

EPO10, 7 December 2023, Skopje

Studying of well-known and widely used technical patent. The principle of operation of auto-zero and chopper-stabilized DC amplifiers

Todor M. Mishonov,^{*} Albert M. Varonov,[†] and Peter V. Peshev, Vasil G. Yordanov
*Physics Faculty, St. Clement of Ohrid University at Sofia,
 5 James Bourchier Blvd., BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria*

Stojan G. Manolev
*Middle School "Goce Delchev",
 Purvomaiska str. 3, MKD-2460 Valandovo*

Riste Popeski-Dimovski[‡]
*Institute of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics,
 "Ss. Cyril and Methodius" University, Skopje, R. N. Macedonia
 (Dated: 29 Dec 2023, 15:30)*

The problem given to the 10 Experimental-Physics Olympiad (EPO10) 7 December 2023, Skopje, 11:00–15:00. The Olympiad is organized by Society of Physicists Macedonia. and the Sofia Branch of the Union of Physicists in Bulgaria. The experimental set-up illustrates the principle of chopper stabilized DC amplifiers described in the patent by Edwin Goldberg and Jules Lehmann, *Stabilized direct current amplifier*, U.S. Patent 2,684,999 (1949). Now this principle is implemented in many contemporary operational amplifiers. In such a way this student problem is related to the operation of widely used technical device and many participants successfully solved the problem.

I. EPO10 PROBLEM

On the scheme (circuit) shown in Fig. 1 both switches are paired (also called bipolar on/off switch or double switch) and both can be simultaneously up (marked with "U" and "u"), see Fig. 2 or down (marked with "D" and "d"), see Fig. 3. The capacitors with capacitance C_h and C_v are charged, by switching, from the both sources of electrical voltage \mathcal{E} and ϵ .




FIG. 1. Circuit of charging of capacitors with paired switches.

- Measure with voltmeter the voltage U_v of the capacitor C_v after performing big enough number of switchings of the paired switches.

^{*} mishonov@gmail.com

[†] akofmg@gmail.com

[‡] ristepd@gmail.com




FIG. 2. Double switch in up position.




FIG. 3. Double switch in down position.

- How the voltage U_v depends on \mathcal{E} , ϵ , C_h and C_v and where this task could find technical application?
- Measure the voltage U_h at capacitor C_h after performing big number fast switching.

If you can solve the task in your own way, you could start working without reading the instructions. Students who can not perform the experiment may try to predict the result of the experiment without measurements - this is also accepted as a solution.

II. GUIDELINES FOR ACCOMPLISHMENT OF THE TASKS

If you do not know how to start, follow the instructions step by step, corresponding to the numerated tasks. **Attention: do NOT give the batteries on short-circuit!** If you try to measure the voltage of a battery, and the multimeter is turned on as an ampere-meter you can burn out the ampere-meter mode. *All measurements with the multi-meters should be performed using their most accurate possible range depending on the task.*

Different tasks with increasing difficulty are described in the sections corresponding to each age category. These tasks lead to the entire solution of the problem of the EPO10. Try to solve as much tasks as possible without paying attention to the age category. The age-category serves for evaluation purpose mainly. Please, keep the humanitarian text as short as possible.

In Fig. 4, a photo of the experimental set-up is given. This picture might be a little bit different if additional pair




FIG. 4. A photo of the entire experimental set-up. Only one 1 multi-meter is shown (right), which is the necessary minimum for performing the experiment. One can see a wooden panel (left) with the double switch in its center, 2 different electrolytic capacitors, holders for 4 and 2 type AA batteries (middle), 12 crocodile cables and a pair of probes for the multi-meter (right).

of multimeter probes ending with crocodile clips are given to be used for connecting the sockets of the panel labeled with “u”, “s” and “d”, both lowercase and uppercase.

III. TASKS S. GETTING TO KNOW THE VOLTAGE SOURCE

1. Switch the multimeter as a voltmeter. Plug the test probes in the multimeter. Measure and write down the voltage of all 4 given 1.5 V type AA batteries. Use the maximal accuracy range of the voltmeter.

2. Connect two batteries with each other when the plus of the first is connected with the minus of the other, this is a series of consecutive connections, see Fig. 5. Measure the voltage and compare it with the sum of the voltages of the two batteries. Now connect the both pluses of the batteries and measure the voltage between the two minuses, see Fig. 6. What is the difference between the calculated and the measured values?




FIG. 5. Connecting the batteries in series, the plus of one of the batteries is connected with the minus of the other battery.




FIG. 6. Connecting the batteries in series, the plus of one of the batteries is connected to the plus of the other battery.

3. Put a single battery in the 2-battery holder and use crocodile-clips cable to short-circuit the remaining empty place for the missing battery, see Fig. 7, and write down the voltage ϵ , it should be slightly above 1.5 V.




FIG. 7. One battery in 2-battery holder. One crocodile cable gives a short circuit to the missing battery. In such a way we obtain a voltage source with voltage \mathcal{E}_1 .




FIG. 8. Two batteries in a 4-battery holder. The crocodile cable gives short circuit to the places of two missing batteries and we have voltage source with voltage $\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2$. Analogously we can obtain voltage $\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3$ using 3 batteries, or \mathcal{E}_1 using only one battery.

4. Analogously, put three batteries in the 4-battery holder and using a crocodile-clips cable to short-circuit the empty place for the missing battery measure the voltage \mathcal{E} , see Fig. 8; it should be slightly above 4.5 V. Now you have voltage sources for the electric scheme (circuit).
5. Use the 1.5 V voltage source, crocodile cable and charge 470 μF capacitor. Minus probe of the battery must be connected to the minus lead of the capacitor. Minus lead (pin) of the electrolytic capacitor is marked by a series of “-” signs on the capacitor and it is shorter than the “+” lead (pin). For the light emitting diodes (LED) is used the same convention. Use the voltmeter to check whether the battery is properly connected to the capacitor. Now the capacitor is charged.

IV. TASKS M. CHARGING AND DISCHARGING OF CAPACITORS

6. Now prepare to write down the voltage U as function of time t as it is suggested on Table I. Remove the voltage source and write down the voltage U_i and time t_i every 30 seconds during 10 minutes. Those are 21 experimental points. Use the maximal possible accuracy range of 2000 mV when the capacitor is charged by the 1.5 V battery. Leave space for an extra column which will be filled in later.
7. Now take a graph paper and present graphically the results from the table. Draw smooth curve, which passes close to the measured data points. Choose the most optimal scaling so that the graph to be as large and as clear as possible.
8. Extend Table I with an extra column (column 4) for which calculate and fill in $-\ln[U_i/U_0]$, where U_0 is your first voltage measurement at $t = 0$ and $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

i	t_i [s]	U_i [mV]	$-\ln(U_i/U_0)$
0
1
2			
3			
\vdots

TABLE I. The voltage of the capacitor as a function of time. In the last column, U_0 is the voltage of the first measurement labeled by the index $i=0$, or $U_0 \equiv U_{i=0} \equiv U(t=0)$. Do not draw vertical lines in tables.

9. Present graphically $-\ln[U(t)/U(0)]$ (Table I, column 4) versus t and draw a straight line through the cloud of points. What quantity reveals the slope of the straight line in this plot?
10. Choose two points in the approximating line and determine the difference between the abscissa Δt and ordinate $-\Delta \ln[U(t)/U(0)]$, i.e. the slope of the line

$$a = \frac{-\Delta \ln[U(t)/U(0)]}{\Delta t} \equiv \frac{-(\ln[U(t)/U(0)]_B - \ln[U(t)/U(0)]_A)}{t_B - t_A} = \frac{1}{\tau}$$

for two points named A and B, for instance. A simplification is possible: in our case $t_A = 0$ and $-\ln[U(t)/U(0)]_A = 0$, as well.

11. Calculate the quantity $\tau \equiv 1/a = \Delta t / (-\Delta \ln[U(t)/U(0)])$. What is the dimension of this quantity and why?
12. Switch the other multimeter as an Ohm-meter and measure the internal resistance R of the used up to now voltmeter and write it down.
13. Calculate the capacitance of the capacitor C from $\tau = RC$ and write it down.
14. Using a crocodile cable connect the probes of the capacitor. This short circuit should be at least 30 seconds and remove the cable. What voltage shows the voltmeter; write down this small voltage. Now we can say that the capacitor is discharged.
15. If one of your multi-meters has the option to measure a capacitance, measure C with it. If not, take the nominal value of C indicated on the body of the used up to now capacitor.
16. Compare both results for C and present their difference in %. Which one is more reliable according to you and why (having in mind that the nominal value of C is accurate within 20%)?

V. TASKS L. THE EXPERIMENT WITH THE GIVEN CIRCUIT

17. Connect the circuit shown in Fig. 1 using the given experimental set: $C_h = 470 \mu\text{F}$, $C_v = 4700 \mu\text{F}$, $\epsilon \approx 1.5 \text{ V}$ and $\mathcal{E} \approx 4.5 \text{ V}$ and the wooden panel with the double switch and the sockets labeled “u”, “s” and “d” (both lowercase and uppercase). The number of cables is limited, use the insulating head of the sockets in order to screw one probe (pin) of the capacitors to the metal ring as it is shown in Fig. 9, sparing one cable for this connection.
18. After connecting the circuit, check the polarity of the electrolytic capacitors. The sign ‘plus’ is not shown on the capacitor. The sign ‘minus’ is marked with one or several signs (-, -, -, - -). In case of inappropriate connection of the polarity, the electrolytic capacitors are discharged very quickly and the measurement cannot be performed with maximal accuracy.
19. Measure the voltage U_v of the capacitor C_v after performing large enough number of fast switching of the double/paired switch and write it down. Continuously switch the switch and observe the readings (testimonies) of the voltmeter.
20. Repeat the same experiment measuring the voltage of the other capacitor U_h . Having 2 multi-meters you can measure those voltages simultaneously.




FIG. 9. Longer plus lead (pin) of the electrolytic capacitor is screwed by the insulating cap to the metal ring of the socket. Crocodiles are connected to the capacitor pins.

21. Make a new table with 5 columns as it is shown on Table II: 1) the number of the measurement, 2) voltage at position \mathcal{E} , 3) voltage at position ϵ , 4) voltage at capacitor U_v , 5) voltage at capacitor U_h . Write down the results of your last measurements in the table.

#	\mathcal{E} (V)	ϵ (V)	U_v (V)	U_h (V)
1	4.5	1.5
2	3.0	1.5
3	1.5	1.5
4	0.0	1.5

TABLE II. Example for presenting the experimental data; you have to explore all 4 combinations. Here, the values for \mathcal{E} and ϵ are examples. You have to fill in your measured values during the experiment. Usually, new AA 1.5 V batteries have typical voltage $\gtrsim 1.6$ V. Do not draw vertical lines in tables.

22. Repeat the measurement of U_v and U_h after performing large enough number of fast switchings of the paired switch (circuit breaker) using different \mathcal{E} sources with different voltages: 0.0 V, 1.5 V, 3.0 V and 4.5 V (or no battery, 1 battery, 2 batteries and 3 batteries). For this purpose you have to use 4-battery holder and a crocodile cable to short-circuit the remaining empty place(s) for battery as it is shown in Fig. 8. Present the data in a table, as shown in Table II. Zero voltage is obtained, when you remove all batteries and replace them with a conductor (piece of wire or a cable in the given set).
23. Analyze the table with the experimental data and try to determine a common rule for calculation of U_v by using the parameters of the circuit \mathcal{E} , ϵ , U_h and U_v . Is it possible to derive this common rule by theoretical consideration? “We discover with intuition – we prove with logic”.

VI. TASKS L AND XL. POSSIBLE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE SOLVED PROBLEM?

24. At the end, **only after the experimental solution of the whole “L” problem from Sec. V**, you may consider where this problem could find technical application. This is the most difficult task requiring understanding and thinking.

VII. TASKS L AND XL. THEORETICAL PROBLEMS RELATED TO THE EXPERIMENT

25. The charged capacitor is discharged through the internal resistance R of the voltmeter, used in the experiment in Sec. IV. Derive the time dependence of the voltage of the slowly discharging capacitor $U(t) = U(0) \exp(-t/\tau)$ where time constant $\tau = RC$. Take the logarithm and derive $-\ln(U(t)/U(0)) = t/\tau$. Describe how this linear dependence can be used to determine the capacitance C . Derive the formula $\tau = RC = t/\ln(U(0)/U(t))$.
26. Suggest an easier and quicker method for the determination of τ than the one proposed here. Use it to calculate τ again and compare it with the obtained value from the experiment in Sec. IV, present the difference in %. Make short comment about the reliability of the suggested method in terms of accuracy versus necessary effort.

Physics does not comprise endless calculations and measurements, sometimes not so accurate estimation is preferable.

27. To analyze the circuit (scheme) you have to draw it twice. Once with switches in the up position and once again with switches in the down position. After that redraw the schemes and omit the inessential details, for example, the loose end of the conductors. Can you calculate the value of U_v after performing a large number of switchings? Is there an agreement between the calculation and the measurement?

VIII. AFTERGLOW OF “EPO BIO-FIELD”

Determine the capacitance \mathcal{C} of the bigger capacitor with nominal capacitance $4700\ \mu\text{F}$. Discharging the capacitor in this case is very slow $U(t) = U(0) - \Delta U(t)$ and for 10 minutes $\Delta U(t) \ll U(0)$. In this case, $\ln(U(0)/U(t)) \approx \Delta U(t)/U(0) \ll 1$ and $\mathcal{C} \approx (t/R) [U(0)/\Delta U(t)]$. Charge the capacitor for 1 minute and measure at the end the voltage $U(0)$. Switch off the voltage source, this is the moment $t = 0$. After five minutes ($t = 300\text{ s}$) measure the decrease voltage of the capacitor $\Delta U(t)$. Can you invent the circuit (scheme) for which $\Delta U(t)$ is measured with maximal sensitivity range of 200 mV of the multi-meter. Evaluate in percentage % the accuracy $100 \times |\mathcal{C}/(4.7\text{ mF}) - 1|$ of the difference between the measured and the nominal voltage. Send your solution to epo.mkd@gmail.com within a week.

One of the purposes of the Olympiad is to enhance the skills of participants seeking a carrier in science or engineering. That is why, we are giving the experimental set-up as a gift to all participants so that every one of them can repeat at home the experiment and hopefully will be able to reach the level of the Olympiad champion.

The solution of the problem will be published in arXiv (<https://arxiv.org/>) within one month.

Appendix A: Solution of the EPO10 Problem

1. The answer of the problem of this EPO10

Participants were requested to find the solution by performing an experiment, however, solving the problem by mathematical analysis is also recognized as alternative solution. The answer of the EPO10 problem is

$$U_v = \epsilon. \quad (A1)$$

The goal of the theoretical calculations and the measurements is the same: understanding and *pleasure of finding things out*. And development of possible technical applications as a by-product.

2. Introduction

After big enough number of switchings, the voltage of the voltmeter U_v does not depend on \mathcal{E} , C_h and C_v and reaches a final value ϵ . Where could this independence find application? – In the measurement of small DC (direct current) voltages. Imagine that \mathcal{E} is a parasitic voltage of a precise DC. This parasitic voltage is slowly changing with the time and we address the old problem of the floating of the zero of DC voltage amplifiers; i.e. the voltmeter shows a small and slowly time dependent voltage $\mathcal{E}(t)$ even if its input probes have the same electric potential. The idea to overcome this trouble is very simple: At short circuit at the input probes of the voltmeter the parasitic voltage has to charge a capacitor in order to be remembered. Than in the measuring phase, the capacitor has to be switched in opposite direction in order parasitic voltage to be subtracted from the output of the amplifier and then the voltmeter will show the measured voltage ϵ .

In other words it is a method for measuring of DC voltages, whereby the switches automatically reset the device and cut the parasitic voltage \mathcal{E} . In such a way, the floating zero of the DC amplifiers is eliminated. Parasitic voltage \mathcal{E} is compensated. This idea is patented [1] in 1949 and it is realized in big number of contemporary integrated circuits, auto-zero and chopper stabilized operational amplifiers. [2–7] The authors of the patent have solved simple electrotechnical task with two capacitors, in which only a school physics knowledge, described in the present problem, is used. After this general introduction we can return to our school physics problem.

3. Solution after big number of switchings

Regardless of the charge of the capacitor at the beginning, after a big number of switchings, a constant voltage U_v is established on the capacitor C_v . This voltage is measured by a voltmeter. Let us begin the analysis of the scheme (circuit), when the switches are in down position (D and d). For clarity, the scheme is redrawn on FIG. 10 and the unnecessary details are removed. In this case, the capacitor C_h is charged by the battery \mathcal{E} to a voltage $U_h = \mathcal{E}$, but the voltage of the capacitor C_v is U_v . Let us ignore the slow discharge of C_v through the voltmeter. After one switching of the switch in up position (U and u) the charge of the capacitors is not changed, but now, as it is shown on FIG. 11, we have two batteries and two capacitors connected in series. The sum of the voltages of the batteries is equal to the sum of the voltages on the capacitors $\mathcal{E} + \epsilon = U_h + U_v$. But as it was shown earlier $U_h = \mathcal{E}$ and for the voltage of the capacitor C_v remains $U_v = \epsilon$. In such a way, the main result of the EPO10 Eq. (A1) can be derived by purely theoretical consideration of two circuits without making measurements. This alternative method is also a highly appreciated solution.

This way, thanks to the switches, the voltage \mathcal{E} is compensated and the voltmeter from FIG. 1 finally measures the voltage ϵ , without being directly connected to the poles of the battery giving this voltage. This is the main detail of the described method for measuring of small signals which are in some sense not directly connected to the voltmeter but always through some parasitic voltages. This result is not dependent on the ratio C_v/C_h , only the number of switchings should be significantly bigger than this ratio. In our case $C_v/C_h = 4700 \mu\text{F}/470 \mu\text{F} = 10$, and for example, an acceptable accuracy is reached after, let say 20 switchings.

The DC amplifiers, which are used for measuring small voltages, "suffer" from the so called "floating zero", the same way as the examined voltage ϵ is added to the parasitic voltage \mathcal{E} . That parasitic voltage can be eliminated by a system of switches and capacitors, very similar to the problem which we are solving. During the preparation of the EPO10 task, the amplifier was removed, but the system of switches and capacitors, performing the self-resetting [2, 3] was left unchanged. As we mentioned, the device is patented 74 years ago and is still one of the most frequently cited USA patents in the field of electronics [1].




FIG. 10. In down position of the switches from FIG. 1 the battery \mathcal{E} charges the capacitor C_h with a voltage $U_h = \mathcal{E}$ (the sign can be precised), and the voltmeter shows voltage U_v on the capacitor C_v .




FIG. 11. In “up position” of the switches from FIG. 1 we have two batteries connected in series and two capacitors in series. The sum of the voltages of the batteries is equal to the sum of the voltages of the capacitors $\mathcal{E} + \epsilon = U_h + U_v$. According to FIG. 10 we have $U_h = \mathcal{E}$ and consequently we arrive at $U_v = \epsilon$. In other words, the problem of the EPO10 can be solved only by carefully drawing of circuits. The principle of the voltage compensation is illustrated in FIG. 6.

4. Final remarks

The general purpose of the Experimental-Physics Olympiad (EPO) is to contribute to the education of experimental physics. All EPOs problems were especially created for the Olympiads. They were related to fundamental physics (for example, simple experimental set-ups for measurement of fundamental constants) or technical patents used in contemporary devices. [8–16]. Some experimental set-ups given to students during EPOs are described in great detail in Refs. [17–19]. The electronics related to the functioning of set-ups is described in specialized publications in engineering journals [20–22]. The present problem is a popular version of technical notes given by Analog Devices [2, 3]. In addition this EPO10 task is a cover version of the EPO1 task. Let us summarize what we have observed during these 10 years. When the problem is given at our Olympiads there are always several students capable to understand and solve the problem to great detail which is very encouraging. However, everybody experienced difficulty to guess what could be the technical application, which indicate the need to complement the education in physics.

Appendix B: Tasks S. Getting to know the voltage source

1. 1.625 V, 1.630 V, 1.625 V, 1.634 V.
2. -+-+: 3.27 V, -++-: 0.002 V.
3. 1.630 V.
4. 4.9 V.
5. Capacitor charge.

Appendix C: Tasks M. Charging and discharging of capacitors

6. The results from the measurements are presented in Table III.

i	t_i [s]	U_i [mV]	$-\ln(U_i/U_0)$
0	0	1623	0
1	30	1537	0.0544
2	60	1444	0.1169
3	90	1365	0.1731
4	120	1280	0.2374
5	150	1213	0.2912
6	180	1140	0.3532
7	210	1080	0.4073
8	240	1014	0.4704
9	270	960	0.5251
10	300	902	0.5874
11	330	854	0.6421
12	360	801	0.7062
13	390	760	0.7587
14	420	714	0.8211
15	450	675	0.8773
16	480	636	0.9368
17	510	602	0.9918
18	540	565	1.0552
19	570	536	1.1079
20	600	503	1.1714

TABLE III. Results from the measurements of the voltage of the capacitor as a function of time.

7. Fig. 12.
8. Table III, last column (column 4).
9. Fig. 13. The quantity is frequency [Hz] or reciprocal time [s], which is evident from the figure since the abscissa dimensionality is time and the ordinate is dimensionless.
10. Points A and B in Fig. 13, for which $t_A = 100 \text{ s}$ and $t_B = 400 \text{ s}$ and the ordinate values $-\ln[U(t)/U(0)]_A = 0.1945$ and $-\ln[U(t)/U(0)]_B = 0.7795$. Therefore

$$a = \frac{1}{\tau} = 1.95 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [s}^{-1} \equiv \text{Hz]}.$$

11. $\tau = 1/a \approx 512.8 \text{ s}$. The dimension is time because it is reciprocal to the slope of the line a .




FIG. 12. Time-dependence of the capacitor voltage $U(t)$ with the measurements from Table III.




FIG. 13. Time-dependence of the logarithm of dimensionless capacitor voltage $-\ln[U(t)/U_0]$. Points A and B are chosen to be on the approximating straight line, while not all experimental data points lie on the line. Due to the precise measurement in this case, most experimental points are very close to the line, which points to small experimental error.

12. $R = 0.97 \text{ M}\Omega$.

13. $C = \tau/R = 528.68 \approx 529 \text{ }\mu\text{F}$.

14. Taking nominal value written on the capacitor $C_n = 470 \text{ }\mu\text{F}$.

15. $\Delta C = |C - C_n|/C_n = 0.126 \times 100 = 12.6\%$. More accurate value is the measured one C because of the precise measurement evident from the excellent match of experimental points and approximating line in Fig. 13.

Appendix D: Tasks L. The experiment with the given circuit

16. Circuit connection.
17. Capacitor polarity check.
18. First measurements of U_v , matches #1 from Table IV.
19. First measurements of U_h , matches #1 from Table IV.
20. Table IV.

#	\mathcal{E} (V)	ϵ (V)	U_v (V)	$U_h(V)$
1	4.910	1.632	1.622	4.95
2	3.270	1.632	1.626	3.31
3	1.632	1.632	1.628	1.70
4	0.0	1.632	1.628	0.04

TABLE IV. Solution of large enough fast switching, 1 multimeter was used and therefore U_h and U_v were measured one after another. One can see that $U_v \approx \epsilon$ and $U_h \approx \mathcal{E}$.

21. Table IV rows after #1.
22. Analyzing Table IV we observe that within experimental accuracy $U_v = \epsilon$.
23. $\mathcal{E} + \epsilon = U_h + U_v$.

Appendix E: Tasks L and XL. Possible practical application of the solved problem?

24. The equation $U_v = \epsilon$ is actually an exact result on which are based auto-zero DC amplifiers in which parasitic offset voltage \mathcal{E} is compensated. This item related to possible technical applications was not solved by any participant. To solve a problem and to estimate where it can be applied are very different tasks confer Refs. [1–7].

Appendix F: Tasks L and XL. Theoretical problems related to the experiment

25. The voltage of the capacitor can be expressed by the charge of the capacitor $U(t) = Q(t)/C$. The current through the voltmeter is just the time derivative of this charge $I(t) = dQ/dt$. But the voltage of the voltmeter is equal to the voltage of the capacitor, and according to the Ohm law $U(t) = RI(t)$. Substituting here the current by the charge derivative we obtain $Q(t)/C = -RdQ/dt$; the sign minus describes that charge decreases. Now introducing $\tau \equiv RC$ this equation can be rewritten as $dQ/dt = -Q/\tau$. One can easily check that this equation has the solution $Q(t) = Q(t=0) \exp(t/\tau)$. Substitution in the formulas for current and voltage gives $I(t) = I_0 \exp(t/\tau)$ and $U(t) = U_0 \exp(t/\tau)$, where $U_0 = Q_0/C$ and $I_0 = U_0/RC$.
26. The time constant τ can be easily determined without calculating the slope of the line a . Exponential voltage decay $U(t) = U(0) \exp(-t/\tau)$ in time means that for each passed time equal to the time constant, the voltage decreases e times. Fig. 13 ordinate is in negative \ln scale meaning that e times decrease is actually equal to 1. Therefore for $t = \tau$ we have $-\ln(U_\tau/U_0) = 1$. Now, looking at Table IV in the last column we search for the nearest value of 1, which in our case is 0.9918 for $i=17$ and $t_i = \tau = 510$ s. Comparing with the more accurate method $\Delta\tau \approx 3.6\%$ which is an excellent accuracy given the so much less effort in achieving it. Moreover, this quick method could be significantly improved by taking measurements of the voltage in evenly spaced time intervals (like each 30 seconds) but taking measurements of the time intervals t_i for the values of the voltage U_i for which

$$-\ln(U_i/U_0) = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, \dots$$

U_0 is known before the experiment, so the values of U_i are preliminary calculated and tabulated. In this way several values for τ will be obtained

$$\tau_i = 4.0, 2.0, 0.75, 1.0, \dots \times t_i$$

and either average or median can be taken. In short we have to calculate $U(0)/2.718$ to wait when $U(\tau) = U(0)/2.718$. This method is however nonapplicable for very big capacitors and good voltmeters, for example for $C = 4700 \mu\text{F}$ and $R = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$ we have $\tau = RC = 48 \text{ ks}$ which is comparable with $24 \times 3600 = 86.4 \text{ ks}$. An alternative experimental method is described in subsection G..

27. The solution is given in subsection A 3.

Now when the experimental problem is analyzed one can easily understand the work of auto-zero and chopper stabilized operational amplifiers [2–4] and implementation of the idea to use charged capacitor to compensate drift of zeros in the description of many operational amplifiers [5–7]. Only now one can read and understand the initial idea in the patent [1]. We will be glad if in the future some of EPO participants would have significant recognized inventions.

Appendix G: Afterglow




FIG. 14. Measuring of capacitance C of a big electrolytic capacitor using two voltmeters with internal resistance $R_R = R_D = R$. In the beginning the switch is in down position and the battery with electromotive force \mathcal{E} charge the the capacitor with voltage $U_R(0) = \mathcal{E}$. The down voltmeter connect equi-potential points and $U_D(0) = 0$. In $t = 0$ the switch is switched in up position add the capacitor is slowly discharging trough two parallel resistors. The time-constant is $\tau = CR/2$. In every moment $U_D(t) + U_R(t) = \mathcal{E}$. After long time $t \gg \tau$ we have a voltage divider $U_D(\infty) = U_R(\infty) = \mathcal{E}/2$.

The circuit for measuring of big capacitors is depicted at FIG. 14. Theoretical analysis of this circuit gives that

$$U_D(t) = (1 - e^{-t/\tau})\mathcal{E}/2, \quad U_R(t) = (1 + e^{-t/\tau})\mathcal{E}/2. \quad (\text{G1})$$

Or for small times $t \ll \tau$ using that $\exp x \approx 1 + x$

$$U_D(t) \approx \frac{t}{\tau} \mathcal{E}/2 \quad (\text{G2})$$

Substituting here $\tau = RC/2$ we obtain

$$C \approx \frac{t}{R} \frac{\mathcal{E}}{U_D(t)}. \quad (\text{G3})$$

For example using 3 AAA batteries and starting with $U_R(0) = \mathcal{E} = 4.95 \text{ V}$ the voltage reaches $U_D(t) = 495 \text{ mV} = \mathcal{E}/10$ (we used 2000 mV range) after $t = 7 \text{ min} + 17 \text{ ses} = 437 \text{ s}$. In such a way we determine $\tau = 4370 \text{ sec} = 1 \text{ hour} + 12 \text{ min} + 50 \text{ sec}$. And finally for $R = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$ we determine $C = 4370 \mu\text{F}$. Which is less 10% from the nominal value $C = 4700 \mu\text{F}$

$$\frac{\Delta C}{C} = \frac{430}{4700} < 0.1. \quad (\text{G4})$$

Using this method is possible to determine big capacitance within 10 minutes and without loss of precision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present EPO10 is continuation of EPO1 problem with adaptation and introduction of new content. That is why we would like to thank Stojan Manolev, Vassil Jordanov and Peter Peshev for the collaboration and co-authorship during EPO1 back in 2014. Discussions with Peter Todorov, George Bakos and Nikola Serafimov are highly appreciated. The work of the EPO10 absolute champion Maximilian Jelacic is scanned and attached as a separate pdf file named “EPO10_Abs-Champ.pdf” to the current arXiv version.

-
- [1] Edwin Goldberg and Jules Lehmann, *Stabilised direct current amplifier*, U.S. Patent 2,684,999 (1949); http://www.philbrickarchive.org/us2684999_chopper.pdf
 - [2] Eric Nolan, *Demystifying Auto-Zero Amplifiers—Part 1. They essentially eliminate offset, drift, and 1/f noise. How do they work? Is there a downside?*, Analog Dialogue **34-2** (2000) pp. 1-3, **Fig. 1**; *ibidem* 34-3 pp. 1-2; <http://www.analog.com/library/analogDialogue/archives/34-02/demystify/demystify.pdf>
 - [3] *Chopper Stabilized (Auto-Zero) Precision Op Amps*, Analog Devices, MT-055 TUTORIAL (2009) pp. 1-6, Fig. 2; <http://www.analog.com/static/imported-files/tutorials/MT-055.pdf>
 - [4] Reza Moghimi, *To Chop or Auto-Zero: That Is the Question*, Analog Devices, MS-2062 Technical Article (2011) pp. 1-6, Figs. 1, 2; http://www.analog.com/static/imported-files/tech_articles/MS-2062.pdf
 - [5] *Zero-Drift, Single-Supply, Rail-to-Rail Input/Output Operational Amplifier*, Analog Devices, Datasheet AD8638/AD8639, (1999-2011); http://www.analog.com/static/imported-files/data_sheets/AD8571_8572_8574.pdf
 - [6] *16 V Auto-Zero, Rail-to-Rail Output Operational Amplifiers*, Analog Devices, Datasheet AD8571/AD8572/AD8574, (2007-2010); http://www.analog.com/static/imported-files/data_sheets/AD8638_8639.pdf
 - [7] *Precision, Ultralow Noise, RRIO, Zero-Drift Op Amp*, Analog Devices, Datasheet ADA4528-1/ADA4528-2, (2011-2012); http://www.analog.com/static/imported-files/data_sheets/ADA4528-1_4528-2.pdf
 - [8] V. G. Yordanov, P. V. Peshev, S. G. Manolev, T. M. Mishonov, “Charging of capacitors with double switch. The principle of operation of auto-zero and chopper-stabilized DC amplifiers”, arXiv:1511.04328 [physics.ed-ph]
 - [9] V. N. Gourev, S. G. Manolev, V. G. Yordanov, T. M. Mishonov, “Measuring Plank constant with colour LEDs and compact disk”, arXiv:1602.06114 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [10] S. G. Manolev, V. G. Yordanov, N. N. Tomchev, T. M. Mishonov, “Volt-Ampere characteristic of “black box” with a negative resistance”, arXiv:1602.08090 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [11] V. G. Yordanov, V. N. Gourev, S. G. Manolev, A. M. Varonov, T. M. Mishonov, “Measuring the speed of light with electric and magnetic pendulum”, arXiv:1605.00493 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [12] T. M. Mishonov, E. G. Petkov, A. A. Stefanov, A. P. Petkov, I. M. Dimitrova, S. G. Manolev, S. I. Ilieva, A. M. Varonov, “Measurement of the Boltzmann constant by Einstein. Problem of the 5-th Experimental Physics Olympiad. Sofia 9 December 2017” arXiv:1801.00022v4 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [13] T. M. Mishonov, E. G. Petkov, A. A. Stefanov, A. P. Petkov, V. I. Danchev, Z. O. Abdrahim, Z. D. Dimitrov, I. M. Dimitrova, R. Popeski-Dimovski, M. Poposka, S. Nikolić, S. Mitić, R. Rosenauer, F. Schwarzfischer, V. N. Gourev, V. G. Yordanov, A. M. Varonov “Measurement of the electron charge q_e using Schottky noise. Problem of the 6-th Experimental Physics Olympiad. Sofia 8 December 2018”, arXiv:1703.05224v2 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [14] T. M. Mishonov, R. Popeski-Dimovski, L. Velkoska, I. M. Dimitrova, V. N. Gourev, A. P. Petkov, E. G. Petkov, A. M. Varonov, “The Day of the Inductance. Problem of the 7-th Experimental Physics Olympiad, Skopje, 7 December 2019”, arXiv:1912.07368 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [15] T. M. Mishonov, A. P. Petkov, M. Andreoni, E. G. Petkov, A. M. Varonov, I. M. Dimitrova, L. Velkoska, R. Popeski-Dimovski, “Problem of the 8th Experimental Physics Olympiad, Skopje, 8 May 2021 Determination of Planck constant by LED”, arXiv:2106.01337 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [16] T. M. Mishonov, N. S. Serafimov, E. G. Petkov, A. M. Varonov, “Set-up for observation thermal voltage noise and determination of absolute temperature and Boltzmann constant”, arXiv:2205.06609 [physics.ed-ph].
 - [17] T. M. Mishonov, A. M. Varonov, D. D. Maksimovski, S. G. Manolev, V. N. Gourev and V. G. Yordanov, “An undergraduate laboratory experiment for measuring ε_0 , μ_0 and speed of light c with do-it-yourself catastrophe machines: electrostatic and magnetostatic pendula”, Eur. J. Phys. **38**, 025203 (2017).
 - [18] T. M. Mishonov, V. N. Gourev, I. M. Dimitrova, N. S. Serafimov, A. A. Stefanov, E. G. Petkov and A. M. Varonov “Determination of the Boltzmann constant by the equipartition theorem for capacitors”, Eur. J. Phys. **40**, 035102 (2019).
 - [19] T. M. Mishonov, E. G. Petkov, N. Zh. Mihailova, A. A. Stefanov, I. M. Dimitrova, V. N. Gourev, N. S. Serafimov, V. I. Danchev, and A. M. Varonov, “Simple do-it-yourself experimental set-up for electron charge q_e measurement”, Eur. J. Phys. **39**, 065202 (2018).
 - [20] T. M. Mishonov, V. I. Danchev, E. G. Petkov, V. N. Gourev, I. M. Dimitrova, N. S. Serafimov, A. A. Stefanov and A. M. Varonov, “Master equation for operational amplifiers: stability of negative differential converters, crossover frequency and pass-bandwidth”, J. Phys. Commun. **3**, 035004 (2019).
 - [21] T. Mifune, T. M. Mishonov, N. S. Serafimov, I. M. Dimitrova, R. Popeski-Dimovski, L. Velkoska, E. G. Petkov, A. M. Varonov, A. Barone, “Tunable high-Q resonator by general impedance converter”, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **92**, 025123

- (2021).
- [22] T. M. Mishonov, E. G. Petkov, I. M. Dimitrova, N. S. Serafimov and A. M. Varonov, “Probability distribution function of crossover frequency of operational amplifiers”, *Measurement* **179**, 109509 (2021).
 - [23] *Second Experimental Physics Olympiad: The Day of the Photon in the International Year of Light*, Sofia, 25 April (2015); <http://www.light2015.org/Home.html>
 - [24] *Second Experimental Physics Olympiad: The Day of the Photon in the International Year of Light*, Sofia, 25 April (2015); <http://www.light2015.org/Home/Event-Programme/2015/Competition/Bulgaria-Second-Experimental-Physics-Olympiad--25-April-2015-in-Sofia.-The-Day-of-the-Photon-in-the-International-Year-of-the-Light.html>