

ON THE CRAW–ISHII CONJECTURE

SEUNG-JO JUNG

ABSTRACT. In [2], Craw and Ishii proved that for a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ every (projective) relative minimal model of \mathbb{C}^3/G is isomorphic to the fine moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations for some GIT parameter θ . In this article, we conjecture that the same is true for a finite group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ if a relative minimal model Y of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is smooth. We prove this for some abelian groups.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Overview of the article	3
2. G -constellations and G -bricks	4
2.1. Moduli spaces of G -constellations	4
2.2. Cyclic quotients and toric lattices	5
2.3. G -bricks and the birational component Y_θ	5
3. Star subdivisions and moduli descriptions	7
3.1. Star subdivisions and round down functions	7
3.2. Star subdivisions and bricksets	12
3.3. Star subdivisions and stability parameters	13
4. Main theorem	14
4.1. Toric minimal model program	14
4.2. The Craw–Ishii conjecture	15
4.3. The first case: $r = abc + a + b + 1$	16
4.4. The second case: $r = abc + a - 2b + 1$	22
4.5. Discussions	27
Appendix A. $\frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$ type	28
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. A G -cluster Z is a G -invariant subscheme of \mathbb{C}^n with $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ the regular representation of G . For a finite group G in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, Ito and Nakamura [8] showed that the minimal resolution of \mathbb{C}^2/G is isomorphic to the G -Hilbert scheme $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^2$ that is the fine moduli space of G -clusters.

Date: 27th July 2021.

In [1], Bridgeland, King and Reid proved that for a finite group G in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ the G -Hilbert scheme $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3$ is a crepant resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G .

In [2], Craw and Ishii introduced a generalised notion of G -clusters. A G -constellation \mathcal{F} is a G -equivariant sheaf on \mathbb{C}^n with $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Define the GIT stability parameter space

$$\Theta = \{ \theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \mid \theta(\mathbb{C}[G]) = 0 \},$$

where $R(G)$ denotes the representation space of G . For $\theta \in \Theta$, we say that G -constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -*(semi)stable* if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ ($\theta(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$) for every nonzero proper subsheaf \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{F} . A stability parameter θ is called *generic* if every θ -semistable G -constellation is θ -stable.

Furthermore, Craw and Ishii constructed the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations using GIT [2]. They conjectured that for a finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, every projective crepant resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_θ for some $\theta \in \Theta$ and proved this for G being abelian. Note that if $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, then \mathbb{C}^3/G has Gorenstein canonical singularities. Being motivated by this, this article makes the following conjecture and proves the conjecture for some cases.

Conjecture 1.1 (Craw–Ishii conjecture). *Let G be a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ has a smooth relative minimal model. Then every relative minimal model of X is isomorphic to (an irreducible component of) \mathcal{M}_θ for a suitable GIT parameter θ .*

On the other hand, \mathcal{M}_θ need not be irreducible in general [5]. However, if G is abelian, Craw, Maclagan and Thomas [4] showed that \mathcal{M}_θ has a unique irreducible component Y_θ containing the torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n/G$ for generic θ . Furthermore, they proved that Y_θ can be obtained by variation of GIT from \mathbb{C}^n/G . The component Y_θ is called the *birational component* of \mathcal{M}_θ .

Theorem 1.2 (Main Theorem). *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the finite group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with b coprime to a satisfying one of the following:*

- (i) $r = abc + a + b + 1$ for some positive integer c ;
- (ii) $r = abc + a - 2b + 1$ and $b = ak + 1$ for some positive integers c, k with $c \geq 2$ and $a \geq 3$.

Then every relative minimal model $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is isomorphic to the birational component Y_θ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations for a suitable parameter θ .

Moreover the main theorem implies that the relative minimal model can be obtained by variation of GIT from \mathbb{C}^3/G for the cases.

Corollary 1.3. *In the situation as in Theorem 1.2, every relative minimal model $Y \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is obtained by variation of GIT quotient.*

1.1. Overview of the article. Let G be a finite group in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. We say that $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is a *relative minimal model* if:

- (i) Y has only \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal singularities;
- (ii) K_Y is φ -nef;
- (iii) φ is projective.

For example, for the case where $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, a projective crepant resolution $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is a relative minimal model.

For the group G of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, i.e.

$$G = \langle \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \epsilon^{\alpha_n}) \mid \epsilon^r = 1 \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

by toric geometry, the quotient variety $X = \mathbb{C}^n/G$ is given by the toric cone

$$\sigma_+ := \mathrm{Cone}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

with the lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Fix a primitive interior lattice point $v = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L \cap \sigma_+$. The *star subdivision* of σ_+ at v is the minimal fan containing the following n -dimensional cones σ_k for $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\sigma_k := \mathrm{Cone}(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, v, \dots, e_n).$$

Then the corresponding toric variety X_v admits the induced projective toric morphism $\nu: X_v \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}^n/G$. If v generates L/\mathbb{Z}^n , then the affine open set U_k of X_v corresponding to σ_k has a quotient singularity \mathbb{C}^n/G_k for some abelian group G_k , eg. G_1 is the group of type $\frac{1}{a_1}(-r, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Note that the order of G_k is smaller than the order of G . Thus we can use induction on the order of groups.

The groups in the main theorem satisfy:

- (i) every relative minimal model $Y \rightarrow X$ is smooth;
- (ii) every relative minimal model $Y \rightarrow X$ has a projective morphism $Y \rightarrow X_v$ for $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$.

For the proof, the notion of G -bricks and round down functions is essential, which was recently developed in [9, 10].

A G -brick Γ is a certain \mathbb{C} -basis of $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ for a torus invariant G -constellation \mathcal{F} on the birational component (see Definition 2.7). We say that Γ is θ -stable if the corresponding G -constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -stable. Using suitable G -bricks, we are able to describe an affine local chart of the birational component Y_θ (see Theorem 2.13).

The *round down functions for the star subdivisions at v* are maps between monomial lattices compatible with the star subdivision. Using the round down functions, we produce a set \mathfrak{S} of G -bricks from G_k -bricks.

Since the set \mathfrak{S} is a G -brickset (see Definition 2.15), it suffices to find a GIT parameter θ such that every G -brick $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ is θ -stable.

After finding a parameter θ , we conclude that Y is isomorphic to Y_θ for some θ .

Acknowledgement. I am deeply grateful to Miles Reid for his valuable advice and encouragement. I would like to thank Alastair Craw, Akira Ishii, Yukari Ito, Yujiro Kawamata for kind explanations. I am grateful to Sara Muhvić for sharing her examples. I would like to thank the University of Warwick for its hospitality, where this work was done in June 2015.

2. G -CONSTELLATIONS AND G -BRICKS

2.1. Moduli spaces of G -constellations. In this section, we briefly review moduli spaces of θ -stable G -constellations (see e.g. [2, 4, 11]).

Consider a finite diagonal group G in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.1. A G -equivariant coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{C}^n is called a G -constellation if $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the regular representation $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of G as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module.

Remark 2.2. For a free G -orbit Z in \mathbb{C}^3 , \mathcal{O}_Z is a G -constellation. \diamond

Define the GIT stability parameter space

$$\Theta = \{ \theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \mid \theta(\mathbb{C}[G]) = 0 \}$$

where $R(G) := \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \rho$ is the representation space of G .

Definition 2.3. For a stability parameter $\theta \in \Theta$, we say that:

- (i) a G -constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -semistable if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ for every subsheaf $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) a G -constellation \mathcal{F} is θ -stable if $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ for every subsheaf $0 \neq \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$;
- (iii) θ is generic if every θ -semistable object is θ -stable.

By King [11], it is known that if θ is generic, then there exists a quasiprojective scheme \mathcal{M}_θ which is a fine moduli space of θ -stable G -constellations.

Moreover, in [7], Ito–Nakajima showed that \mathcal{M}_θ is canonically isomorphic to $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^n$ for $\theta \in \Theta_+$ where

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_+ := \{ \theta \in \Theta \mid \theta(\rho) > 0 \text{ for } \rho \neq \rho_0 \}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{M}_θ can be obtained by variation of GIT from $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^n$.

Assume that θ is generic. Let \mathcal{M}_θ denote the fine moduli space of θ -stable G -constellations. Craw, Maclagan and Thomas showed that the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ need not be irreducible [5]. Furthermore, they proved that \mathcal{M}_θ has a distinguished component Y_θ which is birational to \mathbb{C}^n/G if G is abelian [4].

Theorem 2.5 (Craw–Maclagan–Thomas [4]). *Assume that G be a finite abelian group in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. For a generic parameter $\theta \in \Theta$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ has a unique irreducible component Y_θ that contains the torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n/G$. Moreover:*

- (i) Y_θ is a not-necessarily-normal toric variety which is birational to the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^n/G ;
- (ii) there is a projective morphism $Y_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/G$ obtained by variation of GIT quotient.

Definition 2.6. The unique irreducible component Y_θ in Theorem 2.5 is called the *birational component* of \mathcal{M}_θ .

2.2. Cyclic quotients and toric lattices. Consider the group G of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, i.e.

$$G = \langle \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \epsilon^{\alpha_n}) \mid \epsilon^r = 1 \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

As G is abelian, the set of irreducible representations of G can be identified with the character group $G^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ of G .

For the group G of type $\frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, define the lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Set $\bar{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n \subset L$. Consider the two dual lattices $M = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$, $\bar{M} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{L}, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that we can consider the two dual lattices \bar{M} and M as Laurent monomials and G -invariant Laurent monomials, respectively.

The embedding of G into the torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{wt}: \bar{M} \longrightarrow G^\vee$$

with kernel M . Note that there are two isomorphisms of abelian groups $L/\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow G$ and $\bar{M}/M \rightarrow G^\vee$.

Let $\bar{M}_{\geq 0}$ denote genuine monomials in \bar{M} , i.e.

$$\bar{M}_{\geq 0} = \{x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \in \bar{M} \mid m_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm]$, $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm]$ generated by A .

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and σ_+ the cone generated by e_1, \dots, e_n . By toric geometry, the corresponding affine toric variety $U_{\sigma_+} = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_+^\vee \cap M]$ is the quotient variety $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$.

2.3. G -bricks and the birational component Y_θ . In this section, we review the notion of G -bricks introduced in [9, 10]. Using G -bricks, we can describe an affine local chart of the birational component Y_θ .

Definition 2.7. A G -prebrick Γ is a subset of Laurent monomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm]$ satisfying:

- (i) the monomial $\mathbf{1}$ is in Γ ;

- (ii) for each weight $\rho \in G^\vee$, there exists a unique Laurent monomial $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ of weight ρ , i.e. $\text{wt}: \Gamma \rightarrow G^\vee$ is bijective;
- (iii) if $\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ for $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, then $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$;
- (iv) the set Γ is *connected* in the sense that for any element \mathbf{m}_ρ , there is a (fractional) path in Γ from \mathbf{m}_ρ to $\mathbf{1}$ whose steps consist of multiplying or dividing by one of x_i .

For a Laurent monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$, let $\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{m})$ denote the unique element $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ of the same weight as \mathbf{m} .

For a G -prebrick $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{m}_\rho\}$, we define $S(\Gamma)$ to be the subsemigroup of M generated by $\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho}{\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$. We define a cone $\sigma(\Gamma)$ in $L_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Gamma) &= S(\Gamma)^\vee \\ &= \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in L_\mathbb{R} \mid \left\langle \mathbf{u}, \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho}{\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)} \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma, \mathbf{p} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As is proved in [10], the semigroup $S(\Gamma)$ is finitely generated as a semigroup. Thus the semigroup $S(\Gamma)$ defines an affine toric variety. Define two affine toric varieties:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Gamma) &:= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)], \\ U^\vee(\Gamma) &:= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\sigma(\Gamma)^\vee \cap M]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8. For a G -prebrick Γ ,

$$B(\Gamma) := \{x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \mid \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma, \} \setminus \Gamma$$

is called the *Border bases* of Γ .

Let Γ be a G -prebrick. Define

$$C(\Gamma) := \langle \Gamma \rangle / \langle B(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

The module $C(\Gamma)$ is a torus invariant G -constellation. A submodule \mathcal{G} of $C(\Gamma)$ is determined by a subset $A \subset \Gamma$, which forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 2.9. *Let A be a subset of Γ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The set A forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of a submodule of $C(\Gamma)$.*
- (ii) *If $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in A$, then $x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ implies $x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \in A$ for all i .*

Definition 2.10. Let Γ be a G -prebrick.

- (i) A G -prebrick Γ is called a *G -brick* if the affine toric variety $U(\Gamma)$ contains a torus fixed point.
- (ii) A G -prebrick Γ is called *θ -stable* if the torus invariant G -constellation $C(\Gamma)$ is θ -stable.

Note that from toric geometry, $U(\Gamma)$ has a torus fixed point if and only if $S(\Gamma) \cap (S(\Gamma))^{-1} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, i.e. the cone $\sigma(\Gamma)$ is an n -dimensional cone.

Proposition 2.11 ([9]). *For generic θ , let Γ be a θ -stable G -brick and Y_θ the birational component of \mathcal{M}_θ . There exists an open immersion*

$$U(\Gamma) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[S(\Gamma)] \hookrightarrow Y_\theta.$$

Remark 2.12. The G -brick Γ forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of G -constellations parametrised by $U(\Gamma)$. \diamond

Theorem 2.13 ([9]). *Let $G \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite diagonal group and θ a generic GIT parameter for G -constellations. Assume that \mathfrak{S} is the set of all θ -stable G -bricks.*

- (i) *The birational component Y_θ of \mathcal{M}_θ is isomorphic to the not-necessarily-normal toric variety $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}} U(\Gamma)$.*
- (ii) *The normalisation of Y_θ is isomorphic to the normal toric variety whose toric fan consists of the n -dimensional cones $\sigma(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ and their faces.*

Remark 2.14. For given G and θ , it is difficult to find all θ -stable G -bricks in general. \diamond

Definition 2.15. For a finite diagonal group $G \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, assume that Y is a normal toric variety admitting a proper birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^n/G$. Let Σ_{\max} denote the set of the n -dimensional cones in the fan of Y . A set \mathfrak{S} of G -bricks is called a G -brickset for Y if \mathfrak{S} satisfies:

- (i) there is a bijective map $\Sigma_{\max} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ sending σ to Γ_σ ;
- (ii) $S(\Gamma_\sigma) = \sigma^\vee \cap M$.

Proposition 2.16. *Suppose that $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^n/G$ is a proper birational morphism. Let \mathfrak{S} be a G -brickset for Y . Then Y is isomorphic to the toric variety $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}} U(\Gamma)$. Moreover, if there exists $\theta \in \Theta$ such that every Γ in \mathfrak{S} is θ -stable, then Y is isomorphic to Y_θ .*

Proof. By definition, it is clear that Y is isomorphic to the toric variety $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}} U(\Gamma)$. Assume that there exists $\theta \in \Theta$ such that every Γ in \mathfrak{S} is θ -stable. From Proposition 2.11, we can conclude that there exists an open immersion $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow Y_\theta$. Furthermore, since $Y \rightarrow X$ is proper and $Y_\theta \rightarrow X$ is projective, ι is a closed embedding between n -dimensional toric varieties. Thus ι is an isomorphism. \square

3. STAR SUBDIVISIONS AND MODULI DESCRIPTIONS

3.1. Star subdivisions and round down functions. Fix a primitive lattice point $v = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L \cap \sigma_+$. The *star subdivision* (or *barycentric subdivision*) Σ of σ_+ at v is the minimal fan containing all cones $\text{Cone}(\tau, v)$ where τ varies over all faces of σ_+ with $v \notin \tau$. Let $X := U_{\sigma_+}$ be the affine toric variety corresponding to σ_+ and X_Σ the toric variety corresponding to the fan Σ . Then the star subdivision

induces a projective toric morphism $\nu: X_\Sigma \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}^n/G$ with the ramification formula

$$(3.1) \quad rK_{X_\Sigma} - \nu^*rK_X \equiv_{\text{num}} \left(\sum_i a_i - r \right) E_v,$$

where E_v is the torus invariant prime divisor corresponding to the 1-dimensional cone $\text{Cone}(v)$.

The fan Σ consists of the n -dimensional cone σ_k and its faces for $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\sigma_k := \text{Cone}(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, v, \dots, e_n).$$

Assume that v generates L/\mathbb{Z}^n . Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let L_k be




FIGURE 3.1. Star subdivision Σ of σ_+ at v

the sublattice of L generated by $e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, v, \dots, e_n$. Let us consider the dual lattice $M_k := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_k, \mathbb{Z})$ with the corresponding dual basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$

$$\xi_j = \begin{cases} x_j x_k^{-\frac{a_j}{a_k}} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ x_k^{\frac{r}{a_k}} & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

Note that M_k contains the lattice M and that the lattice inclusion $L_k \hookrightarrow L$ induces a toric morphism

$$\varphi: \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\sigma_k^\vee \cap M_k] \rightarrow U_k := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\sigma_k^\vee \cap M].$$

With eigencoordinates $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$, the toric affine variety U_k has a quotient singularity of type

$$\frac{1}{a_k}(a_1, \dots, \underbrace{-r}_{k\text{th}}, \dots, a_n).$$




FIGURE 3.2. Star subdivision at v for the type $\frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$

Example 3.2. Consider the group G of type $\frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$. Figure 3.2 shows the star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$.

The cone σ_2 corresponds to the quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)$ with eigencoordinates $xy^{-\frac{1}{3}}, y^{\frac{20}{3}}, y^{-\frac{4}{3}}z$. There exists a unique lattice point $v_7 = \frac{1}{20}(7, 1, 8)$ on the plane containing e_1, v, e_3 . On the other hand, the cone σ_3 on the right side of v has a singularity of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 0)$. Note that there are three other lattice points v_5, v_{10}, v_{15} on the plane containing e_1, e_2, v . Lastly, the affine toric variety corresponding to the cone $\sigma_1 = \text{Cone}(e_2, e_3, v)$ is smooth as v, e_2, e_3 form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of L . \diamond

Definition 3.3 (Round down functions¹). With the notation above, for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the k -th round down function $\phi_k: \overline{M} \rightarrow M_k$ of the star subdivision at $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is defined by

$$\phi_k(x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}) = \xi_1^{m_1} \cdots \xi_k^{\lfloor \frac{1}{r} \sum a_i m_i \rfloor} \cdots \xi_n^{m_n}.$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function.

Observe that since $v \in L$, $\frac{1}{r} \sum a_i m_i$ is an integer if and only if the monomial $x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ is G -invariant.

For the star subdivision at $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, let G_k denote the abelian group L/L_k for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 3.4. For each k , let ϕ_k be the round down function of the star subdivision at $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and G_k the abelian group L/L_k . For a

¹As is stated in [9, 10], Davis, Logvinenko, and Reid [6] introduced a similar construction in a more general setting.

G -invariant monomial $\mathbf{p} \in M$ and a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$,

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{p}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \cdot \mathbf{p}.$$

In particular, the weights of $\phi_k(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{p})$ and $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ are the same with respect to the G_k -action. Thus ϕ_k induces a well-defined surjective map

$$\phi_k: G^\vee \rightarrow G_k^\vee, \quad \rho \mapsto \phi_k(\rho),$$

where $\phi_k(\rho)$ is the weight of $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ for a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ of weight ρ .

Remark 3.5. In the lemma above, $\phi_k: G^\vee \rightarrow G_k^\vee$ can be described as follows. Let ρ_i be the irreducible representation of G whose weight is i . Then the weight of the representation $\phi_k(\rho_i)$ is j where j is the residue of i modulo a_k , i.e. $j = i \pmod{a_k}$. \diamond

Lemma 3.6. Let $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ be a monomial of weight j . The weight j satisfies $0 \leq j < r - a_k$ if and only if

$$\phi_k(x_k \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}).$$

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{m} = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ is a monomial of weight j with $0 \leq j < r - a_k$, i.e.

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{r} \sum a_i m_i - \lfloor \frac{1}{r} \sum a_i m_i \rfloor < \frac{r - a_k}{r}.$$

This is equivalent to the condition that $\phi_k(x_k \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m})$. \square

Lemma 3.7. If $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}')$ for some k , then $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}'$ or $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}')$ for $\mathbf{m} = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ and $\mathbf{m}' = x_1^{m'_1} \cdots x_n^{m'_n}$ with $m_k \geq m'_k$. From the definition of the round down function ϕ_k , we have $m_i = m'_i$ for all $i \neq k$. Thus $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}'$ with $\mathbf{p} = x_k^{m_k - m'_k} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$. \square

Definition 3.8. The star subdivision of σ_+ at $v = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is said to be *good* if:

- (i) v generates L/\mathbb{Z}^n ;
- (ii) for every $i \neq j$, $a_i + a_j \leq r$.

Lemma 3.9. Let ϕ_k be the k -th round down function of the good star subdivision at $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. For any monomial \mathbf{n} in the lattice M_k and any degree one monomial ξ_j in M_k , there exist x_i and a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ such that

$$\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \xi_j \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{n}.$$

Proof. Fix k . Suppose that \mathbf{n} is a monomial in M_k and that ξ_j is a degree one monomial in M_k .

First consider the case where $j = k$, i.e. $\xi_j = \xi_k$. As the round down function ϕ_k is surjective, there exists $\mathbf{m} = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \in \overline{M}$ such

that $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{n}$. By Lemma 3.6, after multiplying x_k enough, we may assume that $\phi_k(x_k \cdot \mathbf{m}) \neq \phi_k(\mathbf{m})$. This means that

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_i a_i m_i + \frac{a_k}{r} \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{r} \sum_i a_i m_i \rfloor + 1.$$

Thus we have $\phi_k(x_k \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \xi_k \cdot \mathbf{n}$.

For the case where $j \neq k$, consider $\mathbf{m} = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \in \overline{M}$ such that $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{n}$ with $\phi_k(x_k^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \neq \phi_k(\mathbf{m})$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_i a_i m_i - \frac{a_k}{r} < \lfloor \frac{1}{r} \sum_i a_i m_i \rfloor.$$

Since the star subdivision is good, we have $a_k + a_j \leq r$. This implies that $\phi_k(x_j \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \xi_j \cdot \mathbf{n}$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let ϕ_k be the k -th round down function of the good star subdivision at $\frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. For a G_k -brick Γ' , define*

$$\Gamma := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma' \}.$$

- (i) *The set Γ is a G -brick with $S(\Gamma) = S(\Gamma')$.*
- (ii) *For $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$, we have $\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m})) = \phi_k(\text{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m}))$.*

Proof. First we show (ii) assuming that Γ is a G -prebrick. It follows that $\phi_k(\mathbf{m})$ is of the same weight as $\phi_k(\text{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m}))$ from Lemma 3.4. Since $\phi_k(\text{wt}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{m})) \in \Gamma'$, the assertion is proved.

To prove (i), note that $\mathbf{1} \in \Gamma$ as $\phi_k(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \in \Gamma'$. Second we show that there exists a unique monomial of weight ρ in Γ for each $\rho \in G^\vee$. Fix $\rho \in G^\vee$. Since the star subdivision is good, we have a monomial $\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M}$ such that the weight of \mathbf{m} is ρ . Note that $\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m})) \in \Gamma'$ and that $\frac{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m}))}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m})}$ is in the lattice M . From Lemma 3.4,

$$\phi_k : \mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\frac{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m}))}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m})} \right) \mapsto \text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m})),$$

so $\mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\frac{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(\mathbf{m}))}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m})} \right)$ is an element of weight ρ in Γ . From Lemma 3.4, the uniqueness is followed. Lemma 3.9 implies that Γ is connected as Γ' is connected.

To show (iii) in Definition 2.7, suppose that $\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ for $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$. Note that

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho) = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\phi_k(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)} \cdot \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho)} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho) \in \Gamma'.$$

Since Γ' is a G_k -brick, $\phi_k(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho) \in \Gamma'$. Thus $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho$ is in Γ . Therefore Γ is a G -prebrick.

To show that $S(\Gamma) = S(\Gamma')$, note that for $\mathbf{p} \in \overline{M}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho}{\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)} = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\phi_k(\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho))} = \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho))} \in S(\Gamma')$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\phi_k(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho)}$. Since $S(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho}{\text{wt}_\Gamma(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}$, we proved that $S(\Gamma) \subset S(\Gamma')$.

For the opposite inclusion, suppose that $\mathbf{n} \in \Gamma'$. Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be the eigencoordinates with respect to the G_k -action. Lemma 3.9 shows that for every ξ_j there exist $x_i, \mathbf{m}_\rho \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho) = \xi_j \cdot \mathbf{n}$ with $\phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho) = \mathbf{n}$. Then

$$\frac{\xi_j \cdot \mathbf{n}}{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\xi_j \cdot \mathbf{n})} = \frac{\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\text{wt}_{\Gamma'}(\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho))} = \frac{\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}{\phi_k(\text{wt}_\Gamma(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho))} = \frac{x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho}{\text{wt}_\Gamma(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_\rho)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.11. The G -brick Γ in Proposition 3.10 is called the *natural inverse* of Γ' and denoted by $\phi_k^*(\Gamma')$.

3.2. Star subdivisions and bricksets. Let G be a finite diagonal group in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let X denote the quotient variety \mathbb{C}^n/G and X_v the toric variety given by the good star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Recall that the toric fan of X_v contains the n -dimensional cone

$$\sigma_k := \text{Cone}(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, v, \dots, e_n).$$

Note that X_v is covered by the affine toric open sets

$$U_k = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\sigma_k^\vee \cap M] \cong \mathbb{C}^n/G_k,$$

where $G_k = L/L_k$.

Assume that Y is a normal toric variety admitting a proper birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$. Let Σ denote the toric fan of Y . Assume further that there exists a dominant toric morphism $\overline{\varphi}: Y \rightarrow X_v$ fitting into the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} & X_v \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

As is standard in toric geometry (see eg. Section 3.3 in [3]), for each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists a cone σ_k such that $\sigma \subset \sigma_k$. Therefore for each k , $\overline{\varphi}$ induces the following toric morphism

$$\overline{\varphi}_k: Y_k \rightarrow U_k,$$

where Y_k is the toric variety whose fan consists of the cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\sigma \subset \sigma_k$. Note that since $X_v \rightarrow X$ is projective, if the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is projective, then so is $\overline{\varphi}_k$.

Theorem 3.12. *With the assumption above, further assume that each $Y_k \rightarrow U_k$ has a G_k -brickset \mathfrak{S}_k . Define*

$$\mathfrak{S} := \bigcup_k \{\phi_k^*(\Gamma') \mid \Gamma' \in \mathfrak{S}_k\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{S} is a G -brickset for the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let Σ_{\max} be the set of the n -dimensional cones in the fan Σ of Y . From Proposition 3.10, it follows that every object in the set \mathfrak{S} is a G -brick. It suffices to prove that the set \mathfrak{S} satisfies:

- (i) there exists a bijection $\Sigma_{\max} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ sending σ to Γ_σ ;
- (ii) $S(\Gamma_\sigma) = \sigma^\vee \cap M$.

Let σ be an arbitrary n -dimensional cone in Σ . Then there exists a unique cone σ_k such that $\sigma \subset \sigma_k$. By the assumption, there is a unique G_k -brick $\Gamma' \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ such that $S(\Gamma') = \sigma^\vee \cap M$. Define

$$\Gamma_\sigma = \phi_k^*(\Gamma') := \{\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma'\}.$$

By Proposition 3.10, we have

$$S(\Gamma_\sigma) = S(\Gamma') = \sigma^\vee \cap M,$$

and the proof is completed. \square

Please note that by Proposition 2.16, if there is $\theta \in \Theta$ satisfying that every Γ in \mathfrak{S} is θ -stable, then Y is isomorphic to Y_θ .

3.3. Star subdivisions and stability parameters. In this section, we discuss the existence of a stability parameter θ such that every G -brick in the brickset described in Theorem 3.12 is θ -stable.

Consider the good star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{r}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. For each k , let $\Theta^{(k)}$ be the GIT parameter space of G_k -constellations. Remember that by Lemma 3.4 we have the well-defined surjective map

$$\phi_k: G^\vee \rightarrow G_k^\vee$$

induced by the round down function ϕ_k . Note that the linear map

$$(\phi_k)_\star: \Theta \rightarrow \Theta^{(k)}$$

defined by

$$(3.13) \quad [(\phi_k)_\star(\theta)](\chi) = \sum_{\phi_k(\rho)=\chi} \theta(\rho) \text{ for } \chi \in G_k^\vee$$

is well-defined.

Let ρ_i denote the irreducible representation of G whose weight is i and χ_j the irreducible representation of G_k whose weight is j . First

note that Θ , which is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space of $(r - 1)$ -dimension, has a \mathbb{Q} -basis $\{\theta_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \mid 1 \leq i < r\}$ where

$$(3.14) \quad \theta_i(\rho_l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho_l = \rho_i, \\ -1 & \text{if } \rho_l \text{ is trivial,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $\rho_l \in G^\vee$. By the definition of the round down functions (see Lemma 3.4 or Remark 3.5), we have

$$[(\phi_k)_\star(\theta_i)](\chi_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i \pmod{a_k}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \chi_j \text{ is trivial,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $\chi_j \in G_k^\vee$. In particular, $(\phi_k)_\star(\theta_i) \equiv (\phi_k)_\star(\theta_{i'})$ if $i \equiv i' \pmod{a_k}$.

Remark 3.15. As is discussed above, $(\phi_k)_\star: \Theta \rightarrow \Theta^{(k)}$ is surjective. Indeed, $(\phi_k)_\star(\theta_i)$ for $1 \leq i < a$ form a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\Theta^{(k)}$. \diamond

From now on, we only consider 3-dimensional cases. Consider the good star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $a < b$.

Lemma 3.16. *Consider the good star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $a < b$. Assume that a and b are coprime. Given $\theta^{(k)} \in \Theta^{(k)}$ for $k = 2, 3$, there exists $\theta_P \in \Theta$ such that*

$$(3.17) \quad (\phi_k)_\star(\theta) \equiv \theta^{(k)}$$

for all k .

Proof. Consider the linear map

$$\phi_\star = ((\phi_2)_\star, (\phi_3)_\star): \Theta \rightarrow \Theta^{(2)} \oplus \Theta^{(3)}.$$

We need to prove that ϕ_\star is surjective. It suffices to show that

$$\{\phi_\star(\theta_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq a + b - 2\}$$

is linearly independent as the dimension of $\Theta^{(2)} \oplus \Theta^{(3)}$ is $a + b - 2$. Using the fact that a and b are coprime, the assertion follows from a direct calculation. \square

4. MAIN THEOREM

4.1. Toric minimal model program. In this section, we recall the birational geometry of toric varieties (see [13]). Reid [13] introduced a combinatorial criterion for a toric variety to have terminal singularities and canonical singularities.

Theorem 4.1 (Reid [13]). *Let X be the toric variety corresponding to a fan Σ with a lattice L and the dual lattice M . Then X has only terminal singularities (resp. canonical singularities) if and only if any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) (resp. (i) and (iii)):*

- (i) there exists an element $\mathbf{m} \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m} \rangle = 1$ for any primitive vector \mathbf{u} of σ ;
- (ii) there are no other lattice points in the set $\{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma \mid \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m} \rangle \leq 1\}$ except vertices;
- (iii) there are no other lattice points in the set $\{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma \mid \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m} \rangle < 1\}$ except the origin.

Theorem 4.2 (Reid [13]). *Let X be a quasiprojective toric variety and $V \rightarrow X$ a projective birational toric morphism with V smooth. Then there exists the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 V & \dashrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & X_{\text{can}} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \nu & \\
 & & X & &
 \end{array}$$

where

- (i) X_{can} has canonical singularities, $\nu: X_{\text{can}} \rightarrow X$ is a projective birational morphism, and $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is ν -ample;
- (ii) Y has \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal singularities, $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a projective birational morphism, and K_Y is φ -nef, i.e. $\bar{\varphi}$ is crepant.

Definition 4.3. In Theorem 4.2, we say that:

- (i) the variety X_{can} is a *relative canonical model* of X ;
- (ii) the variety Y is a *relative minimal model* of X .

Convention 4.4. In this article, relative minimal models of X are always projective over X .

4.2. The Craw–Ishii conjecture. For a finite abelian subgroup G of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, Craw and Ishii proved that every projective crepant resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_{θ} for a suitable parameter θ .

Theorem 4.5 (Craw–Ishii [2]). *For a finite abelian subgroup G of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, let Y be a relative minimal model of \mathbb{C}^3/G . Then Y is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_{θ} for a suitable θ .*

They conjectured that the same holds without the abelian assumption. We further conjecture that the same is true for all finite group $G \subset \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ if Y is a smooth relative minimal model.

Conjecture 4.6 (Craw–Ishii conjecture). *For a finite subgroup G of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, let Y be a relative minimal model of \mathbb{C}^3/G . If Y is smooth, then Y is isomorphic to (the birational component Y_{θ} of) \mathcal{M}_{θ} for a suitable θ .*

To prove the theorem above, Craw and Ishii showed that a flop of \mathcal{M}_{θ} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\theta'}$ for some parameter θ' as two crepant resolutions are connected by a sequence of flops. This completes the proof because

we already knew that $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3$ is a crepant resolution of \mathbb{C}^3/G by Bridgeland–King–Reid [1] for $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that for $G \not\subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ we do not have a moduli description of any relative minimal model of \mathbb{C}^3/G yet.

Remark 4.7. From Theorem 4.5, we have a simple corollary as follows. For G a finite abelian subgroup in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and Y a projective crepant resolution of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$, there exist:

- (i) a G -brickset \mathfrak{S} for $Y \rightarrow X$;
- (ii) a stability parameter θ such that $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ is θ -stable.

We use this to prove the Craw–Ishii conjecture for some cases. \diamond

4.3. The first case: $r = abc + a + b + 1$. In this section, as the first example, we prove the Craw–Ishii conjecture for the group G of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $r = abc + a + b + 1$ where a, b, c are positive integers with b coprime to a . Consider the lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b),$$

and the cone $\sigma_+ = \mathrm{Cone}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$. The lattice point $v := \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ is in the interior of the simplex Δ where

$$\Delta := \{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma_+ \mid \langle \mathbf{u}, x_1 x_2 x_3 \rangle \leq 1\},$$

with considering the monomial $x_1 x_2 x_3$ as an element in $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus the quotient singularity $X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ defined by the cone σ_+ is not a canonical singularity.

Consider the star subdivision of σ_+ at v . Let X_v denote the toric variety corresponding to the star subdivision. From Section 3.1, we have:

- (i) the cone $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{Cone}(v, e_2, e_3)$ defines a smooth open set U_1 ;
- (ii) the cone $\sigma_2 = \mathrm{Cone}(e_1, v, e_3)$ defines the affine toric variety $U_2 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$ with G_2 of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, -r, b)$;
- (iii) the cone $\sigma_3 = \mathrm{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v)$ defines the affine toric variety $U_3 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_3$ with G_3 of type $\frac{1}{b}(1, a, -r)$.

Note that σ_2 and σ_3 define Gorenstein 3-fold abelian quotient singularities. Hence the star subdivision at v has only canonical singularities. Since a star subdivision induces a projective toric morphism, from the ramification formula (3.1), it follows that the star subdivision of σ_+ at v defines the relative canonical model X_{can} of X , i.e. X_v is the relative canonical model of X .

Suppose that $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a relative minimal model. Then there exists a projective crepant morphism $\overline{\varphi}: Y \rightarrow X_v$ fitting into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} & X_v \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

As is discussed in Section 3.2, we have the following three induced projective crepant morphisms:

- (i) $\overline{\varphi}_1: Y_1 \rightarrow U_1 = \mathbb{C}^3$;
- (ii) $\overline{\varphi}_2: Y_2 \rightarrow U_2 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$;
- (iii) $\overline{\varphi}_3: Y_3 \rightarrow U_3 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_3$.

Here Y_k denotes the toric variety given by the cones σ such that $\sigma \subset \sigma_k$. Note that $\overline{\varphi}_1$ is an isomorphism. From the Craw–Ishii Theorem [2], it follows that there exists a generic GIT parameter $\theta^{(k)}$ such that Y_k is the moduli space of $\theta^{(k)}$ -stable G_k -constellations. Thus we have:

- (i) a G_k -brickset \mathfrak{S}_k for $Y_k \rightarrow U_k$;
- (ii) a stability parameter $\theta^{(k)}$ such that $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ is $\theta^{(k)}$ -stable.

By Theorem 3.12, there exists a G -brickset \mathfrak{S} for $Y \rightarrow X$. Note that to the cone σ_1 , we assign the G -brick

$$\Gamma := \phi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) = \{1, x_1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^{r-1}\},$$

which satisfies $S(\Gamma) = \sigma_1^\vee \cap M$.

Now we show that there exists a parameter θ such that every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ is θ -stable. First note that since a and b are coprime, by Lemma 3.16, there exists $\theta_P \in \Theta$ satisfies (3.17) for given $\theta^{(2)}$ and $\theta^{(3)}$.

Define the GIT parameter $\psi \in \Theta$ by

$$(4.8) \quad \psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < b, \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(\rho) = a + b, \\ 1 & \text{if } r - b - 1 \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.9. The parameter ψ in (4.8) has the following properties for each k .

- (i) For any $\chi \in G_k^\vee$, we have $[(\phi_k)_*(\psi)](\chi) \equiv 0$, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=j \pmod{a_k}} \psi(\rho_i) = 0$$

for each $0 \leq j < a_k$.

- (ii) For any i with $0 \leq i < a_k$, we have $\psi(\rho_i) < 0$.
- (iii) For a monomial \mathbf{m} of weight i with $a_k \leq i < r$, define

$$A := A(\mathbf{m}) := \{x_k^l \cdot \mathbf{m} \mid \phi_k(x_k^l \cdot \mathbf{m}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \text{ for some } l \geq 0\}.$$

Then we have $\psi(A) > 0$.

These are the key properties we use for the existence of a suitable parameter. \diamond

Proposition 4.10. *For a sufficiently large natural number m , set*

$$(4.11) \quad \theta := \theta_P + m\psi,$$

with θ_P satisfying (3.17). If a G -brick Γ is in \mathfrak{S} described above, then Γ is θ -stable.

Proof. Let Γ be a G -brick in \mathfrak{S} and σ the corresponding cone.

If the cone σ is contained in σ_1 , then the corresponding G -graph is $\Gamma = \{1, x_1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^{r-2}, x_1^{r-1}\}$. Note that any nonzero proper submodule \mathcal{G} of $C(\Gamma)$ is given by

$$A = \{x_1^j, x_1^{j+1}, \dots, x_1^{r-2}, x_1^{r-1}\}$$

for some $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ by Lemma 2.9. Thus $\psi(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ by definition. From this, it follows that Γ is θ -stable for sufficiently large m .

For the other cases, assume that Γ is the G -brick corresponding to a cone $\sigma \subset \sigma_k$. Let Γ' be the G_k -brick corresponding to Γ and \mathcal{G} a nonzero proper submodule of $C(\Gamma)$ with \mathbb{C} -basis $A \subset \Gamma$. Recall that

$$\Gamma = \{\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma'\},$$

as in Proposition 3.10. We have the following two cases:

- (i) $A = \phi_k^{-1}(\phi_k(A)) := \{\mathbf{m} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_k(\mathbf{m}) \in \phi_k(A)\};$
- (ii) $A \subsetneq \phi_k^{-1}(\phi_k(A)).$

In case (i), $\psi(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ by definition. Moreover, we can see that the set $\phi_k(A)$ defines a nonzero proper submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma')$ as follows. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be the the eigencoordinates with respect to the G_k -action on \mathbb{C}^3 . Suppose that $\xi_j \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho) \in \Gamma'$ for some $\mathbf{m}_\rho \in A$. Lemma 3.9 implies that there exist $\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}$ and x_i such that

$$\phi_k(x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) = \xi_j \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_{\rho'}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho).$$

As A is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathcal{G} , Lemma 2.9 implies that $x_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\rho'} \in A$. Thus $\xi_j \cdot \phi_k(\mathbf{m}_\rho)$ is in $\phi_k(A)$. This shows that $\phi_k(A)$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of a nonzero proper submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma')$. Since

$$(\phi_k)_*(\theta) \equiv \theta^{(k)},$$

we have $\theta(\mathcal{G}) = \theta^{(k)}(\mathcal{G}') > 0$ as \mathcal{G}' is a submodule of the $\theta^{(k)}$ -stable constellation $C(\Gamma')$.

Consider case (ii). Observe that

$$\sum_{\phi_k(\rho') \in \phi_k(A)} \psi(\rho') = 0$$

by the definition of ψ . Lemma 3.6 implies that if \mathbf{m}_ρ in $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_k(A)) \setminus A$, then $0 \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < r - b$. Moreover we have

$$\sum_{\rho' \in \phi_k^{-1}(\phi_k(A)) \setminus A} \psi(\rho') < 0.$$

Thus $\psi(\mathcal{G}) > 0$. Therefore $\theta(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ for sufficiently large m .

Since there exist a finite number of G -bricks in \mathfrak{S} , we are done. \square

Remark 4.12. The parameter θ in Proposition 4.10 does not need to be generic. However, since the condition for θ is an open condition, there exists a generic parameter in a small neighbourhood of θ . \diamond

As we have proved the existence of a suitable generic parameter θ , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.13. *For positive integers a, b, c with b coprime to a , let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $r = abc + a + b + 1$. Assume that $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is any relative minimal model of X . Then Y is isomorphic to the birational component Y_θ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations for a suitable parameter θ .*

In some small cases (eg. $\frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$), the irreducible component Y_θ is actually a connected component of \mathcal{M}_θ . However, we do not have a big example such that \mathcal{M}_θ itself is irreducible.

Question 4.14. In the situation as above, is \mathcal{M}_θ in the theorem above irreducible?

Example 4.15. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$ as in Example 3.2. Consider the star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$. Then the star subdivision gives the relative canonical model of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$.

Let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a relative minimal model whose fan is shown in Figure 4.1.

There exist the two induced projective crepant resolutions:


- (i) $\overline{\varphi}_2: Y_2 \rightarrow U_2 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$;
- (ii) $\overline{\varphi}_3: Y_3 \rightarrow U_3 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_3$.

Here G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)$ and G_3 is of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 0)$. Note that Y_2 and Y_3 are G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 and G_3 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 , respectively.

We illustrate how to calculate G -bricks associated to the following cones:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \text{Cone} \left((1, 0, 0), \frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4), \frac{1}{20}(7, 1, 8) \right), \\ \sigma_2 &:= \text{Cone} \left((1, 0, 0), \frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4), \frac{1}{20}(15, 5, 0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the cone σ_1 is in $\text{Cone}(e_1, v_1, e_3)$. Moreover, observe that the left fan corresponds to G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 with G_2 of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)$. Consider the cone σ'_1 in the fan of G_2 -Hilb \mathbb{C}^3 corresponding to σ_1 . Let

FIGURE 4.1. Recursion process for $\frac{1}{20}(1, 3, 4)$

ξ, η, ζ denote the eigencoordinates for G_2 . The corresponding G_2 -brick is

$$\Gamma'_1 = \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}.$$

The G -brick Γ_1 corresponding to σ_1 is

$$\Gamma_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_2(x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3}) \in \Gamma'_1\}$$

where the left round down function ϕ_2 is defined by

$$\phi_2(x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3}) = \xi^{m_1} \eta^{\lfloor \frac{1}{20}m_1 + \frac{3}{20}m_2 + \frac{4}{20}m_3 \rfloor} \zeta^{m_3}.$$

Thus

$$\Gamma_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} y^{-2}z^2 & y^{-1}z^2 & z^2 & yz^2 & y^2z^2 & y^3z^2 & & \\ & y^{-1}z & z & yz & y^2z & y^3z & y^4z & y^5z \\ & & 1 & y & y^2 & y^3 & y^4 & y^5 & y^6 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Observe that the cone σ_2 is in $\text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v_1)$. The right fan is the fan of $G_3\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3$, where G_3 is of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 0)$. Let α, β, γ be the eigencoordinates. For the cone σ'_2 corresponding to σ_2 , observe that the corresponding G_3 -brick is

$$\Gamma'_2 = \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3\}.$$

The G -brick Γ_2 corresponding to σ_2 is

$$\Gamma_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3} \in \overline{M} \mid \phi_3(x^{m_1} y^{m_2} z^{m_3}) \in \Gamma'_2\}$$

where the right round down function ϕ_3 is

$$\phi_3(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) = \alpha^{m_1}\beta^{m_2}\gamma^{\lfloor \frac{1}{20}m_1 + \frac{3}{20}m_2 + \frac{4}{20}m_3 \rfloor}.$$

Thus

$$\Gamma_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} y^3z^{-2} & y^3z^{-1} & y^3 & y^3z & y^3z^2 & \\ & y^2z^{-1} & y^2 & y^2z & y^2z^2 & y^2z^3 \\ & & y & yz & yz^2 & yz^3 & yz^4 \\ & & 1 & z & z^2 & z^3 & z^4 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that $S(\Gamma_1) = \sigma_1^\vee \cap M$ and $S(\Gamma_2) = \sigma_2^\vee \cap M$.

Now we turn to stability parameters. Since Y_k is G_k -Hilb for each $k = 2, 3$, from (2.4) we can take

$$\theta^{(2)} = (-2, 1, 1), \quad \theta^{(3)} = (-3, 1, 1, 1).$$

Then the condition (3.17) of θ_P for given $\theta^{(2)}$ and $\theta^{(3)}$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -2 = \sum_{l=0}^6 \theta_P(\rho_{3l}), \\ 1 = \sum_{l=0}^6 \theta_P(\rho_{3l+1}), \\ 1 = \sum_{l=0}^5 \theta_P(\rho_{3l+2}), \\ -3 = \sum_{l=0}^4 \theta_P(\rho_{4l}), \\ 1 = \sum_{l=0}^4 \theta_P(\rho_{4l+1}), \\ 1 = \sum_{l=0}^4 \theta_P(\rho_{4l+2}), \\ 1 = \sum_{l=0}^4 \theta_P(\rho_{4l+3}). \end{array} \right.$$

Take

$$\theta_P = (-3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

as a solution of the equations above. For ψ in (4.8), define $\theta = \theta_P + m\psi$:

$$\theta(\rho_i) = (\theta_P + m\psi)(\rho_i) = \begin{cases} -3 - m & \text{if } i = 0, \\ -m & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 4, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 5 \text{ or } 6, \\ 1 - m & \text{if } i = 7, \\ m & \text{if } 15 \leq i \leq 19, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the G -brick Γ_2 above:

$$\Gamma_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} y^3z^{-2} & y^3z^{-1} & y^3 & y^3z & y^3z^2 & \\ & y^2z^{-1} & y^2 & y^2z & y^2z^2 & y^2z^3 \\ & & y & yz & yz^2 & yz^3 & yz^4 \\ & & 1 & z & z^2 & z^3 & z^4 \end{array} \right\}.$$

As examples, consider the two submodules \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} generated by A and B , respectively, where

$$A = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} y^3 z^{-2} & y^3 z^{-1} & y^3 & y^3 z & y^3 z^2 & \\ & y^2 z^{-1} & y^2 & y^2 z & y^2 z^2 & y^2 z^3 \end{array} \right\},$$

$$B = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} y^3 & y^3 z & y^3 z^2 & & \\ y^2 & y^2 z & y^2 z^2 & y^2 z^3 & \\ y & yz & yz^2 & yz^3 & yz^4 \\ 1 & z & z^2 & z^3 & z^4 \end{array} \right\}.$$

First consider the submodule \mathcal{G} . Note that $\psi(\mathcal{G}) = 0$. By definition, note that $\phi_3(A) = \{\beta^2, \beta^3\}$ forms a basis of a submodule \mathcal{G}' of $C(\Gamma'_2)$ with $\theta(\mathcal{G}) = \theta^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}')$. Thus

$$\theta(\mathcal{G}) = \theta^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}') = 2 > 0.$$

For the submodule \mathcal{H}' , note that $\phi_3^{-1}(\phi_3(B))$ contains $y^2 z^{-1}$, $y^3 z^{-1}$ and $y^3 z^{-2}$. Observe that $\psi(\mathcal{H}) > 0$. Thus $\theta(\mathcal{H})$ is positive for large enough m . More precisely,

$$\theta(\mathcal{H}) = -3 + 1 + 1 + m + m = 2m - 1$$

is positive if $m > \frac{1}{2}$. ◇

4.4. The second case: $r = abc + a - 2b + 1$. Consider the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$. Assume that the star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ gives:

- (i) $\sigma_2 := \text{Cone}(e_1, v, e_3)$ is of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, 1, 1)$ for $a \geq 4$;
- (ii) $\sigma_3 := \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v)$ is a Gorenstein quotient singularity.

This means that:

- (i) $-r \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$;
- (ii) $1 - r + b \equiv 3 \pmod{a}$;
- (iii) $1 - r + a \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$.

In the rest of this section, we consider the case where

$$r = abc - 2b + a + 1 \quad \text{with} \quad b = ak + 1, a \geq 4$$

for some positive integers c, k . Consider the lattice


$$L = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b),$$

Let v and w denote the lattice points

$$v := \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad w := \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{r+1}{a}, 1, \frac{r+b}{a}\right).$$

Let X_v denote the toric variety corresponding to the star subdivision at v . In this case, X_v is not the relative canonical model of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ because the quotient of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, 1, 1)$ is not canonical for $a \geq 4$. The relative canonical model depends on c . We have the two cases:

- (a) $c \geq 2$;


 FIGURE 4.2. Canonical model for $c \geq 2$

(b) $c = 1$.

Case (a): $c \geq 2$. Consider the case where $c \geq 2$. In this case, the relative canonical model is given by the fan consisting of the following five cones and their faces:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \text{Cone}(v, e_2, e_3), & \sigma_3 &= \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v), \\ \sigma_4 &= \text{Cone}(w, v, e_3), & \sigma_6 &= \text{Cone}(e_1, v, w), & \sigma_7 &= \text{Cone}(e_1, w, e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the cone σ_2 defines a Gorenstein quotient singularity and the others define smooth affine toric open sets. We can check directly $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is ample over X .

Since there exists a projective morphism $X_{\text{can}} \rightarrow X_v$, for every relative minimal model $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$, we have a projective morphism $\bar{\varphi}: Y \rightarrow X_v$ fitting into:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{can}} \\ & \searrow \bar{\varphi} & \downarrow \\ & \searrow \varphi & X_v \\ & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

The morphism $\bar{\varphi}$ induces two projective morphisms:

- (i) $\bar{\varphi}_2: Y_2 \rightarrow U_2 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$;
- (ii) $\bar{\varphi}_3: Y_3 \rightarrow U_3 = \mathbb{C}^3/G_3$.

As is seen above, G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, 1, 1)$ and the induced morphism $\bar{\varphi}_2$ is given by

$$G_2\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$$

where G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{a}(1, 1, 1)$. Thus it follows that there exist a brickset \mathfrak{S}_2 for $Y_2 \rightarrow U_2$ and $\theta^{(2)}$ for the brickset \mathfrak{S}_2 . On the other hand, since U_3 is a Gorenstein quotient singularity, by the Craw–Ishii Theorem [2], there exists a brickset \mathfrak{S}_3 for $Y_3 \rightarrow U_3$ and $\theta^{(3)}$ for the brickset \mathfrak{S}_3 .

From Theorem 3.12, there is a G -brickset for $Y \rightarrow X$. Now it suffices to find a GIT parameter θ such that every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ is θ -stable. Define the GIT parameter $\psi \in \Theta$ by

$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < b, \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(\rho) = 2ab - 5b + 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(\rho) = r - a - b + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } r - b \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that ψ above has the same properties in Remark 4.9. Thus the same proof works for the existence of θ as in Proposition 4.10. Therefore the following theorem follows.


Theorem 4.16. *Consider positive integers a, k, c with $c \geq 2$, $a \geq 4$ and $b = ak + 1$. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $r = abc + a - 2b + 1$. Let $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ be a relative minimal model of X . Then Y is isomorphic to the birational component Y_θ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations for a suitable parameter θ .*

Case (b): $c = 1$. For the case where $c = 1$, the fan of the relative canonical model consists of the following four cones and their faces:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \text{Cone}(v, e_2, e_3), & \sigma_5 &= \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v, w), \\ \sigma_4 &= \text{Cone}(w, v, e_3), & \sigma_7 &= \text{Cone}(e_1, w, e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the cone σ_5 defines a toric Gorenstein singularity and hence it is canonical. Note that since the cone σ_5 is not simplicial, the corresponding affine toric variety is not a quotient type. In particular, the relative canonical model does not need to be obtained by a sequence of star subdivisions because a star subdivision of a simplicial fan is simplicial.

Note that since X_{can} is Gorenstein, every relative minimal model is smooth. However, some relative minimal of X does not have a morphism to X_v (See Example 4.18). For a relative minimal model Y admitting a morphism to X_v and for $a \geq 6$, we can prove in the same

FIGURE 4.3. Canonical model for $c = 1$

way as in Case (a) with the following ψ :

$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < b, \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(\rho) = ab - 5b + 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(\rho) = r - a - b + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } r - b \leq \text{wt}(\rho) < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.17. *For positive integers a, k , let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $r = ab + a - 2b + 1$ and $b = ak + 1$. Furthermore assume that $a \geq 6$. Let X_v denote the toric variety given by the star subdivision of σ_+ at $v = \frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$. Let $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^3/G$ be a relative minimal model admitting a morphism $Y \rightarrow X_v$. Then Y is isomorphic to the birational component Y_θ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_θ of θ -stable G -constellations for a suitable parameter θ .*

Example 4.18. Consider the group G of type $\frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$. Then the star subdivision at $v = \frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$ gives:

- (i) $\sigma_2 := \text{Cone}(e_1, v, e_3)$ corresponds to the quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{5}(1, 1, 1)$;
- (ii) $\sigma_3 := \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v)$ corresponds to the quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{11}(1, 5, 5)$.

As is discussed above, the relative canonical model X_{can} of $X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ is Gorenstein, but not \mathbb{Q} -factorial.

Let v_i denote the lattice point $\frac{1}{r}(\bar{i}, \overline{5i}, \overline{11i})$ where $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the residue modulo r . In particular, $v_1 = v$ and $v_8 = w$. Note that there exists a plane Π containing e_1, e_2, v_1 and v_8 . Observe that the lattice




FIGURE 4.4. Fans of birational models for $\frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$

points $v_4, v_{11}, v_{18}, v_{25}$, and v_{32} lie on the plane Π . Thus subdividing the cone σ_5 into smooth cones only using these points defines a crepant resolution of the toric singularity given by σ_5 where

$$\sigma_5 = \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, v_1, v_8).$$

In Figure 4.4, the variety Y is a relative minimal model of X admitting a morphism to X_v . Actually one can prove that Y is isomorphic to Y_θ for some θ . On the other hand, the variety Z is a relative minimal model having no morphism to X_v . At this moment, we do not know that whether Z is isomorphic to Y_θ for some θ . \diamond

4.5. Discussions.

4.5.1. *Smoothness of minimal models.* From Theorem 4.2, it follows that if the relative canonical model X_{can} is Gorenstein, then any relative minimal model is Gorenstein. Since a toric Gorenstein 3-fold terminal singularity is smooth, every relative minimal model is smooth if the relative canonical model X_{can} is Gorenstein for G being abelian. However, we do not know any sufficient condition for the group G of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ having the Gorenstein relative canonical model X_{can} of \mathbb{C}^3/G .

Question 4.19. Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, a, b)$ with $a+b+1 < r$. Let X be the quotient \mathbb{C}^3/G and X_{can} the relative canonical model of X . When is X_{can} Gorenstein? If so, when can we obtain X_{can} by a sequence of star subdivisions?

4.5.2. *Other stability parameters.* The theorems above said that for a relative minimal model Y there exists some parameter θ such that Y_θ is isomorphic to Y . We can ask whether Y_θ is a relative minimal model for all generic θ or not.

Sara Muhvić calculated the following:

- (i) for the type of $\frac{1}{12}(1, 2, 3)$, $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3$ is smooth but not a relative minimal model;
- (ii) for the type of $\frac{1}{24}(1, 3, 5)$, $G\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3$ is not even smooth.

Thus it seems that there exist few chambers in Θ giving a relative minimal model of \mathbb{C}^3/G .


Question 4.20. Let G be the group of type in Section 4.3 or Section 4.4. For which θ , is Y_θ a relative minimal model?

4.5.3. *Existence of stability parameters.* Let $Y \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$ be a relative minimal model admitting a morphism to X_v where X_v is the toric variety given by the star subdivision of σ_+ at v . The main theorem was proved by showing the three statements:

- (i) there exists a G -brickset \mathfrak{S} for $Y \rightarrow X$ using round down functions;
- (ii) the linear map $\Theta \rightarrow \Theta^{(1)} \oplus \Theta^{(2)} \oplus \Theta^{(3)}$ is surjective in (3.17);
- (iii) there exists a stability parameter ψ satisfying (4.9).

To prove (i), we only used the existence of a G_k -brickset for G_k , whose order is smaller than that of G . When we proved (ii), we only use the assumption that a and b are coprime. However, showing the existence of ψ in (iii) was done on a case by case basis in Section 4.3 and Section 4.4. It would be interesting if we have a systematic way to produce such a parameter ψ .

Question 4.21. Is there a systematic method to find a stability parameter ψ satisfying the properties in Remark 4.9 for a star subdivision?

FIGURE A.1. Recursion process for Z APPENDIX A. $\frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$ TYPE

Let G be the group of type $\frac{1}{39}(1, 5, 11)$ as in Example 4.18. Consider the relative minimal model Z in Figure 4.4. In this section, although we cannot see that Z is isomorphic to the birational component Y_θ of \mathcal{M}_θ , we show that there exists a G -brickset for $Z \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}^3/G$.

Although there is no morphism $Z \rightarrow X_v$, there exists a morphism $\bar{\varphi}: Z \rightarrow X_u$ where X_u is the toric variety given by the star subdivision at $u = v_4 = \frac{1}{39}(4, 20, 5)$. The star subdivision of σ_+ at u produces the three cones:

$$\sigma_1 = \text{Cone}(u, e_2, e_3), \quad \sigma_2 = \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2, u), \quad \sigma_3 = \text{Cone}(e_1, u, e_3).$$

The morphism $\bar{\varphi}$ induces the following three morphisms:

- (i) $\bar{\varphi}_1: Z_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_1$, where G_1 is of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 0, 1)$;
- (ii) $\bar{\varphi}_2: Z_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$, where G_2 is of type $\frac{1}{20}(4, 1, 5)$;
- (iii) $\bar{\varphi}_3: Z_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_3$, where G_3 is of type $\frac{1}{5}(4, 0, 1)$.

As is shown in Figure A.1, note that for $k = 1, 3$, the morphism $\bar{\varphi}_k: Z_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_k$ is given by

$$G_k\text{-Hilb } \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_k.$$

Thus, to show the existence of a G -brickset \mathfrak{S} for $Z \rightarrow X$, it only remains to show there exists a G_2 -brickset for $\bar{\varphi}_2: Z_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/G_2$ by Theorem 3.12. Considering the star subdivision of $\text{Cone}(e_1, v_4, e_3)$ at v_8 , one can see that there exists a stability parameter $\theta^{(2)}$ such that Z_2 is isomorphic to the birational component of the moduli space of $\theta^{(2)}$ -stable G_2 -constellations in a similar way to the case in the main

theorem. Therefore we can conclude that there exists a G -brickset² \mathfrak{S} for $Z \rightarrow X$.

Finally, we discuss why we cannot see the existence of θ . First, a parameter ψ satisfying (4.9) can be found, eg. ψ can be defined to be

$$\psi(\rho_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq 18, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 19, \\ 1 & \text{if } 20 \leq i \leq 38. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the linear map

$$\phi_\star = ((\phi_1)_\star, (\phi_2)_\star, (\phi_3)_\star) : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta^{(1)} \oplus \Theta^{(2)} \oplus \Theta^{(3)}$$

is not surjective. Therefore, we cannot tell if there exists a solution for (3.17). However, this does not mean that there are no parameters θ for the G -brickset \mathfrak{S} . Using a computer, we might be able to find a parameter θ such that every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}$ is θ -stable.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bridgeland, A. King, M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 3, 535–554.
- [2] A. Craw, A. Ishii, *Flops of G -Hilb and equivalences of derived categories by variation of GIT quotient*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 2, 259–307.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, H. Schenck, *Toric Varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **124**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [4] A. Craw, D. Maclagan, R. R. Thomas, *Moduli of McKay quiver representations I: The coherent component*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **95** (2007), no. 1, 179–198.
- [5] A. Craw, D. Maclagan, R. R. Thomas, *Moduli of McKay quiver representations II: Gröbner basis techniques*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 2, 514–535
- [6] S. Davis, T. Logvinenko, M. Reid, *How to calculate A -Hilb \mathbb{C}^n for $\frac{1}{r}(a, b, 1, \dots, 1)$* , preprint.
- [7] Y. Ito, H. Nakajima, *McKay correspondence and Hilbert schemes in dimension three*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 6, 1155–1191.
- [8] Y. Ito, I. Nakamura, *Hilbert schemes and simple singularities*, New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996), 151–233, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **264**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [9] S.-J. Jung, *McKay Quivers and Terminal Quotient Singularities in Dimension 3*, PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 2014.
- [10] S.-J. Jung, *Terminal quotient singularities in dimension three via variation of GIT*, in preprint, arxiv:1502.03579.
- [11] A. King, *Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.(2) **45** (1994), no. 180, 515–530.
- [12] I. Nakamura, *Hilbert schemes of abelian group orbits*, J. Algebraic. Geom. **10** (2001), no.4, 757–779.
- [13] M. Reid, *Decomposition of toric morphisms*, Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, 395–418, Progr. Math., **36**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1983.

²You can find the G -brickset \mathfrak{S} on my website:
<http://newton.kias.re.kr/~seungjo/CI1.html>

- [14] M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 345–414, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **46**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU,
SEOUL, 130-722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `seungjo@kias.re.kr`