

C^* -CONVEXITY OF NORM UNIT BALLS

MOHSEN KIAN

ABSTRACT. We determine those norms on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ whose unit ball is C^* -convex. We call them M -norms and investigate their dual norms, say L -norms. We show that “the class of L -norms greater than a given norm enjoys a minimum element” and “the class of M -norms less than a given norm enjoys a maximum element”. These minimum and maximum elements will be determined in some cases. Finally, we give a constructive result to obtain M -norms and L -norms on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper assume that $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and I denotes the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . If $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, then we identify $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with \mathbb{M}_n , the C^* -algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. We mean by $\mathbb{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ the $*$ -algebra of all trace class operators on \mathcal{H} .

A subset \mathcal{K} of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called C^* -convex if $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $C_1, \dots, C_k \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \in \mathcal{K}$. This kind of convexity has been introduced by Loebel and Paulsen [9] as a non-commutative generalization of the linear convexity. For example the sets $\{T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}); 0 \leq T \leq I\}$ and $\{T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}); \|T\| \leq M\}$ are C^* -convex. It is evident that the C^* -convexity of a set \mathcal{K} in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ implies its convexity in the usual sense. But the converse is not true in general. Various examples and some basic properties of C^* -convex sets were presented in [9].

In recent decades, many operator algebraists paid their attention to extend various concepts to non-commutative cases. Regarding these works, the notion of C^* -convexity in C^* -algebras has been established as a non-commutative generalization of the linear convexity in linear spaces. Some essential results of convexity theory have been generalized in [2] to C^* -convexity. In particular, a version of the so-called Hahn–Banach theorem was presented. The operator extension of extreme points, the C^* -extreme points have also been introduced and studied, see [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 13]. Moreover, Magajna [11] established the notion of C^* -convexity for operator modules and proved some separation theorems. We refer the reader to [10, 12, 14] for further results concerning C^* -convexity.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A30, 15A60, 47A05.

Key words and phrases. L -type and M -type norms, C^* -convex set, unit ball, dual norm .

The main aim of the present work is to determine those norms whose unit ball is C^* -convex (and their dual norms). We call them M -norms.

In Section 2 we introduce M -norms and L -norms and give their properties and connections between.

In Section 3, we will show that “the class of L -norms which are greater than an arbitrary norm $\|\cdot\|$, enjoys a minimum element” and “the class of M -norms which are less than an arbitrary norm $\|\cdot\|$, possesses a minimum element”. We determine this minimum and maximum elements in some cases. For example, we will show that the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the minimum element in the class of L -norms greater than the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

In Section 4, we give a constructive result to obtain M -norms.

2. M -TYPE AND L -TYPE NORMS

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that its unit ball $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}); \|A\| \leq 1\}$ is C^* -convex. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\| \leq 1 \quad \left(\|A_i\| \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right),$$

which further implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|X_i\| \quad \left(X_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right), \quad (1)$$

since $(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|X_j\|)^{-1} X_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. On the other hand, if the equation (1) holds, then for all $X_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $C_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$ we obtain $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \right\| \leq 1$ and so \mathcal{B}_1 is C^* -convex. Let us give a name to such norms.

Definition 2.1. We say that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a M -norm (is of M -type) if the equation (1) holds true.

The motivation of the next definition will be revealed soon.

Definition 2.2. We say that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a L -norm (is of L -type) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i X C_i^*\| \leq \|X\| \quad \left(X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right). \quad (2)$$

The discussion above yields that:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The unit ball of $\|\cdot\|$ is C^* -convex if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm.*

Example 2.4. The operator norm (spectral norm) $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a M -norm. It is well-known that (see for example [1, Proposition 1.3.1])

$$\|A\|_\infty \leq 1 \iff \begin{bmatrix} I & A \\ A^* & I \end{bmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Let $C_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$. If $\|A_i\|_\infty \leq 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), then

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i^* C_i & I \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{diag}(C_i, C_i)^* \begin{bmatrix} I & A_i \\ A_i^* & I \end{bmatrix} \text{diag}(C_i, C_i) \geq 0.$$

Hence, $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\|_\infty \leq 1$ and so, the unit ball of $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is C^* -convex. Lemma 2.3 now implies that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a M -norm.

The dual space of \mathbb{M}_n is identified with \mathbb{M}_n itself under the duality coupling

$$\langle Y|X \rangle = \text{Tr}(Y^* X), \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_n.$$

Here, it should be noticed that

$$\langle Y|Z^* X Z \rangle = \langle Z Y Z^*|X \rangle \quad (X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{M}_n). \quad (4)$$

The dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ of a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is defined as

$$\|Y\|_* = \sup \{ |\langle Y|X \rangle|; \|X\| \leq 1 \}, \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathbb{M}_n. \quad (5)$$

The next theorem provides a condition under which the unit ball of the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ of a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n is C^* -convex.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be the dual norm of a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n . The unit ball of $\|\cdot\|_*$ is C^* -convex if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm.*

Proof. The unit ball of $\|\cdot\|_*$ is the set

$$\mathcal{B}_1^* = \{Y \in \mathbb{M}_n; |\text{Tr}(Y^* X)| \leq \|X\|, \text{ for all } X \in \mathbb{M}_n\}.$$

Assume that \mathcal{B}_1^* is C^* -convex, $X \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$. For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the definition (5) guarantees the existence of $Y_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that $\|Y_i\|_* = 1$ and $\text{Tr}(Y_i^* C_i X C_i^*) = \|C_i X C_i^*\|$. It follows from the C^* -convexity of \mathcal{B}_1^* that

$\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* Y_i C_i \in \mathcal{B}_1^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i X C_i^*\| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Tr}(Y_i^* C_i X C_i^*) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Tr}(C_i^* Y_i^* C_i X) \right| \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\ &= \left| \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* Y_i C_i \right)^* X \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|X\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the condition (2) is valid and so $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm.

For the converse, assume that (2) holds. Assume that $Y_1, \dots, Y_k \in \mathcal{B}_1^*$ and $C_1, \dots, C_k \in \mathbb{M}_n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$. It follows

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(Y_i^* Z)| \leq \|Z\| \quad (Z \in \mathbb{M}_n, \quad i = 1, \dots, k). \quad (6)$$

Hence, If $X \in \mathbb{M}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* Y_i C_i \right)^* X \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Tr}(Y_i^* (C_i X C_i^*)) \right| \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\operatorname{Tr}(Y_i^* (C_i X C_i^*))| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i X C_i^*\| \quad (\text{by (6)}) \\ &\leq \|X\| \quad (\text{by (2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* Y_i C_i \in \mathcal{B}_1^*$ and so \mathcal{B}_1^* is C^* -convex. \square

The connection between M -norms and L -norms is stated below.

Lemma 2.6. *A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n is a M -norm (resp. L -norm) if and only if its dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a L -norm (resp. M -norm).*

Proof. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm. Take $B \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Let $C_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$. For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, it follows from (5) that there exists $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ with $\|A_i\| = 1$ and

$$\|C_i B C_i^*\|_* = \langle C_i B C_i^* \mid A_i \rangle = \langle B \mid C_i^* A_i C_i \rangle.$$

Since $\|\cdot\|$ is of M -type, $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i\right\| \leq \max_i \|A_i\| = 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i B C_i^*\|_* &= \sum_{i=1}^k \langle B \mid C_i^* A_i C_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr}(B^* (C_i^* A_i C_i)) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(B^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i\right)\right) \\ &= \left\langle B \mid \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\rangle \leq \|B\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a L -norm.

Suppose conversely that the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a L -norm. If $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$, then there exists $B \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that

$$\|B\|_* = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle B \mid \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\rangle = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\| &= \left\langle B \mid \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \langle C_i B C_i^* \mid A_i \rangle \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|A_i\| \cdot \|C_i B C_i^*\|_* \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|A_j\| \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i B C_i^*\|_* \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|A_j\| \cdot \|B\|_* \\ &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|A_j\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a L -norm. This completes the proof. \square

We can summarize the above discussion as:

If $\|\cdot\|_*$ is the dual norm of a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n and \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1^* are the unit ball of $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$, respectively, then

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \text{ is } C^*\text{-convex} \iff \|\cdot\| \text{ is a } M\text{-norm} \iff \|\cdot\|_* \text{ is a } L\text{-norm}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_1^* \text{ is } C^*\text{-convex} \iff \|\cdot\| \text{ is a } L\text{-norm} \iff \|\cdot\|_* \text{ is a } M\text{-norm}.$$

Example 2.7. The trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined on \mathbb{M}_n by $\|A\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|A|)$ is a L -norm. Since $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the dual norm of the operator norm, Example 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 imply that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a L -norm.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{M}_n . There are M -norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M1)}$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M2)}$ and L -norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L1)}$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L2)}$ such that $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M1)} \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M2)}$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L1)} \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L2)}$. Since all norms on \mathbb{M}_n are equivalent, there are $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu > 0$ such that $\alpha\|\cdot\|_\infty \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \beta\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\mu\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \nu\|\cdot\|_1$. It is enough to define $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M1)} := \alpha\|\cdot\|_\infty$, $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(M2)} := \beta\|\cdot\|_\infty$, $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L1)} := \mu\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{(L2)} := \nu\|\cdot\|_1$.

Recall that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be unitarily invariant if $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$ for all $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and all unitaries $U, V \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, while it is called weakly unitarily invariant if $\|U^*AU\| = \|A\|$ for every $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and every unitary $U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It is easy to see that all M -norms and L -norms on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are weakly unitarily invariant. Moreover, let E be a projection in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, then (1) gives

$$\|EAE\| = \|C_1^*A_1C_1 + C_2^*A_2C_2\| \leq \max\{\|A\|, \|0\|\} = \|A\|$$

and if $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then (2) implies

$$\|EAE\| \leq \|C_1AC_1^*\| + \|C_2AC_2^*\| \leq \|A\|.$$

We will use this fact:

Lemma 2.8. *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm or is a M -norm, then $\|EAE\| \leq \|A\|$ for every projection E and every $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{M}_n .*

- (1) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|A\| = \alpha\|A\|_\infty$ for every normal element $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$.*
- (2) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|A\| = \alpha\|A\|_1$ for every normal element $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$.*

Proof. Note that if $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm or is a L -norm, then it is weakly unitarily invariant. Moreover, every two rank-one orthoprojections P and Q in \mathbb{M}_n are unitarily equivalent, i.e., there exists a unitary U such that $P = U^*QU$. It follows that $\|P\| = \|U^*QU\| = \|Q\|$.

Now, if $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is normal, then by the spectral theorem, there are orthoprojections E_i and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E_i; \quad E_j E_k = \delta_{j,k} E_k, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n E_i = I. \quad (7)$$

Noticing that $\|E_i\| = \|E_j\|$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we set $\alpha := \|E_i\|$.

(1) If $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, then

$$\alpha\|A\|_\infty = \alpha \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\lambda_i E_i\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|E_i A E_i\| \leq \|A\|,$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.8. In addition, Since $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, we have

$$\|A\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n E_i (\lambda_i E_i) E_i \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\lambda_i E_i\| = \alpha\|A\|_\infty.$$

This completes the proof of (1).

(2) If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then

$$\|A\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_i E_i\| = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| = \alpha\|A\|_1.$$

Moreover,

$$\alpha\|A\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_i E_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i A E_i\| \leq \|A\|,$$

since $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a unitarily invariant norm on \mathbb{M}_n .*

(1) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, then $\|\cdot\| = \alpha\|\cdot\|_\infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

(2) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then $\|\cdot\| = \alpha\|\cdot\|_1$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, if $\|\cdot\|$ is unitarily invariant, then for every $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ we have $\|A\| = \| |A| \|$ by the polar decomposition. Proposition 2.9 then can be applied. □

It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.9 that if a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n is weakly unitarily invariant, then $\|E_1\| = \|E_2\|$ for every two rank-one orthoprojections E_1 and E_2 . If $\|\cdot\|$ is a weakly unitarily invariant norm, then we set $\chi_{\|\cdot\|} := \|E\|$, where E is any rank-one orthoprojection. Let $\omega(\cdot)$ and $\omega_*(\cdot)$ denote the numerical radius (norm) and its dual norm, respectively.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a weakly unitarily invariant norm with $\chi_{\|\cdot\|} = 1$.*

(1) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a M -norm, then $\omega(\cdot) \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_\infty$.*

(2) *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \omega_*(\cdot)$.*

Proof. (1) First take A with $\|A\| = 1$. For any unit vector $\|x\| = 1$, put $E := xx^*$. Since $\|E\| = 1$, and $EAE = \langle x, Ax \rangle E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, Ax \rangle| &= \|EAE\| = \|EAE + (I - E)0(I - E)\| \\ &\leq \|A\| = 1 \quad (\text{since } \|\cdot\| \text{ is a } M\text{-norm}) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\omega(A) \leq 1 = \|A\|$.

Next, take B with $\|B\|_\infty = 1$. It is known that B can be written as a convex combination of two unitaries, i.e., $B = \lambda U + (1 - \lambda)V$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and two unitaries U and V . We know that every unitary matrix has norm 1 for any M -norm $\|\cdot\|$ with $\chi_{\|\cdot\|} = 1$. Therefore

$$\|B\| \leq \lambda\|U\| + (1 - \lambda)\|V\| = 1 = \|B\|_\infty.$$

(2) If $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm, then $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a M -norm. Moreover, $\chi_{\|\cdot\|_*} = 1$. Part (1) now implies that $\omega(\cdot) \leq \|\cdot\|_* \leq \|\cdot\|_\infty$. Finally, duality of norms reveals that $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\| \leq \omega_*(\cdot)$. \square

3. MINIMUM NORMS OF L -TYPE AND MAXIMUM NORMS OF M -TYPE

In this section we are going to show that the class of L -norms enjoys a minimum element.

Theorem 3.1. *The class of L -norms on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ greater than a given norm possesses a minimum element.*

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ by

$$\|A\|_{(u)} := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i A C_i^*\|; \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right\}. \quad (8)$$

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ becomes a norm greater than $\|\cdot\|$. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m D_j^* D_j = I$. By definition (8), for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, there exist C_{ij} such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k C_{ij}^* C_{ij} = I \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \epsilon) \|D_j A D_j^*\|_{(u)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_{ij} D_j A D_j^* C_{ij}^*\|,$$

so that

$$(1 - \epsilon) \sum_{j=1}^m \|D_j A D_j^*\|_{(u)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_{ij} D_j A D_j^* C_{ij}^*\|. \quad (9)$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k D_j^* C_{ij}^* C_{ij} D_j = \sum_{j=1}^m D_j^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_{ij}^* C_{ij} \right) D_j = \sum_{j=1}^m D_j^* D_j = I,$$

we have by (8) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_{ij} D_j A D_j^* C_{ij}^*\| \leq \|A\|_{(u)}. \quad (10)$$

Hence $(1-\epsilon) \sum_{j=1}^m \|D_j A D_j^*\|_{(u)} \leq \|A\|_{(u)}$ by (9) and (10). Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ is a L -norm.

Finally, let $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ be a L -norm and greater than $\|\cdot\|$. If $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i A C_i^*\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|\|\|C_i A C_i^*\|\| \leq \|\|\|A\|\|.$$

Now definition (8) implies that $\|A\|_{(u)} \leq \|\|\|A\|\|$. Therefore, $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ is the minimum element. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The class of M -norms on \mathbb{M}_n less than a given norm $\|\cdot\|$ possesses a minimum element.*

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\|\|\cdot\|\|; \|\|\cdot\|\| \text{ is a } M\text{-norm and } \|\|\cdot\|\| \leq \|\cdot\|\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_* = \{\|\|\cdot\|\|_*; \|\|\cdot\|\| \in \mathcal{P}\} = \{\|\|\cdot\|\|_*; \|\|\cdot\|\|_* \text{ is a } L\text{-norm and } \|\|\cdot\|\|_* \geq \|\cdot\|_*\}.$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that \mathcal{P}_* has a minimum element, say $\|\cdot\|_{*(u)}$. Duality of norms and Lemma 2.6 ensure that $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ is a M -norm. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{(u)}$ is the maximum element of \mathcal{P} by definition. \square

Consider the operator norm on \mathbb{M}_n . Theorem 3.1 guarantees that the class of L -norms grater than the operator norm has a minimum element. In the next result we show that the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the minimum one along the class of L -norms bounded below by the operator norm.

Theorem 3.3. *The trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the minimum element in the class of L -norms greater than the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, i.e.,*

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i A C_i^*\|_\infty; \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right\}.$$

Proof. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and every orthonormal system x_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) we can write

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j, A x_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j A E_j\|_\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j A E_j\|_1 \leq \|A\|_1,$$

in which E_j is the rank-one orthoprojection to $\mathbb{C}x_j$. Moreover, assume that $A = U|A|$ be the polar decomposition of A with unitary U . Then

$$\|A\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|A|) = \text{Tr}(U|A|U^*) = \text{Tr}(AU^*). \quad (11)$$

Let

$$U^* = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\theta_j} x_j x_j^* \quad (12)$$

be the spectral decomposition of unitary U^* , where x_j 's are the (column) unit eigenvectors (so that x_j^* 's are row vectors and $x_j x_j^* = E_j$ is the rank-one projection onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}x_j$). Now, it follows from (11) and (12) that

$$\|A\|_1 = \text{Tr}(AU^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\theta_j} \text{Tr}(AE_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\theta_j} \langle x_j, Ax_j \rangle \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j, Ax_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j A E_j\|_\infty. \quad (13)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_j, Ax_j \rangle|; \{x_j\} \text{ is an orthonormal system in } \mathbb{C}^n \right\} \quad (\text{by (13)}) \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i A E_i^*\|_\infty; E_i \text{'s are rank-one orthoprojections, } \sum_{i=1}^n E_i = I \right\} \quad (\text{by (13)}) \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i A C_i^*\|_\infty; \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|C_i A C_i^*\|_1; \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I \right\} \\ &\leq \|A\|_1 \quad (\text{Since } \|\cdot\|_1 \text{ is a } L\text{-norm}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, they are all equal and the proof is complete. \square

We give another example for Theorem 3.1 in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *The trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the minimum element in the class of L -norms greater than the numerical radius norm $\omega(\cdot)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is a L -norm with $\omega(\cdot) \leq \|\cdot\|$. For every $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, there exists an orthonormal system of vectors $\{x_j\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j, Ax_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \omega(E_j A E_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j A E_j\| \leq \|A\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_j = x_j x_j^*$. This ensures that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the minimum L -norm greater than the numerical radius norm. \square

Corollary 3.5. *The operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the maximum element in the class of M -norms smaller than $\omega_*(\cdot)$, the dual norm of $\omega(\cdot)$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.4. \square

A natural question is that does the class of L -norms $\|\cdot\|$ which are less than a norm $\|\cdot\|$ contain a maximum element? or does the class of M -norms $\|\cdot\|$ which are greater than a norm $\|\cdot\|$ contain a minimum element?

We answer these questions in some special cases below. First we need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *If P and Q are orthoprojections in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\|PXP + QYQ\|_1 = \|PXP\|_1 + \|QYQ\|_1$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. First note that $\text{Tr}(U^*AU) = \text{Tr}(A)$ for every $A \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ and every unitary U . If P and Q are orthoprojections in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $U = \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q & P \end{bmatrix}$ is a unitary in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$. If $A, B \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(PAP + QBQ) + \text{Tr}(QAA + PBP) &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} PAP + QBQ & PAQ + QBP \\ QAP + PBQ & QAA + PBP \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q & P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q & P \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Tr}(A) + \text{Tr}(B). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Put $A = (PX^*PXP)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |PXP|$ and $B = (QY^*QYQ)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |QYQ|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(PAP + QBQ) &= \text{Tr} \left(P(PX^*PXP)^{\frac{1}{2}}P + Q(QY^*QYQ)^{\frac{1}{2}}Q \right) \\ &\leq \text{Tr} (PX^*PXP + QY^*QYQ)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{by the Jensen inequality}) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(((PXP + QYQ)^*(PXP + QYQ))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad (\text{by orthogonality of } P \text{ and } Q) \\ &= \text{Tr}(|PXP + QYQ|) = \|PXP + QYQ\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(QAA + PBP) &= \text{Tr} \left(Q(PX^*PXP)^{\frac{1}{2}}Q + P(QY^*QYQ)^{\frac{1}{2}}P \right) \\ &\leq \text{Tr} (QPX^*PXPQ + PQY^*QYQP)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{by the Jensen inequality}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It follows from (14) and (15) and (16) that

$$\|PXP\|_1 + \|QYQ\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|PXP|) + \text{Tr}(|QYQ|) = \text{Tr}(A) + \text{Tr}(B) \leq \|PXP + QYQ\|_1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7. *The norm $n \cdot \omega(\cdot)$ is the minimum element in the class of M -norm greater than the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.*

Proof. Note first that the unit ball of the numerical radius norm $\omega(\cdot)$ is C^* -convex [9] and so $\omega(\cdot)$ is a M -norm. For every $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ there exists an orthonormal system of vectors $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\|A\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j, Ax_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \omega(A) = n \omega(A)$. So, $n \omega(\cdot)$ is a M -norm greater than the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Now let $\|\cdot\|$ be a M -norm greater than the trace norm. For any $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, there is $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ and $\omega(A) = |\langle x, Ax \rangle|$. Starting with $x_1 := x$, construct a coordinate orthonormal system $\{x_j\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that U_j is the unitary such that $U_j x_j = x$. Let $E_j := x_j x_j^*$ be the rank-one orthoprojection to $\mathbb{C}x_j$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_j U_j^* A U_j E_j\|_1 &= \|\langle x_j, (U_j^* A U_j) x_j \rangle E_j\|_1 \\ &= |\langle x_j, (U_j^* A U_j) x_j \rangle| = |\langle U_j x_j, A U_j x_j \rangle| = |\langle x, Ax \rangle| = \omega(A). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since $\|\cdot\|$ is weakly unitary invariant and $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot \omega(A) &= n |\langle x, Ax \rangle| = \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j U_j^* A U_j E_j\|_1 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n E_j U_j^* A U_j E_j \right\|_1 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.6}) \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n E_j U_j^* A U_j E_j \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|U_j^* A U_j\| = \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $n \cdot \omega(\cdot)$ is the minimum element. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The norm $\frac{\omega_*(\cdot)}{n}$ is the maximum element in the class of L -norms smaller than the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.7 via duality of corresponding norms. \square

4. CONSTRUCTION OF M -NORMS AND L -NORMS

If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator concave function, the Jensen's operator inequality [7] implies that

$$f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k C_j^* A_j C_j \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k C_j^* f(A_j) C_j \quad (18)$$

for all $A_j \geq 0$ and $C_j \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k C_j^* C_j = I$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $\varphi(t), \psi(t) > 0$ are matrix concave functions on $(0, 1)$.*

The set

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varphi, \psi} = \left\{ X; \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(A) & X \\ X^* & \psi(A) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0, \text{ for some } 0 \leq A \leq I \right\}$$

is C^ -convex.*

Proof. Let $C_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$. If $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathcal{U}_{\varphi, \psi}$, then there exist A_1, \dots, A_n with $0 \leq A_i \leq I$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) such that $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(A_i) & X_i \\ X_i^* & \psi(A_i) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. It follows that

$$\varphi(A_i) \geq X_i \psi(A_i)^{-1} X_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (19)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* \varphi(A_i) C_i && \text{(by matrix concavity of } \varphi) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i \psi(A_i)^{-1} X_i^* C_i && \text{(by (19)).} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is easy to see that the function $g(X, Y) = X \psi(Y)^{-1} X^* : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ is jointly convex. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \right) \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i^* C_i \right) &= g \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i, \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* g(X_i, A_i) C_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i \psi(A_i)^{-1} X_i^* C_i. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Combining (20) and (21) we get

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \right) \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i^* C_i \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i) & \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i^* C_i \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \right)^* & \psi(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Noting that $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* A_i C_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* C_i = I$, we then conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^* X_i C_i \in \mathcal{U}_{\varphi, \psi}$. \square

Theorem 4.1 provides a way of constructing M -norms. If we find any norm such that its unit ball coincide with $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi,\psi}$ for some proper matrix concave functions φ and ψ , then that norm would be a M -norm by Lemma 2.3. An obvious example is the spectral norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ whose unit ball coincide with $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi,\psi}$, where $\varphi(t) = \psi(t) = 1$, since

$$\|A\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \iff \begin{bmatrix} I & A \\ A^* & I \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

As another example consider the numerical radius norm $\omega(\cdot)$. It is known that [1] that for $X \in \mathbb{M}_n$, $\omega(X) \leq 1$ if and only if there exists a Hermitian matrix H with $-I \leq H \leq I$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} I+H & X \\ X^* & I-H \end{bmatrix}$ is positive.

With $A = \frac{I+H}{2}$ this concludes that $0 \leq A \leq I$ and

$$\omega(X) \leq 1 \iff \begin{bmatrix} 2A & X \\ X^* & 2(I-A) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0, \text{ for some } 0 \leq A \leq I. \quad (22)$$

If $\varphi(t) = 2t$ and $\psi(t) = 2(1-t)$, then φ and ψ are matrix concave functions on $(0, 1)$. Theorem 4.1 then ensures the C^* -convexity of $\mathcal{U}_{2t,2(1-t)}$. In addition, $\mathcal{U}_{2t,2(1-t)} = \{X; \omega(X) \leq 1\}$ by (22). This implies that the numerical radius norm $\omega(\cdot)$ is a M -norm.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007.
- [2] E.G. Effros and S. Winkler, *Matrix Convexity: Operator Analogues of the Bipolar and Hahn-Banach Theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 117–152.
- [3] D. R. Farenick, *C^* -extreme points of some compact C^* -convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 765–775.
- [4] D.R. Farenick and P.B. Morenz, *C^* -Extereme Points in the generalised state space of a C^* -algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 1725–1748.
- [5] D.R. Farenick and P.B. Morenz, *C^* -Extereme Points of some compact C^* -convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1993), 765–775.
- [6] D. R. Farenick and H. Zhou, *The Structure of C^* -extreme points in space of completely positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1998), 1467–1477.
- [7] F. Hansen and G.K. Pedersen, *Jensen's operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 4, 553–564.
- [8] A. Hopenwasser, R. L. Moore, V. I. Paulsen, *C^* -extreme points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1981), 291–307.
- [9] R. I. Loebel and V. I. Paulsen, *Some remarks on C^* -convexity*, Linear Algebra Appl. **35** (1981), 63–78.
- [10] P. B. Morenz, *The structure of C^* -convex sets*, Canad. Math. J. Math. **46** (1994), 1007–1026.

- [11] B. Magajna, *C*-convex sets and completely bounded bimodule homomorphisms*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. **130** (2000), 375-387.
- [12] B. Magajna, *C*-Convexity and the Numerical Range*, Canad. Math. Bull., **43** (2000), 193–207.
- [13] B. Magajna, *On C*-extreme points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2000), 771–780.
- [14] C. Webster and S. Winkler, *The Krein–Milman Theorem in operator convexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 307–322.

MOHSEN KIAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BOJNORD, P.O. BOX 1339, BOJNORD 94531, IRAN.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `kian@ub.ac.ir` and `kian@member.ams.org`