TERMINAL QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES IN DIMENSION THREE VIA VARIATION OF GIT

SEUNG-JO JUNG

ABSTRACT. A 3-fold terminal quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ admits the economic resolution $Y \to X$, which is "close to being crepant". This paper proves that the economic resolution Y is isomorphic to a distinguished component of a moduli space of certain G-equivariant objects using the King stability condition θ introduced by Kędzierski [11].

CONTENTS

| 1. Introduction | 1 |
|---|----|
| 2. <i>G</i> -bricks and moduli spaces of <i>G</i> -constellations | 4 |
| 2.1. Moduli spaces of <i>G</i> -constellations | 4 |
| 2.2. <i>G</i> -prebricks and local charts of \mathcal{M}_{θ} | 6 |
| 2.3. <i>G</i> -bricks and the biratioanl component Y_{θ} | 11 |
| 3. Weighted blowups and economic resolutions | 12 |
| 3.1. Terminal quotient singularities in dimension 3 | 12 |
| 3.2. Weighted blowups and round down functions | 14 |
| 3.3. Economic resolutions | 17 |
| 4. Moduli interpretations of economic resolutions | 18 |
| 4.1. <i>G</i> -bricks and stability parameters for $\frac{1}{r}(1, r-1, 1)$ | 18 |
| 4.2. G-bricks for $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$ | 19 |
| 4.3. Stability parameters for $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ | 25 |
| 4.4. Main Theorem | 27 |
| 5. Kędzierski's GIT chamber | 28 |
| 6. Example: type $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$ | 32 |
| References | 37 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this work stems from the philosophy of the McKaycorrespondence, which says that if a finite group G acts on a variety M, then a crepant resolution of the quotient M/G can be realised as a moduli space of G-equivariant objects on M.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14E15, 14E16, 14L24.

Key words and phrases. the McKay correspondence, terminal quotient singularities, economic resolutions.

Let $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite group. A *G*-equivariant coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{C}^n is called a *G*-constellation if $\operatorname{H}^0(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the regular representation $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of *G* as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module. In particular, the structure sheaf of a *G*-invariant subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\operatorname{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[G]$, which is called a *G*-cluster, is a *G*-constellation. Define the GIT stability parameter space

$$\Theta = \{ \theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \mid \theta (\mathbb{C}[G]) = 0 \},\$$

where R(G) is the representation ring of G. For $\theta \in \Theta$, we say that a G-constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -(semi)stable if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ ($\theta(\mathcal{G}) \ge 0$) for every nonzero proper subsheaf \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{F} . A parameter θ is called *generic* if every θ -semistable G-constellation is θ -stable.

Let \mathcal{M}_{θ} be the moduli space of θ -semistable *G*-constellations. In the celebrated paper [1], Bridgeland, King and Reid proved that for a finite subgroup *G* of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, \mathcal{M}_{θ} is a crepant resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G if θ is generic. Craw and Ishii [2] showed that in the case of a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, any projective crepant resolution can be realised as \mathcal{M}_{θ} for a suitable GIT parameter θ .

While the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} need not be irreducible [4] in general, Craw, Maclagan and Thomas [3] showed that for generic θ , \mathcal{M}_{θ} has a unique irreducible component Y_{θ} containing the torus $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n/G$ if Gis abelian. The component Y_{θ} is birational to \mathbb{C}^n/G and is called the *birational component*¹ of \mathcal{M}_{θ} .

On the other hand, in the case of $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ giving a terminal quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ in dimension 3, X has the *economic* resolution $\phi: Y \to X$ satisfying

$$K_Y = \varphi^*(K_X) + \sum_{1 \le i < r} \frac{i}{r} E_i$$

with E_i 's prime exceptional divisors. Kędzierski [11] proved that Y is isomorphic to the normalization of Y_{θ} for some θ . The main theorem of this paper is that the economic resolution Y of X can be interpreted as a component of a moduli space of G-constellations as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.19). The economic resolution Y of a 3-fold terminal quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is isomorphic to the birational component Y_{θ} of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} of θ -stable G-constellations for a suitable parameter θ .

To prove the theorem, first we generalize Nakamura's result [16]. Let $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite diagonal group. Nakamura [16] introduced a G-graph which is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{O}_Z for a torus invariant G-cluster Z. Using G-graphs, he described a local chart of G-Hilb. In this paper, we introduce a G-prebrick which is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\operatorname{H}^0(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$ for a torus invariant G-constellation \mathcal{F} .

¹This component is also called the coherent component.

For a *G*-prebrick Γ , by King [12], we have an affine scheme $D(\Gamma)$ parametrising *G*-constellations whose basis is Γ . The affine scheme $D(\Gamma)$ is not necessarily irreducible, but $D(\Gamma)$ has a distinguished component $U(\Gamma)$ containing the torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n/G$. In addition, we can show that $U(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)]$ for a semigroup $S(\Gamma)$. If the toric affine variety $U(\Gamma)$ has a torus fixed point, then Γ is called a *G*-brick. We can prove that Y_{θ} is covered by $U(\Gamma)$'s for suitable *G*-bricks Γ .

On the other hand, from [14, 17], we know that a 3-fold quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has terminal singularities if and only if the group G is of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ with r coprime to a, i.e.

$$G = \langle \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, \epsilon^a, \epsilon^{r-a}) \, \big| \, \epsilon^r = 1 \rangle.$$

In this case, the quotient variety $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is not Gorenstein. While X does not admit a crepant resolution, X has the *economic resolution* $\phi: Y \to X$ obtained by a toric method called *weighted blowups* (or *Kawamata blowups*). For each step of the weighted blowups, we define three round down functions, which are maps between monomial lattices.

As Y is toric, Y is determined by its associated toric fan Σ with the lattice M of G-invariant monomials. From toric geometry, note that Y is covered by torus invariant affine open subsets $U_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma^{\vee} \cap M]$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\max}$ where Σ_{\max} denotes the set of maximal cones in Σ .

Using the round down functions, we find a set \mathfrak{S} of *G*-bricks such that there exists a bijective map $\Sigma_{\max} \to \mathfrak{S}$ sending σ to Γ_{σ} with $U(\Gamma_{\sigma}) \cong U_{\sigma}$. We show that there exists a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $U(\Gamma_{\sigma})$'s cover Y_{θ} for $\Gamma_{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}$. This proves that the economic resolution Y is isomorphic to the birational component Y_{θ} of \mathcal{M}_{θ} .

Moreover, we further prove $D(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$. So the irreducible component Y_{θ} is actually a connected component. We conjecture that the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} is irreducible, which implies $Y \cong \mathcal{M}_{\theta}$.

Layout of this article. In Section 2, we define G-(pre)bricks and describe the birational component Y_{θ} using G-bricks. Section 3 explains how to obtain the economic resolutions using toric methods and defines round down functions. In Section 4, we explain how to find G-bricks and a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ such that the economic resolution is isomorphic to the birational component Y_{θ} . In Section 5 we describe Kedzierski's GIT chamber using the A_{r-1} root system. In Section 6, we calculate G-bricks and Kedzierski's GIT chamber for the group of type $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$.

Acknowledgement. This article is part of my PhD thesis in the University of Warwick [8]. I am very grateful to my advisor Miles Reid for sharing his views on this subject and many ideas. I would like to thank Diane Maclagan, Alastair Craw, Hiraku Nakajima, and Timothy Logvinenko for valuable conversations. I thank Andrew Chan and Tom Ducat for their comments on earlier drafts.

2. G-bricks and moduli spaces of G-constellations

In this section we define a G-prebrick which is a generalized version of Nakamura's G-graph from [16]. By using G-prebricks, we describe local charts of moduli spaces of G-constellations.

In this section, we restrict ourselves to the case where G is a finite cyclic subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. It is possible to generalize part of the argument to include general finite small abelian groups in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for any dimension n. However we prefer to focus on this case where we can avoid the difficulty of notation.

2.1. Moduli spaces of *G*-constellations. In this section, we review the construction of moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_{θ} of θ -stable *G*-constellations as described in [2, 12].

Define the group $G = \langle \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon^{\alpha_1}, \epsilon^{\alpha_2}, \epsilon^{\alpha_3}) | \epsilon^r = 1 \rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. We call G the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. We can identify the set of irreducible representations of G with the character group $G^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ of G. Note that the regular representation $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\rho \in G^{\vee}} \mathbb{C}\rho$.

Definition 2.1. A *G*-constellation on \mathbb{C}^3 is a *G*-equivariant coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{C}^3 with $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F})$ isomorphic to the regular representation $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of *G* as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module.

The representation ring R(G) of G is $\bigoplus_{\rho \in G^{\vee}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \rho$. Define the GIT stability parameter space

$$\Theta = \{ \theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \mid \theta (\mathbb{C}[G]) = 0 \}.$$

Definition 2.2. For a stability parameter $\theta \in \Theta$, we say that:

- (i) a *G*-constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -semistable if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ for every proper submodule $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) a *G*-constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -stable if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ for every nonzero proper submodule $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$.
- (iii) θ is generic if every θ -semistable object is θ -stable.

It is known [4] that the language of G-constellations is the same as the language of the McKay quiver representations. Thus by King [12], the moduli spaces of G-constellations can be constructed using Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT).

Let $\operatorname{Rep} G$ be the affine scheme whose coordinate ring is

$$\mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Rep} G] = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, z_i \mid i \in G^{\vee}]/I_G$$

where I_G is the ideal generated by the following quadrics:

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} x_i y_{i+\alpha_1} - y_i x_{i+\alpha_2}, \\ x_i z_{i+\alpha_1} - z_i x_{i+\alpha_3}, \\ y_i z_{i+\alpha_2} - z_i y_{i+\alpha_3}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. The group $\operatorname{GL}(\delta) := \prod_{i \in G^{\vee}} \mathbb{C}^{\times} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^r$ acts on Rep *G* via change of basis. For a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$, define the GIT quotient with respect to θ

$$\operatorname{Rep} G /\!\!/_{\theta} \operatorname{GL}(\delta) := \operatorname{Rep}_{\theta}^{ss} G / \operatorname{GL}(\delta)$$

parametrising closed $\operatorname{GL}(\delta)$ -orbits in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\theta}^{ss} G$ where $\operatorname{Rep}_{\theta}^{ss} G$ denotes the θ -semistable locus in $\operatorname{Rep} G$.

Theorem 2.4 (King [12]). Let us define $\mathcal{M}_{\theta} := \operatorname{Rep} G /\!\!/_{\theta} \operatorname{GL}(\delta)$.

- (i) The quasiprojective scheme \mathcal{M}_{θ} is a coarse moduli space of θ -semistable G-constellations up to S-equivalence.
- (ii) If θ is generic, the scheme \mathcal{M}_{θ} is a fine moduli space of θ -stable *G*-constellations.
- (iii) The scheme \mathcal{M}_{θ} is projective over $\mathcal{M}_{0} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Rep} G]^{\operatorname{GL}(\delta)}$.

Birational component Y_{θ} of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} . Let \mathcal{M}_{θ} denote the moduli space of θ -semistable *G*-constellations. Note that the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} need not be irreducible [4].

Note that for every parameter θ , there exists a natural embedding of the torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3/G$ into \mathcal{M}_{θ} . Indeed, for a *G*-orbit *Z* in the algebraic torus $\mathbf{T} := (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, since *Z* is a free *G*-orbit, \mathcal{O}_Z has no nonzero proper submodules. Thus \mathcal{O}_Z is a θ -stable *G*-constellation. Hence it follows that the torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3/G$ is the fine moduli space of θ -stable *G*-constellations supported on **T** because any *G*-constellation supporting on a free *G*-orbit *Z* is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_Z .

Theorem 2.5 (Craw, Maclagan and Thomas [3]). Let $\theta \in \Theta$ be generic. Then \mathcal{M}_{θ} has a unique irreducible component Y_{θ} that contains the torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n/G$. Moreover Y_{θ} satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{\theta} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\theta} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^3/G & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_0 \end{array}$$

- (i) Y_θ is a not-necessarily-normal toric variety which is birational to the quotient variety C³/G.
- (ii) Y_{θ} is projective over the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^3/G .

Definition 2.6. The unique irreducible component Y_{θ} in Theorem 2.5 is called the *birational component* of \mathcal{M}_{θ} .

Since Craw, Maclagan and Thomas [3] constructed Y_{θ} as GIT quotient of a reduced affine scheme, it follows that Y_{θ} is reduced.

Remark 2.7. Since $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3$ acts on \mathbb{C}^3 , the algebraic torus \mathbf{T} acts on the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} naturally. Fixed points of the \mathbf{T} -action play a crucial role in the study of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} .

2.2. *G*-prebricks and local charts of \mathcal{M}_{θ} . Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Define the lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3),$$

which is an overlattice of $\overline{L} = \mathbb{Z}^3$ of finite index. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Set $\overline{M} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\overline{L}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $M = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$. The two dual lattices \overline{M} and M can be identified with Laurent monomials and G-invariant Laurent monomials, respectively. The embedding of G into the torus $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3 \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{wt}\colon \overline{M} \longrightarrow G'$$

whose kernel is M. Note that there are two isomorphisms of abelian groups $L/\mathbb{Z}^3 \to G$ and $\overline{M}/M \to G^{\vee}$.

Let $\overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ denote genuine monomials in \overline{M} , i.e.

$$\overline{M}_{\geq 0} = \{ x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3} \in \overline{M} \mid m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0 \}.$$

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}, y^{\pm}, z^{\pm}]$, let $\langle A \rangle$ denote the $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}, y^{\pm}, z^{\pm}]$ generated by A.

Let σ_+ be the cone in $L_{\mathbb{R}} := L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ generated by e_1, e_2, e_3 . Note that the corresponding affine toric variety $U_{\sigma_+} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_+^{\vee} \cap M]$ is isomorphic to the quotient variety $\mathbb{C}^3/G = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^G$.

Definition 2.8. A *G*-prebrick Γ is a subset of Laurent monomials in $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}, y^{\pm}, z^{\pm}]$ satisfying:

- (i) the monomial $\mathbf{1}$ is in Γ .
- (ii) for each weight $\rho \in G^{\vee}$, there exists a unique Laurent monomial $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ of weight ρ , i.e. wt: $\Gamma \to G^{\vee}$ is bijective.
- (iii) if $\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ for $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, then $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$.
- (iv) the set Γ is *connected* in the sense that for any element \mathbf{m}_{ρ} , there is a (fractional) path in Γ from \mathbf{m}_{ρ} to **1** whose steps consist of multiplying or dividing by one of x, y, z.

For a Laurent monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$, let $\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m})$ denote the unique element \mathbf{m}_{ρ} in Γ of the same weight as \mathbf{m} .

Remark 2.9. Nakamura's *G*-graph Γ in [16] is a *G*-prebrick because if a monomial $\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is in Γ for two monomials $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, then \mathbf{n} is in Γ . The main difference between *G*-graphs and *G*-prebricks is that elements of *G*-prebricks are allowed to be Laurent monomials, not just genuine monomials. \Diamond

Example 2.10. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$. Then

$$\Gamma_{1} = \left\{ 1, y, y^{2}, z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^{2}}{y^{2}}, \frac{z^{2}}{y^{2}} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{2} = \left\{ 1, z, y, y^{2}, \frac{y^{2}}{z}, \frac{y^{3}}{z}, \frac{y^{3}}{z^{2}} \right\}$$

7

are *G*-prebricks. For Γ_1 , we have $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma_1}(x) = \frac{z}{y}$ and $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma_1}(y^3) = \frac{z^2}{y^2}$.

For a *G*-prebrick $\Gamma = {\mathbf{m}_{\rho}}$, as an analogue of [16], define $S(\Gamma)$ to be the subsemigroup of *M* generated by $\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$. Define a cone $\sigma(\Gamma)$ in $L_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Gamma) &= S(\Gamma)^{\vee} \\ &= \bigg\{ \mathbf{u} \in L_{\mathbb{R}} \, \bigg| \, \left\langle \mathbf{u}, \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma, \ \mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0} \bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that:

(i) $(\overline{M}_{\geq 0} \cap M) \subset S(\Gamma),$ (ii) $\sigma(\Gamma) \subset \sigma_+,$ (iii) $S(\Gamma) \subset (\sigma(\Gamma)^{\vee} \cap M).$

Lemma 2.11. Let Γ be a *G*-prebrick. Define

$$B(\Gamma) := \left\{ \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \, \middle| \, \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma, \, \mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\} \right\} \backslash \Gamma.$$

Then the semigroup $S(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{b})}$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in B(\Gamma)$ as a semigroup. In particular, $S(\Gamma)$ is finitely generated as a semigroup.

Proof. Let S be the subsemigroup of M generated by $\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{b})}$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in B(\Gamma)$. Clearly, $S \subset S(\Gamma)$. For the opposite inclusion, it is enough to show that the generators of $S(\Gamma)$ are in S.

An arbitrary generators of $S(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\frac{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ for some $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$. We may assume that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \notin \Gamma$. In particular, $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{1}$. Since \mathbf{n} has positive degree, there exists $\mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\}$ such that \mathbf{f} divides \mathbf{n} , i.e. $\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ and $\deg(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}}) < \deg(\mathbf{n})$. Let $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}$ denote $\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})$. Note that

$$\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) = \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} = \frac{\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \\
= \frac{\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'})}.$$

By induction on the degree of monomial \mathbf{n} , the assertion is proved. \Box

The set $B(\Gamma)$ in the lemma above is called the *Border bases* of Γ . As $B(\Gamma)$ is finite, the semigroup $S(\Gamma)$ is finitely generated as a semigroup. Thus the semigroup $S(\Gamma)$ defines an affine toric variety. Define two affine toric varieties:

$$U(\Gamma) := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)],$$
$$U^{\nu}(\Gamma) := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma(\Gamma)^{\vee} \cap M].$$

Note that the torus $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]$ of $U(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $T = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3/G$ and that $U^{\nu}(\Gamma)$ is the normalization of $U(\Gamma)$.

Craw, Maclagan and Thomas [4] showed that there exists a torus invariant *G*-cluster which does not lie in the birational component Y_{θ} . The following definition is implicit in [4].

Definition 2.12. A *G*-prebrick Γ is called a *G*-brick if the affine toric variety $U(\Gamma)$ contains a torus fixed point.

From toric geometry, $U(\Gamma)$ has a torus fixed point if and only if $S(\Gamma) \cap (S(\Gamma))^{-1} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, i.e. the cone $\sigma(\Gamma)$ is a 3-dimensional cone.

Example 2.13. Consider the *G*-prebricks Γ_1, Γ_2 in Example 2.10. By Lemma 2.11, $S(\Gamma_1)$ is generated by $\frac{y^5}{z^2}, \frac{z^3}{y^4}, \frac{xy}{z}$. We have

$$\sigma(\Gamma_1) = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, \Big| \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m} \rangle \ge 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{m} \in \left\{ \frac{y^5}{z^2}, \frac{z^3}{y^4}, \frac{xy}{z} \right\} \right\},\$$

= Cone $\left((1, 0, 0), \frac{1}{7} (3, 2, 5), \frac{1}{7} (1, 3, 4) \right).$

Similarly, we can see that

$$\sigma(\Gamma_2) = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, \Big| \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m} \rangle \ge 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{m} \in \left\{ \frac{y^4}{z^3}, \frac{z^4}{y^3}, \frac{xz^2}{y^3} \right\} \right\},\$$

= Cone $\left((1, 0, 0), \frac{1}{7} (1, 3, 4), \frac{1}{7} (6, 4, 3) \right).$

Since $S(\Gamma_1) = \sigma(\Gamma_1)^{\vee} \cap M$ and $S(\Gamma_2) = \sigma(\Gamma_2)^{\vee} \cap M$, the two *G*-prebricks Γ_1 , Γ_2 are *G*-bricks. Moreover the two toric varieties $U(\Gamma_1)$ and $U(\Gamma_2)$ are smooth.

Let Γ be a *G*-prebrick. Define

$$C(\Gamma) := \langle \Gamma \rangle / \langle B(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

The module $C(\Gamma)$ is a torus invariant *G*-constellation. A submodule \mathcal{G} of $C(\Gamma)$ is determined by a subset $A \subset \Gamma$, which forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 2.14. Let A be a subset of Γ . The following are equivalent.

- (i) The set A forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of a submodule of $C(\Gamma)$.
- (ii) If $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in A$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\}$, then $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ implies $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in A$.

Let p be a point in $U(\Gamma)$. Then the evaluation map

$$\operatorname{ev}_p\colon S(\Gamma)\to (\mathbb{C},\times)$$

is a semigroup homomorphism.

To assign a G-constellation $C(\Gamma)_p$ to the point p of $U(\Gamma)$, first consider the \mathbb{C} -vector space with basis Γ whose G-action is induced by the G-action on $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$. Endow it with the following $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ -action:

(2.15)
$$\mathbf{n} * \mathbf{m}_{\rho} := \operatorname{ev}_{p} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \right) \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}),$$

for a monomial $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.16. Let Γ be a *G*-prebrick.

- (i) For every $p \in U(\Gamma)$, $C(\Gamma)_p$ is a G-constellation.
- (ii) For every $p \in U(\Gamma)$, Γ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $C(\Gamma)_p$.
- (iii) If p and q are different points in $U(\Gamma)$, then $C(\Gamma)_p \not\cong C(\Gamma)_q$.
- (iv) Let $Z \subset \mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3$ be a free G-orbit and p the corresponding point in the torus $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]$ of $U(\Gamma)$. Then $C(\Gamma)_p \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$ as G-constellations.
- (v) If $U(\Gamma)$ has a torus fixed point p, then $C(\Gamma)_p \cong C(\Gamma)$.

Proof. From the definition of $C(\Gamma)_p$, the assertions (i), (ii) and (v) follow immediately. The assertion (iii) follows from the fact that points on the affine toric variety $U(\Gamma)$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with semigroup homomorphisms from $S(\Gamma)$ to \mathbb{C} .

It remains to show (iv). Let $Z \subset \mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3$ be a free *G*-orbit and p the corresponding point in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M] \subset U(\Gamma)$. There is a surjective *G*-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ -module homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \to C(\Gamma)_p$$
 given by $f \mapsto f * \mathbf{1}$.

whose kernel is equal to the ideal of Z. This proves (iv).

Definition 2.17. A *G*-prebrick is said to be θ -stable if $C(\Gamma)$ is θ -stable.

Deformation space $D(\Gamma)$. We introduce deformation theory of $C(\Gamma)$ for a θ -stable *G*-prebrick Γ . We deform $C(\Gamma)$, keeping the same vector space structure, but perturbing the structure of $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ -module. Since we fix a \mathbb{C} -basis Γ of $C(\Gamma)$, deforming $C(\Gamma)$ involves 3r parameters $\{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho} \mid \rho \in G^{\vee}\}$ with

$$\begin{cases} x * \mathbf{m}_{\rho} = x_{\rho} \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma} (x \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}), \\ y * \mathbf{m}_{\rho} = y_{\rho} \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma} (y \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}), \\ z * \mathbf{m}_{\rho} = z_{\rho} \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma} (z \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}), \end{cases}$$

with the following commutation relations:

(2.18) $\begin{cases} x_{\rho} y_{\mathrm{wt}(x \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} - y_{\rho} x_{\mathrm{wt}(y \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}, \\ x_{\rho} z_{\mathrm{wt}(x \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} - z_{\rho} x_{\mathrm{wt}(z \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}, \\ y_{\rho} z_{\mathrm{wt}(y \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} - z_{\rho} y_{\mathrm{wt}(y \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}. \end{cases}$

Note that $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma$ is the base of the same weight as \mathbf{m} . Fixing a basis Γ means that we set $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} = 1$ if $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}$ for $\mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\}$. Define a subset of the 3r parameters

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) := \left\{ \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \, \middle| \, \mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}, \, \, \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}\} \right\},\,$$

i.e. $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is the set of parameters fixed to be 1. Define the affine scheme

(2.19)
$$D(\Gamma) := \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}[x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho} \mid \rho \in G^{\vee}]/I_{\Gamma}\right)$$

where $I_{\Gamma} = \langle \text{the quadrics in (2.18), } \mathbf{f}_{\rho} - 1 | \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \Lambda(\Gamma) \rangle$. By King [12], the affine scheme $D(\Gamma)$ is an open set of \mathcal{M}_{θ} containing the point

corresponding to $C(\Gamma)$. More precisely, consider an affine open set $\widetilde{U_{\Gamma}}$ in Rep G, which is defined by \mathbf{f}_{ρ} to be nonzero for all $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$. Note that $\widetilde{U_{\Gamma}}$ is $\operatorname{GL}(\delta)$ -invariant and that $\widetilde{U_{\Gamma}}$ is in the θ -stable locus. Since the quotient map $\operatorname{Rep}_{\theta}^{ss} G \to \mathcal{M}_{\theta}$ is a geometric quotient for generic θ , from GIT [15], it follows that $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{U_{\Gamma}}]^{\operatorname{GL}(\delta)}$ is an affine open subset of \mathcal{M}_{θ} . On the other hand, setting $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$ to be 1 for all $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$ gives a slice of the $\operatorname{GL}(\delta)$ -action. Thus $D(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{U_{\Gamma}}]^{\operatorname{GL}(\delta)}$.

Remark 2.20. The affine open subset $D(\Gamma)$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} parametrises *G*-constellations whose basis is Γ .

Proposition 2.21. For generic θ , let Γ be a θ -stable *G*-brick and Y_{θ} the birational component of \mathcal{M}_{θ} . Then $C(\Gamma)_p$ is θ -stable for every $p \in U(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, there exists an open immersion

$$U(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)] \quad \hookrightarrow \quad Y_{\theta} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\theta},$$

which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} U(\Gamma) & \hookrightarrow & Y_{\theta} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ D(\Gamma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\theta} \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are closed embeddings.

Proof. Let us assume that the *G*-constellation $C(\Gamma)$ is θ -stable. Let p be an arbitrary point in $U(\Gamma)$ and \mathcal{G} a submodule of $C(\Gamma)_p$. By the definition of $C(\Gamma)_p$, there is a submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma)$ whose support is the same as \mathcal{G} . Since $C(\Gamma)$ is θ -stable, $\theta(\mathcal{G}) = \theta(\mathcal{G}') > 0$. Thus $C(\Gamma)_p$ is θ -stable.

Since there is a \mathbb{C} -algebra epimorphism from $\mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma)]$ to $\mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)]$ given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{
ho} \mapsto rac{\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{
ho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{
ho})}$$

for $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}\}$, it follows that $U(\Gamma)$ is a closed subscheme of $D(\Gamma)$.

As Craw, Maclagan, and Thomas [3] proved that the birational component Y_{θ} is a unique irreducible component of \mathcal{M}_{θ} containing the torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3/G$, it follows that $Y_{\theta} \cap D(\Gamma)$ is a unique irreducible component of $D(\Gamma)$ containing the torus T.

The morphism $U(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow D(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\theta}$ induces an isomorphism between the torus $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]$ and the torus T of Y_{θ} by Lemma 2.16 (iv). Note that $U(\Gamma)$ is in the component $Y_{\theta} \cap D(\Gamma)$ because $U(\Gamma)$ is a closed subset of $D(\Gamma)$ containing T. Since both $U(\Gamma)$ and $Y_{\theta} \cap D(\Gamma)$ are reduced and of the same dimension, $U(\Gamma)$ is equal to $Y_{\theta} \cap D(\Gamma)$. Thus there exists an open immersion from $U(\Gamma)$ to Y_{θ} . 2.3. *G*-bricks and the biratioanl component Y_{θ} . In this section, we present a 1-to-1 correspondence between the set of torus fixed points in Y_{θ} and the set of θ -stable *G*-bricks.

Proposition 2.22. Let $G \subset GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. For a generic parameter θ , there is a 1-to-1 correspondence between the set of torus fixed points in the birational component Y_{θ} of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} and the set of θ -stable G-bricks.

*Proof.*² In Section 2.2, we have seen that if Γ is a θ -stable *G*-brick, then $C(\Gamma)$ is a torus invariant *G*-constellation corresponding to a torus fixed point in Y_{θ} .

Let $p \in Y_{\theta}$ be a torus fixed point and \mathcal{F} the corresponding torus invariant *G*-constellation. For a one parameter subgroup

$$\lambda \colon \mathbb{C}^{\times} \to T \subset Y_{\theta}$$

with $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) = p$, λ induces a flat family \mathcal{V} of *G*-constellations over $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that \mathcal{V} has generic support; for every nonzero $t \in \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, the *G*-constellation \mathcal{V}_t over *t* is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_Z for a free *G*-orbit *Z* in $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^3$. There is a set $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \overline{M} \mid \rho \in G^{\vee}\}$ satisfying:

- (1) Γ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{V}_t for every $t \in \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{1} \in \Gamma$.
- (3) \mathcal{V}_t is isomorphic to $\langle \Gamma \rangle / N_t$ for a submodule N_t of $\langle \Gamma \rangle$, where $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ -module generated by Γ .

We prove that Γ is a *G*-prebrick and that $\mathcal{F} \cong C(\Gamma)$. Note that \mathcal{F} can be written as $\langle \Gamma \rangle / N$ for a submodule *N*. For any $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$, since \mathbf{m}_{ρ} is a base, \mathbf{m}_{ρ} is not in *N*. Moreover if $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \notin \Gamma$ for $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$, then $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in N$ because the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{F})$ is $r = |\Gamma|$. This proves that $N = \langle B(\Gamma) \rangle$, where $B(\Gamma)$ is the Border bases in Lemma 2.11. From this, it follows that Γ satisfies the conditions (i),(ii),(iii) in Definition 2.8. As \mathcal{F} is θ -stable for generic θ , the connectedness condition (iv) follows.

To see that the *G*-prebrick Γ is a *G*-brick, note that the point $p \in Y_{\theta}$ corresponds to the isomorphism class of $C(\Gamma)$ so $p \in D(\Gamma)$. Thus p is in $U(\Gamma) = Y_{\theta} \cap D(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 2.23. Let Γ be a *G*-prebrick. Then $C(\Gamma)$ lies in the birational component Y_{θ} if and only if Γ is a *G*-brick.

Theorem 2.24. Let $G \subset GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite diagonal group and θ a generic GIT parameter for G-constellations. Assume that \mathfrak{S} is the set of all θ -stable G-bricks.

(i) The birational component Y_{θ} of \mathcal{M}_{θ} is isomorphic to the notnecessarily-normal toric variety $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}} U(\Gamma)$.

 $^{^{2}\}mathrm{In}$ [8], there is another proof using the language of the McKay quiver representations.

(ii) The normalization of Y_{θ} is isomorphic to the normal toric variety whose toric fan consists of the 3-dimensional cones $\sigma(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ and their faces.

Proof. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Consider the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$.

Let Y_{θ} be the birational component of the moduli space of θ -stable G-constellations and Y_{θ}^{ν} the normalization of Y_{θ} . Let Y denote the not-necessarily-normal toric variety $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}} U(\Gamma)$. Define the fan Σ in $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose maximal cones are $\sigma(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$. Note that the corresponding toric variety $Y^{\nu} := X_{\Sigma}$ is the normalization of Y.

By Proposition 2.21, there is an open immersion $\psi: Y \to Y_{\theta}$. From Proposition 2.22, it follows that the image $\psi(Y)$ contains all torus fixed points of Y_{θ} . The induced morphism $\psi^{\nu}: Y^{\nu} \to Y_{\theta}^{\nu}$ is an open embedding of normal toric varieties with the same number of torus fixed points. Thus the morphism ψ^{ν} should be an isomorphism. This proves (ii).

To show (i), suppose that $Y_{\theta} \setminus \psi(Y)$ is nonempty so it contains a torus orbit O of dimension $d \geq 1$. Since the normalization morphism is torus equivariant and surjective, there exists a torus orbit O' in $Y^{\nu} \cong Y_{\theta}^{\nu}$ of dimension d which is mapped to the torus orbit O. At the same time, from the fact that Y^{ν} is the normalization of Y and that the normalization morphism is finite, it follows that the image of O' is a torus orbit of dimension d, so the image is O. Thus O is in $\psi(Y)$, which is a contradiction. \Box

Corollary 2.25. With the notation as in Theorem 2.24, Y_{θ} is a normal toric variety if and only if $S(\Gamma) = \sigma(\Gamma)^{\vee} \cap M$ for all $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$.

3. Weighted blowups and economic resolutions

Let $G \subset GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the finite subgroup of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$ with r coprime to a, i.e.

$$G = \langle \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, \epsilon^a, \epsilon^{r-a}) \mid \epsilon^r = 1 \rangle.$$

The quotient $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has terminal singularities and has no crepant resolution. However there exist a special kind of toric resolutions, which can be obtained by a sequence of weighted blowups. In this section, we review the notion of toric weighted blowups and define round down functions which are used for finding admissible *G*-bricks.

3.1. Terminal quotient singularities in dimension 3. In this section, we collect various facts from birational geometry. Most of these are taken from [17].

Definition 3.1. Let X be a normal quasiprojective variety and K_X the canonical divisor on X. We say that X has *terminal singularities* (resp. *canonical singularities*) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) there is a positive integer r such that rK_X is a Cartier divisor.
- (ii) if $\varphi \colon Y \to X$ is a resolution with E_i prime exceptional divisors such that

$$rK_Y = \varphi^*(rK_X) + r\sum a_i E_i,$$

then $a_i > 0$ (resp. ≥ 0) for all *i*.

In the definition above, a_i is called the *discrepancy* of E_i . A *crepant* resolution φ of X is a resolution with all discrepancies zero.

Birational geometry of toric varieties. Let L be a lattice of rank n and M the dual lattice of L. Let σ be a cone in $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Fix a primitive element $v \in L \cap \sigma$. The *barycentric subdivision* of σ at v is the minimal fan containing all cones $\text{Cone}(\tau, v)$ where τ varies over all subcones of σ with $v \notin \tau$.

Proposition 3.2 (see e.g. [17]). Let Σ be the barycentric subdivision of an n-dimensional cone σ at v. Let $X := U_{\sigma}$ be the affine toric variety corresponding to σ and Y the toric variety corresponding to the fan Σ .

(i) The barycentric subdivision induces a projective toric morphism

 $\varphi \colon Y \to X.$

- (ii) The set of 1-dimensional cones of Σ consists of 1-dimensional cones of σ and Cone(v).
- (iii) The torus invariant prime divisor D_v corresponding to the 1dimensional cone Cone(v) is a Q-Cartier divisor on Y.

Furthermore if v is an interior lattice point in σ , then

$$K_Y = \varphi^*(K_X) + (\langle x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, v \rangle - 1) D_v,$$

i.e. the discrepancy of the exceptional divisor D_v is $\langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_n, v \rangle - 1$.

Example 3.3. Define the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ with r coprime to a and $M = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ the dual lattice. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 and σ_+ the cone generated by e_1, e_2, e_3 . As in Section 2.2, the toric variety $X := U_{\sigma_+}$ is the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^3/G where G is the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$.

Set $v_i := \frac{1}{r}(i, \overline{ai}, \overline{r-ai}) \in L$ for each $1 \leq i < r-1$ where $\overline{}$ denotes the residue modulo r. Let E_i be the torus invariant prime divisor corresponding to v_i . From Proposition 3.2, the discrepancy of E_i is

$$\frac{i}{r} + \frac{ai}{r} + \frac{r-ai}{r} - 1 = \frac{i}{r} > 0$$

This shows that X has only terminal singularities.

We have seen that the quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has terminal singularities if G is the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$ with r coprime to a. Conversely, these groups are essentially all the cases, by the following.

 \diamond

Theorem 3.4 (Morrison and Stevens [14]). A 3-fold cyclic quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has terminal singularities if and only if the group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ with r coprime to a.

3.2. Weighted blowups and round down functions. Define the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$. Set $\overline{L} = \mathbb{Z}^3 \subset L$. Consider the two dual lattices $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$, $\overline{M} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\overline{L}, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that a (Laurent) monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ is *G*-invariant if and only if \mathbf{m} is in *M*. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 and σ_+ the cone generated by e_1, e_2, e_3 . Then $\text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_+^{\vee} \cap M]$ is the quotient variety $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$. Set $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a) \in L$, which corresponds to the exceptional divisor of the smallest discrepancy (see Example 3.3). Define three cones

$$\sigma_1 = \text{Cone}(v, e_2, e_3), \quad \sigma_2 = \text{Cone}(e_1, v, e_3), \quad \sigma_3 = \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v).$$

Define Σ to be the fan consisting of the three cones $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ and their faces. The fan Σ is the barycentric subdivision of σ_+ at v. Let Y_1 be the toric variety corresponding to the fan Σ together with the lattice L. The induced toric morphism $\varphi: Y_1 \to X$ is called *the weighted blowup* of X with weight (1, a, r - a).



FIGURE 3.1. Weighted blowup of weight (1, a, r - a)

Let us consider the sublattice L_2 of L generated by e_1, v, e_3 . Define $M_2 := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_2, \mathbb{Z})$ with the dual basis

$$\xi_2 := xy^{-\frac{1}{a}}, \quad \eta_2 := y^{\frac{r}{a}}, \quad \zeta_2 := y^{\frac{a-r}{a}}z.$$

The lattice inclusion $L_2 \hookrightarrow L$ induces a toric morphism

 $\varphi \colon \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_2^{\vee} \cap M_2] \to U_2 := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_2^{\vee} \cap M].$

Since $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_2^{\vee} \cap M_2] \cong \mathbb{C}[\xi_2, \eta_2, \zeta_2]$ and the group $G_2 := L/L_2$ is of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$ with eigencoordinates ξ_2, η_2, ζ_2 , the open subset U_2 has a quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$.

Similarly, consider the sublattice L_3 of L generated by e_1, e_2, v . Let us define the lattice $M_3 := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_3, \mathbb{Z})$ with basis

$$\xi_3 := x z^{-\frac{1}{r-a}}, \quad \eta_3 := y z^{\frac{-a}{r-a}}, \quad \zeta_3 := z^{\frac{r}{r-a}}.$$

The open set $U_3 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\xi_3, \eta_3, \zeta_3]$ has a quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{r-a}(1, r, -r)$ with eigencoordinates ξ_3, η_3, ζ_3 . Set $G_3 := L/L_3$.

15

Lastly, consider the sublattice L_1 of L generated by v, e_2, e_3 . Let us define $M_1 := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_1, \mathbb{Z})$ with the dual basis

$$\xi_1 := x^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad \eta_1 := x^{-\frac{a}{r}}y, \quad \zeta_1 := x^{-\frac{r-a}{r}}z.$$

Since $\{v, e_2, e_3\}$ forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis of L, i.e. $G_1 = L/L_1$ is the trivial group, the open set $U_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \eta_1, \zeta_1]$ is smooth.

Example 3.5. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$ as in Example 2.10. The toric fan of the weighted blowup with weight (1,3,4) is shown in Figure 3.2.



FIGURE 3.2. Weighted blowup of weight (1, 3, 4)

The affine toric variety corresponding to the cone σ_2 on the left side of $v = \frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$ has a quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ with eigencoordinates $xy^{-\frac{1}{3}}, y^{\frac{7}{3}}, y^{-\frac{4}{3}}z$. The affine toric variety corresponding to the cone σ_3 on the right side of v has a singularity of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$ with eigencoordinates $xz^{-\frac{1}{4}}, yz^{-\frac{3}{4}}, z^{\frac{7}{4}}$. On the other hand, the affine toric variety corresponding to the cone $\sigma_1 = \text{Cone}(e_2, e_3, v)$ is smooth as e_2, e_3, v form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of L.

Definition 3.6 (Round down functions). With the notation above, the *left round down function* $\phi_2 \colon \overline{M} \to M_2$ of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r - a) is defined by

$$\phi_2(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \xi_2^{m_1}\eta_2^{\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \rfloor} \zeta_2^{m_3}.$$

where $\lfloor \ \rfloor$ is the floor function. In a similar manner, the *right round* down function $\phi_3 \colon \overline{M} \to M_3$ of the weighted blowup with weight

(1, a, r - a) is defined by

$$\phi_3(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \xi_3^{m_1}\eta_3^{m_2}\zeta_3^{\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \rfloor},$$

and the central round down function $\phi_1 \colon \overline{M} \to M_1$ of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r - a) by

$$\phi_1(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \xi_1^{\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \rfloor} \eta_1^{m_2}\zeta_1^{m_3}.$$

Lemma 3.7. For each k = 1, 2, 3, let ϕ_k be the round down function of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r - a). For a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ and a *G*-invariant monomial $\mathbf{n} \in M$,

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{n}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m})\cdot\mathbf{n}.$$

Thus the weight of $\phi_k(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n})$ and the weight of $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ are the same in terms of the G_k -action. Therefore ϕ_k induces a surjective map

$$\phi_k \colon G^{\vee} \to G_k^{\vee}, \quad \rho \mapsto \phi_k(\rho),$$

where $\phi_k(\rho)$ is the weight of $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ for a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ of weight ρ .

Proof. Since M_k contains M as the lattice of G_k -invariant monomials, **n** is in M_k . By definition, the assertions follow.

Remark 3.8. Davis, Logvinenko, and Reid [6] introduced a related construction in a more general setting.

Lemma 3.9. For each k = 1, 2, 3, let ϕ_k be the round down function of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r - a). Let $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ be a Laurent monomial of weight j.

- (i) If $0 \leq j < r a$, then $\phi_2(y \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{m})$.
- (ii) If $0 \leq j < a$, then $\phi_3(z \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_3(\mathbf{m})$.
- (iii) If $0 \le j < r-1$, then $\phi_1(x \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{m})$.
- (iv) If $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}')$, then $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}'$ or $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ for some $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{m} = x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}$ be a Laurent monomial of weight j. To prove (i), assume that $0 \le j < r - a$. This means that

$$0 \le \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 - \lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \rfloor < \frac{r-a}{r}.$$

Thus $\phi_2(y \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_2(x^{m_1}y^{m_2+1}z^{m_3}) = \phi_2(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{m})$. The assertions (ii) and (iii) can be proved similarly. The definition of ϕ_k implies (iv).

Lemma 3.10. For each k = 1, 2, 3, let ϕ_k be the round down function of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r - a). Let **k** be a lattice point in the monomial lattice M_k and **g** a monomial of degree 1 in M_k . There exist a monomial $\mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\}$ of degree 1 and $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ such that

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{g}\cdot\mathbf{k}$$

satisfying $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{k}$.

17

Proof. Here we prove the assertion for the left round down function. Let ξ, η, ζ denote the eigencoordinates for the G_2 -action. Let **k** be a monomial in M_2 and $\mathbf{g} \in \{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$.

Consider the case where $\mathbf{g} = \zeta$. Since ϕ_2 is surjective, there exists $\mathbf{m} = x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3} \in \overline{M}$ such that $\phi_2(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{k}$. If $\zeta \cdot \mathbf{k} = \phi_2(z \cdot \mathbf{m})$, then we are done.

Suppose $\zeta \cdot \mathbf{k} \neq \phi_2(z \cdot \mathbf{m})$. This means that

$$\frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 + \frac{r-a}{r} \ge \left\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \right\rfloor + 1.$$

There is a positive integer l_0^3 such that $\phi_2(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{y^l}) = \mathbf{k}$ for all $0 \le l \le l_0$ with $\phi_2\left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{y^{l_0+1}}\right) \ne \mathbf{k}$. Since $\phi_2(z \cdot \frac{\mathbf{m}}{y^{l_0}}) = \zeta \cdot \mathbf{k}$, the assertion follows. For the other cases, we can prove the assertion similarly. \Box

3.3. Economic resolutions. By the fact that the quotient variety $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has terminal singularities, X does not admit crepant resolutions. However X has a certain toric resolution introduced by

Danilov [5] (see also [17]).

Definition 3.11. Let $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$. For each $1 \leq i < r$, let $v_i := \frac{1}{r}(i, \overline{ai}, \overline{r - ai}) \in L$ where $\overline{}$ denotes the residue modulo r. The *economic resolution* of \mathbb{C}^3/G is the toric variety obtained by the consecutive weighted blowups at $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-1}$ from \mathbb{C}^3/G .

Proposition 3.12 (see [17]). Let $\varphi: Y \to X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ be the economic resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G . For each $1 \leq i < r$, let E_i denote the exceptional divisor of φ corresponding to the lattice point v_i .

- (i) The toric variety Y is smooth and projective over X.
- (ii) The morphism φ satisfies

$$K_Y = \varphi^*(K_X) + \sum_{1 \le i < r} \frac{i}{r} E_i.$$

In particular, each discrepancy is $0 < \frac{i}{r} < 1$.

From the fan of Y, we can see that Y can be covered by three open sets U_2 , U_3 and U_1 , which are the unions of the affine toric varieties corresponding to the cones on the left side of, the right side of, and below the vector $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$, respectively. Note that U_2 and U_3 are isomorphic to the economic resolutions for the singularity of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$ and of type $\frac{1}{r-a}(1, r, -r)$, respectively.

³This integer l_0 is the largest integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 - \frac{a}{r}l \ge \left\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \right\rfloor.$$

Remark 3.13. Let Σ be the toric fan of the economic resolution Y. Note that the number of 3-dimensional cones in Σ is 2r - 1 and that the number of 3-dimensional cones containing e_1 is r.

Example 3.14. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$ as in Example 2.10. The fan of the economic resolution of the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^3/G is shown in Figure 3.3.



FIGURE 3.3. Fan of the economic resolution for $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$

The toric variety corresponding to the fan consisting of the cones on the left side of $v = \frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$ is the economic resolution of the quotient $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$. On the other hand, the toric variety corresponding to the fan consisting of the cones on the right side of v is the economic resolution of the quotient $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$.

4. MODULI INTERPRETATIONS OF ECONOMIC RESOLUTIONS

This section contains our main theorem. First, we explain how to find a set $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ of *G*-bricks using the round down functions and a recursion process. In Section 4.3, we show that there exists a stability parameter θ such that every *G*-brick in $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ is θ -stable.

4.1. *G*-bricks and stability parameters for $\frac{1}{r}(1, r - 1, 1)$. Let *G* be the group of $\frac{1}{r}(1, r - 1, 1)$ type, i.e. a = 1 or r - 1. In this case, Kędzierski [10] proved that *G*-Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 is isomorphic to the economic resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G .

Theorem 4.1 (Kędzierski [10]). Let $G \subset GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$ with a = 1 or r-1. Then G-Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 is isomorphic to the economic resolution of the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^3/G .

For each $1 \leq i < r$, set $v_i = \frac{1}{r}(i, r - i, i)$. Set $v_0 = e_2$ and $v_r = e_3$. The toric fan corresponding to *G*-Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 consists of the following 2r-1 maximal cones and their faces:

$$\sigma_i = \operatorname{Cone}(e_1, v_{i-1}, v_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r,$$

$$\sigma_{r+i} = \operatorname{Cone}(e_3, v_{i-1}, v_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r-1.$$

Each 3-dimensional cone has a corresponding (Nakamura's) G-graph:

(4.2)
$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_i = \{1, y, y^2, \dots, y^{i-1}, z, z^2, \dots, z^{r-i}\} & \text{for } 1 \le i \le r, \\ &\Gamma_{r+i} = \{1, y, y^2, \dots, y^{i-1}, x, x^2, \dots, x^{r-i}\} & \text{for } 1 \le i \le r-1, \end{aligned}$$

with $S(\Gamma_j) = \sigma_j^{\vee} \cap M$. As the cone σ_j is 3-dimensional, the *G*-prebrick Γ_j is a *G*-brick. Furthermore, $U(\Gamma_j) = D(\Gamma_j) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$.

By Ito-Nakajima [7], all G-bricks in (4.2) are θ -stable for any $\theta \in \Theta_+$ where

(4.3)
$$\Theta_{+} := \left\{ \theta \in \Theta \mid \theta(\rho) > 0 \text{ for } \rho \neq \rho_{0} \right\}.$$

Example 4.4. Let G be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ with eigencoordinates ξ, η, ζ . Set $v_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ and $v_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2,1,2)$. Recall that the economic resolution Y of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ can be obtained by the sequence of the weighted blowups:

$$Y \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} Y_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X,$$

where φ_1 is the weighted blowup with weight (1, 2, 1) and φ_2 is the toric morphism induced by the weighted blowup with weight (2, 1, 2). The fan corresponding to Y consists of the following five 3-dimensional cones and their faces:

$$\sigma_1 = \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v_1), \quad \sigma_2 = \text{Cone}(e_1, v_1, v_2), \quad \sigma_3 = \text{Cone}(e_1, v_2, e_3), \\ \sigma_4 = \text{Cone}(e_3, e_2, v_1), \quad \sigma_5 = \text{Cone}(e_3, v_1, v_2).$$

The following

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_1 = \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{1, \eta, \zeta\}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \{1, \eta, \eta^2\}, \\ &\Gamma_4 = \{1, \xi, \xi^2\}, \quad \Gamma_5 = \{1, \xi, \eta\}. \end{split}$$

are their corresponding G-bricks.

4.2. *G*-bricks for $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$. In this section, we assign a *G*-brick Γ_{σ} with $S(\Gamma_{\sigma}) = \sigma^{\vee} \cap M$ to each maximal cone σ in the fan of the economic resolution *Y*.

Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$ with r coprime to a, X the quotient \mathbb{C}^3/G , and $\varphi: Y \to X$ the economic resolution of X. Then Y can be covered by U_2, U_3 and U_1 , which are the unions of the affine

 \Diamond

toric varieties corresponding to the cones on the left side of, the right side of, and below the lattice point $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$, respectively.

Proposition 4.5. For k = 1, 2, 3, let Γ' be a G_k -brick. Define $\Gamma := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma' \}.$

The set Γ is a G-brick with $S(\Gamma) = S(\Gamma')$.

Proof. Since $\phi_k(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \in \Gamma'$, we have $\mathbf{1} \in \Gamma$. To show that Γ satisfies (ii) in Definition 2.8, we need to show that there exists a unique monomial of weight ρ in Γ for each $\rho \in G^{\vee}$. Fix a positive integer *i* such that the weight of x^i is ρ . Consider the monomial $\phi_k(x^i)$ in M_k and its weight $\chi \in G_k^{\vee}$. Since Γ' is a G_k -brick, there exists a unique element \mathbf{k}_{χ} of weight χ . Since the G_k -invariant monomial $\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\phi_k(x^i)}$ is in the lattice M, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that

$$\phi_k \colon x^i \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\phi_2(x^i)}\right) \mapsto \mathbf{k}_{\chi},$$

i.e. $x^i \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\phi_2(x^i)}\right)$ is in Γ . To show uniqueness, assume that two monomials \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}' of the same weight are mapped into Γ' . As $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ and $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}')$ are of the same weight, we have $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}') \in \Gamma'$. From Lemma 3.7,

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \phi_k\left(\mathbf{m}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{m}'}\right) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}') \cdot \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{m}'}$$

and hence $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}'$. From Lemma 3.10, it follows that Γ is connected as Γ' is connected.

For (iii) in Definition 2.8, assume that $\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ for $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$. We need to show $\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \Gamma'$. From

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{n}'\cdot\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n}'\cdot\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \cdot \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \Gamma',$$

it follows that $\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ is in Γ' because Γ' is a G_k -prebrick. This proves that Γ is a G-prebrick.

It remains to prove that $S(\Gamma) = S(\Gamma')$. Note that $S(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ for $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$. Let \mathbf{n} be a genuine monomial in $\overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ and \mathbf{m}_{ρ} an element in Γ . Let \mathbf{k}_{χ} denote $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \Gamma'$. Define \mathbf{k} to be $\frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$. From the definition of the round down functions, we know that \mathbf{k} is a genuine monomial in ξ, η, ζ . Since $\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\mathrm{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is *G*-invariant, it follows that

$$\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})} = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}))} = \frac{\frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}))} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}))} = \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi})}$$

from Lemma 3.7. This proves $S(\Gamma) \subset S(\Gamma')$.

For the opposite inclusion, by Lemma 2.11, it suffices to show that $\frac{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi})}$ is in $S(\Gamma)$ for all $\mathbf{g} \in \{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\chi} \in \Gamma'$. By Lemma 3.10

there are $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi}$. Lemma 4.6 implies that $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi}) = \phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}))$. Thus

$$\frac{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\chi})} = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}\left(\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})\right)} = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k\left(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})\right)} = \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})},$$

and we proved the proposition.

Lemma 4.6. With the notation as in Proposition 4.5, if $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$, then

 $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma'}\left(\phi_k(\mathbf{m})\right) = \phi_k\left(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m})\right).$

Proof. Since $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ is of the same weight as $\phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m}))$ by Lemma 3.7, the assertion follows from the fact that $\phi_k(\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m})) \in \Gamma'$. \Box

Lemma 4.7. With the notation as in Proposition 4.5, let **m** be the Laurent monomial of weight j in $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma'\}.$

- (i) If k = 2 and $0 \le j < r a$, then $\phi_2(y \cdot \mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma$.
- (ii) If k = 3 and $0 \le j < a$, then $\phi_3(z \cdot \mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma$.
- (iii) If k = 1 and $0 \le j < r 1$, then $\phi_1(x \cdot \mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Lemma 3.9 implies the assertion.

Proposition 4.8. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ with r coprime to a. Let Σ_{\max} be the set of maximal cones in the fan of the economic resolution Y of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$. Then there exists a set $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ of G-bricks such that there is a bijective map $\Sigma_{\max} \to \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ sending σ to Γ_{σ} satisfying $S(\Gamma_{\sigma}) = \sigma^{\vee} \cap M$. In particular, $U(\Gamma_{\sigma})$ is isomorphic to the smooth toric variety $U_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma^{\vee} \cap M]$ corresponding to σ .

Proof. From Section 4.1, the assertion holds when a = 1 or r - 1. We use induction on r and a.

Let σ be a 3-dimensional cone in the fan of the economic resolution Y of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$. For $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$, we have three cases:

- (1) the cone σ is below the vector v.
- (2) the cone σ is on the left side of the vector v.
- (3) the cone σ is on the right side of the vector v.

Case (1) the cone σ is below the vector v. Since there is a unique 3-dimensional cone below $v, \sigma = \text{Cone}(v, e_2, e_3)$. Consider the central round down function ϕ_1 of the weighted blowup with weight (1, a, r-a). For $\mathbf{m} = x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3} \in \overline{M}$, note that

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{1}$$
 if and only if $m_2 = m_3 = 0$ and $0 \le \frac{m_1}{r} < 1$.

The set $\Gamma := \phi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}\}$ is a *G*-prebrick with the property $S(\Gamma) = \sigma^{\vee} \cap M$. Since the corresponding cone $\sigma(\Gamma)$ is equal to σ , the *G*-prebrick Γ is a *G*-brick.

Case (2) the cone σ is on the left side of v. From the fan of the economic resolution, it follows that U_2 is isomorphic to the economic resolution Y_2 of $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$ with eigencoordinates ξ, η, ζ . There exists a unique 3-dimensional cone σ' in the toric fan of Y_2 corresponding to σ . Let G_2 be the group of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$. Note that a is strictly less than r so that we can use induction.

Assume that there exists a G_2 -brick Γ' with $S(\Gamma') = (\sigma')^{\vee} \cap M$. By Proposition 4.5, there is a G-brick Γ with $S(\Gamma) = S(\Gamma') = \sigma^{\vee} \cap M$.

Case (3) the cone σ is on the right side of v. The case where the cone σ is on the right side of v can be proved similarly.

Definition 4.9. A *G*-brick Γ in $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ described above is called a *Danilov G-brick*.

Proposition 4.10. With the notation as is in Proposition 4.5, we have $D(\Gamma') \cong D(\Gamma)$. Moreover we have a commutative diagram



with the vertical morphisms closed embeddings. Therefore for a G-brick $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$, we have $U(\Gamma) = D(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$.

Proof. Let Γ be a *G*-brick and Γ' the corresponding G_k -brick. Let ξ, η, ζ denote the eigencoordinate for the G_k -action. From (2.19), the coordinate rings of the affine schemes $D(\Gamma), D(\Gamma')$ are

$$\mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma)] = \mathbb{C}[x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho} \mid \rho \in G^{\vee}]/I_{\Gamma},$$
$$\mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma')] = \mathbb{C}[\xi_{\chi}, \eta_{\chi}, \zeta_{\chi} \mid \chi \in G_{k}^{\vee}]/I_{\Gamma'}$$

where the ideal I_{Γ} is \langle the quadrics in (2.18), $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} - 1 | \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \Lambda(\Gamma) \rangle$ and the ideal $I_{\Gamma'}$ is \langle the commutative relations, $\mathbf{g}_{\chi} - 1 | \mathbf{g}_{\chi} \in \Lambda(\Gamma') \rangle$.

By Lemma 3.10, we have an algebra epimorphism

$$\mu \colon \mathbb{C}[x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho} \, \big| \, \rho \in G^{\vee}] \to \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma')] \quad \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \mapsto \mathbf{k}_{(\chi)}$$

defined as follows on the 3r generators $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}\}$. Let \mathbf{m}_{ρ} be the unique element of weight ρ in Γ and χ the weight of $\phi_k(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})$. Then $\mathbf{k} := \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is a monomial, so \mathbf{k} induces a linear map $\mathbf{k}_{(\chi)}$ on the vector space $\mathbb{C} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$. Then μ is the morphism sending \mathbf{f}_{ρ} to $\mathbf{k}_{(\chi)}$. Since the generators of I_{Γ} are in ker μ , μ induces an epimorphism $\overline{\mu} : \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma)] \to \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma')]$.

To construct the inverse of $\overline{\mu}$, first we show that if $\mu(\mathbf{f}_{\rho}) = \mu(\mathbf{f}'_{\rho'})$, then $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \equiv \mathbf{f}'_{\rho'} \mod I_{\Gamma}$. If $\mu(\mathbf{f}_{\rho}) = \mu(\mathbf{f}'_{\rho'})$, then $\phi_k(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{f}' \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'})$ and $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho'})$. Since both \mathbf{f}_{ρ} and $\mathbf{f}'_{\rho'}$ are degree of 1, $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$. By (iv) in Lemma 3.9, we may assume that $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}$ for some $\mathbf{n} \in \overline{M}_{>0}$. Since $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho'})$, \mathbf{n} induces a linear map equal to 1 on \mathbf{m}_{ρ} , i.e. $\mathbf{m}_{(\rho)} \equiv 1 \mod I_{\Gamma}$ because $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}$ is a base. From the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} & \stackrel{\cdot \mathbf{n}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'} \\ & & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{f}_{\rho'} \\ \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}) & \stackrel{\cdot \mathbf{n}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}), \end{array}$$

it suffices to show that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ is a base, which implies that \mathbf{n} induces a linear map equal to 1 on $\operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})$. Since

$$egin{aligned} \phi_k(\mathbf{n}\cdot \mathrm{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)) &= \phi_k\Big(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{
ho'}\cdotrac{\mathrm{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)}{\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho}\Big) \ &= \phi_k(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{
ho'})\cdotrac{\mathrm{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)}{\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho} \ &= \phi_k(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)\cdotrac{\mathrm{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)}{\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho} &= \phi_kig(\,\mathrm{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_
ho)ig), \end{aligned}$$

the monomial $\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ is in Γ and is a base.

Now define the algebra morphism $\nu : \mathbb{C}[\xi_{\chi}, \eta_{\chi}, \zeta_{\chi} \mid \chi \in G_k^{\vee}] \to \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma)]$ by $\nu(\mathbf{g}_{\chi}) = \mathbf{f}_{\rho}$ for $\mathbf{g}_{\chi} \in \{\xi_{\chi}, \eta_{\chi}, \zeta_{\chi}\}$ so that $\mu(\mathbf{f}_{\rho}) = \mathbf{g}_{\chi}$. Since the generators of $I_{\Gamma'}$ are in ker ν , ν induces $\overline{\nu} : \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma')] \to \mathbb{C}[D(\Gamma)]$.

To show $\overline{\nu}$ is surjective, we prove that generators \mathbf{f}_{ρ} are in the image of ν . For \mathbf{f}_{ρ} such that $\frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is of degree ≤ 1 , \mathbf{f}_{ρ} is in the image of ν by definition. By Lemma 4.11 below, it follows that $\overline{\nu}$ is surjective.

Since $\overline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ are the inverses of each other, $D(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $D(\Gamma')$. Note that $U(\Gamma) = D(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, 1)$ from Section 4.1. Using induction, we get $D(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$.

Lemma 4.11. In the situation as in Proposition 4.10, define

$$S := \Big\{ \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}\} \Big| \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})} \text{ is of degree} \le 1 \Big\}.$$

If $\frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is of degree ≥ 2 for some $\mathbf{f}_{\rho} \in \{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}\}$, then \mathbf{f}_{ρ} can be written as a multiple of some elements in S modulo I_{Γ} .

Proof. We prove this for the left round down function ϕ_2 . Note that $\frac{\phi_k(y \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is of degree ≤ 1 for all $\rho \in G^{\vee}$. Thus y_{ρ} 's are in S.

Suppose that $\frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})}$ is of degree ≥ 2 with $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} = x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}$. Then the monomial \mathbf{f} is either x or z. In the case where $\mathbf{f} = z$, this means that

$$\frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{r}m_1 + \frac{a}{r}m_2 + \frac{r-a}{r}m_3 \right\rfloor \ge \frac{a}{r}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.10, there is a positive integer l such that $\phi_2(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{y^{l'}}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ for all $0 \leq l' \leq l$ with $\phi_2(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{y^{l+1}}) \neq \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$. Note

that $\frac{\phi_2(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'})}{\phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho'})}$ is of degree 1 where $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\rho}}{y^l}$. Thus $\mathbf{f}_{\rho'} \in S$. From the commutation relations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'} & \stackrel{\cdot y^{l}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho} \\ & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{f}_{\rho} \\ \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) & \stackrel{\cdot y^{l}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho}), \end{array}$$

since y^l induces a linear map on $\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}$ set to be 1, we have

$$\mathbf{f}_{
ho} \equiv \mathbf{f}_{
ho} \cdot y_{(
ho')}^l \equiv y_{(
ho)}^l \cdot \mathbf{f}_{
ho'} \mod I_{\Gamma}.$$

As all y_{ρ} 's are in S, the assertion follows.

Example 4.12. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$ as in Example 2.10. The fan of the economic resolution of the quotient variety is shown in Figure 3.3.



FIGURE 4.1. Recursion process for $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$

We now calculate G-bricks associated to the following cones:

$$\sigma_1 := \operatorname{Cone}\left((1,0,0), \frac{1}{7}(1,3,4), \frac{1}{7}(3,2,5)\right),\\ \sigma_2 := \operatorname{Cone}\left((1,0,0), \frac{1}{7}(6,4,3), \frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)\right).$$

Note that the left side of the fan corresponds to the economic resolution for the quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$, which is G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 , where G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$. Let ξ, η, ζ denote the eigencoordinates. Let σ'_1 be the cone in the fan of G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 which corresponds to σ_1 . Observe that the corresponding G_2 -brick is

$$\Gamma_1' = \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}.$$

Since the left round down function ϕ_2 is

$$\phi_2(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \xi^{m_1}\eta^{\lfloor \frac{1}{7}m_1 + \frac{3}{7}m_2 + \frac{4}{7}m_3 \rfloor} \zeta^{m_3},$$

the G-brick corresponding to σ_1 is

$$\Gamma_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3} \in \overline{M} \, \big| \, \phi_2(x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3}) \in \Gamma_1' \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 1, y, y^2, z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y^2} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, the right side of the fan corresponds to the economic resolution of the quotient variety $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$ which is G_3 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 , where G_3 is of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$ with eigencoordinates α, β, γ . Let σ'_2 be the cone in the fan of G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 which corresponds to σ_2 . Observe that the corresponding G_3 -brick is

$$\Gamma_2' = \left\{ 1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3 \right\}.$$

Since the right round down function ϕ_3 is

$$\phi_3(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \alpha^{m_1}\beta^{m_2}\gamma^{\lfloor \frac{1}{7}m_1 + \frac{3}{7}m_2 + \frac{4}{7}m_3 \rfloor},$$

the G-brick corresponding to σ_2 is

$$\Gamma_{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ x^{m_{1}} y^{m_{2}} z^{m_{3}} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_{2}(x^{m_{1}} y^{m_{2}} z^{m_{3}}) \in \Gamma_{2}' \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 1, z, y, y^{2}, \frac{y^{2}}{z}, \frac{y^{3}}{z}, \frac{y^{3}}{z^{2}} \right\}.$$

From Example 2.13, $\sigma(\Gamma_1) = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma(\Gamma_2) = \sigma_2$.

4.3. Stability parameters for $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$. Let $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the finite subgroup of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ with r coprime to a. We may assume 2a < r. Let G_2 and G_3 be the groups of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$ and of type $\frac{1}{r-a}(1, r, -r)$, respectively.

Given stability conditions $\theta^{(2)}$ for Danilov G_2 -bricks and $\theta^{(3)}$ for Danilov G_3 -bricks, take a GIT parameter $\theta_P \in \Theta$ satisfying the following system of linear equations:

(4.13)
$$\begin{cases} \theta^{(2)}(\chi) = \sum_{\phi_2(\rho) = \chi} \theta_P(\rho) & \text{ for all } \chi \in G_2^{\vee}, \\ \theta^{(3)}(\chi') = \sum_{\phi_3(\rho) = \chi'} \theta_P(\rho) & \text{ for all } \chi' \in G_3^{\vee}. \end{cases}$$

Define the GIT parameter $\psi \in \Theta$ by

(4.14)
$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \le \operatorname{wt}(\rho) < a \\ 1 & \text{if } r - a \le \operatorname{wt}(\rho) < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\sum_{\phi_k(\rho)=\chi} \psi(\rho) = 0$ for all $\chi \in G_k^{\vee 4}$. For a sufficiently large natural number m, set

(4.15)
$$\theta := \theta_P + m\psi.$$

25

 \Diamond

⁴In addition, if any $\theta \in \Theta$ satisfies that $\sum_{\phi_k(\rho)=\chi} \theta(\rho) = 0$ for all $\chi \in G_k^{\vee}$ and k = 2, 3, then θ must be a constant multiple of ψ . This also explains the existence of a solution θ_P for (4.13).

We claim that every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ is θ -stable.

Example 4.16. As in Example 4.12, let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$. For each $0 \leq i \leq 6$, let ρ_i denote the irreducible representation of G whose weight is i. We saw that the left side of the fan is G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 , where G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ and that the right side of the fan is G_3 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 , where G_3 is of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$. Let $\{\chi_0,\chi_1,\chi_2\}$ and $\{\chi'_0,\chi'_1,\chi'_2,\chi'_3\}$ be the characters of G_2 and G_3 , respectively. Take GIT parameters $\theta^{(2)}$, $\theta^{(3)}$ corresponding to G-Hilb such as (see (4.3)):

$$\theta^{(2)} = (-2, 1, 1), \quad \theta^{(3)} = (-3, 1, 1, 1).$$

We have the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases}
-2 &= \theta_P(\rho_0) + \theta_P(\rho_3) + \theta_P(\rho_6), \\
1 &= \theta_P(\rho_1) + \theta_P(\rho_4), \\
1 &= \theta_P(\rho_2) + \theta_P(\rho_5), \\
-3 &= \theta_P(\rho_0) + \theta_P(\rho_4), \\
1 &= \theta_P(\rho_1) + \theta_P(\rho_5), \\
1 &= \theta_P(\rho_2) + \theta_P(\rho_6), \\
1 &= \theta_P(\rho_3).
\end{cases}$$

Take $\theta_P = (-1, 3, 3, 1, -2, -2, -2)$ as a partial solution. For the parameter $\psi = (-1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 1)$, define $\theta = \theta_P + m\psi$ for large m.

Consider the following G-brick

$$\Gamma = \left\{1, y, y^2, z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y^2}\right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the submodule of $C(\Gamma)$ with basis $A = \{z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y}\}$. Note that $\psi(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ and

$$\phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(A)) = \left\{z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y^2}\right\} \supseteq A.$$

Thus $\theta(\mathcal{F})$ is positive for large enough m. More precisely,

$$\theta(\mathcal{F}) = 3 - m + (-2 + m) + (-2 + m) = m - 1$$

is positive if m > 1.

On the other hand, consider the submodule \mathcal{G} of $C(\Gamma)$ with basis $B = \left\{\frac{z}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y}\right\}$. Note that $\psi(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $\phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(B)) = B$. In this case, the set $\phi_2(B)$ gives a submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma')$ with

$$\theta^{(2)}(\mathcal{G}') = \theta(\mathcal{G}).$$

Since $C(\Gamma')$ is $\theta^{(2)}$ -stable, $\theta^{(2)}(\mathcal{G}')$ is positive. Hence $\theta(\mathcal{G})$ is positive.

Lemma 4.17. Let θ be the parameter in (4.15). For the set $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ in Proposition 4.8, if Γ is in $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$, then Γ is θ -stable.

Proof. Let Γ be a *G*-brick in \mathfrak{S} and σ the cone corresponding to Γ . We have the following three cases as in Section 4.2:

(1) the cone σ is below the vector v.

(2) the cone σ is on the left side of the vector v.

(3) the cone σ is on the right side of the vector v.

In Case (1), $\Gamma = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{r-2}, x^{r-1}\}$. By Lemma 2.14, any nonzero proper submodule \mathcal{G} of $C(\Gamma)$ is given by

$$A = \{x^{j}, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^{r-2}, x^{r-1}\}$$

for some $1 \leq j \leq r-1$. Since $\psi(\mathcal{G}) > 0$, Γ is θ -stable for sufficiently large m.

We now consider Case (2). Let Γ' be the G_2 -brick corresponding to Γ . Let \mathcal{G} be a submodule of $C(\Gamma)$ with \mathbb{C} -basis $A \subset \Gamma$. Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 2.14 imply that if $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in A$ for $0 \leq \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) < a$, then $\phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})) \subset A$. Thus $\psi(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ from the definition of ψ .

If $\psi(\mathcal{G}) > 0$, then it follows that $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ for sufficiently large m.

Let us assume that $\psi(\mathcal{G}) = 0$. Note that $A = \phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(A))$; otherwise there exists \mathbf{m}_{ρ} in $\phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(A)) \setminus A$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) < a$. To show that $\theta(\mathcal{G})$ is positive, we prove that $\phi_2(A)$ gives a submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma')$ and that $\theta(\mathcal{G}) = \theta^{(2)}(\mathcal{G}')$. Since θ satisfies the equations (4.13), it suffices to show that $\phi_2(A)$ gives a submodule of $C(\Gamma')$. Let ξ, η, ζ be the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^3 with respect to the action of G_2 . By Lemma 2.14, it is enough to show that if $\mathbf{g} \cdot \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \Gamma'$ for some $\mathbf{g} \in \{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in A$, then $\mathbf{g} \cdot \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \phi_2(A)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{g} \cdot \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho}) \in \Gamma'$ for some $\mathbf{m}_{\rho} \in A$. By Lemma 3.10, there exists $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}$ such that

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) = \mathbf{g}\cdot\phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$$

with $\phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) = \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ for some $\mathbf{f} \in \{x, y, z\}$. In particular, $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}$ is in Γ . Since $A = \phi_2^{-1}(\phi_2(A))$, we have $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'} \in A$, which implies $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'} \in A$ as A is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{G} . Thus $\mathbf{g} \cdot \phi_2(\mathbf{m}_{\rho})$ is in $\phi_2(A)$.

Remark 4.18. At this moment, our stability parameter θ in (4.15) has nothing to do with *Kędzierski's GIT chamber* $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ described in [11]. In Section 5, it is shown that the parameter θ is in $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$.

4.4. Main Theorem.

Theorem 4.19. The economic resolution Y of a 3-fold terminal quotient singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is isomorphic to the birational component Y_{θ} of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} of θ -stable G-constellations for a suitable parameter θ .

Proof. From Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 4.17, Proposition 2.21 implies that there exists an open immersion from Y to Y_{θ} fitting in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} Y & \to & Y_{\theta} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

Since both Y and Y_{θ} are projective over X, the open immersion $Y \rightarrow Y_{\theta}$ is a closed embedding. As both Y and Y_{θ} are 3-dimensional and irreducible, this embedding is an isomorphism.

Conjecture 4.20. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_{θ} is irreducible.

Proposition 4.10 implies that the irreducible component Y_{θ} is actually a connected component. In addition, if every torus invariant θ -stable *G*-constellation lies over the birational component Y_{θ} , then \mathcal{M}_{θ} is irreducible. For a = 2, we can prove Conjecture 4.20 so the economic resolution is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_{θ} for $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ (See [8]). We hope to establish this more generally in future work.

Remark 4.21. By construction, $\mathcal{M}_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Rep} G]^{\operatorname{GL}(\delta)}$ is the moduli space of 0-semistable *G*-constellations up to *S*-equivalence. Since there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Rep} G]^{\operatorname{GL}(\delta)} \to \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^G$, \mathcal{M}_0 is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^3/G . In particular, \mathcal{M}_0 is irreducible.

5. Kędzierski's GIT chamber

Kędzierski [11] described his GIT cone in Θ using a set of inequalities. Using his lemma, we can prove further that the cone is actually a GIT chamber \mathfrak{C} . In this section, we provide a description of \mathfrak{C} using the A_{r-1} root system. Define

$$\mathfrak{S}(r,a)_0 = \{ \Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r,a) \mid x \notin \Gamma \}.$$

Kędzierski's lemma. By the same argument as in Lemma 6.7 of [11], we can prove that it suffices to check the θ -stability for *G*-bricks Γ not containing *x*.

Lemma 5.1 (Kędzierski's lemma [11]). For a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$, the following are equivalent.

- (i) Every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ is θ -stable.
- (ii) Every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)_0$ is θ -stable.

Let A be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{r}(a, r-a)$. Since $A \cong G$ as groups, the GIT parameter space Θ of G-constellations can be canonically identified with that of A-constellations.

Since G-constellations which x acts trivially on are supported on the hyperplane $(x = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, they can be considered as A-constellations. As $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)_0$ is the set of G-bricks corresponding to G-constellations supported on $(x = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, Lemma 5.1 implies that the GIT chamber for $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ is equal to a GIT chamber of A-constellations.

Kędzierski's GIT chamber. We describe a set of simple roots Δ so that Y_{θ} is isomorphic to the economic resolution for $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}(\Delta)$. After considering the case of a = 1, we describe simple roots for the case of $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$ using a recursion process.

Root system A_{r-1} . Identify $I := \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{Q}^r , i.e. $\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Define

$$\Phi := \{ \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid i, j \in I, i \neq j \}.$$

Let \mathfrak{h}^* be the subspace of \mathbb{Q}^r generated by Φ . Elements in Φ are called *roots*.

For each nonzero $i \in I$, set $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-a}$. Let ρ_i denote the irreducible representation of G of weight i. Note that each root α can be considered as the support of a submodule of a G-constellation. In other words, α_i corresponds to the dimension vector of ρ_i . In general we consider a root $\alpha = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i$ as the dimension vector of the representation $\oplus n_i \rho_i$. Abusing notation, let $\alpha = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i$ denote the corresponding representation $\oplus n_i \rho_i$.

Let Δ be a set of simple roots. Define $\mathfrak{C}(\Delta) \subset \Theta$ associated to Δ as

$$\mathfrak{C}(\Delta) := \{ \theta \in \Theta \, \big| \, \theta(\alpha) > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta \}.$$

Note that for the cone Θ_+ for *G*-Hilb in (4.3), the corresponding set of simple roots is

$$\Delta_{+} = \{ \varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{i-a} \in \Phi \mid i \in I, i \neq 0 \} = \{ \alpha_{i} \mid i \in I, i \neq 0 \}.$$

The case of $\frac{1}{r}(1, r - 1, 1)$. From Theorem 4.1, we know that the economic resolution of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is isomorphic to G-Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 if G is of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, r - 1, 1)$. Thus in this case, the G-bricks are just Nakamura's G-graphs, which are θ -stable for $\theta \in \Theta_+$, where

$$\Theta_{+} := \left\{ \theta \in \Theta \mid \theta(\rho) > 0 \text{ for } \rho \neq \rho_{0} \right\}.$$

The corresponding set of simple roots is

$$\Delta = \left\{ \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} \in \Phi \, \middle| \, i \in I, i \neq 0 \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1} - \varepsilon_0 \right\}$$

Example 5.2. For the group of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$, let $\{\varepsilon_j^L \mid j=0,1,2\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^3 . The corresponding set of simple roots is

$$\Delta^L = \left\{ \varepsilon_1^L - \varepsilon_2^L, \varepsilon_2^L - \varepsilon_0^L \right\}.$$

Similarly, for the group of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$ with $\{\varepsilon_k^R \mid k = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^4 ,

$$\Delta^R = \{\varepsilon_1^R - \varepsilon_2^R, \varepsilon_2^R - \varepsilon_3^R, \varepsilon_3^R - \varepsilon_0^R\}$$

 \Diamond

is the corresponding set of simple roots for type $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$.

The case of $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, r-a)$. Let Δ^L and Δ^R denote the sets of simple roots for the types of $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, r)$ and of $\frac{1}{r-a}(1, r, -r)$, respectively. As in Section 5, let

$$\{\varepsilon_l^L \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, a-1\}, \{\varepsilon_k^R \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, r-a-1\}$$

be the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^a and \mathbb{Q}^{r-a} , respectively.

From the two sets Δ^L and Δ^R , we construct a set Δ of simple roots in A_{r-1} as follows. First, as in Section 5, let the standard basis $\{\varepsilon_i \mid i \in I\}$ of \mathbb{Q}^r be identified with the union of the two sets

$$\left\{\varepsilon_{l}^{L} \mid l=0,1,\ldots,a-1\right\}$$
 and $\left\{\varepsilon_{k}^{R} \mid k=0,1,\ldots,r-a-1\right\}$

using the following identification:

(5.3)
$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_l^L = \varepsilon_i & \text{with } i \equiv l \mod a & \text{if } r - a \leq i < r, \\ \varepsilon_k^R = \varepsilon_i & \text{with } i \equiv k \mod (r-a) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < r - a. \end{cases}$$

With the identification above, define Δ to be

(5.4)
$$\Delta = \Delta^L \cup \{\varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{a} \rfloor a} - \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{r-a} \rfloor (r-a)-a}\} \cup \Delta^R.$$

The root $\varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{a} \rfloor a} - \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{r-a} \rfloor (r-a)-a}$ is called the *added root* in Δ . Note that Δ is actually a set of simple roots in A_{r-1} .

Definition 5.5. With Δ as above, the corresponding Weyl chamber

$$\mathfrak{E}(r,a) := \mathfrak{C}(\Delta) = \{ \theta \in \Theta \, \big| \, \theta(\alpha) > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta \}$$

is called *Kędzierski's GIT chamber* for $G = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, r - a)$.

Proposition 5.6. Let $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ be Kędzierski's GIT chamber.

- (i) The parameter ψ in (4.14) is a ray of $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$.
- (ii) Any G-brick in $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)$ is θ -stable for $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}(r, a)$.
- (iii) The cone $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ is a full GIT chamber.

Proof. We may assume a < r - a. First, by construction, ψ is zero on the sets Δ^L and Δ^R with the identification (5.4). To prove (i), it remains to show that $\psi(\alpha)$ is positive where α is the added root in Δ . Since

$$\alpha = \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{a} \rfloor a} - \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{r-a} \rfloor (r-a)-a} = \sum_{\phi_2(\rho_i) = \chi_0} \alpha_i + \alpha_{r-a},$$

where χ_0 is the trivial representation of G_2 , (i) follows.

For θ defined by (4.15), every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(r, a)_0$ is θ -stable. For the group A of type $\frac{1}{r}(a, -a)$, Kronheimer [13] showed that the chamber structure of the GIT parameter space of A-constellations is the same as the Weyl chamber structure of A_{r-1}^5 . Thus for $\mathfrak{S}(r, a)_0$ considered as A-constellations, we have a Weyl chamber of the A_{r-1} root system containing the parameter θ .

By Kędzierski's lemma, to prove (ii), it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ contains the parameter θ . Observe that every parameter in $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$

⁵For an explicit description, see Section 5.1 in [8]

satisfies the system of equations (4.13) for some $\theta^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{C}(a, -r)$ and $\theta^{(3)} \in \mathfrak{C}(r-a, r)$ by construction. Since ψ in (4.14) is a ray of the chamber $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$, it follows that $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}(r, a)$.

It remains to prove (iii). By considering G-constellations supported on the hyperplane $(x = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, it follows that any facet of $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ is an actual GIT wall in Θ . Therefore Kędzierski's GIT chamber $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ is a full GIT chamber in the stability parameter space Θ (see [8,9]). \Box

Proposition 5.7. Assume that a < r - a. Let θ be an element in $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$. Then $\theta(\alpha_i)$ is negative if and only if $0 \leq i < a$. Thus any θ -stable G-constellation is generated by $\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{a-1}$.

Proof. Let Δ be the set of simple roots corresponding to $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$. Recall that any positive sum of simple roots is positive on θ .

Suppose that $0 \leq i < a$. From the identification (5.3), note that ε_i is identified with ε_k^R for some k and that $\varepsilon_{i-a} = \varepsilon_{i+(r-a)}$ is identified with ε_l^L for some l. Note that $\varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{a} \rfloor a}$ is identified with a vector ε^L and that $\varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{r-a} \rfloor (r-a)-a}$ is identified with a vector ε^R . Since we added the root $\varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{a} \rfloor a} - \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{r-a} \rfloor (r-a)-a}$ to Δ , the root $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-a} = \varepsilon_k^R - \varepsilon_l^L$ is a negative sum of simple roots in Δ .

Suppose that $a \leq i < r - a$. The root $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-a}$ is a sum of simple roots in Δ^R . A recursive argument yields that α_i is a positive sum of simple roots in Δ^R . Thus α_i is a positive sum of simple roots in Δ .

Consider the case where $r - a \leq i < r$ and the root $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-a}$. From the identification (5.3), ε_i is identified with ε_k^L for some k and ε_{i-a} is identified with ε_l^R for some l. Thus $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_k^L - \varepsilon_l^R$ is a positive sum of simple roots in Δ with the same reason as the case where $0 \leq i < a$. \Box

Example 5.8. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,3,4)$. From the fan of the economic resolution of this case (see Example 3.14), the left and right sides are the economic resolutions of singularities of $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ and $\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1)$, respectively. By Example 5.2, we have two sets

$$\Delta^L = \{\varepsilon_1^L - \varepsilon_2^L, \varepsilon_2^L - \varepsilon_0^L\} \text{ and } \Delta^R = \{\varepsilon_1^R - \varepsilon_2^R, \varepsilon_2^R - \varepsilon_3^R, \varepsilon_3^R - \varepsilon_0^R\}.$$

As in the construction (5.4), the corresponding set of simple roots is

$$\Delta = \{\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \underline{\varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_1}, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_0\} = \{\alpha_4 + \alpha_1, \alpha_5 + \alpha_2, \underline{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_2}, \alpha_1 + \alpha_5, \alpha_2 + \alpha_6, \alpha_3\},\$$

where the underlined root is the added root as in (5.4). Thus the set of parameters $\theta \in \Theta$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta(\rho_4 \oplus \rho_1) > 0, & \theta(\rho_5 \oplus \rho_2) > 0, & \theta(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_5 \oplus \rho_2) < 0, \\ \theta(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_5) > 0, & \theta(\rho_2 \oplus \rho_6) > 0, & \theta(\rho_3) > 0 \end{array}$$

is Kędzierski's GIT chamber $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ where ρ_i is the irreducible representation of G of weight i.



FIGURE 6.1. Toric fan of the economic resolution for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$

The rays of the chamber $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$ are the row vectors of the matrix

| 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 \ |
|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|-----|
| 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Ι | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 / |

with the dual basis $\{\theta_i\}$ with respect to $\{\rho_i\}$. Observe that for any $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}(r, a), \, \theta(\rho_i)$ is negative if and only if $0 \leq i < 3$.

6. Example: type $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$

In this section, as a concrete example, we calculate Danilov G-bricks and the corresponding set of simple roots Δ for the group G of type $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$.

Let G be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$ with eigencoordinates x, y, z and L the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$. Let X denote the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^3/G and Y the economic resolution of X. The toric fan Σ of Y is shown in Figure 6.1.

To use the recursion process in Section 4, first we need to investigate the cases of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5)$ and of type $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$. Let G_2 be the group of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5)$ with eigencoordinates ξ_2, η_2, ζ_2 and G_3 be the group of type $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$ with eigencoordinates ξ_3, η_3, ζ_3 . Consider the toric fans Σ_2 and Σ_3 of the economic resolutions for the type $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5)$ and the type $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$, respectively.

G-bricks. We now calculate *G*-bricks corresponding to the following two maximal cones in Σ :

$$\sigma_4 = \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{12}(12,0,0), \frac{1}{12}(3,9,3), \frac{1}{12}(8,8,4)\right), \\ \tau_3 = \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5), \frac{1}{12}(3,9,3), \frac{1}{12}(8,8,4)\right).$$

The cones σ_4, τ_3 are on the right side of the lowest vector $v = \frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$. Their corresponding cones σ'_4, τ'_3 in Σ_3 , respectively, are

(6.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_4 &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{5}(5,0,0), \frac{1}{5}(1,2,3), \frac{1}{5}(1,1,4)\right), \\ \tau'_3 &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{5}(0,0,5), \frac{1}{5}(1,2,3), \frac{1}{5}(1,1,4)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the cones σ'_4, τ'_3 are on the left side of Σ_3 . To use the recursion, let G_{32} be the group of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$ with eigencoordinates $\xi_{32}, \eta_{32}, \zeta_{32}$. Let Σ_{32} denote the fan of the economic resolution of the quotient \mathbb{C}^3/G_{32} . In Σ_{32} , there exist two cones σ''_4, τ''_3 corresponding to σ'_4, τ''_3 , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_4'' = \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{2}(2,0,0), \frac{1}{2}(0,2,0), \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)\right), \\ & \tau_3'' = \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{2}(0,0,2), \frac{1}{2}(0,2,0), \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)\right). \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 6.2. Recursion process for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$

As in Section 4.1, the G_{32} -bricks Γ''_4, Γ''_3 corresponding to σ''_4, τ''_3 are $\Gamma''_4 = \{1, \zeta_{23}\},$ $\Gamma''_3 = \{1, \xi_{23}\}.$

Using the left round down function ϕ_{32} for $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$

$$\phi_{32} \colon \xi_3^a \eta_3^b \zeta_3^c \; \mapsto \; \xi_{32}^a \eta_{32}^{\lfloor \frac{a+2b+3c}{5} \rfloor} \zeta_{32}^c$$

we can see that the G_3 -bricks Γ'_4, Γ'_3 corresponding to σ'_4, τ'_3 are

$$\Gamma'_{4} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{32}^{-1} (\Gamma''_{4}) = \{ 1, \eta_{3}, \eta_{3}^{2}, \zeta_{3}, \frac{\zeta_{3}}{\eta_{3}} \},$$

$$\Gamma'_{3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{32}^{-1} (\Gamma''_{2}) = \{ 1, \eta_{3}, \eta_{3}^{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{3}\eta_{3} \}.$$

To get the G-bricks Γ_4 and Γ_3 corresponding to σ_4 and τ_3 , respectively, we use the right round down function ϕ_3 for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$:

$$\phi_3 \colon x^a y^b z^c \ \mapsto \ \xi_3^a \eta_3^b \zeta_3^{\lfloor \frac{a+7b+5c}{12} \rfloor}$$

We get

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_4 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_3^{-1}(\Gamma_4') &= \left\{ 1, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, \frac{z^4}{y}, \frac{z^5}{y}, \frac{z^6}{y} \right\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_3^{-1}(\Gamma_2') &= \left\{ 1, x, xz, xz^2, xy, \frac{xy}{z}, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, z, z^2 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Let us consider the following two cones in Σ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_9 &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{12}(12,0,0), \frac{1}{12}(9,3,9), \frac{1}{12}(4,4,8)\right), \\
\tau_7 &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{12}(2,2,10), \frac{1}{12}(9,3,9), \frac{1}{12}(4,4,8)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the cones σ_9 , τ_7 are on the left side of v. The cones in Σ_2 corresponding to σ_9 , τ_7 are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_9' &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{7}(12,0,0), \frac{1}{7}(5,3,4), \frac{1}{7}(2,4,3)\right), \\ \tau_7' &= \operatorname{Cone}\left(\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5), \frac{1}{7}(5,3,4), \frac{1}{7}(2,4,3)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the cones σ'_9 , τ'_7 are on the right side of the fan Σ_2 and that the right side is equal to the fan Σ_3 of the economic resolution for $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$. Moreover, the cones in Σ_3 corresponding to σ'_9 , τ'_7 are σ'_4 , τ'_3 , respectively, in (6.1). Thus the corresponding G_{23} -bricks Γ''_9 , Γ''_7 are:

$$\Gamma_{9}'' = \{1, \eta_{23}, \eta_{23}^{2}, \zeta_{23}, \frac{\zeta_{23}}{\eta_{23}}\},\$$

$$\Gamma_{7}'' = \{1, \xi_{23}, \xi_{23}\eta_{23}, \eta_{23}, \eta_{23}^{2}\},\$$

where G_{23} denotes the group of type $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$ with eigencoordinates $\xi_{23}, \eta_{23}, \zeta_{23}$. Using the right round down function ϕ_{23} for $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5)$

$$\phi_{23} \colon \xi_2^a \eta_2^b \zeta_2^c \ \mapsto \ \xi_{23}^a \eta_{23}^b \zeta_{23}^{\lfloor \frac{a+2b+5c}{7} \rfloor}$$

we can calculate the G_2 -bricks corresponding to σ'_9, τ'_7 :

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{9}' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{23}^{-1}(\Gamma_{9}'') = \left\{ 1, \, \eta_{2}, \, \eta_{2}^{2}, \, \zeta_{2}, \, \zeta_{2}^{2}, \, \frac{\zeta_{2}^{2}}{\eta_{2}}, \, \frac{\zeta_{2}^{3}}{\eta_{2}} \right\}, \\ \Gamma_{7}' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{23}^{-1}(\Gamma_{7}'') = \left\{ 1, \, \xi_{2}, \, \xi_{2}\eta_{2}, \, \xi_{2}\zeta_{2}, \, \eta_{2}, \, \eta_{2}^{2}, \, \zeta_{2}, \, \zeta_{2}^{2} \right\}. \end{split}$$

35

Lastly, from the left round down function ϕ_2 for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$

$$\phi_2 \colon x^a y^b z^c \ \mapsto \ \xi_2^a \eta_2^{\lfloor \frac{a+7b+5c}{12} \rfloor} \zeta_2^c$$

it follows that the G-bricks Γ_9, Γ_7 corresponding to σ_9, τ_7 are:

$$\Gamma_{9} = \left\{ 1, y, y^{2}, y^{3}, y^{4}, y^{5}, z, z^{2}, \frac{z^{2}}{y}, \frac{z^{2}}{y^{2}}, \frac{z^{3}}{y^{3}}, \frac{z^{3}}{y^{3}} \right\},\$$

$$\Gamma_{7} = \left\{ 1, x, xy, xy^{2}, xy^{3}, xz, y, y^{2}, y^{3}, y^{4}, y^{5}, z \right\}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 12$, let v_i denote the lattice point $\frac{1}{12}(\overline{7i}, i, 12 - i)$ in L. For each 3-dimensional cone σ in Figure 6.1 on page 32, Table 6.1 on page 36 shows the corresponding G-brick Γ_{σ} .

Kędzierski's GIT chamber. We calculate Kędzierski's GIT chamber for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$. Since the economic resolution is *G*-Hilb for the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1,r-1,1)$, the sets of simple roots for $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ and $\frac{1}{3}(1,2,1)$ are $\{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_0\}, \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0\}$, respectively. By the identification (5.3), the set of simple roots for $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$ is

$$\{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ \underline{\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_1}, \ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0\},\$$

where the underlined root is the added root as in (5.4). Similarly, the admissible set of simple roots for $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,5)$ is

$$\{\varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \ \underline{\varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_3}, \ \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_1, \ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0\}$$

Thus the corresponding set of simple roots for $\frac{1}{12}(1,7,5)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \ \varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_{10}, \ \varepsilon_{10} - \varepsilon_{11}, \ \varepsilon_{11} - \varepsilon_8, \ \varepsilon_8 - \varepsilon_9, \ \varepsilon_9 - \varepsilon_7, \\ \underline{\varepsilon_7 - \varepsilon_3}, \ \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_1, \ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0 \end{cases} \end{cases}.$$

With the dual basis $\{\theta_i\}$ with respect to $\{\rho_i\}$, the row vectors of the following matrix are the rays of the admissible Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{C}(r, a)$:

| (-1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
|----------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|--|
| -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| $\setminus -1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / | |

S.-J. JUNG

| Cone | Generators | G-brick Γ_{σ} | Coordinates on U_{σ} |
|---------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| σ_1 | e_1, e_2, v_{11} | $1, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, z^5, z^6, z^7, z^8, z^9, z^{10}, z^{11}$ | $\frac{x}{z^5}, \frac{y}{z^{11}}, z^{12}$ |
| σ_2 | e_1, v_{10}, v_{11} | $1, y, \tfrac{y}{z}, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, z^5, z^6, z^7, z^8, z^9$ | $\frac{x}{z^5}, \frac{y^2}{z^{10}}, \frac{z^{11}}{y}$ |
| σ_3 | e_1, v_9, v_{10} | $1, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, \frac{y^2}{z^3}, \frac{y^2}{z^4}, \frac{y^2}{z^5}, z, z^2, z^3, z^4$ | $\frac{xz^5}{y^2}, \frac{y^3}{z^9}, \frac{z^{10}}{y^2}$ |
| σ_4 | e_1, v_8, v_9 | $1, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, \frac{z^4}{y}, \frac{z^5}{y}, \frac{z^6}{y}$ | $\frac{xy}{z^4}, \frac{y^4}{z^8}, \frac{z^9}{y^3}$ |
| σ_5 | e_1, v_7, v_8 | $1, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, \frac{y^3}{z^2}, \frac{y^3}{z^3}, \frac{y^3}{z^4}, \frac{y^4}{z^4}, \frac{y^4}{z^5}, z, z^2$ | $\frac{xz^4}{y^3}, \frac{y^5}{z^7}, \frac{z^8}{y^4}$ |
| σ_6 | e_1, v_6, v_7 | $1, y, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^3}{y}, \frac{z^3}{y^2}, \frac{z^4}{y^2}, \frac{z^5}{y^2}, \frac{z^5}{y^3}, \frac{z^6}{y^3}, \frac{z^6}{y^4}$ | $\frac{xy^2}{z^3}, \frac{y^6}{z^6}, \frac{z^7}{y^5}$ |
| σ_7 | e_1, v_5, v_6 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^3}{y}, \frac{z^3}{y^2}, \frac{z^4}{y^2}, \frac{z^5}{y^2}, \frac{z^5}{y^3}$ | $\frac{xy^2}{z^3}, \frac{y^7}{z^5}, \frac{z^6}{y^6}$ |
| σ_8 | e_1, v_4, v_5 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, \frac{y^5}{z}, \frac{y^5}{z^2}, \frac{y^6}{z^2}, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}$ | $\frac{xz^2}{y^5}, \frac{y^8}{z^4}, \frac{z^5}{y^7}$ |
| σ_9 | e_1, v_3, v_4 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}, \frac{z^2}{y^2}, \frac{z^2}{y^3}, \frac{z^3}{y^3}$ | $\frac{xy^3}{z^2}, \frac{y^9}{z^3}, \frac{z^4}{y^8}$ |
| σ_{10} | e_1, v_2, v_3 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6, \frac{y^6}{z}, \frac{y^7}{z}, \frac{y^8}{z}, \frac{y^9}{z}, z$ | $\frac{xz}{y^6}, \frac{y^{10}}{z^2}, \frac{z^3}{y^9}$ |
| σ_{11} | e_1, v_1, v_2 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6, z, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{z}{y^2}, \frac{z}{y^3}, \frac{z}{y^4}$ | $\frac{xy^4}{z}, \frac{y^{11}}{z^1}, \frac{z^2}{y^{10}}$ |
| σ_{12} | e_1, e_3, v_1 | $1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6, y^7, y^8, y^9, y^{10}, y^{11}$ | $\frac{x}{y^7}, y^{12}, \frac{z}{y^{11}}$ |
| $	au_1$ | e_2, v_9, v_{11} | $1, x, xz, xz^2, xz^3, xz^4, x^2, x^2z, z, z^2, z^3, z^4$ | $\frac{x^3}{z^3}, \frac{y}{x^2z}, \frac{z^5}{x}$ |
| $	au_2$ | v_9, v_{10}, v_{11} | $1, x, z, xz, z^2, xz^2, z^3, xz^3, z^4, xz^4, y, \frac{y}{z}$ | $\frac{x^2z}{y}, \frac{y^2}{xz^5}, \frac{z^5}{x}$ |
| $	au_3$ | v_7, v_8, v_9 | $1, x, xy, \frac{xy}{z}, xz, xz^2, y, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{z^2}, z, z^2$ | $rac{x^2z}{y}, rac{y^3}{xz^4}, rac{z^4}{xy}$ |
| $	au_4$ | e_2, v_7, v_9 | $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, xz, xz^2, x^2z, x^3z, x^4z, z, z^2$ | $\frac{x^5}{z}, \frac{y}{x^2z}, \frac{z^3}{x^3}$ |
| $	au_5$ | v_4, v_6, v_7 | $1, x, xy, xz, xz^2, \frac{xz^2}{y}, x^2, x^2y, y, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}$ | $rac{x^3y}{z^2}, rac{y^2}{x^2}, rac{z^3}{xy}$ |
| $	au_6$ | v_4, v_5, v_6 | $1, x, xy, xz, xz^2, \frac{xz^2}{y}, y, y^2, y^3, z, z^2, \frac{z^2}{y}$ | $\frac{x^2}{y^2}, \frac{y^5}{xz^2}, \frac{z^3}{xy^2}$ |
| $	au_7$ | v_2, v_3, v_4 | $1, x, xy, xy^2, xy^3, xz, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, z$ | $\frac{x^2}{y^2}, \frac{y^6}{xz}, \frac{z^2}{xy^3}$ |
| $	au_8$ | v_2, v_4, v_7 | $1, x, xy, xz, x^2, x^2y, x^3, x^3y, x^4, x^4y, y, z$ | $\frac{x^5}{z}, \frac{y^2}{x^2}, \frac{z^2}{x^3y}$ |
| $	au_9$ | e_3, v_1, v_2 | $1, x, xy, xy^2, xy^3, xy^4, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6$ | $\frac{x^2}{y^2}, \frac{y^7}{x}, \frac{z}{xy^4}$ |
| $	au_{10}$ | e_3, v_2, v_7 | $1, x, xy, x^2, x^2y, x^3, x^3y, x^{\overline{4}}, x^4y, x^5, x^6, y$ | $\frac{x^7}{y}, \frac{y^2}{x^2}, \frac{z}{x^5}$ |
| $	au_0$ | e_2, e_3, v_7 | $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^{\overline{6}}, x^{\overline{7}}, x^8, x^9, x^{\overline{10}}, x^{\overline{11}}$ | $x^{12}, \frac{y}{x^7}, \frac{z}{x^5}$ |

TABLE 6.1. *G*-bricks for $G = \frac{1}{12}(1, 7, 5)$

37

References

- T. Bridgeland, A. King, M. Reid, The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 3, 535–554.
- [2] A. Craw, A. Ishii, Flops of G-Hilb and equivalences of derived categories by variation of GIT quotient, Duke Math. J. 124 (2004), no. 2, 259–307.
- [3] A. Craw, D. Maclagan, R. R. Thomas, Moduli of McKay quiver representations I: The coherent component, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 95 (2007), no. 1, 179–198.
- [4] A. Craw, D. Maclagan, R. R. Thomas, Moduli of McKay quiver representations II: Gröbner basis techniques, J. Algebra 316 (2007), no. 2, 514–535
- [5] V. Danilov, Birational geometry of three-dimensional toric varieties, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), no. 5, 971–982, 1135; English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 21 (1983), no. 2, 269–280.
- [6] S. Davis, T. Logvinenko, M. Reid, How to calculate A-Hilb \mathbb{C}^n for $\frac{1}{r}(a, b, 1, \dots, 1)$, preprint.
- [7] Y. Ito, H. Nakajima, McKay correspondence and Hilbert schemes in dimension three, Topology 39 (2000), no. 6, 1155–1191.
- [8] S.-J. Jung, McKay Quivers and Terminal Quotient Singularities in Dimension 3, PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 2014.
- [9] S.-J. Jung, Note on GIT chambers for G-constellations, in preparation.
- [10] O. Kędzierski, Cohomology of the G-Hilbert scheme for $\frac{1}{r}(1, 1, r-1)$, Serdica Math. J. **30** (2004), no. 2–3, 293–302.
- [11] O. Kędzierski, Danilov's resolution and representations of the McKay quiver, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 66 (2014), no. 3, 355–375.
- [12] A. King, Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.(2) 45 (1994), no. 180, 515–530.
- P. Kronheimer, The construction of ALE spaces as a hyper-Kähler quotients, J. Differential Geom. 29 (1989), no. 3, 665–683.
- [14] D. Morrison, G. Stevens, Terminal quotient singularities in dimension 3 and 4, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 90 (1984), 15–20.
- [15] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, Third edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2), 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [16] I. Nakamura, Hilbert schemes of abelian group orbits, J. Algebraic. Geom. 10 (2001), no.4, 757–779.
- [17] M. Reid, Young person's guide to canonical singularities, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 345–414, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul, 130-722, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: seungjo@kias.re.kr