

Relaxation mechanisms affecting magneto-optical resonances in an extremely thin cell: experiment and theory for the cesium D_1 line

M. Auzinsh^{1,*}, A. Berzins¹, R. Ferber¹, F. Gahbauer¹, U. Kalnins¹, L. Kalvans¹, R. Rundans¹, and D. Sarkisyan²

¹*Laser Centre, The University of Latvia, 19 Rainis Boulevard, LV-1586 Riga, Latvia*

²*Institute for Physical Research, NAS of Armenia, Ashtarak-0203, Armenia*

(Dated: May 17, 2022)

We have measured magneto-optical signals obtained by exciting the D_1 line of cesium atoms confined to an extremely thin cell (ETC), whose walls are separated by less than one micrometer, and developed an improved theoretical model to describe these signals with experimental precision. The theoretical model was based on the optical Bloch equations and included all neighboring hyperfine transitions, the mixing of the magnetic sublevels in an external magnetic field, and the Doppler effect, as in previous studies. However, in order to model the extreme conditions in the ETC more realistically, the model was extended to include a unified treatment of transit relaxation and wall collisions with relaxation rates that were obtained directly from the thermal velocities of the atoms and the length scales involved. Furthermore, the interaction of the atoms with different regions of the laser beam were modeled separately to account for the varying laser beam intensity over the beam profile as well as saturation effects that become important near the center of the beam at the relatively high laser intensities used during the experiments in order to obtain measurable signals. The model described the experimentally measured signals for laser intensities for magnetic fields up to 55 G and laser intensities up to 1 W/cm^2 with excellent agreement.

PACS numbers: 32.60.+i, 32.80.Xx, 32.10.Fn

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern fabrication techniques and technological requirements have led to a growing demand for smaller and smaller atomic vapor cells [1]. These "labs-on-a-chip" find applications in distributed atomic clocks that are required for precise global positioning, among other applications. Furthermore, extremely thin cells (ETCs) [2], one of whose dimensions can be as thin as several tens of nanometers, have found applications in fundamental research because they allow sub-Doppler spectroscopy without the need for expensive or complicated setups such as atomic beams or magneto-optical traps [3].

Such small vapor cells present particular challenges to theoreticians who wish to model the experimental signals. For one, relaxation rates are extremely high as a result of collisions with walls. Furthermore, since the volume of vapor interacting with the laser radiation in the thin cell is very small and thus contains fewer atoms than usual, experiments are usually performed at high temperature and/or laser intensity. In the former case, reabsorption may begin to play a role. In the latter case, the atom-laser interaction becomes saturated in the central regions of the beam, which means that the transit relaxation cannot be described any longer by an exponential with a single decay constant [4].

In this study, we aim to show that a precise description of magneto-optical signals in an ETC can be obtained with a theoretical model that is based on the optical Bloch equations extended to include a more detailed

treatment of relaxation processes and the saturation of the atom-laser interaction in the high-intensity areas of the beam.

We focused our study on the magneto-optical resonances, which are closely related to the ground-state Hanle effect, first observed in cadmium [5]. These resonances can be observed, when at zero magnetic field a dark superposition state that does not absorb laser radiation forms among various degenerate ground-state magnetic sublevels [6–8]. This coherent dark state is destroyed when a magnetic field is applied, leading to an increase of absorption and laser induced fluorescence (LIF). So-called dark resonances had been described earlier in alkali atoms [9, 10]. The Bloch equations were first applied to modeling dark resonances in a sodium beam [11]. In the linear regime at low powers analytical descriptions of such resonances are possible [12]. However, as the absorption of laser radiation becomes nonlinear, numerical models are normally used, and over time these models have been extended to include the coherent properties of the exciting laser radiation, the simultaneous interaction of all hyperfine sublevels in the ground and excited states with the laser radiation, magnetic-field-induced mixing of magnetic sublevels, and the Doppler effect [13]. These magneto-optical resonances provide a good test case for theoretical models as they include a wide range of coherent and incoherent processes [14].

Optical signals obtained with ETCs, where alkali vapor is confined between two YAG crystals separated by a few hundred nanometers, present interesting properties related to the small dimension of the cell in the direction along a laser beam that is perpendicular to the ETC walls. Early efforts to model these signals focused on the Dicke-type narrowing observed in transmission sig-

*Electronic address: Marcis.Auzins@lu.lv

nals when the wall separation was equal to an odd number of half wavelengths [15]. However, for fluorescence signals, this effect is not expected to be significant [16]. The effect of energy shifts induced by van der Waals interactions with the walls was considered, and for the cesium D_1 line could lead to shifts of the excitation maximum by up to 200 MHz for cell thicknesses around 50 nm [17]. Some of these early studies also applied the optical Bloch equations to a simplified two-level representation with optical pumping losses [15, 18], but only in the linear excitation regime for intensities up to several milliwatts per square centimeter and Rabi frequencies less than 10 MHz. More recently, the cesium D_2 line has been studied experimentally and theoretically at somewhat higher powers up to 40 mW/cm² using a model based on the optical Bloch equations [19, 20], but these models still were based on an open two-level system rather than including all degenerate sublevels. Furthermore, theoretical results were not compared directly to experimental curves, with the exception of some aggregate parameters, such as peak amplitude.

More sophisticated models were applied to describe experimentally measured magneto-optical signals obtained for the cesium D_2 [21] and rubidium D_1 [22] lines for atoms in ETCs, including all degenerate hyperfine levels of the excited- and ground-state manifolds. However, the proper treatment of collisions remained difficult and somewhat contradictory. The study of cesium atoms postulated a model for elastic collisions that redistributed the excited state populations with weights that depended on the transition strength. In contrast, the study of rubidium atoms excluded these elastic collision terms and focused only on relaxation that was based on wall collisions. However, the best agreement between experiment and theory could only be obtained when different collisional relaxation rates were assumed for the ground and excited states.

We now applied a theoretical model to describe fluorescence signals of magneto-optical resonances that built on the previous efforts and added a more comprehensive approach towards treating properly saturation effects that are important for intense laser radiation whose power density varies over the beam profile. As in previous studies, the Bloch equations were applied, and all degenerate sublevels were included. The mixing of magnetic sublevels in an external magnetic field was also included, because these become important for magnetic fields of several tens of Gauss or more. The equations were solved for various velocity groups and averaged over the residual Doppler profile. The equations contained relaxation terms for wall collisions and transit relaxation that were derived from the thermal velocities and the dimensions of the cell and the laser beam.

The new feature included in the model this time to account for saturation effects and the varying laser intensity over the beam profile consisted in dividing the laser beam into concentric rings, and solving the Bloch equations separately for each ring, summing up the results

with the appropriate weights. The particle exchange due to thermal motion between these concentric regions was included in the theoretical description. This final addition to the model was crucial to improving substantially the agreement between experimentally measured signals and the theoretical curves for magnetic field values up to at least 55 G, and it is a step towards resolving a outstanding problem in the modeling of magneto-optical signals [13].

In this article we present new measurements of magneto-optical resonances recorded for cesium atoms confined to an ETC. Resonances have been recorded for all transitions of the D_1 line of cesium. In contrast to the complexity of the Rb D_1 or D_2 lines, the Cs D_1 line is much simpler. In this system, the increased hyperfine splitting and the presence of only one isotope make possible the investigation of individual hyperfine transitions. The ground state hyperfine splitting is over 9 GHz and the excited state hyperfine splitting is 1.17 GHz (see Fig. 1, markedly exceeding the Doppler width). The temperature dependence of the resonance shapes has been studied for temperatures up to 165°C. Furthermore, the dependence of the resonance shapes on laser power and ETC wall separation have been studied. The extended theoretical model has been applied to describe the experimental signals with excellent results.

II. EXPERIMENT

The ETC [2] consists of two YAG crystal windows that are glued together in such a way that the distance between them varies from 50 nm to 1.2 μ m. It was produced at the Institute of Physical Research in Ashtarak, Armenia. The cesium is stored in a separate reservoir that is connected to the bottom part of the ETC. An additional thermocouple is attached to the cesium container for temperature measurements. For a more detailed description see [2].

In this experiment we performed magnetic field scans over the zero-field resonance up to 55 G and detected the fluorescence signal from the ETC. We measured zero field resonances for all four possible transitions of the Cs D_1 line (see Fig. 1). The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2.

To control the temperature within the ETC and increase the vapour pressure, the cell was positioned inside a small oven. This oven was heated by a current that flowed through a bifilar coil in opposite directions to allow heating without producing stray magnetic fields. The oven consisted of two separate heating elements, which made it possible to maintain the cell itself and the cesium container at different temperatures. The cell's temperature was maintained at about 195°C, while the temperature of the reservoir was varied between 70°C and 165°C. Both the cell and the oven were placed on top of a Thorlabs NanoMax table, which allowed precise 3D positioning through three computer controlled motors, each




FIG. 1: Hyperfine level structure and transitions of the D_1 line of cesium (not to scale). The fractions on the arrows indicate the relative transition strengths.




FIG. 2: Schematic representation (top view) of the experimental setup.

offering 4 mm of scan range. This setup was positioned at the center of three pairs of Helmholtz coils. Two coils were used to compensate the ambient magnetic field, and the third coil was used to scan the magnetic field up to 55 G by applying a current from a Kepco BOP-50-8-M bipolar power supply, which was controlled by a TTI TG5011 function generator.

The atoms were excited by a diode laser (DL100-DFB) from Toptica, A.G., based on a distributed feedback laser diode appropriate for exciting the D_1 line of cesium. The laser beam was split into two beams by a beam splitter. The weaker laser beam was directed to the cesium reference cell that was placed inside a three-layer mu-metal shield to eliminate the magnetic fields. The saturation absorption signal from the reference cell (measured by photodiode PD3) was used in conjunction with the Toptica feedback controler DigiLock 110 for laser frequency stabilization.

The laser beam power was adjusted using a series of neutral density filters, and the laser beam was focused onto the ETC with a lens whose focal length was 50 cm. The laser beam diameter was measured to be approximately 200 μm . Linear polarization of the laser beam (electric field vector \mathbf{E} in Fig. 2) was achieved with a Glan-Thompson polarizer (Thorlabs GTH10M). Because the glue reduces the amount of light that can pass through the side of the ETC, the fluorescence signal from ETC was collected in a direction that made a small angle with the ETC cell walls and was focused using a series of lenses onto photodiode PD1 (Thorlabs FDS100). The lens system was constructed in such a way that it collected the fluorescence signal from only a small region, ignoring most of the scattered light from the cell walls.

The intensity of the beam reflected back from the second wall of the ETC was measured using photodiode PD2 (Thorlabs PDA36A-EC). The measured intensity was used to detect the interference spectrum, which, in turn, was used to determine the cell thickness. The voltage signals from the photodiodes were recorded using digital oscilloscopes (Yokogawa DL-6154 and Tektronix TDS 2004B).

III. THEORY

The basic approach of our theoretical description of magneto-optical signals has previously been described in [13] for ordinary vapor cells and expanded for the case of ETCs in [22]. In this section we describe how we extend the theoretical model to include a better description of the effects of transit relaxation and atom-wall collisions.

To derive rate equations that took into account the energy distribution within the laser beam profile, we assume that the particles move thermally, and their trajectories take them from outside the laser beam interaction region through the center of the laser beam. This assumption is somewhat simplistic, but can be easily extended by an averaging over multiple possible paths. For the purposes of this derivation we will assume that the laser beam is directed along the x -axis (Fig. 2 inset), which means that particle movement can be split into two cases: movement in the x -direction, which accounts for Doppler broadening, and movement in the yz -plane, which accounts for transit relaxation. Even though particles in yz -plane move in random directions, the axial symmetry of the laser beam allows us to describe them with single trajectory for which the particle enters the laser beam from one side, moves along the z axis and exits through the other side (Fig. 3).

To include energy distribution of the laser beam in our model we split the z -axis into multiple regions, giving each region its characteristic laser beam intensity (Fig. 3). This means that rate equations have to be written and solved for each region separately, giving a separate density matrix for each one.




FIG. 3: Laser beam profile split into a number of concentric regions.

To derive the rate equations for a single region we start with the rate equations for the density matrix ρ under the action of a Hamiltonian \hat{H} and relaxation operator \hat{R} in the following form:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}, \rho] + i\hbar \hat{R}\rho. \quad (1)$$

To include particles leaving and entering the interaction region we add the following terms to the right-hand side of the equation:

$$-i\hbar \hat{\gamma}_t \rho + i\hbar \hat{\gamma}_t \rho', \quad (2)$$

where ρ' is the density matrix of particles entering the region and $\hat{\gamma}_t$ is the transit relaxation operator, which can be written as a diagonal relaxation matrix with elements $\gamma_{tij} = v_{yz}/s_n \delta_{ij}$, where s_n is the region size and v_{yz} is the characteristic particle speed. As we have assumed that all particles move in the same direction and transit regions sequentially, we can assume that for the n -th region $\rho' = \rho^{(n-1)}$. By adding terms that describe collisional relaxation with rate γ_c , we arrive at rate equations for the density matrix $\rho^{(n)}$ of the n -th region as:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \rho^{(n)}}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}, \rho^{(n)}] + i\hbar \hat{R}\rho^{(n)} - i\hbar \hat{\gamma}_t^{(n)} \rho^{(n)} + i\hbar \hat{\gamma}_t^{(n)} \rho^{(n-1)} - i\hbar \hat{\gamma}_c \rho^{(n+i)} \hbar \hat{\gamma}_c \rho^{(0)}, \quad (3)$$

where $\rho^{(0)}$ is the density matrix for atoms that do not interact with the laser radiation: their population is equally distributed among the ground-state sublevels. For atoms in the ETC there are two sources of collisional relaxation: atom-atom collisions and atom-wall

collisions. To include both effects in the theoretical model, we write the collision relaxation operator as a diagonal matrix with elements:

$$\gamma_c = \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{l}} + \frac{v_x}{L/2}, \quad (4)$$

where \bar{v} is the mean atomic velocity, \bar{l} is the mean free path, v_x is the atomic velocity in the direction perpendicular to the cell walls, L is the cell thickness. The mean free path $\bar{l} = \bar{v}/\Gamma_{SE}$, where \bar{v} is the mean thermal velocity of the cesium atoms, and the rate of spin-exchange $\Gamma_{SE} = \sigma_{SE} \bar{v}_{rel} n_a$, where $\sigma_{SE} = 2.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$ is the spin-exchange cross-section [23], $\bar{v}_{rel} = (8k_B T / \pi \mu_{Cs})^{1/2}$ is the average relative velocity of the cesium atoms (μ_{Cs} is the reduced mass of the system of two cesium atoms), and n_a is the density of atoms in the cell. At the temperatures studied here, the rate of atom-atom collisions is small compared to that of atom-wall collisions.

By following the derivation of rate equations for Zeeman coherences described earlier [24] and neglecting transit relaxation of optical coherences we arrive at the following differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{g_i, g_j}^{(n)}}{\partial t} = & \sum_{e_k, e_m} \left(\Xi_{g_i e_m}^{(n)} + (\Xi_{e_k g_j}^{(n)})^* \right) d_{g_i e_k}^* d_{e_m g_j} \rho_{e_k e_m}^{(n)} \\ & - \sum_{e_k, g_m} (\Xi_{e_k g_j}^{(n)})^* d_{g_i e_k}^* d_{e_k g_m} \rho_{g_m g_j}^{(n)} \\ & - \sum_{e_k, g_m} \Xi_{g_i e_k}^{(n)} d_{g_m e_k}^* d_{e_k g_j} \rho_{g_i g_m}^{(n)} \\ & - i\omega_{g_i g_j} \rho_{g_i g_j}^{(n)} + \sum_{e_k e_l} \Gamma_{g_i g_j}^{e_k e_l} \rho_{e_k e_l}^{(n)} - \gamma_t \rho_{g_i g_j}^{(n)} \\ & + \gamma_t \rho_{g_i g_j}^{(n-1)} - \gamma_c \rho_{g_i g_j}^{(n)} + \gamma_c \rho_{g_i g_j}^{(0)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{e_i, e_j}^{(n)}}{\partial t} = & \sum_{g_k, g_m} \left((\Xi_{e_i g_m}^{(n)})^* + \Xi_{g_k e_j}^{(n)} \right) d_{e_i g_k}^* d_{g_m e_j} \rho_{g_k g_m}^{(n)} \\ & - \sum_{g_k, e_m} \Xi_{g_k e_j}^{(n)} d_{e_i g_k}^* d_{g_k e_m} \rho_{e_m e_j}^{(n)} \\ & - \sum_{g_k, e_m} (\Xi_{e_i g_k}^{(n)})^* d_{e_m g_k}^* d_{g_k e_j} \rho_{e_i e_m}^{(n)} \\ & - i\omega_{e_i e_j} \rho_{e_i e_j}^{(n)} - \Gamma_{e_i e_j} \rho_{e_i e_j}^{(n)} - \gamma_t \rho_{e_i e_j}^{(n)} \\ & + \gamma_t \rho_{e_i e_j}^{(n-1)} - \gamma_c \rho_{e_i e_j}^{(n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Xi_{g_i e_j} = \frac{|\epsilon^{(n)}|^2}{\frac{\Gamma + \Delta\omega}{2} + i(\bar{\omega} - kv_x + \omega_{g_i e_j})} \quad (7)$$

characterizes the laser beam interaction with $|\epsilon^{(n)}|^2$, which is proportional to the laser beam intensity of the n -th region, Γ is the spontaneous relaxation rate, $\Delta\omega$ is the spectral width of the laser beam, $\bar{\omega}$ is the laser frequency, kv_x is the Doppler shift for light with wave vector k propagating in the x direction, $\omega_{g_i e_j}$ is the energy difference between the ground-state magnetic sublevel g_i and the

excited-state magnetic sublevel e_j , and $d_{g_i e_j}$ and $d_{e_j g_i}$ are the dipole transition matrix elements that couple the excited- and ground-state magnetic sublevels. In order to relate the dipole strengths to laser intensity more conveniently, we can introduce the reduced Rabi frequency as $\Omega_R = \varepsilon'_r/\hbar$, where ε'_r is the electric field intensity at the center of the laser beam and d is the reduced matrix element of the dipole transition obtained from the matrix elements of $d_{e_j g_i}$ when the Wigner-Eckart theorem is applied [24]. Because the equations include a term for particle speed, the subsequent averaging of the fluorescence signal over the Maxwell distribution in the direction of the laser beam is needed to include Doppler shifts. An extra averaging over the v_{yz} plane can be applied for the averaged transit relaxation, but the relative gains in precision are negligible at the laser powers of interest to us compared to the additional time required for the calculations. As the relaxation process dynamics are included in the transit relaxation terms, the calculations can be done for the steady state by solving a system of linear equations for density matrix ρ that in turn can be used to calculate fluorescence intensity $I(\varepsilon_f)$ where ε_f is fluorescence polarization.

In the end the fluorescence signal for polarization ε_f can be expressed as:

$$I(\varepsilon_f) = \sum_n \sum_{v_x} f(v_x) \Delta v_x \frac{A_n}{A} I_n(v_x, \varepsilon_f), \quad (8)$$

where n runs over regions in the direction of the particle trajectory, v_x is the particle speed in the direction of the laser beam, $f(v_x) \Delta v_x$ is the probability of finding atoms with velocity $v_x \pm \Delta v_x/2$, A_n/A is the relative area of region n and $I_n(v_x, \varepsilon_f)$ is the fluorescence intensity of the n -th region with atomic velocity v_x in laser beam direction.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To analyze effects of the ETC on magneto-optical signals we began by measuring the temperature dependence of the Cs D_1 $F_g = 4 \rightarrow F_e = 4$ transition for a wall separation of $L = \lambda/2$ and a constant laser power P of $160 \mu\text{W}$, which corresponds to an average power density of 500 mW/cm^2 for a laser beam diameter of 0.2 mm . The experimental results are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the fluorescence signal recorded at 165°C significantly deviates from signals obtained at lower temperatures. The effect can be explained by the rapid vapour pressure rise in the cell, which eventually leads to reabsorption effects becoming important. Indeed, using the resonant cross-section of the D_1 line for π -polarized radiation and the density of cesium at 165°C [25] one obtains an optical pathlength of $1.5 \mu\text{m}$, which becomes comparable to the cell thickness and corresponds to an optical depth (OD) of 0.6 . At similar optical depths (OD ~ 0.66), reabsorption effects have been observed to start to play a role in an ordinary cell [26, 27]. To

study ETC effects without the added complication of reabsorption, we chose to conduct experiments at a temperature in the cesium reservoir of 90°C , at which the optical absorption length is greater than $80 \mu\text{m}$. At this temperature reabsorption effects were still negligible, but the fluorescence signal was detectable even at low laser power.




FIG. 4: Signal dependence on temperature for $F_g = 4 \rightarrow F_e = 4$ transition at cell wall separation of approximately 450 nm .

Figure 5 shows the shapes of the fluorescence signal together with theoretical curves for all four D_1 transitions at a constant laser power of $160 \mu\text{W}$ and wall separation that is equal to the wavelength of the laser radiation. The laser beam diameter, laser power, laser wavelength, and cell temperature were directly measured from the experiment. The Rabi frequency was adjusted in order to obtain the best overall fit. The calculations were performed by dividing the beam into $N = 20$ regions.

Analysing Fig. 5, as expected, we can see three dark resonances for transitions $F_g = 3 \rightarrow F_e = 3$, and $F_g = 4 \rightarrow F_e = 3, 4$. For the transition $F_g = 3 \rightarrow F_e = 4$ a bright resonance would be expected in an ordinary cell, but the experimental and theoretical results show no bright resonance in the ETC. The bright resonance, for which the lowest contrast is expected, is destroyed by the rapid relaxation due to atom-wall collisions. This behaviour had been observed already experimentally in rubidium atoms confined to an ETC [22].

Next we turned our attention to the power dependence of the resonance shapes. Figure 6 shows such a dependence for the $F_g = 3 \rightarrow F_e = 3$ transition. To compare results of the improved description of transit relaxation with those obtained from a simpler treatment, two theoretical curves are given in each subfigure. One curve has been calculated assuming that the laser beam was characterized by a single power density ($N = 1$). To calculate the other curve, the laser beam was assumed to be of Gaussian shape and split into twenty regions ($N = 20$) of equal width (see Fig. 3). An extra averaging was performed over the values of the impact parameter of an atom crossing the beam off-axis. The number of regions was chosen in such a way that the results of the-




FIG. 5: Magnetic resonances for different D_1 transitions at laser power of $160 \mu W$ and wall separation $L = \lambda$. (grey dots - experiment, solid line - theory).

oretical calculations converged with further subdivisions resulting in negligible changes in the fluorescence signal. The same value of 32 MHz was used as the reduced Rabi frequency in the central region for all transitions. The reduced Rabi frequency was modified for each transition according to its line strength. By comparing the results of the theoretical models, one can see that the theoretical curve of the single region model agrees well with experimental measurements for cases where the laser power is low, but starts to deviate significantly in cases where the laser power is higher. This behavior has already been observed experimentally numerous times in ordinary cells ([13, 14, 26, 28]) where theoretical calculations start to deviate from experimental data at laser powers where absorption at the center of the laser beam has reached saturation. In the case of the ETC even the lowest laser power used is noticeably higher than laser powers used in ordinary cell experiments, but, because of the strong relaxation by collisions with cell walls, noticeable deviations from experimental results start at only very high laser power densities. At such powers, by including the laser beam profile in the theoretical model, the agreement between theoretical calculations and experimental results could be greatly improved, especially in the description of the broader structure at larger magnetic field values (see [22]).

Finally we examined the signal dependence on cell thickness L . The experimental results for $F_g = 4 \rightarrow$

$F_e = 4$ together with theoretical calculations are shown in Fig. 7. The calculations were performed for $N = 20$ concentric regions, and the Rabi frequencies for theoretical calculations were fitted for each cell thickness. The reason for tuning the Rabi frequencies was the fact that different thickness measurements were performed in different parts of the cell, for which the cell wall transparency may have been somewhat different.

It can be seen that the contrast of the zero-field resonance changes only by about 2% while increasing the power density by a factor of 8 (Fig. 6). At the same time it can be seen that the contrast of the magneto-optical signal is governed by the temperature (Fig. 4) and cell thickness (Fig. 7) rather than by the laser power.

V. CONCLUSIONS

ETCs are different from ordinary cells, because collisions with walls play a much larger role in contrast to ordinary cells, where transit relaxation effects usually dominate over wall-to-wall collisions even at moderate laser powers. In order to improve the theoretical description of magneto-optical signals in ETCs, the theoretical model was extended to include the intensity distribution in the cross-section of the laser beam and to treat carefully collisions. As a result, measured nonlinear magneto-optical resonances for the Cs D_1 line for magnetic field values




FIG. 6: Power dependence of $F_g = 3 \rightarrow F_e = 3$ transition compared to different theories (dots - experiment, dashed line - 1 region model, solid line - 20 region model).

up to at least 55 G can be described much more precisely than before. Such a model could be very useful in improving the description of magneto-optical signals in ordinary cells at laser power densities that may be found in typical experiments.

Acknowledgments

The Riga group gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Latvian State Research Programme (VPP)

project IMIS².

-
- [1] R. Mhaskar, S. Knappe, and J. Kitching, Applied Physics Letters **101**, 241105 (2012).
 - [2] D. Sarkisyan, D. Bloch, A. Papoyan, and M. Ducloy, Optics Communications **200**, 201 (2001).
 - [3] A. Sargsyan, G. Hakhumyan, A. Papoyan, D. Sarkisyan, A. Atvars, and M. Auzinsh, Applied Physics Letters **93**, 021119 (2008).
 - [4] M. P. Auzinsh, R. S. Ferber, and I. Y. Pirags, Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics **16**, 2759 (1983).
 - [5] J. C. Lehmann and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **258**, 4463 (1964).
 - [6] A. P. Kazantsev, V. S. Smirnov, A. M. Tumaikin, and I. A. Yagofarov, Opt. Spectrosc. (USSR) **57**, 116 (1984).
 - [7] F. Renzoni, S. Cartaleva, G. Alzetta, and E. Arimondo, Physical Review A **63**, 065401 (2001).
 - [8] J. Alnis and M. Auzinsh, Physical Review A **63**, 023407 (2001), arXiv:physics/0011050.
 - [9] R. W. Schmieder, A. Lurio, W. Happer, and A. Khadjavi, Physical Review A **2**, 1216 (1970).
 - [10] G. Alzetta, A. Gozzini, L. Moi, and G. Orriols, Il Nuovo Cimento B **36**, 5 (1976).
 - [11] J. L. Picqué, J. Phys. B: Atom. Molec. Phys. **11**, L59 (1978).
 - [12] E. Breschi and A. Weis, Physical Review A **86**, 053427 (2012).
 - [13] M. Auzinsh, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer, A. Jarmola, and L. Kalvans, Physical Review A **78**, 013417 (2008), ISSN 10941622.
 - [14] M. Auzinsh, A. Berzins, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer,




FIG. 7: Magneto-optical resonance signal shape at different ETC cell thickness for transition $F_g = 4 \rightarrow F_e = 4$. (dots - experiment, solid line - theory)

- L. Kalvans, and A. Mozers, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics* **46**, 185003 (2013).
- [15] G. Dutier, S. Saltiel, D. Bloch, and M. Ducloy, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **200**, 793 (2003).
- [16] I. Maurin, P. Todorov, I. Hamdi, A. Yarovitsky, G. Dutier, D. Sarkisyan, S. Saltiel, M.-P. Gorza, M. Fichet, D. Bloch, et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **19**, 20 (2005).
- [17] I. Hamdi, P. Todorov, A. Yarovitsky, G. Dutier, I. Maurin, S. Saltiel, Y. Li, A. Lezama, T. Varzhapetyan, D. Sarkisyan, et al., *Laser Physics* **15**, 987 (2005).
- [18] A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, Y. Pashayan-Leroy, C. Leroy, P. Moroshkin, and A. Weis, *Journal of Contemporary Physics (Armenian Academy of Sciences)* **43**, 7 (2008).
- [19] C. Andreeva, S. Cartaleva, L. Petrov, S. M. Saltiel, D. Sarkisyan, T. Varzhapetyan, D. Bloch, and M. Ducloy, *Physical Review A* **76**, 013837 (2007), arXiv:0706.0837.
- [20] S. Cartaleva, A. Krasteva, L. Moi, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, D. Slavov, P. Todorov, and K. Vaseva, *Quantum Electronics* **43**, 875 (2013).
- [21] C. Andreeva, A. Atvars, M. Auzinsh, K. Blush, S. Cartaleva, L. Petrov, and D. Slavov, *Physical Review A* **76**, 063804 (2007).
- [22] M. Auzinsh, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer, A. Jarmola, L. Kalvans, A. Papoyan, and D. Sarkisyan, *Physical Review A* **81**, 033408 (2010).
- [23] K. Ernst and F. Strumia, *Physical Review* **170**, 48 (1968).
- [24] K. Blushs and M. Auzinsh, *Physical Review A* **69**, 063806 (2004).
- [25] D. A. Steck, *Cesium D Line Data* (2003), URL <http://steck.us/alkalidata>.
- [26] M. Auzinsh, A. Berzins, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer, L. Kalvans, A. Mozers, and D. Opalevs, *Physical Review A* **85**, 033418 (2012).
- [27] A. B. Matsko, I. Novikova, and G. R. Welch, *Journal of Modern Optics* **49**, 367 (2002), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500340110088597>.
- [28] M. Auzinsh, A. Berzins, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer, L. Kalvans, A. Mozers, and A. Spiss, *Physical Review A* **87**, 033412 (2013), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.033412>.