

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Nuclear Physics B **Proceedings** Supplement

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement 00 (2019) 1[–8](#page-6-0)

# Updated Results of a Solid-State Sensor Irradiation Study for ILC Extreme Forward Calorimetry

# Talk presented at the International Workshop on Future Linear Colliders (LCWS14), Belgrade, Serbia, 06-10 October 2014.

George Courcoubetis<sup>a</sup>, Wyatt Crockett<sup>a</sup>, Vitaliy Fadeyev<sup>a</sup>, Thomas Kelley<sup>a</sup>, Forest Martinez-McKinney<sup>a</sup>, Bruce A. Schumm<sup>a,∗</sup>, Edwin Spencer<sup>a</sup>, Vivian Tang<sup>a</sup>, Max Wilder<sup>a</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics and the University Of California, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz California 95064 USA*

# Abstract

Detectors proposed for the International Linear Collider (ILC) incorporate a tungsten sampling calorimeter ('Beam-Cal') intended to reconstruct showers of electrons, positrons and photons that emerge from the interaction point of the collider with angles between 5 and 50 milliradians. For the innermost radius of this calorimeter, radiation doses at shower-max are expected to reach 100 MRad per year, primarily due to minimum-ionizing electrons and positrons that arise in the induced electromagnetic showers of  $e+e-$  'beamstrahlung' pairs produced in the ILC beam-beam interaction. However, radiation damage to calorimeter sensors may be dominated by hadrons induced by nuclear interactions of shower photons, which are much more likely to contribute to the non-ionizing energy loss that has been observed to damage sensors exposed to hadronic radiation. We report here on the results of SLAC Experiment T-506, for which several different types of silicon diode and gallium-arsenide sensors were exposed to doses of radiation induced by showering electrons of energy 3.5-10.6 GeV. By embedding the sensor under irradiation within a tungsten radiator, the exposure incorporated hadronic species that would potentially contribute to the degradation of a sensor mounted in a precision sampling calorimeter. Depending on sensor technology, efficient charge collection was observed for doses as large as 220 MRad.

*Keywords:*

Semiconductor sensors, Radiation damage, ILC Beamline Calorimeter

### 1. Introduction

Far-forward calorimetry, covering the region between 5 and 50 milliradians from the on-energy beam axis, is envisioned as a component of both the ILD [\[1\]](#page-6-1) and SiD [\[2\]](#page-6-2) detector concepts for the proposed International Linear Collider (ILC). The BeamCal tungsten sampling calorimeter proposed to cover this angular region is expected to absorb approximately 10 TeV of electromagnetic radiation per beam crossing from e+e- beamstrahlung pairs, leading to expected annual radiation doses of 100 MRad for the most heavily-irradiated portions of the instrument. While the deposited energy is expected to arise primarily from minimum-ionizing electrons and positrons in the induced electromagnetic showers, radiation damage to calorimeter sensors may be dominated by hadrons induced by nuclear interactions of shower photons, which are much more likely to contribute to the non-ionizing energy loss that has been

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author.

*Email address:* baschumm@ucsc.edu (Bruce A. Schumm )

observed to damage sensors exposed to hadronic radiation. We report here on the results of SLAC Experiment T-506, for which several different types of silicon diode and gallium-arsenide (GaAs) sensors were exposed to doses of up to 220 MRad at the approximate maxima of electromagnetic showers induced in a tungsten radiator by electrons of energy 3.5-10.6 GeV, similar to that of electrons and positrons from ILC beamstrahlung pairs.

Bulk damage leading to the suppression of the electron/hole charge-collection efficiency is generally thought to be proportional to the non-ionizing energy loss ('NIEL') component of the energy deposited by the incident radiation. Early studies of electromagneticallyinduced damage to solar cells [\[3,](#page-6-3) [4,](#page-6-4) [5\]](#page-6-5) suggested that p-type bulk sensors were more tolerant to damage from electromagnetic sources, due to an apparent departure from NIEL scaling, particularly for electromagnetic particles of lower incident energy.

Several more-recent studies have explored radiation tolerance of silicon diode to incident fluxes of electrons. A study assessing the capacitance vs. bias voltage (CV) characteristics of sensors exposed to as much as 1 GRad of incident 2 MeV electrons [\[6\]](#page-6-6) suggested approximately 35 times less damage to n-type magnetic Czochralski sensors than that expected from NIEL scaling. A study of various n-type sensor types exposed to 900 MeV electrons showed charge-collection loss of as little as 3% for exposures up to 50 MRad exposure [\[7\]](#page-6-7); for exposures of 150 MRad, a suppression of damage relative to NIEL expectations of up to a factor of four was observed [\[8\]](#page-6-8). These discrepancies have been attributed to the different types of defects created by lattice interactions: electrons tend to create point-like defects that are more benign than the clusters formed due to hadronic interactions.

Finally, in studies of sensors exposed to large doses of hadron-induced radiation, p-type bulk silicon was found to be more radiation-tolerant than n-type bulk silicon, an observation that has been attributed to the absence of type inversion and the collection of an electron-based signal [\[9,](#page-6-9) [10\]](#page-6-10). However, n-type bulk devices have certain advantages, such as a natural inter-electrode isolation with commonly used passivation materials such as silicon oxide and silicon nitride.

More recently, GaAs sensors have been proposed as a possible radiation-tolerant alternative to silicon sensors, the latter of which can develop large dark current after significant irradiation. GaAs sensors, on the other hand, have been observed [\[11\]](#page-6-11) to suffer significant loss of charge-collection efficiency for moderate doses of 10-MeV-scale electrons.

Here, we report on an exploration of the radiation

tolerance of silicon and GaAs sensors, assessed via direct measurements of the median collected charge deposited by minimum-ionizing particles. Four different silicon-diode bulk compositions were explored: p-type and n-type doping of both magnetic Czochralski and float-zone crystals. The p-type float-zone sensors were produced by Hamamatsu Photonics while the remaining types were produced by Micron Corporation. Sensor strip pitch varied between 50 and 100  $\mu$ m, while the bulk thickness varied between 307  $\mu$ m (for the p-type magnetic Czochralski sensors) and 320  $\mu$ m (for the ptype float zone sensors). The use of these sensors is being explored as an alternative to several more novel sensor technologies that are currently under development [\[1\]](#page-6-1), including GaAs and CVD diamond. Also explored was the radiation tolerance of 300  $\mu$ -thick GaAs sensors produced by means of the Liquid Encapsulated Czochralski method doped by a shallow donor (Sn or Te; Sn was used for the sensor in this study) [\[11\]](#page-6-11). Relative to a prior report [\[12\]](#page-6-12) on the radiation tolerance of silicon diode sensors, this report includes new results on a high-dose run and annealing study of an n-type bulk float-zone silicon diode sensor (see below for a definition of sensor types), as well as new results based on a recent run of experiment T-506 in which a GaAs sensors was exposed to a dose of 6 MRad.

While the radiation dose was initiated by electromagnetic processes (electrons showering in tungsten), the placement of the sensors near shower max ensures that the shower incorporates an appropriate component of hadronic irradiation arising from neutron spallation, photoproduction, and the excitation of the  $\Delta$  resonance. Particularly for the case that NIEL scaling suppresses electromagnetically-induced radiation damage, the small hadronic component of the electromagnetic shower might dominate the rate of damage to the sensor. However, the size and effect of this component is difficult to estimate reliably, and so we choose to study radiation damage in a configuration that naturally incorporates all components present in an electromagnetic shower.

#### 2. Experimental Setup

Un-irradiated sensors were subjected to current vs. bias voltage (IV) and CV tests, the results of which allowed a subset of them to be selected for irradiation based on their breakdown voltage (typically above 1000 V for selected sensors) and low level of leakage current. The sensors were placed on carrier printed-circuit 'daughter boards' and wire-bonded to a readout connector. The material of the daughter boards was milled away in the region to be irradiated in order to facilitate the charge collection measurement (described below) and minimize radio-activation. The median collected charge was measured with the Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics (SCIPP) charge-collection (CC) apparatus (also described below) before irradiation. The sensors remained mounted to their individual daughter boards throughout irradiation and the followup tests, simplifying their handling and reducing uncontrolled annealing. Additionally, this allowed a reversebias voltage to be maintained across the sensor during irradiation. The voltage was kept small (at the level of a few volts) to avoid possible damage of the devices from a large instantaneous charge during the spill.

Sensors were irradiated with beam provided by the End Station Test Beam (ESTB) facility at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. Parameters of the beam provided by the ESTB facility are shown in Table [1.](#page-2-0) The beam was incident upon a series of tungsten radiators. An initial 7mm-thick tungsten plate served to initiate the electromagnetic shower. The small number of radiation lengths of this initial radiator (2.0) permitted the development of a small amount of divergence of the shower relative to the straight-ahead beam direction without significant development of the largely isotropic hadronic component of the shower.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1: Parameters of the beam delivered by the ESTB facility during the T-506 experiment.

Parameter	Value
Energy	$3.5 - 10.6$ GeV
<b>Repetition Rate</b>	$5-10$ Hz
Charge per Pulse	$150 \text{ pC}$
Spot Size (radius)	$\sim$ 1 mm

This plate was followed by an open length of approximately half a meter, which allowed a degree of spreading of the shower before it impinged upon a second, significantly thicker radiator (4.0 radiation lengths) which was followed immediately by the sensor undergoing irradiation. This was closely followed, in turn, by an 8.0 radiation-length radiator. Immediately surrounding the sensor by tungsten radiators that both initiated and absorbed the great majority of the electromagnetic shower ensured that the sensor would be illuminated by a flux of hadrons commensurate with that experienced by a calorimeter sensor close to the maximum of a tungsteninduced shower.

Although initiating the shower significantly upstream of the sensor promoted a more even illumination of the

sensor than would otherwise have been achieved, the half-width of the resulting electron-positron fluence distribution at the sensor plane was less than 0.5 cm. On the other hand, the aperture of the CC apparatus (to be described below) was of order 0.7 cm.. Thus, in order to ensure that the radiation dose was well understood over the region of exposure to the CC apparatus source, it was necessary to achieve a uniform illumination over a region of approximately 1cm<sup>2</sup>. This was done by 'rastering' the detector across the beam spot through a range of 1cm in the directions both along and transverse to the direction of the sensor's strips. According to Monte Carlo simulation studies, this is expected to generate a region of approximately  $1 \text{cm}^2$  over which the illumination is uniform to within  $\pm 5\%$ .

### 3. Dose Rates

During the 120 Hz operation of the SLAC Linear Collider Light Source (LCLS), 5-10 Hz of beam was deflected by a pulsed kicker magnet into the End Station transfer line. The LCLS beam was very stable with respect to both current and energy. Electronic pickups and ion chambers measured the beam current and beam loss through the transfer line aperture, ensuring that good transfer efficiency could be established and maintained. The transfer efficiency was estimated to be  $(95 \pm 5)\%$ , although for the highest energy beams delivered in the final days of T-506, the transfer line experienced small but persistent beam loss; for this period, the transfer efficiency was measured to be  $(90 \pm 10)\%$ . These transfer factors and their uncertainties were taken into account in the estimation of dose rates through the exposed sensors.

To calculate the dose rate through the sensor, it is necessary to determine the 'shower conversion factor'  $\alpha$  that provides the mean fluence of minimum-ionizing particles (predominantly electrons and positrons), in particles per cm<sup>2</sup>, per incoming beam electron. This factor is dependent upon the radiator configuration and incident beam energy, as well as the rastering pattern used to provide an even fluence across the sensor (as stated above, the detector was translated continuously across the beam centerline in a  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  square pattern).

To estimate  $\alpha$ , the Electron-Gamma-Shower (EGS) Monte Carlo program [\[13\]](#page-6-13) was used to simulate showers through the radiator configuration and into the sensor. The radiator configuration was input to the EGS program, and a mean fluence profile (particles per  $\text{cm}^2$ ) through the sensor as a function of transverse distance from the nominal beam trajectory) was accumulated by simulating the showers of 1000 incident electrons of a given energy. To simulate the rastering process, the center of the simulated profile was then moved across the face of the sensor in 0.5mm steps, and an estimated mean fluence per incident electron as a function of position on the sensor (again, relative to the nominal beam trajectory) was calculated. This resulted in a mean fluence per incident electron that was uniform to within a few percent 1mm or more inside of the edge of the rastering region. The value of  $\alpha$  used for subsequent irradiation dose estimates was taken to be the value found at the intersection of the nominal beam trajectory with the sensor plane. The simulation was repeated for various values of the incident electron energy, producing the values of  $\alpha$  shown in Table [2.](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 2: Shower conversion factor  $\alpha$ , giving the mean fluence at the sensor per incident electron, as a function of electron energy. These values include the effect of rastering over a  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  area surrounding the nominal beam trajectory. Also shown is the number of Rads per nC of delivered charge, at the given energy, corresponding to the given value of  $\alpha$ .

Electron	Shower	Dose per nC
Energy	Conversion	Delivered
(GeV)	Factor $\alpha$	Charge (kRad)
$\mathcal{L}$	2.1	0.34
4	9.4	1.50
6	16.5	2.64
8	23.5	3.76
10	30.2	4.83
12	36.8	5.89

To convert this number to Rads per nC of delivered charge, a mean energy loss in silicon of 3.7 MeV/cm was assumed, leading to a fluence-to-Rad conversion factor of 160 Rad per  $nC/cm<sup>2</sup>$ . It should be noted that, while this dose rate considers only the contribution from electrons and positrons, these two sources dominate the overall energy absorbed by the sensor. In addition, the BeamCal dose-rate spec of 100 MRad per year considered only the contribution from electrons and positrons.

To confirm the adequacy of the dose-calibration simulation, an in-situ measurement of the dose was made using a radiation-sensing field-effect transistor ('RAD-FET') [\[14\]](#page-7-0) positioned on a daughter board at the expected position of the nominal beam trajectory at the center of the rastering pattern. Beam was delivered in 150 pC pulses of 4.02 GeV electrons; a total of 1160 pulses were directed into the target over a period of four minutes, during which the sensor was rastered quickly through its  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  pattern. The RADFET was then read out, indicating a total accumulated dose of 230 kRad,

with an uncertainty of roughly 10%. Making use of the dose rate calibration of Table [2,](#page-3-0) interpolating to the exact incident energy of 4.02 GeV, and taking into account the  $(95 \pm 5)\%$  transfer efficiency of the ESTB beamline, leads to an expected dose of 250 kRad, within the ∼10% uncertainty of the RADFET measurement.

#### 4. Sensor Irradiation Levels

Four types of silicon diode sensors were studied: p-type and n-type doped versions of both magnetic Czochralski and float-zone crystals. In what follows, we will use the notation 'N' ('P') for n-type (p-type) bulk sensors, and 'F' ('C') for float-zone (magnetic Czochralski) crystal technology. In addition, a GaAs sensor was irradiated. Once a sensor was irradiated with the ESTB, it was placed in a sub-freezing environment and not irradiated again. Up to four sensors of each type were irradiated and chilled until they could be brought back to the University of California, Santa Cruz campus for the post-irradiation CC measurement. In addition, the sub-freezing environment was maintained both during and after the CC measurement, so that controlled annealing studies can eventually be done.

Table [3](#page-8-0) displays the dose parameters of the irradiated sensors. The  $(95 \pm 5)\%$  transfer line efficiency has been taken into account in these estimates. The numeral following the two letters in the sensor identifier refer to an arbitrary ordering of sensors assigned during the sensor selection. Sensors were held at between 0 and 5 C during irradiation. With the exception of sensor NC02, which was accidentally annealed for 5 hours at temperatures as high as 130 C, all sensors were transferred to a cold (below -10 C) environment immediately after irradiation. All four silicon diode sensor types were exposed to dose rates of approximately 5 and 20 MRad, while an NF sensor received over 90 MRad and an NC sensor 220 MRad. The GaAs sensor received a dose of approximately 6 MRad. CC results for the irradiated sensors will be presented below.

## 5. Charge Collection Measurement

The SCIPP CC apparatus incorporates a  $90$ Sr source that has a secondary  $β$ -decay with an end-point energy of 2.28 MeV. These  $\beta$  particles illuminate the sensor under study, 64 channels of which are read out by the PMFE ASIC [\[15\]](#page-7-1), with a shaping time of 300 nsec. Whenever one of the 64 channels exceeds a pre-set, adjustable threshold, the time and duration of the excursion over threshold is recorded. In addition, the ∼250 Hz of  $\beta$  particles that pass through the sensor, and subsequently enter a small (2mm horizontal by 7mm vertical) slit, trigger a scintillator, and the time of excitation of the scintillator is also recorded. If the slit is properly aligned with the read-out channels of the sensor, and the sensor is efficient at the set read-out threshold, a temporal coincidence between the scintillator pulse and one of the read-out channels will be found in the data stream.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1: Sample profile of coincidences between the read-out sensor channels and the trigger scintillator. The integral of this distribution provides a count of the number of  $\beta$  particles triggering the scintillator that also exceed the chosen PMFE threshold in one of the read-out channels.

Figure [1](#page-4-0) shows a sample coincidence profile (histogram of the number of coincidences vs. channel number) for a strip sensor for a 150-second run at a given threshold and reverse bias level for one of the irradiated sensors (specifically, for the NC01 sensor after 5.1 MRad of irradiation, applying a 300V reverse bias and a 130 mV threshold). The integral of the distribution yields an estimate of the total number of coincidences found during the run, which, when divided by the number of scintillator firings (after a small correction for cosmic background events) yields the median CC level at that threshold and bias level. For the GaAs sensor, which consisted of a single pad, only a single channel was read out, with the ratio of coincidences in that read-out channel to the corrected number of scintillator firings giving the median CC level. This measurement can then be performed as a function of threshold level, yielding the curve shown in Figure [2.](#page-4-1) For this plot, the abscissa has been converted from voltage (the applied threshold level) to fC (the PMFE input charge that will fire the threshold with exactly 50% efficiency) via a prior calibration step involving measurement of the PMFE response to known values of injected charge. The point at which the curve in Figure [2](#page-4-1) crosses the

50% level yields the median CC for the given bias level. In a prior study of sensors irradiated with hadrons, the SCIPP apparatus gave median charge results consistent with that of other charge collection systems used to assess radiation damage in that study [\[16\]](#page-7-2).



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 2: Plot of efficiency vs. PMFE threshold setting for one of the irradiated sensors. The abscissa has been converted from applied threshold voltage to the amount of input PMFE charge that will exceed the given threshold exactly 50% of the time. The point at which the curve crosses the 50% level yields the median CC for the given bias level.

#### 6. Charge Collection Results

The daughter boards containing the irradiated sensors were designed with connectors that allowed them to be attached to the CC apparatus readout board without handling the sensors. The median CC was measured as a function of reverse bias voltage for each sensor both before and after irradiation.



<span id="page-4-2"></span>Figure 3: High-dose CC results for the NC03 (90 MRad dose) and NC02 (220 MRad dose) sensors.

The best performance was observed for the NC (ntype bulk magnetic Czochralski) sensor type. For the exposures of 5.1 (NC01) and 18.0 MRad (NC10), no difference in charge collection performance was observed relative to the pre-irradiation studies of the NC01 and NC10 sensors. In Figure [3](#page-4-2) the median CC both before and after irradiation is plotted for the NC03 (90 MRad dose) and NC02 (220 MRad dose) sensors; it should be borne in mind, though, that the NC02 sensor experienced significant annealing before the postirradiation measurement was done. It is seen that, while the depletion voltage increases significantly with dose, median CC within 20% of un-irradiated values is maintained for doses above 200 MRad, although it may require annealing to maintain efficiency at that level.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 4: High-dose CC results for the NF07 (90 MRad dose) sensor, as a function of annealing temperature. The sensor was annealed the reported temperature for one hour.

The NF-type sensor was also exposed to high doses of radiation, including an exposure of 90 MRad for the NF07 sensor. After irradiation and evaluation of the charge-collection efficiency, the sensor was annealed for one-hour periods over a series of progressively higher temperatures. The charge-collection results for the NF07 irradiation and annealing study are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0) After a brief (one-hour) period of room-temperature annealing, full charge collection is observed for NF07 even after irradiation to nearly 100 MRad, although the depletion voltage rose significantly with irradiation. The depletion voltage was observed to decrease significantly for annealing temperatures below 80 C (beneficial annealing), but then rose again for annealing temperatures in excess of 100 C (reverse annealing).

Figures [5](#page-5-1) and [6](#page-5-2) show the results for the remaining two sensor types (PF, PC) for irradiation levels up to approximately 20 MRad. Charge collection remains high





<span id="page-5-1"></span>Figure 5: CC results for the PF05 (5.1 MRad dose) and PF14 (19.7 MRad dose) sensors.

for the PC and NF sensors at this dose level, with the PF sensors showing 10-20% charge collection loss at 19.7 MRad. While this represents a dose of only about 20% of the expected annual dose for the most heavilyirradiated sensors in the BeamCal instrument, it is possible that a period of controlled annealing may restore some or all of the CC loss for this type of sensor.



<span id="page-5-2"></span>Figure 6: CC results for the PC08 (20.3 MRad dose) sensor.

Finally, Fig. [7](#page-6-14) shows the CC as a function of bias voltage for the GaAs sensor before and after a 5.7 MRad irradiation. Since the GaAs sensor is not a diode, the CC does not reach a maximum as the applied voltage is raised. However, the CC is observed to drop by approximately 20% over the full range of applied voltage. This observation is roughly consistent with that of [\[11\]](#page-6-11), which made use of an exposure of a pure beam of order-10-MeV electrons. Annealing studies are underway for this sensor.



<span id="page-6-14"></span>Figure 7: GaAs sensor charge collection before and after irradiation with a 5.7 MRad dose.

Table [4](#page-8-1) provides a table of maximum median collected charge, both before and after irradiation, and median charge loss due to irradiation.

# 7. Summary and Conclusions

We have explored the radiation tolerance of four different types of silicon diode (n-type and p-type float Zone and Magnetic Czochralski bulk) and GaAs sensors, exposing them to doses as high as 220 MRad at the approximate maxima of tungsten-induced electromagnetic showers. We have found all types of silicon diode sensors to be radiation tolerant to 20 MRad, with the ntype Czochralski sensors exhibiting less than a 20% reduction in median collected charge for a dose in excess of 200 MRad. This suggests the possibility of charge collection sufficient for the operation of a calorimeter exposed to hundreds of MRad, approaching the specification required for the most heavily irradiated sensors in the ILC BeamCal instrument. For the n-type floatzone sensors, no loss of CC efficiency was observed to a level of roughly 100 MRad, although the depletion voltage increased significantly with radiation. However, the depletion voltage was observed to decrease significantly after one-hour annealing runs at temperatures up to 80 C. We plan to follow through with further, higher-dose radiation and annealing studies of both the silicon diode and GaAs sensors.

# 8. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Leszek Zawiejski, INP, Krakow for supplying us with the tungsten plates needed to form our radiator, and Georgy Shelkov, JINR, Dubna for supplying us with GaAs sensors for irradiation studies. We would also like to express our gratitude to the SLAC Laboratory, and particularly the End Station Test Beam delivery and support personnel, who made the run possible and successful. Finally, we would like to thank our SCIPP colleague Hartmut Sadrozinski for the numerous helpful discussions and guidance he provided us.

### 9. Role of the Funding Source

The work described in this article was supported by the United States Department of Energy, DOE contract DE-AC02-7600515 (SLAC) and grant DE-FG02-04ER41286 (UCSC/SCIPP). The funding agency played no role in the design, execution, interpretation, or documentation of the work described herein.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[1] ILD Concept Group, *International Large Detector DBD*, http://[www.linearcollider.org](http://www.linearcollider.org/ILC/physics-detectors/Detectors/)/ILC/physics-detectors/Detectors/ Detailed-Baseline-Design, Chapter 4 (2012).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[2] SID Collaboration, *SiD Detailed Baseline Design*, http://[www.linearcollider.org](http://www.linearcollider.org/ILC/physics-detectors/Detectors/)/ILC/physics-detectors/Detectors/ Detailed-Baseline-Design, Chapter 5 (2013).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[3] J.R. Carter and R.G. Downing, 'Charged Particle Radiation Damage in Semiconductors: Effect of Low Energy Protons and High Energy Electrons on Silicon', Interim Technical Final Report, TRW Apce Technology Laboratories, May 1965.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[4] T. Noguchi and M. Uesugi, 'Electron Energy Dependence of Relative Damage Coefficients of Silicon Solar Cells for Space Use', Technical Design of the International PVSEC-5, Kyoto, Japan (1990).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[5] Geoffrey P. Summers *et al.*, 'Damage Correlations in Semiconductors Exposed to Gamma, Electron, and Proton Radiations', IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 40, 1372 (1993).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[6] J.M. Rafi *et al.*, 'Degradation of High-Resistivity Float Zone and Magnetic Czochralski n-type Silicon Detectors Subjected to 2- MeV Electron Irradiation', NIM A 604, 258 (2009).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[7] S. Dittongo *et al.*, 'Radiation Hardness of Different Silicon Materials after High-Energy Electron Irradiation', NIM A 530, 110 (2004).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[8] S. Dittongo *et al.*, 'Studies of Bulk Damage Induced in Different Silicon Materials by 900 Mev Electron Irradiation', NIM A 546, 300 (2005).
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[9] G. Casse et al., 'First Results on Charge Collection Efficiency of Heavily Irradiated Microstrip Sensors Fabricated on Oxygenated p-type Silicon', NIM A 518, 340 (2004).
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[10] G. Casse, 'Radiation Hardness of p-type Silicon Detectors', NIM A 612, 464 (2010).
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[11] K. Afanaciev *et al.*, 'Investigation of the radiation hardness of GaAs sensors in an electron beam', JINST 7, P11022 (2012).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[12] R. Band *et al.*, 'Initial results of a silicon sensor irradiation study for ILC extreme forward calorimetry', Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A765, 41-16, (2014).
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[13] The Electron Gamma Shower (EGS) Monte Carlo Program, http://[rcwww.kek.jp](http://rcwww.kek.jp/research/egs/)/research/egs/.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[14] The specific device used was the REM Oxford Ltd. corporation's REM TOT601B device, http://[www.radfet.com](http://www.radfet.com/index.html)/index.html.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[15] Hartmut F.-W. Sadrozinski *et al.*, 'The Particle Tracking Silicon Microscope PTSM', IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 51, 2032 (2004).
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[16] K. Hara *et al.*, 'Testing of bulk radiation damage of n-in-p silicon sensors for very high radiation environments', NIM A 636, S83 (2011).

Sensor	$V_{FD}$	Irradiation	<b>Beam Energy</b>	Delivered	Dose
		Temp. $(C)$	(GeV)	Charge $(\mu C)$	(MRad)
<b>PF05</b>	190	0	5.88	2.00	5.13
<b>PF14</b>	190	0	3.48	16.4	19.7
<b>PC10</b>	660	$\theta$	5.88	1.99	5.12
PC <sub>08</sub>	700	0	(5.88, 4.11, 4.18)	(3.82, 3.33, 3.29)	20.3
NF <sub>01</sub>	90	$\Omega$	4.18	2.30	3.68
<b>NF02</b>	90	0	4.02	12.6	19.0
NF <sub>07</sub>	100	5	8.20	23.6	91.4
NC <sub>01</sub>	220	$\theta$	5.88	2.00	5.13
NC10	220	0	3.48	15.1	18.0
NC <sub>03</sub>	220	5	4.01	59.9	90.2
NC <sub>02</sub>	220	$5^*$	(10.60, 8.20)	(32.3, 13.8)	220
GaAs		5	3.87	6.03	5.7

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 3: Dose parameters of the irradiated sensors. The  $(95 \pm 5)\%$  transfer line efficiency has been taken into account in these estimates. While the NC02 sensor was irradiated at a temperature of 5 C, it was accidentally annealed for approximately 5 hours at temperatures as high as 130 C. Also included is the minimum voltage for full depletion (*VFD*) for the sensors before irradiation.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Table 4: Maximum median charge collection before and after irradiation. While the NC02 sensor was irradiated at a temperature of 5 C, it was accidentally annealed for approximately 5 hours at temperatures as high as 130 C. Room-temperature annealing was required to recover the full CC for the NF07 sensor.

Sensor	Dose	Median CC Before	Median CC After	Fractional
	(MRad)	Irradiation (fC)	Irradiation (fC)	Loss $(\% )$
PF <sub>05</sub>	5.1	3.70	3.43	
<b>PF14</b>	20	3.68	3.01	18
PC <sub>08</sub>	20	3.51	3.09	12
NF <sub>01</sub>	3.7	3.76	3.81	0
NF <sub>02</sub>	19	3.75	3.60	4
NF07	91	3.75	$4.00**$	0
NC <sub>01</sub>	5.1	3.71	3.80	0
<b>NC10</b>	18	3.76	3.74	
NC <sub>03</sub>	90	3.68	3.55	4
NC <sub>02</sub>	220	3.69	3.06	$17*$
GaAs (@400 V)	5.7	5.93	4.76	20