Complete minimal discs in Hadamard manifolds

Abstract

Using the classical approach we show the existence of disc type solutions to the asymptotic Plateau problem in certain Hadamard manifolds which may have arbitrarily strong curvature and volume growth.

1 Introduction

The study of complete minimal submanifolds of negatively curved Riemannian manifolds was initiated by Anderson when he showed that each closed submanifold of the sphere at infinity of the hyperbolic n -space is the boundary (relative to the geometric compactification of the hyperbolic space) of an area minimizing variety [\[1\]](#page-25-0), [\[2\]](#page-25-1). In the sequel a considerable number of related and more general results has appeared in the literature (see the survey by Baris Coskunuzer [\[5\]](#page-26-0) which give an account of the state of art till 2009). We mention in particular the work of Victor Bangert and Urs Lang [\[3\]](#page-25-2) where they prove existence results for complete minimizing varieties in manifolds the metric of which is bi-Lipschitz equivalent with a Riemannian metric of sectional curvature bounded between two negative constants. As far as we can see all previous authors use the Geometric Measure Theory approach or they work in the graph setting (see $[8]$, $[14]$, $[20]$, $[16]$, $[22]$ for works using the graph approach).

In this paper we use the methods of the classical Plateau problem to prove the existence of minimal discs in certain n -dimensional Hadamard manifolds with a prescribed Jordan curve at infinity as their boundary. The technique of representing surfaces by mappings which we apply here allows the control of the topological type, however at the cost of a restriction to two dimensional surfaces. A different approach was undertaken by B. Coskunuzer in the case of the three dimensional hyperbolic space, even for surfaces of constant mean curvature [\[6\]](#page-26-4).

The metrics which we consider in this paper do in general not fall into the class of metrics in the paper of Bangert and Lang mentioned above since they need not satisfy a growth condition for the volume of geodesic balls as it were the case for metrics which are bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a metric of sectional curvature bounded below by a constant. On the other hand, our metrics are restricted in a different respect: In a sense made precise below (see Theorem [1\)](#page-2-0) they must be comparable with a rotational metric, in particular they are bi-Lipschitz equivalent with such a metric.

A further important feature of our result lies in the fact that we need not require the existence of convex barriers at infinity which seem to be of fundamental importance in the previous papers. To that regard, we mention the recent work of the first author of this paper together with Jean-baptiste Casteras and Ilkka Holopainen [\[4\]](#page-26-5). We are able to dispense with the convexity at infinity since we introduce coordinates for our manifold in which the mappings approximating the solution surface have bounded norm in the Sobolev space $H^{1,2}$. Thus we may use the concept of boundary values of such functions and interpret the boundary condition for the limit surface in the sense of Sobolev spaces. In the course of this approximation process we are confronted with a possible energy concentration phenomenon at the boundary of the surfaces. In the absence of convex barriers at infinity we exclude this possibility through a blow up argument. The concept of convexity at infinity which may or may not hold in our case is discussed in greater detail below. A precise description of our results follows now.

Let N^n , $n \geq 3$, be a Hadamard manifold, that is, N is a connected, simply connected, complete, n−dimensional Riemannian manifold such that $K_N \leq 0$, where K_N is the supremum of the sectional curvatures of N at any plane of the tangent space at any point of N . For the sake of sim-

plicity, we may assume that N^n is C^{∞} smooth. Recall that the asymptotic boundary $\partial_{\infty}N$ of N is defined as the set of all equivalence classes of unit speed geodesic rays in N; two such rays $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, \infty) \to M$ are equivalent if $\sup_{t>0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty$, where d is the Riemannian distance in N. The so called *geometric* compactification \overline{N} of N is then given by $\overline{N} := N \cup \partial_{\infty} N$, endowed with the cone topology. It is well known that \overline{N} is homeomorphic to the closed unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n (see [\[11\]](#page-26-6) or [\[23\]](#page-27-2), Ch. 2). For any subset $S \subset N$, we define $\partial_{\infty}S = \partial_{\infty}N \cap \overline{S}$.

Setting $r(x) = d(x, 0), x \in N$, where o is a fixed point in N, d the Riemannian distance and

$$
k^{+}(s) = \sup \{ K (\text{grad } r(x), Y) \mid r(x) = s, Y \in T_{x} N \}
$$

\n
$$
k^{-}(s) = \inf \{ K (\text{grad } r(x), Y) \mid r(x) = s, Y \in T_{x} N \}
$$
\n(1)

we prove:

Theorem 1 Assume that there is a continuous non-increasing negative function k_0 defined on the interval $[0, +\infty)$ such that

(1)

(2)

$$
k^{+}(x) \le k_{0}(s) < 0, \ 0 \le s < +\infty
$$

$$
\frac{k^{-} - k_{0}}{\sqrt{-k_{0}}} \in L^{1}([0, +\infty)).
$$

Then there is a Riemannian metric \langle , \rangle_B in the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that (B, \langle , \rangle_B) is isometric to N and the asymptotic boundary $\partial_{\infty}B$ of B is *identified with the topological boundary* ∂B *of* B . In this model (B, \langle , \rangle_B) of N, given a Euclidean rectifiable curve $\Gamma \subset \partial_{\infty}B$, there is a proper, minimal (possibly branched) immersion $u : D \to B$, where D is the unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 , such that u belongs to the Sobolev space $H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the trace of u|∂D parametrizes Γ monotonically. In the case $n = 3$ the map u is an embedding of D.

Remark 2 The function k_0 above is to be thought of as the curvature of a rotational background metric. Our interest is in the case that $k_0(s)$ converges to $-\infty$ when $s \to +\infty$, so that (2) becomes the lesser restrictive the faster k_0 converges to $-\infty$.

It follows from the properties of the Sobolev trace that $\partial_{\infty}u(D) \supset \Gamma$ but we do not know if $\partial_{\infty}u(D) = \Gamma$ under the hypothesis of Theorem [1.](#page-2-0) However

we may conclude this equality if one requires additionally that N has some global convexity property. This is the case if N satisfies the *strict convexity* condition, as defined in [\[21\]](#page-27-3), namely: Given $x \in \partial_{\infty} N$ and a relatively open subset $W \subset \partial_{\infty} N$ containing x, there exists a C^2 -open subset $\Omega \subset \overline{N}$ such that $x \in \text{Int}(\partial_{\infty}\Omega) \subset W$, where Int $(\partial_{\infty}\Omega)$ denotes the interior of $\partial_{\infty}\Omega$ in $\partial_{\infty}N$, and $N \setminus \Omega$ is convex.

We remark that under the assumption $K_N \leq -a^2 < 0$, the strict convexity condition is equivalent to the convex conic neighborhood condition as defined by H. Choi in [\[7\]](#page-26-7). It is proved in [\[21\]](#page-27-3) that if $K_N \leq -a^2$ then N satisfies the strict convexity condition either if the metric of N is rotationally symmetric or if the sectional curvature of N decays at most exponentially (Theorems 13 and 14 of [\[21\]](#page-27-3)).

Theorem 3 Under the same hypothesis of Theorem [1](#page-2-0) if, additionally, N satisfies the strict convexity condition then, besides the conclusions of The-orem [1](#page-2-0) it holds $\partial_{\infty}u(D) = \Gamma$. This holds, in particular, if the metric of M is rotationally symmetric or if the sectional curvature of N decays at most exponentially.

2 Differential geometric preliminaries

Lemma 4 Let $k \in C^0([0,\infty))$, $k \leq 0$, and let F be the solution of the initial value problem

$$
F'' + kF = 0, \ F(0) = 0, \ F'(0) = 1.
$$
 (2)

Then we have:

(*i*) For all $s > 0$ it holds

$$
\frac{sF'(s)}{F(s)} \ge 1\tag{3}
$$

and, if for some constant $a > 0$ the inequality $k(s) < -a^2$ holds for all s then it follows that

$$
\frac{F'}{F} \ge a.
$$

(ii) If k is non-increasing then $G/(sF)$ is non-increasing, too, where $G(s) = \int_0^s F(t)dt.$

(iii) Let F_0 be a further solution of [\(2\)](#page-3-0) with k_0 replacing k, $k_0 < 0$. We assume that k_0 is non-increasing and that the function

$$
\varphi(s) := \frac{|k(s) - k_0(s)|}{\sqrt{-k_0}}
$$

is integrable on $[0, +\infty)$. Then one has the estimate

$$
e^{-C} \le \frac{F(s)}{F_0(s)} \le e^C, \ 0 \le s < +\infty
$$

with

$$
C = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) dt.
$$

Proof. (i) Using [\(2\)](#page-3-0) one sees that $g := F'/F$ solves the initial value problem

$$
g' = -k - g^2, \ g(0) = +\infty.
$$
 (4)

Since $k \leq 0$ we have $(1/g)' \leq 1$ and hence $g(s) \geq 1/s$, proving [\(3\)](#page-3-1). If moreover $k(s) \leq -a^2$ it follows that

$$
g' \ge a^2 - g. \tag{5}
$$

We clearly have $g(s) > a$ on some interval $[0, s_0]$, $s_0 > 0$. We consider the maximal interval $[s_0, R)$ on which $g(s) > a$. On this interval we get from [\(5\)](#page-4-0)

$$
\frac{1}{2a} \left(\ln \frac{g-a}{g+a} \right)' = \frac{g'}{g^2 - a^2} \ge -1,
$$

what upon integration yields

$$
\frac{g(s) - a}{g(s) + a} \ge \frac{g(s_0) - a}{g(s_0) + a} e^{-2a(s - s_0)} > 0, \ s_0 \le s < R.
$$

This shows that $R = +\infty$, i.e. $g(s) > a$ for $s > 0$.

(ii) The statement is equivalent with

$$
\left(\ln \frac{sF}{G}\right)' \ge 0
$$

that is

$$
\left(\ln\frac{sF}{G}\right)' = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{F'}{F} - \frac{G'}{G} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{F'G - FG'}{FG}.
$$

We compute

$$
(F'G - FG')' = F''G - FG'' = -kFG - FG'' = -F(G'' + kG).
$$

Integrating (2) and using that $k(s)$ is non-increasing, we obtain

$$
0 = F'(s) - 1 + \int_0^s k(t)F(t)dt
$$

\n
$$
\geq F'(s) - 1 + k(s)\int_0^s F(t)dt = G''(s) + k(s)G(s) - 1.
$$

The last inequality yields

$$
(F'G - FG')' \ge -F
$$

and, upon integration and observing $G(0) = 0$, $G'(0) = F(0) = 0$,

$$
F'G - FG' \ge -G'.
$$

This leads to

$$
\left(\ln \frac{sF}{G}\right)' \ge \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{F},
$$

proving (ii) since $F(s) \geq s$ for all s because of $F'(0) = 1$ and $F'' \geq 0$.

(iii) One computes

$$
|(F'F_0 - FF'_0)'| = |F''F_0 - FF''_0| = |k - k_0|FF_0
$$

leading to

$$
\left| \frac{F'(s)F_0(s) - F(s)F'_0(s)}{F(s)F_0(s)} \right| \le \int_0^s \frac{|k(t) - k_0(t)|}{F(s)F_0(s)} F(t)F_0(t)dt \tag{6}
$$

$$
\le \int_0^s |k(t) - k_0(t)| \frac{F_0(t)}{F_0(s)} dt,
$$

since F is non-decreasing. For a fixed t let f be the solution of the initial value problem

$$
f''(s) + k_0(t)f(s) = 0, \ f(t) = F_0(t), \ f'(t) = F'_0(t).
$$

Since k_0 is non-increasing by assumption we conclude that for $s \geq t$

$$
F_0(s) \ge f(s) = F_0(t) \cosh\left(\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{F'_0(t)}{\sqrt{-k_0(t)}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right)
$$

$$
\ge F_0(t) \cosh\left(\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right).
$$

Thus we get with $0 < \delta < r$

$$
\left|\ln \frac{F(r)}{F_0(r)} - \ln \frac{F(\delta)}{F_0(\delta)}\right| = \left|\int_{\delta}^r \left(\frac{F'(s)}{F(s)} - \frac{F'_0(s)}{F_0(s)}\right) ds\right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \int_{\delta}^r \int_0^s \frac{|k(t) - k_0(t)|}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right)} dt ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq \int_0^\infty |k(t) - k_0(t)| \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right)} dt
$$

\n
$$
= \int_0^\infty |k(t) - k_0(t)| \left[\frac{\arctan\left(\sinh\sqrt{-k_0(t)}\,(s-t)\right)}{\sqrt{-k_0(t)}}\right]_t^\infty dt
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{|k(t) - k_0(t)|}{\sqrt{-k_0(t)}} dt =: C < +\infty.
$$

Since

$$
\frac{F(\delta)}{F_0(\delta)} \to 1 \text{ as } \delta \to 0
$$

the statement follows. \blacksquare

In the following we consider a $n-\text{dimensional Hadamard manifold } N$, whose Riemannian metric is denoted by \langle , \rangle . We fix a point $o \in N$ and consider the distance function $r(x) = d(x, o)$ to o. We investigate some geometric properties of N by means of the functions k^+ , k^- defined by [\(1\)](#page-2-1).

Lemma 5 Let $k \leq 0$ be a continuous function such that $k^+(s) \leq k(s)$ for $s \in [0, +\infty)$. Let F be the function defined as in Lemma [4](#page-3-2) with the actual k. Then the inequality

$$
\text{Hess } r(x) (u, u) \ge \frac{F'(r(x))}{F(r(x))} \langle u, u \rangle
$$

holds for all $x \in N \setminus \{o\}$ and all $u \in T_xN$ with $u \perp \text{grad } r(x)$.

Proof. Let S be the rotationally symmetric manifold with origin $o_S \in S$ such that, in polar coordinates with origin o_S , the metric of S is given by $dt^2 = ds^2 + F(s)^2 d\Theta^2$. If $z \in S \setminus \{o_S\}$ and $r_S(s) = d_S(z, o_S)$ then

$$
K_S(z)
$$
(grad r_S , Z) = $-\frac{F''(r_S(z))}{F(r_S(z))} = k(r_S(z)),$

for any $Z \in T_zS$, $Z \perp \text{grad } r_S$ (see [\[7\]](#page-26-7)). We notice that since $k(s) \leq 0$, the exponential map of S at o_S is a diffeomorphism and then r_S is smooth on $S\backslash \{o_S\}.$

Let $x \in N \setminus \{o\}$ be given. The same proof as of the usual Hessian Comparison Theorem (see Theorem 1.1 of [\[23\]](#page-27-2)) gives

Hess
$$
r(x)
$$
 $(u, u) \ge$ Hess $r_S(z)$ $(u_S, u_S) = \frac{F'(r_S(z))}{F(r_S(z))} \langle u_S, u_S \rangle_S$

$$
= \frac{F'(r(x))}{F(r(x))} \langle u, u \rangle,
$$

where $z \in S$, $r_S(z) = r(x)$, and u_S is any vector in T_zS orthogonal to $\operatorname{grad} r_S(z)$ such that $\langle u, u \rangle = \langle u_S, u_S \rangle_S$.

Corollary 6 Setting $G(s) = \int^s F(t)dt$ the inequality

Hess
$$
G \circ r(x)
$$
 $(u, u) \geq F'(r(x)) \langle u, u \rangle$

holds for all $x \in N \setminus \{o\}$ and $u \in T_xN$.

Proof. One has

Hess
$$
G \circ r(u, u) = G'(r)
$$
 Hess $r(u, u) + G''$ (grad r, u)²,

so that for $u\perp \text{grad } r(x)$ we obtain from Lemma [5](#page-6-0)

Hess
$$
G \circ r(u, u) = F(r)
$$
 Hess $r(u, u) \ge F'(r) \langle u, u \rangle$.

Since Hess r (grad r , grad r) = 0 we get

$$
\mathop{\mathrm{Hess}} G \circ r \left(\mathop{\mathrm{grad}} r, \mathop{\mathrm{grad}} r \right) = G''(r) = F'(r).
$$

We now prove an extension of the classical monotonicity formula for minimal surfaces [\[1\]](#page-25-0). It will be obvious from the proof that a corresponding result holds for higher dimensional minimal submanifolds. The proof is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1 of [\[1\]](#page-25-0) and we refer the reader to this paper for details.

Proposition 7 Let M be a minimal surface in N which has no boundary inside some geodesic ball B_R of N centered at o. Assume that $k^+(s) \leq k(s)$ for $s \in [0, +\infty)$ where $k \leq 0$ is a continuous non-increasing function. Let F be the function determined by k as in Lemma [4](#page-3-2). Then the function

$$
r \mapsto \frac{\text{Area}(M \cap B_r)}{\int_0^r F(t)dt}, \ 0 < r \le R \tag{7}
$$

is non-decreasing.

Proof. For any point $z \in M$, let e_1, e_2 be an orthonormal basis of T_zM such that $e_2 = \text{grad}^\top r / |\text{grad}^\top r|$, where $\text{grad}^\top r$ is the orthogonal projection of $\operatorname{grad} r$ on T_zM . From the first variational formula we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^2 \int_M \left\langle \nabla_{e_j} E, e_j \right\rangle = 0
$$

where E is any smooth vector field with compact support on M . Choosing E of the form

$$
E = f(r) \chi_s r \operatorname{grad} r,
$$

where f is a smooth function satisfying $f' \leq 0$, to be explicitly given later, and χ_s is a smooth approximation to the characteristic function of $[0, s]$, we obtain

$$
\int f \chi_s \sum_{j=1}^2 \langle \nabla_{e_j} r \operatorname{grad} r, e_j \rangle = - \int \left(f' \chi_s + f \chi'_s \right) r \left| \operatorname{grad}^{\top} r \right|^2
$$

and then

$$
\int \left(f \chi_s \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\langle \nabla_{e_j} r \operatorname{grad} r, e_j \right\rangle + rf' \chi_s \right) \leq - \int rf \chi'_s
$$

Using Lemma [5](#page-6-0) we obtain

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\langle \nabla_{e_j} r \operatorname{grad} r, e_j \right\rangle \ge \left\langle e_2, \operatorname{grad} r \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle e_2, e_2^{\perp} \right\rangle^2 \frac{r F'(r)}{F(r)} + \frac{r F'(r)}{F(r)}
$$

where e_2^{\perp} is the unit vector along the projection of e_2 on the tangent plane of the geodesic sphere centered at o. From [\(3\)](#page-3-1) it follows that

$$
\langle e_2, \text{grad } r \rangle^2 + \langle e_2, e_2^{\perp} \rangle^2 \frac{r F'(r)}{F(r)} \ge \langle e_2, \text{grad } r \rangle^2 + \langle e_2, e_2^{\perp} \rangle^2 = 1
$$

and then

$$
\int \chi_s \left[f \left(1 + \frac{rF'}{F} \right) + rf' \right] \le - \int rf \chi'_s. \tag{8}
$$

Choosing f as a solution of the ODE

$$
f(r)\left(1 + \frac{rF'(r)}{F(r)}\right) + rf'(r) = 1
$$

with $f(0) = 1/2$ we obtain

$$
f(r) = \frac{\int_0^r F(t)dt}{rF(r)}.
$$

From Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (ii) we have that $f' \leq 0$. Then, setting $v(r) = Vol(B_r)$ and using [\(8\)](#page-9-0) we arrive at $v(r) \leq rf(r)v'(r)$ from which we easily obtain [\(7\)](#page-8-0).

In what follows we want to compare the metric on the given manifold N with the metric of a rotationally symmetric complete background manifold S_0 of non-positive sectional curvature k_0 given as a function of the distance to the origin o_0 in S_0 . As in Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (iii) we assume that $k_0(s) < 0$ is continuous and non-increasing and, as before, we denote by F_0 the solution of $F''_0 + k_0 F_0 = 0$, $F_0(0) = 0$, $F'_0(0) = 1$.

Lemma 8 Let $\gamma : [0, +\infty) \to N$ be a unit speed geodesic, $\gamma(0) = o$, and J be a normal Jacobi field along γ , $J(0) = 0$, $||J'(0)|| = 1$.

(i) If $k^-(s) \geq k(s)$ for some continuous function k and if F is a solution of [\(2\)](#page-3-0) then it follows that $||J(s)|| \leq F(s)$, $0 \leq s < +\infty$. Likewise, if $k^+(s) \leq$ $k(s) \leq 0$ then one has $||J(s)|| \geq F(s)$.

(ii) We suppose that $k^+(s) \leq k_0(s)$, $0 \leq s < \infty$ and

$$
\frac{k^{-} - k_{0}}{\sqrt{-k_{0}}} \in L^{1}([0, \infty)).
$$
\n(9)

Then the estimate

$$
F_0(s) \le ||J(s)|| \le e^{-C} F_0(s)
$$

holds with

$$
C = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^-(s) - k_0(s)}{\sqrt{-k_0(s)}} ds.
$$

Proof. (i) It is an immediate consequence of Rauch's comparison theorem by comparing $||J(s)||$ with the norm of a Jacobi field, satisfying the same initial conditions as J, in a rotationally symmetric manifold with curvature $k(s)$.

(ii) The statement follows directly from (i) and Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (iii). \blacksquare

Corollary 9 Let $Exp: T_oN \to N$ be the exponential map at the base point o and let the condition (9) above be satisfied. Then there is a constant C such that

$$
||w|| \frac{F_0(||v||)}{||v||} \le ||d \operatorname{Exp}(v)w|| \le C ||w|| \frac{F_0(||v||)}{||v||}
$$

holds for $v, w \in T_oN$ with $v \perp w, v \neq 0$.

Proof. As is well known, $d \text{Exp}(v)w = J(1)$ where J is the Jacobi field along $t \mapsto \text{Exp}(tv)$ with initial condition $J(0) = 0$ and $J'(0) = w$. Let J_1 be the Jacobi field along $t \mapsto \text{Exp}(t ||v||^{-1} v)$ with $J_1(0) = 0$, $J'_1(0) = ||w||^{-1} w$. Then

$$
\widetilde{J} := \frac{\|w\|}{\|v\|} J_1 (\|v\| \, t)
$$

is a Jacobi field along $t \mapsto \text{Exp}(tv)$ with $J(0) = 0$, $J'(0) = ||w|| J'_1(0) = w$. Hence, $\widetilde{J} = J$, $J(1) = \frac{\|w\|}{\|v\|} J_1(\|v\| t)$ and the Corollary follows from Lemma [8](#page-9-1) $(ii). \blacksquare$

In the next lemma we obtain a special metric in the ball model for complete rotationally symmetric metrics with sectional curvature bounded by above by a negative constant:

Lemma 10 Let a complete rotationally symmetric metric of radial sectional curvature k be given, where k is a continuous function of arclenght $s \in \mathbb{R}$ [0, ∞). We assume furthermore that $k(s) \leq -a^2$ for some constant a > 0 and for all s. Then there are coordinates defined in the unit ball $B =$ ${x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| < 1}$ in which the metric takes the form

$$
f'(|x|)^2 dx^2
$$

where dx^2 stands for the Euclidean metric and $f \in C^2([0,1)) \cap C^3((0,1))$ is the inverse function of

$$
g(r) := e^{-\int_r^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{F(t)}}, \ 0 < r < \infty,
$$

and F is the solution of (2) with the given curvature k. The function g is of class $C^2([0,+\infty)) \cap C^3((0,+\infty))$ with $g'(r) > 0$ for $r \geq 0$ and hence $f'(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0,1)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (i) that F grows at least exponentially so that $\int_{r}^{+\infty} dt/F(t)$ is finite for each $r > 0$. Since $F \in C^2([0, +\infty))$, $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(0) = 1$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{F(t)} = \frac{1}{t} + b(t)
$$

for some function $b \in C^0([0, +\infty))$ from which it follows that $g(r) \to 0$ and $g(r)/r \to c \ (r \to 0)$ for some $c > 0$. Hence

$$
g'(r) = \frac{g(r)}{F(r)} = \frac{g(r)}{r} \frac{r}{F(r)} \to c, \ r \to 0
$$

and $g \in C^{1}([0, +\infty))$ and $g'(r) > 0$ for $r \ge 0$. Since

$$
g'' = \frac{g'F - gF'}{F^2} = \frac{g(1 - F')}{F^2}
$$

we conclude that $\lim_{r\to 0} g''(r)$ exists and thus $g \in C^2([0, +\infty))$.

Introducing the coordinates $(r, \theta) \in [0, 1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by $x = g(r)\theta$ we find by direct computation

$$
f'(|x|)^2 dx^2 = dr^2 + F(r)^2 d\theta^2
$$

and, by a well known formula, the radial sectional curvature of this metric is $-F''/F = k.$

In the sequel we construct a special ball model of N. We denote by S the rotationally symmetric Hadamard manifold with origin o_S with radial sectional curvature k_0 given as a function of the distance to o_S . We require that $k_0(s) \leq -a^2$ for all s with some $a > 0$. It follows from Lemma [10](#page-10-1) that S is isometric to the open unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with a metric of the form

$$
\langle u, v \rangle_0 = f'(|x|)^2 (u, v), \ u, v \in T_x B,
$$
\n(10)

where $($. $)$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product and f is given by Lemma [10.](#page-10-1)

Proposition 11 N is isometric to an open unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with a metric of the form

$$
\langle u, v \rangle = f'(|x|)^2 \langle u, v \rangle_b, \ u, v \in T_x B,\tag{11}
$$

where f is the function given in Lemma [10](#page-10-1) and $\langle u, v \rangle_b$ is a Riemannian metric on B which is uniformly bounded from above and from below by the Euclidean metric.

The balls with center $0 \in B$ in the metric [\(11\)](#page-12-0) above are at the same time balls in the metric [\(10\)](#page-12-1) of the same radius and the geodesics of [\(11\)](#page-12-0) passing through $0 \in B$ are straight line segments. In particular, it follows that the asymptotic boundary $\partial_{\infty}B$ of B with respect to the metric [\(11\)](#page-12-0) is identified with the topological boundary ∂B of B via the map that associates to each point of $x \in \partial B$ the equivalence class of the geodesic ray from 0 to x.

Proof. Let

$$
Exp: T_o N \to N, exp: T_0 S \to S
$$

be the corresponding exponential maps and let us choose a linear isometry $j: T_0S \to T_oN$. We then define the diffeomorphism

$$
\Phi: \operatorname{Exp} \circ j \circ \exp^{-1}: S \to N.
$$

Since the geodesics of S passing through $0 \in B$ are straight line segments and since the exponential maps map straight lines through the origin to geodesics, it is clear that Φ maps the straight lines segments passing through $0 \in B$ to geodesics of N passing through the base point $o \in N$. The classical Gauss lemma says that the exponential map is an isometry in the radial direction, i. e.,

$$
\langle d \operatorname{Exp}(v)(v), d \operatorname{Exp}(v)(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle, v, w \in T_o N \langle d \operatorname{exp}(v)(v), d \operatorname{exp}(v)(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle_0, v, w \in T_0 S.
$$
\n(12)

This implies

 \blacksquare

$$
||d\Phi(x)\operatorname{grad} r_0(x)|| = 1\tag{13}
$$

and hence Φ maps balls with center $0 \in S$ onto balls in N centered at o with the same radius.

We now claim that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
||u||_0 \le ||d\Phi(x)u|| \le C ||u||_0 \tag{14}
$$

holds for $x \in S$ and $u \in T_xS$. If this is shown then Proposition [11](#page-12-2) is proved since [\(14\)](#page-13-0) can be rewritten as

$$
(u, u) = \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_0}{f'(\Vert x \Vert)^2} \le \frac{1}{f'(\Vert x \Vert)^2} \langle d\Phi(x)u, d\Phi(x)u \rangle
$$

$$
\le C^2 \frac{\langle u, u \rangle_0}{f'(\Vert x \Vert)^2} = C^2(u, u).
$$

The inequality [\(14\)](#page-13-0) is already clear for $u := \text{grad } r_0(x)$ by [\(13\)](#page-13-1). Let then $u \in T_xS$ with $u \perp \text{grad } r_0(x)$. It follows from [\(12\)](#page-12-3) that

$$
w := d(\exp^{-1})(x)(u) \perp d(\exp^{-1})(x) \text{ grad } r_0(x) = \lambda \exp^{-1}(x)
$$

for some λ and hence $jw \bot j \exp^{-1}(x)$ so that we obtain from Corollary [9](#page-10-2) with $v := \exp^{-1}(x)$

$$
||w|| \frac{F_0(||v||)}{||v||} = ||jw|| \frac{F_0(||jv||)}{||jv||} \le ||d \operatorname{Exp}(jv)jw|| = ||d\Phi(x)u||
$$

$$
\le C ||jw|| \frac{F_0(||jv||)}{||jv||} = C ||w||_0 \frac{F_0(||v||_0)}{||v||_0}.
$$

But for the rotationally symmetric metric on S we have

$$
||w||_0 \frac{F_0(||v||_0)}{||v||_0} = ||d \exp(v)w|| = ||u||_0.
$$

3 The expanding minimal discs

We remind the reader of the definitions of the functions k^+ and k^- given in [\(1\)](#page-2-1) and the assumptions of Theorem [1,](#page-2-0) namely: There is a continuous,

non-increasing, strictly negative function k_0 such that $k^+(x) \leq k_0(s)$, $0 \leq$ $s < +\infty$, and

$$
\frac{k^{-} - k_{0}}{\sqrt{-k_{0}}} \in L^{1}([0, \infty)).
$$

This will be assumed for the rest of the paper.

Let B be the ball model of N given by Proposition [11.](#page-12-2) Given a rectifiable Jordan curve $\Gamma \subset \partial_{\infty}B$ let Γ_1 be the radial projections of Γ onto the unit sphere (in the metric of N) centered at $0 \in B$ and let $\gamma := \text{Exp}^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$. We may assume that $\|\gamma'\|=1$ and define the family of Jordan curves $\Gamma_R \subset N$, $1 < R < +\infty$ $1 < R < +\infty$ $1 < R < +\infty$, by $\Gamma_R = \text{Exp}(R\gamma)$. Morrey's existence theorem [[\[18\]](#page-27-4), [\[19\]](#page-27-5)] guarantees, for each R , the existence of a minimizing disc M_R with boundary Γ_R given by a harmonic, conformal, possibly branched immersion

$$
u_R: D \to N, \ D = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ |z| < 1 \} \tag{15}
$$

where $u_R \in C^2(D) \cap C^0(\overline{D})$ and $u_R|\partial D$ parametrizes Γ_R one-to-one. We estimate the area of M_R by comparison with the cone $c(s, t) = \text{Exp}(t\gamma(s)),$ $0 \leq t \leq R$, $0 \leq s \leq L$. By direct computation and Corollary [9](#page-10-2)

area(c) =
$$
\int_0^R \int_0^L ||d \operatorname{Exp}(t\gamma(s))(\gamma'(s)|| ||d \operatorname{Exp}(t\gamma(s))(\gamma(s)|| ds dt \le CLG_0(R)
$$

with $G_0(R) = \int_0^R F_0(t)dt$, so that

$$
\text{area}(M_R) \le CLG_0(R). \tag{16}
$$

We now apply the monotonicity formula, Proposition [7](#page-8-1) with $k(s) = k_0(s)$ and obtain

$$
\operatorname{area}(M_R \cap B_s(o)) \le CLG_0(s). \tag{17}
$$

Recalling our ball model for N, which we use standardly from now on, we translate [\(17\)](#page-14-0) into a growth condition for Euclidean balls $B_r^e(0) \subset B$ which have radius $f(r)$ (see Lemma [10\)](#page-10-1) in S and as well in N (Proposition [11\)](#page-12-2):

$$
\operatorname{area}(M_R \cap B_r^e) \le CLG_0(f(r)).\tag{18}
$$

The next lemma makes the decisive step towards the convergence proof of the family of surfaces M_R .

Lemma 12 The areas of the family M_R with respect to the metric \langle , \rangle_b (see Proposition [11\)](#page-12-2) stay bounded independently of R. Moreover, the Euclidean energies of the corresponding mappings u_R stay bounded as well. There is a radius $\rho > 0$ such that each of the surfaces M_R intersects $B_\rho(o) \subset N$.

Proof. By Proposition [11](#page-12-2) the surface area elements $d\omega_b$ in the metric \langle , \rangle_b and $d\omega$ in N stand in the relation

$$
d\omega = f'(|x|)^2 d\omega_b.
$$

If therefore we define

$$
\mathbf{A}(r) = \text{area}(M_R \cap B_r^e)
$$

in N and

$$
A_b(r) = \text{area}(M_R \cap B_r^e)
$$

inthe \langle , \rangle_b - metric, it follows from the coarea formula [[\[12\]](#page-26-8), 3.2.12] that

$$
\frac{d}{dr}\,\mathbf{A}_b(r) = f'(r)^{-2}\frac{d}{dr}\,\mathbf{A}(r)
$$

from what we get by integration

$$
A_b(f^{-1}(R)) - A_b(f^{-1}(\rho)) = \int_{f^{-1}(\rho)}^{f^{-1}(R)} f'(r)^{-2} A'(r) dr
$$

= $f'(f^{-1}(R))^{-2} A(f^{-1}(R)) - f'(f^{-1}(\rho))^{-2} A(f^{-1}(\rho))$
+ $2 \int_{f^{-1}(\rho)}^{f^{-1}(R)} \frac{f''(r)}{f'(r)^3} A(r) dr.$ (19)

From Lemma [10](#page-10-1) we recall the following relations

$$
f' = \frac{1}{g' \circ f} = \frac{F_0 \circ f}{g \circ f}
$$

$$
f'' = \frac{F'_0 g - F_0 g'}{g^2} \circ f f' = \frac{(F'_0 - 1) F_0}{g^2} \circ f.
$$

Since $F'_0(0) = 1$ and $F''_0 \ge 0$ we see that

$$
f'' \ge 0. \tag{20}
$$

Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (i) implies $F'_0 \ge cF_0$ for some constant $c > 0$ from what we get

$$
G_0 \le c^{-1} F_0. \tag{21}
$$

By means of (18) , (20) and (21) we may continue the estimate (19) :

$$
A_b(f^{-1}(R)) - A_b(f^{-1}(\rho)) \leq CL \left(\frac{g(R)^2}{F_0(R)^2} G_0(R) + 2 \int_{f^{-1}(\rho)}^{f^{-1}(R)} \frac{f''(r)}{f'(r)^2} \frac{g(f(r))G_0(f(r))}{F_0(f(r))} dr \right) \n\leq CLc^{-1} \left(\frac{g(R)}{F_0(R)} + 2 \int_{f^{-1}(\rho)}^{f^{-1}(R)} \left(\frac{-1}{f'(r)} \right)' dr \right) \n\leq 2CLc^{-1} \frac{g(\rho)}{F_0(\rho)}
$$
\n(22)

for arbitrary $\rho \in (0, R)$. Because of $0 \le g \le 1$, $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) > 0$ as we showed in Lemma [10,](#page-10-1) we see that the areas of the surfaces M_R in the \langle , \rangle_b –metric stay bounded independently of R. The maps $u_R : D \to N$ being conformal in the metric of N are conformal with respect to the \langle , \rangle_b –metric as well since this metric differs from the one of N by a conformal factor. But then it follows that the energies of the mappings u_R in the \langle , \rangle_b –metric and, on account of Proposition [11,](#page-12-2) as well in the Euclidean metric are bounded independently of R. In other words the mapping u_R , considered as mappings into \mathbb{R}^n are bounded in the norm of the Sobolev space H_2^1 .

Let us now assume that M_R omits the ball $B_\rho(o)$ of N. Then one sees from [\(22\)](#page-16-1) that the area of M_R in the \langle , \rangle_b –metric and hence the Euclidean energy of u_R become arbitrarily small if R and ρ are sufficiently large. This however contradicts the fact that $u_R|_{\partial D}$ parametrizes a rectifiable Jordan curve $\Gamma_R \subset B$ and Γ_R converges to a rectifiable curve $\Gamma \subset \partial_{\infty} B$ as $R \to \infty$. Here we used the fact that in the ball model of N geodesic cones with center 0 are straight Euclidean cones. This shows that there is a ball $B_\rho(o) \subset N$ such that $B_\rho(o) \cap M_R \neq \emptyset$ for all $R \geq 1$. ■

In the next lemma we prove local energy and local C^0 -estimates for conformal harmonic maps $u : D \to N$.

Lemma 13 Let $u : D \to N$ be harmonic and conformal.

(i) For any subset D_0 with $\overline{D}_0 \subset D$ holds

$$
E(u, D_0) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_0} ||du||^2 dx \le 8a^{-2} \operatorname{cap}(D_0, D)
$$

with

$$
cap(D_0, D) = inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_0} |d\eta|^2 dx \mid \eta \in C_0^{\infty}(D), \ \eta = 1 \ \text{on} \ D_0 \right\}
$$

(ii) For any $z_0 \in D$ and $s < (1 - |z_0|)^2$ we have the estimate

$$
\text{dist}\left(u(z), u(z_0)\right) \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} + 4\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{-\ln s}}\right) a^{-2} \operatorname{cap}(D_{\sqrt{s}}(z_0), D)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{for } z \in D_s(z_0) := \{z \in D \mid |z - z_0| < s\}.
$$

Proof. (i) We set $k = k^+$ in Lemma [4](#page-3-2) and Lemma [5](#page-6-0) and consider the function

$$
w := G \circ r \circ u, \ r(x) = \text{dist}(x, o).
$$

Using the harmonicity of u we obtain from the Corollary 6

$$
\Delta w = \sum_{k=1,2} \left(\text{Hess } G \circ r \right)(u) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) \ge F'(r(u)) ||du||^2.
$$

We test this inequality with the function $\varphi = \eta^2/F \circ r \circ u$ where $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$, $\eta=1$ on D_0 to obtain

$$
0 \geq \int \left(\sum_{k=1,2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} + F' ||du||^2 \varphi \right) dx
$$

=
$$
\int \left(-\eta^2 \frac{G'F'}{F^2} \sum_{k=1,2} \left\langle \operatorname{grad} r, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right\rangle^2 + \eta^2 \frac{F'}{F} ||du||^2
$$

+
$$
2\eta \frac{G'}{F} \sum_{k=1,2} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_k} \left\langle \operatorname{grad} r, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right\rangle \right) dx.
$$

Since $G' = F$ this simplifies to

$$
\int \left(\eta^2 \frac{F'}{F} \sum_{k=1,2} \left(\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}\right\|^2 - \left\langle \text{grad } r, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}\right\rangle^2\right) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2 \int \eta \, |d\eta| \left(\sum_{k=1,2} \left\langle \text{grad } r, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}\right\rangle^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx.
$$
\n(23)

Let now $x \in D$ be arbitrary and $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ be an orthonormal basis at $u(x)$ with $e_1 = \text{grad } r$. The conformality relations then read

$$
0 = \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\rangle \left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle,
$$

$$
0 = \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle \left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\rangle^2 - \left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle^2 \right)
$$

which, in complex notation with $i = \sqrt{-1}$, may be rewritten in the form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\rangle + i \left\langle e_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle \right)^2 = 0.
$$

Separating the term $j = 1$ in this sum allows to estimate the radial component du^{rad} of du by the spherical component du^{spher} , *i.e.*

$$
\left\|du^{rad}\right\|^2 \le \left\|du^{spher}\right\|^2. \tag{24}
$$

We now employ Lemma [4](#page-3-2) (i) and [\(24\)](#page-18-0) to obtain from [\(23\)](#page-18-1)

$$
a \int \eta^2 \left\| du^{spher} \right\|^2 dx
$$

$$
\leq \left(\varepsilon \int \eta^2 \left\| du^{spher} \right\|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |d\eta|^2 dx \right)
$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ $rac{1}{2}a$ yields

$$
\int \eta^2 \left\| du^{spher} \right\|^2 dx \le 4a^{-2} \int |d\eta|^2 dx.
$$

Using [\(24\)](#page-18-0) once more proves the statement.

(ii) For any $r < 1 - |z_0|$ the image of $u|_{L_r}(z_0)$ contains a minimal surface which passes through $u(z_0)$ and has no boundary inside the geodesic ball centered at $u(z_0)$ and of radius

$$
\delta(r) := \inf \left\{ d(u(z), u(z_0)) \mid z \in \partial D_r(z_0) \right\}.
$$

The classical monotonicity formula for non positively curved metrics gives the estimate

area
$$
(u|D_r(z_0)) \geq \pi \delta(r)^2
$$
.

Part (i) then provides

$$
\delta(r) \le \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} a^{-1} \operatorname{cap}(D_r(z_0), D)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (25)

Givennow $s \in (0, (1 - |z_0|)^2)$ the Lemma of Courant-Lebesgue [[\[9\]](#page-26-9), 4.4] guarantees the existence of a radius $r \in (s, \sqrt{s})$ such that the length of the curve $u|\partial D_r(z_0)$ is estimated as follows:

$$
L(u|\partial D_r(z_0)) \le \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{-\ln s}} E(u|D_{\sqrt{s}}(z_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 8\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{-\ln s}} a^{-1} \exp(D_{\sqrt{s}}(z_0), D)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Combining this with [\(25\)](#page-19-0) we arrive at

$$
\sup \left\{ \operatorname{dist}(u(z), u(z_0) \mid z \in \partial D_r(z_0) \right\}
$$

$$
\leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} + 4 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{-\ln s}} \right) a^{-1} \operatorname{cap}(D_{\sqrt{s}}(z_0), D)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

The maximum principle for harmonic maps into non-positively curved spaces [\[15\]](#page-26-10) yields the statement in (ii). \blacksquare

After a suitable conformal reparametrization of $u_R : D \to N$, we may assume that the following important normalization holds

$$
u_R(0) \in B_\rho(o) \subset N, \ 0 < R < +\infty,\tag{26}
$$

where ρ is given by Lemma [12.](#page-14-2)

We are now in position to prove:

Lemma 14 (i) For some sequence $R_k \rightarrow +\infty$ the sequence of conformal harmonic maps $\left(u_{R_{k}}\right)$ converges locally in C^{2} to a proper, conformal harmonic map $u : D \to N$. If $n = 3$ then u is an embedding.

(ii) Considered as a map into \mathbb{R}^n , u belongs to the Sobolev space $H_2^1(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its trace u|∂D either is a continuous weakly monotonic parametrization of $\Gamma = \lim_{R\to\infty} \Gamma_R \subset \partial B$ or $u|\partial D$ equals one point of Γ .

Proof. We shall obtain u as a limit of a subsequence of the sequence u_R : $D \to N$ given by [\(15\)](#page-14-3). Due the normalization condition [\(26\)](#page-19-1) and by Lemma [13,](#page-16-2) for each subdisc $D_r(0) \subset D$ with $r < 1$ all the maps u_R map $D_r(0)$ into some fixed ball $B_{s(r)}(o) \subset N$ and the energies of $u_R|_{v}(0)$ are uniformly bounded as well. This makes Morrey's Hölder estimate for energy minimizing maps applicable [\[19\]](#page-27-5) so that we get an uniform C^{α} -bound for u_R on each subdisc $D_r(0)$ for some $\alpha(r) \in (0,1)$. By well known regularity estimates for harmonic maps this implies uniform local $C^{2,\alpha}$ bounds for the family u_R . Therefore we may find a sequence $R_k \to \infty$ such that u_{R_k} converges locally in C^2 to a conformal harmonic map $u: D \to N$. On the other hand, considering the u_R as maps into \mathbb{R}^n , we know from Lemma [12](#page-14-2) that the u_R are uniformly bounded in the $H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm, so that we may also assume that u_{R_k} converges to u weakly in $H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The trace operator $H_2^1(D,\mathbb{R}^n) \to L_2(\partial D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ being compact we may then furthermore assume that $u_{R_k}|\partial D \to u|\partial D \ (k \to +\infty)$ in $L_2(\partial D, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us now choose parametrizations $\gamma_R : [0, 2\pi] \to \Gamma_R$, $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \to \Gamma$ which are proportional to Euclidean arclength such that $\gamma_R \to \gamma$ uniformly in the Euclidean metric as $R \to \infty$. We extend γ_R and γ as periodic functions defined on R. Then we may write

$$
u_R(e^{i\theta}) = \gamma_R(\varphi_R(\theta)), \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi,\tag{27}
$$

with some monotonic function φ_R , $0 \leq \varphi_R(0) \leq 2\pi$, $\varphi_R(2\pi) - \varphi_R(0) = 2\pi$.

A classical theorem of Helly says that any sequence of monotone, uniformly bounded functions has a pointwise convergent subsequence, so that we may also assume that

$$
u_{R_k}(e^{i\theta}) \to \gamma(\varphi(\theta)) \ (k \to +\infty)
$$

for some monotone function φ with $\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(0) = 2\pi$. Together with the L_2 convergence $u_{R_k}|\partial D \to u|\partial D$ this clearly implies that

$$
u(e^{i\theta}) = \gamma(\varphi(\theta)), \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.
$$

This shows that $u|\partial D$ could only have jump discontinuities; however these are not possible for boundary values of an H_2^1 –function thanks to the lemma ofCourant-Lebesgue [[\[9\]](#page-26-9), 4.4]. It follows that φ cannot have jumps of height less than 2π and we arrive at the alternative that either φ is continuous or it makes a jump of 2π , in other words, either $u(\partial D) = \Gamma$ or $u|\partial D$ is constant.

As next, let us show that the limit map u is proper. From what we already showed above we know that $u(\partial D) \subset \partial_{\infty}B$ which, in the metric of N, means that the Sobolev trace $u(\partial D)$ is infinitely far away. Let a ball $B_R(o)$ be given with arbitrarily large R. Since $B_{2R}(o) \subset N$ is a compact subset of B and $u(\partial D) \subset \partial_{\infty} B$ we can apply Theorem 1 in [\[10\]](#page-26-11) to find a sequence of radii $r_k \to 1 \ (k \to +\infty)$, $r_k < r_{k+1} < 1$, such that $u(\partial D_{r_k}(0)) \subset B \setminus B_{2R}(o)$. Let us set

$$
A_k := \{ z \in D \mid r_k < |z| < r_{k+1} \}
$$

and let us assume that some A_k contains points z_k with $u(z_k) \in B_R(o) \subset N$. Since $u(\partial A_k)$ is outside of $B_{2R}(o)$, $u(A_k) \cap B_{2R}(o)$ contains a minimal surface which passes through $u(z_k) \in B_R(o)$ and has no boundary inside $B_{2R}(o)$ so that the monotonicity formula gives

$$
\text{area}\left(u(A_k)\cap B_{2R}(o)\right) \geq \pi R^2. \tag{28}
$$

Since $u \in H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the area of u inside $B_{2R}(o) \subset N$ is finite so that [\(28\)](#page-21-0) can hold only for finitely many k and hence $d(u(z), o) \ge R$ for $|z| \ge r_{k_0}$ for some k_0 , showing that $u: D \to N$ is proper.

Let us finally consider the case $n = 3$. Since the the boundary curves of the surfaces u_R are contained in the metric spheres of N and the spheres are convex, it follows from the results in [\[13\]](#page-26-12), [\[17\]](#page-27-6) that u_R is an embedding. Then, as a limit of minimal embeddings, u is an embedding, too. This concludes the proof of the Lemma.

4 The blowing up procedure and proof of the Theorem [1](#page-2-0)

The concentration phenomenon which comes up as a possibility in the limiting process in Lemma [14](#page-19-2) and the resulting splitting off of a punctured minimal sphere can be excluded if one can construct suitable foliations of the space by convex hypersurfaces. Such foliations are obvious in the hyperbolic space but do exist also in more general Hadamard manifolds, as explained in the next section. Instead we shall now set up a blow up procedure, magnifying neighborhoods of the point where the concentration happens. The splitting off of punctured minimal spheres may repeat itself, however we can show that after a finitely many split offs a solution to the asymptotic Plateau problem remains.

Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-2-0) In the proof of Lemma [12](#page-14-2) it was already used that there is a positive lower bound for the euclidean area of discs spanned by one of the curves Γ_R , $R \geq 1$. We need a corresponding statement for a family of curves which are obtained from the Γ_R by the following modifications: One takes out a subarc α from Γ_R of Euclidean length not exceeding $\varepsilon > 0$ and replaces it by some other rectifiable arc β of length at most δ . If M is a disc spanned by such a modified curve Γ_R one may produce a disc M filling the original Γ_R by attaching a cone over $\alpha \cup \beta$ along the boundary segment β of M and hence

$$
\operatorname{area}^{e}(M) \leq \operatorname{area}^{e}\left(\widetilde{M}\right) + \left(\varepsilon + \delta\right)^{2}.
$$

If therefore ε and δ are sufficiently small we see that there is $a_0 > 0$ such that

$$
\text{area}^e\left(\widetilde{M}\right) \ge a_0,\tag{29}
$$

where area^{e} denotes the euclidean area, for all discs spanned by some $\widetilde{\Gamma}_R$, $R > 1$. Let us now return to the representation [\(27\)](#page-20-0) for the boundary data of the family u_{R} .

$$
u_R\left(e^{i\theta}\right) = \gamma_R\left(\varphi_R(\theta)\right), \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi,
$$

 γ_R being a proportional-to-arclength parametrization.

If for a sequence $R_k \to +\infty$ the sequence (φ_{R_k}) converges pointwise to a step function with one jump of height 2π we may (after a rotation of D) assume that the jump occurs at $\theta = \pi$. After passing to a subsequence we may assume that

$$
\varphi_{R_k}\left(\pi+\frac{1}{k}\right)-\varphi_{R_k}\left(\pi-\frac{1}{k}\right)>2\pi-\frac{1}{k}
$$

so that $\gamma_{R_k} \circ \varphi_{R_k} ([\pi - 1/k, \pi + 1/k])$ represents a subarc of euclidean length at least $(1 - 1\setminus(2k\pi))$ length (Γ_{R_k}) and the complementary subarc of Γ_{R_k} has lengthat most $(1/(2k\pi))$ length (Γ_{R_k}) . The lemma of Courant-Lebesgue [[\[9\]](#page-26-9), 4.4] provides a radius $r_k \in (1/k, 1/\sqrt{k})$ such that

length
$$
(u_{R_k} (D \cap \partial D_{r_k}(-1))) \leq \sqrt{\frac{8\pi E_0}{\ln k}}
$$

where E_0 is an upper bound for the euclidean energies of u_{R_k} . For sufficiently large k the curve $\Gamma_{R_k} := u_{R_k} (\partial (D \cap D_{r_k}(-1)))$ satisfies the conditions required for inequality [\(29\)](#page-22-0), making it obvious that a concentration of energy takes place near the boundary point -1 . Since $u_{R_k}|D \cap D_{r_k}(-1)$ is part of the surface u_{R_k} , [\(17\)](#page-14-0) and the estimates of Lemma [12](#page-14-2) trivially remain valid for $u_{R_k}|D \cap D_{r_k}(-1)$, irrespective of the modification of the boundary curve. But then [\(22\)](#page-16-1) also holds with $R = R_k$ showing that there is a radius $\tilde{\rho} > 0$ only depending on Γ , a_0 and the geometry of N such that

$$
u_{R_k}(D \cap D_{r_k}(-1)) \cap B_{\widetilde{\rho}}(0) \neq \emptyset
$$

for all sufficiently large k , unless [\(29\)](#page-22-0) were violated. Therefore we may now choose conformal maps $T_k : D \to D \cap D_{r_r}(-1)$ such that $\widetilde{u}_{R_k} := u_{R_k} \circ T_k$ satisfies

$$
\widetilde{u}_{R_k}(0) \in B_{\widetilde{\rho}}(0) \subset N. \tag{30}
$$

Let us now look at the minimal surface $u_{R_k}|D\backslash D_{r_k}(-1)$ which tends to a punctured sphere for $k \to \infty$. Recalling the condition $u_{R_k}(0) \in B_\rho(0) \subset N$ and observing that

$$
u_{R_k}(\partial(D\backslash D_{r_k}(-1)))\cap B_{2\rho}(0)=\emptyset
$$

for sufficiently large k we obtain from the monotonicity formula [7](#page-8-1)

$$
\operatorname{area}^{N}(u_{R_k}((D \backslash D_{r_k}(-1))) \cap B_{2\rho}(0)) \geq \pi \rho^2,
$$

which in view of Proposition [11](#page-12-2) leads to an estimate of the euclidean energy of $u_{R_k}|D \cap D_{r_k}(-1)$ of the form

$$
E\left(u_{R_k}\left((D\backslash D_{r_k}(-1))\right)\right) \geq e\left(\rho\right),\tag{31}
$$

where $e(\rho)$ depends only on ρ and the geometry of N. Recalling that E_0 was an upper bound for the euclidean energies of the sequence u_{R_k} we thus see that

$$
E(\widetilde{u}_{R_k}) \le E_0 - e(\rho), \qquad (32)
$$

i.e. the splitting off of a punctured minimal sphere reduces the energy by a fixed amount. We may now apply the same analysis as in the proof of Lemma [14](#page-19-2) to the sequence (\widetilde{u}_{R_k}) resulting in the convergence locally in C^2 and weakly in $H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of a subsequence of (\widetilde{u}_{R_k}) towards a conformal, harmonic, proper map from D to N . Let us now investigate the behavior of the boundary values of $\widetilde{u}_{R_k}|D$ which parametrize the curve Γ_{R_k} monotonically.

We recall that Γ_{R_k} consists of a subarc α_k of Γ_{R_k} of length at least $(1 - 1/(2k\pi))$ length (Γ_{R_k}) and with endpoints $u_{R_k}(\partial D \cap \partial D_{r_k}(-1))$ together with the arc $\beta_k = u_{R_k} (D \cap \partial D_{r_k}(-1))$ of length at most $\sqrt{8\pi E_0/\ln k}$. We choose proportional-to-arclength parametrizations $\widetilde{\gamma}_k : [0, 2\pi] \to \Gamma_{R_k}$ such
that $\widetilde{\gamma}_k(0)$ is an angle sint of a proposition to a subsequence we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_k$ that $\tilde{\gamma}_k(0)$ is an endpoint of α_k . Passing to a subsequence we have $\tilde{\gamma}_k \to \gamma$ uniformly, where γ is a proportional-to-arclength parametrization of Γ . The representation $\widetilde{u}_{R_k}(e^{i\theta}) = \widetilde{\gamma}_k(\varphi_k(\theta))$ holds with monotone functions φ_k , $\varphi_k(2\pi) - \varphi_k(0) = 2\pi$. After a rotation of D we may assume that $\varphi_k(0) = 0$ and hence $\varphi_k(2\pi) = 2\pi$. Let us choose $\theta_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}_k \circ \varphi_k | [0, \theta_k]$ parametrizes α_k and $\widetilde{\gamma}_k \circ \varphi_k | [\theta_k, 2\pi]$ parametrizes β_k . Then clearly

$$
\varphi_k(\theta_k) \to 2\pi \ (k \to \infty). \tag{33}
$$

After passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\theta_k \to \tilde{\theta} \in [0, 2\pi]$ $(k \to \infty)$, $\varphi_k \to \varphi$ pointwise on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $\widetilde{u}_{R_k} \to \widetilde{u}$ locally in C^2 and weakly in $H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\tilde{u}: D \to N$ is a harmonic, conformal, proper map.

If $\tilde{\theta} = 0$ then $\varphi(\theta) = 2\pi$ on $(0, 2\pi]$ and hence $\tilde{u}|_{\partial D} = \gamma(2\pi)$, i.e. a punctured minimal sphere has split off. Let us consider the case that $\tilde{\theta} > 0$.

It follows from [\(33\)](#page-24-0) that $\varphi(\theta) = 2\pi$ for all $\theta \in (\tilde{\theta}, 2\pi]$ and $\varphi(\tilde{\theta} - 0) = 2\pi$ so that by monotonicity $\varphi(\tilde{\theta}) = 2\pi$. Since $\tilde{u}|\partial D = \gamma \circ \varphi$ exactly as in the proof of Lemma [14](#page-19-2) the alternative arises that either φ is continuous and $\tilde{u}(\partial D) = \Gamma$ or φ is a step function with a jump of height 2π . In the first case \widetilde{u} is a solution to the asymptotic Plateau problem in the H_2^1 -sense and in the second one a punctured minimal sphere has split off again. If the latter happens we can repeat the whole blow-up process, in each step lowering the energy by a fixed amount, see [\(32\)](#page-23-0). This must stop as soon as the minimal area threshold [\(29\)](#page-22-0) were violated. This proves the theorem. \blacksquare

5 Proof of Theorem [3](#page-3-3)

We recall that a Hadamard manifold N satisfies the strict convexity condition if, given $x \in \partial_{\infty} N$ and a relatively open subset $W \subset \partial_{\infty} N$ containing x, there exists a C^2 -open subset $\Omega \subset \overline{N}$ such that $x \in \text{Int}(\partial_{\infty}\Omega) \subset W$, where Int $(\partial_{\infty}\Omega)$ denotes the interior of $\partial_{\infty}\Omega$ in $\partial_{\infty}N$, and $N \setminus \Omega$ is convex. Loosely speaking, this means that, as it happens with strictly convex bounded domains in Euclidean spaces, we can take out a neighborhood in N at any point at infinity of N, which arbitrarily small asymptotic boundary, and what remains is still convex.

Let B be the model of N as in Theorem [14.](#page-19-2) We only have to prove that $\partial_{\infty}u(D) = \Gamma$. Given $x \in \partial_{\infty}B\backslash\Gamma$ we prove that $x \notin \partial_{\infty}u(D)$ from what it follows that $\partial_{\infty}u(D) \subset \Gamma$. Since, by Theorem [14,](#page-19-2) $u \in H_2^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that $\partial_{\infty}u(D) = \Gamma$.

Since Γ is compact, there is $W \subset \partial_{\infty} B$ such that $W \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$. By the strict convex condition there is a C^2 convex neighborhood Ω of N such that $x \in$ Int $(\partial_{\infty}\Omega) \subset W$. Let $d : \Omega \to [0, +\infty)$ be the distance to $\partial\Omega$. Then the level hypersurfaces of d determine a foliation of Ω by equidistant hypersurfaces to $\partial Ω$. From the Hessian Comparison Theorem if S is a leaf of this foliation at a distance d of $\partial\Omega$ then any principal curvature λ of S with respect to the unit normal vector field pointing to the connected component of $B\setminus S$ that does not contain x, satisfies $\lambda \ge a \tanh(ad)$. That is, the level hypersurfaces of d provides a foliation $\{S_d\}$ of Ω which is convex towards the connected component of $B\backslash S_d$ which does not contain x. Since $\lim_{R\to\infty} \partial u_R(D) = \Gamma$ for a sufficiently large R_0 we have $\partial u_R(D) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ for all $R \geq R_0$. By the comparison theorem it follows that $u_R(D) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ for all $R \geq R_0$ and then $x \notin \partial_{\infty}u(D)$, proving the theorem.

References

- [1] M. T. Anderson: "Complete minimal varieties in hyperbolic space", Invent. Math. 69, 477–494, 1982.
- [2] M. T. Anderson: "Complete minimal hypersurfaces in hyperbolic n-manifolds", Commnet. Math. Helv. 58, 264–290, 1983
- [3] V. Bangert, U. Lang: "Trapping quasiminimizing submanifolds in spaces of negative curvature", Comment. Math. Helv. 71, 1, 122-143, 1996
- [4] Jb. Casteras, I. Holopainen, J. Ripoll: "Convexity at infinity in Cartan-Hadamard manifolds and applications to the asymptotic Dirichlet and Plateau problems", <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1507.07311v2.pdf>
- [5] B. Coskunuzer: "The asymptotic Plateau Problem", <http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.0552>
- [6] B. Coskunuzer: "Asymptotic H−Plateau problem in hyperbolic space", <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.00650.pdf>
- [7] H. I. Choi: "Asymptotic Dirichlet problems for harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds". Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 281 (2): 691–716, 1984.
- [8] M. Dajczer, J. H. de Lira, J. Ripoll: "An interior gradient estimate for the mean curvature equation of Killing graphs and applications", Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, to appear
- [9] U. Dierkes, S. Hildebrandt, F. Sauvigny: "Minimal surfaces", Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 339, Springer, Berlin, 2010
- [10] U. Dierkes, S. Hildebrandt, A. J. Tromba: "Regularity of minimal surfaces", Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 340, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010
- [11] P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill: "Visibility manifolds", Pacific J. Math. 46, 45-109, 1973.
- [12] H. Federer: "Geometric Measure Theory", Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 153, Springer, Berlin, 1969
- [13] R. Gulliver: "Regularity of minimal surfaces of prescribed mean curvature", Ann. Math. 97, 275 - 305, 1973
- [14] B. Guan, J. Spruck: "Hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in hyperbolic space with prescribed asymptotic boundary at infinity", Amer. J. Math. 122, 1039 –1060, 2002.
- [15] W. Jäger, H. Kaul: "Uniqueness and stability of harmonic maps and their Jacobi fields", Manuscripta Mathematicae 28, 269 - 291, 1979
- [16] F. H. Lin: "On the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs in hyperbolic space", Invent. Math. 96, 593–612, 1989
- [17] W. H. Meeks, S.-T Yau: "The classical Plateau problem and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds", Topology 21, 409 - 440, 1982
- [18] C. B. Morrey: "The problem of Plateau on a Riemannian manifold", Ann. of Math. (2) 49, 807 - 851, 1948
- [19] C. B. Morrey: "Multiple integration in the Calculus of Variations", Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 130, Springer, Berlin, 1966
- [20] B. Nelli, J. Spruck: "On existence and uniqueness of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space", Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 253–266, 1996
- [21] J. Ripoll, M. Telichevesky: "Regularity at infinity of Hadamard manifolds with respect to some elliptic operators and applications to asymptotic Dirichlet problems", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367, 1523-1541, 2015
- [22] J. Ripoll, M. Telichevesky: "On the asymptotic Plateau problem for CMC hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space", <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.08083v2.pdf>
- [23] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau: "Lectures on Differential Geometry", Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, Vol I, International Press, 1994.