

ADHM DATA FOR THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS OF THE TOTAL SPACE OF $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$

CLAUDIO BARTOCCI,[¶] UGO BRUZZO,^{§‡}
VALERIANO LANZA[¶] AND CLAUDIO L. S. RAVA[¶]

[¶]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova,
Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italia

[§]Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati,
Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italia

[‡]Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste

ABSTRACT. Relying on a monadic description of the moduli space of framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces, we construct ADHM data for the Hilbert scheme of points of the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 .

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Statement of the Main Theorem	4
3.	Glueing ADHM data	6
4.	Proof of the Main Theorem	9
5.	Some geometrical constructions	15
	Appendix A. Proofs of Propositions 3.2 and 3.4	16
	References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective irreducible surface over \mathbb{C} . The Hilbert scheme of points $\text{Hilb}^c(X)$, which parameterizes 0-dimensional subschemes of X of length c , is well known to be quasi-projective [7] and smooth of dimension $2c$ [5]; indeed, the so-called

Date: September 18, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D20; 14D21; 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert schemes of points, monads, ADHM data.

Hilbert-Chow morphism $\text{Hilb}^c(X) \longrightarrow S^c X$ onto the c -th symmetric product of X is a resolution of singularities. Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces were extensively studied from many perspectives over the past two decades (see e.g. [12, 9, 11]), however there are relatively few cases in which they are susceptible of an explicit description. Arguably, the most significant examples are the spaces $\text{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{C}^2)$, which can be described by means of linear data, the so-called ADHM (Atiyah-Drinfel'd-Hitchin-Manin) data [12]. Also the Hilbert schemes of points of multi-blowups of \mathbb{C}^2 admit an ADHM description, as provided by the work of A.A. Henni [8] specialized to the rank one case.

The goal of this paper is to provide an ADHM-type construction for the Hilbert schemes of points over the total space $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . These spaces are the rank 1 case of the moduli spaces of framed sheaves of the Hirzebruch surface Σ_n (by framing to the trivial bundle on a divisor linearly equivalent to the section of $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of positive self-intersection) which were studied in [3, 2]. These modules spaces were considered in physics in connection with the so-called D4-D2-D0 brane system in topological string theory (cf. [13, 1] and [3] for a concise discussion).

To construct the ADHM data for the Hilbert scheme of points of $\text{Hilb}^c(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)))$ we identify it with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c)$ of framed sheaves on the Hirzebruch surface Σ_n that have rank 1, vanishing first Chern class, and second Chern class $c_2 = c$, and exploit the description of $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c)$ in terms of monads given in [2]. Theorem 2.1 states that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c)$ is isomorphic to the quotient $P^n(c)/\text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$, where $P^n(c)$ is a quasi-affine variety contained in the linear space $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus n+2} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})$. This result relies on the fact that the partial quotient $P^n(c)/\text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ can be assembled glueing $c+1$ open sets, each one isomorphic to the space of ADHM data for $\text{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (Theorem 3.1). Since the proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the description of the moduli spaces of framed sheaves on Σ_n worked out in [2], for the reader's convenience we briefly recall here the fundamental ingredients of that construction.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by PRIN “Geometria delle varietà algebriche”, by the University of Genoa's project “Aspetti matematici della teoria dei campi interagenti e quantizzazione per deformazione” and by GNSAGA-INDAM. U.B. is a member of the VBAC group.

Background material. Let Σ_n be the n -th Hirzebruch surface, i.e., the projective closure of the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$; we restrict ourselves to the case $n > 0$. We denote by F the class in $\text{Pic}(\Sigma_n)$ of the fibre of the natural ruling $\Sigma_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, by H the class of the section of the ruling squaring to n , and by E the class of the section squaring

to $-n$. We fix a curve $\ell_\infty \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ in Σ_n linearly equivalent to H and think of it as the “line at infinity”.

A framed sheaf on Σ_n is a pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) , where \mathcal{E} is a torsion-free sheaf that is trivial along ℓ_∞ , and $\theta: \mathcal{E}|_{\ell_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}^{\oplus r}$ is a fixed isomorphism, r being the rank of \mathcal{E} . A morphism between the framed sheaves (\mathcal{E}, θ) , (\mathcal{E}', θ') is by definition a morphism $\Lambda: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ such that $\theta' \circ \Lambda|_{\ell_\infty} = \theta$. The moduli space parameterizing isomorphism classes of framed sheaves (\mathcal{E}, θ) on Σ_n with $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = (r, aE, -c - \frac{1}{2}na^2)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$. We assume that the framed sheaves are normalized in such a way that $0 \leq a \leq r - 1$.

A description of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$ in terms of monads was provided in [2], generalizing work by Buchdahl [4]. If $[(\mathcal{E}, \theta)]$ lies in $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$, the sheaf \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to the cohomology of a monad

$$(1.1) \quad M(\alpha, \beta) : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}} \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\vec{k} = (n, r, a, c)$; in others words, the terms of (1.1) depend only on the Chern character of \mathcal{E} . More precisely, if we put

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} k_1 = c + \frac{1}{2}na(a-1) \\ k_2 = k_1 + na \\ k_3 = k_1 + (n-1)a \\ k_4 = k_1 + r - a , \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}} := \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, -1)^{\oplus k_1} \\ \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}} := \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, -1)^{\oplus k_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}^{\oplus k_4} \\ \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}} := \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0)^{\oplus k_3} . \end{cases}$$

This procedure yields a map

$$(1.3) \quad (\mathcal{E}, \theta) \longmapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}) ,$$

whose image $L_{\vec{k}}$ is a smooth variety, which can be completely characterized by imposing suitable conditions on the pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}})$ [2, §2]. One can construct a principal $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle $P_{\vec{k}}$ over $L_{\vec{k}}$ whose fibre over a point (α, β) is naturally identified with the space of framings for the cohomology of the complex (1.1). Hence, the map (1.3) can be lifted to a map

$$(\mathcal{E}, \theta) \longmapsto \theta \in P_{\vec{k}} .$$

The algebraic group $G_{\bar{k}} = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{V}_{\bar{k}}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{W}_{\bar{k}})$ of isomorphisms of monads of the form (1.1) acts freely on $P_{\bar{k}}$, and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$ can be described as the quotient $P_{\bar{k}}/G_{\bar{k}}$ [2, Theorem 3.4]. This space is nonempty if and only if $c + \frac{1}{2}na(a-1) \geq 0$, and, in this case, is a smooth algebraic variety of dimension $rc + (r-1)na^2$.

If the sheaf \mathcal{E} has rank $r = 1$, by normalizing we can assume $a = 0$. Hence, the double dual \mathcal{E}^{**} of \mathcal{E} , being locally free with $c_1(\mathcal{E}^{**}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, is isomorphic to structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_{Σ_n} . As a consequence, since \mathcal{E} is trivial on ℓ_∞ , the correspondence

$$\mathcal{E} \longmapsto \text{schematic support of } \mathcal{E}^{**}/\mathcal{E}$$

yields an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c) \simeq \text{Hilb}^c(\Sigma_n \setminus \ell_\infty) = \text{Hilb}^c(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))).$$

In the following, we shall denote the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c)$ simply by $\mathcal{M}^n(c)$.

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We call $P^n(c)$ the subset of the vector space $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus n+2} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})$ whose points $(A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(P1)

$$\begin{cases} A_1 C_1 A_2 = A_2 C_1 A_1 & \text{when } n = 1 \\ \begin{cases} A_1 C_q = A_2 C_{q+1} \\ C_q A_1 = C_{q+1} A_2 \end{cases} & \text{for } q = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{when } n > 1 \end{cases};$$

(P2) there exists $[\nu_1, \nu_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\det(\nu_1 A_1 + \nu_2 A_2) \neq 0$;

(P3) for all values of the parameters $([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], (\mu_1, \mu_2)) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\lambda_1^n \mu_1 + \lambda_2^n \mu_2 = 0$$

there is no nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^c$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_2 A_1 + \lambda_1 A_2) v = 0 \\ (C_1 A_2 + \mu_1 \mathbf{1}_c) v = 0 \\ (C_n A_1 + (-1)^{n-1} \mu_2 \mathbf{1}_c) v = 0 \\ ev = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define an action of $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ on $P^n(c)$ by the equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} C_j & \mapsto \phi_1 C_j \phi_2^{-1} & j = 1, \dots, n \\ A_i & \mapsto \phi_2 A_i \phi_1^{-1} & i = 1, 2 \\ e & \mapsto e \phi_1^{-1} \end{cases} \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *There is an isomorphism of complex varieties*

$$P^n(c) / \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2} \simeq \mathcal{M}^n(c) = \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))),$$

and $P^n(c)$ is a locally trivial principal $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -bundle over $\mathcal{M}^n(c)$.

2.1. A consistency check. Before proving Theorem 2.1 we check its consistency in the simplest case $c = 1$, by verifying that the quotient $P^n(1)/(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 2}$ is isomorphic to the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$. Indeed, one has $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)) \simeq \tilde{T}_n/\mathbb{C}^*$, where

$$\tilde{T}_n = \{((y_1, y_2), (u_1, u_2)) \in (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mid u_1 y_1^n = u_2 y_2^n\}$$

and the \mathbb{C}^* -action is

$$\begin{cases} (y_1, y_2) & \mapsto \lambda(y_1, y_2) \\ (u_1, u_2) & \mapsto (u_1, u_2) \end{cases} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

(cf. eq. (3.1)).

Proposition 2.2. $P^n(1)/(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 2} \simeq \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))$.

Proof. When $c = 1$, the matrices $(A_1, A_2, C_1, \dots, C_n, e)$ are complex numbers, and condition (P2) is equivalent to requiring that $(A_1, A_2) \neq (0, 0)$. When $n = 1$ condition (P1) is identically satisfied, while when $n > 1$ it is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} C_q = \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1}\right)^{n-q} C_n & \text{for } q = 1, \dots, n-1 & \text{if } A_1 \neq 0 \\ C_q = \left(\frac{A_1}{A_2}\right)^{q-1} C_1 & \text{for } q = 2, \dots, n & \text{if } A_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Using these equations it is possible to show that condition (P3) reduces to $e \neq 0$. By acting with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 2}$ we can fix $e = 1$, and the maximal subgroup preserving this condition is clearly $\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. We introduce the variety

$$\tilde{Y}_n = \{((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) \in (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x_1 y_1^{n-1} = x_2 y_2^{n-1}\},$$

with $n \geq 1$, and we let \mathbb{C}^* act on \tilde{Y}_n as follows:

$$\begin{cases} (y_1, y_2) & \mapsto \lambda(y_1, y_2) \\ (x_1, x_2) & \mapsto \lambda^{-1}(x_1, x_2) \end{cases} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

We cover \tilde{Y}_n with the two \mathbb{C}^* -invariant subsets $\tilde{Y}_{n,i} = \{y_i \neq 0\}$, and analogously we cover $P^n(1)$ with the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 2}$ -invariant subsets $P^n(1)_i = \{A_i \neq 0\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Next, we define the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_{n,i} &\longrightarrow P^n(1)_i \\ ((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \left(y_1, y_2, \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)^{n-1} x_2, \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)^{n-2} x_2, \dots, x_2, 1 \right) & i = 1 \\ \left(y_1, y_2, x_1, \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right) x_1, \dots, \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^{n-1} x_1, 1 \right) & i = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

These glue together providing a \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant closed immersion $\tilde{Y}_n \hookrightarrow P^n(1)$, which induces an isomorphism

$$P^n(1)/(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 2} \simeq \tilde{Y}_n/\mathbb{C}^*.$$

Finally, the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_n &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \\ ((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) &\longmapsto ((y_1, y_2), (u_1, u_2)) = ((y_1, y_2), (x_1 y_2, x_2 y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

establishes the required isomorphism. \square

3. GLUEING ADHM DATA

In this section we provide an ADHM description for each open set of a suitable open cover of $\mathcal{M}^n(c)$. If we fix $c + 1$ distinct fibres $f_0, \dots, f_c \in |F|$, for any $[(\mathcal{E}, \theta)] \in \mathcal{M}^n(c)$ there exists at least one $m \in \{0, \dots, c\}$ such that $\mathcal{E}|_{f_m} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{f_m}$. With this in mind, we choose the fibres f_m cut in

$$(3.1) \quad \Sigma_n = \{([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2, x_3]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x_1 y_1^n = x_2 y_2^n\}$$

by the equations

$$f_m = \{[y_1, y_2] = [c_m, s_m]\} \quad m = 0, \dots, c$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad c_m = \cos\left(\pi \frac{m}{c+1}\right), \quad s_m = \sin\left(\pi \frac{m}{c+1}\right).$$

Then we get an open cover $\{\mathcal{M}^n(c)_m\}_{m=0}^c$ for $\mathcal{M}^n(c)$ by letting

$$\mathcal{M}^n(c)_m := \left\{ [(\mathcal{E}, \theta)] \in \mathcal{M}^n(c) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{the restricted sheaf } \mathcal{E}|_{f_m} \\ \text{is isomorphic to } \mathcal{O}_{f_m} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Each of these spaces is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of points of \mathbb{C}^2 , so that it admits the ADHM description [12], which we briefly recall. The variety $\mathcal{T}(c)$ of ADHM data is defined as the space of triples $(b_1, b_2, e) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(T1) \quad [b_1, b_2] = 0;$$

(T2) for all $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ there is no nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^c$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (b_1 + z\mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ (b_2 + w\mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ ev = 0. \end{cases}$$

A $\text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -action on $\mathcal{T}(c)$ is naturally defined as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} b_i \mapsto \phi b_i \phi^{-1} & i = 1, 2 \\ e \mapsto e \phi^{-1} \end{cases} \quad \phi \in \text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}).$$

The ADHM data for the open set $\mathcal{M}^n(c)_m$ will be denoted by (b_{1m}, b_{2m}, e_m) ; the transition functions on the intersections $\mathcal{M}^n(c)_{ml} = \mathcal{M}^n(c)_m \cap \mathcal{M}^n(c)_l$ are explicitly described in the next Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The intersection $\mathcal{M}^n(c)_{ml} = \mathcal{M}^n(c)_m \cap \mathcal{M}^n(c)_l$ is characterized by the condition*

$$\det(c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + s_{m-l}b_{1l}) \neq 0 \quad (\text{or, equivalently, } \det(c_{l-m}\mathbf{1}_c + s_{l-m}b_{1m}) \neq 0),$$

where c_m and s_m are the numbers defined in eq. (3.2). On any of these intersections, the ADHM data are related by the transition functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{lm}: \mathcal{M}^n(c)_{ml} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^n(c)_{ml} \\ [(b_{1m}, b_{2m}, e_m)] &\longmapsto [(b_{1l}, b_{2l}, e_l)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} b_{1l} = (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_{1m})^{-1} (s_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + c_{m-l}b_{1m}) \\ b_{2l} = (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_{1m})^n b_{2m} \\ e_l = e_m. \end{cases}$$

To prove Theorem 3.1 we observe that $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ can be embedded as a closed subgroup of $G_{\vec{k}}$ by means of the homomorphism

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota: \quad \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow G_{\vec{k}} \\ \phi &\longmapsto \left({}^t\phi^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} {}^t\phi^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t\phi^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, {}^t\phi^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi: P_{\vec{k}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^n(c)$ be the canonical projection. The open subsets

$$P_{\vec{k},m} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^n(c)_m), \quad m = 0, \dots, c,$$

provide a $G_{\vec{k}}$ -invariant open cover of $P_{\vec{k}}$; $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ acts on each $P_{\vec{k},m}$ via the immersion (3.4).

Proposition 3.2. *There are $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant closed immersions*

$$j_m: \mathcal{T}(c) \hookrightarrow P_{\vec{k},m} \quad \text{for } m = 0, \dots, c.$$

These induce isomorphisms

$$(3.5) \quad \eta_m: \mathcal{T}(c)/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow P_{\vec{k},m}/G_{\vec{k}} \simeq \mathcal{M}^n(c)_m \quad \text{for } m = 0, \dots, c.$$

Proof. See Section A.3. □

We introduce the open subsets

$$\mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l} = j_m^{-1}(\mathrm{Im} j_m \cap P_{\vec{k},l}) \quad \text{for } m, l = 0, \dots, c.$$

Lemma 3.3. $\mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l} = \{(b_1, b_2, e) \in \mathcal{T}(c) \mid \det(c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_1) \neq 0\}$.

Proof. The intersection $\mathrm{Im} j_m \cap P_{\vec{k},l}$ is the set of points $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \in \mathrm{Im} j_m$ such that $\det(\beta_1|_{f_l}) \neq 0$, where β_1 is the first component of β . From the fact that $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \in \mathrm{Im} j_m$ it follows that

$$\beta_1 = \mathbf{1}_c y_{1m} + {}^t b_1 y_{2m} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c & {}^t b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{1m} \\ y_{2m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c & {}^t b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_m & s_m \\ -s_m & c_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $[y_1, y_2] = [c_l, s_l]$ along f_l , the thesis follows. □

Proposition 3.4. *The map*

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{lm}: \mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(c)_{l,m}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ e \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_1)^{-1} (s_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + c_{m-l}b_1) \\ (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_1)^n b_2 \\ e \end{pmatrix}$$

is $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant, and induces an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{lm}: \mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l}/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(c)_{l,m}/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}),$$

such that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l}/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{lm}} & \mathcal{T}(c)_{l,m}/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow \eta_{m,l} & \downarrow \eta_{l,m} \\ & & \mathcal{M}^n(c)_{ml} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\eta_{m,l}$ is the restriction of η_m to $\mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l}/\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ (see eq. (3.5)).

Proof. See Section A.4. □

Theorem 3.1 is now a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We introduce the matrices

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{1m} &= c_m A_1 - s_m A_2, \\ A_{2m} &= s_m A_1 + c_m A_2, \\ E_m &= \left[\sum_{q=1}^n \binom{n-1}{q-1} c_m^{n-q} s_m^{q-1} C_q \right] A_{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

for $m = 0, \dots, c$. Since the polynomial $\det(A_1\nu_1 + A_2\nu_2)$ has at most c distinct roots in \mathbb{P}^1 , the $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -invariant open subsets

$$(4.2) \quad P^n(c)_m = \{(A_1, A_2, C_1, \dots, C_n, e) \in P^n(c) \mid \det A_{2m} \neq 0\}, \quad m = 0, \dots, c,$$

cover $P^n(c)$. On $P^n(c)_m$ one can also define the matrix

$$(4.3) \quad B_m = A_{2m}^{-1} A_{1m}.$$

The matrices (B_m, E_m, A_{2m}, e) provide local affine coordinates for $P^n(c)$.

Proposition 4.1. *The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m: \quad P^n(c)_m &\longrightarrow [\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})] \times \text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) \\ (A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e) &\longmapsto (B_m, E_m, e; A_{2m}) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism onto $\mathcal{T}(c) \times \text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$. The induced $\text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -action is given by

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} B_m &\longmapsto \phi_1 B_m \phi_1^{-1} \\ E_m &\longmapsto \phi_1 E_m \phi_1^{-1} \\ A_{2m} &\longmapsto \phi_2 A_{2m} \phi_1^{-1} \\ e &\longmapsto e \phi_1^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

We divide the proof of this Proposition into several steps. First we define the matrices $\sigma_m^h = (\sigma_{m;pq}^h)_{0 \leq p, q \leq h}$ for all $h \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by means of the equations

$$(4.5) \quad (s_m \mu_1 + c_m \mu_2)^p (c_m \mu_1 - s_m \mu_2)^{h-p} = \sum_{q=0}^h \sigma_{m;pq}^h \mu_2^q \mu_1^{h-q}$$

for any $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $p = 0, \dots, h$. Notice that $\sigma_m^h \sigma_l^h = \sigma_{m+l}^h$ and $\sigma_0^h = \mathbf{1}_{h+1}$. In particular, σ_m^h is invertible for all $h \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To prove the injectivity of ζ_m — which is trivial only when $n = 1$ — we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume $n > 1$. If the matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)$ satisfy the condition (P2), the system*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & A_1 & -A_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

with $C_q \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)$, has maximal rank, namely, $(n-1)c^2$. In particular, if $\det A_{2m} \neq 0$, the general solution is

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_m^{n-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_c) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c \\ B_m \\ \vdots \\ B_m^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} D_m,$$

where we have chosen as free parameter the matrix

$$(4.7) \quad D_m = \sum_{q=1}^n \binom{n-1}{q-1} c_m^{n-q} s_m^{q-1} C_q.$$

Proof. First we show by induction that the $(n-1)c \times nc$ matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & A_1 & -A_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{A}'_n = \begin{pmatrix} -{}^t A_2 & {}^t A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -{}^t A_2 & {}^t A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

have maximal rank for all $n > 1$. For $n = 2$ condition (P2) ensures the existence of a point $[\nu_1, \nu_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\det(A_1\nu_1 + A_2\nu_2) \neq 0$; it follows that the columns of A_1 and A_2 span a vector space of dimension c , so that $\text{rk } \mathcal{A}_2 = c$. The case of \mathcal{A}'_2 is analogous.

Assume that the claim holds true for some $k > 1$, and observe that

$$\mathcal{A}_{k+1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} A_1 & & 0 \\ 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 0 \\ 0 & & -A_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} {}^t \mathcal{A}'_k \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -A_2 \end{array} \right).$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^{(k+1)c}$, and decompose it as

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \updownarrow c \\ \uparrow (k-1)c \\ \updownarrow c \end{array}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}v = 0$, we get

$$\begin{cases} A_1 v_1 + {}^t \mathcal{A}'_k v_2 = 0 \\ {}^t \mathcal{A}'_k v_2 = 0 \\ {}^t \mathcal{A}'_k v_2 - A_2 v_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\ker {}^t \mathcal{A}'_k = 0$ by inductive hypothesis, it follows that \mathcal{A}_{k+1} has maximal rank. The case of \mathcal{A}'_{k+1} is analogous. Eq. (4.6) is checked by direct computation and eq. (4.7) is obtained by using the invertibility of σ_m^{n-1} . \square

Since $E_m = D_m A_{2m}$, the morphism ζ_m is injective.

Next we prove that $\text{Im } \zeta_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}(c) \times \text{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ via the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *For all $(B_m, E_m, e; A_{2m}) \in \text{Im } \zeta_m$ one has*

$$[B_m, E_m] = 0.$$

Proof. For all $n \geq 1$ condition (P1) implies that

$$A_1 C_q A_2 - A_2 C_q A_1 = 0 \quad \text{for } q = 1, \dots, n.$$

By recalling eqs. (4.1) and (4.3), the thesis follows from the identity

$$A_1 C A_2 - A_2 C A_1 = A_{1m} C A_{2m} - A_{2m} C A_{1m},$$

which holds true for all $C \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)$ and for $m = 0, \dots, c$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus(n+2)} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})$ be an $(n+3)$ -tuple which satisfies the condition (P1) and $\det A_{2m} \neq 0$. Then*

- if $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2] = [c_m, s_m]$, the condition (P3) is trivially satisfied;
- if $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \neq [c_m, s_m]$, the condition (P3) holds true if and only if the condition (T2) holds true for the triple (B_m, E_m, e) .

Proof. One has

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda_2 A_1 + \lambda_1 A_2 = \begin{cases} \lambda A_{2m} & \text{if } [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] = [c_m, s_m] \\ \lambda A_{2m}(B_m + z \mathbf{1}_c) & \text{if } [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \neq [c_m, s_m] \end{cases}$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$, where

$$z = \frac{c_m \lambda_1 + s_m \lambda_2}{-s_m \lambda_1 + c_m \lambda_2}.$$

This proves the first statement. As for the second statement, eq. (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= (c_m \mathbf{1}_c - s_m B_m)^{n-1} E_m A_{2m}^{-1} \\ C_n &= (s_m \mathbf{1}_c + c_m B_m)^{n-1} E_m A_{2m}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, whenever $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \neq [c_m, s_m]$, the condition $\lambda_1^n \mu_1 + \lambda_2^n \mu_2 = 0$ is satisfied if and only if

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \mu_1 = (s_m z + c_m)^n w \\ \mu_2 = -(c_m z + s_m)^n w \end{cases}$$

for some $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Eqs. (4.8) and (4.9) show the equivalence of the following systems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\lambda_2 A_1 + \lambda_1 A_2)v = 0 \\ (C_1 A_2 + \mu_1 \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ (C_n A_1 + (-1)^{n-1} \mu_2 \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \end{array} \right. \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B_m + z \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ (s_m z + c_m)^n (E_m + w \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ (-c_m z + s_m)^n (E_m + w \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Since the polynomials $s_m z + c_m$ and $-c_m z + s_m$ are coprime in $\mathbb{C}[z]$, the right-hand system is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B_m + z \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0 \\ (E_m + w \mathbf{1}_c)v = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

□

Finally we prove that $\mathcal{T}(c) \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{Im} \zeta_m$. Let $(b_1, b_2, e; A) \in \mathcal{T}(c) \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$; if we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= A(c_m b_1 + s_m \mathbf{1}_c), \\ A_2 &= A(-s_m b_1 + c_m \mathbf{1}_c), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_m^{n-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_c) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_1^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} b_2 A^{-1},$$

then $(A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e) \in P^n(c)_m$ and $\zeta_m(A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e) = (b_1, b_2, e; A)$. It is an easy matter to verify by substitution that the condition (P1) holds. Notice now that by substituting (4.10) into eq. (4.1) one gets

$$A_{1m} = A b_1 \quad , \quad A_{2m} = A \quad , \quad E_m = b_2.$$

This shows that A_{2m} is invertible, and in particular the condition (P2) holds true. By eq. (4.3) one has that $B_m = b_1$, so that the validity of the condition (P3) follows from the condition (T2) by Lemma 4.4. This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

We now compute the transition functions on the intersections $P^n(c)_{ml} = P^n(c)_m \cap P^n(c)_l$, for $m, l = 0, \dots, c$. First observe that

$$\zeta_m(P^n(c)_{ml}) = \mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l} \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}).$$

This fact is a consequence of the identity

$$(4.11) \quad A_{2l} = \begin{pmatrix} s_l \mathbf{1}_c & c_l \mathbf{1}_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \mathbf{1}_c & s_m \mathbf{1}_c \\ -s_m \mathbf{1}_c & c_m \mathbf{1}_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{1m} \\ A_{2m} \end{pmatrix} = A_{2m}(c_{m-l} \mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l} B_m).$$

Proposition 4.5. *One has the commutative triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^n(c)_{ml} & \\ \zeta_{m,l} \swarrow & & \searrow \zeta_{l,m} \\ \mathcal{T}(c)_{m,l} \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{lm}} & \mathcal{T}(c)_{l,m} \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

where $\zeta_{m,l}$ and $\zeta_{l,m}$ are the restrictions of ζ_m and ζ_l , respectively, and

$$\omega_{lm}(B_m, E_m, e; A_{2m}) = (\tilde{\varphi}_{lm}(B_m, E_m, e), A_{2m}(c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}B_m)),$$

the functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{lm}$ being defined as in Proposition 3.4. The transition functions ω_{lm} are $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -equivariant.

Proof. We want to express $(B_l, E_l, e; A_{2l})$ in terms of $(B_m, E_m, e; A_{2m})$. We already have eq. (4.11); analogously, one can prove $A_{1l} = A_{2m}(s_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + c_{m-l}B_m)$. From that, it follows that $B_l = (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}B_m)^{-1}(s_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + c_{m-l}B_m)$.

As for E_l , one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_l &= \left[\sum_{p=1}^n \sigma_{-l;0,p-1}^{n-1} C_p \right] A_{2l} = \\ &= \left[\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{m-l;0p}^{n-1} B_m^p \right] E_m A_{2m}^{-1} A_{2l} = \\ &= (c_{l-m}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{l-m}B_m)^n E_m, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used eq. (4.6), the relation $\sigma_{m-l}^{n-1} = \sigma_{-l}^{n-1} \sigma_m^{n-1}$ and Lemma 4.3.

The equivariance of ω_{lm} is straightforward, and this completes the proof. \square

By Proposition 4.1 and Lemma A.1 the immersion $\mathcal{T}(c) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(c) \times \{\mathbf{1}_c\}$ induces an isomorphism

$$P^n(c)_m / \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2} \simeq \mathcal{T}(c) / \Delta,$$

where $\Delta \subset \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ is the diagonal subgroup. By comparing eqs. (3.3) and (4.4), it turns out that $\mathcal{T}(c) / \Delta = \mathcal{T}(c) / \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$. It follows that

$$P^n(c)_m / \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2} \simeq \mathcal{M}^n(c)_m.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{T}(c)$ is a principal $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over $\mathcal{T}(c) / \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ [12]. Now, by Proposition 4.1 there is an isomorphism $P^n(c)_m \simeq \mathcal{T}(c) \times \mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ which is well-behaved

with respect to the group actions; as a consequence, $P^n(c)_m$ is a locally trivial principal $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -bundle over $\mathcal{M}^n(c)_m$. Finally, Propositions 3.4 and 4.5 ensure that this property globalizes, in the sense that $P^n(c)$ is a locally trivial principal $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ -bundle and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

5. SOME GEOMETRICAL CONSTRUCTIONS

The projection $q_n: \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a morphism

$$p_{n,c}: \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^c$$

defined as the composition

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n,c}} S^c \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)) \xrightarrow{q_n^{(c)}} S^c \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^c,$$

where $\pi_{n,c}$ is the Hilbert-Chow morphism. This morphism can be described in terms of ADHM data, as the following result essentially shows. Let $N(c)$ be the space of pairs (A_1, A_2) of $c \times c$ complex matrices satisfying property (P2), see the beginning of Section 2. The group $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ acts on $N(c)$ as in equation (2.1).

Proposition 5.1. *There is a commutative diagram of morphisms of schemes*

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P^n(c) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n))) \\ h_{n,c} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{n,c} \\ N(c) & \xrightarrow{g_c} & \mathbb{P}^c, \end{array}$$

where g_c is the categorical quotient (in the sense of geometric invariant theory), and $h_{n,c}$, with reference to the notation in the beginning of Section 2, is the morphism

$$h_{n,c}(A_1, A_2; C_1, \dots, C_n; e) = (A_1, A_2).$$

Proof. We introduce the open affine cover $\{U_m\}_{m=0,\dots,c}$ of \mathbb{P}^c

$$U_m = \{[x_0, \dots, x_c] \in \mathbb{P}^c \mid \sum_{p=0}^c \sigma_{m;p}^c x_p \neq 0\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^c,$$

where the matrices σ_m^c are defined in (4.5). The inverse images $N_m = g_c^{-1}(U_m)$ yield an affine open cover of $N(c)$. The open subsets $h_{n,c}^{-1}(N_m) \subset P^n(c)$ are exactly the sets $P^n(c)_m$ defined in equation (4.2). The composition $g_c \circ h_{n,c}$ on $P^n(c)_m$ can be identified with the map that to the quadruple $(B_m, E_m, e; A_{2m})$ (cf. Proposition 4.1) associates the evaluation of the symmetric elementary functions on the eigenvalues of B_m . Since checking

the commutativity of the diagram (5.1) is a local matter, and locally our ADHM data coincide with those for the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{C}^2 as in [12], we can proceed as in [12, p. 10]. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 3.2 AND 3.4

In this Appendix, after giving some preliminary results, we provide proofs of Propositions 3.2 and 3.4.

A.1. A lemma about quotients. If X is a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} with a (left) action $\gamma: X \times G \rightarrow X \times X$ of a complex algebraic group G , the set-theoretical quotient X/G has a natural structure of ringed space induced by the quotient map $q: X \rightarrow X/G$. If the action is free, and the image of the morphism γ is closed, X/G is a smooth algebraic variety, and the pair $(X/G, p)$ is a geometric quotient of X modulo G . More precisely, X is a (locally isotrivial) principle G -bundle over X/G . A proof of this fact was given in [2, Thm. 5.1].

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} , let Y be a smooth closed subvariety; moreover let G be a complex linear algebraic group, and H a closed subgroup. Assume that G acts on X and H on Y so that the inclusion $j: Y \hookrightarrow X$ is H -equivariant. We consider the quotients $q: X \rightarrow X/G$ and $p: Y \rightarrow Y/H$ as ringed spaces with the quotient topology, and structure sheaves given by the sheaves of invariant functions.

Lemma A.1. *Assume that the action of G on X is free, and that the image of $\gamma: X \times G \rightarrow X \times X$ is closed. Moreover, assume that*

- *the intersection of $\text{Im } j$ with every G -orbit in X is nonempty;*
- *for all G -orbits O_G in X , one has $\text{Stab}_G(O_G \cap \text{Im } j) = \text{Im } \iota$.*

Then j induces an isomorphism \bar{j} of algebraic varieties between Y/H and X/G .

Corollary A.2. *$X \rightarrow X/G$ and $Y \rightarrow Y/H$ are both principal bundles, and the second is a reduction of the structure group of the first. If $X \rightarrow X/G$ is locally trivial (and not only locally isotrivial), the same is true for $Y \rightarrow Y/H$.*

Proof. Since γ is a closed immersion, it is proper. Hence by [11, Prop. 0.7] the morphism q is affine. Then if $U \subset X/G$ is an open affine subset, $V = q^{-1}(U)$ is affine, $V = \text{Spec } A$, so that $U = \text{Spec}(A^G)$, and the restricted morphism $q|_V$ is induced by the canonical injection $q^\#: A^G \hookrightarrow A$. Since j is an affine morphism [6, Prop. 1.6.2.(i)], the counterimage

$W = j^{-1}(V)$ is affine, $W = \text{Spec } B$, and by the equivariance of j it is H -invariant. It follows that its image $p(W) = \text{Spec}(B^H)$ is affine, and the restricted morphism $p|_W$ is induced by the canonical injection $p^\sharp: B^G \hookrightarrow B$. Let $j^\sharp: A \rightarrow B$ be the homomorphism associated to j . It is easy to prove that $\text{Im}(j^\sharp \circ p^\sharp) \subseteq A^G$, and that this composition is an isomorphism, which induces \bar{j} . \square

A.2. Preliminaries. As we recalled in the Introduction, for any isomorphism class $[(\mathcal{E}, \theta)]$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$ of framed sheaves on Σ_n , the underlying sheaf \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to the cohomology of a monad

$$(A.1) \quad M(\alpha, \beta) : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}} \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\vec{k} = (n, r, a, c)$. To express the pair of morphisms (α, β) as a pair of matrices, we select suitable bases for the space

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}) = \\ & = [\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_1}, \mathbb{C}^{k_2}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0))] \oplus [\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_1}, \mathbb{C}^{k_4}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, 1))] \oplus \\ & \quad [\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_2}, \mathbb{C}^{k_3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, 1))] \oplus [\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k_4}, \mathbb{C}^{k_3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0))] , \end{aligned}$$

where the integers k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are specified in eq. (1.2). To this aim, after fixing homogeneous coordinates $[y_1, y_2]$ for \mathbb{P}^1 , we introduce additional c pairs of coordinates

$$[y_{1m}, y_{2m}] = [c_m y_1 + s_m y_2, -s_m y_1 + c_m y_2] \quad m = 0, \dots, c ,$$

where c_m and s_m are the real numbers defined in eq. (3.2). The set $\left\{ y_{2m}^q y_{1m}^{h-q} \right\}_{q=0}^h$ is a basis for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, h)) = H^0(\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(h))$ for all $h \geq 1$, where $\pi: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the canonical projection. Furthermore if we call s_E the (unique up to homotheties) global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(E)$, it induces an injection $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0)$, so that the set $\left\{ (y_{2m}^q y_{1m}^{n-q})_{s_E} \right\}_{q=0}^n \cup \{s_\infty\}$ is a basis for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0))$, where s_∞ is the section characterized by the condition $\{s_\infty = 0\} = \ell_\infty$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{q=0}^n \alpha_{1q}^{(m)} (y_{2m}^q y_{1m}^{n-q} s_E) + \alpha_{1, n+1} s_\infty \\ \alpha_{20}^{(m)} y_{1m} + \alpha_{21}^{(m)} y_{2m} \end{pmatrix} \\ \beta &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{10}^{(m)} y_{1m} + \beta_{11}^{(m)} y_{2m} & \sum_{q=0}^n \beta_{2q}^{(m)} (y_{2m}^q y_{1m}^{n-q} s_E) + \beta_{2, n+1} s_\infty \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$

By restricting the display of the monad $M(\alpha, \beta)$ to ℓ_∞ , twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(-1)$ and taking cohomology, one finds the diagram

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}, \infty}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{A}_\infty(-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}, \infty}(-1)) \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & & & \downarrow \Phi & & \\ & & & & H^0(\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}, \infty}(-1)) & & \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\infty = (\text{coker } \alpha)|_{\ell_\infty}$. One of the conditions that characterize $L_{\vec{k}}$ is the invertibility of Φ (see [2, §2, condition (c4)]). By suitably splitting the short exact sequence which appears in (A.2), the morphism Φ becomes

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} \beta_{11}^{(m)} \alpha_{10}^{(m)} + \beta_{21}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)} & \text{for } n = 1; \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \beta_{10}^{(m)} & & & \beta_{11}^{(m)} \alpha_{10}^{(m)} + \beta_{21}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)} \\ \beta_{11}^{(m)} & \beta_{10}^{(m)} & 0 & \beta_{22}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)} \\ & \beta_{11}^{(m)} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & 0 & \ddots & \beta_{2, n-1}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)} \\ & & & \beta_{2n}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)} \end{array} \right) & \text{for } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us now consider the principal $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle $\tau: P_{\vec{k}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{k}}$, whose fibre over a point (α, β) is naturally identified with the space of framings for the cohomology of the monad (A.1). By inspecting the display of $M(\alpha, \beta)$, one sees that fixing a framing in the fibre $\tau^{-1}(\alpha, \beta)$ is equivalent to choosing a basis for $H^0(\ker \beta|_{\ell_\infty}) = \ker H^0(\beta|_{\ell_\infty})$. So, $P_{\vec{k}}$ can be described as the quasi-affine variety of the triples (α, β, ξ) , where (α, β) is a point of $L_{\vec{k}}$ and $\xi: \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow V_{\vec{k}} := H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}, \infty})$ is an injective vector space morphism such that $H^0(\beta|_{\ell_\infty}) \circ \xi = 0$.

A.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2. We now are in the case where $r = 1$ (hence, $a = 0$). We begin by constructing the immersion j_m for any fixed $m \in \{0, \dots, c\}$. We define the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{j}_m: \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^c)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{k}}, \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, V_{\vec{k}}) \\ (b_1, b_2, e) &\longmapsto (\alpha, \beta, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c(y_{2m}^n s_E) + {}^t b_2 s_\infty \\ \mathbf{1}_c y_{1m} + {}^t b_1 y_{2m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c y_{1m} + {}^t b_1 y_{2m} & -(\mathbf{1}_c(y_{2m}^n s_E) + {}^t b_2 s_\infty) & {}^t e s_\infty \end{pmatrix} \\ \xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

and we let j_m be the restriction of \tilde{j}_m to $\mathcal{T}(c)$.

Lemma A.3. *The morphism j_m is a $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant closed immersion of $\mathcal{T}(c)$ into $P_{\tilde{k}, m}^-$.*

Proof. Since it is clear that \tilde{j}_m is a closed immersion, it is enough to prove that

$$\mathrm{Im} \tilde{j}_m \cap P_{\tilde{k}, m}^- = \mathrm{Im} j_m .$$

Let $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) = \tilde{j}_m(b_1, b_2, e)$ be any point in the intersection $\mathrm{Im} \tilde{j}_m \cap P_{\tilde{k}, m}^-$; the equation $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ implies that the triple (b_1, b_2, e) satisfies the condition (T1), while the fact that $\beta \otimes k(x)$ has maximal rank for all $x \in \Sigma_n$ implies condition (T2). It follows that

$$\mathrm{Im} \tilde{j}_m \cap P_{\tilde{k}, m}^- \subseteq \mathrm{Im} j_m .$$

To get the opposite inclusion, note that for all $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \in \mathrm{Im} \tilde{j}_m$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the morphism $\alpha \otimes k(x)$ fails to have maximal rank at most at a finite number of points $x \in \Sigma_n$; hence, α is injective;
- (ii) the morphisms $\alpha \otimes k(x)$ and $\beta \otimes k(x)$ have maximal rank for all points $x \in \ell_\infty \cup f_m$;
- (iii) the morphism Φ is invertible;
- (iv) one has $\beta_1|_{f_m} = \mathbf{1}_c$;
- (v) the morphism ξ has maximal rank.

If $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \in \mathrm{Im} j_m$, the condition (T2) implies that $\beta \otimes k(x)$ has maximal rank for all $x \in \Sigma_n \setminus (\ell_\infty \cup f_m)$: by the condition (ii) this is sufficient to ensure that β is surjective. Condition (T1) implies that $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$, so that we can define the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{E} = \ker \beta / \mathrm{Im} \alpha$. By condition (i) \mathcal{E} is torsion free, by conditions (ii) and (iii) it is trivial at infinity, and by condition (iv) $\mathcal{E}|_{f_m}$ is trivial as well. The $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariance of j_m is readily checked. \square

Lemma A.1 will now allow us to prove that j_m induces an isomorphism between the quotients of $\mathcal{T}(c)$ and $P_{\vec{k},m}$ under the actions of $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{GL}(c, \mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$, respectively. Thus, we have to show that for any $G_{\vec{k}}$ -orbit $O_{G_{\vec{k}}}$ in $P_{\vec{k},m}$ the intersection $O_{G_{\vec{k}}} \cap \mathrm{Im} j_m$ is not empty and that its stabilizer in $G_{\vec{k}}$ coincides with $\mathrm{Im} \iota$. To this aim, we build up a strictly descending chain of closed subvarieties

$$P_{\vec{k},m} =: P^0 \supsetneq P^1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq P^h = \mathrm{Im} j_m,$$

for a certain $h > 0$, such that there exists a strictly descending chain of subgroups

$$G_{\vec{k}} =: G^0 \supsetneq G^1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq G^h = \mathrm{Im} \iota$$

with the property that G^i is the stabilizer inside $G_{\vec{k}}$ of the intersection $O_{G_{\vec{k}}} \cap P^i$ for all $G_{\vec{k}}$ -orbits in $P_{\vec{k},m}$.

Note that for each point $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \in P_{\vec{k}}$ one has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and Z is the singular locus of \mathcal{E} . If we restrict this sequence to f_m , twist it by $\mathcal{O}_{f_m}(-1)$ and take cohomology, we find out that $Z \cap f_m = \emptyset$ if and only if $H^i(\mathcal{E}|_{f_m}(-1)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. By using the display of the monad $M(\alpha, \beta)$ one sees that this condition is equivalent to the condition $\det(\beta_{10}^{(m)}) \neq 0$ (the coefficient $\beta_{10}^{(m)}$ is defined in eq. (A.2)).

By acting with $G_{\vec{k}}$ on (α, β, ξ) we can assume that

$$\begin{cases} \beta_{10}^{(m)} = \mathbf{1}_c \\ \beta_{2q}^{(m)} = 0 \quad q = 0, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$

These equations define the subvariety P^1 , whose stabilizer G^1 is the subgroup of $G_{\vec{k}}$ determined by the conditions $\psi_{11} = \chi$ and $\psi_{12} = 0$. Let ${}^t b_1 := \beta_{11}^{(m)}$. The equation $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ implies that

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{1q}^{(m)} &= 0 \quad q = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ \alpha_{1n}^{(m)} &= -\beta_{2n}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

The invertibility of Φ is equivalent to the condition $\det \alpha_{1n}^{(m)} \neq 0$, and by acting with G^1 we can assume that $\alpha_{1n}^{(m)} = \mathbf{1}_c$. This equation cuts the subvariety P^2 inside P^1 , and the stabilizer G^2 is the subgroup of G^1 where $\chi = \phi$.

From eq. (A.3) we deduce that

$$(A.4) \quad \mathbf{1}_c = -\beta_{2n}^{(m)} \alpha_{20}^{(m)}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \text{rk } \beta_{2n}^{(m)} = \text{rk } \alpha_{20}^{(m)} = c.$$

Therefore, by acting with G^2 we can assume that

$$\alpha_{20}^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This equation cuts the subvariety P^3 inside P^2 , and the stabilizer G^3 is the subgroup of G^2 , where

$$\psi_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & g_{12} \\ 0 & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $g_{12} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^c)$ and $g_{22} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Eq. (A.4) implies that $\beta_{2n}^{(m)}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_c & * \end{pmatrix}$, but by acting with G^3 we can assume that $\beta_{2n}^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This equation characterizes P^4 inside P^3 , and the stabilizer G^4 is the subgroup of G^3 where $g_{12} = 0$. The equation $H^0(\beta|_{\ell_\infty}) \circ \xi = 0$ implies that

$$\xi^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By acting with G^4 we can assume that $\theta = 1$: this cuts P^5 inside the variety P^4 , and the stabilizer G^5 is the subgroups of G^4 where $g_{22} = 1$. It is not difficult to show that G^5 coincides with $\text{Im } \iota$. To prove that $P^5 = \text{Im } j_m$ we use once more the constraint $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ and get the system

$$\begin{cases} {}^t b_1 + \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_{21}^{(m)} = 0 \\ \alpha_{1,n+1} + \beta_{2,n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ \beta_{11}^{(m)} \alpha_{1,n+1} + \beta_{2,n+1} \alpha_{21}^{(m)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the first two equations we deduce that

$$\alpha_{21}^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t b_1 \\ {}^t e_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{2,n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_{1,n+1} & {}^t e \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $e \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^c, \mathbb{C})$ and $e_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^c)$. Only the last equation is not identically satisfied, and is equivalent to

$${}^t b_1 {}^t b_2 - {}^t b_2 {}^t b_1 + {}^t e {}^t e_2 = 0,$$

where we have put ${}^t b_2 = \alpha_{1,n+1}$. Since the morphism $\beta \otimes k(x)$ has maximal rank for all $x \in \Sigma_n$, the quadruple $({}^t b_1, {}^t b_2, {}^t e, {}^t e_2)$ satisfies the hypotheses of [12, Proposition 2.8], which implies $e_2 = 0$. It follows that $P^5 = \text{Im } j_m$.

A.4. Proof of Proposition 3.4.

Lemma A.4. *For any $l, m = 0, \dots, c$ and for any point $\vec{b}_m = (b_{1m}, b_{2m}, e_m) \in \mathcal{T}(c)_m$, there exists a unique element $\psi_l(\vec{b}_m) = (\phi, \psi, \chi) \in G_{\vec{k}}$ such that*

- $\chi = \mathbf{1}_c$;
- the point $(\alpha', \beta', \xi') = \psi_l(\vec{b}_m) \cdot j_m(\vec{b}_m)$ lies in the image of j_l .

If we set $(b_{1l}, b_{2l}, e_l) = j_l^{-1}(\alpha', \beta', \xi')$, we have

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{cases} b_{1l} = (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_{1m})^{-1} (s_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c + c_{m-l}b_{1m}) \\ b_{2l} = (c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}b_{1m})^n b_{2m} \\ e_l = e_m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If we set $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) = j_m(\vec{b}_m)$, by expressing $[y_{1m}, y_{2m}]$ as functions of $[y_{1l}, y_{2l}]$ we get

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{q=0}^n (\sigma_q \mathbf{1}_c) (y_{2l}^q y_{1l}^{n-q} s_E) + {}^t b_{2m} s_\infty \\ d_{1m} y_{1m} + d_{2m} y_{2m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1m} y_{1m} + d_{2m} y_{2m} & - \sum_{q=0}^n (\sigma_q \mathbf{1}_c) (y_{2l}^q y_{1l}^{n-q} s_E) - {}^t b_{2m} s_\infty & {}^t e_m s_\infty \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$d_{1m} = c_{m-l}\mathbf{1}_c - s_{m-l}{}^t b_{1m} \quad d_{2m} = s_{m-l}\mathbf{1} + c_{m-l}{}^t b_{1m}$$

and we have put $\sigma_q = \sigma_{l-m; nq}^n$ for $q = 0, \dots, n$ (see eq. (4.5)). The explicit form of $\psi_l(\vec{b}_m)$ is obtained by imposing the equality

$$(A.6) \quad (\phi, \psi, \mathbf{1}_c) \cdot (\alpha, \beta, \xi) = j_l(b_{1l}, b_{2l}, e_l)$$

for some $(b_{1l}, b_{2l}, e_l) \in \mathcal{T}(c)_l$. One gets

$$\phi = d_{1m}^{-(n-1)}$$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1m} & \psi_{12,1} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{1m}^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_r \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } \psi_{12,1} = - \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^q \sigma_{q-p} (-d_{2m} d_{1m}^{-1})^p y_{1l}^q y_{2l}^{n-1-q}.$$

Eq. (A.5) follows from eq. (A.6). \square

Since j_m and j_l are injective, the map $\vec{b}_m \mapsto \psi_l(\vec{b}_m) \cdot \vec{b}_m$ induces the morphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{lm}$ in eq. (3.6). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AGANAGIC, H. OOGURI, N. SAULINA, AND C. VAFA, *Black holes, q-deformed 2d Yang-Mills, and non-perturbative topological strings*, Nuclear Phys. B, 715 (2005), pp. 304–348.
- [2] C. BARTOCCI, U. BRUZZO, AND C. L. S. RAVA, *Monads for framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces*. [arXiv:1205.361 \[math.AG\]](#). To appear in Adv. Geom. 15 (2015) No. 1.
- [3] U. BRUZZO, R. POGHOSSIAN, AND A. TANZINI, *Poincaré polynomial of moduli spaces of framed sheaves on (stacky) Hirzebruch surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys., 304 (2011), pp. 395–409.
- [4] N. P. BUCHDAHL, *Stable 2-bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces*, Math. Z., 194 (1987), pp. 143–152.
- [5] J. FOGARTY, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Am. J. Math., 10 (1968), pp. 511–521.
- [6] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Le langage des schémas*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 4 (1960).
- [7] ———, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 6, Exp. n. 221 (1960/61), pp. 249–276.
- [8] A. A. HENNI, *Monads for framed torsion-free sheaves on multi-blow-ups of the projective plane*, Int. J. Math., 25 (2014), p. 1450008. 42 pages.
- [9] M. LEHN, *Lectures notes on Hilbert schemes*, in Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CMR Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 1–30.
- [10] D. MUMFORD, J. FOGARTY, AND F. KIRWAN, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, no. 34 in Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, third enlarged ed., 1994.
- [11] H. NAKAJIMA, *More lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*. [arXiv:1401.6782 \[math.RT\]](#).
- [12] ———, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [13] H. OOGURI, A. STROMINGER, AND C. VAFA, *Black hole attractors and the topological string*, Phys. Rev. D (3), 70 (2004), pp. 106007, 13.