

Black Holes and Magnetic Fields

Filip Hejda and Jiří Bičák

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract. We briefly summarise the basic properties of spacetimes representing rotating, charged black holes in strong axisymmetric magnetic fields. We concentrate on extremal cases, for which the horizon surface gravity vanishes. We investigate their properties by finding simpler spacetimes that exhibit their geometries near degenerate horizons. Employing the simpler geometries obtained by near-horizon limiting description we analyse the Meissner effect of magnetic field expulsion from extremal black holes.

Charged, rotating black holes in magnetic fields

A simple solution to the Einstein–Maxwell system of equations, which is often referred to as a “magnetic universe”, was studied by *Melvin* [1964]. The metric can be expressed in cylindrical coordinates ($R = r \sin \vartheta$, $z = r \cos \vartheta$) as

$$g = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2R^2\right)^2 (-dt^2 + dR^2 + dz^2) + \frac{R^2}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2R^2\right)^2} d\varphi^2, \quad (1)$$

accompanied with the magnetic field, characterised by parameter B , with only nonzero component of the electromagnetic potential

$$A_\varphi = \frac{\frac{1}{2}BR^2}{1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2R^2}. \quad (2)$$

Solution generating technique known as Harrison transformation applied on a “seed” Minkowski spacetime yields this Melvin magnetic universe. Therefore, as one may expect, if we apply the Harrison transformation to asymptotically flat black hole solutions, the results are black holes immersed in magnetic universes. This possibility was studied by *Ernst* [1976].

Stationary Ernst solution

A useful example of a Harrison-transformed spacetime is the stationary Ernst solution

$$g = |\Lambda|^2 \left[- \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}} dr^2 + r^2 d\vartheta^2 \right] + \frac{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{|\Lambda|^2} (d\varphi - \omega dt)^2, \quad (3)$$

which represents a Reissner–Nordström black hole with mass parameter M and charge parameter Q in an external magnetic field. The influence of the magnetic field on the geometry is expressed by a complex function Λ :

$$\Lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2 (r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta + Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta) - iBQ \cos \vartheta. \quad (4)$$

The electromagnetic field is more complicated than just a superposition of the electrostatic field of the black hole and some simple external field. This arises from the non-linear nature of the Einstein–Maxwell system. However, the actual field has the same crucial property as that simple superposition would have: a non zero angular momentum. This induces frame dragging with dragging potential

$$\omega = -\frac{2BQ}{r} + B^3Qr + \frac{B^3Q^3}{2r} - \frac{B^3Q}{2r} (r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2) \sin^2 \vartheta. \quad (5)$$

One can get azimuthal component of the electromagnetic potential also by means of Harrison transformation (*Gibbons et al.* [2013])

$$A_\varphi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{B|\Lambda|^2} \left[2\Re\Lambda (\Re\Lambda - 1) + (\Im\Lambda)^2 \right]. \quad (6)$$

However, the given gauge is not very convenient because $A_\varphi^{(1)}$ does not vanish on the axis. This can be fixed by subtracting the value of “raw” $A_\varphi^{(1)}$ for $\vartheta = 0$. In this way we obtain

$$A_\varphi = \frac{1}{B|A|^2} \left[\frac{(2 + \frac{3}{2}B^2Q^2)(\Re A)^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{16}B^4Q^4)(\Im A)^2}{1 + \frac{3}{2}B^2Q^2 + \frac{1}{16}B^4Q^4} - 2\Re A \right]. \quad (7)$$

This unique gauge is important for the study of particle motion in the Hamiltonian formalism, since there the electromagnetic potential becomes a physically relevant quantity.

Another important quantity is the tetrad component describing radial electric field strength

$$F_{(r)(t)} = \frac{1}{|A|^4} \left\{ \left[(\Re A)^2 - (\Im A)^2 \right] (2 - \Re A) \frac{Q}{r^2} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{B}{2} \left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right) \Im A \left[(\Re A)^2 - (\Im A)^2 - 4\Re A \right] \cos \vartheta \right\}, \quad (8)$$

which directly enters some relations that we will discuss further. (It can also be used to determine the form of the component A_t .)

Ernst–Wild solution and a general MKN black hole

Ernst and Wild [1976] unveiled another solution that is obtained by applying the Harrison transformation to the Kerr (uncharged) “seed” metric with rotation parameter a . The resulting metric

$$g = |A|^2 \Sigma \left[-\frac{\Delta}{\mathcal{A}} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\vartheta^2 \right] + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\Sigma|A|^2} \sin^2 \vartheta (d\varphi - \omega dt)^2 \quad (9)$$

contains functions $\Delta, \Sigma, \mathcal{A}$ in the form

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta, \quad \mathcal{A} = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta, \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \quad (10)$$

from the “seed” metric and a new (complex) metric function A , which has the form

$$A = 1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\Sigma} \sin^2 \vartheta - \frac{i}{2} B^2 M a \cos \vartheta \left(3 - \cos^2 \vartheta + \frac{a^2}{\Sigma} \sin^4 \vartheta \right). \quad (11)$$

The new dragging potential is

$$\omega = \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \left\{ (1 - B^4 M^2 a^2) - \Delta \left[\frac{\Sigma}{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{B^4}{16} \left(-8Mr \cos^2 \vartheta (3 - \cos^2 \vartheta) - \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - 6Mr \sin^4 \vartheta + \frac{2Ma^2 \sin^6 \vartheta}{\mathcal{A}} [r(r^2 + a^2) + 2Ma^2] + \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. + \frac{4M^2 a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta}{\mathcal{A}} [(r^2 + a^2)(3 - \cos^2 \vartheta)^2 - 4a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta] \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (12)$$

The components of the field strength tensor are quite complicated.

The general MKN (magnetised Kerr–Newman) black hole has the metric (9) with Δ from the Kerr–Newman metric, i.e. $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2$, and with much more complicated A :

$$A = 1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{A} + a^2 Q^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)}{\Sigma} \sin^2 \vartheta + Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta \right) + \\ + \frac{BQ}{\Sigma} [ar \sin^2 \vartheta - i(r^2 + a^2) \cos \vartheta] - \\ - \frac{i}{2} B^2 a \cos \vartheta \left[M(3 - \cos^2 \vartheta) + \frac{Ma^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - Q^2 r}{\Sigma} \sin^2 \vartheta \right]. \quad (13)$$

The most complete and comprehensive picture of the properties of a general MKN black hole is given by *Gibbons et al.* [2013]¹. The expression for the dragging potential is given by equations (B.8)–(B.9) in *Gibbons et al.* [2013], whereas the components of electromagnetic potential are described by formulae (B.15)–(B.18).

¹The authors even performed the integration of the electromagnetic potential. However, the original version of the paper published on arXiv contained a number of misprints. We were in contact with the authors, who now made corrections.

Asymptotics of magnetised black holes

The asymptotic properties of the magnetised black hole spacetimes can be so overwhelming for large values of dimensionless BM that there is no approximately flat region in such a spacetime. In that case the astrophysical meaning is doubtful. The conditions for existence of an approximately flat region have been discussed by *Bičák and Janiš* [1985]. The region must be well outside the horizon, but it must also hold that $|A|^2$ is approximately unity in that region. These two requirements are satisfied when r satisfies inequality $r_+ \ll r \ll 1/B$. If we consider an extremal MKN black hole, i.e. $r_+ = r_0 = M$, at radii about $10M$ we need BM about 0.01 or less in order for the spacetime to be approximately flat in that region. On the other hand, it is clear that for $BM > 0.1$ any magnetised black hole spacetime cannot contain even very roughly flat region. This is confirmed by the appearance of embedding diagrams by *Stuchlík and Hledík* [1999].

The near-horizon description of extremal configurations

The near-horizon description of the Kerr–Newman black hole was first considered by *Carter* [1972]. More precise formulation can be found in a more recent paper by *Bardeen and Horowitz* [1999]. We will apply their approach to black holes in magnetic fields. Let us emphasise that the near-horizon description assumes extremal black hole configurations, i.e. in the case of MKN we impose condition $M^2 = Q^2 + a^2$.

The metric in the extremal case

The general metric of an extremal black hole can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{g} = -(r - r_0)^2 \tilde{N}^2 \mathbf{d}t^2 + g_{\varphi\varphi} (\mathbf{d}\varphi - \omega \mathbf{d}t)^2 + \frac{\tilde{g}_{rr}}{(r - r_0)^2} \mathbf{d}r^2 + g_{\vartheta\vartheta} \mathbf{d}\vartheta^2, \quad (14)$$

where the degenerate horizon is located at $r = r_0$ and $\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}_{rr}$ are regular and non-vanishing there.

To “drag” the coordinates to the “near-horizon” region we introduce new coordinates τ, χ by relations

$$r = r_0 + p\chi, \quad t = \frac{\tau}{p}. \quad (15)$$

The transformation depends on a limiting parameter p , and for any finite nonzero value of this parameter the new coordinates will cover entire spacetime up to “standard” spatial infinity. However, in extremal black hole spacetimes, there is yet another infinity, since proper radial distance between two points along $t = \text{const.}$ diverges, if one of the points approaches r_0 . When the parameter p in transformation (15) converges to zero, we get a new metric which describes the infinite region (“throat”) around $r = r_0$. Standard spatial infinity is lost in this near-horizon limiting description.

Let us specify in more detail, what happens with the expression in the metric that contains the dragging potential. We should first expand the dragging potential around its value ω_H at the horizon

$$\omega \doteq \omega_H + \left. \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} \right|_{r_0} (r - r_0) = \omega_H + \left. \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} \right|_{r_0} p\chi, \quad (16)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{d}\varphi - \omega \mathbf{d}t \doteq \mathbf{d}\varphi - \left(\omega_H + \left. \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} \right|_{r_0} p\chi \right) \frac{\mathbf{d}\tau}{p} = \mathbf{d}\varphi - \frac{\omega_H}{p} \mathbf{d}\tau - \left. \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} \right|_{r_0} \chi \mathbf{d}\tau. \quad (17)$$

Recalling the rigidity theorem (see *Frolov and Novikov* [1998]), which guarantees that ω_H is a constant, we can write the transformation from φ to a “rewinded angle” ψ

$$\varphi = \psi + \frac{\omega_H}{p} \tau. \quad (18)$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{d}\varphi - \omega \mathbf{d}t \doteq \mathbf{d}\psi - \left. \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} \right|_{r_0} \chi \mathbf{d}\tau, \quad (19)$$

and we see that the new dragging potential after the limit is just the first order of Taylor expansion of the original one. Note that (18) is merely a transformation to rotating coordinates, which can be understood as a “gauge-fixing” of the integration constant of the dragging potential. The usual choice

of vanishing ω at spatial infinity becomes undesirable when we linearise it around the horizon and we must turn to the gauge corotating with the horizon.

If we apply the “recipe” to the Kerr–Newman solution, the resulting metric is (cf. *Carter* [1972], *Bardeen and Horowitz* [1999])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} = [Q^2 + a^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)] & \left(-\frac{\chi^2}{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2} \mathbf{d}\tau^2 + \frac{\mathbf{d}\chi^2}{\chi^2} + \mathbf{d}\vartheta^2 \right) + \\ & + \frac{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2}{Q^2 + a^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)} \sin^2 \vartheta \left(\mathbf{d}\psi + \frac{2a\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}\chi}{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2} \mathbf{d}\tau \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Electromagnetic field

Jacobi’s matrices that will transform components of tensors into the coordinates τ, χ, ψ will contain singular expressions. Therefore not every tensor quantity one can think of will be expressible in those coordinates in the limit $p \rightarrow 0$.

We now turn to the electromagnetic field and expand it to the linear order near the degenerate horizon:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= A_t \mathbf{d}\tau + A_\varphi \mathbf{d}\varphi \doteq \\ &\doteq \left(A_t|_{r_0} + \frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} p\chi \right) \frac{\mathbf{d}\tau}{p} + \left(A_\varphi|_{r_0} + \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} p\chi \right) \left(\mathbf{d}\psi + \frac{\omega_H}{p} \mathbf{d}\tau \right) \doteq \\ &\doteq \left(A_t|_{r_0} + \omega_H A_\varphi|_{r_0} \right) \frac{\mathbf{d}\tau}{p} + \left(\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} + \omega_H \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} \right) \chi \mathbf{d}\tau + A_\varphi|_{r_0} \mathbf{d}\psi = \\ &= -\frac{\phi_H}{p} \mathbf{d}\tau + \left(\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} + \omega_H \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_0} \right) \chi \mathbf{d}\tau + A_\varphi|_{r_0} \mathbf{d}\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since $\phi_H = \text{const.}$, the singular term can be subtracted from the final “limitng” potential and we may consider it to be a gauge constant.

For the particular case of the Kerr–Newman solution the near-horizon electromagnetic potential stripped of the singular gauge constant reads²

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{Q}{Q^2 + a^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)} \left(\frac{Q^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{Q^2 + 2a^2} \chi \mathbf{d}\tau + a\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \sin^2 \vartheta \mathbf{d}\psi \right). \quad (22)$$

Stronger corollaries of rigidity theorems

It is worth to note that

$$F_{(r)(t)} = \frac{1}{N\sqrt{g_{rr}}} \left(\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r} + \omega \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} \right). \quad (23)$$

The $F_{(r)(t)}$ component can be obtained directly from Harrison transformation just by algebraic manipulation, so that it is one of the least laborious quantities for magnetic universes. Recalling (21) we can express

$$A_\tau = \left(\tilde{N} \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{rr}} F_{(r)(t)} \right) \Big|_{r_0} \chi. \quad (24)$$

It can be shown (*Hejda* [2013], Appendix A) that on a degenerate horizon of any extremal MKN black hole it holds that

$$\tilde{\omega} \equiv \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_0} = \text{const.}, \quad \tilde{\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_0} = \text{const.} \quad (25)$$

We can write for the near-horizon limiting quantities of all the models that we consider

$$\omega = \tilde{\omega} \chi, \quad \phi = \tilde{\phi} \chi, \quad A_\tau = \tilde{A}_\tau(\vartheta) \chi. \quad (26)$$

²This field seems to be more appropriate than the one given in *Carter* [1972] — see *Hejda* [2013], Appendix B for discussion — since Carter’s expression does not satisfy Maxwell equations.

From the definition of the generalised electromagnetic potential we can express

$$\phi = -A_\tau - \omega A_\psi, \quad A_\psi(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} \left(-\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{A}_\tau(\vartheta) \right). \quad (27)$$

Since we demand the electromagnetic potential to be continuous, the azimuthal component must vanish at the axis, so

$$\phi = -A_\tau|_{\vartheta=0}, \quad A_\psi(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\tilde{A}_\tau(0) - \tilde{A}_\tau(\vartheta) \right). \quad (28)$$

Hence the knowledge of $F_{(r)(t)}$ in the original spacetime is sufficient to determine the whole potential of electromagnetic field in the near-horizon spacetime.

Near-horizon description of stationary Ernst solution

Let us turn to an extremal stationary Ernst spacetime. In the cases of magnetic universes, the near-horizon description will be advantageous also because we will get rid of the ill, non-flat, asymptotics of the solutions. Applying the recipe described above to metric (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} = & \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right)^2 + B^2 Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta \right] \left(-\frac{\chi^2}{Q^2} \mathbf{d}\tau^2 + \frac{Q^2}{\chi^2} \mathbf{d}\chi^2 + Q^2 \mathbf{d}\vartheta^2 \right) + \\ & + \frac{Q^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right)^2 + B^2 Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta} \left[\mathbf{d}\psi - \frac{2B}{Q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right) \chi \mathbf{d}\tau \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

which for $B = 0$ turns to (20) with $a = 0$, i.e. to the Robinson–Bertotti solution. The components of the electromagnetic potential can be evaluated directly from $F_{(r)(t)}$ component (8):

$$A_\tau = \frac{\chi \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right)^2 - B^2 Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta}{Q \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right)^2 + B^2 Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right), \quad (30)$$

$$A_\psi = \frac{-BQ^2}{1 + \frac{3}{2} B^2 Q^2 + \frac{1}{16} B^4 Q^4} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{16} B^4 Q^4 \right) \sin^2 \vartheta}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2 Q^2 \right)^2 + B^2 Q^2 \cos^2 \vartheta}. \quad (31)$$

The quantities given above satisfy the full Einstein–Maxwell system. Furthermore we have found that this simple solution is contained in a richer discussion of generalisations of Reissner–Nordström and Robinson–Bertotti solutions, which was carried out by *Baez and Díaz* [1986]. They also discuss the possibility of a near-horizon limiting process.

Near-horizon description of Ernst–Wild solution

Having examined one possible generalisation, we may now proceed to somewhat “fearsome” case of the Ernst–Wild solution. Applying the near-horizon limit to metric (9), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} = & \left[(1 + B^4 a^4) (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta) + 2B^2 a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right] \left(-\frac{\chi^2}{4a^2} \mathbf{d}\tau^2 + \frac{a^2}{\chi^2} \mathbf{d}\chi^2 + a^2 \mathbf{d}\vartheta^2 \right) + \\ & + \frac{4a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{(1 + B^4 a^4) (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta) + 2B^2 a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \left[\mathbf{d}\psi + \frac{\chi}{2a^2} (1 - B^4 a^4) \mathbf{d}\tau \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Note that the dragging potential (12) vastly simplifies in the limit. From the $F_{(r)(t)}$ component of the field strength tensor one can obtain

$$A_\tau = -B\chi \left(1 - \frac{2(1 + B^2 a^2)^2}{(1 + B^4 a^4) (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta) + 2B^2 a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$A_\psi = \frac{1 - B^4 a^4}{1 + B^4 a^4} \frac{2Ba^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{(1 + B^4 a^4) (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta) + 2B^2 a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}. \quad (34)$$

Again, one can check that quantities evaluated above satisfy the full Einstein–Maxwell system.

Near-horizon description of a general MKN black hole

Although the Ernst–Wild solution is more complicated than the stationary Ernst solution, we have seen that their near-horizon descriptions are equally simple. Let us now turn to the general MKN case, where such simplicity of the near-horizon description is lost. The metric can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{g} = \underline{f}(\vartheta) \left(-\frac{\chi^2}{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2} \mathbf{d}\tau^2 + \frac{\mathbf{d}\chi^2}{\chi^2} + \mathbf{d}\vartheta^2 \right) + \frac{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{\underline{f}(\vartheta)} (\mathbf{d}\psi - \tilde{\omega}\chi \mathbf{d}\tau)^2, \quad (35)$$

where the dimensional structural function $\underline{f}(\vartheta)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{f}(\vartheta) = & \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right)^2 [Q^2 + a^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)] + \\ & + 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right) [B^2a^2 (Q^2 + a^2) + BQa\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}] \sin^2 \vartheta + \\ & + B^2 (Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} + Q)^2 [a^2 + (Q^2 + a^2) \cos^2 \vartheta]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The dragging constant $\tilde{\omega}$ can be evaluated using equations of the Harrison transformation in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega} = & \frac{1}{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2} \left\{ 2BQ^3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 + 2B^2a^2 \right) + 4B^3Qa^4 + \right. \\ & \left. + a\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \left[-2(1 - B^4a^4) + 2B^4Q^2a^2 + 3B^2Q^2 + \frac{3}{8}B^4Q^4 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The $F_{(r)(t)}$ component for a MKN black hole can be straightforwardly calculated (in an algorithmic way utilising a computer algebra system) using defining relations of the Harrison transformation and in the limit it enables us to obtain components of the electromagnetic potential as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\tau = & \frac{1}{\underline{f}(\vartheta)} \frac{\chi}{Q^2 + 2a^2} \left[Q \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right) + 2Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \right] \left\{ \left[Q \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \right]^2 + \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right)^2 a^2 + B^2 (Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} + Q)^2 (Q^2 + a^2) - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 \right) [B^2a^2 (Q^2 + a^2) + BQa\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}] \right] \sin^2 \vartheta \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$A_\psi = -\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{2\underline{f}(\vartheta)} \frac{(Q^2 + 2a^2)^2 [Q (1 - \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2) + 2Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}] \sin^2 \vartheta}{1 + \frac{3}{2}B^2Q^2 + 2B^3Qa\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} + B^4 (\frac{1}{16}Q^4 + Q^2a^2 + a^4)}. \quad (39)$$

Even in this most complicated case, A_ψ contains the overall $\sin^2 \vartheta$ factor.

Near-horizon degeneracy of extremal MKN class

It is carefully discussed e.g. by *Bičák et al.* [2007] that external stationary, axisymmetric magnetic fields are expelled from the degenerate horizons. This is referred to as a black-hole Meissner effect. However, that discussion focuses on the test fields. It is natural to ask whether such effect is present even in the strong field regime, i.e. for a general MKN black hole. The question is rather difficult because of the non-linear effects (like the presence of the frame dragging in the stationary Ernst spacetime, which is obtained from originally static Reissner–Nordström solution). The presence of the Meissner effect in the MKN setup has been demonstrated using magnetic fluxes by *Karas and Vokrouhlický* [1991]; *Karas and Budínová* [2000]. However, the discussion is quite involved. We will show that there is another indication of the Meissner effect in the strong field regime for a general MKN black hole.

To illustrate our point, we begin with a simple special case. It is characterised by specific relationship among the parameters entering the solutions:

$$B = \frac{-2Q\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \pm 2(Q^2 + 2a^2)}{a(3Q^2 + 4a^2)}. \quad (40)$$

Under this constraint the degenerate horizon becomes spherically symmetrical and the near-horizon limit is just the Robinson–Bertotti solution, i.e. (20) with $a = 0$, which involves no magnetic field (see *Hejda* [2013]).

Leaving the special cases aside, we wish to point out that the metrics (20) and (35) are mathematically equivalent in general. After analysing the scaling of the Killing vectors, we can deduce that it is possible to describe the near-horizon limit of extremal black holes in strong magnetic fields by some effective parameters $\hat{M}, \hat{a}, \hat{Q}$ instead of the parameters M, a, Q, B that we used so far.

To be more specific, we should identify the coefficients inside function $f(\vartheta)$ in (36) in accordance with the *form* of the near-horizon limiting metric of Kerr–Newman solution (20) which is

$$f(\vartheta) = \hat{M}^2 + \hat{a}^2 \cos^2 \vartheta. \quad (41)$$

If we look at the particular form of function (36), we see that we have to pair up all the sine squared terms with cosine squared terms. The resulting constant part will be the effective mass squared

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}^2 = & \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2\right)^2 (Q^2 + a^2) + B^2 \left(Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} + Q\right)^2 a^2 + \\ & + 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2\right) \left[B^2a^2 (Q^2 + a^2) + BQa\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

whereas the remaining coefficient in front of the cosine squared will be the effective rotation parameter squared

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^2 = & \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2\right)^2 a^2 + B^2 \left(Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} + Q\right)^2 (Q^2 + a^2) - \\ & - 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2\right) \left[B^2a^2 (Q^2 + a^2) + BQa\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Both these quantities are non-negative, which can be most easily manifested by simplifying their square roots to following forms³:

$$\hat{M} = \sqrt{Q^2 + a^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2 + B^2a^2\right) + BQa, \quad (44)$$

$$\hat{a} = a \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}B^2Q^2 - B^2a^2\right) - BQ\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}. \quad (45)$$

Being led by the Kerr–Newman solution, we can define effective charge by $\hat{Q}^2 = \hat{M}^2 - \hat{a}^2$, which gives

$$\hat{Q} = Q \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}B^2Q^2\right) + 2Ba\sqrt{Q^2 + a^2}. \quad (46)$$

Now one should simply substitute the expressions for \hat{Q}, \hat{a} in place of Q, a into the metric (20) and it turns out that the result is the metric (35) expressed in rescaled coordinates (due to different scaling of the Killing vectors). Then we should do the same for the electromagnetic potential, i.e. insert the expressions for \hat{Q}, \hat{a} in place of Q, a in (22) and compare with (38) and (39), again with coordinates rescaled the same way. We successfully performed this calculation using computer algebra system and verified that the description of the near-horizon limit of MKN black hole using the effective parameters indeed works. Therefore the solution (35) is mathematically equivalent to the solution (20) as we mentioned above.

Let us make a final point: there is nothing like an effective parameter \hat{B} ; the parameter B has been absorbed into the structure of \hat{Q} and \hat{a} . We have already noted that the azimuthal component of the

³We may also ask whether \hat{M} itself is non-negative. Solving quadratic equation $\hat{M} = 0$ with respect to B , one readily finds that it has no real roots.

electromagnetic potential (39) for the near-horizon description of the MKN black hole is proportional to $\tilde{\omega}$. Having established the equivalence above, we can be more explicit and say that A_ψ is proportional to \hat{a} (as $\tilde{\omega}$ is) and that it has the same *internal* nature as the azimuthal component of the electromagnetic potential for the Kerr–Newman solution. The external field does not appear in the near-horizon limit. This is the indication of the presence of the Meissner effect in the MKN class of black holes.

Acknowledgment. The work was supported by research grants: GAUK No. 606412, SVV No. 265301 and GAČR No. 14-37086 G.

References

- Bardeen, J., Horowitz, G. T. *Extreme Kerr throat geometry: A vacuum analog of $\text{AdS}_2 \times \text{S}^2$* . Physical Review D, **60**, 104030, 1999, 10 pages (arXiv:hep-th/9905099).
- Bičák, J., Janiš, V. *Magnetic fluxes across black holes*. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, **212**, 899–915, 1985.
- Bičák, J., Karas, V., Ledvinka, T. *Black holes and magnetic fields in Black Holes from Stars to Galaxies — Across the Range of Masses*. Edited by V. Karas and G. Matt. Proceedings of IAU Symposium No. 238, 139–144. Cambridge University Press, 2007 (arXiv:astro-ph/0610841).
- Bretón Baez, N., García Díaz, A. *Magnetic generalizations of the Reissner–Nordström class of metrics and Bertotti–Robinson solutions*. Il Nuovo Cimento B, **91**, 83–99, 1986.
- Carter, B. *Black hole equilibrium states*. General Relativity and Gravitation, **41**, 2873–2938, 2009. General Relativity and Gravitation, **42**, 653–744, 2010. Original edition of both parts in *Black holes (Les Houches lectures)*, eds. B. DeWitt and C. DeWitt, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1972.
- Ernst, F. J. *Black holes in a magnetic universe*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **17**, 54–56, 1976.
- Ernst, F. J., Wild, W. J. *Kerr black holes in a magnetic universe*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **17**, 182–184, 1976.
- Frolov, V. P., Novikov, I. D. *Black hole physics: basic concepts and new developments*. Springer, 1998.
- Gibbons, G. W., Mujtaba, A. H., Pope, C. N. *Ergoregions in Magnetised Black Hole Spacetimes*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, **30**, 125008, 2013, 23 pages (arXiv:1301.3927 [gr-qc]).
- Hejda, F. *Particles and fields in curved spacetimes (selected problems)*. Master thesis. Prague, 2013.
- Karas, V., Budínová, Z. *Magnetic Fluxes Across Black Holes in a Strong Magnetic Field Regime*. Physica Scripta, **61**, 253–256, 2000.
- Karas, V., Vokrouhlický, D. *On interpretation of the magnetized Kerr–Newman black hole*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **32**, 714–716, 1991.
- Melvin, M. A. *Pure magnetic and electric geons*. Physics Letters, **8**, 65–68, 1964.
- Stuchlík, Z., Hledík, S. *Photon capture cones and embedding diagrams of the Ernst spacetime*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, **16**, 1377–1387, 1999 (arXiv:0803.2536 [gr-qc]).