

Direct Evidence for Suppression of the Kondo Effect due to Pure Spin Current

K. Hamaya,* T. Kurokawa, S. Oki, S. Yamada, and T. Kanashima
Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-8531, Japan

T. Taniyama†
*Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan*

(Dated: March 20, 2022)

We study the effect of a pure spin current on the Kondo singlet in a diluted magnetic alloy using non-local lateral spin valve structures with highly spin polarized Co_2FeSi electrodes. Temperature dependence of the non-local spin signals shows a sharp reduction with decreasing temperature, followed by a plateau corresponding to the low temperature Fermi liquid regime below the Kondo temperature (T_K). The spin diffusion length of the Kondo alloy is found to increase with the evolution of spin accumulation. The results are in agreement with the intuitive description that the Kondo singlet cannot survive any more in sufficiently large spin accumulation even below T_K .

According to the Anderson model[1], the s - d mixing or hybridization of a localized impurity spin and the surrounding conduction electrons results in the formation of a spin singlet state in diluted magnetic alloys[2–7] or artificial nanostructures such as a quantum dot (QD) [8–11]. This many-body effect, so-called Kondo effect, is currently being researched extensively due to its rich physics in condensed matter [12–29]; a logarithmic increase in the resistivity of diluted magnetic alloys below the characteristic temperature, i.e., the Kondo temperature (T_K), is a representative aspect of the Kondo effect. Among them, the effect of spin polarized electrons on the Kondo singlet is now receiving great interest from both theoretical [13, 14, 18, 24] and experimental [15, 17, 20, 22, 25] points of view. As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), the low temperature Fermi liquid Kondo regime at $T < T_K$ is a direct consequence of the spin-flip scattering between the impurity spin and conduction electron spins in a nonmagnetic host in zero magnetic field ($\Delta\epsilon_d = \epsilon_{d\uparrow} - \epsilon_{d\downarrow} = 0$). If spin accumulation ($\Delta\mu = \mu_{\uparrow} - \mu_{\downarrow}$) defined by the difference in the chemical potentials of spin-up (μ_{\uparrow}) and spin-down (μ_{\downarrow}) electrons is generated in the host nonmagnetic metal[30, 31], the spin accumulation has an influence on the Kondo effect and even suppresses the formation of the Kondo singlet due to an additional energy cost for the spin-flip scattering (Fig. 1(b)). Similarly, as strong local exchange interaction[17, 22, 25] or RKKY interaction[20] manifests itself in the QD coupled with ferromagnetic leads, the Kondo assisted tunneling is suppressed in the Kondo regime, leading to the splitting of the Kondo zero-bias anomaly even in zero magnetic field[13, 14, 18, 24]. Although such suppression of the Kondo effect has been observed by injecting spin polarized charge currents[15], the effect of a pure spin current, which is a flow of spin angular moment without a net charge current[32, 33], has not yet been elucidated in the Kondo systems because of

the difficulty in detecting pure spin current transport in Kondo alloys or QDs.

In this Letter, we report on direct evidence for the effect of a pure spin current in diluted magnetic $\text{Cu}(\text{Fe})$ Kondo alloy (the average Fe concentration of 100 ~ 200 p.p.m)[2–6], by using non-local lateral spin valve (LSV) devices with highly spin polarized Co_2FeSi (CFS) electrodes. The half-metallic characteristics of CFS surely enable us to detect spin signals in the diluted magnetic Kondo alloy even at a very low signal level[34, 35]. We find that the spin signal arising from pure spin current transport is strongly suppressed below the onset temperature ($T > T_K$) of s - d spin-flip scattering while the spin signal does not decrease any more at $T < T_K$ because of the collective screening of the magnetic Fe impurity spins by Kondo clouds. The T_K estimated from the pure spin current measurement is in good agreement with that obtained from the temperature dependence of the resis-




FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic illustrations of the density of states (DOS) in a Kondo alloy based on the Anderson model in $T < T_K$ (a) without and (b) with spin accumulation ($\Delta\mu$) in the host nonmagnetic metal.

* hamaya@ee.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

† taniyama.t.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

tivity. We also demonstrate that the Kondo effect can be tuned by generating larger spin accumulation in the diluted magnetic alloy.

25-nm-thick CFS layers were grown on non-doped FZ-Si(111) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) at 60°C, where Co, Fe and Si were co-evaporated from Knudsen cells[36, 37]. In-situ reflection high energy electron diffraction patterns of CFS layers clearly exhibited symmetrical streaks, indicating good two-dimensional epitaxial growth[37]. The CFS layers were patterned into the submicron-sized electrodes by using conventional electron beam lithography and Ar ion milling for measurements of non-local spin signals[38, 39]. To fabricate the LSVs, 75-nm-thick Cu(Fe) wires bridging the two CFS electrodes with various center-to-center distances (d) were patterned by a conventional lift-off technique, together with bonding pads. The interface resistance between CFS and Cu(Fe) is negligible ($\leq 0.1 \text{ f}\Omega\text{m}^2$) by carefully cleaning the surface of the CFS layers with low-energy accelerated Ar ion milling[39]. Figure 2(a) shows a scanning electron microscopy image of a fabricated LSV. By using the cross terminal configuration, non-local spin signal measurements were carried out using a conventional current-bias lock-in technique (173 Hz) at various temperatures.

We confirmed the Kondo effect in the Cu(Fe) wire




FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) A scanning electron micrograph of a CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSV. (b) Temperature dependent resistivity of Cu(Fe) used in this study. Non-local spin signals of CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSVs with (c) $d = 312 \text{ nm}$ and (d) $d = 496 \text{ nm}$, respectively, measured at 5 K with $I = 1.0 \text{ mA}$.

of the LSV by measuring the temperature dependent resistivity, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The resistivity minimum (T_{\min}) can be seen at around 20 K, and the resistivity increases with decreasing temperature. An empirical functional model for the Kondo resistivity can be expressed as $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2 + BT^5 + \rho_K/[1 + (2^{1/s} - 1)(T/T_K)^2]^s$ [10, 28], where ρ_0 is the residual resistivity and the second and third terms are the electron-electron and electron-phonon scattering contributions, respectively. The last term arises from the Kondo effect[10, 28]. Assuming $s \sim 0.22$ in a spin-(1/2) Kondo system[10], a best fit curve with the above function was obtained as shown in the solid curve in Fig. 2(b), yielding $T_K = 30 \text{ K}$. The values of ρ_0 and ρ_K are $1.791 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ and $0.031 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$, respectively. These analyses ensure that the Cu(Fe) wire we used shows a typical Kondo effect with $T_K = 30 \text{ K}$ [2, 4–7].

Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show typical non-local spin signals (ΔR_S) detected by using CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSVs (Fig. 2(a)) with $d = 312$ and 496 nm , respectively, at 5 K, where ΔR_S is calculated by $\Delta V/I$. Clear hysteretic spin signals are observed even for the spin injection into Cu(Fe) Kondo alloys. This is the first experimental observation of the lateral transport of a pure spin current in a Kondo alloy. It should be noted that the spin signals, i.e., ΔR_S , was one order or two orders of magnitude smaller than those observed in typical Cu-based LSVs with a CFS spin injector and a detector in our previous work[38, 39]. This is caused by the small spin diffusion length of Cu(Fe) ($\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$)[15]. Using the CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSV devices with various d values, we also measured temperature dependent ΔR_S at $I = 1.0 \text{ mA}$ as shown in Fig. 3(a). For all the LSVs, there is a clear maximum of ΔR_S at a characteristic temperature which we refer to as T_{\max} . T_{\max} is different for each LSV (see arrows). We find that the ΔR_S values at 5 K are smaller than those at 300 K for all the LSVs. This feature is largely different from those observed in conventional Cu-based LSVs with CFS[39]. Of particular significance here is that the ΔR_S is markedly decreased as the temperature is lowered from T_{\max} , and finally saturates below $\sim 20 \text{ K}$. Because spin signal ΔR_S for a fixed terminal distance d provides a measure of spin accumulation, the reduction in ΔR_S at low temperatures is a signature of the enhanced spin-flip scattering events.

In order to compare the temperature variation in the spin-flip scattering events for the LSVs with the different d values, the normalized ΔR_S values are also shown in Fig. 3(b) as a function of temperature, where the normalized ΔR_S is defined as ΔR_S divided by the maximum ΔR_S . Note that the normalized ΔR_S values at $T \leq T_{\max}$ show significant d dependence, in striking contrast to no d dependence in the temperature region $T \geq T_{\max}$. The large d dependence of the normalized ΔR_S at $T \leq T_{\max}$ indicates that the spin-flip scattering strongly depends on spin accumulation and it is intensively suppressed at a small d due to the large spin accumulation, consistent with the Kondo effect as described before. We also de-




FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of ΔR_S for CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSVs with various d for $I = 1.0$ mA. (b) Normalized ΔR_S as a function of temperature for the various LSVs. The inset shows a plot of $(1 - \text{normalized } \Delta R_S)$ versus temperature. The red lines are fits by using a function representing the logarithmic temperature variation.

fine the value, $(1 - \text{normalized } \Delta R_S)$, at $T \leq T_{\max}$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b). The red line shows a fit with the logarithmic function, $a[1 - b \log(\frac{T}{T_K})]$, in the temperature range $40 \text{ K} \leq T \leq T_{\max}$, where a and b are the adjustable parameters. From these views, T_{\max} is a critical temperature, below which the spin-flip scattering manifests itself, as discussed in the previous work[28].

Hereafter, we discuss the temperature independent behavior at $T \leq 20$ K in Fig. 3. The plateau of ΔR_S at $T \leq 20$ K indicates that the degree of spin-flip scattering is almost constant even if the temperature is lowered. In a framework of the general one-dimensional spin diffusion model[41, 42], the spin signals detected by LSVs with transparent interfaces are expressed as follows[38, 39].

$$\Delta R_S = \frac{S_N}{S_{\text{inj}} S_{\text{det}}} \times \frac{\left\{ \frac{P_F}{(1 - P_F^2)} \rho_F \lambda_F \right\}^2}{\rho_N \lambda_N \sinh(d/\lambda_N)}, \quad (1)$$

where S_{inj} , S_{det} , and S_N are the areas of the junctions with a spin injector and a spin detector, the cross section of the Cu(Fe) strip, respectively, and P_F is the bulk spin polarization of the ferromagnetic electrode. ρ_F and λ_F are the resistivity and the spin diffusion length of the ferromagnetic electrode, and ρ_N and λ_N are those for the nonmagnetic wire, respectively. Since the experimentally obtained ΔR_S is nearly constant at $T \leq 20$ K, the right-hand side of Eq. (1) should be a constant. Considering the half metallicity of the CFS spin injector and detector[34], we assume that the values of P_F of CFS (P_{CFS}), ρ_{CFS} , and λ_{CFS} are constant in the low temperature regime[35, 39]. In addition, as the logarithmic increase in the resistivity of Cu(Fe) is suppressed in the presence of $\Delta\mu$ [15], $\rho_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ can be considered to be almost constant at $T \leq 20$ K, leading to a constant $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ because the relationship $\rho_{\text{Cu(Fe)}} \times \lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}} \approx \text{constant}$ is fulfilled in general[40]. The constant $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ is compatible with the description that the degree of spin-flip scattering events is independent of temperature at $T \leq 20$ K and therefore the temperature regime $T < T_K$ corresponds to the low temperature Fermi liquid Kondo singlet regime. From the results, we conclude that T_K can be directly characterized by measuring the pure spin current transport in a diluted magnetic alloy.

As we have seen before, d dependence of ΔR_S results from the change in $\Delta\mu$ in the host Cu at $T \leq 30$ K ($= T_K$). We now discuss the effect of $\Delta\mu$ on the Kondo effect in more depth. When $\Delta\mu$ is increased, the denominator of the right-hand side of Eq. (1) does not remain and $\rho_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ should be both a function of $\Delta\mu$. To investigate the influence of $\Delta\mu$ on $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$, we measured temperature dependent ΔR_S for various spin injection currents (I) by using the LSV with $d = 312$ nm, as shown in Fig. 4(a). With increasing I from 1.0 to 5.0 mA, the magnitude of ΔR_S is remarkably enhanced up to ~ 1.2 mΩ only at $T \leq T_{\max}$ while the temperature independence of ΔR_S at $T \leq 30$ K remains for each I value. Since spin signals are generally observed to decrease with increasing I due to Joule heating for conventional LSVs with a Cu channel (see right axis)[38], the enhancement in ΔR_S is clearly an anomalous result. We also note that similar results are observed for samples with different d values as presented in Fig. S1, ensuring that the effect is intrinsic under pure spin current injection conditions.

Eq. (1) now provides a rough estimate of $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ for various spin injection currents assuming the acceptable materials parameters; $P_{\text{CFS}} \sim 0.6$ [38, 39], $\lambda_{\text{CFS}} = 4.0 - 5.0$ nm, $\rho_{\text{Cu(Fe)}} = 1.80 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ under the spin injection conditions at 5 K, $\rho_F = 35.1 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ which was obtained from our measurement. $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ obtained is shown in Fig. 4(b) as a function of I at 5 K for the LSV with $d = 312$ nm – see Fig. S2 for more details. As seen in Fig. 4(b), $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ monotonically increases with increasing I , which we attribute to the suppression of the Kondo effect, viz., when $\Delta\mu$ in the host Cu becomes more significant by spin injection, the formation of Kondo clouds is suppressed due to less spin-flip scattering. It should be noted




FIG. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of ΔR_S for a CFS-Cu(Fe)-CFS LSV (left axis) with $d = 312$ nm recorded at every 1.0 mA. The data on the right axis shows reference data for a CFS-Cu-CFS LSV with $d = 300$ nm recorded at every 1.0 mA. (b) The estimated $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ as a function of I at 5 K for the LSV with $d = 312$ nm.

that a conventional way of estimating $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ [41, 42] using a fit of the one-dimensional spin diffusion model to the d dependence of ΔR_S cannot be applicable any more since $\lambda_{\text{Cu(Fe)}}$ is varied for different d values. In fact, we have confirmed that the fitted curves largely deviate from the experimental data. This interesting phenomenon is a consequence of the generation of a large $\Delta\mu$ in the Kondo alloy by using a highly spin polarized spin injector and detector.

We comment on the presence of the maximum in the $\Delta R_S - T$ curves at T_{max} . A recent study using conventional Cu-based LSVs found a similar maximum and suggested that the maximum was associated with the Kondo effect induced by Fe impurities at the Cu/ferromagnet interfaces[28, 29, 43, 44]. Our results using Kondo alloy-based LSVs are in qualitative agreement with their claim, and the maximum is very likely related to the Kondo spin-flip scattering for the formation of Kondo clouds[28]. For our conventional Cu-based LSVs with CFS, however, no maximum was observed as shown on the right axis of Fig. 4(a) although CFS contains Fe element. In our view, our LSVs have the robust CFS/Cu interfaces because of the high quality epitaxial CFS electrodes grown by MBE[37]. The single crystalline quality of the CFS electrodes efficiently prevents the contamination of Fe in the Cu wire. A similar feature showing no maximum has been observed in our previous work[39]. Also, the fact that ΔR_S is reduced with increasing I due to Joule heating in conventional Cu-based LSVs is in clear contrast to the results for the Kondo alloy based LSVs.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated clear evidence for the suppression of the Kondo effect due to a pure spin current in LSV structures with $\text{Co}_2\text{FeSi}/\text{Cu(Fe)}$ Kondo alloy interfaces. A remarkable reduction in the non-local spin signal has been observed associated with s - d spin-flip scattering in the Cu(Fe) Kondo alloy. We have also shown that the non-local spin signal exhibits a plateau below the temperature regime corresponding to the formation of Fermi liquid Kondo singlets. With increasing pure spin current density, the reduction in the non-local spin signal becomes less significant, clearly indicating that s - d spin-flip scattering associated with the Kondo effect is efficiently suppressed under greater spin accumulation conditions. From these results, we conclude that the Kondo effect can also be tuned by injecting a pure spin current into a Kondo alloy.

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 26103003, 252460200, 26289229, 15H01014. S. O. acknowledges JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

[1] K. Yoshida, *Theory of Magnetism*, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1996).
[2] J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **32**, 37(1964).
[3] J. P. Franck, F. D. Manchester, and D. L. Martin, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A263**, 494 (1961).
[4] J. A. Applebaum, J. Kondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 906 (1967).
[5] J. W. Loram, T. E. Whall, P. J. Ford, Phys. Rev. B **2**, 857 (1970).
[6] P. Monod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1113 (1967).
[7] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 416 (1978).
[8] D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D.

Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Nature (London) **391**, 156 (1998).
[9] S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **281**, 540 (1998).
[10] D. Goldhaber-Gordon, J. Gores, M. A. Kastner, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, and U. Meirav, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5225 (1998).
[11] W. G. van der Wiel, S. De Franceschi, T. Fujisawa, J. M. Elzerman, S. Tarucha, L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **289**, 2105 (2000).
[12] M. R. Buitelaar, T. Nussbaumer, and C. Schönenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 256801 (2002).

- [13] J. Martinek, Y. Utsumi, H. Imamura, J. Barnaś, S. Maekawa, J. König, and G. Schön, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 127203 (2003).
- [14] J. Martinek, M. Sindel, L. Borda, J. Barnaś, J. König, G. Schön, and J. von Delft, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 247202 (2003).
- [15] T. Taniyama, N. Fujiwara, Y. Kitamoto, and Y. Yamazaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 016601 (2003).
- [16] N. J. Craig, J. M. Taylor, E. A. Lester, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, A. C. Gossard, *Science* **304**, 565 (2004).
- [17] A. N. Pasupathy, R. C. Bialczak, J. Martinek, J. E. Grose, L. A. K. Donev, P. L. McEuen, D. C. Ralph, *Science* **306**, 86 (2004).
- [18] M. S. Choi, D. Sánchez, and R. López, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 056601 (2004).
- [19] M. Sato, H. Aikawa, K. Kobayashi, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 066801 (2005).
- [20] H. B. Heersche, Z. de Groot, J. A. Folk, L. P. Kouwenhoven, H. S. J. van der Zant, A. A. Houck, J. Labaziewicz, and I. L. Chuang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 017205 (2006).
- [21] K. I. Lee, S. J. Joo, J. H. Lee, K. Rhie, Tae-Suk Kim, W. Y. Lee, K. H. Shin, B. C. Lee, P. LeClair, J.-S. Lee, and J.-H. Park, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 107202 (2007).
- [22] K. Hamaya, M. Kitabatake, K. Shibata, M. Jung, M. Kawamura, K. Hirakawa, T. Machida, T. Taniyama, S. Ishida, and Y. Arakawa, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 232105 (2007).
- [23] C. Buizert, A. Oiwa, K. Shibata, K. Hirakawa, and S. Tarucha, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 136806 (2007).
- [24] J. Barnaś and I. Weymann, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **20** 423202 (2008).
- [25] J. R. Hauptmann, J. Paaske, and P. E. Lindelof, *Nature Phys.* **4**, 373 (2008).
- [26] T. Kobayashi, S. Tsuruta, S. Sasaki, T. Fujisawa, Y. Tokura, and T. Akazaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 036804 (2010).
- [27] Y. Yamauchi, K. Sekiguchi, K. Chida, T. Arakawa, S. Nakamura, K. Kobayashi, T. Ono, T. Fujii, and R. Sakano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 176601 (2011).
- [28] L. O'Brien, M. J. Erickson, D. Spivak, H. Ambaye, R. J. Goyette, V. Lauter, P. A. Crowell, and C. Leighton, *Nature Commun.* **5**, 3927 (2014).
- [29] J. T. Batley, M. C. Rosamond, M. Ali, E. H. Linfield, G. Burnell, and B. J. Hickey, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 220420(R) (2015).
- [30] M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 1790 (1985).
- [31] P. C. van Son, H. van Kempen, and P. Wyder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 2271 (1987).
- [32] F. J. Jedema, A. T. Filip, and B. J. van Wees, *Nature* **410**, 345 (2001).
- [33] T. Kimura and Y. Otani, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **19**, 165216 (2007).
- [34] S. Wurmehl, G. H. Fecher, H. C. Kandpal, V. Ksenofontov, C. Felser, H. -J. Lin, and J. Morais, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 184434 (2005).
- [35] D. Bombor, C. G. F. Blum, O. Volkonskiy, S. Rodan, S. Wurmehl, C. Hess, and B. Büchner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 066601 (2013).
- [36] K. Hamaya, H. Itoh, O. Nakatsuka, K. Ueda, K. Yamamoto, M. Itakura, T. Taniyama, T. Ono, and M. Miyao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 137204 (2009).
- [37] S. Yamada, K. Hamaya, K. Yamamoto, T. Murakami, K. Mibu and M. Miyao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96**, 082511 (2010).
- [38] T. Kimura, N. Hashimoto, S. Yamada, M. Miyao, and K. Hamaya, *NPG Asia Mater.* **4**, e9 (2012).
- [39] K. Hamaya, N. Hashimoto, S. Oki, S. Yamada, M. Miyao, and T. Kimura, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 100404(R) (2012).
- [40] S. Dubois, L. Piraux, J. M. George, K. Ounadjela, J. L. Duvail, A. Fert, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 477 (1999).
- [41] T. Valet, A. Fert, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 7099 (1993).
- [42] S. Takahashi, S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 052409 (2003).
- [43] E. Villamor, M. Isasa, L. E. Hueso, F. Casanova, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 184411 (2013).
- [44] L. O'Brien, D. Spivak, J. S. Jeong, K. A. Mkhoyan, P. A. Crowell, and C. Leighton, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 014413 (2016).