

# Cosmological entropy production and viscous processes in the $(1 + 3 + 6)$ -dimensional space-times

K. Tomita\*

*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

\*E-mail: ketomita@ybb.ne.jp

.....  
Cosmological entropy production is studied in the  $(1 + 3 + 6)$ -dimensional space-times consisting of the outer space (the 3-dimensional expanding section) and the inner space (the 6-dimensional section). The inner space expands initially and contracts later. First it is shown how the production of the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon is strengthened by the dissipation due to viscous processes between the two spaces, in which we consider the viscosity caused by the gravitational-wave transport. Next it is shown under what conditions we can have the critical epoch when  $S_3$  reaches the value  $10^{88}$  in the Guth level and at the same time the outer space is decoupled from the inner space. Moreover, the total entropy  $S_9$  in the 9-dimensional space at the primeval expanding stage is also shown corresponding to  $S_3$ .

## 1. Introduction

Our observed Universe consists of 4-dimensional space-time and its 3-dimensional spatial section is very isotropic, homogeneous and flat. According to the super-string theories, on the other hand, the space-time originally has 10 ( $= 1 + 9$ ) dimensions and our Universe is considered as a partial section of the total space-time after its evolution. In order that the section may be our observed Universe, it must satisfy the famous cosmological condition that it has the vast entropy  $\sim 10^{88}$  within the horizon-size region.[1]

Recently the evolution of the space-time was analyzed by Kim et al.[2, 3] in a matrix model of super-string theory and it was shown that owing to the dimensional symmetry-breaking the total 9-dimensional space is separated into the outer space (the 3-dimensional expanding section) and the inner space (the 6-dimensional expanding section), and that the expansion rate of the inner space is smaller than that of the outer space. As suggested by them, this may show the beginning of the separation of our  $(1 + 3)$ -dimensional Universe from the other section. In order that our Universe may form in this direction, the evolution of the inner space must tend from the expanding phase to the contracting phase, collapse and finally decouple from the expanding outer space, while the outer space inflates and tends to the Friedman phase. A similar scenario of such a dynamic evolution of anisotropic multi-dimensional space-times was studied in the form of Kaluza-Klein models in 1980-1990 [4–7]. The cosmological entropy problem was also discussed in many papers[8–14] in the framework of classical relativity. Kolb et al.[12] paid attention to the freeze-out epoch and found it is impossible, if the dimension of the inner space is less than 16, that at that epoch we obtain the 3-dimensional entropy within the horizon in the Guth level and the outer

---

space is decoupled from the inner space. Abbott et al.[9, 10] showed that it is possible, if the dimension of the inner space is  $\sim 40$ .

In this paper we consider the entropy production which is obtained at an epoch different from the freeze-out epoch, so as to avoid Kolb et al.'s and Abbott et al.'s above results. For this purpose we show in Sect. 2 our previous treatment[14] for the dynamics of multi-dimensional space-times. As for the initial condition, the multi-dimensional universe is assumed to start from the state of nearly isotropic expansion, in the same way as Kolb et al.'s and Abbott et al.'s treatments, but in a different way from that in our previous one.[14] in which we treated only highly anisotropic cases. We include imperfect fluid with viscosity caused by the transport of gravitational waves, as well as the perfect fluid. In Sect. 3 we describe the difference between the freeze-out epoch ( $t_*$ ), the decoupling (or stabilization) epoch and the epoch ( $t_\dagger$ ) when the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon reaches the critical value  $10^{88}$ . In Sect. 4 we study first the behaviors of  $S_3$  and the total entropy  $S$ , and compare them in two cases with non-viscous and viscous fluids. The role of viscosity is found to be so strong as to bring vast entropies at the final stage in the collapse of the compact inner space and the inflation of the outer space. Next, using approximate power solutions (at the final stage), the condition (A) for  $S_3 \sim 10^{88}$  is derived, together with the condition (B) that the outer space should be decoupled from the inner space, and the compatibility of the two conditions A and B at epoch  $t_\dagger$  (different from  $t_*$ ) is shown.

In Sect. 5, moreover, we solve numerically the differential evolution equations for scale factors from the initial singular epoch to the final epoch, taking account of viscosity due to the gravitational-wave transport and derive the model parameters satisfying the above two conditions A and B, by comparing their solutions (at the later stage) with the asymptotic power solutions. In Sect. 6 we derive the (9-dimensional) primeval total entropy  $S_9$ , and it is found that the primeval entropy in the viscous case is much smaller than that in the non-viscous case. In Sect. 7, the epoch which may cause the dimensional symmetry-breaking is discussed from the viewpoint of energy conservation, and the possible epoch of symmetry-breaking is estimated. In Sect. 8 concluding remarks are given. In Appendix A the treatment of imperfect fluids is shown, in Appendix B the Planck length in the outer space is derived in connection with the sizes of these two spaces, and in Appendix C the relations between  $S_3$  and  $S_9$  are derived.

## 2. Multi-dimensional space-times with viscous fluid

First we assume that the fluid consists of massless particles with the energy density  $\epsilon$  and the pressure  $p$  expressed as

$$\epsilon = \mathcal{N} a_n T^{4+n} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \epsilon/(3+n), \quad (1)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature,  $n(=6)$  is the dimension of the inner space,  $a_n$  is the  $(4+n)$ -dimensional Stefan-Boltzmann constant defined by

$$a_n \equiv (3+n) \Gamma((4+n)/2) \zeta(4+n)/\pi^{(4+n)/2}, \quad (2)$$

and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the number of particle species. The units  $c = \hbar = k$  (the Boltzmann constant) = 1 are used.

Since the total entropy  $S$  within a comoving volume  $V$  is given from the second law of thermodynamics by

$$TdS = V[d\epsilon + (\epsilon + p)dV/V], \quad (3)$$

$S$  is expressed as

$$S/V = [(4+n)/(2+n)]\mathcal{N}a_n(\epsilon/\mathcal{N}a_n)^{(3+n)/(4+n)}. \quad (4)$$

As viscous quantities, we have the shear viscosity  $\eta$  and the bulk viscosity  $\zeta$ , but  $\zeta$  vanishes for the fluid of massless particles. In imperfect fluids, propagating gravitational waves are absorbed in multi-dimensional universes as well as in 4-dimensional universes. The corresponding  $\eta$  is expressed as

$$\kappa\eta = \eta_0\sqrt{\kappa\epsilon}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\eta_0 \equiv \left[ \frac{4+n}{2(3+n)(5+n)} \frac{\mathcal{N}_r}{\mathcal{N}} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (6)$$

$G = \kappa/8\pi$  is a  $(4+n)$ -dimensional gravitational constant, and  $\mathcal{N}_r$  is the number of radiative particle species absorbing gravitational waves. We consider states so hot that there are many interactions between particles, and so we assume  $\mathcal{N}_r/\mathcal{N} = 1$  for simplicity. The definition of  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  and the derivation of Eq.(6) are shown in Appendix A.

The space-times are described by the line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{MN}dx^M dx^N \\ &= -c^2 dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + h(t)^2 g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $M, N = 0, \dots, (3+n)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\alpha, \beta = 4, \dots, (3+n)$ . The spaces with metrics  $g_{ij}$  and  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  are the spaces with constant curvatures  $k_f$  and  $k_h$  ( $= 0$  or  $\pm 1$ ). The Einstein equations are

$$R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{MN} = \kappa T_{MN}, \quad (8)$$

where  $G = \kappa/8\pi$  is a  $(4+n)$ -dimensional gravitational constant. The energy-momentum tensor  $T_{MN}$  for fluids with energy density  $\epsilon$ , pressure  $p$  and viscosity  $\eta$  is defined in Appendix A, and their components are expressed under the comoving condition ( $u^L = \delta_0^L$ ) as

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^0 &= -\epsilon, \quad T_M^0 = 0 \quad (M \neq 0), \\ T_N^M &= p'\delta_N^M - \eta\kappa_N^M \quad (M, N \neq 0), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $\kappa_j^i = 2(\dot{f}/f)\delta_j^i$ ,  $\kappa_\beta^\alpha = 2(\dot{h}/h)\delta_\beta^\alpha$ , (an overdot denoting  $\partial/\partial t$ ) and

$$p' \equiv p - \left[ \zeta - \frac{2}{3+n}\eta \right] \dot{V}/V, \quad (10)$$

where  $\dot{V}/V = 3\dot{f}/f + n\dot{h}/h$ . Then the above Einstein equations are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{f}/f &= -2(\dot{f}^2 + k_f)/f^2 - n\dot{f}\dot{h}/(fh) - 2\kappa\eta\dot{f}/f + \kappa A/(2+n), \\ \ddot{h}/h &= -(n-1)(\dot{h}^2 + k_h)/h^2 - 3\dot{f}\dot{h}/(fh) - 2\kappa\eta\dot{h}/h + \kappa A/(2+n), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$A \equiv \epsilon - p + \left[ \zeta + \frac{2(2+n)}{3+n}\eta \right] \dot{V}/V, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\kappa\epsilon = 3(\dot{f}^2 + k_f)/f^2 + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(\dot{h}^2 + k_h)/h^2 + 3n\dot{f}\dot{h}/(fh). \quad (13)$$

From the above equations we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\epsilon} = & -(\epsilon + p)\dot{V}/V + \left[\zeta + \frac{2(2+n)}{3+n}\eta\right](\dot{V}/V)^2 - 2\eta\{3(\dot{f}/f)(2\dot{f}/f + n\dot{h}/h) \\ & + n(\dot{h}/h)[3\dot{f}/f + (n-1)\dot{h}/h]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For the change in the total entropy  $S$ , we obtain from Eqs.(4) and (14)

$$(\epsilon + p)\dot{S}/S = \zeta(\dot{V}/V)^2 + \frac{6n}{(3+n)}\eta(\dot{f}/f - \dot{h}/h)^2 > 0. \quad (15)$$

Evidently  $S = \text{const}$  for the non-viscous case ( $\eta = \zeta = 0$ ).

It is assumed that initially the universe expands isotropically, but the expansion of the  $n$ -dimensional inner space is slower than that of the 3-dimensional outer space. At the later anisotropic stage the inner space contracts, while the outer space continues to expand. These behaviors correspond to the solutions with  $k_h = 1$  and  $k_f = 0$  or  $-1$ . Their solutions can be derived solving Eq.(11) numerically, but, paying attention to their early isotropic stage and the later anisotropic stage (after the epoch of maximum expansion of the inner space), we can use approximate power solutions which are derived in the following, neglecting the curvature terms. They are expressed as

$$h = h_I(t - t_I)^\mu, \quad f = f_I(t - t_I)^\nu \quad (16)$$

with  $\mu = \nu$  at the isotropic stage, and

$$h = h_A(t_A - t)^\mu, \quad f = f_A(t_A - t)^\nu \quad (17)$$

with  $\mu \neq \nu$  at the anisotropic stage, where  $h_I, f_I, t_I, h_A, f_A$ , and  $t_A$  are constants. The times  $t_I$  and  $t_A$  represent the initial epoch of the isotropic stage and the final epoch of the anisotropic stage, respectively. From Eq. (11), we obtain the solutions expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (n\mu + 3\nu - 1)(n\mu + 3\nu) - H &= 0, \\ (\mu - \nu)(n\mu + 3\nu - 1 - 2\eta_0\sqrt{H}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $H \equiv 3\nu^2 + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mu^2 + 3n\mu\nu$ .

In the isotropic case, the solutions of these equations and the energy density are

$$\mu = \nu = 2/(4+n), \quad \kappa\epsilon = H(t - t_I)^{-2} (> 0). \quad (19)$$

In the anisotropic case, which we consider from now on, they are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (1 + \xi/n)[(3+n)(1 - 4\eta_0^2)]^{-1}, \\ \nu &= (1 - \xi/3)[(3+n)(1 - 4\eta_0^2)]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with

$$\xi^2 \equiv n^2 + 2n(3+n)(1 - 12\eta_0^2), \quad (21)$$

and

$$\kappa\epsilon = H(t_A - t)^{-2}, \quad (22)$$

where  $H = 4\eta_0^2/(1 - 4\eta_0^2)$ . For viscous fluid and  $n = 6$ , we have  $\mu = 0.345, \nu = -0.272$ , and  $\eta_0 = 0.225$ , where Eq.(6) was used. Moreover,  $H > 0$  and so Eq.(22) is applicable. For non-viscous fluid ( $\eta_0 = 0$ ), we have  $\mu = -\nu = 1/3$ , but  $H = 0$ , so that Eq.(22) is not applicable,

and we must take account of higher terms in  $f$  and  $h$  due to the curvature terms, to derive  $\epsilon$ . For  $\eta_0 = 0$ , on the other hand,  $S$  is constant and so from Eq.(4)

$$\epsilon \propto V^{-(4+n)/(3+n)} \propto (t_A - t)^{-\alpha}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\alpha \equiv (n\mu + 3\nu)(4 + n)/(3 + n) = 10/9$  for  $n = 6$ .

The temperature  $T \propto \epsilon^{1/(n+4)}$  is expressed as

$$T \propto (t_A - t)^{-1/5}, \quad (t_A - t)^{-1/9} \quad (24)$$

for  $\eta_0 \neq 0, = 0$ , respectively. Accordingly, the temperature change for  $\eta_0 \neq 0$  is very rapid, compared with that for  $\eta_0 = 0$ .

Around the epoch when the inner space takes maximum expansion, the above power solution cannot be used and curvature terms must be considered. Here we specify the epoch  $t_M$  as the epoch which is comparatively near the epoch of maximum expansion but when the power solutions are approximately applicable. In Sect.4 we consider the anisotropic stage after  $t_M$  for simplicity and use the approximate power solutions. In Sect. 5, 6 and 7, we treat the solutions applicable at all epochs and compare them with the approximate power solutions.

### 3. Epochs of freeze-out and decoupling of the inner space

While the inner space continues to collapse, the outer space inflates. In order that the expansion of the outer space may change to the Friedman-like slow one, the inner space must be separated from the outer space (see Fig. 1). This epoch is called the decoupling (or stabilization) epoch. At this epoch the size of the inner space is estimated to be comparable with or smaller than the Planck length in the outer space, so that the space-time may be completely quantized on that scale in the outer space.


Around this epoch, we may have an epoch when the physical state in the inner space may change. Kolb et al. [12] suggested that classical treatments of space-time and fluids in the inner space may be questionable around the epoch ( $t_*$ ) when  $hT = 1$ , in which  $h$  represents the size of the inner space and  $T$  is related to  $\epsilon$  by  $\epsilon = T^{4+n}$  ( $n = 6$ ). This is because  $h$  is comparable with the mean wavelength of massless particles. This epoch ( $t_*$ ) is called the freeze-out epoch. Kolb et al. assumed that  $t_*$  is near the decoupling epoch, and derived the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon. But they found it is impossible for it to reach the expected value  $\sim 10^{88}$  in the Guth level,[1] if the dimension  $n$  of the inner space is less than 16. Abbott et al.[9, 10] found that at  $t_*$  it reaches the expected value, if  $n$  is  $\sim 40$ .

In the next section we analyze the entropies at  $t_\dagger$  (which is different from  $t_*$ ), and derive the condition (A) for  $S_3 = 10^{88}$  using classical relativity. Moreover, we also consider the Planck length in the outer space ( $f_{pl}$ ), corresponding to the Newtonian gravitational constant ( $G_N$ ). It is defined in the connection with  $G (= \kappa/8\pi)$  by

$$\kappa/8\pi = [h(t_\dagger)]^n f_{pl}^2. \quad (25)$$

Using this relation, we derive the condition (B) that the size of the inner space  $h(t)$  is comparable with or smaller than  $f_{pl}$ , and examine the compatibility between conditions A and B.

Fig. 1: Scale factors of outer and inner spaces.



#### 4. Total entropy $S$ and the 3-dimensional entropy $S_3$

The total entropy  $S$  in the multi-dimensional space-time is expressed at the stage of  $(t_A - t) \ll t_A$  using Eqs. (4) and (17) as

$$S \propto (t_A - t)^{-\beta}, \quad (26)$$

where  $\beta \equiv (3 + n)/(4 + n) - (n\mu + 3\nu)$  for  $\eta_0 \neq 0$ . For the non-viscous case,  $S$  is constant. The behavior of  $S$  was discussed in Ref.[14] using the inflation and collapse factors of the outer and inner spaces. The important quantity which is to be noticed directly from the viewpoint of cosmological observations, however, is not  $S$ , but the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon  $l_h$  of 3-dimensional outer space.

Here  $S_3$  is defined by

$$S_3 = s_3(l_h)^3, \quad (27)$$

where the 3-dimensional entropy density  $s_3$  is given by  $s_3 = \epsilon_3^{3/4}$ , and the 3-dimensional energy density  $\epsilon_3$  is

$$\epsilon_3 = h(t)^n \epsilon = h(t)^n T^{n+4}. \quad (28)$$

In contrast to  $S$ ,  $S_3$  increases with time, not only in the viscous case but also in the non-viscous case, because the common temperature  $T$  increases with the decrease of the volume  $V$  of the total space in both cases.

Here and in the following we neglect the factors  $\approx 1$  such as  $a_0$ . Then

$$(S_3)^{1/3} = [h(t)T]^{n+4} h(t)^{-1} l_h, \quad (29)$$

where the horizon-size  $l_h$  is

$$l_h = f(t) \int_0^t dt' / f(t'). \quad (30)$$

#### 4.1. Entropy in the freeze-out epoch $t_*$

At epoch  $t_*$  with  $h(t_*)T_* \equiv 1$ , we have

$$(S_3)_* = [h(t_*)^{-1} f(t_*)]^3 \left[ \int_0^{t_*} dt' / f(t') \right]^3 \sim [(t_A - t_*) / (t_A - t_M)]^{3(\nu - \mu)} (f/h)_M^3, \quad (31)$$

where we assumed that this epoch  $t_*$  is at the stage of  $(t_A - t) \ll t_A$ .

In the non-viscous case, we have  $\mu = -\nu = 1/3$ , and

$$(S_3)_* \sim [(t_A - t_*) / (t_A - t_M)]^{-2} (f/h)_M^3 = [h(t_*) / h(t_M)]^{-6} (f/h)_M^3, \quad (32)$$

which is consistent with Kolb et al.'s result (Eq. (5.5) in Ref.[12]).

In our viscous case ( $n = 6$ ), we have  $\mu = 0.345, \nu = -0.272$  and  $\eta_0 = 0.225$  (cf. Eq.(6)), and

$$(S_3)_* \sim [(t_A - t_{*v}) / (t_A - t_M)]^{-1.83} (f/h)_M^3 = [h(t_{*v}) / h(t_M)]^{-5.38} (f/h)_M^3, \quad (33)$$

where we used the notation  $t_{*v}$  to discriminate  $t_*$  in the viscous case from  $t_*$  in the non-viscous case.

Now let us compare quantities at  $t_*$  and  $t_{*v}$ . Using Eq.(24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(t_*)T(t_*)}{h(t_M)T(t_M)} &= \left( \frac{t_A - t_*}{t_A - t_M} \right)^{2/9}, \\ \frac{h(t_{*v})T(t_{*v})}{h(t_M)T(t_M)} &= \left( \frac{t_A - t_{*v}}{t_A - t_M} \right)^{0.145} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for  $\eta_0 = 0, \eta_0 \neq 0$ , respectively. Since  $h(t_*)T(t_*) = h(t_{*v})T(t_{*v}) = 1$  and  $h(t_M)T(t_M)$  is common, we obtain from these equations

$$\left( \frac{t_A - t_*}{t_A - t_M} \right)^{2/9} = \left( \frac{t_A - t_{*v}}{t_A - t_M} \right)^{0.145}, \quad (35)$$

so that  $S_3$  in the non-viscous case is expressed in terms of  $t_{*v}$  as

$$(S_3)_* \sim \left( \frac{t_A - t_{*v}}{t_A - t_M} \right)^{0.131} (f/h)_M^3 = [h(t_{*v}) / h(t_M)]^{-3.78} (f/h)_M^3. \quad (36)$$

By comparing this with Eq.(33), therefore, it is found that  $(S_3)_*$  in the viscous case is much larger than  $(S_3)_*$  in the non-viscous case, since  $h(t_{*v}) / h(t_M) \ll 1$ .

#### 4.2. Entropy at epoch $t_{\dagger}$ (different from $t_*$ )

Now let us derive the conditions A and B suggested in Sect. 3. First we derive  $S_3$  at epoch  $t_{\dagger}$  with

$$h(t_{\dagger})T_{\dagger} \equiv \lambda (\neq 1). \quad (37)$$

In this case we have

$$(S_3)^{1/3} = \lambda^{n+4} [h(t_{\dagger})^{-1} f(t_{\dagger})] \int_0^{t_{\dagger}} dt' / f(t'). \quad (38)$$

(a) the viscous case ( $\eta_0 = 0.225$ )

Using Eq.(24) we obtain

$$\lambda = [h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{1-\frac{1}{5\mu}} h(t_M) T_M \quad (39)$$

with  $\mu = 0.345$ .

For  $S_3$  at  $t_{\dagger}$ , we obtain neglecting the factors of integrals

$$(S_3)_{\dagger} \sim [h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{\gamma} S_0 \quad (40)$$

with  $\gamma \equiv -3(1 - \nu/\mu) = -5.362$ , where

$$S_0 \equiv \lambda^{3(n+4)/4} (f/h)_M^3 \quad (41)$$

and we assumed that the epoch  $t_{\dagger}$  is at the stage of  $(t_A - t) \ll t_A$ . If we express  $(S_3)_{\dagger}$  in terms of  $\lambda$ , we have by use of Eq.(39)

$$(S_3)_{\dagger} \sim \left[ \lambda / (hT)_M \right]^{\delta} S_0 \quad (42)$$

with  $\delta \equiv [\gamma / (1 - \frac{1}{5\mu})] = -12.77$ . Assuming  $(S_3)_{\dagger} = 10^{88}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M) &= [(S_3)_{\dagger}/S_0]^{1/\gamma} = 10^{-16.4} (S_0)^{0.186}, \\ \lambda / (hT)_M &= [(S_3)_{\dagger}/S_0]^{1/\delta} = 10^{-6.89} (S_0)^{0.0783}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Moreover, we obtain from these relations

$$T_{\dagger}/T_M = [\lambda / (hT)_M] [h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{-1} = 10^{9.51} (S_0)^{-0.108}. \quad (44)$$

Next we consider the relations of  $f$  and  $h$  to the Planck length  $f_{pl}$  in the outer space (cf. Sect. 3), which are derived in Appendix B. In order that the space-time in the outer space can be treated classically at epoch  $t_{\dagger}$ , the condition  $f_{\dagger}/f_{pl} \gg 1$  must be satisfied. This condition gives  $\lambda > 1.96$ . Moreover,  $h_{\dagger}/f_{pl}$  is smaller than 1, if  $\lambda < 1.06 \times 10^4$ . It is found, therefore, that, if  $\lambda \sim 1.06 \times 10^4$ , the outer space at epoch  $t_{\dagger}$  gets  $(S_3)_{\dagger} = 10^{88}$  and is decoupled from the inner space at the same time, because it has the quantized space-time.

For  $\lambda = 1.06 \times 10^4$ , we obtain assuming  $(f/h)_M = 1.5$

$$S_0 = 5.22 \times 10^{30} \quad (45)$$

from Eq.(41),

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M) &= 2.06 \times 10^{-11}, \\ \lambda / (hT)_M &= 2.28 \times 10^{-5} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

from Eq.(43), and

$$T_{\dagger}/T_M = 1.59 \times 10^6 \quad (47)$$

from Eq.(44). The model-dependent value of  $(f/h)_M$  is shown in Sect. 6. Since  $f_{pl}$  corresponds to the Planck temperature  $T_{pl}$  ( $= 10^{19}$  Gev) and  $h_{\dagger}/f_{pl} = 1$ , we have  $T_{\dagger} = \lambda T_{pl}$  and  $T_M = 6.67 \times 10^{-3} T_{pl}$ . From Eq.(46), moreover, we can also obtain

$$(hT)_M = 3.23 \times 10^8. \quad (48)$$

(b) the non-viscous case ( $\eta_0 = 0$ )



Using Eq.(24) we obtain

$$\lambda = [h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{2/3}h(t_M)T_M. \quad (49)$$

For  $S_3$  at  $t_{\dagger}$ , we obtain neglecting the factors of integrals

$$(S_3)_{\dagger} \sim [h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{\gamma} S_0 \quad (50)$$

with  $\gamma \equiv -3(1 - \nu/\mu) = -6$  and  $S_0$  in Eq.(41). If we compare them in terms of  $\lambda$ , we have by use of Eq. (49)

$$(S_3)_{\dagger} \sim \left[ \lambda/(hT)_M \right]^{\delta} S_0 \quad (51)$$

with  $\delta \equiv (3/2)\gamma = -9$ . Assuming  $(S_3)_{\dagger} = 10^{88}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M) &= [(S_3)_{\dagger}/S_0]^{1/\gamma} = 10^{-14.7}(S_0)^{0.167}, \\ \lambda/(hT)_M &= [(S_3)_{\dagger}/S_0]^{1/\delta} = 10^{-9.78}(S_0)^{0.111}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Moreover, we obtain from these relations

$$T_{\dagger}/T_M = [\lambda/(hT)_M][h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M)]^{-1} = 10^{4.89}(S_0)^{-0.0556}. \quad (53)$$

Next we consider the relations of  $f$  and  $h$  to the Planck length  $f_{pl}$  in the outer space, which are derived in Appendix B. In order that the space-time in the outer space can be treated classically at epoch  $t_{\dagger}$ , the condition  $f_{\dagger}/f_{pl} \gg 1$  must be satisfied. This condition gives  $\lambda > 10^{-5.88}$ . Moreover,  $h_{\dagger}/f_{pl}$  is smaller than 1, if  $\lambda < 46.1$ . It is found, therefore, that, if  $\lambda \sim 46.1$ , the outer space at epoch  $t_{\dagger}$  gets  $(S_3)_{\dagger} = 10^{88}$  and is decoupled from the inner space at the same time, because it has the quantized space-time.

For  $\lambda = 46.1$ , we obtain assuming  $(f/h)_M = 1.5$

$$S_0 = 1.01 \times 10^{13} \quad (54)$$

from Eq.(41),

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_{\dagger})/h(t_M) &= 2.94 \times 10^{-13}, \\ \lambda/(hT)_M &= 4.57 \times 10^{-9} \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

from Eq.(52), and

$$T_{\dagger}/T_M = 1.55 \times 10^4 \quad (56)$$

from Eq.(53). Since  $f_{pl}$  corresponds to the Planck temperature  $T_{pl}$  ( $= 10^{19}$  Gev) and  $h_{\dagger}/f_{pl} = 1$ , we have  $T_{\dagger} = \lambda T_{pl}$  and  $T_M = 2.97 \times 10^{-3} T_{pl}$ . From Eq.(55), moreover, we can obtain also

$$(hT)_M = 1.01 \times 10^{10}. \quad (57)$$

These values of  $T_M$  and  $(hT)_M$  give the condition on the thermal state that the multi-dimensional universe must satisfy at the epoch near the maximum expansion and so at the stage of the early isotropic expansion.

## 5. Numerical histories of multi-dimensional universes

In this section let us solve numerically equations of scale factors  $f(t)$  and  $h(t)$  in the outer and inner spaces and equations of the energy density  $\epsilon(t)$  (given by Eqs. (11) and (13)) at the total stage and relate their behaviors at the final asymptotic stage (which were treated in the previous section) to the behaviors at the earliest stage. Here we assume that the inner space has positive curvature ( $k_h = 1$ ) and the outer space is flat or has negative curvature ( $k_f = 0$  or  $-1$ ).

Now let us transform variables  $(t, f, h, \epsilon, p, \eta)$  to  $(\bar{t}, \bar{f}, \bar{h}, \bar{\epsilon}, \bar{p}, \bar{\eta})$  as follows, for the convenience of numerical calculations:

$$t = \zeta \bar{t}, \quad f = \zeta \bar{f}, \quad h = \zeta \bar{h}, \quad \epsilon = \zeta^{-2} \bar{\epsilon}, \quad p = \zeta^{-2} \bar{p}, \quad \eta = \zeta^{-1} \bar{\eta}, \quad (58)$$

where  $\zeta$  is a positive constant and  $\eta_0, k_f, k_h$  are assumed to be invariant. For this transformation, the forms of Eqs. (11), (12), and (13) are invariant. In this section these equations for the new variables are solved, where  $\zeta$  is determined so as to be consistent with the initial condition in the following.

To determine the initial condition at  $t = t_i$  for solving these equations, we consider the approximate solutions  $f(t)$  and  $h(t)$  around the isotropic solution  $t^{1/5}$  (in the limit of small  $t$ ) as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_0 t^{1/5} [1 + f_1(t)], \\ h &= h_0 t^{1/5} [1 + h_1(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

with constants  $h_0$  and  $f_0 (= h_0)$ , where we assume  $f_1 \ll 1$ ,  $h_1 \ll 1$ . Here the isotropic solution is equal to Eqs. (16) and (19) with  $T_I = 0$ , and  $t_i$  is a small positive time. Then we obtain from Eq.(11)

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{f}_1 &= -\frac{28 + 24\eta_0}{15t} \dot{f}_1 - \frac{2 - 24\eta_0}{15t} \dot{h}_1 + \frac{5}{3} (1 - k_f) \frac{t^{-2/5}}{h_0^2}, \\ \ddot{h}_1 &= -\frac{1 - 12\eta_0}{15t} \dot{f}_1 - \frac{29 + 12\eta_0}{15t} \dot{h}_1 - \frac{(10 - k_f) t^{-2/5}}{3 h_0^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where the last terms come from the positive curvature ( $k_h = 1$ ) in the inner space and the curvature  $k_f (= 0$  or  $1$ ) in the outer space, and from Eq.(13)

$$\kappa \epsilon = \frac{36}{25t^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{10}{3} t (\dot{f}_1 + 2\dot{h}_1) + \frac{25}{12} (5 + k_f) \frac{t^{8/5}}{h_0^2} \right]. \quad (61)$$

Solving these equations, we obtain the following approximate solutions

$$\begin{aligned} f &= h_0 t^{1/5} (1 + f_{10} t^{8/5}), \\ h &= h_0 t^{1/5} (1 + h_{10} t^{8/5}), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{10} &= \frac{125}{288 \times 13} \frac{14 - 12\eta_0 - \frac{4}{5}(16 + 3\eta_0)k_f}{1 + \eta_0} h_0^{-2}, \\ h_{10} &= -\frac{125}{288 \times 13} \frac{25 + 12\eta_0 - \frac{2}{5}(7 - 6\eta_0)k_f}{1 + \eta_0} h_0^{-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

and

$$f_{10} - h_{10} = \frac{125 \times 3}{288} \frac{1 - 2k_f}{1 + \eta_0} h_0^{-2}. \quad (64)$$

Next, using these solutions, we make 6 types of initial conditions  $f, h, \dot{f}$ , and  $\dot{h}$  at  $t = t_i$  which are discriminated with  $\eta_0, h_0$  and  $t_i$  as

- a. (010) :  $\eta_0 = 0, \quad h_0 = 1, \quad t_i = 0.1,$
- b. (007) :  $\eta_0 = 0, \quad h_0 = 0.7, \quad t_i = 0.064,$
- c. (015) :  $\eta_0 = 0, \quad h_0 = 1.5, \quad t_i = 0.166,$
- d. (210) :  $\eta_0 = 0.225, \quad h_0 = 1, \quad t_i = 0.1,$
- e. (207) :  $\eta_0 = 0.225, \quad h_0 = 0.7, \quad t_i = 0.064,$
- f. (215) :  $\eta_0 = 0.225, \quad h_0 = 1.5, \quad t_i = 0.166,$

where these parameters satisfy the relation  $h_0^{-2}(t_i)^{8/5} = 0.025$ . After the transformation (58) corresponding to these initial conditions,  $t$  is dimensionless, while  $\zeta$  has a dimension of length.

For these initial values, Eq.(11) was solved numerically using the Runge-Kutta method, and the result is shown by solid curves for  $t \geq t_i$  and by short-dashed curves for  $t < t_i$  in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 for  $k_f = 0$ . The behavior of  $f$  and  $h$  for  $k_f = -1$  is similar to that for  $k_f = 0$ .

In these solutions we estimated the singular epoch  $t = t_A$  and derived the power solutions with  $f = f_A(t_A - t)^\nu$ ,  $h = h_A(t_A - t)^\mu$  using it, where  $(\mu, \nu) = (1/3, -1/3)$ ,  $(0.345, -0.272)$  for  $\eta_0 = (0, 0.225)$ , respectively. Constants  $f_A$  and  $h_A$  are determined so that these power solutions are tangent to the true solutions at epoch  $t_A$ . These solutions are shown by long-dashed curves in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

Moreover, the factors [appearing in Eqs.(B2) and (B5)]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(t) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}} (f/h^2)(h/f)^{0.667}, \\ \Phi_2(t) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}} (f/h^2)(h/f)^{0.06} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

for  $\eta_0 = 0, 0.225$ , respectively, are shown as functions of  $t$  by solid curves in Figs. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 for  $k_f = 0$ . The temperature  $T [= (\epsilon/\mathcal{N}a_n)^{1/10}]$  is also shown by dashed curves in the same figures. These two quantities  $\Phi_0(t)$  and  $\Phi_2(t)$  are invariant for the transformation (58) and so they can be regarded as quantities on the ordinary scale of  $(t, f, h, \epsilon, p, \eta)$ . Moreover,  $\lambda, S_0$  and the ratios of  $f, h$ , and  $T$  also, which are determined in the next section using these  $\Phi_0(t)$  and  $\Phi_2(t)$ , can be treated as quantities on the ordinary scale.

## 6. Physical states at the initial and decoupling epochs and the primeval entropy

Let us use the formulation in Appendix B to derive  $\lambda [\equiv h(t_\dagger)T_\dagger]$  and the formulation in Sect. 4 (B) to derive  $S_0, h_M [\equiv h(t_M)]$  and  $T_M [\equiv T(t_M)]$ , where  $t_M$  is the earliest epoch in the time interval when each solution can be approximated by the corresponding power solutions. For this purpose we first determine the epochs  $t_A$  and  $t_M$ , and the factors  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_2$  at epoch  $t_M$ ,  $(f/h)_M, h_i/h_M$  and  $T_i/T_M$  using the numerical results. Their result is shown in Tables 1 and 2 for  $k_f = 0$  and  $-1$ , respectively.

Next  $\lambda, S_0, T_M$  and  $h_M$  are obtained using the following formulas (derived in Sect. 4) and the values of  $\Phi_{0M}, \Phi_{2M}$  and  $(f/h)_M$  (in Tables 1 and 2).

Fig. 2: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in a.(010). Solid and short-dashed curves denote the scale factors  $f$  and  $h$  for  $t \geq t_i$  and  $< t_i$ , respectively. Long-dashed curves denote the power solutions tangent to  $f$  and  $h$  at epoch  $t_A$ . The thin solid line denotes the possible epoch of dimensional symmetry-breaking.





Fig. 3: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in b.(007). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 2.



Case of  $\eta_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda &= 46.13[\Phi_0(t_M)]^{-0.1715}(\mathcal{N}a_n)^{-0.08576}, \\
 S_0 &= \lambda^{7.5}(f/h)_M^3, \\
 T_M/T_{pl} &= 10^{-4.89}\lambda(S_0)^{0.0556}, \\
 h_M/f_{pl} &= 10^{14.7}S_0^{-0.167}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Case of  $\eta_0 = 0.225$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda &= 1.164 \times 10^4[\Phi_2(t_M)]^{-0.1307}(\mathcal{N}a_n)^{-0.06536}, \\
 S_0 &= \lambda^{7.5}(f/h)_M^3, \\
 T_M/T_{pl} &= 10^{-9.51}\lambda(S_0)^{0.108}, \\
 h_M/f_{pl} &= 10^{16.4}S_0^{-0.186}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Moreover,  $T_i$  and  $h_i$  are derived from  $T_M$  and  $h_M$  using  $(h_i/h_M)$  and  $(T_i/T_M)$  (given in Tables 1 and 2). In all cases a, ..., f, we show their values in Tables 3 and 4 for  $k_f = 0$

Fig. 4: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in c.(015). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 2.




Fig. 5: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in d.(210). The notation of the curves is the as in Fig. 2.





Fig. 6: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in e.(207). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 2.



and  $-1$ , respectively, in the case of  $\mathcal{N}a_n = 1$ . The values of  $t_M$  were determined due to the comparison between the numerical solutions ( $f$  and  $h$ ) and their corresponding power solutions. So,  $h_M$  and  $T_M$  depend on the epoch  $t_M$ , but  $h_i$  and  $T_i$  do not depend on it.

Fig. 7: Scale factors of the outer and inner spaces in f.(215). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 2.




Fig. 8: Factor  $\Phi_0$  and temperature in a.(010). The solid and dashed curves denote  $\Phi_0$  and  $T$ , respectively.





Fig. 9: Factor  $\Phi_0$  and temperature in b.(007). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 8.



Here  $f_i/h_i$  and  $t_i/h_i$  are derived from the relations in Sect. 5 as

$$\begin{aligned} f_i/h_i &= (1 + f_{10}t_i^{8/5})/(1 + h_{10}t_i^{8/5}) \simeq 1 + 0.0327(1 - 2k_f)/(1 + \eta_0), \\ h_i/t_i &= h_0t_i^{-4/5}(1 + h_{10}t_i^{8/5}) \simeq 0.0251^{-1/2} = 6.325. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Fig. 10: Factor  $\Phi_0$  and temperature in c.(015). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 8.




Fig. 11: Factor  $\Phi_2$  and temperature in d.(210). The solid and dashed curves denote  $\Phi_2$  and  $T$ , respectively.





Fig. 12: Factor  $\Phi_2$  and temperature in e.(207). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 11.



Now let us consider the total entropy  $S_9$  within the volume  $V(= f^3 h^6)$  at epoch  $t_i$ . From Eqs. (1) and (4), the total entropy  $S$  is given by  $S = \frac{5}{4} \mathcal{N} a_n V T^9$  for  $n = 6$ , so that  $S_9$  is defined by

$$S_9 \equiv T_i^9 (f_i^3 h_i^6) = (T_i h_i)^9 (f_i / h_i)^3. \quad (69)$$

Fig. 13: Factor  $\Phi_2$  and temperature in f.(215). The notation of the curves is as in Fig. 11.




Table 1: Model parameters in cases a, b, ..., f ( $k_f = 0$ ).  $\Phi_{0M} = \Phi_0(t_M)$  and  $\Phi_{2M} = \Phi_2(t_M)$

model types	$t_A$	$t_M$	$\Phi_{0M}, \Phi_{2M}$	$(f/h)_M$	$h_i/h_M$	$T_i/T_M$
a. (010)	0.589	0.575	1.63	7.30	2.110	0.9092
b. (007)	0.376	0.370	1.62	8.49	2.279	0.8855
c. (015)	0.977	0.970	1.58	15.34	3.074	0.7996
d. (210)	0.644	0.630	2.30	5.74	2.217	0.7500
e. (207)	0.412	0.410	2.25	13.69	3.585	0.5746
f. (215)	1.068	1.060	2.26	10.65	3.090	0.6222

The values in cases a, ..., f on the ordinary scale are shown in Table 5 for  $k_f = 0$  and  $-1$ . In the case of  $k_f = 0$  the average values of  $S_9$  for  $\eta_0 = 0$  and  $0.225$  are  $2.75 \times 10^{90}$  and  $1.29 \times 10^{77}$ , respectively, and so  $(S_9)_{\eta_0=0}/(S_9)_{\eta_0=0.225} = 2.13 \times 10^{13}$ . In the case of  $k_f = -1$  the average values of  $S_9$  for  $\eta_0 = 0$  and  $0.225$  are  $2.87 \times 10^{90}$  and  $1.99 \times 10^{77}$ , respectively, and so  $(S_9)_{\eta_0=0}/(S_9)_{\eta_0=0.225} = 1.45 \times 10^{13}$ .

As shown in Appendix C,  $S_9$  and the 3-dimensional entropy  $(S_3)_\dagger$  are closely related, and so these values of  $S_9$  are the primeval total entropies necessary at the starting point of multi-dimensional universes. In the non-viscous case ( $\eta_0 = 0$ ), the entropy  $S_9$  equal to  $\sim 10^{90}[(S_3)_\dagger/10^{88}]^2$  is needed, while in the viscous case of  $\eta_0 = 0.225$ , only the entropy  $S_9$  equal to  $\sim 10^{77}[(S_3)_\dagger/10^{88}]^{0.71}$  is needed at the starting point, and so most entropy is produced by the dissipation.

We assumed  $\mathcal{N}a_n = 1$  in the above calculations. This factor  $\mathcal{N}a_n$  appears often in the calculations, as  $h_i/f_{pl} \propto (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{0.1074}$  and  $T_i/T_{pl} \propto (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{-0.1215}$  for  $\eta_0 = 0$ , and  $h_i/f_{pl} \propto (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{0.09118}$  and  $T_i/T_{pl} \propto (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{-0.1182}$  for  $\eta_0 = 0.225$ . But the product does not much depend on  $\mathcal{N}a_n$  as  $T_i h_i \propto (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{0.014}$ ,  $(\mathcal{N}a_n)^{0.027}$ , respectively.

## 7. Dimensional symmetry-breaking and the primeval state of multi-dimensional universes

Kim et al.[2, 3] showed in a matrix model of super-string theory that, due to the symmetry-breaking, the  $(1 + 3 + 6)$ -dimensional universe with isotropic expansion changes to that with anisotropic expansion, in which the 3-dimensional space expands at the larger rate than the



Table 2: Model parameters in cases a, b, ..., f ( $k_f = -1$ ).  $\Phi_{0M} = \Phi_0(t_M)$  and  $\Phi_{2M} = \Phi_2(t_M)$

model types	$t_A$	$t_M$	$\Phi_{0M}, \Phi_{2M}$	$(f/h)_M$	$h_i/h_M$	$T_i/T_M$
a. (010)	0.582	0.570	2.08	10.05	2.321	0.9155
b. (007)	0.372	0.366	2.08	11.14	2.445	0.8996
c. (015)	0.965	0.958	2.07	19.62	3.251	0.8171
d. (210)	0.643	0.630	3.34	7.92	2.318	0.7568
e. (207)	0.412	0.410	3.34	19.41	3.893	0.5735
f. (215)	1.068	1.060	3.34	14.56	3.299	0.6202

Table 3: Model parameters in cases a, b, ..., f ( $k_f = 0$ ).

	$\lambda$	$S_0$	$10^3 T_M/T_{pl}$	$10^3 T_i/T_{pl}$	$h_M/f_{pl}$	$h_i/f_{pl}$
a	42.42	$6.266 \times 10^{14}$	3.437	3.043	$1.694 \times 10^{12}$	$3.564 \times 10^{12}$
b	42.47	$9.946 \times 10^{14}$	3.532	3.127	$1.568 \times 10^{12}$	$3.574 \times 10^{12}$
c	42.65	$6.051 \times 10^{15}$	3.924	3.138	$1.158 \times 10^{12}$	$3.558 \times 10^{12}$
d	$1.044 \times 10^4$	$2.605 \times 10^{32}$	9.998	7.498	$2.348 \times 10^{10}$	$5.205 \times 10^{10}$
e	$1.047 \times 10^4$	$3.615 \times 10^{33}$	13.27	7.626	$1.440 \times 10^{10}$	$5.160 \times 10^{10}$
f	$1.046 \times 10^4$	$1.699 \times 10^{33}$	12.26	7.629	$1.652 \times 10^{10}$	$5.114 \times 10^{10}$

Table 4: Model parameters in cases a, b, ..., f ( $k_f = -1$ ).

	$\lambda$	$S_0$	$10^3 T_M/T_{pl}$	$10^3 T_i/T_{pl}$	$h_M/f_{pl}$	$h_i/f_{pl}$
a	40.67	$1.191 \times 10^{15}$	3.369	3.084	$1.522 \times 10^{12}$	$3.533 \times 10^{12}$
b	40.68	$1.626 \times 10^{15}$	3.429	3.085	$1.445 \times 10^{12}$	$3.533 \times 10^{12}$
c	40.73	$8.968 \times 10^{15}$	3.924	3.085	$1.086 \times 10^{12}$	$3.533 \times 10^{12}$
d	$0.9944 \times 10^4$	$4.771 \times 10^{32}$	10.16	7.693	$2.098 \times 10^{10}$	$4.864 \times 10^{10}$
e	$0.9941 \times 10^4$	$6.996 \times 10^{33}$	13.57	7.782	$1.273 \times 10^{10}$	$4.966 \times 10^{10}$
f	$0.9943 \times 10^4$	$2.958 \times 10^{33}$	12.37	7.672	$1.474 \times 10^{10}$	$4.863 \times 10^{10}$

Table 5: The primeval total entropies  $S_9$  in the cases of  $k_f = 0, -1$ . The values of  $10^{-77} S_9$  are shown.

model types	a	b	c	d	e	f
$10^{-77} S_9 (k_f = 0)$	$2.29 \times 10^{13}$	$3.00 \times 10^{13}$	$2.97 \times 10^{13}$	1.26	1.36	1.26
$10^{-77} S_9 (k_f = -1)$	$2.87 \times 10^{13}$	$2.88 \times 10^{13}$	$2.88 \times 10^{13}$	1.81	2.38	1.77

6-dimensional space. In the present treatment due to classical relativity, such a symmetry-breaking cannot be studied accurately. From the viewpoint of energy balance, however, we may examine the behavior of the symmetry breaking in simplified situations.

### 1. Case of $k_f = 0$

Let us assume that at epoch  $t_{br}$  the symmetry-breaking occurred from the isotropic state with scale factors  $\bar{f} = \bar{h}$  ( $k_{\bar{f}} = k_{\bar{h}} = 1$ ) to the anisotropic state with  $f \neq h$  ( $k_f = 0$  and

$k_h = 1$ ), without change in  $\dot{f}/f, \dot{h}/h$  and  $\epsilon$ . Then from Eq. (13), we obtain the following condition for consistency

$$\frac{18}{\bar{h}_{br}^2} = \frac{15}{h_{br}^2} \quad \text{or} \quad h_{br}/\bar{h}_{br} = \sqrt{5/6}, \quad (70)$$

so that

$$(\delta h/\bar{h})_{br} \equiv [(h - \bar{h})/\bar{h}]_{br} = \sqrt{5/6} - 1 = -0.087. \quad (71)$$

On the other hand, since we assume that  $f$  does not change, i.e.  $(\delta f/\bar{f})_{br} = 0$ , we have

$$(\delta h/\bar{h} - \delta f/\bar{f})_{br} = -0.087. \quad (72)$$

This difference can be regarded as the difference of  $f$  and  $h$  from the average at the instant of symmetry-breaking, which is given by Eq.(64) in Sect. 5:

$$(\delta h/\bar{h} - \delta f/\bar{f})_{br} = -\frac{125 \times 3}{288} \frac{1}{1 + \eta_0} h_0^{-2} (t_{br}/t_i)^{8/5} t_i^{8/5}. \quad (73)$$

From Eq.(72) and this relation we obtain

$$t_{br}/t_i = 1.84(1 + \eta_0)^{5/8} = 1.84, \quad 2.09 \quad (74)$$

for  $\eta_0 = 0, 0.225$ , respectively. These epochs ( $t_{br}$ ) are indicated in Figs. 2, ..., 7 by thin sold lines.

## 2. Case of $k_f = -1$

We assume also in this case that at epoch  $t_{br}$  the symmetry-breaking occurred from the isotropic state with with scale factors  $\bar{f} = \bar{h}$  ( $k_{\bar{f}} = k_{\bar{h}} = 1$ ) to the anisotropic state with  $f \neq h$  ( $k_f = -1$  and  $k_h = 1$ ), without change in  $\dot{f}/f, \dot{h}/h, f$  and  $\epsilon$ . Then similarly to the case of  $k_f = 0$ , we obtain the following condition for consistency from Eq. (13)

$$\frac{21}{\bar{h}_{br}^2} = \frac{15}{h_{br}^2} \quad \text{or} \quad h_{br}/\bar{h}_{br} = \sqrt{5/7}, \quad (75)$$

so that

$$(\delta h/\bar{h})_{br} \equiv [(h - \bar{h})/\bar{h}]_{br} = \sqrt{5/7} - 1 = -0.155. \quad (76)$$

On the other hand, since we assume that  $f$  does not change, we have

$$(\delta h/\bar{h} - \delta f/\bar{f})_{br} = -0.155. \quad (77)$$

This difference can be regarded as the difference of  $f$  and  $h$  from the average at the instant of symmetry breaking, which is given by Eq.(64):

$$(\delta h/\bar{h} - \delta f/\bar{f})_{br} = -\frac{125 \times 9}{288} \frac{1}{1 + \eta_0} h_0^{-2} (t_{br}/t_i)^{8/5} t_i^{8/5}. \quad (78)$$

From Eq.(77) and this relation we obtain

$$t_{br}/t_i = 1.57(1 + \eta_0)^{5/8} = 1.57, \quad 1.78 \quad (79)$$

for  $\eta_0 = 0, 0.225$ , respectively.

The primeval entropy  $S_9$  within the closed 9-dimensional space before the symmetry-breaking is equal to  $(S_9)_i \times (\bar{h}/h)_{br}^6 = 1.73(S_9)_i$ , where  $(S_9)_i$  is given by Eq. (69).

---

## 8. Concluding remarks

In this paper we first derived the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon, and compared it in the viscous and non-viscous cases. It was found that the time evolutions of temperature  $T$  and  $S_3$  in the viscous case are much larger than those in the non-viscous case. Such a remarkable change in these quantities is caused by the change in the energy density  $\epsilon$  and the viscous dissipation. Next we derived the values of  $f$ ,  $h$ , and  $T$  at the epoch ( $t_\dagger$ ) satisfying the condition that the 3-dimensional entropy  $S_3$  within the horizon should be  $\sim 10^{88}$ . Then, we examined the condition  $(f/f_{pl})_\dagger \gg 1$ , where  $f_{pl}$  is the Planck length in the outer space, and it was found that  $\lambda(\equiv (hT)_\dagger)$  must be  $\gg 1.96$  and  $10^{-5.88}$  in the viscous and non-viscous cases, respectively. It was found, moreover, that, at epoch  $t_\dagger$  with  $\lambda \sim 1.06 \times 10^4, 46.1$  (different from the freeze-out epoch  $t_*$ ), the condition  $(h/f_{pl}) \sim 1$  is satisfied at the same time, so that the outer space may be decoupled from the inner space.

Moreover in Sect. 6 we considered the primeval entropy  $S_9$ , and found that, for an equal value of  $S_3$ ,  $S_9$  in viscous case can be much smaller than that in non-viscous case. If  $S_9$  in the universe at the primeval stage is comparable with the critical value (such as in Table 5), the outer space does not need any additional 3-dimensional inflation and dissipation after the decoupling epoch. If  $S_9$  in the universe is much smaller than the critical value, on the other hand, the outer space must create an additional entropy by the 3-dimensional inflation and dissipation after the decoupling epoch, so that  $S_3$  may reach  $\sim 10^{88}$ .

Here we considered only the viscosity due to the transport of multi-dimensional gravitational waves. If we consider quantum particle creation[13] and the other transport processes, the viscous entropy production and  $S_3$  may be much larger than those in the present treatment.

Our results were derived using classical relativity and thermodynamics. As the decoupling epoch may be in the world of quantum super-string, the results may not hold in the original form, but may give a qualitative trend of entropy production.

## Acknowledgments

I like to express many thanks to Prof. H. Ishihara for helpful comments and discussions. The numerical calculations in this work were carried out on SR16000 at YITP in Kyoto University.

## A. Imperfect fluid

The energy-momentum tensor of an imperfect fluid is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{MN} = & \epsilon u^M u^N + p H^{MN} - \eta H^{MK} H^{NL} [u_{K;L} + u_{L;K} - \frac{2}{3+n} g_{KL} \Theta] \\
 & - \zeta H^{MN} \Theta - \chi (H^{MK} u^N + H^{NK} u^M) (T_{;K} + T u_{K;L} u^L),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A1}$$

where the  $(4+n)$ -dimensional velocity  $u^M$  satisfies  $g_{MN} u^M u^N = -1$ , and  $H^{MN}$  is the projection tensor defined by

$$H^{MN} = g^{MN} + u^M u^N,
 \tag{A2}$$

and  $\Theta$  denotes  $u^L_{;L}$ . From the equation of relativistic radiative transport, we obtain (see Appendix B of Ref.[14] for details) :

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \frac{4+n}{(3+n)(5+n)}\epsilon_r\tau, \\ \zeta &= (4+n)\epsilon_r\tau[1/(3+n) - (\partial p/\partial\epsilon)_V]^2, \\ \chi &= \frac{(4+n)}{(3+n)}\epsilon_r\tau, \quad \epsilon_r = \mathcal{N}_r a_n T^{4+n},\end{aligned}\tag{A3}$$

where  $\eta, \zeta$ , and  $\chi$  are the shear viscosity, the bulk viscosity, and the heat conductivity, respectively,  $\tau$  is the mean free time and  $\mathcal{N}_r$  is the number of radiative particle species. For the radiative matter, we have  $\zeta = 0$  and  $\chi$  does not contribute to our present treatment. A misprint was found in the expression for  $\eta$  in the previous paper[14].

The gravitational waves are absorbed in imperfect fluids. Their mean free time  $\tau$  is expressed as

$$\tau = (2\kappa\eta)^{-1},\tag{A4}$$

as shown in Appendix A of Ref.[14].

## B. The Planck length $f_{pl}$ in the outer space

Let us consider the relation of  $f$  and  $h$  with the Planck length  $f_{pl}$  given by Eq.(25).

(a) the viscous case

From Eq.(25) we get

$$\left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger}^2 = \left[\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}(f^2/h^4)\right]_M \lambda^{4+n} \left[\frac{f_{\dagger}h_{\dagger}^{-2}(t_A - t_{\dagger})}{f_M h_M^{-2}(t_A - t_M)}\right]^2 \mathcal{N}a_n,\tag{B1}$$

where  $\kappa\epsilon$  is given by Eqs.(13) and (22). Here we use Eqs.(41) and (43) and the  $t$ -dependence of  $f$  and  $h$ , such as  $fh^{-2}(t_A - t) \propto h^{-2+(\nu+1)/\mu}$ . Then we obtain for  $n = 6$

$$\left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = 10^{-1.804} \left[\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}}(f/h^2)\right]_M \lambda^{5.15} (f/h)_M^{0.06} (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{1/2}\tag{B2}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{h}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = \left(\frac{h}{f}\right)_{\dagger} \left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = 10^{-29.3} \lambda^{5/2} \left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger}.\tag{B3}$$

Here we estimate  $\left[\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}}(f/h^2)\right]_M (f/h)_M^{0.06}$  ( $\equiv \Phi_2(t_M)$ ) to be 2, since  $t_M$  is near the epoch of maximum expansion of the inner space. Its model-dependent value ( $\Phi_2$ ) is shown in Sect. 6. Then if we assume  $(f/f_{pl})_{\dagger} \gg 1$ , we get the condition  $\lambda \gg 1.96$ . But if  $\lambda < 1.06 \times 10^4$ ,  $h/f_{pl}$  is smaller than 1. Here the factor  $\mathcal{N}a_n$  was neglected, because its contribution is small.

(b) the non-viscous case

From Eq.(25) we get, similarly,

$$\left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger}^2 = \left[\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}(f^2/h^4)\right]_M \lambda^{4+n} \left[\frac{f_{\dagger}h_{\dagger}^{-2}(t_A - t_{\dagger})^{\alpha/2}}{f_M h_M^{-2}(t_A - t_M)^{\alpha/2}}\right]^2 \mathcal{N}a_n,\tag{B4}$$

where  $\kappa\epsilon$  is given by Eqs.(13) and (23) and  $\alpha = 10/9$  for  $n = 6$ . Here we use Eqs.(41) and (52) and the  $t$ -dependence of  $f$  and  $h$ , such as  $fh^{-2}(t_A - t)^{\alpha/2} \propto h^{-2+(\nu+\alpha/2)/\mu}$ . Then we

obtain

$$\left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = 10^{19.6} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}} (f/h^2) \right]_M \lambda^{3.33} (h/f)_M^{0.667} (\mathcal{N}a_n)^{1/2} \quad (\text{B5})$$

and

$$\left(\frac{h}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = \left(\frac{h}{f}\right)_{\dagger} \left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger} = 10^{-29.3} \lambda^{5/2} \left(\frac{f}{f_{pl}}\right)_{\dagger}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Here we estimate  $\left[ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{\kappa\epsilon}} (f/h^2) \right]_M (h/f)_M^{0.667}$  ( $\equiv \Phi_0(t_M)$ ) to be 1, since  $t_M$  is near the epoch of maximum expansion of the inner space. Its model-dependent value ( $\Phi_0$ ) is shown in Sect. 6. Then if we assume  $(f/f_{pl})_{\dagger} \gg 1$ , we get the condition  $\lambda \gg 10^{-5.88}$ . But if  $\lambda < 46.1$ ,  $h/f_{pl}$  is smaller than 1. On the other hand,  $h/f_{pl} = 10^{-9.7}$  for  $\lambda = 1$  (or at epoch  $t_*$ ), which is consistent with (5.7) of Kolb et al.[12].

Thus, if  $\lambda(= (hT)_{\dagger})$  is comparable with 1,  $h/f_{pl}$  is much smaller than 1 in both cases, and for  $\eta_0 = (0.225, 0)$ , we obtain  $(h/f_{pl}) \sim 1$  if  $\lambda \sim (1.06 \times 10^4, 46.1)$ .

### C. Dependence of $(S_9)_i$ on the 3-dimensional entropy $(S_3)_{\dagger}$

In the text we treated only the case when  $(S_3)_{\dagger} = 10^{88}$ . Here we consider the dependence of  $(S_9)_i$  on  $(S_3)_{\dagger}/10^{88}$  ( $\equiv R$ ), under the condition that  $h(t_{\dagger})$  is equal to the Planck length  $f_{pl}$ .

(a) the viscous case

From Eqs.(43), we obtain

$$\lambda/(hT)_M \propto [(S_3)/S_0]^{1/\delta}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

where  $\delta = -12.77$  and  $S_0 \equiv \lambda^{15/2} (f/h)_M^3$ . Here  $\lambda$  is determined by the above condition (that  $h(t_{\dagger})$  is equal to the Planck length) which is given in Appendix B, but it is independent of  $S_3$ . Therefore we have

$$(hT)_M \propto R^{0.0783}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

and using the ratios  $h_i/h_M$  and  $T_i/T_M$  in Table 1 and Table 2 and the values of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  (which are independent of  $S_3$ ), we obtain

$$T_i h_i \propto R^{0.0783}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

so that

$$(S_9)_i = (T_i h_i)^9 \propto R^{0.7047}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

(b) the non-viscous case

From Eqs.(52), similarly, we obtain

$$\lambda/(hT)_M \propto [(S_3)/S_0]^{-1/9}, \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$T_i h_i \propto R^{1/9}, \quad (\text{C6})$$

so that

$$(S_9)_i = (T_i h_i)^9 \propto R. \quad (\text{C7})$$

It is found, therefore, that  $(S_9)_i$  is proportional to  $(S_3)_{\dagger}/10^{88}$  ( $\equiv R$ ) for  $\eta_0 = 0$  and the dependence of  $(S_9)_i$  on  $R$  is smaller for  $\eta_0 = 0.225$  than for  $\eta_0 = 0$ .

## References

- [1] A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 (1981).
- [2] S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura, and A. Tsuchiya, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 011601 (2012).

- 
- [3] S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura, and A. Tsuchiya, J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 147 (2012).
  - [4] A. Chodos and S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. **D21**, 2167 (1980).
  - [5] D. Sahdev, Phys. Lett. **137B**, 155 (1984).
  - [6] H. Ishihara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **72**, 376 (1984).
  - [7] J.M. Overduin and P.S. Wesson, Phys. Rep. **D283**, 303 (1998).
  - [8] E. Alvarez, and M.B. Gavela, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 931 (1983).
  - [9] R.B. Abbott, S.M. Bar, and S.D. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **D30**, 720 (1984).
  - [10] R.B. Abbott, S.D. Ellis and S.M. Barr, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 673 (1985).
  - [11] S.M. Bar and L.S. Brown, Phys. Rev. **D29**, 2779 (1984).
  - [12] E.W. Kolb, D. Lindley, and D. Seckel, Phys. Rev. **D30**, 2167 (1984).
  - [13] K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 2528 (1985).
  - [14] K. Tomita and H. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 1935 (1985).