

ALMOST NONNEGATIVE CURVATURE ON SOME FAKE $\mathbb{R}P^6$ S AND $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ S

PRIYANKA RAJAN AND FREDERICK WILHELM

ABSTRACT. We apply the lifting theorem of Searle and the second author to put metrics of almost nonnegative curvature on the fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$'s of Hirsch and Milnor and on the analogous fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$'s.

One of the great unsolved problems of Riemannian geometry is to determine the structure of collapse with a lower curvature bound. An apparently simpler, but still intractable problem, is to determine which closed manifolds collapse to a point with a lower curvature bound. Such manifolds are called almost nonnegatively curved. Here we construct almost nonnegative curvature on some fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s and $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s.

Theorem A. *The Hirsch-Milnor fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s and the analogous fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s admit Riemannian metrics that simultaneously have almost nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature.*

Remark. *By considering cohomogeneity one actions on Brieskorn varieties, Schwachhöfer and Tuschmann observed in [15] that in each odd dimension of the form, $4k + 1$, there are at least 4^k oriented diffeomorphism types of homotopy $\mathbb{R}P^{4k+1}$ s that admit metrics that simultaneously have positive Ricci curvature and almost nonnegative sectional curvature.*

The Hirsch-Milnor fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s are quotients of free involutions on the images of embeddings ι of the standard 6-sphere, \mathbb{S}^6 , into some of the Milnor exotic 7-spheres, Σ_k^7 ([12], [14]). Our proof begins with the observation that the $SO(3)$ -actions that Davis constructed on the Σ_k^7 s in [5] leave these Hirsch-Milnor S^6 s invariant and commute with the Hirsch-Milnor free involution. Next we compare the Hirsch-Milnor/Davis $(SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -action on $\iota(S^6) \subset \Sigma_k^7$ with a very similar linear action of $(SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $\mathbb{S}^6 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ and apply the following lifting result of Searle and the second author.

Theorem B. (See Proposition 8.1 and Theorems B and C in [17]) *Let (M_e, G) and (M_s, G) be smooth, compact, n -dimensional G -manifolds with G a compact Lie group. Suppose that the orbit spaces M_e/G and M_s/G are equivalent, and M_s/G has almost nonnegative curvature. Then M_e admits a G -invariant family of metrics that has almost nonnegative sectional curvature. Moreover, if the principal orbits of (M_e, G) have finite fundamental group and the quotient of the principal orbits of M_s has Ricci curvature ≥ 1 , then every metric in the almost nonnegatively curved family on M_e can be chosen to also have positive Ricci curvature.*

Date: October 15, 2015.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20.

Key words and phrases. lifting, almost nonnegative curvature, fake $\mathbb{R}P^n$.

This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#358068, Frederick Wilhelm).

We emphasize that to apply Theorem B, M_s/G need not be a Riemannian manifold, but since M_s is compact, M_s/G is an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below. The meaning of almost nonnegative curvature for Alexandrov spaces is as follows.

Definition. We say that a sequence of Alexandrov spaces $\{(X, \text{dist}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ is almost nonnegatively curved if and only if there is a $D > 0$ so that

$$\sec(X, g_\alpha) \geq -\frac{1}{\alpha} \text{ and } \text{Diam}(X, g_\alpha) \leq D,$$

or equivalently, after a rescaling, X collapses to a point with a uniform lower curvature bound.

The following is the precise notion of equivalence of orbit spaces required by the hypotheses of Theorem B.

Definition. Suppose G acts on M_e and on M_s . We say that the orbit spaces M_e/G and M_s/G are equivalent if and only if there is a strata-preserving homeomorphism $\Phi : M_e/G \rightarrow M_s/G$ whose restriction to each stratum is a diffeomorphism with the following property:

Let $\pi_s : M_s \rightarrow M_s/G$ and $\pi_e : M_e \rightarrow M_e/G$ be the quotient maps. If $\mathcal{S} \subset M_e$ is a stratum, then for any $x_e \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $x_s \in \pi_s^{-1}(\Phi(\pi_e(x_e)))$, the action of G_{x_e} on $\nu(\mathcal{S})_{x_e}$ is linearly equivalent to the action of G_{x_s} on $\nu(\mathcal{S})_{x_s}$. Here G_x is the isotropy subgroup at x and $\nu(\mathcal{S})_x$ is the normal space to \mathcal{S} at x .

To construct the metrics on the fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s of Theorem A, we apply Theorem B with $G = (SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. M_e will be the Hirsch-Milnor embedded image of \mathbb{S}^6 in Σ_k^7 , and M_s will be \mathbb{S}^6 with the following $(SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -action: View \mathbb{S}^6 as the unit sphere in $\mathbb{H} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} stands for the quaternions, and let $SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ act on $\mathbb{S}^6 \subset \mathbb{H} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{S}^6 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^6 \\ (g, \pm, (a, c)) &\mapsto \pm(g(a), g(c)). \end{aligned} \tag{0.0.1}$$

Here the $SO(3)$ -action on the \mathbb{H} -factor is the direct sum of the standard action of $SO(3)$ on $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ with the trivial action on $\text{Re}(\mathbb{H})$.

Since quotient maps of isometric group actions preserve lower curvature bounds, $\mathbb{S}^6/(SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has curvature ≥ 1 ([4]). Thus to construct the metrics on the fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s of Theorem A, it suffices to combine Theorem B with the following result.

Lemma C. The orbit space of the Hirsch-Milnor/Davis action of $SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\iota(\mathbb{S}^6) \subset \Sigma_k^7$ is equivalent to the orbit space of the linear action (0.0.1) on \mathbb{S}^6 .

Our metrics on fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s are octonionic analogs of our metrics on fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s. The analogy begins with Shimada's observation that Milnor's proof of the total spaces of certain \mathbb{S}^3 -bundles over \mathbb{S}^4 being exotic spheres also applies to certain \mathbb{S}^7 -bundles over \mathbb{S}^8 ([18]). Davis's construction of the $SO(3)$ -actions on Σ_k^7 s is based on the fact that $SO(3)$ is the group of automorphisms of \mathbb{H} . Exploiting the fact that G_2 is the group automorphisms of the octonions, \mathbb{O} , Davis constructs analogous G_2 actions on Shimada's exotic Σ_k^{15} s. By applying a result of Brumfiel ([3]), we will see that the Hirsch and Milnor construction of fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ s as quotients of $\iota(\mathbb{S}^6) \subset \Sigma_k^7$ also works to construct fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s as quotients of $\iota(\mathbb{S}^{14}) \subset \Sigma_k^{15}$. Thus to construct the fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s of Theorem A, it suffices to show the following.

Lemma D. *The orbit space of the Hirsch-Milnor/Davis action of $G_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\iota(\mathbb{S}^{14}) \subset \Sigma_k^{15}$ is equivalent to the orbit space of the following linear action of $G_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{S}^{14} \subset \mathbb{O} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{S}^{14} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{14} \\ (g, \pm, (a, c)) &\mapsto \pm(g(a), g(c)). \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.2)$$

In Section 1, we review the construction of the Hirsch-Milnor and Davis actions and explain why the Hirsch-Milnor construction works in the Octonionic case. In Section 2, we prove Lemmas C and D and hence Theorem A, and in Section 3, we make some concluding remarks. We refer the reader to page 185 of [2] for a description of how G_2 acts as automorphisms of the Octonions.

Remark. *Explicit formulas for exotic involutions on \mathbb{S}^6 and \mathbb{S}^{14} are given in ([1]), where it is shown, on pages 13–17, that the corresponding fake $\mathbb{R}P^6$ is diffeomorphic to the Hirsch-Milnor $\mathbb{R}P^6$ that corresponds to Σ_3^7 .*

Acknowledgement. *It is a pleasure to thank Reinhard Schultz for stimulating conversations with the first author about topological aspects of this paper. We are grateful to a referee for pointing out the Schwachhöfer and Tuschmann result in [15] about homotopy RP^{4k+1} s admitting metrics that simultaneously have positive Ricci curvature and almost nonnegative sectional curvature.*

1. HOW TO CONSTRUCT EXOTIC REAL PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section, we review Milnor spheres, the Hirsch-Milnor construction, and the Davis actions. We then explain how the Hirsch-Milnor argument gives fake $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$ s.

To construct the Milnor spheres, we write Λ for \mathbb{H} or \mathbb{O} and b for the real dimension of Λ . To get a \mathbb{S}^{b-1} -bundle over \mathbb{S}^b with structure group $SO(b)$, $(E_{h,j}, p_{h,j})$, we glue two copies of $\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}$ together via

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{h,j} &: \Lambda \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \longrightarrow \Lambda \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \\ \Phi_{h,j} &: (u, q) \mapsto \left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}, \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right)^h q \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right)^j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.0.3)$$

To define the projection $p_{h,j} : E_{h,j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^b$, we think of \mathbb{S}^b as obtained by gluing together two copies of Λ along $\Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ via $u \mapsto \frac{u}{|u|^2}$. $p_{h,j}$ is then defined to be the projection to either copy of Λ .

When $h + j = \pm 1$, the smooth function

$$f : (u, q) \mapsto \frac{\text{Re}(q)}{\sqrt{1 + |u|^2}} = \frac{\text{Re}(vr^{-1})}{\sqrt{1 + |v|^2}}$$

is regular except at $(u, q) = (0, \pm 1)$. Hence, $E_{h,j}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{2b-1} if $h + j = \pm 1$, and a Mayer-Vietoris argument shows that $E_{h,j}$ is not homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{2b-1} if $h + j \neq \pm 1$. Since $f(0, \pm 1) = \pm 1$, it also follows that $f^{-1}(0)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} .

From now on we assume that

$$h + j = 1, \quad (1.0.4)$$

and we set

$$k = h - j. \quad (1.0.5)$$

So

$$k = 2h - 1.$$

For simplicity, we will write Σ_k^{2b-1} for $E_{h,j}$ and Φ_k for $\Phi_{h,j}$, and set

$$S_k^{2b-2} \equiv f^{-1}(0).$$

The Hirsch-Milnor construction ([12]) begins with the observation that the involution

$$\begin{aligned} T &: \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \longrightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \\ T &: (u, q) \mapsto (u, -q) \end{aligned}$$

induces a well-defined free involution of Σ_k^{2b-1} . Moreover, T leaves S_k^{2b-2} invariant. Lemma 3 of [12] says that the quotient of any fixed point free involution on \mathbb{S}^n is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^n$. In particular, all of our spaces

$$P_k^{2b-2} \equiv S_k^{2b-2}/T$$

are homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^{2b-2}$. Hirsch and Milnor then show that when $b = 4$, P_k^6 is not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^6$, provided Σ_k^7 is an odd element of Θ_7 , the group of oriented diffeomorphism classes of differential structures on \mathbb{S}^7 . According to pages 102 and 103 of [6], there are 16 oriented diffeomorphism classes among the Σ_k^7 s, and among these, 8 are odd elements of Θ_7 .

To understand how this works octonionically, we let Θ_{15} be the group of oriented diffeomorphism classes of differential structures on \mathbb{S}^{15} , and we let bP_{16} be the set of the elements of Θ_{15} that bound parallelizable manifolds. According to [13], bP_{16} is a cyclic subgroup of Θ_{15} of order 8, 128 and index 2, and according to Theorem 1.3 in [3], Θ_{15} is not cyclic. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{15} &\cong bP_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{8,128} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

According to Wall ([19]), a homotopy sphere bounds a parallelizable manifold if and only if it bounds a 7-connected manifold. In particular, each of the Σ_k^{15} s is in bP_{16} .

According to pages 101–107 of [6], Σ_k^{15} represents an odd element of bP_{16} if and only if $\frac{h(h-1)}{2}$ is odd, that is, h is congruent to 2 or 3 mod 4.

The Hirsch-Milnor argument, combined with the fact that $\Theta_{15} \cong bP_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, implies P_k^{14} is not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^{14}$, if Σ_k^{15} is an odd element of bP_{16} .

We let

$$G^\Lambda \equiv \begin{cases} SO(3) & \text{when } \Lambda = \mathbb{H} \\ G_2 & \text{when } \Lambda = \mathbb{O}. \end{cases}$$

Davis observed that since G^Λ is the automorphism group of Λ , the diagonal action

$$\begin{aligned} G^\Lambda \times \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} &\longrightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \\ g(u, v) &= (g(u), g(v)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.0.6)$$

induces a well-defined G^Λ -action on Σ_k^{2b-1} ([5]).

Next we observe that the Davis action leaves $S_k^{2b-2} = f^{-1}(0)$ invariant and commutes with T , giving us the $SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ actions of Lemma C and the $G_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ actions of Lemma D.

2. IDENTIFYING THE ORBIT SPACES

In this section, we prove Lemmas C and D simultaneously and hence Theorem A. In Lemma 2.1 (below), we identify the quotient map for the standard G^Λ -action of \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} . In Lemma 2.2 (below), we identify the quotient map for the Davis action on S_k^{2b-2} . Then in Key Lemma 2.3, we show that the two G^Λ quotients are the same. It is then a simple matter to identify the two $G^\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ quotient spaces with each other.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} be the unit sphere in $\Lambda \oplus \text{Im}(\Lambda)$, and let \langle, \rangle be the real dot product. The map*

$$Q_s : \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} \longrightarrow Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (|a|, \text{Re } a, \langle \text{Im } a, \text{Im } c \rangle)$$

has the following properties.

1. The fibers of Q_s coincide with the orbits of the G^Λ action

$$G^\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}$$

$$(g, (a, c)) \mapsto (g(a), g(c)).$$

2. The image of Q_s is $Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}) =$

$$\left\{ (x, y, z) \mid x \in [0, 1], y \in [-x, x], z \in \left[-\sqrt{(x^2 - y^2)(1 - x^2)}, \sqrt{(x^2 - y^2)(1 - x^2)} \right] \right\}.$$

3. The principal orbits are mapped to the interior of $Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2})$. The fixed points are mapped to $(1, 1, 0)$ and $(1, -1, 0)$, and the other orbits are mapped to $\partial Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}) \setminus \{(1, 1, 0), (1, -1, 0)\}$.

Proof. Part 2 follows from the observations that

$$|a| \in [0, 1],$$

$$\text{Re } a \in [-|a|, |a|],$$

$$\langle \text{Im } a, \text{Im } c \rangle \in [-|\text{Im}(a)| |\text{Im}(c)|, |\text{Im}(a)| |\text{Im}(c)|],$$

and

$$|\text{Im}(a)| |\text{Im}(c)| \in \left[0, \sqrt{(|a|^2 - \text{Re}(a)^2)(1 - |a|^2)} \right].$$

Since the three quantities $|a|$, $\text{Re } a$, $\langle \text{Im } a, \text{Im } c \rangle$ are invariant under G^Λ , each orbit of G^Λ is contained in a fiber of Q_s .

Conversely, if (a_1, c_1) and (a_2, c_2) satisfy $Q_s(a_1, c_1) = Q_s(a_2, c_2)$, then

$$|a_1| = |a_2|$$

$$\text{Re}(a_1) = \text{Re}(a_2), \text{ and}$$

$$\langle \text{Im } a_1, \text{Im } c_1 \rangle = \langle \text{Im } a_2, \text{Im } c_2 \rangle.$$

Together with $\text{Re}(c_i) = 0$ and $|a_i|^2 + |c_i|^2 = 1$, this gives

$$|\text{Im}(a_1)| = |\text{Im}(a_2)|$$

$$|\text{Im}(c_1)| = |\text{Im}(c_2)|.$$

Since we also have $\langle \text{Im } a_1, \text{Im } c_1 \rangle = \langle \text{Im } a_2, \text{Im } c_2 \rangle$, it follows that an element of G^Λ carries (a_1, c_1) to (a_2, c_2) . This completes the proof of Part 1.

To prove Part 3, we first note that the orbit of (a, c) is not principal if and only if

$$|\langle \text{Im } a, \text{Im } c \rangle| = |\text{Im } (a)| |\text{Im } (c)|,$$

and this is equivalent to $Q_s(a, c) \in \partial Q_s(a, c)$. So the principal orbits are mapped onto the interior of $Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2})$.

On the other hand, the fixed points are $(\pm 1, 0)$ and $Q_s(\pm 1, 0) = (1, \pm 1, 0)$ as claimed. \square

Before proceeding, recall that we view

$$\Sigma_k^{2b-1} = (\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}) \cup_{\Phi_k} (\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}),$$

where Φ_k is determined by Equations (1.0.3), (1.0.4), and (1.0.5). Combining this with the definition of S_k^{2b-2} , we have that

$$S_k^{2b-2} = U_1 \cup_{\Phi_k} U_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &\equiv \{(u, q) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \mid \text{Re } (q) = 0\} \text{ and} \\ U_2 &\equiv \{(v, r) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1} \mid \text{Re } (vr^{-1}) = \text{Re } \bar{v}r = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The quotient map of the G^Λ -action on S_k^{2b-2} has the following description.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\phi : R^n \rightarrow R$ be given by, $\phi(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|v|^2}}$.*

The map

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &: S_k^{2b-2} \rightarrow Q_k(S_k^{2b-2}) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^3 \\ Q_k|_{U_1}(u, q) &= \phi(u) (|u|, \text{Re } uq, \phi(u) \langle \text{Im } uq, \text{Im } q \rangle) \\ Q_k|_{U_2}(v, r) &= \phi(v) (|r|, \text{Re } r, \phi(v) \langle \text{Im } r, \text{Im } \bar{v}r \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and has fibers that coincide with the orbits of G^Λ .

Proof. To see that Q_k is well-defined, we will show

$$Q_k|_{U_1 \setminus \{0 \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}\}} = Q_k|_{U_2 \setminus \{0 \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}\}} \circ \Phi_k|_{U_1 \setminus \{0 \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}\}}. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Since

$$\Phi_k(u, q) = \left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}, \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right)^h q \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right)^{-(h-1)} \right),$$

where $k = 2h - 1$, the left hand side of Equation (2.2.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_k|_{U_2 \setminus \{0 \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}\}} \circ \Phi_k|_{U_1 \setminus \{0 \times \mathbb{S}^{b-1}\}}(u, q) = Q_k \left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}, \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right) \\ &= \phi \left(\frac{u}{|u|^2} \right) \left(\left| \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right|, \text{Re } \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|}, \phi \left(\frac{u}{|u|^2} \right) \left\langle \text{Im } \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|}, \text{Im } \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|^2} \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right\rangle \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.2)$$

To see that this is equal to $Q_k|_{U_1 \setminus \{0 \times S^{b-1}\}}(u, q)$, we will simplify each coordinate separately. Before doing so we point out that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|u|} \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) &= \frac{1}{|u|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|u|^2}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|u|^2 + 1}} \\ &= \phi(u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

So the first coordinate of the right hand side of Equation (2.2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) \left| \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right| &= \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) \\ &= |u| \phi(u), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4}$$

and the second coordinate of the right hand side of Equation (2.2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) \operatorname{Re} \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} &= \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{uq}{|u|}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|u|} \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right) \operatorname{Re}(uq) \\ &= \phi(u) \operatorname{Re}(uq), \text{ by Equation (2.2.3).} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that the third coordinate of the right hand side of Equation (2.2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right)^2 \left\langle \operatorname{Im} \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|}, \operatorname{Im} \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|^2} \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right\rangle \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right)^2 \left\langle \operatorname{Im} \frac{u^h q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|}, \operatorname{Im} \frac{u^{h-1} q u^{-(h-1)}}{|u|} \right\rangle \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{u}{|u|^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|u|^2} \langle \operatorname{Im} u^{h-1} (uq) u^{-(h-1)}, \operatorname{Im} u^{h-1} (q) u^{-(h-1)} \rangle \\ &= \phi(u)^2 \langle \operatorname{Im} uq, \operatorname{Im} q \rangle, \text{ by Equation (2.2.3).} \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous three displays with Equation (2.2.2) and the definition of $Q_k|_{U_1}$, we see that $Q_k : S_k^{2b-2} \rightarrow Q_k(S_k^{2b-2}) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^3$ is well-defined.

To see that $Q_k|_{U_1}$ is constant on each orbit of G^Λ , we use the fact that G^Λ acts by isometries and commutes with conjugation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} g(u) g(q) &= \langle g(u), \overline{g(q)} \rangle \\ &= \langle g(u), g(\bar{q}) \rangle \\ &= \langle u, \bar{q} \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(uq). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \operatorname{Im}(g(u)g(q)), \operatorname{Im}g(q) \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Re}(g(u))\operatorname{Im}g(q) + \operatorname{Re}(g(q))\operatorname{Im}g(u) + \operatorname{Im}g(u)\operatorname{Im}g(q), \operatorname{Im}g(q) \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Re}(u)\operatorname{Im}g(q) + \operatorname{Re}(q)\operatorname{Im}g(u), \operatorname{Im}g(q) \rangle \\
&= \langle g(\operatorname{Re}(u)\operatorname{Im}(q) + \operatorname{Re}(q)\operatorname{Im}(u)), g(\operatorname{Im}(q)) \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Re}(u)\operatorname{Im}(q) + \operatorname{Re}(q)\operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Im}(q) \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Re}(u)\operatorname{Im}(q) + \operatorname{Re}(q)\operatorname{Im}(u) + \operatorname{Im}uq\operatorname{Im}q, \operatorname{Im}(q) \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Im}(uq), \operatorname{Im}q \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|g(u)| = |u|$ and $\phi(gu) = \phi(u)$, it follows that

$$Q_k|_{U_1} \begin{pmatrix} g(u) \\ g(q) \end{pmatrix} = Q_k|_{U_1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining this with

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_k|_{U_2} g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix} &= (1, \operatorname{Re}(r), 0) \\
&= Q_k|_{U_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that Q_k is constant on each orbit of G^Λ .

On the other hand, if

$$Q_k|_{U_1}(u_1, q_1) = Q_k|_{U_1}(u_2, q_2),$$

then

$$\phi(u_1)|u_1| = \phi(u_2)|u_2|, \tag{2.2.5}$$

$$\phi(u_1)^2 \langle \operatorname{Im}(u_1q_1), q_1 \rangle = \phi(u_2)^2 \langle \operatorname{Im}(u_2q_2), q_2 \rangle, \text{ and} \tag{2.2.6}$$

$$\phi(u_1) \operatorname{Re} u_1q_1 = \phi(u_2) \operatorname{Re} u_2q_2. \tag{2.2.7}$$

Equation (2.2.5) implies that $|u_1| = |u_2|$ and $\phi(u_1) = \phi(u_2)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(u_1) &= \operatorname{Re}(u_1) \langle q_1, q_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle (\operatorname{Re}(u_1) + \operatorname{Im}(u_1))q_1, q_1 \rangle, \text{ since } \operatorname{Re}(q_1) = 0 \\
&= \langle u_1q_1, q_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Im}(u_1q_1), q_1 \rangle, \text{ since } \operatorname{Re}(q_1) = 0 \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Im}(u_2q_2), q_2 \rangle, \text{ by Equation (2.2.6) and the fact that } \phi(u_1) = \phi(u_2) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}(u_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \operatorname{Im}(u_1), q_1 \rangle &= -\langle u_1, \bar{q}_1 \rangle, \text{ since } \operatorname{Re}(q_1) = 0 \\
&= -\operatorname{Re} u_1 q_1 \\
&= -\operatorname{Re} u_2 q_2, \text{ by Equation 2.2.7 and the fact that } \phi(u_1) = \phi(u_2) \\
&= -\langle u_2, \bar{q}_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle \operatorname{Im}(u_2), q_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with $|u_1| = |u_2|$ and the fact that q_1 and q_2 are imaginary, the previous two displays imply that $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same orbit.

Finally suppose that

$$Q_k|_{U_2}(0, r_1) = Q_k|_{U_2}(0, r_2).$$

Then

$$(1, \operatorname{Re}(r_1), 0) = (1, \operatorname{Re}(r_2), 0).$$

Since we also have that $|r_1| = |r_2| = 1$, it follows that $(0, r_1)$ and $(0, r_2)$ are in the same G^Λ -orbit. \square

Key Lemma 2.3. *Let Q_s be as in Lemma 2.1.*

1. *There is a well-defined surjective map*

$$\tilde{Q}_k : S_k^{2b-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}/G^\Lambda$$

whose fibers coincide with the orbits of the G^Λ action on S_k^{2b-2} .

2. *The orbit types of $p \in S_k^{2b-2}$ and $Q_s^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_k(p))$ coincide.*

3. *For $p \in S_k^{2b-2}$ and any $q \in Q_s^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_k(p))$ the isotropy representation of G_p^Λ and G_q^Λ are equivalent.*

In particular, $\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}/G^\Lambda$ and S_k^{2b-2}/G^Λ are equivalent orbit spaces.

Proof. Motivated by [7, 20], we let $h_1, h_2 : \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(u, q) &= \begin{pmatrix} uq \\ q \end{pmatrix} \phi(u) \text{ and} \\
h_2(v, r) &= \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \bar{v}r \end{pmatrix} \phi(v).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that Q_s and Q_k are related by

$$Q_k = \begin{cases} Q_s \circ h_1 & \text{on } U_1 \\ Q_s \circ h_2 & \text{on } U_2 \end{cases}. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_s \circ h_1(u, q) &= Q_s \left(\begin{pmatrix} uq \\ q \end{pmatrix} \phi(u) \right) \\
&= \phi(u) (|u|, \operatorname{Re} uq, \phi(u) \langle \operatorname{Im} uq, \operatorname{Im} q \rangle) \\
&= Q_k(u, q)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s \circ h_2(v, r) &= Q_s \left(\begin{array}{c} r \\ \bar{v}r \end{array} \right) \phi(v) \\ &= \phi(v) (|r|, \operatorname{Re}(r), \phi(v) \langle \operatorname{Im} r, \operatorname{Im} \bar{v}r \rangle) \\ &= Q_k(v, r), \end{aligned}$$

proving Equation (2.3.1).

Since $h_1(\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-2}) \cup h_2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{b-2}) = \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}$, Equation (2.3.1) implies that $Q_k(S_k^{2b-2}) = Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2})$; so setting $\tilde{Q}_k = Q_k$ gives a well-defined surjective map

$$\tilde{Q}_k : S_k^{2b-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}/G^\Lambda,$$

and Part 1 is proven. Parts 2 and 3 follow from the observation that h_1 and h_2 are G^Λ -equivariant embeddings. \square

Since the antipodal map $A : \mathbb{S}^{2b-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2b-2}$ and the involution

$$T : S_k^{2b-2} \rightarrow S_k^{2b-2}$$

from page 4, commute with the G^Λ -actions (0.0.1), (0.0.2) and (1.0.6), they induce well-defined \mathbb{Z}_2 -actions on our orbit space $Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}) = Q_e(S_e^{2b-2}) =$

$$\left\{ (x, y, z) \mid x \in [0, 1], y \in [-x, x], z \in \left[-\sqrt{(x^2 - y^2)(1 - x^2)}, \sqrt{(x^2 - y^2)(1 - x^2)} \right] \right\}.$$

A simple calculation shows that the two \mathbb{Z}_2 -actions on $Q_s(\mathbb{S}^{2b-2})$ coincide and are given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, -y, z).$$

Since quotient maps of isometric group actions preserve lower curvature bounds, $\mathbb{S}^{2b-2}/(SO(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has curvature ≥ 1 ([4]). Therefore, Theorem A follows from Theorem B and Key Lemma 2.3.

3. SOME CLOSING REMARKS

In the same paper, Hirsch and Milnor also constructed exotic $\mathbb{R}P^5$ s, P_k^5 s. The Davis action also descends to the P_k^5 s where they commute with an $SO(2)$ -action. The combined $SO(2) \times SO(3)$ -action on the P_k^5 s is by cohomogeneity one. Dearnicott and Grove-Ziller observed that since these cohomogeneity one actions have codimension 2 singular orbits, Theorem E of [9] implies that they admit invariant metrics of nonnegative curvature.

Octonionically, the Hirsch-Milnor construction yields closed 13-manifolds, P_k^{13} , that are homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^{13}$. Their proof that the P_k^5 s are not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^5$ breaks down, since in contrast to dimension 6, there is an exotic 14-sphere; however, Chenxu He has informed us that some of the P_k^{13} s are in fact exotic ([11]).

The Davis construction yields a cohomogeneity one action of $SO(2) \times G_2$ on the P_k^{13} s, only now one of the singular orbits has codimension 6. So we cannot apply Theorem E of [9]. Moreover, there are cohomogeneity one manifolds that do not admit invariant metrics with nonnegative curvature ([8, 10]). On the other hand, by the main theorem of [16], every cohomogeneity one manifold admits an invariant metric with almost nonnegative curvature.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Abresch, C. Durán, T. Püttmann, and A. Rigas, *Wiedersehen metrics and exotic involutions of Euclidean spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 605 (2007), 1–21.
- [2] J. Baez, *The octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002), 145–205.
- [3] G. Brumfiel, *On the homotopy groups of BPL and PL/O*, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 291–311.
- [4] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, G. Perelman, *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below, I*, Uspechi Mat. Nauk. 47 (1992), 3–51.
- [5] M. Davis, *Some group actions on homotopy spheres of dimensions seven and fifteen*, Amer. Journ. of Math. 104 (1982) 59–90.
- [6] J. Eells and N. H. Kuiper, *An invariant for certain smooth manifolds*, Annali Mat. 60 (1962), 93–110.
- [7] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, *An exotic sphere with nonnegative sectional curvature*. Ann. of Math. (2) 100 (1974), 401–406.
- [8] K. Grove, L. Verdiani, B. Wilking, W. Ziller, *Non-negative curvature obstructions in cohomogeneity one and the Kervaire spheres*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Volume 5, Issue 2, (2006) 159–170.
- [9] K. Grove and W. Ziller, *Curvature and symmetry of Milnor Spheres*, Ann. of Math. 152 (2000), 331–367.
- [10] C. He, *New examples of obstructions to non-negative sectional curvatures in cohomogeneity one manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., published electronically on March 4, 2014, also at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0910.5712.pdf>
- [11] C. He, personal communication.
- [12] M. Hirsch and J. Milnor, *Some curious involutions of spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), no. 3, 372–377.
- [13] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, *Groups of homotopy spheres I*, Ann. of Math., 77 (1963), 504–537.
- [14] J. Milnor, *On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere*, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), 399–405.
- [15] L. Schwachhöfer, W. Tuschmann, *Metrics of positive Ricci curvature on quotient spaces*, Math. Ann., **330**, no. 1 (2004), 59–91.
- [16] L. Schwachhöfer, W. Tuschmann, *Almost nonnegative curvature and cohomogeneity one*, Preprint no. 62, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften Leipzig, <http://www.mis.mpg.de/cgi-bin/preprints.pl>
- [17] C. Searle and F. Wilhelm, *How to lift positive Ricci curvature*, Geometry and Topology, 19 (2015) 1409–1475.
- [18] N. Shimada, *Differentiable structures on the 15-sphere and Pontriagin classes of certain manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J., 12 (1957), 37–69.
- [19] C. Wall, *Classification of $(l - 1)$ -connected $2/l$ -manifolds*, Ann. of Math., 75 (1962), 163–189.
- [20] F. Wilhelm, *Exotic spheres with lots of positive curvatures*, J. Geom. Anal. 11 (2001), no. 1, 161–186.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

E-mail address: rajan@math.ucr.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/site/priyankarrajgeometry/home>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

E-mail address: fred@math.ucr.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/site/frederickhwilhelmjr/home>