation, we should notice that only the relative-momentum independent part of each 1PI vertex can couple to $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$ in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Such momentum independent parts can be extracted by putting the corresponding relative momentum to be infinity.

After solving the flow equations (15-18), we apply the Thouless criterion for the superfluid phase transition,¹⁸

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{0,0}^{(4)}(p=0)} = 0, \quad (19)$$

which corresponds to the gap equation at $T = T_c$. On the other hand, the number density n is obtained by

$$n = -2 \int_p^{(T)} \frac{e^{-ip^0 0^+}}{G^{-1}(p) - \Sigma_{0,0}(p)}. \quad (20)$$

By combining (19) and (20), one eventually obtains T_c/ε_F as a function of $(k_F a_s)^{-1}$ with $k_F = (3\pi n)^{1/3}$ and $\varepsilon_F = k_F^2/2m$.

3.3. Optimized flow and IR regulators

There are many degrees of freedom in the choice of IR regulators. The idea of optimizing the FRG flow is to use such degrees of freedom to construct a systematic approximation scheme. The optimized IR regulator depends naturally on the approximation scheme and the order of truncation. For bosonic theories, regulator dependence of the approximate FRG flow has been studied in details within the local potential approximation (LPA).^{19–21} According to these studies, the optimized IR regulators have been found to share the following properties besides the boundary condition at $k = \infty$:

- (i) IR regulator R_k makes the system gapped by a typical energy scale $k^2/2m$ with k being the scale parameter.
- (ii) High-energy excitations with the energy larger than $k^2/2m$ decouple from the flow equation.

With these properties, low-energy excitations are equally gapped and high-energy excitations do not affect the low-energy dynamics after renormalization. This helps us to establish a series of systematic truncations of the flow equations.

For the system treated in this paper, there are two different degrees of freedom to be gapped. The first one is the one-particle fermion excitation. The above two condition for such excitations can be satisfied by taking the Litim-type optimized regulator,^{19,20}

$$R_{k_1}^{(f)}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv Z_f \left[\frac{k_1^2}{2m} \text{sgn}(\xi(\mathbf{p})) - \xi(\mathbf{p}) \right] \theta \left(\frac{k_1^2}{2m} - |\xi(\mathbf{p})| \right), \quad (21)$$

where $\xi(\mathbf{p})$ denotes the excitation energy relative to the Fermi level, with Z_f the wave-function renormalization of fermionic fields. For the simplest case, $Z_f = 1$ and $\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu$, it is easy to check that this regulator opens an energy gap by the amount $\pm \frac{k_1^2}{2m}$ near the Fermi surface, $\left| \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu \right| \leq \frac{k_1^2}{2m}$.

The second one is the bosonic collective excitation given by the pole of $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p)$. According to the flow equation in Fig.5, $\partial_{k_2} R_{k_2}^{(b)}$ can make high-energy collective excitations decouple from the flow equation. Therefore, the Litim-type regulator is again expected to satisfy the above two conditions,^{19,20}

$$R_{k_2}^{(b)} \equiv Z_b \left(\frac{k_2^2}{4m} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m} \right) \theta \left(\frac{k_2^2}{4m} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m} \right), \quad (22)$$

where Z_b is the wave-function renormalization of the effective boson propagator. For the simplest case, $Z_b = 1$, this introduces a gap by $\frac{k_2^2}{4m}$ at low momentum, $\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m} \leq \frac{k_2^2}{4m}$.

We can convert the two-parameter flow equations (15-18) into a single-parameter flow equation by relating k_1 and k_2 . In this paper, we simply take $k \equiv k_1 = k_2$, and adopt a simplified notation $\Gamma_k[\bar{\psi}, \psi] \equiv \Gamma_{k,k}[\bar{\psi}, \psi]$. One may alternatively choose $k_1 = ck_2$ with a constant c which can be determined e.g. by applying the principle of minimal sensitivity²² to some observable such as T_c/ε_F .

4. NSR theory from MR-FRG

In this section, we derive the NSR theory¹⁷ on the basis of MR-FRG. In the NSR theory, the particle-particle random phase approximation (pp-RPA) is adopted to take into account the effect of collective fermion pairs in the free energy. Then the critical temperature in the BCS-BEC crossover can be calculated as a function of $(k_F a_s)^{-1}$ by solving the following two equations,

$$\frac{1}{a_s} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{2m\varepsilon} d\varepsilon \left[\frac{\tanh \frac{\beta}{2}(\varepsilon - \mu)}{2(\varepsilon - \mu)} - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right], \quad (23)$$

$$n = -2 \int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0_0^+} G(p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0_0^+} \ln \left[1 + \frac{4\pi a_s}{m} \left(\Pi(p) - \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2} \right) \right]. \quad (24)$$

4.1. Pairing approximation on the four-point vertex

We first consider the flow equation of the four-point 1PI vertex function, and identify an approximation corresponding to pp-RPA. Flow equations of the four-point 1PI vertex $\Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p; q, q')$ are given in Figs.4 and 5. As we have announced at the end of the previous section, we set $k = k_1 = k_2$ and analyze the flow equation for $\Gamma_k^{(4)} = \Gamma_{k,k}^{(4)}$. Let us consider only the particle-particle correlation in the flow equation of the four-point vertex by neglecting the self-energy correction:

$$-\partial_k \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) = \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2 \left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\frac{p}{2} - l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](\frac{p}{2} + l) [G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}]^2(\frac{p}{2} - l)} + \left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(p). \quad (25)$$

Under this approximation, the solution of the flow equation becomes the four-point vertex in the pp-RPA.^{14,16} Since the fermion dispersion relation is given by the bare propagator, parameters of the IR regulator $R_k^{(f)}$ in

(21) can be specified to be $Z_f = 1$ and $\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^2/2m - \mu$. Therefore, the analytic expression for the four-point vertex becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)} &= \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} - R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3\ell}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{\pm} n_F \left(\frac{(\mathbf{p}/2 \pm \ell)^2}{2m} - \mu + R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm \ell) \right)}{\frac{\ell^2}{m} + (ip^0 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m} - 2\mu) + \sum_{\pm} R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm \ell)} - \frac{1}{\frac{\ell^2}{m}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Then the Thouless criterion (19) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p=0)} \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} + \int \frac{d^3\ell}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - 2n_F \left(\frac{\ell^2}{2m} - \mu \right)}{\ell^2/m - 2\mu} - \frac{1}{\ell^2/m} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

with n_F the Fermi distribution function. Eq. (27) is identical to Eq.(23) in NSR theory. From (27), the chemical potential μ must be positive in the BCS region, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \lesssim -1$, and negative in the BEC region, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \gtrsim 1$. There exists low-energy fermions in the BCS side, while all the fermions are gapped due to the binding energy $1/2ma_s^2$ in the BEC side.

Now, the non-trivial part in the derivation of the NSR theory comes from the pairing fluctuation in the number equation (24). In Sec.4.2, we first study the k -dependence of the four-point 1PI vertex function. Then we derive the number equation by analyzing the structure of the flow equation for the self-energy in Sec.4.3.

4.2. Structure of the k -dependent four-point 1PI vertex

Since the following analysis depends on the sign of the chemical potential, we consider the BCS side and BEC side separately.

In the BCS side where the chemical potential μ is positive, the condition $\xi(\mathbf{q}) = 0$ defines a Fermi sphere $\{|\mathbf{q}| = \sqrt{2m\mu}\}$. Using the Thouless criterion (27), the equation (26) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)} &= - \int \frac{d^3\ell}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{\pm} n_F \left(\xi(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm \ell) + R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm \ell) \right)}{2\xi(\ell) + (ip^0 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m}) + \sum_{\pm} R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm \ell)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1 - 2n_F(\xi(\ell))}{2\xi(\ell)} \right] + R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Since it suffices to calculate the coefficient of p^0 to determine Z_b , let us put $\mathbf{p} = 0$ in (28). In order to find the properties of low-energy collective

excitations, we assume that $k^2/2m$ is much smaller than other energy scales such as the temperature and the Fermi energy. Then, Eq.(28) becomes

$$\frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p^0, \mathbf{0})} = Z_k i p^0 + R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\mu} \cdot k^6 / T^3), \quad (29)$$

where Z_k is given by

$$Z_k = \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tanh \frac{\beta}{2} (\xi(\ell) + R_k^{(f)}(\ell))}{[2(\xi(\ell) + R_k^{(f)}(\ell))]^2}. \quad (30)$$

Since Z_k converges to a finite value in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$, we can set $Z_b = Z_0$ in (22) with

$$Z_0 = \text{p.v.} \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tanh \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \xi(\ell) \right)}{[2\xi(\ell)]^2}. \quad (31)$$

Here, p.v. denotes the principal-value integral. Due to the vertex IR regulator, the collective excitation is also gapped by $k^2/4m$ even when $k^2 \ll 2mT$. The effect of $R_k^{(f)}$ vanishes of the order of k^6 as $k \rightarrow 0$.

In the BEC side where the chemical potential μ is negative, $\xi(\mathbf{q})$ is always positive, so that $R_k^{(f)} = 0$ for $k < \sqrt{2m|\mu|} \sim 1/a_s$. When k is smaller than $\sqrt{2m|\mu|}$, the inverse propagator of the collective excitation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)} &= R_k^{(b)}(p) \\ &- \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{\pm} n_F(\xi(\frac{p}{2} \pm \ell))}{2\xi(\ell) + (ip^0 + \frac{p^2}{4m})} - \frac{1 - 2n_F(\xi(\ell))}{2\xi(\ell)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Setting $Z_b = Z_0$ with Z_0 given in (31), the bosonic excitation becomes gapped by $k^2/4m$. Since the regulator inside the fermion propagator vanishes for small k , the vertex IR regulator plays a key role in the BEC side.

For small k , the k -dependence of $\Gamma_k^{(4)}$ mainly comes from that of the vertex IR regulator so that we find

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \simeq \partial_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(p) \Big|_{k_1=k_2=k} = \left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(p). \quad (33)$$

The neglected term vanishes in the BEC side and is also smaller by a factor $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\mu}k^4/Z_0T^3)$ even for positive $\mu > 0$ in the BCS side. This approximation will play an important role in Sec.4.3 to calculate the momentum dependence of the self-energy.

4.3. Self-energy flow and number density

According to (15) and (17), the flow equation for the self-energy $\Sigma_k(p) = \Sigma_{k,k}(p)$ is given by

$$\partial_k \Sigma_k(p) = - \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \left[\frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l) \partial_k R_k^{(f)}(l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} - \frac{\left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)\right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](l)} \right], \quad (34)$$

where the self-energy corrections in the loop integrals are neglected, while $\Gamma_k^{(4)}$ is given in Sec.4.1.

Let us first analyze the self-energy correction for large k . Since all kinds of excitations are gapped if k is large, the momentum-dependent part of Σ_k must be small. In the BCS side, there are gapped fermions inside the Fermi sphere even when k is large, so that the self-energy itself can be comparable with the chemical potential: It only shifts the chemical potential and does not affect the low-energy dynamics. As a result, its effect on T_c/ε_F is not significant since T_c and ε_F are shifted in the same way.^{14,15} In the BEC side, since the number density becomes exponentially small for large k , so is the self-energy.¹⁶

When k becomes small, we may use the approximation given in (33), which leads to the flow equation of the self-energy (34),

$$\partial_k \Sigma_k(p) = \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \left[-\frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l) \partial_k R_k^{(f)}(l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} + \frac{\partial_k \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](l)} \right]. \quad (35)$$

Since the r.h.s. of (35) is a total derivative in terms of k , the solution is found to be

$$\Sigma_k(p) = \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](l)}. \quad (36)$$

The number density of fermions n can now be evaluated by using the formula (20) with the self-energy in (36). By taking into account the effect

of Σ_0 up to first-order in (20), the number density n is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n &= -2 \int_p^{(T)} G(p) - 2 \int_p^{(T)} G(p)^2 \Sigma_0(p) \\ &= -2 \int_p^{(T)} G(p) + 2 \int_{p,l}^{(T)} e^{-il^0_0^+} G(p)^2 G(l-p) \Gamma_0^{(4)}(l) \\ &= -2 \int_p^{(T)} G(p) + \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0_0^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \Gamma_0^{(4)}(l). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

To obtain the last line of (37), we used the following equality given by taking the μ -derivative of both sides of (26),

$$2 \int_l^{(T)} G(l)^2 G(p-l) = \left(\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p) \right)^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Gamma_0^{(4)}(p). \quad (38)$$

Eq.(37) is nothing but the number equation of the NSR theory, (24), since pp-RPA gives

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p)} = \frac{1}{g} + \Pi(p) = \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} + \left(\Pi(p) - \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2} \right). \quad (39)$$

Thus we could derive the NSR theory from the MR-FRG flow equations: The Thouless criterion (27) and the number equation (37) give the BCS gap equation (23) at $T = T_c$ and the number equation (24) of the NSR theory, respectively. Accordingly, the equations (15-18) turn out to be a minimal setup of MR-FRG to describe the entire region of the BCS-BEC crossover.

4.4. Number equation from the free energy

The same expression as (37) for the number density can be also derived from the free energy evaluated in MR-FRG,

$$n = \frac{-1}{\beta V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Gamma_0(\beta, \mu), \quad (40)$$

with V being the volume of the system, and $\Gamma_0(\beta, \mu)/\beta$ being the free energy obtained as the field-independent part of the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_0[\bar{\psi}, \psi]$.

The equation (10) and the flow equation in Fig.3 with the vertex IR regulator give

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta, \mu)/\beta V = \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})}{[G^{-1} - \Sigma_k + R_k^{(f)}](l)} - \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (41)$$

By taking into account the effect of the self-energy correction in the fermion-loop up to the first order, the flow equation (41) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta, \mu) / \beta V = & \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](l)} + \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\Sigma_k(l)\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} \\ & - \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)\partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Substitution of the explicit form (36) into (42) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta, \mu) / \beta V = & \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})}{[G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](l)} \\ & - \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \left[\int_l^{(T)} \frac{2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} - \mathbf{l})}{\Pi_{\pm}([G^{-1} + R_k^{(f)}](\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \pm l))} + \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\mathbf{p}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Combining (25) and (43), we obtain

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta, \mu) / \beta V = -2 \int_l^{(T)} \partial_k \ln[G^{-1}(l) + R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})] - \int_p^{(T)} \partial_k \ln \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p). \quad (44)$$

Since both sides of (44) are total derivatives in terms of k , we obtain the following expression for $\Gamma_k(\beta, \mu)$ up to a μ independent constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k(\beta, \mu) / \beta V = & -2 \int_l^{(T)} \ln[G^{-1}(l) + R_k^{(f)}(\mathbf{l})] \\ & + \int_p^{(T)} \ln \left[\frac{4\pi a_s}{m} \left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \right)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

By combining (40) and (45), we obtain the same expression as (37).

5. Summary and perspectives

In this paper, we proposed a new formulation of fermionic FRG with multiple infrared regulators, MR-FRG, and applied the method to the two-component fermionic system which exhibits the BCS-BEC crossover. To control both the fermionic one-particle excitations and the bosonic collective excitations, two infrared (IR) regulators were introduced; one for the fermionic two-point function and another for the four-fermion vertex. The Nozières-Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory, which is successful to capture the qualitative features of the BCS-BEC crossover, can be derived from MR-FRG.

There are various possibilities to improve the approximations adopted in MR-FRG to go beyond the NSR theory. Solving the coupled flow equations for the four-point vertex and the self-energy is necessary when the magnitude of the self-energy becomes comparable with that of the chemical potential. The frequency dependence of the four-point function and the higher-point vertex functions would play significant roles in such a situation.^{14,15} (Importance of the frequency dependences is also suggested from the study of unitary Fermi gas in the spin-imbalanced case.²³)

In the BCS regime ($(k_F a_s)^{-1} \ll -1$), each closed fermion loop for Feynman diagram in the flow equation produces a factor $n_k \sim k_F^3/3\pi^2$, so that the number of closed loops is a good expansion parameter. This is similar to the hole-line expansion of the Bethe-Brueckner-Goldstone (BBG) theory²⁴ for degenerate fermion system: A formal connection between fermionic FRG and the BBG theory will be reported elsewhere. In the BCS regime, the particle-hole loops in the flow of the four-point coupling is also important to generate the Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov correction which reduces the critical temperature by a factor 2.2 from the BCS critical temperature.¹³⁻¹⁵ The six- or higher-point vertices must be also important to consider the dynamics of collective bosonic excitations in the BCS regime.

In the BEC regime ($(k_F a_s)^{-1} \gg +1$), the real part of the fermion propagator is always positive and there are no hole excitations. Nevertheless, the interaction between composite bosons takes place through the fermion closed loops, so that the critical temperature and the number density are affected through the self-energy and the four-point vertex function.

Since the present formulation provides a good starting point to make systematic analysis of the interacting fermion systems, we expect it to be useful not only for cold atomic systems but also for strongly interacting nuclear/neutron matter and for quark matter.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for useful comments by Gergely Fejős. T. H. thanks late Gerry Brown for his stimulating discussions on various aspects of quantum many-body problems in nuclear, hadron and particle physics. Y. T. was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. This work was partially supported by RIKEN iTHES project and by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan. This work was completed at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1066293.

References

1. C. Wetterich, Exact evolution equation for the effective potential, *Physics Letters B.* **301**(1), 90–94 (1993).
2. T. R. Morris, The exact renormalization group and approximate solutions, *International Journal of Modern Physics A.* **9**(14), 2411–2450 (1994).
3. U. Ellwanger, Flow equations for n point functions and bound states, *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields.* **62**(3), 503–510 (1994).
4. K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, The renormalization group and the ϵ -expansion* 1, *Physics Reports.* **12**(2), 75–199 (1974).
5. R. Shankar, Renormalization-group approach to interacting fermions, *Reviews of Modern Physics.* **66**(1), 129 (1994).
6. M. Salmhofer and C. Honerkamp, Fermionic renormalization group flows: Technique and theory, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **105**, 1–35 (2001).
7. W. Metzner, M. Salmhofer, C. Honerkamp, V. Meden, and K. Schönhammer, Functional renormalization group approach to correlated fermion systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 299–352 (2012).
8. M. C. Birse, B. Krippa, J. A. McGovern, and N. R. Walet, Pairing in many-fermion systems: an exact renormalisation group treatment, *Physics Letters B.* **605**(3), 287–294 (2005).
9. S. Floerchinger, M. Scherer, S. Diehl, and C. Wetterich, Particle-hole fluctuations in bcs-bec crossover, *Phys. Rev. B.* **78**, 174528 (2008).
10. S. Floerchinger, M. M. Scherer, and C. Wetterich, Modified fermi sphere, pairing gap, and critical temperature for the bcs-bec crossover, *Phys. Rev. A.* **81**, 063619 (2010).
11. D. M. Eagles, Possible pairing without superconductivity at low carrier concentrations in bulk and thin-film superconducting semiconductors, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 456–463 (1969).
12. A. Leggett. Diatomic molecules and cooper pairs. In *Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter*, vol. 115, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pp. 13–27, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1980).
13. L. Gorkov and T. Melik-Barkhudarov, Contribution to the theory of superfluidity in an imperfect fermi gas, *Sov. Phys. JETP.* **13**(5), 1018 (1961).
14. Y. Tanizaki, G. Fejős, and T. Hatsuda, Fermionic Functional Renormalization Group Approach to Superfluid Phase Transition, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, 043I01 (2014).
15. Y. Tanizaki, G. Fejős, and T. Hatsuda, Functional renormalization group approach to conventional theory of superfluidity and beyond, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **529**, 012027 (8, 2014).
16. Y. Tanizaki, Fermionic Functional Renormalization Group Approach to Bose-Einstein Condensation of Dimers, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, 023A04 (2014).
17. P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, Bose condensation in an attractive fermion gas: From weak to strong coupling superconductivity, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics.* **59**(3), 195–211 (1985).
18. D. Thouless, Perturbation theory in statistical mechanics and the theory of

- superconductivity, *Annals of Physics*. **10**(4), 553–588 (1960).
19. D. Litim, Optimisation of the exact renormalisation group, *Physics Letters B*. **486**(1-2), 92–99 (2000).
 20. D. Litim, Optimized renormalization group flows, *Physical Review D*. **64**, 105007 (2001).
 21. J. M. Pawłowski, Aspects of the functional renormalisation group, *Annals of Physics*. **322**(12), 2831–2915 (2007).
 22. P. Stevenson, Optimized perturbation theory, *Physical Review D*. **23**(12), 2916 (1981).
 23. R. Schmidt and T. Enss, Excitation spectra and rf response near the polaron-to-molecule transition from the functional renormalization group, *Phys. Rev. A*. **83**, 063620 (2011).
 24. B. D. Day, Elements of the Brueckner-Goldstone Theory of Nuclear Matter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39**, 719–744 (1967).