

*Tensor Gluons
and
Proton Structure**

George Savvidy

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics

Demokritos National Research Center, Ag. Paraskevi, Athens, Greece

Abstract

In a recent article we were considering a possibility that inside a proton and, more generally, inside hadrons there could be additional partons - tensor-gluons, which carry a part of the proton momentum. Tensor-gluons have zero electric charge, like gluons, but have a larger spin. Therefore we call them tensor-gluons. The nonzero density of tensor-gluons can be generated by the emission of tensor-gluons by gluons. Tensor-gluons can further split into the pairs of tensor-gluons through a different channels. To describe all these processes one should know the general splitting probabilities for tensor-gluons. These probabilities should fulfill very general symmetry relations, which we were able to resolve by introducing a splitting index. This approach allows to find out the general form of the splitting functions, to derive corresponding DGLAP evolution equations and to calculate the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function for tensor-gluons of a given spin. Our results provide a nontrivial consistency check of the theory and of the Callan-Simanzik beta function calculations, because the theory has a unique coupling constant and its high energy behavior should be universal for all particles of the spectrum. We argue that the contribution of all spins into the beta function vanishes leading to a conformal invariant theory at very high energies.

*Dedicated to Professor Andrey Slavnov at the occasion of his 75th birthday.

1 Introduction

In the recent article [1] we were considering a possibility that inside a proton and, more generally, inside hadrons there could be additional partons - tensor-gluons, which could carry a part of the proton momentum. Tensor-gluons have zero electric charge, like gluons, but have a larger spin. Therefore we call them tensor-gluons. The nonzero density of tensor-gluons can be generated by the emission of tensor-gluons by gluons [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The process of gluon splitting into tensor-gluons suggests that part of the proton momentum which was carried by neutral partons is shared between vector and tensor gluons.

To describe this process one should know the splitting amplitudes of gluon into tensor-gluons. The tree level scattering amplitudes describing a fusion of gluons into tensor-gluons were found in [19]. They are generalizations of the Parke-Taylor scattering amplitude to the case of two tensor gauge bosons of spin s and $(n - 2)$ gluons of spin 1 and allow to extract the splitting amplitudes [20]. With these splitting amplitudes in hand one can derive the generalization of the DGLAP evolution equations [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] for the parton distribution functions, which take into account the processes of emission of tensor-gluons by gluons [1]. In this approach the momentum sum rule allows to find the contribution of tensor-gluons of spin s into the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function of spin 1 gluons, which takes the following form [1]:

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha}{1 + b\alpha t}, \quad b_{11} = \frac{\sum_s (12s^2 - 1)C_2(G) - 4n_f T(R)}{12\pi}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where s is the spin of the tensor-gluons running in the loop[†]. The spin-dependent term $12s^2 - 1$ in the Callan-Simanzik beta function coefficient (1.1) makes the asymptotic freedom [2, 3, 4] even stronger and influence the high energy behavior ($t = \ln(Q^2/Q_0^2)$) of the structure functions. It also influences the unification scale at which the coupling constants of the Standard Model merge [35, 36], shifting its value to lower energies [1].

The consistency of the theory requires that the contribution of the tensor-gluons into the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function b_{11} for spin 1 gluons should be equal to the contribution of high spin tensor-gluons to the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function b_{rr} for tensor-gluons of spin $r = 2, 3, \dots$. This nontrivial consistency requirement follows from the fact that the theory has unique coupling constant and its high energy behavior should be universal for all particles of the spectrum.

In order to approach this problem one should know the full set of splitting probabilities P_{BA}^C which describe the decay of tensor-gluon of any spin $A = r$ into two tensor-gluons of arbitrary spins B and C . These probabilities were not available [1]. The splitting probabilities P_{BA}^C should fulfill very general symmetry relations [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], which, as we shall demonstrate in this article, allow to find the required

[†]This notation for the coefficient b_{11} aims to show that external particles are spin 1 gluons.

functions. The important input information which allows to resolve the symmetry equations are the splitting functions P_{11}^1 and P_{s1}^s of spin 1 gluon into the tensor-gluons of spins s which were found earlier in [1, 20].

The present paper is organized as follows. In section two the basic formulae for splitting functions and their symmetry relations are recalled, definitions and notations are specified. In section three we introduce the indexes of the splitting functions and extract them from the known splitting functions P_{11}^1 and P_{s1}^s . This approach allows to identify the unknown parameters and to find out the general structure of the splitting probabilities $P_{s-r\pm 1}^s$ of a tensor-gluon of spin r into two tensor-gluons of spins $s - r \pm 1$ and s (3.37), (3.39). In section four the generalized DGLAP evolution equations that include all tensor-gluon splitting probabilities are derived and the consistency of the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function calculation is demonstrated. We argue that the contribution of all spins into the beta function vanishes leading to a conformal invariant theory at very high energies. In section five we summarise the results. The Appendix provides the details of the regularization scheme.

2 Interaction Vertices and Splitting Functions

In the generalized Yang-Mills theory [15, 16, 17, 18] all interaction vertices between high-spin particles have *dimensionless coupling constants*, which means that the helicities $h_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ of the interacting particles in the vertex are constrained by the relation[‡]

$$h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = \pm 1 \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore *on-mass-shell* interaction vertex between massless tensor-gluons, the *TTT*-vertex, has the following form [19]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1^{h_1}, 2^{h_2}, 3^{h_3}) &= gf^{abc} \langle 1, 2 \rangle^{-2h_1-2h_2-1} \langle 2, 3 \rangle^{2h_1+1} \langle 3, 1 \rangle^{2h_2+1}, & h_3 = -1 - h_1 - h_2, \\ M_3(1^{h_1}, 2^{h_2}, 3^{h_3}) &= gf^{abc} [1, 2]^{2h_1+2h_2-1} [2, 3]^{-2h_1+1} [3, 1]^{-2h_2+1}, & h_3 = 1 - h_1 - h_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where g is the YM coupling constant and f^{abc} are the structure constants of the internal gauge group G^{\S} . In particular, considering the interaction between a gluon of spin $A = 1$ (helicities $h_2 = \pm 1$) and a tensor-gluon of spin $C = s$ (helicities $h_1 = \pm s$), the gluon-tensor-tensor *GTT*-vertex, one can find from (2.3) three solutions for the helicities of the third particle

$$h_3 = \pm |s - 2|, \quad \pm s, \quad \pm |s + 2|, \quad (2.4)$$

[‡]The dimensionality of the three-point vertex $M_3(1^{h_1}, 2^{h_2}, 3^{h_3})$ is $[mass]^{D=\pm(h_1+h_2+h_3)}$.

[§]In subsequent equations we shall not write the factor gf^{abc} explicitly. It is also understood that in a spinor representation of the on-mass-shell three-particle interaction vertices (2.3) the particles momenta are complexly deformed [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

thus its spin can take three different values $B = s - 2, s, s + 2$. A simple analysis shows that all possible vertices can be counted from (2.4), if one considers two cases: $B = s - 2, s \geq 3$ and $B = s, s \geq 1$. The corresponding interaction vertices GTT have therefore the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1^{-s}, 2^{+1}, 3^{s-2}) &\propto \frac{\langle 1, 3 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 1, 2 \rangle}{\langle 2, 3 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2}, \quad s \geq 3 \\ M_3(1^{+s}, 2^{-1}, 3^{-s}) &\propto \frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2}, \quad s \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The next type of interaction vertex involves tensor-gluons of spin $A = 2$ (helicities $h_2 = \pm 2$) and of spin $C = s$ (helicities $h_1 = \pm s$). In this case one can find from (2.3) four solutions:

$$h_3 = \pm |s - 3|, \pm |s - 1|, \pm |s + 1|, \pm |s + 3|. \quad (2.6)$$

All possible vertices can be obtained, if one considers only two cases: $B = s - 3, s \geq 5$ and $B = s - 1, s \geq 3$. The corresponding tensor-tensor-tensor interaction vertices TTT have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1^{-s}, 2^{+2}, 3^{+(s-3)}) &\propto \frac{\langle 3, 1 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 1, 2 \rangle}{\langle 2, 3 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2} \left(\frac{\langle 3, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right)^2, \\ M_3(1^{+s}, 2^{-2}, 3^{-(s-1)}) &\propto \frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2} \left(\frac{\langle 1, 2 \rangle}{\langle 3, 1 \rangle} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In the general case the interaction vertex, which involves the tensor-gluons of spin $A = r$ (helicities $h_2 = \pm r$) and of spin $C = s$ (helicities $h_1 = \pm s$), can be of four types (2.3):

$$h_3 = \pm |s - r - 1|, \pm |s - r + 1|, \pm |s + r - 1|, \pm |s + r + 1|. \quad (2.8)$$

In order to count all possible types of vertices it is sufficient to consider two cases of spin: $B = s - r - 1, s \geq 2r + 1$ and $B = s - r + 1, s \geq 2r - 1$, while $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1^{-s}, 2^{+r}, 3^{+(s-r-1)}) &\propto \frac{\langle 3, 1 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 1, 2 \rangle}{\langle 2, 3 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2} \left(\frac{\langle 3, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right)^{2r-2}, \\ M_3(1^{+s}, 2^{-r}, 3^{-(s-r+1)}) &\propto \frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle^4}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 3 \rangle \langle 3, 1 \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}{\langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right)^{2s-2} \left(\frac{\langle 1, 2 \rangle}{\langle 3, 1 \rangle} \right)^{2r-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

At $s = 1$ and $r = 1$ they all reduce to the YM vertex [1].

Using these vertices one can compute the scattering amplitudes involving tensor-gluons [19]. They reduce to the famous Parke-Taylor formula [22] when $s = 1$ and can be used to extract splitting amplitudes of a gluon into two tensor-gluons [20]. Considering the amplitudes in the limit when two neighboring particles become collinear, $k_B \parallel k_C$, that is, $k_B = z k_A, k_C = (1 - z) k_A, k_A^2 \rightarrow 0$ and z describes the longitudinal momentum sharing with the corresponding behavior of spinors $\lambda_B = \sqrt{z} \lambda_A, \lambda_C = \sqrt{1 - z} \lambda_A$, one can deduce that the amplitude takes the factorization form [21, 22, 28, 29, 20]:

$$M_n^{tree}(\dots, B^{h_B}, C^{h_C}, \dots) \xrightarrow{B \parallel C} \sum_{h_A} \text{Split}_{-h_A}^{tree}(B^{h_B}, C^{h_C}) \times M_{n-1}^{tree}(\dots, A^{h_A}, \dots), \quad (2.10)$$




Figure 1: The decay of the tensor-gluon of spin A into tensor-gluons of spins B and C. The arrows show the directions of the helicities. The corresponding splitting function is defined as $P_{B^+A^+}^C$.

where $Split_{-h_A}^{tree}(B^{h_B}, C^{h_C})$ denotes the splitting amplitude $A \rightarrow B + C$ and the intermediate state A has momentum $k_A = k_B + k_C$ and helicity h_A . Since the collinear limits of the scattering amplitudes are responsible for parton evolution [5] one can extract from (2.10) the Altarelli-Parisi splitting probabilities for tensor-gluons. Indeed, the residue of the collinear pole in the square (of the factorized amplitude (2.10)) gives Altarelli-Parisi splitting probability $P(z)$ [21, 22, 28, 29, 20]:

$$P_{BA}^C(z) = C_2(G) \sum_{h_A, h_B, h_C} |Split_{-h_A}(B^{h_B}, C^{h_C})|^2 s_{BC}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $s_{BC} = 2k_B \cdot k_C = \langle B, C \rangle [B, C]$. The invariant operator C_2 for the representation R is defined by the equation $t^a t^a = C_2(R) 1$ and $tr(t^a t^b) = T(R) \delta^{ab}$. The same splitting probabilities can be extracted directly by considering *of-mass-shell* decay of the particle A. It describes the probability of finding a particle B inside a particle A with fraction z of the longitudinal momentum of A and radiation of the third particle C with fraction $(1 - z)$ of the longitudinal momentum of A [5]

$$P_{BA}^C(z) = \frac{1}{2} z(1-z) \sum_{\text{helicities}} \frac{|M_{A \rightarrow B+C}|^2}{p_\perp^2}, \quad (2.12)$$

where a sum is over the helicities of B and C and an average over the helicity of A.

It was found that the full set of splitting amplitudes describing the decay of gluons and tensor-gluons is [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} Split_+(B^{+s}, C^{-s}) &= \frac{(1-z)^{s+1/2}}{z^{s-1/2}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}, & Split_+(B^{-s}, C^{+s}) &= \frac{z^{s+1/2}}{(1-z)^{s-1/2}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}, \\ Split_{+s}(B^+, C^{-s}) &= \frac{(1-z)^{s+1}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}, & Split_{+s}(B^{-s}, C^+) &= \frac{z^{s+1}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}, \\ Split_{-s}(B^{+s}, C^+) &= \frac{z^{-s+1}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}, & Split_{-s}(B^+, C^{+s}) &= \frac{(1-z)^{-s+1}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{\langle B, C \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

This set of splitting amplitudes (2.13) $G \rightarrow TT$, $T \rightarrow GT$ and $T \rightarrow TG$ reduces to the full set of gluon splitting amplitudes when $s = 1$. Substituting the splitting amplitudes (2.13) into (2.11) we are getting

[1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{TG}^T(z) &= C_2(G) \left[\frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-1}} + \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2s-1}} \right], \\
P_{GT}^T(z) &= C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-1}} + \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z} \right], \\
P_{TT}^G(z) &= C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-1}} + \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

In the leading order the kernel $P_{TG}^T(z)$ has a meaning of variation of the probability density of finding a tensor-gluon inside the gluon, $P_{GT}^T(z)$ - of finding gluon inside the tensor-gluon and $P_{TT}^G(z)$ - of finding tensor-gluon inside the tensor-gluon.

The important properties of the splitting functions are the symmetries [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] over exchange of the particles $B \leftrightarrow C$ (see Fig. 1) with complementary momenta fraction

$$P_{BA}^C(z) = P_{CA}^B(1-z) \tag{2.15}$$

and a crossing relation

$$P_{AB}^C(z) = (-1)^{2h_A+2h_B+1} z P_{BA}^C\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \tag{2.16}$$

which emerge because two splitting processes are connected by time reversal $A \leftrightarrow B$. *We shall postulate their validity for interacting particles of any spins.* It is easy to see that these relations fulfill in the case of higher spins (2.14):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{TG}^T(z) &= P_{TG}^T(1-z), & P_{GT}^T(z) &= P_{TT}^G(1-z), & 0 < z < 1, \\
P_{TG}^T(z) &= -z P_{GT}^T\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), & P_{TT}^G(z) &= -z P_{TT}^G\left(\frac{1}{z}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

For completeness we shall present also quark and gluon splitting probabilities [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{qq}^G(z) &= C_2(R) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z}, \\
P_{Gq}^q(z) &= C_2(R) \left[\frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \right], \\
P_{qG}^q(z) &= T(R) [z^2 + (1-z)^2], \\
P_{GG}^G(z) &= C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)} + \frac{z^4}{z(1-z)} + \frac{(1-z)^4}{z(1-z)} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $C_2(G) = N$, $C_2(R) = \frac{N^2-1}{2N}$, $T(R) = \frac{1}{2}$ for the SU(N) groups.

Let us consider the splitting probabilities (2.14) in the limit of the half-integer spin $s \rightarrow 1/2$. One can see that they reduce to the quark-gluon splitting probabilities (2.18)

$$P_{TT}(z) \rightarrow P_{qq}(z), \quad P_{GT}(z) \rightarrow P_{Gq}(z), \quad P_{TG}(z) \rightarrow P_{qG}(z) \tag{2.19}$$

and that in the limit $s \rightarrow 1$ to the gluon-gluon splitting probability

$$\frac{1}{2}(P_{TG}(z) + P_{GT}(z) + P_{TT}(z)) \rightarrow P_{GG}(z). \quad (2.20)$$

The set of splitting probabilities (2.14) and (2.18) provides an important data for the extension of the results to more general cases of transitions between high spin tensor, which we shall consider in the next section.

3 General Structure of Splitting Probabilities

As one can observe from the previous examples the splitting probabilities are polynomial functions of a simple form:

$$P_{BA}^C \propto z^n (1-z)^m. \quad (3.21)$$

Using the crossing symmetry relation (2.16) for the interchange $A \leftrightarrow B$ and the fact that we are considering integer spins

$$P_{AB}^C(z) = (-1)^{2h_A+2h_B+1} z P_{BA}^C\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = -z P_{BA}^C\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad (3.22)$$

one can find

$$P_{AB}^C(z) \propto -z \frac{1}{z^n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)^m = -\frac{(z-1)^m}{z^{n+m-1}}, \quad (3.23)$$

and because the probabilities should be positive it follows that the integer m should be odd, $m \rightarrow 2m+1$:

$$P_{BA}^C \propto z^n (1-z)^{2m+1}, \quad P_{AB}^C \propto \frac{(1-z)^{2m+1}}{z^{2m+n}} \quad (3.24)$$

The interchange (2.15) of particles $A \leftrightarrow C$ and then of the $C \leftrightarrow B$ gives

$$P_{CB}^A \propto \frac{z^{2m+1}}{(1-z)^{2m+n}} \Rightarrow P_{BC}^A \propto -z \frac{z^{2m+n}}{z^{2m+1}(z-1)^{2m+n}} = -\frac{z^n}{(z-1)^{2m+n}}. \quad (3.25)$$

It follows then that the integer n also should be odd, $n \rightarrow 2n+1$, thus the splitting probabilities should have the following general form:

$$P_{BA}^C \propto z^{2n+1} (1-z)^{2m+1}. \quad (3.26)$$

The full set of splitting probabilities can be found by using symmetries (2.15), (2.16) and has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{BA}^C &\propto z^{2n+1} (1-z)^{2m+1}, & P_{CA}^B &\propto (1-z)^{2n+1} z^{2m+1}, \\ P_{AB}^C &\propto \frac{(1-z)^{2m+1}}{z^{2n+2m+1}}, & P_{AC}^B &\propto \frac{(1-z)^{2n+1}}{z^{2n+2m+1}}, \\ P_{CB}^A &\propto \frac{z^{2m+1}}{(1-z)^{2n+2m+1}}, & P_{BC}^A &\propto \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(1-z)^{2n+2m+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$




Figure 2: The helicity diagrams corresponding to the splitting functions $P_{B \leftarrow A}^{C \searrow}$ represent the decay of a parton of spin A into the partons of spin B and C in (3.30). The short arrows display spin 1 partons, the long arrows display spin s partons.

In order to identify the above parameters n and m with the helicity content of the interacting particles let us consider the analytical continuation of these functions to the full complex plane C^2 and take the limit $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. We shall define the ratio

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P}{\ln |z|} = \nu \quad (3.28)$$

as the *index* of a given splitting function P , thus from (3.27) we have

$$\nu = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\ln P_{BA}^C}{\ln |z|} \propto +2n + 2m + 2, & \frac{\ln P_{CA}^B}{\ln |z|} \propto +2n + 2m + 2, \\ \frac{\ln P_{CB}^A}{\ln |z|} \propto -2n, & \frac{\ln P_{AC}^B}{\ln |z|} \propto -2m, \\ \frac{\ln P_{AB}^C}{\ln |z|} \propto -2n, & \frac{\ln P_{BC}^A}{\ln |z|} \propto -2m. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.29)$$

Let us now compare these indexes with the indexes of the known GTT polarized splitting functions (2.13), (2.14):

$$\begin{aligned} P_{s^{-}1^{+}}^{s^{+}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-1}}, & P_{s^{+}1^{+}}^{s^{-}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2s-1}}, \\ P_{1^{-}s^{+}}^{s^{+}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-1}}, & P_{1^{+}s^{+}}^{s^{+}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z}, \\ P_{s^{+}s^{+}}^{1^{-}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-1}}, & P_{s^{+}s^{+}}^{1^{+}}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where $s \geq 1$. We shall get the following identification of the parameters n and m in the general expression (3.27):

$$2n + 2m + 2 = 2, \quad -2n = -2s, \quad -2m = 2s,$$

and the parameters corresponding to all possible polarizations of the interacting particles therefore are

$$A = 1, \quad B = s, \quad C = s \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = +s, \quad m = -s. \quad (3.31)$$

This result has very simple meaning if one considers the diagrams on Fig.2 showing the distribution of helicities between interacting particles corresponding to the splitting functions (3.30). Indeed, because in the generalized YM theory interaction vertices have one derivative [1], the total sum of helicities during the interactions can be changed only by one unit of angular momentum (2.3). Thus when the decaying parton has spin 1 and outgoing patrons have large spin s the only way to fulfill the angular momentum conservation (2.3) is to direct helicities of the tensor-gluons in opposite direction, as it is illustrated by the upper diagrams in Fig.2. When initial parton has a large spin s and decays into patrons of spin 1 and s , the direction of the large helicities cannot be changed, as it is illustrated by the last four diagrams in Fig.2.

Our aim now is to derive the splitting probabilities for the tensor-gluons of spin $A = 2$. The corresponding content of the particle spins is given in (2.6), therefore

$$A = 2, \quad B = s - 1, \quad C = s, \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = (s - 1), \quad m = -(s - 1) \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(s-1)^- 2^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-1}}{(1-z)^{2s-3}}, & P_{s^+ 2^+}^{(s-1)^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-1}}{z^{2s-3}}, \\ P_{2^- (s-1)^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-3}}, & P_{2^+ s^+}^{(s-1)^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-1}}{z}, \\ P_{s^+ (s-1)^+}^{2^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-3}}, & P_{(s-1)^+ s^+}^{2^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-1}}{(1-z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where $s \geq 3$. In the second basic case of spin $B = s - 3$ given in (2.6),

$$A = 2, \quad B = s - 3, \quad C = s \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = (s - 3), \quad m = -(s - 3), \quad (3.34)$$

the splitting probabilities are:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(s-3)^- 2^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-5}}{(1-z)^{2s-7}}, & P_{s^+ 2^+}^{(s-3)^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-5}}{z^{2s-7}}, \\ P_{2^- (s-3)^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-7}}, & P_{2^+ s^+}^{(s-3)^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-5}}{z}, \\ P_{s^+ (s-3)^+}^{2^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-7}}, & P_{(s-3)^+ s^+}^{2^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-5}}{(1-z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where $s \geq 5$. For general spins $A = r$ and $C = s$ the third spin takes the values (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} B = s - r + 1, & \quad s \geq 2r - 1, \\ B = s - r - 1, & \quad s \geq 2r + 1, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

In these cases we shall get that

$$A = r, \quad B = s - r + 1, \quad C = s \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = (s - r + 1), \quad m = -(s - r + 1),$$

and the splitting probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{(s-r+1)^- r^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-2r+3}}{(1-z)^{2s-2r+1}}, & P_{s^+ r^+}^{(s-r+1)^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-2r+3}}{z^{2s-2r+1}}, \\
P_{r^+ (s-r+1)^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-2r+1}}, & P_{r^+ s^+}^{(s-r+1)^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-2r+3}}{z}, \\
P_{s^+ (s-r+1)^+}^{r^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-2r+1}}, & P_{(s-r+1)^+ s^+}^{r^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-2r+3}}{(1-z)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where $s \geq 2r - 1$ and finally for

$$A = r, \quad B = s - r - 1, \quad C = s \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = (s - r - 1), \quad m = -(s - r - 1) \tag{3.38}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{(s-r-1)^- r^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-2r-1}}{(1-z)^{2s-2r-3}}, & P_{s^+ r^+}^{(s-r-1)^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-2r-1}}{z^{2s-2r-3}}, \\
P_{r^+ (s-r-1)^+}^{s^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{2s-2r-3}}, & P_{r^+ s^+}^{(s-r-1)^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-2r-1}}{z}, \\
P_{s^+ (s-r-1)^+}^{r^-}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{(1-z)z^{2s-2r-3}}, & P_{(s-r-1)^+ s^+}^{r^+}(z) &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-2r-1}}{(1-z)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where $s \geq 2r + 1$. These general expressions (3.37), (3.39) describe all possible splitting probabilities corresponding to the interaction vertices with one space-time derivative and therefore to the dimensionless coupling constant, as it is in the generalized YM theory [1, 15].

4 Generalization of DGLAP Equation

Having in hand the new set of splitting probabilities for tensor-gluons (3.37), (3.39), one can calculate a possible emission of tensor-gluons which appears in addition to the quark-anti-quark and gluon "clouds" in a proton. Our goal is to derive DGLAP equations [5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 6] which will take into account these new emission processes.

In accordance with our hypothesis there is an additional emission of tensor-gluons in a proton, therefore one should introduce the corresponding densities $T_s(x, t)$ of tensor-gluons (summed over colors) inside a proton in the P_∞ frame [1]. We can derive therefore the integro-differential equations that describe the Q^2 dependence of parton densities in this general case. They are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dq^i(x, t)}{dt} &= \frac{\alpha(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n_f} q^j(y, t) P_{q^i q^j} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) + G(y, t) P_{q^i G} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right], \\
\frac{dG(x, t)}{dt} &= \frac{\alpha(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n_f} q^j(y, t) P_{G q^j} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) + G(y, t) P_{GG} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) + \sum_s T_s(y, t) P_{GT_s} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right], \\
\frac{dT_r(x, t)}{dt} &= \frac{\alpha(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[G(y, t) P_{T_r G} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) + \sum_s T_s(y, t) P_{T_r T_s} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

The $\alpha(t)$ is the running coupling constant ($\alpha = g^2/4\pi$). In the leading logarithmic approximation $\alpha(t)$ is of the form

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha(t)} = 1 + b \alpha t \quad , \quad (4.41)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(0)$ and b is the one-loop Callan-Simanzik coefficient, which receives an additional contribution from the tensor-gluons running in the loop. Here the indices i and j run over quarks and antiquarks of all flavors and s and r run over all spins of the tensor-gluons. The number of quarks of a given fraction of momentum changes when a quark loses momentum by radiating a gluon or a gluon inside the proton produces a quark-antiquark pair [5]. Similarly the number of gluons changes because a quark may radiate a gluon or because a gluon may split into a quark-antiquark pair or into two gluons or *into two tensor-gluons*. The density of tensor-gluons also changes because there are transitions between them through the splittings which are described by the probabilities (3.37) and (3.39).

In order to guarantee that the total momentum of the proton, that is, of all partons is unchanged, one should impose the following constraint:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 dz z \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2n_f} q^i(z, t) + G(z, t) + \sum_s T_s(z, t) \right] = 0. \quad (4.42)$$

Using the evolution equations (4.40) one can express the derivatives of the densities in (4.42) in terms of splitting probabilities P_{BA}^C (kernels of the evolution equations) and derive that the following momentum sum rules should be fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dz z [P_{qq}(z) + P_{Gq}(z)] &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 dz z [2n_f P_{qG}(z) + P_{GG}(z) + \sum_s P_{T_s G}(z)] &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 dz z [P_{GT_r}(z) + \sum_s P_{T_s T_r}(z)] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Before analyzing these momentum sum rules let us first inspect the behavior of the tensor-gluon kernels (3.30), (3.37), (3.39) at the end points $z = 0, 1$. As one can see, they are singular at the boundary values similarly to the case of the standard kernels (2.18). Though there is a difference here: the singularities are of higher order compared to the standard case [5]. Therefore one should define the regularization procedure for the singular factors $(1-z)^{-2s+1}$ and z^{-2s+1} reinterpreting them as the distributions $(1-z)_+^{-2s+1}$ and z_+^{-2s+1} [1], similarly to the Altarelli-Parisi regularization. The details are given in the Appendix.

The first equation in the momentum sum rule (4.43) remains unchanged because there is no tensor-gluon contribution into the quark evolution. The second equation in the momentum sum rule (4.43) will

take the following form (see [1] and Appendix for details):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 dz z [2n_f P_{qG}(z) + P_{GG}(z) + \sum_s P_{T_s G}(z) + b_G \delta(z-1)] = \\
& = \int_0^1 dz z [2n_f T(R)[z^2 + (1-z)^2] + C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)} + \frac{z^4}{z(1-z)} + \frac{(1-z)^4}{z(1-z)} \right] + \\
& \quad + C_2(G) \sum_s \left[\frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-1}} + \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2s-1}} \right] + b_{11} = \\
& = \frac{2}{3} n_f T(R) - \frac{11}{6} C_2(G) - \sum_s \frac{12s^2 - 1}{6} C_2(G) + b_{11} = 0. \tag{4.44}
\end{aligned}$$

We can extract now the additional contribution to the one-loop Callan-Symanzik beta function for gluons b_{11} arising from the tensor-gluon loop of spin s [1]:

$$b_{11} = \sum_s \frac{12s^2 - 1}{6} C_2(G), \quad s = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \tag{4.45}$$

At $s=1$ we are rediscovering the asymptotic freedom result [2, 3, 4]. For larger spins the tensor-gluon contribution into the Callan-Symanzik beta function has the same signature as the standard gluons, which means that tensor-gluons "accelerate" the asymptotic freedom (4.41) of the strong interaction coupling constant $\alpha(t)$. At large transfer momentum the strong coupling constant tends to zero faster compared to the standard case [1][¶].

One can confirm the above result by using effective action approach developed in the Yang-Mills theory [30, 31, 32, 33] extended to the higher spin gauge bosons. With the spectrum of the tensor-gluons in the external chromomagnetic field $k_0^2 = (2n + 1 + 2s)gH + k_{\perp}^2$ one can perform a summation of the modes and get an exact result for the one-loop effective action similar to [30, 33]:

$$\epsilon = \frac{H^2}{2} + \frac{(gH)^2}{4\pi} b_{11} \left[\ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right], \tag{4.46}$$

where

$$b_{11} = -\frac{2C_2(G)}{\pi} \zeta\left(-1, \frac{2s+1}{2}\right) = \frac{12s^2 - 1}{12\pi} C_2(G), \tag{4.47}$$

and $\zeta(-1, q) = -\frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q + \frac{1}{6})$ is the generalized zeta function^{||}. Because the coefficient in front of the logarithm defines the beta function [30, 31], one can see that (4.47) is in agreement with the result (4.45).

The third equation in the momentum sum rule (4.43) will take the following form:

$$\int_0^1 dz z [P_{GT_r}(z) + \sum_s P_{T_s T_r}(z) + b_{rr} \delta(z-1)] = 0, \tag{4.48}$$

[¶]In (4.45) one should take into account the multiplicity of higher spin states in the theory under consideration.

^{||}The generalized zeta function is defined as $\zeta(p, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+q)^p} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{-1+p} \frac{e^{-qt}}{1-e^{-t}}$.

and the summation over columns gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{11} &= C_2(G) \left[\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(12s^2 - 1)}{12\pi} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(12s^2 - 1)}{12\pi} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(12s^2 - 1)}{12\pi} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(12s^2 - 1)}{12\pi} + \dots \right] = \\
&= C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \zeta(-2) - \frac{1}{12\pi} \zeta(0) - \frac{1}{12\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \zeta(-2) - \frac{1}{12\pi} \zeta(0) + \dots \right] = C_2(G) \left[\frac{1}{24\pi} - \frac{1}{12\pi} + \frac{1}{24\pi} + \dots \right] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

where $\zeta(-2) = 0$, $\zeta(0) = -1/2$, leading to the theory which is *conformally invariant* at very high energies. The above summation requires explicit regularisation and further justification.

5 Conclusion

In this article we continue to analyze a possibility that inside a proton and, more generally, inside hadrons there are additional partons - tensor-gluons, which can carry a part of the proton momentum [1]. A nonzero density of the tensor-gluons can be generated by the emission of tensor-gluons by gluons. The tensor-gluons themselves further split into the pairs of tensor-gluons through different channels. Therefore the density of neutral partons in a proton is given by the sum:

$$G(x, t) + \sum_s T_s(x, t),$$

where $T_s(x, t)$ is the density of the tensor-gluons of spin s . To describe these processes between gluons and tensor-gluons one should know the general splitting probabilities for tensor-gluons P_{BA}^C . These probabilities fulfill very general symmetry relations, which we were able to resolve by introducing a splitting index (3.29). This approach allows to find out the general form of the splitting functions (3.37), (3.39), to derive corresponding DGLAP evolution equations (4.40) and to calculate the one-loop Callan-Simanzik beta function for tensor-gluons of different spins (4.50). Our results provide a nontrivial consistency check of the theory and of the Callan-Simanzik beta function calculations, because the theory has a unique coupling constant and its high energy behavior should be universal for all particles of the spectrum. We argue that the contribution of all spins into the beta function vanishes leading to a conformal invariant theory at very high energies.

This work was supported in part by the General Secretariat for Research and Technology of Greece and from the European Regional Development Fund MIS-448332-ORASY (NSRF 2007-13 ACTION, KRIPIS).

6 Note Added

One can suggest an alternative approach to calculating the splitting functions which is based on deformation of the momenta of the particles in the triple vertices (2.9) by a complex parameter w without breaking

the mass shell conditions [20]

$$p_1 = (\omega z, w, iw, kz), \quad p_2 = (\omega(1-z), -w, -iw, k(1-z)), \quad (\omega, 0, 0, k). \quad (6.55)$$

The corresponding polarization vectors are to be taken in the form:

$$e_1^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{z}{\omega}, 1, -i, -\frac{z}{k}\right), \quad e_2^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{z}{\omega}, 1, -i, -\frac{z}{k}\right), \quad e_3^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, -i, 0), \quad (6.56)$$

so that to fulfill the following relations

$$p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_1 p_2 = p_2 p_3 = p_3 p_1 = p_1 e_1^+ = p_2 e_2^+ = p_3 e_3^- = 0. \quad (6.57)$$

The spinor representation of the momenta (6.55) will take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}z, 0), & \tilde{\lambda}_1 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}z, \frac{2w}{\sqrt{\omega+k}z}), \\ \lambda_2 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}(1-z), 0), & \tilde{\lambda}_2 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}(1-z), -\frac{2w}{\sqrt{\omega+k}(1-z)}), \\ \lambda_3 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}, 0), & \tilde{\lambda}_3 &= (\sqrt{\omega+k}, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.58)$$

One can see that the invariant products $\langle 1, 2 \rangle = \langle 2, 3 \rangle = \langle 3, 1 \rangle = 0$ vanish and that

$$[1, 2] = -2w \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}}, \quad [2, 3] = 2w \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad [3, 1] = 2w \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}. \quad (6.59)$$

Let us consider the interaction vertices (2.9) which include the tensor-gluons of spin $A = r$ (helicities $h_2 = \pm r$), of spin $C = s$ (helicities $h_1 = \pm s$) and the third spin of the type: $B = s - r + 1$, $s \geq 2r - 1$, where $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. A straightforward calculation with the use of scalar products (6.59) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(1^{-s}, 2^{+r}, 3^{s-r+1}) &\propto \frac{[2, 3]^{2s+1}}{[1, 2]^{2s-2r+1}[3, 1]^{2r-1}} = -2w \frac{z^s}{(1-z)^r} \\ M_3(1^{-s}, 2^{s-r+1}, 3^{+r}) &\propto \frac{[2, 3]^{2s+1}}{[1, 2]^{2r-1}[3, 1]^{2s-2r+1}} = -2w \frac{z^s}{(1-z)^{s-r+1}} \\ M_3(1^{+r}, 2^{s-r+1}, 3^{-s}) &\propto \frac{[1, 2]^{2s+1}}{[2, 3]^{2r-1}[3, 1]^{2s-2r+1}} = -2w \frac{1}{z^r(1-z)^{s-r+1}} \\ M_3(1^{+r}, 2^{-s}, 3^{s-r+1}) &\propto \frac{[3, 1]^{2s+1}}{[2, 3]^{2r-1}[1, 2]^{2s-2r+1}} = -2w \frac{(1-z)^s}{z^r} \\ M_3(1^{s-r+1}, 2^{+r}, 3^{-s}) &\propto \frac{[1, 2]^{2s+1}}{[3, 1]^{2r-1}[2, 3]^{2s-2r+1}} = -2w \frac{1}{z^{s-r+1}(1-z)^r} \\ M_3(1^{s-r+1}, 2^{-s}, 3^{+r}) &\propto \frac{[3, 1]^{2s+1}}{[1, 2]^{2r-1}[2, 3]^{2s-2r+1}} = -2w \frac{(1-z)^s}{z^{s-r+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

Considering the transversal momentum p_\perp in (2.12) to be proportional to the deformation parameter $p_\perp \propto w$ one can get the following expression for splitting probabilities

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{2}z(1-z)|M_3|^2 \frac{1}{|w|^2} \quad (6.61)$$

and then, using (6.60), the following set of splitting probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{s-r+1,r}^s &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2s-2r+1}}, & P_{s,r}^{s-r+1} &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-2r+1}} \\
P_{r,s-r+1}^s &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2r-1}}, & P_{r,s}^{s-r+1} &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z^{2r-1}(1-z)^{2s-2r+1}} \\
P_{s,s-r+1}^r &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2r-1}}, & P_{s-r+1,s}^r &= C_2(G) \frac{1}{z^{2s-2r+1}(1-z)^{2r-1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.62}$$

where $s > 2r - 1$. With this splitting probabilities the indices (3.29) are equal to

$$A = r, \quad B = s - r - 1, \quad C = s \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = +s, \quad m = -(s - r + 1) \tag{6.63}$$

and differ from the *conjectured* value for the index $n = s - r + 1$ in (3.38). The splitting probabilities of spin $A = r$, of spin $C = s$ and $B = s - r - 1$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{s-r-1,r}^s &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2s-2r-1}}{(1-z)^{2s-1}}, & P_{s,r}^{s-r-1} &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2s-2r-1}}{z^{2s-1}} \\
P_{r,s-r-1}^s &= C_2(G) \frac{z^{2r+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-1}}, & P_{r,s}^{s-r-1} &= C_2(G) z^{2r+1} (1-z)^{2s-2r-1} \\
P_{s,s-r-1}^r &= C_2(G) \frac{(1-z)^{2r+1}}{z^{2s-1}}, & P_{s-r-1,s}^r &= C_2(G) z^{2s-2r-1} (1-z)^{2r+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.64}$$

where $s \geq 2r + 1$ $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The momentum sum rule (4.48) will take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_2(G) \int_0^1 dz z \sum_s \left[\frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)^{2s-2r+1}} + \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z^{2s-2r+1}} \right] + b_{rr} = \\
&= C_2(G) \sum_{k=2s-2r+1}^{2s+1} \frac{(2s+1)!}{k!(2s+1-k)!} \frac{1}{k-2s+2r} + b_{rr} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.65}$$

and one can extract the one-loop Callan-Symanzik beta function for the tensor-gluon of spin r :

$$b_{rr} = -C_2(G) \sum_s \sum_{k=2s+1-2r}^{2s+1} \frac{(2s+1)!}{k!(2s+1-k)!} \frac{1}{k-2s+2r}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, \tag{6.66}$$

In particular, for spin $r = 2$ one can get

$$b_{22} = -C_2(G) \sum_s \sum_{k=2s-3}^{2s+1} \frac{(2s+1)!}{k!(2s+1-k)!} \frac{1}{k-2s+4} = C_2(G) \sum_{s=3} \frac{4s^4 - 8s^3 + 3s^2 + s - 3/10}{6}, \tag{6.67}$$

which grows as s^4 compared to the s^2 in (4.51). In this respect a further study of the splitting probabilities is required. I would like to thank G.Georgiou and S.Konitopoulos for discussion which leads to this note.

7 Appendix

The regularisation is defined in the following way [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{(1-z)_+^{2s-1}} &= \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{2s-2} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(1)(1-z)^k}{(1-z)^{2s-1}}, \\ \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{z_+^{2s-1}} &= \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{2s-2} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(0)z^k}{z^{2s-1}}, \\ \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{z_+(1-z)_+} &= \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z) - (1-z)f(0) - zf(1)}{z(1-z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.68)$$

where $f(z)$ is any test function which is sufficiently regular at the end points and, as one can see, the defined subtraction guarantees the convergence of the integrals. Using the same arguments as in the standard case [5] we should add the delta function terms into the definition of the kernels.

The momentum sum rule integrals (4.43), (4.44) and (4.48) can be calculated in two different ways: with direct regularization of the integrals near $z = 1$ and near $z = 0$, as it is defined in (7.68), or by using the substitution $w = 1 - z$ in the second term of the integrand and then regularizing the resulting integrand near $z = 1$. As one can get convinced both methods give identical results. Therefore the integral over $P_{TG}(z)$ in (4.44) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 dz \left[\frac{z^{2s+2}}{(1-z)_+^{2s-1}} + \frac{(1-z)^{2s+1}}{z_+^{2s-2}} \right] = \\ &= \int_0^1 dz \left[\sum_{k=2s-1}^{2s+2} \frac{(-1)^k (2s+2)!}{k! (2s+2-k)!} \frac{(1-z)^k}{(1-z)^{2s-1}} + \sum_{k=2s-2}^{2s+1} \frac{(-1)^k (2s+1)!}{k! (2s+1-k)!} \frac{z^k}{z^{2s-2}} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{12s^2 - 1}{6}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.69)$$

The same integral can be calculated by the substitution $w = 1 - z$ in the second term of the integrand

$$\int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{2s+1}}{(1-z)_+^{2s-1}} = \int_0^1 dz \sum_{k=2s-1}^{2s+1} \left[\frac{(-1)^k (2s+1)!}{k! (2s+1-k)!} \frac{(1-z)^k}{(1-z)^{2s-1}} \right] = -\frac{12s^2 - 1}{6},$$

which is identical to the previous result.

References

- [1] G. Savvidy, *Asymptotic freedom of non-Abelian tensor gauge fields*, Phys. Lett. B **732** (2014) 150.
- [2] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, *Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories. 1*, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973) 3633.
- [3] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, *Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories. 2.*, Phys. Rev. D **9** (1974) 980.

- [4] H. D. Politzer, *Reliable Perturbative Results For Strong Interactions?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).
- [5] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, *Asymptotic Freedom in Parton Language*, Nucl. Phys. B **126** (1977) 298.
- [6] Y. L. Dokshitzer, *Calculation of the Structure Functions for Deep Inelastic Scattering and e^+e^- Annihilation by Perturbation Theory in Quantum Chromodynamics.*, Sov. Phys. JETP **46** (1977) 641 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **73** (1977) 1216].
- [7] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, *Deep inelastic $e p$ scattering in perturbation theory*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15** (1972) 438 [Yad. Fiz. **15** (1972) 781].
- [8] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, *e^+e^- pair annihilation and deep inelastic $e p$ scattering in perturbation theory*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15** (1972) 675 [Yad. Fiz. **15** (1972) 1218].
- [9] L. N. Lipatov, *The parton model and perturbation theory*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **20** (1975) 94 [Yad. Fiz. **20** (1974) 181].
- [10] V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, *On the Pomeron Singularity in Asymptotically Free Theories*, Phys. Lett. B **60** (1975) 50.
- [11] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, *The Pomeron Singularity in Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 199 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **72** (1977) 377].
- [12] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, *The Pomeron Singularity in Quantum Chromodynamics*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822 [Yad. Fiz. **28** (1978) 1597].
- [13] L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin and M. G. Ryskin, *Singlet Structure Function at Small x : Unitarization of Gluon Ladders*, Nucl. Phys. B **188** (1981) 555.
- [14] J. D. Bjorken and E. A. Paschos, *Inelastic Electron Proton and gamma Proton Scattering, and the Structure of the Nucleon*, Phys. Rev. **185** (1969) 1975.
- [15] G. Savvidy, *Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields: Generalization of Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B **625** (2005) 341
- [16] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. I*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4931;
- [17] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. II*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4959;

- [18] G. Savvidy, *Extension of the Poincaré Group and Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25** (2010) 5765 [arXiv:1006.3005 [hep-th]].
- [19] G. Georgiou and G. Savvidy, *Production of non-Abelian tensor gauge bosons. Tree amplitudes and BCFW recursion relation*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26** (2011) 2537 [arXiv:1007.3756 [hep-th]].
- [20] I. Antoniadis and G. Savvidy, *Conformal invariance of tensor boson tree amplitudes*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **27** (2012) 1250103 [arXiv:1107.4997 [hep-th]].
- [21] L. J. Dixon, *Calculating scattering amplitudes efficiently*, arXiv:hep-ph/9601359.
- [22] S. J. Parke and T. R. Taylor, *An Amplitude for n Gluon Scattering*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 2459.
- [23] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252** (2004) 189 [arXiv:hep-th/0312171].
- [24] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, *Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 181602 [arXiv:hep-th/0501052].
- [25] P. Benincasa and F. Cachazo, *Consistency Conditions on the S-Matrix of Massless Particles*, arXiv:0705.4305 [hep-th].
- [26] F. Cachazo, P. Svrcek and E. Witten, *MHV vertices and tree amplitudes in gauge theory*, JHEP **0409** (2004) 006 [arXiv:hep-th/0403047].
- [27] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Kaplan, *On Tree Amplitudes in Gauge Theory and Gravity*, JHEP **0804** (2008) 076 [arXiv:0801.2385 [hep-th]].
- [28] F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, *Multiple Soft Gluon Radiation in Parton Processes*, Nucl. Phys. B **313** (1989) 595.
- [29] M. L. Mangano and S. J. Parke, *Quark - Gluon Amplitudes in the Dual Expansion*, Nucl. Phys. B **299** (1988) 673.
- [30] G. K. Savvidy, *Infrared Instability of the Vacuum State of Gauge Theories and Asymptotic Freedom*, Phys. Lett. B **71** (1977) 133.
- [31] S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization Induced by the Intense Gauge Field*, Nucl. Phys. B **134** (1978) 539.

- [32] I. A. Batalin, S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization by a Source-Free Gauge Field*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1977) 214 [Yad. Fiz. **26** (1977) 407].
- [33] D. Kay, *Supersymmetric Savvidy State For $Su(2)$, $Su(3)$ And $Su(4)$* , Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 1562.
- [34] L. Brink and H. B. Nielsen, *A physical interpretation of the jacobi imaginary transformation and the critical dimension in dual models*, Phys. Lett. B **43** (1973) 319.
- [35] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, *Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438.
- [36] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn and S. Weinberg, *Hierarchy of Interactions in Unified Gauge Theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 451.
- [37] I. Bakas and C. Sourdis, *On the tensionless limit of gauged WZW models*, JHEP **0406** (2004) 049 [hep-th/0403165].