

Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and Quantum Z -algebras

Rasha M. Farghly^{*,1}, Hitoshi Konno^{*,2} and Kazuyuki Oshima^{*,3}

**Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University,
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan*

**Department of Mathematics, Center for General Education, Aichi Institute of Technology,
Yakusa-cho, Toyota 470-0392, Japan*

Abstract

A new definition of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated with an untwisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given as a topological algebra over the ring of formal power series in p . We also introduce a quantum dynamical analogue of Lepowsky-Wilson's Z -algebras. The Z -algebra governs the irreducibility of the infinite dimensional $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules. Some level-1 examples indicate a direct connection of the irreducible $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules to those of the W -algebras associated with the coset $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \supset (\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})_{diag}$ with level $(r-g-1, 1)$ (g : the dual Coxeter number), which includes Fateev-Lukyanov's WB_l -algebra.

1 Introduction

The algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an elliptic analogue [1, 2] of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the Drinfeld realization [3]. There are two types of the elliptic quantum groups, the vertex type and the face type [4, 5]. Deriving the L -operators [2, 6, 7] and introducing the Hopf-algebroid structure [8–10] $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is now recognized as a face type elliptic quantum group.

Originally $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ with $p = q^{2r}$ was derived for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2, \mathbb{C})$ [1] as a deformation of the screening currents of the coset conformal field theory (CFT) $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \supset (\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)_{diag}$ with level $(r-k-2, k)$ [11–16] instead of considering a deformation of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ itself. Such coset CFT is known to be realized in terms of the level- k free boson and the \mathbb{Z}_k -parafermion [17], or the Z -algebra [18] associated with the level- k standard representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2^4$. It was then crucial in [1] to realize that the level- k boson should be deformed both q - and elliptically [19] whereas the \mathbb{Z}_k -parafermion gets only a q -deformation to obtain consistent relations for the generators in $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

¹E-mail: d102349@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

²Address after April 1, 2014: Dept.of Math. Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, Etchujima, Tokyo 135-8533, Japan; E-mail: hkono0@kaiyodai.ac.jp

³ E-mail: oshima@aitech.ac.jp

⁴The difference between Z -algebra and Parafermion is whether one adds zero-modes of the bosons to it or not.

In [2], a realization of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ for general untwisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ was given by modifying the Drinfeld realization of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. However its structure associated with the quantum Z -algebras has not yet been discussed so far. The purpose of this paper is to address this subject. The general theory of the Z -algebra was studied by Lepowsky and Wilson [18] and by Gepner [20] in the representation theory of affine Lie algebras and in CFT, respectively. Its quantum deformation and application to the representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ was partially investigated in [1, 21–24]. A construction of the coset CFT associated with the general $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ was also given [25] in terms of the generalized parafermions. We extend these studies to the elliptic algebras $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. In particular, we define a dynamical analogue \mathcal{Z}_k of the quantum Z -algebras and show that the level- k highest weight representations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ are realized in terms of \mathcal{Z}_k and the level- k elliptic bosons. It is then shown that the irreducibility of the infinite dimensional $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules is governed by the \mathcal{Z}_k -modules as in the affine Lie algebra cases [18].

On the other hand, it was conjectured [1, 2] that the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ provides an algebra of the screening currents of the deformation of the W -algebras associated with the coset $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \supset (\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})_{diag}$ with level $(r - g - 1, 1)$. For the simply-laced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, such deformed W -algebras have been realized in [26–28], and in particular for the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$ case the conjecture has been established by an explicit comparison of the free field realizations [7, 26, 27, 29]. However for the non-simply laced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, deformation of the coset type W -algebras has not yet been studied at all. One should note that the coset type W -algebras associated with the non-simply laced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are different from those obtained by the quantum Hamiltonian reduction. See for example [30]. We investigate this issue further by giving an explicit realization of the level-1 irreducible highest weight representations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}, E_6^{(1)}, E_7^{(1)}, E_8^{(1)}$. We show that at least for $A_l^{(1)}$ and $D_l^{(1)}$ the level-1 elliptic currents $e_j(z)$ and $f_j(z)$ coincide with the screening currents of the deformed W -algebras obtained in [26–28]. We also show that the irreducible representations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is naturally decomposed into a direct sum of the irreducible W -algebras of the coset type for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}$. This suggests in particular an existence of a deformation of Fateev-Lukyanov’s WB_l -algebra [31] as the commutant of the screening operators provided by the level-1 elliptic currents $e_j(z)$ and $f_j(z)$ of $U_{q,p}(B_l^{(1)})$.

It is also worth to mention that the coset type W -algebras describe a critical behavior of the face type elliptic solvable lattice models [32, 33]. Correspondingly the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ provides an algebraic framework to formulate the lattice model itself in the spirit of Jimbo and Miwa [34]. This has been established for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$ in [1, 2, 7, 10, 35, 36] by constructing the L -operator and introducing the Hopf algebroid structure. In order to construct the L -operator of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and also to get a realization of a generating function of the deformation of the W -algebras, it is crucial to introduce new types of elliptic bosons, which we call the fundamental weight type A_m^j and the orthonormal basis type $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ distinguishing from the usual ones $\alpha_{j,m}$ ($\alpha_{j,m}^\vee$) corresponding to

the simple (co-)root and appearing as generators of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. An idea of such bosons has already appeared in [26–28]. We give an explicit construction of them for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_n^{(1)}, B_n^{(1)}, C_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}$. As a check we calculate the commutation relations among $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ as well as among the elliptic currents $k_{\pm j}(z)$, the generating functions of $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$, and show that they have a universal form. See Theorem 5.3 and 5.7.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a topological algebra generated by the elliptic Drinfeld generators. This is a new definition of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ given independently of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ unlike the previous one in Appendix A in [2]. In section 3, we define a quantum dynamical analogue $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of Lepowsky and Wilson’s Z -algebra associated with the level- k $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module \mathcal{V} and its universal counterpart \mathcal{Z}_k . The irreducibility of the level- k highest weight representation of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is shown to be governed by the \mathcal{Z}_k -module. In section 4, we give a simple realization of \mathcal{Z}_k in terms of the quantum (non-dynamical) Z -algebra associated with the level- k $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module and define a standard representation of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. We provide some level-1 examples of the standard representations and discuss their relation to the deformation of the W -algebras. In section 5, we give a construction of the new elliptic bosons of the fundamental weight type and the orthonormal basis type and derive various commutation relations.

2 Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

2.1 Definition

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = X_l^{(1)}$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra associated with the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ $i, j \in \{0\} \cup I$, $I = \{1, \dots, l\}$. We denote by $B = (b_{ij})$, $b_{ij} = d_i a_{ij}$ the symmetrization of A . We take $d_i = 1$ ($i \in I$) for the simply laced cases, $d_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$), $d_l = 1/2$ for $B_l^{(1)}$ and $d_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$), $d_l = 2$ for $C_l^{(1)}$. Let $q = e^{\hbar} \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and set $q_i = q^{d_i}$. Let p be an indeterminate.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}h_i$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Define $\delta, \Lambda_0, \alpha_i$ ($i \in I$) $\in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$\langle \alpha_i, h_j \rangle = a_{j,i}, \quad \langle \delta, d \rangle = 1 = \langle \Lambda_0, c \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

the other pairings are 0. We also define $\bar{\Lambda}_i$ ($i \in I$) $\in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$\langle \bar{\Lambda}_i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}.$$

We set $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}\bar{\Lambda}_i$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$, $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ and $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\bar{\Lambda}_i$. Let $N = l + 1$ for $X_l = A_l$, $= l$ for B_l, C_l, D_l , $= 7$ for E_6 , $= 8$ for E_7, E_8 , $= 3$ for G_2 , $= 4$ for F_4 and consider the

orthonormal basis $\{\xi_j \ (1 \leq j \leq N)\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N with the inner product $(\xi_j, \xi_k) = \delta_{j,k}$. For A_l , we also set

$$\bar{\xi}_j = \xi_j - \frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \xi_j. \quad (2.2)$$

We define $\epsilon_j = \bar{\xi}_j$ for A_l and $= \xi_j$ for other X_l . The simple roots α_j and the fundamental weights $\bar{\Lambda}_j \ (1 \leq j \leq l)$ can be expressed as a linear sum of ϵ_j [37, 38]. We follow Kac's conventions. We define $h_{\epsilon_j} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \ (j \in I)$ by $\langle \epsilon_i, h_{\epsilon_j} \rangle = (\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)$ and $h_\alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ for $\alpha = \sum_j c_j \epsilon_j$, $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ by $h_\alpha = \sum_j c_j h_{\epsilon_j}$. We regard $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ as the Heisenberg algebra by

$$[h_{\epsilon_j}, \epsilon_k] = (\epsilon_j, \epsilon_k), \quad [h_{\epsilon_j}, h_{\epsilon_k}] = 0 = [\epsilon_j, \epsilon_k]. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, we have $[h_j, \alpha_k] = a_{jk}$. We also set $h^j = h_{\bar{\Lambda}_j}$.

In order to treat the dynamical shifts in the face type elliptic algebra systematically, we introduce another Heisenberg algebra generated by P_α and $Q_\beta \ (\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*)$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$[P_{\epsilon_j}, Q_{\epsilon_k}] = (\epsilon_j, \epsilon_k), \quad [P_{\epsilon_j}, P_{\epsilon_k}] = 0 = [Q_{\epsilon_j}, Q_{\epsilon_k}]. \quad (2.4)$$

We also set

$$[P_{\epsilon_j}, \alpha] = [Q_{\epsilon_j}, \alpha] = 0, \quad [P_{\epsilon_j}, U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})] = [Q_{\epsilon_j}, U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})] = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

where $P_\alpha = \sum_j c_j P_{\epsilon_j}$ for $\alpha = \sum_j c_j \epsilon_j$. We set $P_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}} = \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{C} P_{\epsilon_j}$, $Q_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}} = \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{C} Q_{\epsilon_j}$, $P_j = P_{\alpha_j^\vee}$, $P^j = P_{\bar{\Lambda}_j}$ and $Q_j = Q_{\alpha_j}$, $Q^j = Q_{\bar{\Lambda}_j^\vee}$. Here $\alpha_j^\vee = 2\alpha_j / (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$.

For the abelian group $\mathcal{R}_Q = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z} Q_{\alpha_j}$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ the group algebra over \mathbb{C} of \mathcal{R}_Q . We denote by e^α the element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ corresponding to $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_Q$. These e^α satisfy $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $(e^\alpha)^{-1} = e^{-\alpha}$. In particular, $e^0 = 1$ is the identity element.

Now let us set $H = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus P_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}} = \sum_j \mathbb{C}(P_{\epsilon_j} + h_{\epsilon_j}) + \sum_j \mathbb{C} P_{\epsilon_j} + \mathbb{C}c$ and denote its dual space by $H^* = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* \oplus Q_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}}$. We define the pairing by (2.1), $\langle Q_\alpha, P_\beta \rangle = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $\langle Q_\alpha, h_\beta \rangle = \langle Q_\alpha, c \rangle = \langle Q_\alpha, d \rangle = 0 = \langle \alpha, P_\beta \rangle = \langle \delta, P_\beta \rangle = \langle \Lambda_0, P_\beta \rangle$. We define $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{M}_{H^*}$ to be the field of meromorphic functions on H^* . We regard a function of $P + h = \sum_j a_j (P_{\epsilon_j} + h_{\epsilon_j})$, $P = \sum_j b_j P_{\epsilon_j}$ and c , $\widehat{f} = f(P + h, P, c)$, as an element in \mathbb{F} by $\widehat{f}(\mu) = f(\langle \mu, P + h \rangle, \langle \mu, P \rangle, \langle \mu, c \rangle)$ for $\mu \in H^*$.

We use the following notations.

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_j = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}},$$

$$[n]_i! = [n]_i [n-1]_i \cdots [1]_i, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[m]_i!}{[n]_i! [m-n]_i!},$$

$$(x; q)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^n), \quad (x; q, t)_\infty = \prod_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^n t^m), \quad \Theta_p(z) = (z; p)_\infty (p/z; p)_\infty (p; p)_\infty.$$

Definition 2.1. The elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a topological algebra over $\mathbb{F}[[p]]$ generated by \mathcal{M}_{H^*} , $e_{j,m}, f_{j,m}, \alpha_{j,n}^\vee, K_j^\pm$, ($j \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$), d and the central element c . We assume K_j^\pm are invertible and set

$$\begin{aligned} e_j(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{j,m} z^{-m}, & f_j(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{j,m} z^{-m}, \\ \psi_j^+(q^{-\frac{c}{2}} z) &= K_j^+ \exp\left(- (q_j - q_j^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha_{j,-n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^n\right) \exp\left((q_j - q_j^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n \alpha_{j,n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^{-n}\right), \\ \psi_j^-(q^{\frac{c}{2}} z) &= K_j^- \exp\left(- (q_j - q_j^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n \alpha_{j,-n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^n\right) \exp\left((q_j - q_j^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha_{j,n}^\vee}{1-p^n} z^{-n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi_j^\pm(z)$ are formal Laurent series in z , whose coefficients are well defined in the p -adic topology. We call $e_j(z), f_j(z), \psi_j^\pm(z)$ the elliptic currents. The defining relations are as follows. For $g(P), g(P+h) \in \mathcal{M}_{H^*}$,

$$g(P+h)e_j(z) = e_j(z)g(P+h), \quad g(P)e_j(z) = e_j(z)g(P - \langle Q_{\alpha_j}, P \rangle), \quad (2.6)$$

$$g(P+h)f_j(z) = f_j(z)g(P+h - \langle \alpha_j, P+h \rangle), \quad g(P)f_j(z) = f_j(z)g(P), \quad (2.7)$$

$$[g(P), \alpha_{i,m}^\vee] = [g(P+h), \alpha_{i,n}^\vee] = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$g(P)K_j^\pm = K_j^\pm g(P - \langle Q_{\alpha_j}, P \rangle), \quad (2.9)$$

$$g(P+h)K_j^\pm = K_j^\pm g(P+h - \langle Q_{\alpha_j}, P \rangle), \quad (2.10)$$

$$[d, g(P)] = [d, g(P+h)] = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$[d, \alpha_{j,n}^\vee] = n\alpha_{j,n}^\vee, \quad [d, e_j(z)] = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} e_j(z), \quad [d, f_j(z)] = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f_j(z), \quad (2.12)$$

$$K_i^\pm e_j(z) = q_i^{\mp a_{ij}} e_j(z) K_i^\pm, \quad K_i^\pm f_j(z) = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} f_j(z) K_i^\pm, \quad (2.13)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}^\vee, \alpha_{j,n}^\vee] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[a_{ij}m]_i [cm]_j}{m} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}^\vee, e_j(z)] = \frac{[a_{ij}m]_i}{m} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} z^m e_j(z), \quad (2.15)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}^\vee, f_j(z)] = -\frac{[a_{ij}m]_i}{m} z^m f_j(z), \quad (2.16)$$

$$z_1 \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} z_2 / z_1; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_2 / z_1; p^*)_\infty} e_i(z_1) e_j(z_2) = -z_2 \frac{(q^{b_{ij}} z_1 / z_2; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_1 / z_2; p^*)_\infty} e_j(z_2) e_i(z_1), \quad (2.17)$$

$$z_1 \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} z_2 / z_1; p)_\infty}{(pq^{b_{ij}} z_2 / z_1; p)_\infty} f_i(z_1) f_j(z_2) = -z_2 \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} z_1 / z_2; p)_\infty}{(pq^{b_{ij}} z_1 / z_2; p)_\infty} f_j(z_2) f_i(z_1), \quad (2.18)$$

$$[e_i(z_1), f_j(z_2)] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\delta(q^{-c} z_1 / z_2) \psi_j^-(q^{\frac{c}{2}} z_2) - \delta(q^c z_1 / z_2) \psi_j^+(q^{-\frac{c}{2}} z_2) \right), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \prod_{1 \leq m < k \leq a} \frac{(p^* q^2 z_{\sigma(k)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-2} z_{\sigma(k)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \\ & \times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq m \leq s} \frac{(p^* q^{b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \prod_{s+1 \leq m \leq a} \frac{(p^* q^{b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)} / w; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)} / w; p^*)_\infty} \\ & \times e_i(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(s)}) e_j(w) e_i(z_{\sigma(s+1)}) \cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \prod_{1 \leq m < k \leq a} \frac{(pq^{-2} z_{\sigma(k)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; p)_{\infty}}{(pq^2 z_{\sigma(k)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; p)_{\infty}} \\
& \times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1 \leq m \leq s} \frac{(pq^{-b_{ij}} w/z_{\sigma(m)}; p)_{\infty}}{(pq^{b_{ij}} w/z_{\sigma(m)}; p)_{\infty}} \prod_{s+1 \leq m \leq a} \frac{(pq^{-b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)}/w; p)_{\infty}}{(pq^{b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)}/w; p)_{\infty}} \\
& \times f_i(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots f_i(z_{\sigma(s)}) f_j(w) f_i(z_{\sigma(s+1)}) \cdots f_i(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0 \quad (i \neq j, a = 1 - a_{ij}), \quad (2.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p^* = pq^{-2c}$ and $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$. We also denote by $U'_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ the subalgebra obtained by removing d .

We treat the relations (2.12), (2.15)-(2.21) as formal Laurent series in z, w and z_j 's. In each term of (2.17)-(2.21), the expansion direction of the structure function given by a ratio of infinite products is chosen according to the order of the accompanied product of the elliptic currents. For example, in the l.h.s of (2.17), $\frac{(q^{b_{ij}} z_2/z_1; p^*)_{\infty}}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_2/z_1; p^*)_{\infty}}$ should be expanded in z_2/z_1 , whereas in the r.h.s $\frac{(q^{b_{ij}} z_1/z_2; p^*)_{\infty}}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_1/z_2; p^*)_{\infty}}$ should be expanded in z_1/z_2 . In each term in (2.20), the coefficient function is expanded in $z_{\sigma(k)}/z_{\sigma(m)}$ ($m < k$), $w/z_{\sigma(m)}$ ($m \leq s$) and $z_{\sigma(m)}/w$ ($m \geq s+1$). All the coefficients in z_j 's are well defined in the p -adic topology.

Remark. In [1, 2, 35, 36], assuming that q is a transcendental complex number satisfying $|q| < 1$, we wrote (2.17), (2.18) as

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 \Theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}} z_2/z_1) e_i(z_1) e_j(z_2) &= -z_2 \Theta_{p^*}(q^{b_{ij}} z_1/z_2) e_j(z_2) e_i(z_1), \\
z_1 \Theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}} z_2/z_1) f_i(z_1) f_j(z_2) &= -z_2 \Theta_p(q^{-b_{ij}} z_1/z_2) f_j(z_2) f_i(z_1),
\end{aligned}$$

in the sense of analytic continuation.

Let $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the quantum affine algebra associated with $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the Drinfeld realization [3]. See Appendix A. $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a natural face type (i.e. dynamical) elliptic deformation of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the following sense.

Theorem 2.2.

$$U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})/pU_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})) \sharp_{\mathbb{C}} [\mathcal{R}_Q].$$

Here the smash product \sharp is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(P, P+h)x \otimes e^{\alpha} \cdot f(P, P+h)y \otimes e^{\beta} \\
& = g(P, P+h)f(P-\langle \alpha, P \rangle, P+h-\langle \alpha + \text{wt}(x), P+h \rangle)xy \otimes e^{\alpha+\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{wt}(x) \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ s.t. $q^h x q^{-h} = q^{\langle \text{wt}(x), h \rangle} x$ for $x, y \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, $f(P), g(P) \in \mathbb{F}$, $e^{\alpha}, e^{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$.

Proof. At $p=0$, the relations for $\alpha_{j,m}^{\vee}, e_j(z), f_j(z)$ (2.12)-(2.21) coincide with those for $a_{i,m}^{\vee}, x_j^+(z), x_j^-(z)$ (A.6)-(A.11) of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Therefore from (2.6)-(2.10), one has the isomorphism

$$e_j(z) \mapsto x_j^+(z) e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, \quad f_j(z) \mapsto x_j^-(z), \quad K_j^{\pm} \mapsto q_j^{\mp h_j} e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, \quad \alpha_{j,m}^{\vee} \mapsto a_{j,m}^{\vee} \pmod{pU_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})}.$$

2.2 H -algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

Let \mathcal{A} be a complex associative algebra, \mathcal{H} be a finite dimensional commutative subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ be the field of meromorphic functions on \mathcal{H}^* the dual space of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.3 (\mathcal{H} -algebra). *An \mathcal{H} -algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} with 1, which is bigraded over \mathcal{H}^* , $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$, and equipped with two algebra embeddings $\mu_l, \mu_r : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{00}$ (the left and right moment maps), such that*

$$\mu_l(\widehat{f})a = a\mu_l(T_\alpha \widehat{f}), \quad \mu_r(\widehat{f})a = a\mu_r(T_\beta \widehat{f}), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*},$$

where T_α denotes the automorphism $(T_\alpha \widehat{f})(\lambda) = \widehat{f}(\lambda + \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}^*}$.

Proposition 2.4. $U = U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an H -algebra by

$$U = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in H^*} U_{\alpha, \beta}$$

$$U_{\alpha, \beta} = \left\{ x \in U \mid q^{P+h} x q^{-(P+h)} = q^{\langle \alpha, P+h \rangle} x, \quad q^P x q^{-P} = q^{\langle \beta, P \rangle} x, \quad \forall P+h, P \in H \right\}$$

and $\mu_l, \mu_r : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow U_{0,0}$ defined by

$$\mu_l(\widehat{f}) = f(P+h, p) \in \mathbb{F}[[p]], \quad \mu_r(\widehat{f}) = f(P, p^*) \in \mathbb{F}[[p]].$$

2.3 Dynamical Representations

Let us consider a vector space \mathcal{V} over \mathbb{F} , which is H -diagonalizable, i.e.

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in H^*} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid q^{P+h} \cdot v = q^{\langle \lambda, P+h \rangle} v, \quad q^P \cdot v = q^{\langle \mu, P \rangle} v \quad \forall P+h, P \in H\}.$$

Let us define the H -algebra $\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$ of the \mathbb{C} -linear operators on \mathcal{V} by

$$\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in H^*} (\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}})_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}})_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{ X \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \mid \begin{array}{l} f(P+h)X = Xf(P+h + \langle \alpha, P+h \rangle), \\ f(P)X = Xf(P + \langle \beta, P \rangle), \\ f(P), f(P+h) \in \mathbb{F}, \quad X \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\lambda+\alpha, \mu+\beta} \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\mu_l^{\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}}(\widehat{f})v = f(\langle \lambda, P+h \rangle, p)v, \quad \mu_r^{\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}}(\widehat{f})v = f(\langle \mu, P \rangle, p^*)v, \quad \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{H^*}, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

Definition 2.5. *We define a dynamical representation of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ on \mathcal{V} to be an H -algebra homomorphism $\pi : U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$. By the action π of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ we regard \mathcal{V} as a $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module.*

Definition 2.6. *For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we say that a $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module has level k if c act as the scalar k on it.*

Remark. For the level-0 representations, Definition 2.5 is essentially the same as in [8], by identifying P and $P + h$ with λ and $\lambda - \gamma h$, respectively. This definition is valid also for the non-zero level cases [10].

Definition 2.7. For $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$\mathcal{V}_\omega = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid d \cdot v = \omega v\}$$

and we call \mathcal{V}_ω the space of elements homogeneous of degree ω . We also say that $X \in \mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}}$ is homogeneous of degree $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$[d, X] = \omega X$$

and denote by $(\mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}})_\omega$ the space of all endomorphisms homogeneous of degree ω .

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{N}_+, \mathcal{N}_-$ be the subalgebras of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by c, d, K_i^\pm ($i \in I$), by $\alpha_{i,n}^\vee$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), $e_{i,n}$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), $f_{i,n}$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) and by $\alpha_{i,-n}^\vee$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), $e_{i,-n}$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), $f_{i,-n}$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), respectively.

Definition 2.9. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\mu \in H^*$, a (dynamical) $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ is called the level- k highest weight module with the highest weight (λ, μ) , if there exists a vector $v \in \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu) &= U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cdot v, & \mathcal{N}_+ \cdot v &= 0, \\ c \cdot v &= kv, & f(P) \cdot v &= f(\langle \mu, P \rangle)v, & f(P+h) \cdot v &= f(\langle \lambda, P+h \rangle)v. \end{aligned}$$

We define the category \mathfrak{C}_k in the analogous way to the classical affine Lie algebra case [18].

Definition 2.10. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathfrak{C}_k is the full subcategory of the category of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules consisting of those modules \mathcal{V} such that

- (i) \mathcal{V} has level k
- (ii) $\mathcal{V} = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}_\omega$
- (iii) For every $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $\mathcal{V}_{\omega+n} = 0$.

Since $\pi\mathcal{N}_+ \subset \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}})_n$, any level- k highest weight $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules belong to \mathfrak{C}_k .

3 The Dynamical Quantum Z -Algebras

In this section we introduce a quantum and dynamical analogue \mathcal{Z}_k of Lepowsky-Wilson's Z -algebra associated with the level- k $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules and define a category \mathfrak{D}_k of the \mathcal{Z}_k -modules. Each representation of \mathcal{Z}_k in \mathfrak{D}_k turns out to be a dynamical analogue of the quantum Z -algebra derived by Jing [23] from the level- k representation in the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ counterpart of \mathfrak{D}_k . See sec.4.1. We also provide the Serre relations (3.23) which are not written in [23] explicitly.

3.1 The Heisenberg algebra $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$

Let $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ be the subalgebra of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by $\alpha_{i,n}^\vee$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$) and c . It is convenient to introduce the simple root type generators $\alpha_{j,m}$ and $\alpha'_{j,m}$ defined by $\alpha_{j,m} = [d_j]\alpha_{j,m}^\vee$ and $\alpha'_{j,m} = \frac{1-p^{*m}}{1-p^m}q^{cm}\alpha_{j,m}$, ($j \in I, m \neq 0$). From (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), we have

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \alpha_{j,n}] = \frac{[b_{ij}m][cm]}{m} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, \alpha'_{j,n}] = \frac{[b_{ij}m][cm]}{m} \frac{1-p^{*m}}{1-p^m} q^{cm} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \alpha'_{j,n}] = \frac{[b_{ij}m][cm]}{m} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, e_j(z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} z^m e_j(z), \quad (3.4)$$

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, f_j(z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1-p^{*m}}{1-p^m} q^{cm} z^m f_j(z). \quad (3.5)$$

Let $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_+)$ (resp. $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_-)$) be the commutative subalgebras of $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\{c, \alpha_{i,n} (i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})\}$ (resp. $\{\alpha_{i,-n} (i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})\}$). We have

$$U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}) = U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_-)U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_+).$$

Let $\mathbb{C}1_k$ be the one-dimensional $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_+)$ -module generated by the vacuum vector 1_k defined by

$$c \cdot 1_k = k1_k \quad \alpha_{i,n} \cdot 1_k = 0 \quad (n > 0).$$

Then we have the induced $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ -module

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} = U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_+)} \mathbb{C}1_k.$$

We identify $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k}$ with a polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_{i,-m} (i \in I, m > 0)]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot u &= ku, & \alpha_{i,-n} \cdot u &= \alpha_{i,-n}u, \\ \alpha_{i,n} \cdot u &= \sum_j \frac{[b_{ij}n][kn]}{n} \frac{1-p^n}{1-p^{*n}} q^{-kn} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{j,-n}} u \quad (n > 0) \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha_{i,-m} (i \in I, m > 0)]$.

3.2 The dynamical quantum Z -algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$

Let $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$. We call $\pi U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}) \subset (D_{H,\mathcal{V}})_{00}$ the level- k Heisenberg algebra. We define the following vertex operators in $(D_{H,\mathcal{V}})_{00}[[z, z^{-1}]]$.

$$E^\pm(\alpha_j, z) = \exp\left(\pm \sum_{n>0} \frac{\pi(\alpha_{j,\pm n})}{[kn]} z^{\mp n}\right), \quad E^\pm(\alpha'_j, z) = \exp\left(\mp \sum_{n>0} \frac{\pi(\alpha'_{j,\pm n})}{[kn]} z^{\mp n}\right).$$

These satisfy the following relations.

Proposition 3.1.

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z)E^-(\alpha_j, w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}+2k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}}w/z; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}}w/z; p^*)_\infty} E^-(\alpha_j, w)E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (3.6)$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z)E^-(\alpha'_j, w) = \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}}w/z; p)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}}w/z; p)_\infty} E^-(\alpha'_j, w)E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (3.7)$$

$$E^+(\alpha_i, z)E^-(\alpha'_j, w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} E^-(\alpha'_j, w)E^+(\alpha_i, z), \quad (3.8)$$

$$E^+(\alpha'_i, z)E^-(\alpha_j, w) = \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} E^-(\alpha_j, w)E^+(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (3.9)$$

$$E^\pm(\alpha_i, z)e_j(w) = \frac{(q^{\pm b_{ij}+2k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{\pm b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{\mp b_{ij}+2k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{\mp b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; p^*)_\infty} e_j(w)E^\pm(\alpha_i, z), \quad (3.10)$$

$$E^\pm(\alpha'_i, z)f_j(w) = \frac{(q^{\pm b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{\pm b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; p)_\infty}{(q^{\mp b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{\mp b_{ij}}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; p)_\infty} f_j(w)E^\pm(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (3.11)$$

$$E^\pm(\alpha'_i, z)e_j(w) = \frac{(q^{\mp b_{ij}+k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{\pm b_{ij}+k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty} e_j(w)E^\pm(\alpha'_i, z), \quad (3.12)$$

$$E^\pm(\alpha_i, z)f_j(w) = \frac{(q^{\mp b_{ij}+k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{\pm b_{ij}+k}(w/z)^{\pm 1}; q^{2k})_\infty} f_j(w)E^\pm(\alpha_i, z). \quad (3.13)$$

Definition 3.2. We define $\mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V}) \in \mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}_j^+(z; \mathcal{V}) := E^-(\alpha_j, z)\pi(e_j(z))E^+(\alpha_j, z), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_j^-(z; \mathcal{V}) := E^-(\alpha'_j, z)\pi(f_j(z))E^+(\alpha'_j, z). \quad (3.15)$$

for $j \in I$ and call them the dynamical quantum Z operators associated with $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$.

Note that due to the truncation property of the grading of $\mathcal{V} \in \mathfrak{C}_k$ w.r.t d , $\mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V})$ are well defined i.e. the coefficients $\mathcal{Z}_{j,n}^\pm(\mathcal{V})$ of $\mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{j,n}^\pm(\mathcal{V})z^{-n}$ in z are well defined elements in $(\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}})_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the sake of simplicity of the presentation, we often drop π to denote the elements in $\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$.

From the defining relations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, we obtain the following relations of the dynamical quantum Z operators.

Theorem 3.3.

$$g(P+h)\mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V})g(P+h), \quad g(P)\mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V})g(P - \langle Q_{\alpha_i}, P \rangle), \quad (3.16)$$

$$g(P+h)\mathcal{Z}_i^-(z; \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z; \mathcal{V})g(P+h - \langle \alpha_i, P+h \rangle), \quad g(P)\mathcal{Z}_i^-(z; \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}_i^-(z; \mathcal{V})g(P), \quad (3.17)$$

$$[d, \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V})] = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V}), \quad (3.18)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w; \mathcal{V})] = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

$$K_i^\pm \mathcal{Z}_j^+(z; \mathcal{V}) = q^{\mp b_{ij}} \mathcal{Z}_j^+(z; \mathcal{V}) K_i^\pm, \quad K_i^\pm \mathcal{Z}_j^-(z; \mathcal{V}) = q^{\pm b_{ij}} \mathcal{Z}_j^-(z; \mathcal{V}) K_i^\pm, \quad (3.20)$$

$$z \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w; \mathcal{V}) = -w \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z; \mathcal{V}), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w; \mathcal{V}) - \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(K_i^- \delta(q^{-k} z/w) - K_i^+ \delta(q^k z/w) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \prod_{1 \leq m < l \leq a} \frac{(q^{2+k \mp k} z_{\sigma(l)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-2+k \mp k} z_{\sigma(l)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty} \\ & \times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq m \leq s} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}+k \mp k} w/z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+k \mp k} w/z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty} \prod_{s+1 \leq m \leq a} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}+k \mp k} z_{\sigma(m)}/w; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+k \mp k} z_{\sigma(m)}/w; q^{2k})_\infty} \\ & \times \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(1)}; \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(s)}; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(w; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(s+1)}; \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(a)}; \mathcal{V}) = 0 \\ & (i \neq j, a = 1 - a_{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. The relations (3.17) and (3.18) follow from (2.6)-(2.10) and (2.12), respectively. Let us show the relation (3.19). For $m > 0$, we have

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{Z}_j^+(z; \mathcal{V})] = [\alpha_{i,m}, E^-(\alpha_j, z)] e_j(z) E^+(\alpha_j, z) + E^-(\alpha_j, z) [\alpha_{i,m}, e_j(z)] E^+(\alpha_j, z).$$

This vanishes due to (3.4) and

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, E^-(\alpha_j, z)] = -\frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} q^{-km} z^m,$$

where $p^* = pq^{-2k}$. Similarly, $[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{Z}_j^-(z; \mathcal{V})] = 0$ follows from (3.5) and

$$[\alpha'_{i,m}, E^-(\alpha'_j, z)] = \frac{[b_{ij}m]}{m} \frac{1 - p^{*m}}{1 - p^m} q^{km} z^m.$$

The case $m < 0$ can be proved in a similar way.

The relation (3.21) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^+(w; \mathcal{V}) \\ &= E^-(\alpha_i, z) e_i(z) E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) e_j(w) E^+(\alpha_j, w) \\ &= \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}} w/z; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}} w/z; p^*)_\infty} E^-(\alpha_i, z) e_i(z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) E^+(\alpha_i, z) e_j(w) E^+(\alpha_j, w) \\ &= \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}} w/z; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}} w/z; p^*)_\infty} E^-(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) e_i(z) e_j(w) E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^+(\alpha_j, w) \\ &= -\frac{w}{z(1 - q^{-b_{ij}} w/z)} \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}} z/w; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z/w; p^*)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times E^-(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha_j, w) e_j(w) e_i(z) E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^+(\alpha_j, w) \\ &= -\frac{w(1 - q^{-b_{ij}} z/w)}{z(1 - q^{-b_{ij}} w/z)} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}+2k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty^2 (q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}} z/w; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty^2 (q^{-b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}} z/w; p^*)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times E^-(\alpha_j, w) e_j(w) E^-(\alpha_i, z) E^+(\alpha_j, w) e_i(z) E^+(\alpha_i, z) \\ &= -\frac{w}{z} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}+2k} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}+2k} z/w; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}} w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_j^+(w; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}). \end{aligned}$$

We also derive (3.22) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^-(w; \mathcal{V}) \\
&= \frac{(q^{b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-b_{ij}+k}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty} E^-(\alpha_i, z) e_i(z) E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha'_j, w) f_j(w) E^+(\alpha'_j, w) \\
&= E^-(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha'_j, w) e_i(z) f_j(w) E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^+(\alpha'_j, w) \\
&= E^-(\alpha_i, z) E^-(\alpha'_j, w) \left[f_j(w) e_i(z) + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\delta \left(q^{-k} \frac{z}{w} \right) \psi_i^-(q^{k/2} w) - \delta \left(q^k \frac{z}{w} \right) \psi_i^+(q^{-k/2} w) \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times E^+(\alpha_i, z) E^+(\alpha'_j, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Then use

$$\psi_i^\pm(q^{\mp k/2} w) = K_i^\pm E^-(\alpha_i, q^{\mp k} w)^{-1} E^-(\alpha'_j, w)^{-1} E^+(\alpha_i, q^{\mp k} w)^{-1} E^+(\alpha'_j, w)^{-1}$$

and the property of the delta function.

To prove the Serre relation (3.23) for $\mathcal{Z}_j^+(z)$ we use (3.14) and (3.19) and obtain

$$e_i(z) = E(\alpha_i, z) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z; \mathcal{V}) \quad (3.24)$$

where we set

$$E(\alpha_i, z) = E^-(\alpha_i, z)^{-1} E^+(\alpha_i, z)^{-1}.$$

From (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(\alpha_i, z) E(\alpha_j, w) \\
&= \frac{(q^{-2}w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^2z/w; q^{2k})_\infty (p^*q^{-2}w/z; p^*)_\infty (p^*q^2z/w; p^*)_\infty}{(q^2w/z; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-2}z/w; q^{2k})_\infty (p^*q^2w/z; p^*)_\infty (p^*q^{-2}z/w; p^*)_\infty} E(\alpha_j, w) E(\alpha_i, z).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Next note that (2.20) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \prod_{1 \leq m < l \leq a} \frac{(p^*q^2z_l/z_m; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^{-2}z_l/z_m; p^*)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} \frac{(p^*q^{b_{ij}}z_i/w; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^{-b_{ij}}z_i/w; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m < l \leq a \\ \sigma^{-1}(m) > \sigma^{-1}(l)}} \frac{(p^*q^{-2}z_l/z_m; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^2z_l/z_m; p^*)_\infty} \frac{(p^*q^2z_{\sigma(l)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^{-2}z_{\sigma(l)}/z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq m \leq s} \frac{(p^*q^{b_{ij}}w/z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^{-b_{ij}}w/z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \frac{(p^*q^{-b_{ij}}z_{\sigma(m)}/w; p^*)_\infty}{(p^*q^{b_{ij}}z_{\sigma(m)}/w; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\quad \times e_i(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(s)}) e_j(w) e_i(z_{\sigma(s+1)}) \cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(a)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (3.24) into this, and move all $E(\alpha_i, z_j)$ and $E(\alpha_j, w)$ to the left. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \prod_{1 \leq m < l \leq a} \frac{(p^* q^2 z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-2} z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} \frac{(p^* q^{b_{ij}} z_i / w; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_i / w; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\times \sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m < l \leq a \\ \sigma^{-1}(m) > \sigma^{-1}(l)}} \frac{(p^* q^{-2} z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^2 z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty} \frac{(p^* q^2 z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-2} z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\times \sum_{s=0}^a (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i \prod_{1 \leq m \leq s} \frac{(p^* q^{b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \frac{(p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)} / w; p^*)_\infty}{(p^* q^{b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(m)} / w; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\times \varepsilon(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(s)}, w, z_{\sigma(s+1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(a)}) \\
&\times \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(1)}; \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(s)}; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_j^+(w; \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(s+1)}; \mathcal{V}) \cdots \mathcal{Z}_i^+(z_{\sigma(a)}; \mathcal{V}), \quad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(s)}, w, z_{\sigma(s+1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(a)}) \\
&= E(\alpha_i, z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots E(\alpha_i, z_{\sigma(s)}) E(\alpha_j, w) E(\alpha_i, z_{\sigma(s+1)}) \cdots E(\alpha_i, z_{\sigma(a)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then moving $E(\alpha_j, w)$ to the left end by (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(s)}, w, z_{\sigma(s+1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(N)}) \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(i)}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(i)} / w; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(i)}; p^*)_\infty (p^* q^{b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(i)} / w; p^*)_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(i)}; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(i)} / w; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{b_{ij}} w / z_{\sigma(i)}; p^*)_\infty (p^* q^{-b_{ij}} z_{\sigma(i)} / w; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\times \varepsilon(w, z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(a)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3.26), we can factor out $\varepsilon(w, z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(a)})$ from $\sum_{s=0}^a$. Then exchanging the order of $E(\alpha_i, z_l)$'s by (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(w, z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(a)}) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m < l \leq a \\ \sigma^{-1}(m) > \sigma^{-1}(l)}} \frac{(q^{-2} z_l / z_m; q^{2k})_\infty (q^2 z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^2 z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty (q^{-2} p^* z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty}{(q^2 z_l / z_m; q^{2k})_\infty (q^{-2} z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; q^{2k})_\infty (p^* q^{-2} z_l / z_m; p^*)_\infty (p^* q^2 z_{\sigma(l)} / z_{\sigma(m)}; p^*)_\infty} \\
&\times \varepsilon(w, z_1, \dots, z_a).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3.26), we can factor out $\varepsilon(w, z_1, \dots, z_a)$ completely from $\sum_{\sigma \in S_a}$. Multiply

$$\prod_{1 \leq m < l \leq a} \frac{(q^2 z_l / z_m; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{-2} z_l / z_m; q^{2k})_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq a} \frac{(q^{-b_{ij}} z_m / w; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q^{b_{ij}} z_m / w; q^{2k})_\infty},$$

and drop the overall factor depending on p^* , one gets the desired relation. One can prove the $\mathcal{Z}_j^-(z; \mathcal{V})$ case in the same way.

Definition 3.4. For $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$, we call the H -subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{H, \mathcal{V}}$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm(\mathcal{V})$, K_i^\pm ($i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$), \mathcal{M}_{H^*} and d the dynamical quantum Z -algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ associated with (\mathcal{V}, π) .

3.3 The universal algebra \mathcal{Z}_k

Using the relations in Theorem 3.3, we define the universal dynamical quantum Z -algebra as follows.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm$ ($i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$) be abstract symbols. We set $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm z^{-m}$. We define the universal dynamical quantum Z -algebra \mathcal{Z}_k to be a topological algebra over $\mathbb{F}[[q^{2k}]]$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm, K_i^\pm$ ($i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$), d, \mathcal{M}_{H^*} subject to the relations obtained by replacing $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z; \mathcal{V})$ by $\mathcal{Z}_i^\pm(z)$ in Theorem 3.3.

We treat the relations as formal Laurent series in z, w and z_j 's in a similar way to those of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in Sec.2.1. The defining relations are well-defined in the q^{2k} -adic topology.

Proposition 3.6. \mathcal{Z}_k is an H -algebra with the same μ_l, μ_r as in $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Note that for $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$ we extend π to the map $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H,\mathcal{V}}$ by $\pi(\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm) = \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm(\mathcal{V})$. Then \mathcal{V} is a \mathcal{Z}_k -module by π .

Definition 3.7. For $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we denote by \mathfrak{D}_k the full subcategory of the category of \mathcal{Z}_k -modules consisting of those modules (\mathcal{W}, σ) such that

(i) \mathcal{W} has level k .

(ii) $\mathcal{W} = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_\omega$, where $\mathcal{W}_\omega = \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid \sigma(d)w = \omega w\}$

(iii) For every $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $\mathcal{W}_{\omega+n} = 0$.

Let us consider $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$. Following Lepowsky and Wilson [18], we define the vacuum space $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{V}} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid \pi(\alpha_{i,n})v = 0 \quad \forall i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

From Theorem 3.3, $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ is stable under the action of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$. For a morphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ in \mathfrak{C}_k , we have

$$f(\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{V}'}$$

Proposition 3.8. For $(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$, there is a unique representation σ of \mathcal{Z}_k on $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $(\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$,

$$\sigma(K_i^\pm) = \pi(K_i^\pm), \quad \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm) = \mathcal{Z}_{i,m}^\pm(\mathcal{V}) \quad \forall i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We hence define a functor $\Omega : \mathfrak{C}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_k$ by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{V}, \pi) = (\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}, \sigma), \quad \Omega(f) = f|_{\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}} : \Omega_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{V}'}$$

3.4 The functor Λ

We define a reverse functor $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_k$ as follows. Let $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$ be a \mathcal{Z}_k -module. We define $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ -module $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$ by requiring $\alpha_{i,m} \cdot \mathcal{W} = 0$ and

$$\text{Ind } \mathcal{W} = U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}_+)} \mathcal{W}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k}$ be the level- k Fock module defined in Sec.3.1. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \cong \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$ by $(u \otimes 1_k) \otimes w \mapsto u \otimes w$ [18]. We thus identify the $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ -module $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$, with the action π of $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\pi(c) = 1 \otimes c, \quad \pi(K_i^{\pm}) = 1 \otimes \sigma(K_i^{\pm}), \quad \pi(\alpha_{i,m}) = \alpha_{i,m} \otimes 1.$$

For $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$ and $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}$, we define $e'_j(z), f'_j(z) \in \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e'_j(z) &= E^-(\alpha_j, z)^{-1} E^+(\alpha_j, z)^{-1} \otimes \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_j^+(z)), \\ f'_j(z) &= E^-(\alpha'_j, z)^{-1} E^+(\alpha'_j, z)^{-1} \otimes \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_j^-(z)). \end{aligned}$$

These are well-defined elements of $\mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}[[z, z^{-1}]]$. By a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 3.3 one can show that $e'_j(z)$ and $f'_j(z)$ satisfy the defining relations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ with $c = k$. We hence extend $\pi : U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}$ to $\pi : U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{H, \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}}$ as an H -algebra homomorphism by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(e_j(z)) &= e'_j(z), \quad \pi(f_j(z)) = f'_j(z), \\ \pi(d) &= d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \sigma(d). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the latter map is uniquely determined.

Proposition 3.9. *For $(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$, there is a unique level- k $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $(\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}, \pi) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$.*

We thus reach the following definition.

Definition 3.10. *We define a functor $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_k$ by*

$$(i) \quad \Lambda(\mathcal{W}, \sigma) = (\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}, \pi)$$

(ii) *For a morphism $f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ in \mathfrak{D}_k , define $\Lambda(f) : \text{Ind } \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}'$ to be the induced $U_{q,p}(\mathcal{H})$ -module map. Then $\Lambda(f)$ is a $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module map.*

We obtain the following theorem analogously to the case of the affine Lie algebras [18].

Theorem 3.11. *For $k \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, the two categories \mathfrak{C}_k and \mathfrak{D}_k are equivalent by the functors $\Omega : \mathfrak{C}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_k$ and $\Lambda : \mathfrak{D}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_k$. In particular, the level- k $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{C}_k$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{D}_k$ is an irreducible \mathcal{Z}_k -module.*

4 The Induced $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -Modules

In this section we give a simple realization of the dynamical quantum Z -algebra \mathcal{Z}_k in terms of the quantum Z -algebra Z_k associated with $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and construct the level- k induced $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules. We also give some examples of the level-1 irreducible representations.

4.1 The quantum Z -algebra Z_k associated with $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

One can apply the arguments similar to those in Secs.3.1-3.3 to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the Drinfeld realization and define the corresponding quantum Z -algebras Z_V associated with the level- k $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module V [23] and the universal one Z_k . See Appendix A. We also denote by C_k and D_k the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ counterparts of the categories \mathfrak{C}_k and \mathfrak{D}_k .

Comparing the defining relations of \mathcal{Z}_k with those of Z_k , we obtain the following isomorphism.

Proposition 4.1. *We have the isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Z}_k \cong (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Z_k) \sharp \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$$

as an H -algebra by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^+ &\mapsto Z_{j,m}^+ e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, & \mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^- &\mapsto Z_{j,m}^-, \\ K_i^{\pm} &\mapsto q_i^{\mp h_i} e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}} \quad (i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}), & d &\mapsto \bar{d}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_{j,m}^{\pm}$ denotes the generators in Z_k (Definition A.3).

Theorem 4.2. *For $(W, \bar{\sigma}) \in D_k$ and generic $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, there is a dynamical representation σ of \mathcal{Z}_k on $\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu) := (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ such that $(\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu), \sigma) \in \mathfrak{C}_k$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^+) &= \bar{\sigma}(Z_{j,m}^+) \otimes e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, & \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^-) &= \bar{\sigma}(Z_{j,m}^-) \otimes 1, \\ \sigma(K_j^{\pm}) &= \bar{\sigma}(q_j^{\mp h_j}) \otimes e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, & \sigma(d) &= \bar{\sigma}(\bar{d}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_d, \end{aligned}$$

where P_d denotes a \mathbb{C} -linear operator on $1 \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ such that

$$[1 \otimes P_d, \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_{j,m}^{\pm})] = 0.$$

Proposition 4.3. *The representation $(\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu), \sigma)$ of \mathcal{Z}_k is irreducible if and only if W is an irreducible Z_k -module.*

From this and Theorem 3.11, we obtain:

Proposition 4.4. *For a Z_k -module $(W, \bar{\sigma}) \in D_k$ and generic $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $(\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu), \sigma)$ be the \mathcal{Z}_k -module constructed in Theorem 4.2 and $\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu)$ be the level- k induced $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module given in Proposition 3.9. Then $(\text{Ind } \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\mu), \pi)$ is irreducible if and only if $(W, \bar{\sigma})$ is irreducible.*

4.2 Examples of the irreducible representations

We here give some examples of the level-1 irreducible induced representations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of types $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}, E_6^{(1)}, E_7^{(1)}, E_8^{(1)}$ and $B_l^{(1)}$.

4.2.1 The simply laced case :

Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$ be the group algebra of the root lattice $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ with the central extension:

$$e^{\alpha_i} e^{\alpha_j} = (-1)^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} e^{\alpha_j} e^{\alpha_i} \quad (i, j \in I).$$

Let us consider the fundamental weight Λ_a of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $0 \leq a \leq l$ for $A_l^{(1)}$, $a = 0, 1, l-1, l$ for $D_l^{(1)}$, $a = 0, 1, 2$ for $E_6^{(1)}$, $a = 0, 1$ for $E_7^{(1)}$, $a = 0$ for $E_8^{(1)}$.

Theorem 4.5. [23, 39] *An inequivalent set of the level-1 irreducible $Z_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules is given by $W(\Lambda_a) = e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$, on which the actions of $Z_j^\pm(z)$ are given by*

$$Z_j^\pm(z) = e^{\pm \alpha_j} z^{\pm h_j + 1} \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$z^{\pm h_i} e^{\pm \alpha_j} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} = z^{\pm(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j + \bar{\Lambda}_a)} e^{\pm \alpha_j} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} \quad (i, j \in I).$$

Then for generic $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we have from Theorem 4.2 a level-1 irreducible $Z_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ module $\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\Lambda_a, \mu) := (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W(\Lambda_a)) \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$ with the action given by

$$Z_j^+(z) = Z_j^+(z) \otimes e^{-Q_{\alpha_j}}, \quad Z_j^-(z) = Z_j^-(z) \otimes 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Then from Proposition 4.4 we obtain:

Theorem 4.6. *A level-1 irreducible highest weight representations of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu) := \text{Ind } \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\Lambda_a, \mu)$ with the highest weight $(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)$:*

$$\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\Lambda_a, \mu) = \bigoplus_{\gamma, \kappa \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu) = \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a + \gamma}) \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu} + \kappa}},$$

The highest weight vector is $1_1 \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_a} \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}}$. The derivation operator d is realized as

$$\begin{aligned} d &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^l h_j h^j - N^\alpha + \frac{1}{2r^*} \sum_{j=1}^l (P_j + 2) P^j - \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{j=1}^l ((P + h)_j + 2) (P + h)^j, \\ N^\alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{m^2}{[m]} \frac{1 - p^{*m}}{1 - p^m} q^m \alpha_{j, -m} A_m^j, \end{aligned}$$

where $r, r^* \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and A_m^j are the fundamental weight type elliptic bosons given in Sec.5.1.

One can easily calculate the character of $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a, \mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} ch_{\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a+\mu, \mu)} &= \text{tr}_{\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a+\mu, \mu)} q^{-d - \frac{c(W(\mathfrak{g}))}{24}} = \sum_{\gamma, \kappa \in \mathcal{Q}} ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)} &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)^l} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \rho) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_a + \bar{\mu} + \gamma + \kappa + \rho)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $c(W(\mathfrak{g})) = l(1 - \frac{g(g+1)}{rr^*})$, and $\eta(q)$ denotes Dedekind's η -function given by

$$\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}}(q; q)_{\infty}.$$

One should note that the character $ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)}$ coincides with the one of the Verma module of the $W(\mathfrak{g})$ -algebras for $\mathfrak{g} = A_l, D_l, E_6, E_7, E_8$ with the highest weight $h = \frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \rho) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_a + \bar{\mu} + \gamma + \kappa + \rho)|^2$ and the central charge $c(W(\mathfrak{g}))$. In fact, for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_l^{(1)}$ case, for example, one can construct an action of the deformed $W(A_l)$ algebra on $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)$ explicitly.

Theorem 4.7. [26, 27] For $p = q^{2r}$ and $p^* = pq^{-2} = q^{2r^*}$, i.e. $r^* = r - 1$, the deformed $W(A_l)$ -algebra acts on $\mathcal{F}_{\xi, \kappa}(\Lambda_i + \mu, \mu)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_j(z) &=: \exp \left\{ \sum_{m \neq 0} (q^m - q^{-m})(1 - p^{*m}) \mathcal{E}_m^{+j} (q^j z)^{-m} \right\} : \otimes p^{*h_{\bar{e}_j}} \quad (1 \leq j \leq l), \\ T_n(z) &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq l} : \Lambda_{j_1}(z) \Lambda_{j_2}(zq^{-2}) \dots \Lambda_{j_n}(zq^{-2(n-1)}) : \quad (1 \leq n \leq l). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ denotes the orthonormal basis type elliptic boson given in (5.3), and $: \ :$ denotes the normal ordering of the enclosed expression such that the operators $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ for $m < 0$ are to be placed to the left of the operators $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ for $m > 0$. In addition, the level-1 elliptic currents $e_j(w)$ and $f_j(w)$ of $U_{q,p}(A_l^{(1)})$ obtained from Proposition 3.9, (4.1) and (4.2) are the screening currents of the deformed $W(A_l)$ -algebra, i.e. they commute with $T_n(z)$ up to a total difference.

See also [2, 7, 29]. A similar statement is valid also for the deformed $W(D_l)$ [28] and $U_{q,p}(D_l^{(1)})$. We also expect that for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying $r > g + 1$ and for a level- $(r - g - 1)$ dominant integral weight μ , the space $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)$ becomes completely degenerate with respect to the action of the corresponding deformed $W(\mathfrak{g})$ -algebra [26–28], although the $E_{6,7,8}$ -type deformed W algebras have not yet been constructed explicitly. In order to get the irreducible module one should make the BRST-resolution in terms of the BRST-charge constructed from the half currents of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. An explicit demonstration for the $A_1^{(1)}$ case has been discussed in [35].

Remark. In Theorem 4.7, we assumed $p = q^{2r}$ in order to make a connection to the deformed $W(A_l)$ -algebra. The same relation arises naturally when one considers the finite dimensional representations of the universal elliptic dynamical \mathcal{R} matrices [5, 40].

4.2.2 The $B_i^{(1)}$ case

We follow the work [41] and its quantum analogues [42, 43] with a slight modification in the Ramond sector according to [44]. Let e^{α_i} ($i \in I$) be the generators of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$ with the following central extension.

$$e^{\alpha_i} e^{\alpha_j} = (-1)^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) + (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)} e^{\alpha_j} e^{\alpha_i}$$

As before we regard h_i ($i \in I$) as an operator such that

$$z^{\pm h_i} e^{\alpha_j} = z^{\pm(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j)} e^{\alpha_j} z^{\pm h_i}$$

We also need the Neveu-Schwartz (NS) fermion $\{\Psi_n | n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$ and the Ramond (R) fermion $\{\Psi_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying the following anti-commutation relations.

$$\{\Psi_m, \Psi_n\} = \delta_{m+n,0} \mathcal{N}(q^m + q^{-m})$$

with $\mathcal{N} = 1/(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}^{NS} = \mathbb{C}[\Psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdots], \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^R = \mathbb{C}[\Psi_{-1}, \Psi_{-2}, \dots]$$

and their submodules $\mathcal{F}_{even}^{NS,R}$ (reps. $\mathcal{F}_{odd}^{NS,R}$) generated by the even (reps. odd) number of Ψ_{-m} 's. One should note that for the R fermion $\Psi_0^2 = \mathcal{N}$ and $\{\Psi_m, \Psi_0\} = 0$ for $m \neq 0$. So we have two degenerate vacuum states 1 and $\Psi_0 1$. We hence consider the extended space

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^R = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^R \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$$

and realize the R -fermions by

$$\hat{\Psi}_m = \Psi_m \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}), \quad \hat{\Psi}_0 = \mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Note that $\{\hat{\Psi}_m, \hat{\Psi}_n\} = \delta_{m+n,0} \mathcal{N}(q^m + q^{-m})$. We set

$$\mathcal{F}^R = \mathcal{F}_{even}^R \otimes \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{odd}^R \otimes \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of Ψ_m on \mathcal{F}^{NS} is given by

$$\Psi_{-m} \cdot u = \Psi_{-m} u, \quad \Psi_m \cdot u = \{\Psi_m, u\} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}),$$

where $u \in \mathcal{F}^{NS}$, whereas $\hat{\Psi}_m$ acts on \mathcal{F}^R as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi}_{-m} \cdot u \otimes v &= \Psi_{-m} u \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} v \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}), & \hat{\Psi}_0 \cdot u \otimes v &= u \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v, \\ \hat{\Psi}_m \cdot u \otimes v &= \{\Psi_m, u\} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} v \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}), \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^R$, $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Let us define the fermion fields $\Psi^{NS}(z)$ and $\Psi^R(z)$ by

$$\Psi^{NS}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \Psi_n z^{-n}, \quad \Psi^R(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Psi}_n z^{-n}.$$

One can derive the following operator product expansions.

$$\Psi(z)\Psi(w) =: \Psi(z)\Psi(w) : + \langle \Psi(z)\Psi(w) \rangle,$$

where

$$\langle \Psi(z)\Psi(w) \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{(zw)^{1/2}(z-w)}{(z-qw)(z-q^{-1}w)} & \text{for NS} \\ \mathcal{N} \frac{(z-w)(z+w)}{(z-qw)(z-q^{-1}w)} & \text{for R.} \end{cases}$$

Then the quantum Z -algebra $Z_1(B_l^{(1)})$ is realized as follows [23].

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i^\pm(z) &= e^{\pm\alpha_i} z^{\pm h_i + 1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq l-1), \\ Z_l^\pm(z) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}^{1/2}} \Psi(z) e^{\pm\alpha_l} z^{\pm d_l h_l + d_l}. \end{aligned}$$

There are three irreducible $Z_1(B_l^{(1)})$ -modules given by

$$\begin{aligned} W(\Lambda_0) &= \mathcal{F}_{even}^{NS} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0] \oplus \mathcal{F}_{odd}^{NS} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0] e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1}, \\ W(\Lambda_1) &= \mathcal{F}_{even}^{NS} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0] e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{odd}^{NS} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0], \\ W(\Lambda_l) &= \mathcal{F}^R \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}] e^{\bar{\Lambda}_l} \cong \mathcal{F}^R \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0] e^{\bar{\Lambda}_l} \oplus \mathcal{F}^R \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}_0] e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \bar{\Lambda}_l}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{Q}_0 denotes the sublattice of \mathcal{Q} generated by the long roots. For generic $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $a = 0, 1, l$, we set $\mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\Lambda_a, \mu) = (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W(\Lambda_a)) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu}} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}_Q]$. From Proposition 3.9 we have the following three level-1 irreducible $U_{q,p}(\hat{B}_l^{(1)})$ -modules with the highest weight $(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu) &= \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_{H,Q}(\Lambda_a, \mu) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_0, \kappa \in \mathcal{Q}} \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda_a) \\ \text{mod } \mathcal{Q}_0 + \mathbb{C}\delta}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_0, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_0, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{even}^{NS} \otimes e^\gamma) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_1, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_0, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{odd}^{NS} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \gamma}) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_1, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_1, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{even}^{NS} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \gamma}) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_0, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_1, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{odd}^{NS} \otimes e^\gamma) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_l, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_l, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^R \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_l + \gamma}) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_l - \alpha_l, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_l, \mu) &= \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^R \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_l + \bar{\Lambda}_1 + \gamma}) \otimes e^{Q\bar{\mu} + \kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

The highest weight vectors are given by $1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}}$ for $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_0 + \mu, \mu)$, $1 \otimes 1 \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_1} \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}}$ for $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_1 + \mu, \mu)$ and $1 \otimes 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_l} \otimes e^{Q_{\bar{\mu}}}$ for $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_l + \mu, \mu)$, respectively.

It is also easy to calculate the characters of these modules:

$$ch_{\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)} = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)} q^{-d - \frac{c_W}{24}} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda_a) \\ \text{mod } Q_0 + \mathbb{C}\delta \\ \gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}_0, \kappa \in \mathfrak{Q}}} ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)},$$

where $c_W = (l + \frac{1}{2}) \left(1 - \frac{2l(2l-1)}{rr^*}\right)$ is the central charge of the WB_l algebra by Fateev and Lukyanov [31], and the derivation operator d is realized as

$$\begin{aligned} d &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^l h_j h^j - N^\alpha - N^\Psi + \frac{1}{2r^*} \sum_{j=1}^l (P_j + 2) P^j - \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{j=1}^l ((P + h)_j + 2)(P + h)^j, \\ N^\alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{m^2}{[m]} \frac{1 - p^{*m}}{1 - p^m} q^m \alpha_{j, -m} A_m^j, \quad N^\Psi = \sum_{m > 0} \frac{m(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{q^m + q^{-m}} \Psi_{-m} \Psi_m \end{aligned}$$

where $r, r^* \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and A_m^j are the fundamental weight type elliptic bosons of the type B_l given in Sec.5.1, Ψ_m denotes Ψ_m on \mathcal{F}^{NS} and $\hat{\Psi}_m$ on \mathcal{F}^R . We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} ch_{\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_a + \mu, \mu)} &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda_a) \\ \text{mod } Q_0 + \mathbb{C}\delta \\ \gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}_0, \kappa \in \mathfrak{Q}}} ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_0, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_0, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_0}^{\Lambda_0} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_1, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_0, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_0} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_1, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_1, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_1} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_0, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_1, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_0}^{\Lambda_1} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_l, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_l, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_l}^{\Lambda_l} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_l + \bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \\ ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_l - \alpha_l, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_l, \mu)} &= c_{\Lambda_l - \alpha_l}^{\Lambda_l} q^{\frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_l + \bar{\mu} + \kappa + \gamma + \bar{\rho})|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\Lambda_0}^{\Lambda_0} &= c_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_1} = \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{48}}}{2\eta(q)^l} \left((-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_\infty + (q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_\infty \right), \\ c_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_0} &= c_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_0} = \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{48}}}{2\eta(q)^l} \left((-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_\infty - (q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_\infty \right), \\ c_{\Lambda_l}^{\Lambda_l} &= c_{\Lambda_l - \alpha_l}^{\Lambda_l} = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{24}}}{2\eta(q)^l} (-q; q)_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda_a) \\ \text{mod } Q_0 + \mathbb{C}\delta}} ch_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \gamma, \kappa}(\Lambda_a, \mu)}$ coincides with the character of the Verma modules of the WB_l -algebra with the highest weight $h = \frac{1}{2rr^*} |r(\bar{\mu} + \kappa + \bar{\rho}) - r^*(\bar{\Lambda}_a + \bar{\mu} + \gamma + \kappa + \bar{\rho})|^2$ and the central charge c_W with $r, r^* = r - 1 \in \mathbb{C}$ being generic.

Conjecture 4.8. *There exists a deformation of the WB_l -algebra such that*

i) its generating functions commute with the level-1 elliptic currents $e_j(z)$ and $f_j(z)$ of $U_{q,p}(B_l^{(1)})$ modulo a total difference, i.e. $e_j(z)$ and $f_j(z)$ at $c = 1$ are the screening currents of the deformation of the WB_l -algebra,

ii) for generic r and $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^$, $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\xi,\kappa}(\Lambda + \mu, \mu)$ is an irreducible module of the deformation of the WB_l -algebra.*

Remark. All the algebras $W(\mathfrak{g})$ appearing in sec.4.2.1 and WB_l in this subsection are the W -algebras associated with the coset $X_l^{(1)} \oplus X_l^{(1)} \supset (X_l^{(1)})_{\text{diag}}$ with level $(r - g - 1, 1)$. In particular, the WB_l is different from the one obtained from the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of the affine Lie algebra $B_l^{(1)}$. The W -algebras associated with such coset describe the critical behavior of the face type solvable lattice models introduced by Jimbo, Miwa and Okado [33].

5 Elliptic Bosons of Various Types

In this section we introduce elliptic bosons of the fundamental weight type A_m^j and the orthogonal basis type $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ for $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}, C_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}$. The level-1 bosons A_m^j and $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ are used to realize the derivation operator d and the generating function of the deformed $W(A_l)$ -algebra, respectively, in sec.4.2.

5.1 Definition

Let us set $\eta = -tg/2$ ($t = (\text{long root})^2/2$).

	$A_l^{(1)}$	$B_l^{(1)}$	$C_l^{(1)}$	$D_l^{(1)}$
g	$l + 1$	$2l - 1$	$n + 1$	$2l - 2$
t	1	1	2	1
η	$-\frac{l+1}{2}$	$-\frac{2l-1}{2}$	$-(l+1)$	$-(l-1)$

Let $\alpha_{i,m}$ be the elliptic bosons of the simple root type as in Sec.2. We define the fundamental weight type elliptic bosons A_m^j ($1 \leq j \leq l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$) by

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, A_n^j] = -\delta_{i,j} \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm]}{m} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} q^{-cm} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq l). \quad (5.1)$$

Note that using the matrix $B(m) = ([b_{i,jm}])_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$, we have [28]

$$A_m^j = \sum_{k=1}^l (B(m)^{-1})_{kj} \alpha_{k,m}.$$

Solving (5.1) we obtain the following.

For $A_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = C_m \left([(2\eta + j)m] \sum_{k=1}^j [km] \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] \sum_{k=j+1}^l [(2\eta + k)m] \alpha_{k,m} \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l).$$

For $B_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = C_m \left((q^{(\eta+j)m} + q^{-(\eta+j)m}) \sum_{k=1}^j [km] \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] \sum_{k=j+1}^l (q^{(\eta+k)m} + q^{-(\eta+k)m}) \alpha_{k,m} \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l).$$

For $C_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = C_m \left((q^{(\eta+j)m} + q^{-(\eta+j)m}) \sum_{k=1}^j [km] \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] \sum_{k=j+1}^{l-1} (q^{(\eta+k)m} + q^{-(\eta+k)m}) \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] \alpha_{l,m} \right), \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-1),$$

$$A_m^l = C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} + \frac{[m]}{[2m]} [lm] \alpha_{l,m} \right).$$

For $D_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = C_m \left((q^{(\eta+j)m} + q^{-(\eta+j)m}) \sum_{k=1}^j [km] \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] \sum_{k=j+1}^{l-2} (q^{(\eta+k)m} + q^{-(\eta+k)m}) \alpha_{k,m} + [jm] (\alpha_{l-1,m} + a_{l,m}) \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-2),$$

$$A_m^{l-1} = C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} [km] \alpha_{k,m} + \frac{[m]}{[2m]} ([lm] \alpha_{l-1,m} + [(l-2)m] a_{l,m}) \right),$$

$$A_m^l = C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} [km] \alpha_{k,m} + \frac{[m]}{[2m]} ([(l-2)m] \alpha_{l-1,m} + [lm] a_{l,m}) \right).$$

Here

$$C_m = \frac{1}{[m]^2 [2\eta m]} \quad \text{for } A_l^{(1)}$$

$$= \frac{[\eta m]}{[m]^2 [2\eta m]} \quad \text{for } B_l^{(1)}, C_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}.$$

We then divide A_m^j into two terms and define the elliptic bosons $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ of the orthogonal basis type as follows.

For $A_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = \mathcal{E}_m^{+j} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-j}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = \pm q^{\pm jm} \frac{C_m}{q - q^{-1}} \left(q^{\pm 2\eta m} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} + \sum_{k=j}^l [(2\eta + k)m] \alpha_{k,m} \right) \quad (5.3)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq l$. It is convenient to define $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l+1)}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l+1)} = \mp \frac{C_m}{q - q^{-1}} \sum_{k=1}^l [km] \alpha_{k,m}. \quad (5.4)$$

For $B_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = \mathcal{E}_m^{+j} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-j}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = q^{\pm jm} C_m \left(q^{\pm \eta m} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \pm \sum_{k=j}^l [(\eta + k)m]_+ \alpha_{k,m} \right) \quad (5.6)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq l$. Here we set

$$[m]_+ = \frac{q^m + q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{E}_m^0 = \frac{[\frac{m}{2}]}{[m]} (\mathcal{E}_m^{+l} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-l}). \quad (5.7)$$

For $C_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = \mathcal{E}_m^{+j} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-j} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = q^{\pm jm} C_m \left(q^{\pm \eta m} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \pm \sum_{k=j}^{l-1} [(\eta + k)m]_+ \alpha_{k,m} \pm \frac{\alpha_{l,m}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-1), \quad (5.9)$$

$$A_m^l = \frac{1}{q^m + q^{-m}} (\mathcal{E}_m^{+l} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-l}), \quad (5.10)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l} = q^{\pm lm} C_m \left(q^{\pm \eta m} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \pm \frac{\alpha_{l,m}}{q - q^{-1}} \right). \quad (5.11)$$

For $D_l^{(1)}$,

$$A_m^j = \mathcal{E}_m^{+j} + \mathcal{E}_m^{-j}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = q^{\pm jm} C_m \left(q^{\pm \eta m} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \pm \sum_{k=j}^{l-2} [(\eta + k)m]_+ \alpha_{k,m} \pm \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} (\alpha_{l-1,m} + \alpha_{l,m}) \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-2), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l-1)} = C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \pm \frac{q^{\mp \eta m}}{q - q^{-1}} (\alpha_{l-1,m} + \alpha_{l,m}) \right), \quad (5.14)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l} = q^{\pm lm} C_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} [km] \alpha_{k,m} \mp \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} (q^{\pm \eta m} \alpha_{l-1,m} - q^{\mp \eta m} \alpha_{l,m}) \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Proposition 5.1.

$$\alpha_{j,m} = \pm [m]^2 (q - q^{-1}) (\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} - q^{\mp m} \mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(j+1)}), \quad (5.16)$$

$1 \leq j \leq l$ for $A_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l-1$ for $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$, and

$$\alpha_{l,m} = [m] (q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}) (q^{-m/2} \mathcal{E}_m^{+l} - q^{m/2} \mathcal{E}_m^{-l}) \quad \text{for } B_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$= [m]^2 (q - q^{-1}) (q^m \mathcal{E}_m^{+l} - q^{-m} \mathcal{E}_m^{-l}) \quad \text{for } C_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$= \pm [m]^2 (q - q^{-1}) (\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l-1)} - q^{\pm m} \mathcal{E}_m^{\mp l}) \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}. \quad (5.19)$$

Proposition 5.2. *The following relations hold.*

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm 1} = \pm \frac{q^{\pm m}}{q^m - q^{-m}} A_m^1, \quad \mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = \pm \frac{1}{q^m - q^{-m}} (q^{\pm m} A_m^j - A_m^{j-1}), \quad (5.20)$$

where $2 \leq j \leq l$ for $A_l^{(1)}$, $2 \leq j \leq l$ for $B_l^{(1)}$, $2 \leq j \leq l-1$ for $C_l^{(1)}$ and $2 \leq j \leq l-2$ for $D_l^{(1)}$.

In addition, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l+1)} = \mp \frac{1}{q^m - q^{-m}} A_m^l, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} q^{\pm(j-1)m} \mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} = 0 \quad \text{for } A_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l} = \pm \frac{1}{q^m - q^{-m}} \left((q^m + q^{-m}) q^{\pm m} A_m^l - A_m^{l-1} \right) \quad \text{for } C_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.22)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l-1)} = \pm \frac{1}{q^m - q^{-m}} \left(q^{\pm m} A_m^{l-1} + q^{\pm m} A_m^l - A_m^{l-2} \right), \quad (5.23)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l} = \pm \frac{1}{q^m - q^{-m}} \left(q^{\pm 2m} A_m^l - A_m^{l-1} \right) \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}. \quad (5.24)$$

Remark. The level-1 case i.e. $c = 1$, the $A_l^{(1)}$ type relation was given in [26,27] and the $D_l^{(1)}$ type was essentially given in [28], where parameters q and t should be identified with our $p^{*\frac{1}{2}} = p^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}$ and $p^{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. However the $B_l^{(1)}$ and $C_l^{(1)}$ cases are different from those given in [28]. At least the formulas for $B_l^{(1)}$ and $C_l^{(1)}$ seem to be reversed. Our definitions and relations are valid for arbitrary level c .

Although the expressions of $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ are complicated depending on the types of the affine Lie algebras, their commutation relations are rather universal:

Theorem 5.3. *For $1 \leq j, k \leq l$, the following commutation relations hold. For $A_l^{(1)}$,*

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm j}] = [\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\mp j}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm][(2\eta+1)m]}{m(q-q^{-1})^2 [m]^3 [2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm k}] = \delta_{m+n,0} q^{\mp(\text{sgn}(k-j)2\eta+k-j)m} \frac{[cm]}{m(q-q^{-1}) [m]^2 [2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}, \quad (5.26)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\mp k}] = -\delta_{m+n,0} q^{\pm(2\eta+j+k)m} \frac{[cm]}{m(q-q^{-1}) [m]^2 [2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}. \quad (5.27)$$

For $B_l^{(1)}, C_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}$,

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm j}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm][\eta m][2(\eta+1)m]}{m(q-q^{-1})^2[m]^3[2\eta m][(\eta+1)m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}, \quad (5.28)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\mp j}] = \mp \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{q^{\pm jm}[cm][\eta m]}{m[m]^3(q-q^{-1})^2[2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} \left(q^{\pm(\eta+j)m}[m] \pm q^{\mp(j-1)m}[\eta m]_+ \right), \quad (5.29)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm k}] = \mp \operatorname{sgn}(k-j) \delta_{m+n,0} q^{\mp(\operatorname{sgn}(k-j)\eta+k-j)m} \frac{[cm][\eta m]}{m(q-q^{-1})[m]^2[2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}, \quad (5.30)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\mp k}] = \mp \delta_{m+n,0} q^{\pm(\eta+j+k)m} \frac{[cm][\eta m]}{m(q-q^{-1})[m]^2[2\eta m]} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm}. \quad (5.31)$$

Here

$$\operatorname{sgn}(l-j) = \begin{cases} + & (l > j), \\ - & (l < j). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation using Proposition 5.2 and (5.1). \square

Proposition 5.4. For $1 \leq i \leq l$, the following commutation relations hold.

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm j}] = \pm \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm]}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} (q^{\mp m} \delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j-1}) \quad (5.32)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq l$ for $A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l-1$ for $C_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l-2$ for $D_l^{(1)}$. In addition,

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm l}] = \pm \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm]}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} (q^{\mp m} (q^m + q^{-m}) \delta_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l-1}) \text{ for } C_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.33)$$

and

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm(l-1)}] = \pm \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm]}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} (q^{\mp m} \delta_{i,l-1} + q^{\mp m} \delta_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l-2}), \quad (5.34)$$

$$[\alpha_{i,m}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\pm l}] = \pm \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[cm]}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} q^{-cm} (q^{\mp 2m} \delta_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l-1}) \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}. \quad (5.35)$$

From (2.15) and (2.16) we also obtain the following relations.

Proposition 5.5. For $1 \leq j \leq l$,

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm i}, e_j(z)] = \pm \frac{q^{-cm} z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} e_j(z) (q^{\pm m} \delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j}), \quad (5.36)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm i}, f_j(z)] = \mp \frac{z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} f_j(z) (q^{\pm m} \delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j}) \quad (5.37)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq l$ for $A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ for $C_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l-2$ for $D_l^{(1)}$. In addition,

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l}, e_j(z)] = \pm \frac{q^{-cm} z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1-p^m}{1-p^{*m}} e_j(z) (q^{\pm m} (q^m + q^{-m}) \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-1,j}), \quad (5.38)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l}, f_j(z)] = \mp \frac{z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} f_j(z) (q^{\pm m} (q^m + q^{-m}) \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-1,j}) \quad \text{for } C_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.39)$$

and

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l-1)}, e_j(z)] = \pm \frac{q^{-cm} z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} e_j(z) (q^{\pm m} \delta_{l-1,j} + q^{\pm m} \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-2,j}), \quad (5.40)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm(l-1)}, f_j(z)] = \mp \frac{z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} f_j(z) (q^{\pm m} \delta_{l-1,j} + q^{\pm m} \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-2,j}), \quad (5.41)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l}, e_j(z)] = \pm \frac{q^{-cm} z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} \frac{1 - p^m}{1 - p^{*m}} e_j(z) (q^{\pm 2m} \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-1,j}), \quad (5.42)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm l}, f_j(z)] = \mp \frac{z^m}{m(q^m - q^{-m})} f_j(z) (q^{\pm 2m} \delta_{l,j} - \delta_{l-1,j}) \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}. \quad (5.43)$$

5.2 The Elliptic Currents $k_{\pm j}(z)$

Let us set

$$\psi_j(z) =: \exp \left\{ (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{j,m}}{1 - p^m} p^m z^{-m} \right\} :. \quad (5.44)$$

Then the elliptic currents $\psi_j^{\pm}(z)$ in Definition 2.1 can be written as

$$\psi_j^+(q^{-\frac{c}{2}} z) = K_j^+ \psi_j(z), \quad \psi_j^-(q^{-\frac{c}{2}} z) = K_j^- \psi_j(pq^{-c} z). \quad (5.45)$$

Let us introduce the new currents $k_{\pm j}(z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$) associated with $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ by

$$k_{\pm j}(z) =: \exp \left\{ \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{[m]^2 (q - q^{-1})^2}{1 - p^m} p^m \mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j} z^{-m} \right\} : \quad (5.46)$$

and in addition we define $k_0(z)$ for $B_l^{(1)}$ by

$$k_0(z) =: k_{-l}(q^{-1/2} z) \psi_l(q^{-1/2} z) :=: k_{+l}(q^{1/2} z) \psi_l(q^{1/2} z)^{-1} :. \quad (5.47)$$

Then from Proposition 5.1 we have the following decompositions.

Proposition 5.6.

$$\psi_j(z) =: k_{+j}(z) k_{+(j+1)}(qz)^{-1} :=: k_{-j}(z)^{-1} k_{-(j+1)}(q^{-1} z) : \quad (5.48)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq l-1$ for $A_l^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l-1$ for $B_l^{(1)}$, $C_l^{(1)}$ and $D_l^{(1)}$. In addition,

$$\psi_l(z) =: k_{+l}(z) k_0(q^{-1/2} z)^{-1} :=: k_{-l}(z)^{-1} k_0(q^{1/2} z) : \quad \text{for } B_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.49)$$

$$=: k_{+l}(q^{-1} z) k_{-l}(qz)^{-1} : \quad \text{for } C_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.50)$$

$$=: k_{+(l-1)}(z) k_{-l}(q^{-1} z)^{-1} :=: k_{-(l-1)}(z)^{-1} k_{+l}(qz) : \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}. \quad (5.51)$$

Now let us introduce the functions $\tilde{\rho}^+(z)$, which appear associated with the elliptic dynamical R -matrices [40]:

$$\tilde{\rho}^+(z) = \frac{\{q^2 z\} \{\xi^2 q^{-2} z\} \{p \xi^2 / z\} \{p / z\}}{\{\xi^2 z\} \{z\} \{p \xi^2 q^{-2} / z\} \{p q^2 / z\}} \quad \text{for } A_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.52)$$

$$= \frac{\{\xi z\}^2 \{\xi^2 q^{-2} z\} \{q^2 z\} \{p \xi^2 / z\} \{p / z\} \{p \xi q^{-2} / z\} \{p \xi q^{-2} / z\}}{\{\xi^2 z\} \{z\} \{\xi q^2 z\} \{\xi q^{-2} z\} \{p \xi / z\}^2 \{p \xi^2 q^{-2} / z\} \{p q^2 / z\}} \quad \text{for } B_l^{(1)}, C_l^{(1)}, D_l^{(1)}, \quad (5.53)$$

where $\xi = q^{-2\eta}$, $\{z\} = (z; p, \xi^2)_\infty$. The following Theorem indicates a deep relationship between $k_{\pm j}(z)$'s and elliptic dynamical R -matrices.

Theorem 5.7.

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\pm j}(z_1)k_{\pm j}(z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(z)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(z)}k_{\pm j}(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1), \quad (1 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{+j}(q^j z_1)k_{+k}(q^k z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(z)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(z)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)}k_{+k}(q^k z_2)k_{+j}(q^j z_1) \quad (1 \leq j < k \leq l), \\
k_{-j}(q^{-j} z_1)k_{-k}(q^{-k} z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(z)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(z)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)}k_{-k}(q^{-k} z_2)k_{-j}(q^{-j} z_1) \quad (1 \leq k < j \leq l), \\
k_{+j}(q^j z_1)k_{-k}(q^{-k} \xi z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(z)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(z)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)}k_{-k}(q^{-k} \xi z_2)k_{+j}(q^j z_1) \quad (j \neq k), \\
k_{+j}(q^j z_1)k_{-j}(q^{-j} \xi z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(u)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(u)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{2j-2}\xi^{-1}z)\Theta_p(q^{2j}\xi^{-1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{2j}\xi^{-1}z)\Theta_p(q^{2j-2}\xi^{-1}z)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)}k_{-j}(q^{-j}\xi z_2)k_{+j}(q^j z_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $z = z_1/z_2$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(z) = \tilde{\rho}^+(z)|_{p \rightarrow p^*}$. In addition, for $B_l^{(1)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0(z_1)k_0(z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(u)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(u)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(q^2z)\Theta_{p^*}(qz)\Theta_p(q^{-1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^2z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-1}z)\Theta_p(qz)}k_0(z_2)k_0(z_1), \\
k_{+j}(q^j z_1)k_0(q^{l-1/2} z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(u)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(u)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-2}z)\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^{-2}z)}k_0(q^{l-1/2} z_2)k_{+j}(q^j z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{-j}(\xi q^{-j} z_1)k_0(q^{l-1/2} z_2) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{+*}(u)}{\tilde{\rho}^+(u)} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(z)\Theta_p(q^2z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^2z)\Theta_p(z)}k_0(q^{l-1/2} z_2)k_{-j}(\xi q^{-j} z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation using Theorem 5.3. □

In addition from Proposition 5.5, we obtain:

Proposition 5.8.

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\pm j}(z_1)e_j(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 2}z)}e_j(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{\pm j}(z_1)e_{j-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\pm 1}z)}e_{j-1}(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (2 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{\pm j}(z_1)e_k(z_2) &= e_k(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (k \neq j, j-1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\pm j}(z_1)f_j(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 2}z)}{\Theta_p(z)}f_j(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{\pm j}(z_1)f_{j-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\pm 1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 1}z)}f_{j-1}(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (2 \leq j \leq l), \\
k_{\pm j}(z_1)f_k(z_2) &= f_k(z_2)k_{\pm j}(z_1) \quad (k \neq j, j-1)
\end{aligned}$$

for $A_l^{(1)}, B_l^{(1)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l$, $C_l^{(1)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, $D_l^{(1)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l-2$. In addition, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1)e_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c+l}z)\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c+l-1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c+l-2}z)\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c+l+1}z)}e_l(z_2)k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1), \\
k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1)e_j(z_2) &= e_j(z_2)k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-1), \\
k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1)f_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{l-2}z)\Theta_p(q^{l+1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^l z)\Theta_p(q^{l-1}z)}f_l(z_2)k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1), \\
k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1)f_j(z_2) &= f_j(z_2)k_0(q^{l-1/2}z_1) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l-1) \quad \text{for } B_l^{(1)}, \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\pm 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 3}z)}e_l(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_{l-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\pm 1}z)}e_{l-1}(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_j(z_2) &= e_j(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 3}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\pm 1}z)}f_l(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_{l-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\pm 1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 1}z)}f_{l-1}(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_j(z_2) &= f_j(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1) \quad \text{for } C_l^{(1)}, \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)e_j(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 2}z)}e_j(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1) \quad (j = l, l-1), \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)e_{l-2}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\pm 1}z)}e_{l-2}(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)e_j(z_2) &= e_j(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1, l-2), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 3}z)}e_l(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_{l-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\mp 1}z)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q^{-c\pm 1}z)}e_{l-1}(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)e_j(z_2) &= e_j(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1), \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)f_j(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 2}z)}{\Theta_p(z)}f_j(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1) \quad (j = l, l-1), \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)f_{l-2}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\pm 1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 1}z)}f_{l-2}(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1)f_j(z_2) &= f_j(z_2)k_{\pm(l-1)}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1, l-2), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_l(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 3}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 1}z)}f_l(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_{l-1}(z_2) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^{\pm 1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^{\mp 1}z)}f_{l-1}(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1), \\
k_{\pm l}(z_1)f_j(z_2) &= f_j(z_2)k_{\pm l}(z_1) \quad (j \neq l, l-1) \quad \text{for } D_l^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The elliptic bosons $\mathcal{E}_m^{\pm j}$ and their elliptic currents $k_{\pm j}(z)$ are useful to realize the L -operators and the vertex operators for $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ as well as deformation of the W -algebras. We will discuss this subject in separate papers.

Acknowledgements

H.K is supported by the Grant-in -Aid for Scientific Research (C) 22540022 JSPS, Japan. R.M.F is grateful to the Egyptian government for a scholarship.

A The Drinfeld Realization of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra.

Definition A.1. *The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the Drinfeld realization is a unital \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by q^h ($h \in \mathfrak{h}$), $a_{i,n}^\vee$, $x_{i,m}^\pm$ ($i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$) \bar{d} and the central element c . We set*

$$x_i^\pm(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{i,m}^\pm z^{-m}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\psi_i(z) = q_i^{h_i} \exp \left((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} a_{i,n}^\vee z^{-n} \right), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\varphi_i(z) = q_i^{-h_i} \exp \left(-(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{n>0} a_{i,-n}^\vee z^n \right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The defining relations are as follows.

$$[q_i^{\pm h_i}, \bar{d}] = 0, \quad [\bar{d}, a_{i,n}] = n a_{i,n}, \quad [\bar{d}, x_{i,n}^\pm] = n x_{i,n}^\pm, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$[q_i^{\pm h_i}, a_{j,n}] = 0, \quad q_i^{h_i} x_j^\pm(z) = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_j^\pm(z) q_i^{h_i}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$[a_{i,n}^\vee, a_{j,m}^\vee] = \frac{[a_{ij}n]_i [cn]_j}{n} q^{-c|n|} \delta_{n+m,0}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$[a_{i,n}^\vee, x_j^+(z)] = \frac{[a_{ij}n]_i}{n} q^{-c|n|} z^n x_j^+(z), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$[a_{i,n}^\vee, x_j^-(z)] = -\frac{[a_{ij}n]_i}{n} z^n x_j^-(z), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$(z - q^{\pm b_{ij}} w) x_i^\pm(z) x_j^\pm(w) = (q^{\pm b_{ij}} z - w) x_j^\pm(w) x_i^\pm(z), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$[x_i^+(z), x_j^-(w)] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\delta(q^{-k} \frac{z}{w}) \psi_i(q^{k/2} w) - \delta(q^k \frac{z}{w}) \varphi_i(q^{-k/2} w) \right), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_a} \sum_{s=0}^a (-)^s \begin{bmatrix} a \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i x_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots x_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(s)}) x_j^\pm(w) x_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(s+1)}) \cdots x_i^\pm(z_{\sigma(a)}) = 0, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$(i \neq j, a = 1 - a_{ij}).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the category C_k of the level- k $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules in the same way as \mathfrak{C}_k of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in Sec.2. Let $a_{i,n} = [d_i] a_{i,n}^\vee$ ($i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$) be the simple root type level- k Drinfeld bosons. They satisfy

$$[a_{i,n}, a_{j,m}] = \frac{[b_{ij}n][kn]}{n} q^{-k|n|} \delta_{n+m,0}.$$

For $(V, \bar{\pi}) \in C_k$, we define the Z -operators associated with the level- k $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module V by

$$Z_i^\pm(z; V) = \exp \left(\mp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\bar{\pi}(a_{i,-n})}{[kn]} q^{\frac{1 \mp 1}{2} kn} z^n \right) \bar{\pi}(x_i^\pm(z)) \exp \left(\pm \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\bar{\pi}(a_{i,n})}{[kn]} q^{\frac{1 \mp 1}{2} kn} z^{-n} \right).$$

The coefficients $Z_{i,n}^\pm(V)$ of $Z_i^\pm(z; V) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{i,n}^\pm(V) z^{-n}$ in z are well defined elements in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$.

Theorem A.2. *The Z -operators $Z_i^\pm(z; V)$ satisfy the same relations in Theorem 3.3 except for (3.16),(3.17) with replacement $\mathcal{Z}_j^\pm(z; \mathcal{V})$, $\alpha_{j,m}$, d and K_j^\pm by $Z_i^\pm(z; V)$, $a_{j,m}$, \bar{d} and $q_j^{\mp h_j}$, respectively.*

Remark. This theorem is essentially due to Jing [23]. However, in [23] no Serre relations are written explicitly. There are also some misprints in Theorem 2.2 in [23]:

- $(1 - q^\mp w/z)_{q^{2k}}^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$ should be read as $(1 - q^\mp w/z)_{q^{2k}}^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$
- $(1 - q^\mp z/w)_{q^{2k}}^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$ should be read as $(1 - q^\mp z/w)_{q^{2k}}^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$
- $(1 - w/z)_{q^{2k}}^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$ should be read as $(1 - w/z)_{q^{2k}}^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$
- $(1 - z/w)_{q^{2k}}^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$ should be read as $(1 - z/w)_{q^{2k}}^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)/k}$

Definition A.3. *For $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $(V, \bar{\pi}) \in C_k$, we call the subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$ generated by $Z_{i,m}^\pm(V)$, $q_i^{\pm h_i}$ ($i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}$) and \bar{d} the quantum Z -algebra Z_V associated with $(V, \bar{\pi})$. We also define the universal quantum Z algebra Z_k as a topological algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[q^{2k}]]$ in the same way as \mathcal{Z}_k in Definition 3.5. We denote the generators in Z_k by $Z_{j,m}^\pm$ ($j \in I$).*

References

- [1] H. Konno, An Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and the Fusion RSOS Models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998) 373–403.
- [2] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi, Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$: Drinfeld Currents and Vertex Operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1999) 605–647
- [3] V.G. Drinfeld, A New Realization of Yangians and Quantized Affine Algebras. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988) 212-216.
- [4] C.Frønsdal, Quasi-Hopf Deformations of Quantum Groups, *Lett.Math.Phys.* **40** (1997) 117–134.
- [5] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi, Quasi-Hopf Twistors for Elliptic Quantum Groups, *Transformation Groups* **4** (1999) 303–327.

- [6] B. Enriquez and G. Felder, Elliptic Quantum Groups $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and Quasi-Hopf Algebra , *Comm.Math.Phys.*, **195**, 1998, 651–689.
- [7] T. Kojima and H. Konno, The Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ and the Drinfeld Realization of the Elliptic Quantum Group $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$, *Comm.Math.Phys.***239** (2003) 405-447.
- [8] P.Etingof and A.Varchenko, Solutions of the Quantum Dynamical Yang-Baxter Equation and Dynamical Quantum Groups, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, **196** (1998) 591–640 ; Exchange Dynamical Quantum Groups, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, **205** (1999) 19–52.
- [9] E.Koelink and H.Rosengren, Harmonic Analysis on the $SU(2)$ Dynamical Quantum Group, *Acta.Appl.Math.*, **69**, 2001, 163–220.
- [10] H. Konno, Elliptic Quantum Group $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Hopf Algebroid Structure and Elliptic Hypergeometric Series, *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** (2008) 1485-1511.
- [11] P. Goddard, A.Kent and D. Olive Unitary Representations of the Virasoro and Super-Virasoro Algebras, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **103** (1986) 105-119.
- [12] F. Ravanini, An Infinite Class of New Conformal Field Theories with Extended Algebras, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A3** (1988) 397-412.
- [13] D. Kastor, E. Martinec and Z. Qiu, Current Algebra and Conformal Discrete Series *Phys.Lett.B* **200**(1988) 434-440.
- [14] J. Bagger, D. Nemeschansky and S. Yankielowicz, Virasoro Algebras with Central Charge $c > 1$ *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **60**(1988) 389-392.
- [15] P. Di Francesco, H. Saleur and J-B. Zuber. Generalized Coulomb-Gas Formalism for Two Dimensional Critical Models Based on $SU(2)$ Coset Construction *Nucl.Phys.***B300**(1988) 393-432.
- [16] A. Gerasimov and A. Marshakov and A. Morozov. Free field representation of parafermions and related coset models *Nucl.Phys.***B328**(1989) 664-676.
- [17] A.B. Zamolodchikov and V.A. Fateev. Representations of the algebra of “parafermion currents” of spin $4/3$ in two-dimensional conformal field theory. Minimal models and the tricritical potts \mathbb{Z}_3 model. *Theor. Math. Phys.***71** (1987) 451–462.
- [18] J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, A New Family of Algebras Underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities and Generalizations, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78** (1981) 7254-7258; The Structure of Standard Modules, I: Universal Algebras and the Roger-Ramanujan Identities, *Invent.Math.***77** (1984) 199–290.

- [19] S. Lukyanov and Y. Pugai. Multi-point Local Height Probabilities in the Integrable RSOS Model”, *Nucl.Phys.* **B473**(1996) 631-658.
- [20] D. Gepner, New Conformal Field Theories Associated with Lie Algebras and Their Partition Functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B290**(1987) 10-24.
- [21] A. Matsuo, A q -deformation of Wakimoto Modules, Primary Fields and Screening Operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **160** (1994), 33-48.
- [22] A. Bougourzi and L. Vinet, A Quantum Analog of the \mathcal{Z} Algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 3548–3567.
- [23] N. Jing, Higher level representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ *J. Algebra* 182 (1996) 448–468; Quantum z -algebras and representations of quantum affine algebras, *Comm. Alg.* **28** (2000) 829-844.
- [24] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, S. Odake, Y. Pugai and J. Shiraishi, Free Field Construction for the ABF Models in Regime II, *J. Stat. Phys.* **102** (2001) 883–921
- [25] P.Christe and F.Ravanini, $G_N \otimes G_L/G_{N+L}$ Conformal Field Theories and Their Modular Invariant Partition Functions, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A4**(1989) 897–920.
- [26] B.Feigin and E.Frenkel, Quantum W -Algebras and Elliptic Algebras, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **178** (1996) 653–678.
- [27] H.Awata, H.Kubo, S.Odake and J.Shiraishi, Quantum W_N Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **179** (1996) 401–416.
- [28] E.Frenkel and N.Reshetikhin, Deformation of W -Algebras Associated to Simple Lie Algebras, q-alg/9708006.
- [29] T. Kojima and H. Konno, The Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and the Deformation of W_N Algebra, *J.Phys.***A37** (2004) 371-383.
- [30] P.Bouwknegt and K.Schoutens, W Symmetry in Conformal Field Theory, *Phys.Rep.* **223** (1993) 183–276.
- [31] S.L.Lukyanov and V.A.Fateev , Additional Symmetries and Exactly-Soluble Models in Two-Dimensional Conformal Field Theory, *Sov.Sci.Rev.A.Phys.***15** (1990) 1-117.
- [32] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa and M. Okado, Exactly Solvable SOS Models, *Nucl.Phys.* **B290**(1987) 231-273.

- [33] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa and M. Okado, Solvable Lattice Models Related to the Vector Representation of Classical Simple Lie Algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **116** (1988) 507–525.
- [34] M. Jimbo, and T. Miwa, Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models. *Conference Board of the Math. Sci., Regional Conference Series in Mathematics* **85** (1995) and references therein.
- [35] T. Kojima and H. Konno and R. Weston, The Vertex-Face Correspondence and Correlation Functions of the Fusion Eight-Vertex Models I: The General Formalism, *Nucl.Phys.***B720** (2005) 348-398.
- [36] H. Konno, Elliptic Quantum Group $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and Vertex Operators, *J.Phys.A***41** (2008) 194012.
- [37] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algebres de Lie , Chaps. 4–6, Paris: Hermann 1968.
- [38] V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras, *3rd. ed. Cambridge University Press*, 1990.
- [39] I.B.Frenkel and N.Jing, Vertex Representations of Quantum Affine Algebras , *Proc. Nat.Acad. Sci. USA* , **85**, 1988, 9373–9377.
- [40] H. Konno, Dynamical R Matrices of Elliptic Quantum Groups and Connection Matrices for the q -KZ Equations, *SIGMA* **2** (2006) Paper 091.
- [41] J.Lepowsky and M.Primc, Standard Modules for Type One Affine Lie Algebras, *Lec.Note in Math.***1052** (1984) 194-251.
- [42] D.Bernard, Vertex Operator Representations of the Quantum Affine Algebra $U_q(B_r^{(1)})$, *Lett.Math.Phys.***17** (1989) 239-245.
- [43] N.Jing and K.C.Misra, Vertex Operators of Level-One $U_q(B_r^{(1)})$ -modules, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **36** (1996) 127-143.
- [44] M.Idzumi, Level 2 Irreducible Representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Vertex Operators, and Their Correlations, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.***A9** (1994) 4449-4484.