

Cover times of random searches

Marie Chupeau,¹ Olivier Bénichou*,¹ and Raphaël Voituriez^{†1,2}

¹*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée,
UMR 7600 CNRS /UPMC, 4 Place Jussieu, 75255 Paris Cedex, France*

²*Laboratoire Jean Perrin, UMR 8237 CNRS /UPMC,
4 Place Jussieu, 75255 Paris Cedex*

(Dated: November 20, 2015)

Abstract

How long does it take a random searcher to visit all sites of a given domain? This time, known as the cover time [1], is a key observable to quantify the efficiency of exhaustive searches, which require a complete exploration of an area and not only the discovery of a single target; examples range from immune system cells chasing pathogens [2] to animals harvesting resources [3, 4], robotized exploration by e.g. automated cleaners or deminers, or algorithmics [5]. Despite its broad relevance, the cover time has remained elusive and so far explicit results have been scarce and mostly limited to regular random walks [6–9]. Here we determine the full distribution of the cover time for a broad range of random search processes, which includes the prominent examples of Lévy strategies [10–14], intermittent strategies [4, 15, 16], persistent random walks [17] and random walks on complex networks [18], and reveal its universal features. We show that for all these examples the mean cover time can be minimized, and that the corresponding optimal strategies also minimize the mean search time for a single target, unambiguously pointing towards their robustness.

* benichou@lptmc.jussieu.fr

† voiturie@lptmc.jussieu.fr

Random search processes have proved over the recent years to be involved in a broad range of contexts at various scales, from the search of specific sequences on DNA by proteins to animal foraging [3, 4]. So far, the main tools to quantify the efficiency of such search processes could be expressed in terms of the time needed for the searcher to reach a single target, the so-called first-passage time [18–22]. However, as soon as several targets need to be found, which is a recurrent situation in chemistry, ecology, or robotics, the relevant observable is rather the time needed to reach a fraction of the domain sites (see Fig. 1). The extreme case of such exhaustive searches where all sites of a domain need to be visited defines the so-called cover time, which is of particular interest since it gives the time needed to find all targets of a domain with certainty; its determination is a long standing problem of random walk theory [1, 23] (see [24, 25] for related observables).

Nevertheless, analytical results on cover times are scarce. Important steps were achieved in [26], where the mean cover time of an interval was analytically calculated for one-dimensional symmetric nearest-neighbor random walks, both for periodic and reflecting boundary conditions. In dimensions greater or equal to three, Aldous [23] has determined the leading behavior of the mean cover time in the limit of large domain size, which was reproduced by numerical simulations in [27]. In the physics literature, these results have been extended to the two-dimensional case in [6], which has been since then refined in the mathematics literature [7–9]. Notably, all these results were so far essentially limited to the case of regular random walks, i.e. symmetric nearest-neighbor random walks in Euclidean geometries.

However, recently, several classes of more complex random search strategies, including Lévy strategies [10–14], intermittent strategies [4, 15, 16] and persistent random walks [17], have emerged and been shown theoretically to be efficient (see Fig. 1). In this context, existing theoretical studies have up to now focused mainly on the first-passage time to a single target, and the cover time of these random search processes, required to quantify the efficiency of exhaustive searches, has been left aside. The analytical determination of the entire distribution of cover time type observables for general classes of random walks, including the above, is at the core of this paper.

We consider a random walker moving on a network of N sites. The random walk is assumed to be Markovian and non compact (i.e. transient: in infinite space, the probability for the walker to ever reach a given site is strictly smaller than one [28]). This covers a

large class of processes relevant to search problems. We denote by $\tau(M, N)$ the partial cover time, defined as the time needed to visit *any* M distinct sites of the network. The alternative problem of determining the time needed to visit M *given* sites (chosen at random), called the random cover time [27], will be addressed below. Note that taking $M = N$ (for both the partial and the random cover time) yields the full cover time, to which most of the literature has been devoted so far. In practice, we will focus on large values of M, N . The starting point is to introduce $\theta(N - k, N) \equiv \tau(k + 1, N) - \tau(k, N)$, defined as the time needed for the number of distinct sites visited by the random walker to increase from k to $k + 1$. Alternatively, $\theta(N - k, N)$ is the time needed to visit a new site among the $N - k$ unvisited sites, once k sites have been visited. The following exact expression then holds:

$$\tau(M, N) = \sum_{k=N-M+1}^{N-1} \theta(k, N). \quad (1)$$

The exact determination of the statistical properties of this random variable can seem out of reach since a priori $\theta(k, N)$ depends on the entire random trajectory until time $\tau(N - k, N)$. The key hypothesis is then to assume that the random variables $\theta(k, N)$ are in fact independent asymptotically in the large N limit. This hypothesis will be verified numerically for all tested non compact random walks, and will furthermore allow to retrieve exact results known so far for regular random walks. In this regime of N large the distribution of $\theta(k, N)$ can be obtained explicitly and enables the determination of the full distribution of the partial cover time, which, as we show in Supplementary Information (SI), finally takes the universal form

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{p!} \exp(-(p + 1)x - e^{-x}) \quad (2)$$

valid in the limit $N, M \rightarrow \infty$ with $p \equiv N - M$ fixed (implying in particular $p/N \rightarrow 0$). Here the rescaled variable $x \equiv \tau/\langle T \rangle - \ln N$ involves the mean $\langle T \rangle$ of the global first-passage time, defined as the mean first-passage time to a given target site averaged over all starting sites. This constitutes the central result of this paper. Its derivation relies on the fact that the distribution of the global first-passage time T to a given target site is asymptotically an exponential of mean $\langle T \rangle$ for non compact random walks [29, 30]. In addition, we assumed that $\langle T \rangle$ is independent of the target site, which is exact for domains with periodic boundary conditions, and in practice well satisfied for any domain shape in the case of non compact random walks, as was checked numerically.

Several comments are in order. (i) The result of equation (2) unveils the universal dependence of the distribution of the partial cover time on both the random walk process – through only the global mean first-passage time to a single target –, and the geometry of the domain – through only its volume N (using that $\langle T \rangle$ asymptotically depends on the geometry only through N [18, 21]). (ii) The result of equation (2) reveals a deep connexion with order statistics, already pointed out in the mathematical literature for regular random walks [9]. Indeed, equation (2) is the limit distribution of the $(p + 1)^{th}$ largest among N independent identically distributed random variables in the large N regime (Gumbel universality class). In fact, in the case $M = N$, the full cover time can easily be seen as the largest among the first-passage times t_i of the searcher to site i , where $i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$ covers all sites of the domain. equation (2) indeed yields in this case ($p = 0$) the classical Gumbel law. More generally, the partial cover time $\tau(N - p, N)$ can be seen as the $(p + 1)^{th}$ largest among the $\{t_i\}_{i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket}$. What we find here is that in the case of non compact exploration, the $\{t_i\}_{i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket}$ are asymptotically independent in the large N regime. (iii) The result of equation (2) provides in particular an explicit determination of the mean partial cover time $\langle \tau \rangle \sim \langle T \rangle (\ln(N) - \Psi^{(0)}(p + 1))$ in the large N regime, where $\Psi^{(n)}$ denotes the polygamma function of order n , and which is in agreement with exact results obtained for the full cover time in the particular case of Brownian walks on periodic lattices [9, 23]. (iv) Beyond the mean, the variance of the partial cover time can be determined and is given asymptotically by $\sigma^2 \sim \Psi^{(1)}(p+1) \langle T \rangle^2$. This corresponds to a reduced variance $\sigma^2 / \langle \tau \rangle^2 \sim \Psi^{(1)}(p+1) / \ln^2 N$, which shows that the amplitude of the relative fluctuations slowly decays with the domain size. (v) The distribution of related observables can be readily deduced from this approach, and depends on the search process only through the global mean first-passage time $\langle T \rangle$ to a given target in the domain for a single searcher. For example the distribution of the random cover time, defined above as the time needed to visit M given sites of a domain of N sites [27], is obtained in the limit $M, N \gg 1$ by taking $p = 0$ in equation (2) (Gumbel law), with the new rescaled variable $x \equiv \tau / \langle T \rangle - \ln M$ (see SI). In turn, in the important case where n searchers explore the domain simultaneously, the distribution of the partial cover time is also given by equation (2), with the new rescaled variable $x \equiv n\tau / \langle T \rangle - \ln N$.

We now confirm these analytical results by Monte Carlo simulations of most of the models of random search strategies invoked in the literature: Brownian random walks, persistent random walks, Lévy flights and Lévy walks, intermittent random walks, and random walks

on complex networks. Figures (2) and (3) reveal excellent quantitative agreement between the analytical predictions and the numerical simulations. The prediction of equation (2) unambiguously captures the mean, variance, and entire distribution of partial and full cover times (Fig. (2) and (3)), as well as random cover times and cover times for n searchers (Fig. (3)), as shown by the data collapse of the numerical simulations. We emphasize that the very different nature of these examples demonstrates that the range of applicability of our approach, which mainly relies on the non compact property of the random trajectory of the searcher, is wide. Note that even for $2D$ persistent random walks, which are in fact marginally compact, both the mean and variance of cover times are quantitatively predicted by our approach in the large domain size limit, provided that the persistence length minimizes the global mean first-passage time for a target $\langle T \rangle$, as analyzed in [17].

In practice, the question of minimizing the cover time in order to optimize the efficiency of the search process is crucial. Our analysis reveals that the mean cover time (either random or partial) is minimized exactly when the global mean first-passage time $\langle T \rangle$ for a *single target* is minimized (see Fig. (4)). In particular, in the case of persistent random walks and intermittent random walks, which have been shown to minimize $\langle T \rangle$ (either by tuning the persistence length of the persistent random walk or the duration of the scanning phase of the intermittent random walk), we find that mean cover times can also be minimized. For Lévy walks, the celebrated optimization of the target encounter rate for a Lévy index $\alpha \simeq 1$ (defined through the jump length distribution $p(l) \propto l^{-\alpha-1}$ for l large) has been obtained and discussed only for a distribution of infinitely many so-called revisitable targets (which reappear at the same position after being found) [12]. In contrast, the global mean first-passage time to a single target in confinement, which by definition involves a non revisitable target, has been left aside. In fact, we find that $\langle T \rangle$ (see also [17]), and therefore the mean cover time, can be minimized by adjusting the persistence length for all $\alpha > 1$ (see Fig. (4)). This optimal strategy, which does not require revisitable targets, is therefore very different from the above mentioned optimal strategy obtained for infinitely many revisitable targets. All together, these results shed new light on the role of persistent, intermittent and Lévy strategies in the optimization of search processes, and clearly points towards their robustness.

Methods

The definition of random search processes analyzed in the text are as follows (see SI for details):

(i) *Brownian random walks* constitute the most striking example of random search process, which is known to be non compact in dimension $D = 3$, and marginally compact for $D = 2$. Here we consider nearest neighbor random walks on a periodic lattice of N sites.

(ii) *Lévy flights* have been shown to play an important role in random search problems [13]. We consider here discrete Lévy flights of index α on $1D$, $2D$ and $3D$ periodic lattices of size N , characterized by a probability distribution to perform a jump of size l that obeys $p(l) \propto l^{-\alpha-1}$ for l large.

(iii) *Complex networks*. Beyond classical Euclidean spaces, many examples of random walks on complex networks, whose relevance to extremely various fields is now unanimously recognized, are non compact. Here we consider the emblematic Erdős-Rényi networks [31].

(iv) *Persistent random walks* provide a minimal example of search process with memory, which is encoded in the persistence length, defined as the mean number of successive steps performed in a given direction. They have been shown to lead to a minimization of the mean search time for a single target, and therefore play a prominent role in the optimization of search processes [17]. We consider here $2D$ and $3D$ discrete persistent random walks on a periodic lattice of N sites.

(v) *Lévy walks*. As opposed to Lévy flights, which can have arbitrary large velocities, Lévy walks [10] have a constant speed, and can be seen as an extension of persistent random walks, for which the distribution of the number of successive steps is not exponential, but power-law distributed. They have been shown to be optimizable and have been extensively invoked in the context of animal behavior [12]. We consider here $2D$ and $3D$ discrete Lévy walks on a periodic lattice of N sites.

(vi) *Intermittent strategies*. Last, we consider the case of well hidden targets, for which it can be assumed that moving and searching are incompatible. In this case, the search strategy is intermittent [4]. Technically, we focus here on a continuous time two-state searcher moving on a $1D$, $2D$ or $3D$ periodic lattice of N sites [32]. In the slow reactive state 1, the searcher performs a regular nearest neighbor random walk with jump rate ρ , and actually visits the corresponding sites. With rate $\lambda_1 \equiv 1/\tau_1$, the searcher switches to a fast and non

reactive state 2, which enables a uniform relocalization in the domain, but during which no sites are visited. The searcher then switches to state 1 with rate $\lambda_2 \equiv 1/\tau_2$.

Acknowledgements

O.B. was supported by ERC grant FPTOpt-277998.

Author contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work

-
- [1] Aldous, D. On the time taken by random walks on finite groups to visit every state. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **62**, 361–374 (1983).
 - [2] Heuzé, M. L. *et al.* Migration of dendritic cells: physical principles, molecular mechanisms, and functional implications. *Immunol Rev* **256**, 240–254 (2013).
 - [3] Viswanathan, G. M., Raposo, E. P. & da Luz, M. G. E. Levy flights and superdiffusion in the context of biological encounters and random searches. *Physics of Life Reviews* **5**, 133–150 (2008).
 - [4] Bénichou, O., Loverdo, C., Moreau, M. & Voituriez, R. Intermittent search strategies. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83**, 81–129 (2011).
 - [5] Vergassola, M., Villermaux, E. & Shraiman, B. I. Infotaxis as a strategy for searching without gradients. *Nature* **445**, 406–409 (2007).
 - [6] Brummelhuis, M. J. A. M. & Hilhorst, H. J. Covering of a finite lattice by a random walk. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **176**, 387–408 (1991).
 - [7] Dembo, A., Peres, Y., Rosen, J. & Zeitouni, O. Cover times for brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. *Annals of Mathematics* **160**, 433–464 (2004).
 - [8] Ding, J. On cover times for 2d lattices. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **17**, 1–18 (2012).
 - [9] Belius, D. Gumbel fluctuations for cover times in the discrete torus. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **157**, 635–689 (2013).

- [10] Shlesinger, M. F. & Klafter, J. *Lévy Walks vs Lévy Flights* (H. E. Stanley and N. Ostrowski, 1986).
- [11] Viswanathan, G. M. *et al.* Levy flight search patterns of wandering albatrosses. *Nature* **381**, 413–415 (1996).
- [12] Viswanathan, G. M. *et al.* Optimizing the success of random searches. *Nature* **401**, 911–914 (1999).
- [13] R. Metzler & J. Klafter. The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1–77 (2000).
- [14] Lomholt, M. A., Tal, K., Metzler, R. & Joseph, K. Lévy strategies in intermittent search processes are advantageous. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 11055–11059 (2008).
- [15] Benichou, O., Coppey, M., Moreau, M., Suet, P.-H. & Voituriez, R. Optimal search strategies for hidden targets. *Phys Rev Lett* **94**, 198101 (2005).
- [16] Oshanin, G., Wio, H. S., Lindenberg, K. & Burlatsky, S. F. Intermittent random walks for an optimal search strategy: one-dimensional case. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 065142 (2007).
- [17] Tejedor, V., Voituriez, R. & Bénichou, O. Optimizing persistent random searches. *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 088103– (2012).
- [18] Condamin, S., Benichou, O., Tejedor, V., Voituriez, R. & Klafter, J. First-passage times in complex scale-invariant media. *Nature* **450**, 77–80 (2007).
- [19] Redner, S. *A Guide to First-Passage Processes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2001).
- [20] Bénichou, O., Chevalier, C., Klafter, J., Meyer, B. & Voituriez, R. Geometry-controlled kinetics. *Nat Chem* **2**, 472–477 (2010).
- [21] Bénichou, O. & Voituriez, R. From first-passage times of random walks in confinement to geometry-controlled kinetics. *Physics Reports* **539**, 225–284 (2014).
- [22] Bray, A. J., Majumdar, S. N. & Schehr, G. Persistence and first-passage properties in nonequilibrium systems. *Advances in Physics* **62**, 225–361 (2013).
- [23] Aldous, D. An introduction to covering problems for random walks on graphs. *Journal of Theoretical Probability* **2**, 87–89 (1989).
- [24] Weiss, G. & Shlesinger, M. On the expected number of distinct points in a subset visited by

- ann-step random walk **27**, 355–363 (1982).
- [25] Burov, S. & Barkai, E. Occupation time statistics in the quenched trap model. *Physical Review Letters* **98**, 250601–4 (2007).
- [26] Yokoi, C. S. O., Hernández-Machado, A. & Ramírez-Piscina, L. Some exact results for the lattice covering time problem. *Physics Letters A* **145**, 82–86 (1990).
- [27] Nemirovsky, A. M., Mártin, H. O. & Coutinho-Filho, M. D. Universality in the lattice-covering time problem. *Physical Review A* **41**, 761–767 (1990).
- [28] Hughes, B. *Random Walks and Random Environments* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995).
- [29] Meyer, B., Chevalier, C., Voituriez, R. & Bénichou, O. Universality classes of first-passage-time distribution in confined media. *Physical Review E* **83**, 051116 (2011).
- [30] Bénichou, O., Chevalier, C., Meyer, B. & Voituriez, R. Facilitated diffusion of proteins on chromatin. *Physical Review Letters* **106**, 038102 (2011).
- [31] Albert, R. & Barabasi, A.-L. Statistical mechanics of complex networks. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **74**, 47–97 (2001).
- [32] Benichou, O., Moreau, M., Suet, P.-H. & Voituriez, R. Intermittent search process and teleportation. *J Chem Phys* **126**, 234109 (2007).

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1

How long does it take to exhaustively explore a given domain? This quantity defines the cover time of the domain.

a. An example of exhaustive search: the time needed to find all mushrooms and exhaust a given area, with no prior knowledge of their distribution in space, is the cover time of the domain. In this paper we also consider the time needed to visit any M sites of the domain, defined as the partial cover time, as well as the time needed to visit M given sites of the domain chosen at random, defined as the random cover time. Examples of optimizable search processes (see Methods): **b** persistent random walks, **c** Lévy walks, and **d** intermittent random walks. For all these search processes, we show that the distribution of the cover time takes a universal form, and that the mean cover time can be minimized.

FIGURE 2

Universal distribution of the full cover time ($M = N$) for non compact search processes.

a. Distribution of the rescaled cover time for various non compact search processes. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by equation (2) with $p = 0$ (plain line). **b.** Mean cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of the domain size N . The plain line gives the theoretical prediction $\ln N - \Psi^{(0)}(1) = \ln N + \gamma$, where γ denotes the Euler constant. Inset: standard deviation of the cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of the domain size N . The plain line gives the theoretical prediction $\Psi^{(1)}(1) = \pi^2/6$.

For all panels, domain sizes and all parameters defining the search processes are listed in SI.

FIGURE 3

Universal distribution of cover time type observables for non compact search processes.

a. Distribution of the rescaled partial cover time for various non compact search processes. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by equation (2), here for $p \equiv N - M = 10$ unvisited sites (plain line). **b.** Mean partial cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of p for N fixed. The plain line gives the

theoretical prediction $\ln(N) - \Psi^{(0)}(p + 1)$. **c.** Standard deviation of the partial cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of p for N fixed. The plain line gives the theoretical prediction $\Psi^{(1)}(p + 1)$. **d.** Distribution of the rescaled random cover time for various non compact search processes. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by equation (2) with $p = 0$, here for $M = 20$ randomly chosen given sites to visit (plain line). **e.** Distribution of the rescaled full cover time for various non compact search processes with n independent searchers. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by equation (2) with $p = 0$, here for $n = 10$ searchers (plain line).

For all panels, domain sizes (all such that $N \gg 1$) and all parameters defining the search processes are listed in SI.

FIGURE 4

The mean full cover time and the mean search time for a single target can be minimized by the same optimal strategy. The mean full cover time and the global mean first-passage time to a single target are plotted as a function of the persistence length for persistent random walks (**a**, here in $2D$, $N = 100$) and Lévy walks (**b**, here in $2D$ with $\alpha = 1.8$, $N = 100$), and as a function of the switching rate λ_1 (see methods and SI) for intermittent random walks (**c**, here with $\rho = 1$, $\lambda_2 = 0.8$, $N = 100$).




FIG. 1:




FIG. 2:




FIG. 3:




FIG. 4:

Supplementary Information

I. DEFINITIONS AND DERIVATION OF THE COVER TIME DISTRIBUTION

We consider a random walker moving on a network of N sites. The random walk is assumed to be Markovian and non compact.

A. Partial cover time

We denote by $\tau(M, N)$ the partial cover time, defined as the time needed to visit any M distinct sites of the network. Note that taking $M = N$ yields the full cover time. In practice, we will focus on the regime $M, N \gg 1$. We first introduce $\theta(N-k, N) \equiv \tau(k+1, N) - \tau(k, N)$, defined as the time needed for the number of distinct sites visited by the random walker to increase from k to $k+1$. Alternatively, $\theta(N-k, N)$ is the time needed to visit a new site among the $N-k$ unvisited sites, once k sites have been visited. The following exact expression then holds:

$$\tau(M, N) = \sum_{k=N-M+1}^{N-1} \theta(k, N). \quad (3)$$

Note that a priori $\theta(k, N)$ depends on the entire random trajectory until time $\tau(N-k, N)$, so that the $\theta(k, N)$ are not independent random variables.

We now argue that the distribution of $\theta(k, N)$ can be written in the regime $N \gg 1$ and $k \ll N$:

$$f_{k,N}(\theta(k, N) = t) \sim \frac{k}{\langle T \rangle} \exp(-kt/\langle T \rangle), \quad (4)$$

where $\langle T \rangle$ denotes the global mean first-passage time, i.e. the mean first-passage time to a target site, averaged over all starting positions of the random walker. Note that $\langle T \rangle$ encompasses the dependence on N , and only weakly depends on the position of the target site for non compact random walks. To derive Eq.(4), we first notice that by definition $\theta(k, N)$ is the first-passage time of the searcher to any of the k unvisited sites, once $N-k$ sites have been visited. We next make use of the general large volume asymptotics of the first-passage time distribution to a single target for non compact random walks derived in [1, 2], which was shown to be a single exponential of mean $\langle T \rangle$ after averaging over the starting position. Last, it is assumed that for $k \ll N$, the k remaining unvisited sites are not

clustered (to avoid screening effects, which are only short ranged for non compact processes [3, 4]). These k unvisited sites can then be considered as independent, which finally yields Eq.(4). This assumption implies that the $\theta(k, N)$ are in fact independent in the regime $N \gg 1$ and $k \ll N$. Using Eq.(3), the cover time can then be expressed asymptotically as a sum of independent random variables. We then introduce the Laplace transform

$$\hat{f}_{k,N}(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} f_{k,N}(t) = \frac{1}{1 + s\langle T \rangle/k}, \quad (5)$$

and conclude that the Laplace transform of the distribution $P(\tau)$ of the cover time can be written

$$\hat{P}(s) \sim \prod_{k=N-M+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1 + s\langle T \rangle/k} = \frac{(N-1)! \Gamma(N-M+1 + s\langle T \rangle)}{(N-M)! \Gamma(N + s\langle T \rangle)} \quad (6)$$

which yields in the regime $N \gg 1$, where $p \equiv N - M$ is kept fixed:

$$\hat{P}(s) \sim \frac{\Gamma(p+1 + s\langle T \rangle)}{p! N^{s\langle T \rangle}}. \quad (7)$$

Introducing the rescaled variable $x \equiv \tau/\langle T \rangle - \ln N$, one finds after Laplace inversion:

$$P(x) \sim \frac{1}{p!} \exp(-(p+1)x - e^{-x}), \quad (8)$$

which is valid in the limit $N, M \rightarrow \infty$ with $p = N - M$ fixed (implying in particular that p/N is small). This function is plotted in Fig. S5 for different values of p . In particular, for $p = 0$ one finds the classical Gumbel law. The first moments of the partial cover time can then be readily deduced:

$$\langle \tau(M, N) \rangle \sim \langle T \rangle (\ln N - \Psi^{(0)}(p+1)) \quad (9)$$

$$\langle \tau(M, N)^2 \rangle - \langle \tau(M, N) \rangle^2 \sim \langle T \rangle^2 \Psi^{(1)}(p+1) \quad (10)$$

where $\Psi^{(n)}(x)$ is the polygamma function of order n . In particular, taking $p = 0$ yields with $\Psi^{(0)}(1) = -\gamma$ and $\Psi^{(1)}(1) = \pi^2/6$ the exact results derived for regular random walks on the torus [5–8]. Last we note that the case of n independent searchers can be straightforwardly deduced by the substitution $\langle T \rangle \rightarrow \langle T \rangle/n$.

B. Random cover time

We now consider the case of the random cover time $\tau_r(M, N)$, defined as the time needed to visit M given sites of interest (chosen at random) of the network of N sites. Following




FIG. 5: Universal distribution of the partial cover time for different values of p , as given by Eq.8.

the above section, we write:

$$\tau_r(M, N) = \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \theta_r(k), \quad (11)$$

where here $\theta_r(k)$ denotes the time needed to visit a new site of interest among the k sites of interest that have not yet been visited (the dependence on N, M is omitted for clarity). Following the above section, the distribution of $\theta_r(k)$ can be written in the regime $M \gg 1$ (and therefore $N \gg 1$) and $k \ll M$:

$$f_k^{(r)}(\theta_r(k) = t) \sim \frac{k}{\langle T \rangle} \exp(-kt/\langle T \rangle). \quad (12)$$

Note that here, as above, $\langle T \rangle$ denotes the global mean first-passage time to a target site of the domain of N sites, which depends on N but not on M . Following the above section, the Laplace transformed distribution of the random cover time can be written:

$$\hat{P}_r(s) \sim \frac{\Gamma(1 + s\langle T \rangle)}{M^{s\langle T \rangle}}. \quad (13)$$

Introducing the rescaled variable $x \equiv \tau/\langle T \rangle - \ln M$, one finds after Laplace inversion:

$$P_r(x) \sim \exp(-x - e^{-x}), \quad (14)$$

which is valid in the limit $N, M \rightarrow \infty$. This is the classical Gumbel law.




FIG. 6: Distribution of the rescaled partial cover time for various non compact search processes. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by Eq.(8), here for $p = 5$ unvisited sites (plain line). Other parameters as in Fig. 3 of the main text (see below).

II. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The analytical results of the previous section have been checked by numerical simulations of various non compact search processes as discussed below. The excellent agreement is discussed in the main text (Figs 2,3). Further examples are given in Figs. S6 and S7.

A. Definition of the search processes

The random search processes defined in the main text are generated numerically as detailed below. Examples of trajectories are given in Fig. S8.

(i) *Brownian random walks.* We consider discrete Brownian random walks on periodic lattices of N sites in dimension D . At each time step, the random walker moves to one of its nearest neighbors with probability $1/(2D)$.

(ii) *Lévy flights.* We consider discrete Lévy flights on periodic lattices of N sites in dimension D . At each time step, the walker jumps in one of the $2D$ directions chosen




FIG. 7: Distribution of the rescaled random cover time for various non compact search processes. All data collapse to a universal master curve defined by Eq.(14), here for $M = N - 10$ randomly chosen given sites to visit (plain line). Other parameters as in Fig. 3 of the main text (see below).

randomly, the jump distance l being drawn from a Lévy distribution of exponent α , defined by:

$$p(l) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk e^{ikl - l_0^{\alpha} |k|^{\alpha}} \quad (15)$$

for $l > 0$, where l_0 is a scale parameter. Since the distance l is a real number, the arrival point is off lattice. In the numerical simulations, the walker is therefore relocated at the nearest site after each jump. Last, note that for each jump, only the arrival site is considered as visited.

(iii) *Erdős-Rényi networks.* We consider a nearest neighbor random walk on the classical Erdős-Rényi network of N sites, defined as follows : for each pair of sites, a link exists with a fixed probability ν . The connectivity c_i of each site therefore depends on the site i . At each time step, the walker jumps to one of the neighboring sites with probability $= 1/c_i$.

(iv) *Persistent random walks.* We consider discrete persistent random walks on periodic lattices of N sites in dimension D . At each time step, the walker jumps to one of its nearest




FIG. 8: Examples of covered territory for the three main classes of search processes at different time points. Persistent walk with $l_p = 3.5$, Lévy walk with $l_p = 3.5$ and $\alpha = 1.1$, intermittent walk with $\rho = 1, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.1$

neighbors with probabilities that depend on the previous step. The probability to go on in the same direction is $1/(2D) + \epsilon(2D - 1)/(2D)$ whereas the probability to jump in one of the $2D - 1$ other directions is $(1 - \epsilon)/(2D)$. The persistence length, defined as the mean number of steps performed in the same direction, is then given by $l_p = \frac{2D}{(2D-1)(1-\epsilon)}$

(v) *Lévy walks*. We consider discrete Lévy walks on periodic lattices of N sites in dimension D . The walker performs ballistic excursions of random direction. The length of each ballistic excursion (equal to its number of steps) is drawn from a Lévy law of exponent α

defined in Eq. (15), and the direction chosen uniformly from the $2D$ directions of the lattice. Note that as opposed to Lévy flights, the duration of each ballistic excursion is by definition its number of steps, and that the walker visits all the sites of a ballistic excursion, and not only the starting and final ones. When $\alpha > 1$, the mean number of steps of each excursion is finite, and one can identify the persistence length with the scale parameter $l_p \equiv l_0$.

(vi) *Intermittent strategies.* We consider continuous time intermittent walks on periodic lattices of N sites in dimension D . At each time, the walker can either perform a step to one of its nearest neighbors at a rate ρ or relocate anywhere on the lattice at a rate λ_1 . The total rate of such events is therefore $\lambda_{\text{tot}} = \lambda_1 + \rho$. Using the Gillespie algorithm [9], the waiting time before the next event, which will be a diffusive step with probability $\rho/\lambda_{\text{tot}}$ or a relocation step with probability $\lambda_1/\lambda_{\text{tot}}$, is drawn from an exponential law of rate λ_{tot} . In addition each relocation step takes a time drawn from an exponential distribution of rate λ_2 .

B. Parameters of the numerical simulations displayed in the figures of the main text

1. Figure 2

a. Distributions of the rescaled full cover time are plotted as a function of the rescaled cover time for 3D Brownian walks (here with a domain size $N = 125000$), persistent random walks (here, in 3D with $N = 6859$ and a persistence length $l_p = 6$, and in 2D with $N = 400$ and $l_p = 4.8$), intermittent random walks (here, in 3D with $N = 1331$, a step rate in phase 1 $\rho = 20$, a switch rate to phase 2 $\lambda_1 = 20$, and a switch rate to phase 1 $\lambda_2 = 5$; in 2D with $N = 400$, $\rho = 20$, $\lambda_1 = 10$, $\lambda_2 = 5$; in 1D with $N = 100$, $\rho = 0.1$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$), Lévy flights (here in 3D with $N = 1000$ and index $\alpha = 1.5$; in 2D with $N = 400$ and $\alpha = 1.5$; in 1D with $N = 100$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, with a scale parameter $l_0 = 1$ for these three cases), Lévy walks (here in 3D for $N = 9261$ and in 2D for $N = 100$, with $\alpha = 1.8$ and a persistence length $l_p = 1.3$ for both cases) and for Erdős-Rényi networks (with $N = 10000$ and a link probability $\nu = 0.3$). **b.** Mean cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of the domain size N , for 3D Brownian walks, persistent walks (here in 3D with $l_p = 2.4$ and in 2D for the persistence length

that minimizes the mean search time of one target among N sites), intermittent walks (in 3D, 2D and 1D with $\rho = 0.1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$), Lévy flights (in 3D, 2D and 1D with $\alpha = 0.1$ and $l_0 = 1$), Lévy walks (in 3D for $\alpha = 1.8$ and $l_p = 1.3$, and in 2D for $\alpha = 1.4$ and $l_p = 1$) and Erdős-Rényi networks (for $\nu = 0.3$). Inset: standard deviation of the cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of the domain size N , for the same types of walks, except Lévy walks for which the standard deviation is infinite.

2. Figure 3

a. Distribution of the rescaled partial cover time with $p = N - M = 10$ fixed for 3D Brownian walks (here with $N = 10^6$), 3D persistent walks (with $N = 9261$ and $l_p = 2.4$), intermittent walks (in 3D with $N = 1000$, $\rho = 1$, $\lambda_1 = 5$ and $\lambda_2 = 20$; in 2D with $N = 900$, and 1D with $N = 1000$, and for the last two, with $\rho = 0.1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$), Lévy flights (for $\alpha = 0.1$ and $l_0 = 1$, in 3D with $N = 512$, in 2D with $N = 400$ and in 1D with $N = 500$) and Lévy walks in 3D (with $N = 29791$, $\alpha = 1.8$ and $l_p = 1.3$). **b.** Mean partial cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of $p = N - M$ for $N = 729$ fixed, for persistent walks (in 3D with $l_p = 4.3$ and in 2D with $l_p = 4.8$), intermittent walks (with $\rho = 0.1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$) and Lévy walks (with $\alpha = 1.8$ and $l_p = 1.3$). **c.** Standard deviation of the partial cover time (rescaled by the global mean first-passage time) as a function of p for N fixed. The parameters are the same as in **b.** except for 3D persistent walks (here $N = 9261$ and $l_p = 2.4$). **d.** Distribution of the rescaled random cover time for persistent walks for $M = 20$ given sites chosen at random (in 3D with $N = 29791$ and $l_p = 2.4$, and in 2D with $N = 441$ and $l_p = 5.6$), intermittent walks (in 3D with $N = 1331$, in 2D with $N = 400$, both for $\rho = 0.1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$) and Lévy walks (in 3D with $N = 9261$, in 2D with $N = 10201$, both for $\alpha = 1.8$ and $l_p = 1.3$). **e.** Distribution of the rescaled full cover time with n independent searchers, for persistent walks (in 3D with $N = 1331$ and $l_p = 2.4$, and in 2D with $N = 441$ and $l_p = 5.6$), intermittent walks (in 3D with $N = 1331$ and in 2D with $N = 961$, both for $\rho = 0.1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$) and Lévy walks (in 3D with

$N = 1331$ and in 2D with $N = 961$, both with $\alpha = 1.8$ and $l_p = 1.3$).

- [1] Bénichou, O., Chevalier, C., Klafter, J., Meyer, B. & Voituriez, R. Geometry-controlled kinetics. *Nat Chem* **2**, 472–477 (2010).
- [2] Meyer, B., Chevalier, C., Voituriez, R. & Bénichou, O. Universality classes of first-passage-time distribution in confined media. *Physical Review E* **83**, 051116 (2011).
- [3] Condamin, S., Benichou, O. & Moreau, M. Random walks and brownian motion: a method of computation for first-passage times and related quantities in confined geometries. *Phys Rev E* **75**, 021111 (2007).
- [4] Bénichou, O. & Voituriez, R. From first-passage times of random walks in confinement to geometry-controlled kinetics. *Physics Reports* **539**, 225–284 (2014).
- [5] Brummelhuis, M. J. A. M. & Hilhorst, H. J. Covering of a finite lattice by a random walk. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **176**, 387–408 (1991).
- [6] Dembo, A., Peres, Y., Rosen, J. & Zeitouni, O. Cover times for brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. *Annals of Mathematics* **160**, 433–464 (2004).
- [7] Ding, J. On cover times for 2d lattices. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **17** (2012).
- [8] Belius, D. Gumbel fluctuations for cover times in the discrete torus. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **157**, 635–689 (2013).
- [9] Gillespie, D. *J. Comput. Phys.* **22**, 403 (1976).