

Antiferromagnetic fluctuations in the quasi-two-dimensional organic superconductor detected by Raman spectroscopy.

Natalia Drichko,^{1,*} Rudi Hackl,² and John A. Schlueter³

¹*Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, USA*

²*Walther Meissner Institut, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, 85748 Garching, Germany*

³*Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA; NSF, Arlington, Virginia 22230, USA*

(ΩDated: November 6, 2018)

Using Raman scattering, the quasi-two dimensional organic superconductor κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂-Cu[N(CN)₂]Br ($T_c = 11.8$ K) and the related antiferromagnet κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂-Cu[N(CN)₂]Cl are studied. Raman scattering provides unique spectroscopic information about magnetic degrees of freedom that has been otherwise unavailable on such organic conductors. Below $T = 200$ K a broad band at about 500 cm^{-1} develops in both compounds. We identify this band with two-magnon excitation. The position and the temperature dependence of the spectral weight are similar in the antiferromagnet and in the metallic Fermi-liquid. We conclude that antiferromagnetic correlations are similarly present in the magnetic insulator and the Fermi-liquid state of the superconductor.

I. INTRODUCTION

In correlated electron systems, metallic and magnetically ordered phases are typically in close proximity and can be controlled by a nonthermal parameter such as doping, pressure or magnetic field. A doping-controlled transition produces such important metallic and superconducting compounds as copper-oxide¹ and iron-based² superconductors. A pressure-controlled transition from a theoretical point of view results in a variation of U/t , the ratio of the Coulomb over kinetic energy where the latter is represented by the hopping integral. The ratio U/t can be changed by modifying bandwidth $W \sim t$. Studies of the bandwidth-controlled transition are aimed on elucidating quantum critical behaviour and non-Fermi liquid state found close to the antiferromagnetic (AF) state^{3,4}. Most of the systems where this transition is studied possess orbital degrees of freedom, which adds complexity to the physics, and the transition could be selective for particular orbitals⁵. A rare example of a bandwidth-controlled phase transition from AF insulator to a Fermi-liquid metal where orbital physics is irrelevant is presented by the family of quasi-two-dimensional (q2D) BEDT-TTF⁶-based organic conductors (see Fig. 1).

In organic conductors bandwidth $W \sim t$ is varied by moderate pressures or by small modifications of the anions. Fig. 1(a) shows that the entire phase diagram of prototypical BEDT-TTF-based compounds can be accessed with κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂-Cu[N(CN)₂]Cl (κ -ET-Cl), κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂-Cu[N(CN)₂]Br (κ -ET-Br), and application of pressure. Antiferromagnetic (AF) order is observed below 23 K in the Mott insulator κ -ET-Cl^{7,8}. The increase of the bandwidth by substituting Br for Cl goes along with a decrease of U/t and yields the superconductor κ -ET-Br with $T_c = 11.8$ K. Below T_c AF spin fluctuations were suggested to be at the origin of potentially unconventional superconductivity⁹⁻¹¹. Above T_c and below 40 K the resistivity shows typical Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior¹². However, the decrease of the spin-lattice re-




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic phase diagram for BEDT-TTF-based materials at half filling. The studied compounds are indicated by a red and a blue vertical line. (b) Schematic structure of the κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂-Cu[N(CN)₂]X ($X = \text{Cl, Br}$) layer. The (BEDT-TTF)₂⁺¹ dimers are encircled. The putative orientation of the spins is indicated in red. The magnetic unit cell is given in blue. Due to the orthorhombic structure of the crystallographic unit cell (black dashes), having dimensions a and c along the unit vectors \hat{a} and \hat{c} , the magnetic unit cell is characterized by nearly equilateral triangles. The axes $\hat{a}' = 1/\sqrt{2}(\hat{a} + \hat{c})$ and $\hat{c}' = 1/\sqrt{2}(\hat{c} - \hat{a})$ are considered approximately parallel (for theoretical purposes) to the axes of the magnetic unit cell. The hopping integral t (t') and the exchange coupling J (J') are oriented along the full (broken) blue line.

laxation rate on cooling as observed by NMR^{13,14} is inconsistent with the standard FL picture. Possible explanations include the development of a pseudogap or a mixed metal-insulator state^{15,16}.

The aim of our work was to investigate magnetic excitations in the FL state of the organic superconductor κ -ET-Br ($T_c = 11.8$ K) in order to clarify the nature of AF fluctuations in this state. This is important both for understanding of the nature of this AF to Fermi-liquid transition, and for understanding of unconventional superconductivity in this material⁹⁻¹¹. Investigation of the

magnetic excitations in the κ -ET-Cl and κ -ET-Br is also a step to understanding the gapless spin liquid candidates among q2D organic conductors^{17–21}. To study magnetic excitations in κ -ET-Br and κ -ET-Cl we used Raman scattering technique. It is the only spectroscopic technique which can access magnetic excitations in organic conductors, since neutron scattering studies on these materials are difficult. Raman scattering is known to provide access to spin excitations^{22,23}. In a recent light scattering experiment the existence of spin excitations was indeed reported for κ -ET-Cl and signatures of two-magnon excitations were observed in the range of 500 cm^{-1} ²⁴.

In this letter, we study κ -ET-Br and address the question whether the spin fluctuations persist into the metallic state and have enough energy to explain the anomalies in the normal state and potentially serve as an exchange boson for unconventional superconductivity. Our results show, that AF correlations similar to those in κ -ET-Cl exist in the FL state of κ -ET-Br. The polarization dependence of the signal is consistent with checkerboard AF order in (ac) plane [see Fig. 1 (b)] similar to that in the cuprates.

Crystals of κ -ET-Br and κ -ET-Cl have layered structures, where layers of cations and anions are parallel to the (ac) crystallographic planes and alternate along b -axis. They are iso-structural and have an orthorhombic $Pnma$ symmetry. The insulating and non-magnetic anion layers $\text{Cu}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]X$ ($X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$) serve as charge reservoirs whereas the conductive cation layers define the physical properties. Only π molecular orbitals are involved in the formation of the conduction band and in magnetic interactions. This leads to a relatively simple electronic structure. The cation layer is well approximated by a two-dimensional (2D) triangular lattice of dimers of $(\text{BEDT-TTF})_2^{+1}$ with spin $1/2$ and direct spin exchange J as shown in Fig. 1 (b).

The Raman spectra of κ -ET-Br and κ -ET-Cl were measured using a calibrated Raman setup equipped with a Jarrell-Ash 25-100 double monochromator. For excitation, the Ar^+ laser line at 514.5 nm was used. The samples were cooled down in a He-flow cryostat. The cooling rates were in the range from 0.2 K/min to 1 K/min so as to avoid a mixed state of metallic and insulating domains in κ -ET-Br^{25,26}. The absence of luminescence at 3500 cm^{-1} proved that κ -ET-Br is in a homogeneous metallic state²⁷.

The polarization vectors of the incident and scattered photons $\mathbf{e}_{i,s}$ were parallel to the ac plane, with the light propagating along the b -axis, $\mathbf{k}_{i,s} \parallel \pm b$. The experimental data below are obtained in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ and $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ polarization, where $\hat{a}' = 1/\sqrt{2}(\hat{a} + \hat{c})$ and $\hat{c}' = 1/\sqrt{2}(\hat{c} - \hat{a})$. \hat{c} and \hat{a} is the coordinate system of the crystal, whereas that of the magnetic unit cell is approximately oriented along \hat{a}' , \hat{c}' (see Fig. 1). We can thus project $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ and $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ respectively on B_{2g} and B_{1g} symmetry of a square lattice, where B_{1g} symmetry corresponds approximately to the magnetic $x'y'$ polarization used for square 2D antiferromagnets^{23,28}. Since a and c are substantially

different the approximation is poor. It is nevertheless useful for the analysis of the magnetic scattering since $J' \approx 0.2J$ for κ -ET-Cl^{24,29} and one is far away from frustration ($J' \approx J$).

The Raman spectra of κ -ET-Cl and κ -ET-Br are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. They are characterized by a broad continuum and the expected large number of narrow vibrational excitations. All the lines at frequencies above approximately 50 cm^{-1} have been assigned to BEDT-TTF molecular vibrations³⁰. At lower frequencies one finds vibrations of the lattice³¹. We focus now on the continuum part of which originates in magnetic excitations.

In κ -ET-Cl in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ we observe a wide band with the center at approximately 500 cm^{-1} developing below 200 K (see Fig. 2). The observed maximum is quite symmetric, and a Gaussian fit at 15 K yields a maximum frequency of 480 cm^{-1} and a width (FWHM) of 400 cm^{-1} . This response is absent in $b(\hat{a}\hat{a})\bar{b}$, $b(\hat{c}\hat{c})\bar{b}$ polarizations, and in $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ (see the spectrum shown with the dashed line in Fig. 2).

We follow the development of this wide peak on cooling by calculating its relative spectral weight at temperature T as $I(T)/I(15\text{ K})$, see inset in Fig. 2. Here

$$I(T) = \int_{200\text{ cm}^{-1}}^{800\text{ cm}^{-1}} (\chi''_{\mu}(\omega, T) - C_{\text{background}}) d\omega, \quad (1)$$

with $\chi''_{\mu}(\omega, T)$ is Raman response at symmetry $\mu = B_{1g}, B_{2g}$. The response is obtained by dividing the experimental cross section by the Bose-Einstein factor $\{1 + n(T, \Omega)\} = [1 - \exp(-\hbar\Omega/k_B T)]^{-1}$. $C_{\text{background}}$ is a continuum resulting from electronic excitations and wide-range luminescence, which does not show substantial polarization and temperature dependence in the studied frequency range, and in which we are not interested here. For subtracting it we use the $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ spectra that depend fairly linearly on frequency and approximate them by an analytic function $C_{\text{background}} = C_0(200\text{ cm}^{-1}) + C_1 * \omega$, where C_0 and C_1 are constants³². After subtracting the linear background from the $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ spectra we can isolate the maximum in $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ polarization. Its spectral weight increases continuously from room temperature down to 15 K (inset of Fig. 2).

In the Raman spectra of κ -ET-Br we observe a similar wide band in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization. The spectral weight of this band also increases on cooling (see the inset in Fig. 3) all the way down to 15 K .

The wide band at 500 cm^{-1} which appears in the $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization spectra in κ -ET-Br and κ -ET-Cl does not coincide in energies with any of the electronic excitations observed in these materials, for instance interband excitations and a transition between Hubbard bands observed at $2000\text{--}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$, and a Drude peak at frequencies below 200 cm^{-1} (Ref.33). On the other hand, we can expect signatures of two-magnon scattering in this frequency range and polarization²⁴.

According to angle-dependent NMR measurements in the ordered state of κ -ET-Cl the magnetic moments show




FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of Raman spectra of κ -(BEDT-TTF) $_2$ Cu[N(CN) $_2$]Cl in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization at temperatures between 15 and 200 K (solid lines). A dashed line shows a spectrum in $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ polarization at 15 K. The inset shows a temperature dependence of the spectral weight of the two-magnon excitations, estimated by $I(T)/I(15\text{ K})$.




FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of Raman spectra of κ -(BEDT-TTF) $_2$ Cu[N(CN) $_2$]Br in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization at temperatures between 15 and 213 K, spectra are shown with solid lines. A dashed line shows a spectrum in $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$ polarization at 15 K, note the absence of two-magnon maximum at 500 cm^{-1} . The inset shows a temperature dependence of the spectral weight of the two-magnon excitations, estimated by $I(T)/I(15\text{ K})$.

AF order in (ac) planes, with an easy axis parallel to the b axis of the crystal (perpendicular to BEDT-TTF layers)^{8,34}. To the best of our knowledge there is no information about the symmetry of AF order. Two possibilities have been discussed²⁹, one is a checkerboard order (Fig. 1 (b)) where spins from the two different magnetic sublattices occupy two different symmetry sites, another is an order where AF-arranged chains are parallel to the a and c axes. In an approximation that takes into account only nearest-neighbor spin exchange, justified by the relatively small value of the next-nearest-neighbor interaction $J' = 0.2J$, the spin pattern depends on a ratio between J/J' (see Fig. 1), and checkerboard order was considered to be more probable for κ -ET-Cu-Cl²⁹.

The general form of an exchange magnetic Raman scattering operator for AF is $\hat{R} = \mathbf{S}_i J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_j (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{ij}) (\mathbf{e}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{ij})$ where \mathbf{S}_i and \mathbf{S}_j are spin operators for the two different sites, J_{ij} is the nearest neighbor magnetic exchange interaction, and vector $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{ij}$ is connecting neighboring sites i and j from different magnetic sublattices. For an AF on a square²⁸ or slightly distorted square lattice³⁵ one expects signatures of magnetic exchange Raman scattering in a cross-polarization. In our results, two-magnon band is present in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization, and has zero intensity at $b(\hat{c}'\hat{a}')\bar{b}$, which is consistent with checkerboard order. It can be regarded as an analogy to B_{1g} magnetic polarization where two-magnon Raman response is observed for square AF²³.

Two-magnon excitation is predicted at about $3J$ for a square AF^{22,35} and would be shifted to lower frequencies due to magnon-magnon interactions. The observation of the broad band centered at 500 cm^{-1} suggests the value of spin exchange constant of about $J=180\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for κ -ET-Cl and κ -ET-Br. This value is close to that estimated from a fit of magnetic susceptibility data $J=250\text{ K}$ (174 cm^{-1} , 21.5 meV)^{7,11,36}. A value proposed based on NMR data $J=50\text{ meV}$ (403 cm^{-1})³⁴ is twice higher, however the measurements from which the value was obtained were performed at magnetic fields above spin-flop field.

The increase of the spectral weight of magnetic background starts at about 200 K (inset in Fig. 2). On cooling the maximum reduces in width from approximately 600 to 400 cm^{-1} , and slightly shifts to higher frequencies, from 420 at 200 K to 480 cm^{-1} at 15 K. However, we do not observe any discontinuous change on $T_N=23\text{ K}$ in Raman spectra of AF κ -ET-Cl. This is in contrast with the results on two-magnon scattering for most of magnetic materials, including highly frustrated ones, which show a narrowing and high-frequency shift of the two-magnon features at T_N ^{22,37}. This temperature dependence suggests, that the in-plane short-range magnetic correlations which have dominant importance in the two-magnon Raman response are fully developed by T_N in κ -ET-Cl. It is in agreement with the heat capacity measurements for κ -ET-Cl¹⁹, where the absence of any feature at T_N is explained by the fact that all the entropy is released on the formation of two-dimensional AF correlations at higher

temperatures.

The spectra of FL metal κ -ET-Br shows two-magnon feature that is very similar to AF κ -ET-Cl and appears in the same $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization and frequency range around 500 cm^{-1} , and shows a similar temperature dependence of the spectral weight. In Fig. 4 we present the comparison of κ -ET-Cl and κ -ET-Br spectra in the $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization at 200, 100, 55 and 15 K after subtraction of the phonons. At each temperature, the spectra in the region of two-magnon excitations basically coincide. The difference between κ -ET-Cl and κ -ET-Br behaviour below approximately 150 cm^{-1} observed at 15 K is correlated with the appearance of coherent charge carriers response at that frequency range in the conductivity spectra of κ -ET-Br below 50 K³⁸.

In the temperature range between 200 and 50 K, our results are in agreement with the results of NMR experiments, where an identical increase of the spin-lattice relaxation rate $(T_1T)^{-1}$ is observed for these two materials due to increase of AF fluctuations¹³. Below 50 K, $(T_1T)^{-1}$ for the Mott insulator κ -ET-Cl increases and diverges at T_N , while for κ -ET-Br in the Fermi-liquid regime,³⁸ $(T_1T)^{-1}$ decreases with temperature. In contrast to that, in Raman scattering we observe the similar increase of the two-magnon feature in both compounds below 50 K. Since no evidence of insulating domains in κ -ET-Br is detected, our measurements capture the intrinsic response of the FL metallic state. This demonstrates that in the whole temperature range the 2D AF correlations in the metallic state have the same strength as in the AF ordered compound. Our results suggest that the decrease of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ in the FL regime of κ -ET-Br can be explained by the presence of a pseudogap.

It is interesting to compare our results to other metallic materials close to Mott transition. In cuprate superconductors the two-magnon excitations are very prominent not only in the Raman spectra of the parent AF ordered insulators, but also in the underdoped materials³⁹, providing evidence for AF fluctuations in these metals. Similar to the observation for κ -ET-Br, in the metallic regime in cuprates NMR measurements show a decrease of spin-lattice relaxation rate⁴⁰. However, the two-magnon band widens and shifts to lower frequencies by doping from the parent AF to a non-FL metal. In contrast to that, with the precision of our measurement we observe no difference in the two-magnon band position or shape between AF ordered κ -ET-Cl and Fermi-liquid state tuned by bandwidth κ -ET-Br. The effective number of carriers per dimer lattice site in the latter can be estimated from optical conductivity data to be approximately $N_{\text{eff}} = 0.35$ [41]. A doping of this level leads to a broadening of the two-magnon band similar to that of underdoped and optimally doped cuprate superconductors.

This difference between FL state in 2D organic conductors and doped cuprates suggests that it can be essential for the parameters of magnetic correlations in the FL state that the transition to this state is achieved by changing effective electronic correlations U/t , while




FIG. 4. Comparison of the 15 K Raman spectra of κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Br (blue lines) and κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Cl (red lines) at 200, 100, 55 and 15 K. The phonon lines are subtracted. The spectra show a comparison of the two-magnon features of the two materials.

preserving stoichiometry of the material and without introduction of disorder. The presence of a two-magnon band in the spectra of κ -ET-Br suggests the same parameters of short-range 2D AF fluctuations in the AF insulating and FL liquid state close to AF order in these compounds.

In conclusion, using Raman scattering we observe two-magnon excitations in AF ordered κ -ET-Cl as a wide band centered at about 500 cm^{-1} in $b(\hat{c}\hat{a})\bar{b}$ polarization, analogous to B_{1g} symmetry of square AF. The polarization dependence of this band suggests a checkerboard spin pattern in the (ac) plane. The position gives an estimate of magnetic exchange constant J of about 180 cm^{-1} . We observe also a two-magnon excitation band in the Fermi-liquid metal κ -ET-Br, with the temperature, frequency and intensity dependence being the same as for AF κ -ET-Cl. These results demonstrate that in-plane AF correlations in the FL liquid state of the organic superconductor κ -ET-Br have a similar strength as in AF Mott insulator. This observation is a strong case for the suggested interrelation of spin fluctuation and su-

perconductivity in κ -ET-Br.

II. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thank R. Valenti, B. Powell, and N. P. Armitage for fruitful discussions. N.D. gratefully acknowledges support via the Margarete von Wrangell-Habilitationsprogramm and an M. Hildred Blewett Fel-

lowship and thanks the Walther-Meissner-Institut and 1. Physikalisches Institut, University of Stuttgart for hospitality. The work in JHU was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Material Sciences and Engineering under grant DE-FG02-08ER46544. R.H. gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG via the Transregional Collaborative Research Center TRR 80 and the Bavarian Californian Technology Center BaCaTeC (project no. A5 [2012-2]).

-
- * Corresponding author: drichko@pha.jhu.edu
- ¹ P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 17 (2006).
 - ² D. J. Scalapino, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **84**, 1383 (2012).
 - ³ P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, *nature physics* **4**, 186 (2008).
 - ⁴ P. Coleman and A. H. Nevidomskyy, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **161**, 182 (2010).
 - ⁵ J. Simonson, Z. Yin, M. Pezzoli, J. Guo, J. Liu, K. Post, A. Efimenko, N. Hollmann, Z. Hu, H.-J. Lin, *et al.*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, E1815 (2012).
 - ⁶ BEDT-TTF=ET= bis(ethylene-dithio)-tetrathiafulvalene.
 - ⁷ K. Miyagawa, A. Kawamoto, Y. Nakazawa, and K. Kanoda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1174 (1995).
 - ⁸ A. Antal, T. Fehér, A. Jánossy, E. Tótrai-Szekerés, and F. Fülöp, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 086404 (2009).
 - ⁹ T. Ishiguro, K. Yamaji, and G. Saito, *Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences*, Vol. 88 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998).
 - ¹⁰ N. Toyota, M. Lang, and J. Müller, *Low-Dimensional Molecular Metals* (Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2007).
 - ¹¹ B. Powell and R. McKenzie, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **18**, R827 (2006).
 - ¹² C. Strack, C. Akinci, V. Pashchenko, B. Wolf, E. Uhrig, W. Assmus, M. Lang, J. Schreuer, L. Wiehl, J. A. Schlueter, J. Wosnitzer, D. Schweitzer, J. Müller, and J. Wykoff, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 054511 (2005).
 - ¹³ A. Kawamoto, K. Miyagawa, Y. Nakazawa, and K. Kanoda, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 15522 (1995).
 - ¹⁴ P. Wzietek, H. Mayaffre, D. Jérôme, and S. Brazovskii, *Journal de Physique I* **6**, 2011 (1996).
 - ¹⁵ E. Yusuf, B. J. Powell, and R. H. McKenzie, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 214515 (2007).
 - ¹⁶ B. J. Powell, E. Yusuf, and R. H. McKenzie, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 054505 (2009).
 - ¹⁷ S. Yamashita, Y. Nakazawa, M. Oguni, Y. Oshima, H. Nojiri, Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, and K. Kanoda, *Nature Physics* **4**, 459 (2008).
 - ¹⁸ F. Pratt, P. Baker, S. Blundell, T. Lancaster, S. Ohira-Kawamura, C. Baines, Y. Shimizu, K. Kanoda, I. Watanabe, and G. Saito, *Nature* **471**, 612 (2011).
 - ¹⁹ S. Yamashita and Y. Nakazawa, *Journal of thermal analysis and calorimetry* **99**, 153 (2010).
 - ²⁰ S. Yamashita, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nakazawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, *Nature communications* **2**, 275 (2011).
 - ²¹ T. Isono, H. Kamo, A. Ueda, K. Takahashi, M. Kimata, H. Tajima, S. Tsuchiya, T. Terashima, S. Uji, and H. Mori, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 177201 (2014).
 - ²² M. G. Cottam and D. J. Lockwood, *Light scattering in magnetic solids* (Wiley New York (NY) et al., 1986).
 - ²³ T. P. Devereaux and R. Hackl, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 175 (2007).
 - ²⁴ Y. Nakamura, N. Yoneyama, T. Sasaki, T. Tohyama, A. Nakamura, and H. Kishida, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **83**, 074708 (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.074708>.
 - ²⁵ N. Yoneyama, T. Sasaki, N. Kobayashi, Y. Ikemoto, and H. Kimura, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 214519 (2005).
 - ²⁶ D. Fournier, M. Poirier, and K. D. Truong, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 054509 (2007).
 - ²⁷ N. Drichko, R. Hackl, and J. Schlueter, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 115109 (2013).
 - ²⁸ P. A. Fleury and R. Loudon, *Phys. Rev.* **166**, 514 (1968).
 - ²⁹ T. Mori, *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **168**, 433 (2002).
 - ³⁰ M. Kozlov, K. Pokhodnia, and A. Yurchenko, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular Spectroscopy* **43**, 323 (1987).
 - ³¹ A. Brillante, R. D. Valle, A. Girlando, A. Paineffi, and E. Venuti, *Synthetic Metals* **85**, 1561 (1997).
 - ³² This allowed us to use the data for κ -ET-Br measured at two different Raman setups, see Supplemental Materials.
 - ³³ D. Faltermeier, J. Barz, M. Dumm, M. Dressel, N. Drichko, B. Petrov, V. Semkin, R. Vlasova, C. Mézière, and P. Batail, *Physical Review B* **76**, 165113 (2007).
 - ³⁴ D. F. Smith, S. M. De Soto, C. P. Slichter, J. A. Schlueter, A. M. Kini, and R. G. Daugherty, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 024512 (2003).
 - ³⁵ F. Vernay, T. Devereaux, and M. Gingras, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 145243 (2007).
 - ³⁶ Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, M. Maesato, and G. Saito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 107001 (2003).
 - ³⁷ M. E. Valentine, S. Koohpayeh, M. Mourigal, T. M. McQueen, C. Broholm, N. Drichko, S. E. Dutton, R. J. Cava, T. Birol, H. Das, and C. J. Fennie, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 144411 (2015).
 - ³⁸ M. Dumm, D. Faltermeier, N. Drichko, M. Dressel, C. Mézière, and P. Batail, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 195106 (2009).
 - ³⁹ S. Sugai, H. Suzuki, Y. Takayanagi, T. Hosokawa, and N. Hayamizu, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 184504 (2003).
 - ⁴⁰ H. Monien, P. Monthoux, and D. Pines, *Physical Review B* **43**, 275 (1991).
 - ⁴¹ J. Merino, M. Dumm, N. Drichko, M. Dressel, and R. H. McKenzie, *Physical review letters* **100**, 086404 (2008).