



Vector screening masses in the quark-gluon plasma and their physical significance

B. B. Brandt^a, A. Francis^b, M. Laine^c, H. B. Meyer^b

^a*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany*

^b*PRISMA Cluster of Excellence, Institut für Kernphysik and Helmholtz Institut Mainz, J. Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

^c*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Albert Einstein Center, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland*

Abstract

Static and non-static thermal screening states that couple to the conserved vector current are investigated in the high-temperature phase of QCD. Their masses and couplings to the current are determined at weak coupling, as well as using two-flavor lattice QCD simulations. A consistent picture emerges from the comparison, providing evidence that non-static Matsubara modes can indeed be treated perturbatively. We elaborate on the physical significance of the screening masses.

Keywords: Screening Masses, Lattice QCD, Resummed Perturbation Theory

1. Introduction

While lattice QCD is a primary tool to investigate equilibrium properties of finite-temperature QCD, real-time quantities, such as transport coefficients or production rates, are notoriously difficult to address. In this work, we are motivated by looking for an indirect way of probing real-time physics in the Euclidean formulation of thermal field theory, not involving a numerically ill-posed analytic continuation [1]. Specifically, we show [2] that there is a class of Euclidean observables, namely flavor non-singlet (mesonic) screening masses at non-zero Matsubara frequency, which are sensitive to the same infrared physics as is relevant for jet quenching or photon and dilepton production. Concretely, the link between non-static correlation lengths and the photon production rate is established via a certain potential V^+ introduced previously and recently computed non-perturbatively using lattice simulations [3, 4].

2. Calculation of vector screening masses

We consider the flavor non-singlet vector current correlator ($\vec{x} \equiv (x_1, x_2)$)

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(k_n)}(z) \equiv \int_0^{1/T} d\tau e^{ik_n\tau} \int_{\vec{x}} \langle V_\mu(\tau, \vec{x}, z) V_\nu(0) \rangle_c \stackrel{\mu=\nu}{=} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} e^{-\omega|z|} \rho_{\mu\nu}^{(k_n)}(\omega), \quad k_n \equiv 2\pi nT. \quad (1)$$

In the last equality, a spectral representation is given in terms of screening states. We now build an effective theory (EFT) which allows us to describe the physics of the correlators considered around the threshold $\omega \sim \max(k_1, k_n)$. Dimensional reduction involves keeping only the Matsubara zero modes of the SU(3) gauge fields in the covariant




Figure 1. Comparison of vector screening masses in two-flavor $O(a)$ improved Wilson lattice QCD simulations and in the EFT at two temperatures (corresponding to 16×64^3 and 12×64^3 lattices). The longitudinal and transverse channels are given for the Matsubara sectors $n = 0, 1$ and 2 .

derivatives $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$. With $\psi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (\chi^\dagger \ \phi^\dagger)$, this leads to (at tree-level, in a certain representation of the γ_μ 's)

$$S_0 = \sum_{\{p_n\}} \int_{\vec{x}, z} \left[i\chi_{p_n}^\dagger \left(p_n - gA_0 + D_3 - \frac{D_i D_i + i\sigma_3 \epsilon_{ij} D_i D_j}{2p_n} \right) \chi_{p_n} \right. \\ \left. + i\phi_{p_n}^\dagger \left(p_n - gA_0 - D_3 - \frac{D_i D_i + i\sigma_3 \epsilon_{ij} D_i D_j}{2p_n} \right) \phi_{p_n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p_n^2}\right) \right], \quad p_n \equiv 2\pi T \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (2)$$

The fermions now have non-relativistic propagators and a simple inspection reveals that the ‘forward-propagating’ mesons are represented by the fields $\phi_{p_n}^\dagger \chi_{p'_n}$ and $\phi_{p_n}^\dagger \phi_{-p'_n}$ with $p_n, p'_n > 0$. For instance, consider for $k_n > 0$

$$V_0^{(k_n)} = \sum_{0 < p_n < k_n} (\chi_{p_n}^\dagger \chi_{p_n - k_n} + \phi_{p_n}^\dagger \phi_{p_n - k_n}). \quad (3)$$

For given p_n , let $w(z, \vec{y})$ be the screening correlator of a local current at the source and a point-split current with separation \vec{y} at the sink in the EFT. For $z > 0$,

$$(\partial_z + \hat{H}^+) w(z, \vec{y}) = 0, \quad w(0, \vec{y}) = \delta^{(2)}(\vec{y}), \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{H}^+ \equiv M_{\text{cm}} - \frac{\nabla^2}{2M_{\text{r}}} + V^+, \quad V_{\text{LO}}^+(\vec{y}) = \frac{g_E^2 C_F}{2\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{m_E y}{2}\right) + \gamma_E + K_0(m_E y) \right]. \quad (5)$$

For M_{cm} , we perform a one-loop matching of the fermion masses in the reduced theory [2], while $g_E^2 = g^2 T + \dots$ and $m_E^2 = (\frac{N_c}{3} + \frac{N_f}{6}) g^2 T^2$ is the Debye mass. The Fourier transform of $w(z, \vec{y})$ is closely related to the ‘resolvent’ g^+ , which obeys $(\hat{H}^+ - \omega - i0^+) g^+(\omega, \vec{y}) = \delta^{(2)}(\vec{y})$ and is given explicitly by (the ψ_i are normalized energy eigenstates)

$$g^+(\omega, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_i(\vec{y}) \psi_i^*(\vec{0})}{E_i - \omega - i0^+}. \quad (6)$$

Finally, the screening spectral function can be obtained from the imaginary part of the resolvent,

$$\rho_{00}^{(k_n)}(\omega) = - \sum_{0 < p_n < k_n} 2N_c T \lim_{\vec{y} \rightarrow \vec{0}} \text{Im} g^+(\omega, \vec{y}) = -2\pi N_c T \sum_{0 < p_n < k_n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta(E_i - \omega) |\psi_i(\vec{0})|^2. \quad (7)$$




Figure 2. Leading-order, next-to-leading order and non-perturbative EQCD potential: comparison of the predictions to lattice data for the energy levels (left) and, on the right, the corresponding ‘amplitudes’ (i.e. $-\frac{1}{\pi} \times$ the coefficient of the delta function in Eq. (7)).

We observe a close resemblance with the corresponding equations appearing in the LPM resummation of longitudinal modes for photon or dilepton production [5]: the same potential V^+ appears, and we are looking for an s -wave solution. The potential V^+ can be defined non-perturbatively using a (modified) Wilson loop [3] and has been computed in 3d lattice simulations [4]. The predictions for non-static screening masses resulting from solving the Schrödinger equation with the potential V^+ can be tested against direct four-dimensional lattice QCD simulations; see Fig. 1, where also results for the transverse channel ($\mu = \nu = 1$ or 2 in Eq. (1)) are displayed. We consider the agreement to be satisfactory, since the temperature is not very high. Fig. 2 shows that using a non-perturbative potential, defined from a modified Wilson loop, improves the overall agreement.

3. Analytic continuation of the screening masses $E(\omega_n)$ in ω_n

Linear response along with a constitutive equation for the current \vec{V} makes a prediction for the retarded correlator of V_0 at small frequency ω and wavevector k ,

$$G_R(\omega, k) \xrightarrow{\omega, k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi_s D k^2}{-i\omega + D k^2}, \quad \chi_s \equiv \int d^4x \langle V_0(x) V_0(0) \rangle. \quad (8)$$

In terms of the frequency ω , this corresponds to a pole at $\omega = -iDk^2$ in the lower half of the complex plane, with D the diffusion constant. Continuing to imaginary frequencies, we obtain the Euclidean correlator, $G_E(\omega_n, k) = G_R(i\omega_n, k)$ ($k \neq 0$; $\omega_n = 2\pi T n > 0$). One can also contemplate expression (8) from the point of view of k . Now, the poles in k of $G_E(\omega_n, k)$ are equal to i times a screening ‘mass’ corresponding to a fixed Matsubara frequency sector ω_n . If we continue the screening mass as a function of the Matsubara frequency, Eq. (8) suggests that for $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$, we should find a k -pole at $k^2 = -\frac{\omega_n}{D}$, i.e. that one screening mass $E(\omega_n)$ continues to

$$E(\omega_n) \xrightarrow{\omega_n \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\omega_n/D}. \quad (9)$$

This equation suggests a way to estimate the diffusion constant.

One example to illustrate the analytic continuation of the screening masses in ω_n is provided by AdS/CFT. The ordinary differential equation (ODE) that is solved to determine screening masses coupling to a conserved current is essentially the same as the ODE solved to obtain the spectral function describing the real-time excitations coupling to the current; the difference resides in the boundary conditions [6]. In the shear channel of the large- N_c , strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory for instance, Eq. (4.26) of [7] for $\omega = 0$ is equivalent to Eq. (2.20) of [8]. In the vector




Figure 3. Determination of the diffusion constant $D = 1/(2\pi T)$ in AdS/CFT via the non-static screening masses related to $G_{00}^{(\omega_n)}$.

channel, starting from Eq. (4.5b) of [7], we have to solve

$$\mathcal{E}_z''(u) + \frac{\hat{\omega}^2 f'(u)}{(\hat{\omega}^2 - \hat{q}^2 f(u))f(u)} \mathcal{E}_z'(u) + \frac{\hat{\omega}^2 - \hat{q}^2 f(u)}{u f^2(u)} \mathcal{E}_z(u) = 0 \quad (10)$$

(\mathcal{E}_z can be interpreted as a component of the electric field to which the conserved vector current is coupled, and $\hat{q} = \frac{q}{2\pi T}$, $\hat{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{2\pi T}$). In order to compute screening masses for Matsubara frequency ω_n , we set $\hat{\omega} \doteq i\hat{\omega}_n$, $\hat{q} \doteq i\hat{E}(\hat{\omega}_n)$ in Eq. (10) and choose the following boundary conditions: (a) at $u = 0$ (the boundary), the solution $\mathcal{E}_z(u)$ must be normalizable, hence go to 0; (b) choose $\mathcal{E}_z(u)$ regular at the horizon $u = 1$, i.e. $u^{+\hat{\omega}_n/2}$ rather than $u^{-\hat{\omega}_n/2}$ for $\omega_n > 0$. Using the shooting method starting at $u = 1$, we compute the screening masses for general positive $\omega_n > 0$. As $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$, we observe the behavior (9) with the known result $D = 1/(2\pi T)$ [9] as expected, see Fig. 3.

4. Conclusions

‘Integrating out’ the non-static gauge modes perturbatively appears to be a decent approximation even at $T = 250$ MeV in the calculation of screening masses. Using a non-perturbative potential V^+ improves the predictions for the non-static screening masses and amplitudes. This study adds confidence to the applicability of EFT methods for the study of phenomenologically interesting rate observables at temperatures relevant to heavy ion collision experiments. An open question is whether the relation of non-static screening masses and real-time rates can be extended to a non-perturbative level. In the AdS/CFT context, a direct connection exists between the calculation of screening masses and the calculation of transport coefficients; whether this connection can be usefully carried over to lattice calculations remains to be seen.

References

- [1] H. B. Meyer, Transport Properties of the Quark-Gluon Plasma: A Lattice QCD Perspective, *Eur.Phys.J. A*47 (2011) 86. [arXiv:1104.3708](#), [doi:10.1140/epja/i2011-11086-3](#).
- [2] B. Brandt, A. Francis, M. Laine, H.B. Meyer, A relation between screening masses and real-time rates, *JHEP* 1405 (2014) 117. [arXiv:1404.2404](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP05\(2014\)117](#).
- [3] S. Caron-Huot, O(g) plasma effects in jet quenching, *Phys.Rev. D*79 (2009) 065039. [arXiv:0811.1603](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.065039](#).
- [4] M. Panero, K. Rummukainen, A. Schaefer, A lattice study of the jet quenching parameter, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 112 (2014) 162001. [arXiv:1307.5850](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.162001](#).
- [5] P. Aurenche, F. Gelis, G. Moore, H. Zaraket, Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal resummation for dilepton production, *JHEP* 0212 (2002) 006. [arXiv:hep-ph/0211036](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/12/006](#).
- [6] D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, Minkowski-space correlators in AdS/CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications, *JHEP* 09 (2002) 042. [arXiv:hep-th/0205051](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/09/042](#).
- [7] P. K. Kovtun, A. O. Starinets, Quasinormal modes and holography, *Phys. Rev. D*72 (2005) 086009. [arXiv:hep-th/0506184](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.086009](#).

- [8] R. C. Brower, S. D. Mathur, C.-I. Tan, Discrete spectrum of the graviton in the AdS(5) black hole background, Nucl.Phys. B574 (2000) 219–244. [arXiv:hep-th/9908196](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(99\)00802-0](#).
- [9] G. Policastro, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics, JHEP 09 (2002) 043. [arXiv:hep-th/0205052](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/09/043](#).