

Conservation of reactive EM energy in reactive time

Gerald Kaiser*

Center for Signals and Waves

Portland, OR

kaiser@wavelets.com

Abstract—The complex Poynting theorem (CPT) is extended to a canonical time-scale domain (t, s) . Time-harmonic phasors are replaced by the *positive-frequency parts* of general fields, which extend analytically to complex time $t + is$, with $s > 0$ interpreted as a *time resolution scale*. The real part of the extended CPT gives conservation in t of a time-averaged field energy, and its imaginary part gives conservation in s of a time-averaged *reactive energy*. In both cases, the averaging windows are determined by a Cauchy kernel of width $\Delta t \sim \pm s$. This *completes* the time-harmonic CPT, whose imaginary part is generally supposed to be vaguely ‘related to’ reactive energy without giving a conservation law, or even an *expression*, for the latter. The interpretation of s as *reactive time*, tracking the leads and lags associated with stored capacitive and inductive energy, gives a simple explanation of the *volt-ampere reactive (var)* unit measuring reactive power: a var is simply one Joule per reactive second. The related *complex radiation impedance density* is introduced to represent the field’s local reluctance to radiate.

I. INTRODUCTION

The complex Poynting theorem is incomplete. While its real part is an energy conservation law, the contents of its imaginary part are less clear. Thus Jackson [1, page 265] writes: “It is a complex equation whose real part gives the conservation of energy for the time-averaged quantities and whose imaginary part *relates to* the reactive or stored energy and its alternating flow.” Jackson is referring to the term

$$i\omega(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}^* - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D}^*)$$

measuring the *balance* of stored magnetic and electric energies, which determines the *reactance* of a circuit or antenna under certain conditions. This suggests that the quantity in parentheses should *somehow* be interpreted as a reactive energy density. But nowhere in the physics or engineering literature have I been able to find an *exact space-time expression* for reactive energy in terms of the fields. I propose one here [2].

In the process of completion, the CPT will be extended to fields with arbitrary time dependence. The *phasors* which enter the time-harmonic CPT will be replaced with the *analytic signals* of general fields.

II. THE CPT EXTENDED TO THE TIME-SCALE DOMAIN

To minimize the notation, we work with vacuum fields and use *natural Heaviside-Lorentz units* ($\varepsilon_0 = \mu_0 = c = 1$).¹ Let

*Supported by AFOSR Grant #FA9550-12-1-0122.

¹Where appropriate for physical clarity, c will be reinstated in equations.

$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ be a typical field such as $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ or $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Its *analytic signal* is defined as the positive-frequency part of its Fourier representation:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega t} \mathbf{F}_\omega(\mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

To avoid ambiguities at $\omega = 0$, we assume that \mathbf{F} has no ‘DC component,’ *i.e.*, $\mathbf{F}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$.² If \mathbf{F} is real, it can be recovered fully from \mathbf{F} by taking the real part,

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Re \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t).$$

Since the integral (1) is restricted to $\omega > 0$, it extends analytically to the *upper-half complex time plane* by

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t + is) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega(t+is)} \mathbf{F}_\omega(\mathbf{r}), \quad s > 0. \quad (2)$$

The integrand gains a *low-pass filter* with transfer function $e^{-\omega s}$, which suppresses frequencies $\omega \gg 1/s$.

A direct time-domain representation of (2) is given by the *Cauchy transform*

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dt'}{\tau - t'} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t'), \quad \tau = t + is, \quad (3)$$

which is a convolution with the Cauchy kernel:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t + is) = C_s * \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad C_s(t) = \frac{i}{\pi(t + is)}. \quad (4)$$

Roughly, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t + is)$ depends on $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t')$ in the interval $t \pm s$. Hence we say that s is a *time resolution scale* and call (t, s) the *time-scale domain*.

To complete the CPT, note that Maxwell’s equations extend analytically to complex time:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) &= -\partial_\tau \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) &= \partial_\tau \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

These imply the *extended complex Poynting theorem* [2]

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U} - i\partial_s \mathcal{X} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^* \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{r}, t, s) &= \frac{1}{4} (|\mathbf{H}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2) = \text{scaled active energy density} \\ \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{r}, t, s) &= \frac{1}{4} (|\mathbf{H}|^2 - |\mathbf{E}|^2) = \text{scaled reactive energy density.} \end{aligned}$$

²Static fields can be added ‘by hand’ as in [2].

III. DUAL CONSERVATION LAWS

The real and imaginary parts of (5) are

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \Re(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \Re(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^*) \quad (6)$$

$$-\partial_s \mathcal{X} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \Im(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \Im(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^*) \quad (7)$$

The scaled densities in (5) are defined by the convolution (4), hence they are *windowed time averages* over $\Delta t \sim \pm s$. The real part (6) is a conservation law in t of the averaged energy at scale s . This is the time-domain counterpart of the *period-averaged energy density* in the harmonic CPT.

However, the imaginary part (7) is not a conservation law in *time* but in the *time scale* s . It states that the scaled reactive energy is conserved with respect to *scale refinements*.³ This is rather unconventional, but we have no choice. If the CPT is extended to the *time domain only* by fixing $s \equiv 0$, then its imaginary part is *not* a conservation law [3]. To obtain symmetry between the real and imaginary parts of the CPT, we *must* extend it to $t + is$, and then (5) follows inevitably. Since the mathematics seems to insist that (5) is the proper extension, let us try to make sense of it.

IV. THE SCALE PARAMETER AS REACTIVE TIME

As a consequence of the *non-locality* of (4), \mathbf{F} has a *temporal uncertainty* $\Delta t \sim \pm s$. This creates a *banking* opportunity:

- Given $s > 0$, energy need not be conserved *instantaneously*, only in an average sense over time intervals of duration $2s$.
- Hence the system can *borrow* energy from the future interval $\Delta t \sim s$ and use it to *repay* energy loans made in the past interval $\Delta t \sim -s$, and vice-versa. Therefore (5) can deal with *energy leads and lags* at all time scales $s > 0$. While (6) deals with *average energy*, (7) deals with the *credits and debits*.
- In the limit $s \rightarrow 0$, (6) implies the *real* Poynting theorem,⁴ requiring the conservation of the *instantaneous* energy [2]. No such connection exists with the time-harmonic CPT since the averaging there is over a fixed period $2\pi/\omega > 0$.

This suggests interpreting s as *reactive time*, measured in *seconds reactive* (sr), to track energy leads and lags. The physical units in (7) must then be as follows:

$$[\Im(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)] = \text{J/m}^2/\text{sr}, \quad [\Im(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^*)] = \text{J/m}^3/\text{sr}.$$

This fully explains the *volt ampere reactive* (var) unit commonly used to measure reactive power:

$$1 \text{ var} = 1 \text{ V} \cdot \text{ar} = 1 \text{ V} \cdot \text{C/sr} = 1 \text{ J/sr}$$

where *amperes reactive* (ar) are the units of reactive current. Without a notion of reactive time, the var seems rather *ad hoc*.

³Due to the sign of $-\partial_s \mathcal{X}$ in (7) and the fact that $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, the orientation of s is *from coarse to fine scales* and $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{r}, t, s)$ represents the *cumulative* reactive energy density at all scales $s' \geq s$; see [2, Section 5].

⁴I thank Arthur Yaghjian for this observation.

V. FIELD INERTIA AND RADIATION IMPEDANCE

In [4] and [5] I defined the *inertia density* of an electromagnetic field by analogy with the *mass* of a relativistic particle of energy E and momentum \mathbf{p} ,

$$m = c^{-2} \sqrt{E^2 - c^2 \mathbf{p}^2} \equiv \sqrt{E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2} \quad (c = 1). \quad (8)$$

For a vacuum field of energy density $U = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{H}^2)$ and momentum density $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$, the inertia density is therefore

$$I(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \sqrt{U^2 - \mathbf{S}^2}. \quad (9)$$

By elementary vector algebra,

$$I(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sqrt{R^2 + X^2} \quad (10)$$

where

$$R(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}| \quad \text{and} \quad X(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2). \quad (11)$$

I also showed that although the *fields* propagate at speed c , their *energy* flows at the local velocity

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{U(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (12)$$

which implies that $|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)| \leq c$ at every event (\mathbf{r}, t) and

$$|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)| = c \Leftrightarrow \{R(\mathbf{r}, t) = X(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0\}. \quad (13)$$

The conditions $R = X = 0$ thus define *pure radiation*. For a generic field, they hold only *asymptotically* in the far zone; see [4]. Thus we conclude, counter-intuitively, that *instantaneous electromagnetic energy generally flows at speeds less than c , even in vacuum!* In fact, (13) shows that the Lorentz-invariant scalars R and X are precisely the *impediments* to radiation. Let us therefore express (10) in the suggestive form

$$I(\mathbf{r}, t) = |Z(\mathbf{r}, t)|, \quad \text{where} \quad Z(\mathbf{r}, t) = R(\mathbf{r}, t) + iX(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

may be called the *radiation impedance density* by analogy with its namesake in circuit theory. Since X (11) is the unscaled version of the reactive energy density \mathcal{X} in (7), we call it the *field reactance density*. This suggests that R is the field analog of *resistance* and should *somehow* be interpreted as the *radiation resistance density*. That is not as far-fetched as it may seem. Just as a particle's *mass* (8) impedes its *acceleration*, so does a field's 'complex inertia density' Z impede its *radiation*. The *scaled* versions of I, Z, R, X are easily found to be

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}, t, s) \equiv \sqrt{U^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*|^2} = |\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{r}, t, s)| \quad (14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}|, \quad \mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{4}(|\mathbf{H}|^2 - |\mathbf{E}|^2). \quad (15)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J D Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, third edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999
- [2] G Kaiser, *Completing the complex Poynting theorem: Conservation of reactive energy in* Preprint, December 2014
- [3] T D Carozzi, J E S Bergman and R L Karlsson, *Complex Poynting Theorem as conservation law*, 2005 (unpublished)
- [4] G Kaiser, *Electromagnetic inertia, reactive energy, and energy flow velocity*. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 44 (2011) 345206
- [5] G Kaiser, *The Reactive Energy of Transient EM Fields*. IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI National Radio Science Meeting, Session 563, July 13, 2012