# Meterwavelength Single-pulse Polarimetric Emission Survey

Dipanjan Mitra<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Rahul Basu<sup>3</sup>, Krzysztof Maciesiak<sup>3</sup>, Anna Skrzypczak<sup>3</sup>, George I. Melikidze<sup>3,4</sup>, Andrzej Szary<sup>5,3</sup>, Krzysztof Krzeszowski<sup>3</sup>

dmitra@ncra.tifr.res.in

#### ABSTRACT

We have conducted the Meterwavelength Single-pulse Polarimetric Emission Survey to study the radio emission properties of normal pulsars. A total of 123 pulsars with periods between 0.1 seconds and 8.5 seconds were observed in the survey at two different frequencies, 105 profiles at 333 MHz, 118 profiles at 618 MHz and 100 pulsars at both. In this work we concentrate primarily on the time-averaged properties of the pulsar emission. The measured widths of the pulsar profiles in our sample usually exhibit the radius to frequency mapping. We validate the existence of lower bounds for the distribution of profile widths with pulsar period (P), which is seen for multiple definitions of the width, viz. a lower boundary line (LBL) at  $2.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  with width measured at 50% level of profile peak, a LBL at  $5.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  for 10% level of peak and LBL at  $6.3^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  for width defined as  $5\sigma$  above the baseline level. In addition we have measured the degree of linear polarization in the average profile of pulsars and confirmed their dependence on pulsar spindown energy loss (E). The single pulse polarization data show interesting trends with the polarization position angle (PPA) distribution exhibiting the simple rotating vector model for high  $\dot{E}$  pulsars while the PPA becomes more complex for medium and low  $\dot{E}$  pulsars. The single pulse total intensity data is useful for studying a number of emission properties from pulsars like subpulse drifting, nulling and mode changing which is being explored in separate works.

 $Subject\ headings:\ \mathrm{MHD}$  — plasmas — pulsars: general, radiation mechanism: nonthermal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Physics Department, University of Vermont, Burlington VT 05405

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Janusz Gil Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 2, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Abastumani Astrophysical Observatory, Ilia State University, 3-5 Cholokashvili Ave., Tbilisi, 0160, Georgia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The coherent radio emission from pulsars are broadband in nature ranging typically from tens of MHz to a few GHz. The pulsar population can be categorised into two distinct groups based on their rotation periods P and period derivatives  $\dot{P}$ , namely the millisecond pulsars (MSP) with  $P \lesssim 30$  milliseconds and  $\dot{P} < 10^{-19}$  s/s and normal pulsars with  $P \gtrsim 100$  milliseconds and  $\dot{P} \gtrsim 10^{-17}$  s/s. In our present work we focus primarily on the normal pulsars. The coherent radio emission from pulsars are believed to originate as a result of the growth of instabilities in the relativistic plasma streaming along super-strong magnetic field lines within the pulsar magnetosphere (e.g. Melrose 1995). The physical processes that lead to the radio emission in pulsars are topics of active research and their understanding would greatly benefit from new observational insights.

The individual pulses of a radio pulsar are highly variable and have proved essential in understanding various aspects of pulsar emission mechanism. The variations in the total intensity single pulses reveal interesting features like microstructures, giant pulse, mode changing, nulling and subpulse drifting in the radio emission. These effects provide insights into the dynamics of the non-stationary processes in the pulsar magnetosphere at short timescales, ranging from subnanoseconds to a few seconds. Extreme examples are the nanosecond pulses reported from the Crab pulsar (e.g Hankins & Eilek 2007, Jessner et al. 2010) and in PSR B1937+21 (Soglasnov et al. 2004). The single pulse polarization data showed the presence of orthogonal polarization modes (OPM) and circular polarization which provide important clues about the emergence and propagation of the emission modes within the magnetospheric plasma (e.g. Mitra et al. 2009, Melikidze et al. 2014). The time averaged properties of pulsar emission (known as the pulsar profile), obtained after averaging individual pulses over several tens of minutes, are observed to be highly stable which is indicative of the global properties of the pulsar magnetosphere. The change of the profile width as a function of frequency reflect the radius to frequency mapping (RFM) likely signifying the radio emission at different frequencies originating at different heights (e.g. Mitra & Rankin 2002). The polarization position angle (PPA) of the linear polarization in the average profile resembles a characteristic S-shaped traverse which according to the rotating vector model (RVM, Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969) is interpreted as radiation arising from regions of dipolar magnetic field lines and often used to estimate the pulsar geometry. The pulsar profile is also important for determining the shape of emission beam and location of the radio emission within the magnetosphere (e.g. Rankin 1993, Mitra & Deshpande 1999). It is evident that the complete characterization of the pulsar emission is accomplished by a multifrequency ( $\sim 100 \text{ MHz}$  to 5 GHz) approach involving a thorough understanding of the average profile as well as single pulse nature in both total intensity and polarimetric data in the wider pulsar population, exemplified by a host of studies Taylor et al. (1975), Manchester et al. (1975), Lyne & Manchester (1988), Blaskiewicz et al. (1991), von Hoensbroech & Xilouris (1997), Gould & Lyne 1998 (GL98 hereafter), Johnston et al. 2008 (JKMG08 hereafter), Stinebring et al. (1984), Mitra & Rankin (2011). Pulsars have also been detected at millimeter wavelengths, with a tentative evidence for the possible turn up in the spectra which gives additional clues for the emission changing from coherent to incoherent mode (e.g. Kramer et al. 1996).

A number of studies involving the time averaged total intensity and polarization properties of pulsars have been reported in the literature, notably the comprehensive studies by GL98 at five frequencies between 234 MHz and 1640 MHz using the Lovell telescope and JKMG08 at multiple frequencies between 234 MHz and 3100 MHz using the Parkes Telescope and the Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope (GMRT). Polarization observations below 200 MHz are rare with the most notable exception of the recent study by Noutsos et al. (2015) using the Low Frequency Array (LOFAR). Higher frequency polarization studies have used the Effelsberg radio telescope (Xilouris et al. 1998, von Hoensbroech et al. 1998) and the Parkes Telescope (Karastergiou et al. 2005, Johnston & Weisberg 2006). The results have been utilized in constructing the shape of pulsar emission beam (e.g. Mitra & Deshpande 1999, Karastergiou & Johnston 2007), estimating the radio emission heights (e.g. Mitra & Li 2004, Kijak & Gil 1997) and probing the validity of the RFM (Mitra & Rankin 2002).

Previous single pulse surveys have mostly concentrated on the total intensity observations with a number of significant contributions aimed at understanding the phenomenon of mode changing, subpulse drifting and nulling (e.g. Burke-Spolaor et al. 2012, Weltevrede et al. 2006, Weltevrede et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2007). In comparison single pulse polarization studies are relatively sparse. Some of the systematic single pulse polarization studies have been conducted using the Arecibo and the GMRT at 325 MHz and 1400 MHz (Stinebring et al. 1984; Mitra & Rankin 2011; Mitra et al. 2015). A few sporadic studies using other telescopes involve mostly the brightest pulsars, e.g. Lovell: Gil & Lyne (1995); Westerbork: Edwards & Stappers (2004), Parkes: Johnston et al. (2001), Effelsberg and Westerbock: Karastergiou et al. (2002). These observations revealed important insights like the existence of two OPMs which follow the RVM (Gil & Lyne 1995), the nature of partial cone emission (Mitra & Rankin 2011), existence of polarization microstructure (Mitra et al. 2015), etc.

In the light of the above discussion it is apparent that there is a shortage of high quality single pulse polarization data for the wider pulsar population. The primary objective of this work is to conduct a survey of single pulse polarization in a large sample of pulsars for studying multiple aspects of pulsar radio emission. We report two frequency 333 and 618 MHz GMRT single pulse polarimetric observations of 123 pulsars lying in the declination range  $-50^{\circ}$  to  $+25^{\circ}$ . For a majority of these pulsar single pulse polarization data could be obtained. In section 2 and 3 we discuss the sample selection, the observational detail and data analysis procedure. We present the results and discussion of the time-averaged and single pulse properties in section 4 and summarize the results in section 5.

# 2. SAMPLE SELECTION

In recent years a large number of pulsars have been discovered by the Parkes radio telescope (Hobbs et al. 2004) in the declination range south of  $+25^{\circ}$  which are largely inaccessible to the majority of radio telescopes located at higher northern latitudes. This has resulted in a dearth of single pulse polarization data for most of these pulsars particularly at meter wavelengths. The GMRT operating at meter wavelengths and located at relatively low latitudes is suited for these studies in pulsars located north of  $-50^{\circ}$  declination. The GMRT is one of the most sensitive radio telescope at meter wavelengths, second only to the Arecibo radio telescope in terms of sensitivity (the collecting area of full GMRT is 0.67 times that of the Arecibo telescope), but with a greater sky coverage (Arecibo telescope can observe in the declination range between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $+35^{\circ}$ ).

We have selected our sample from the ATNF pulsar database<sup>1</sup> (Manchester et al. 2005) to be observed with the GMRT at 333 and 618 MHz in the declination range -50° to  $+25^{\circ}$ <sup>2</sup>. The selection criterion were as follows; we restricted the sources to dispersion measures (DM) lower than 200 pc cm<sup>-3</sup> primarily to avoid scattering. However, some of the pulsars with DM > 150 pc cm<sup>-3</sup> were affected by scattering at 333 MHz, but even in these cases the 618 MHz data remained unaffected and suitable for our studies. In addition we only selected pulsars with estimated flux larger than 5 mJy at 618 MHz. This was motivated by our desire to study single pulses with a signal to noise ratio (S/N) in excess of 10 using the GMRT. The selection criterion yielded 123 pulsars in the period range of 0.1 seconds to 8.5 second. The majority of the pulsars have no previous single pulse polarization data, but we have also included a few well studied pulsars in our sample for calibration and verifying our analysis schemes. Table 3 lists the pulsars in our sample.

#### 3. OBSERVATIONS

The pulsar observations were carried out at the GMRT between January and August 2014 covering 25 observing days and roughly 180 hours Telescope time. The GMRT is an interferometer consisting of 30 antennas, each of 45 meter diameter, operating at six different frequencies between 150 MHz and 1450 MHz (Swarup et al. 1991). The antennas are arranged resembling a Y-shaped array with two distinct configurations, a central square populated by 14 antennas within an area of 1 square km, and the remaining 16 antennas spread out along three arms over a 25 km diameter. Pulsar observations are usually conducted in the phased-array (PA) mode (see Gupta et al. 2000; Sirothia 2000) where the signals from different antennas are co-added in phase. In order to reach

http://atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The current single pulse study should be contrasted with the time-averaged polarization work by JKMG08 using GMRT with similar declination coverage. However, their experiment was designed to observe 3 frequencies simultaneously, using different sub-arrays. This resulted in lower sensitivities due to lesser number of antennas used at each frequency. In addition their sensitivities were also diminished due to a smaller frequency bandwidth coverage.

sufficient sensitivity for single pulse studies we used around 20 antennas in the phased-array which included all the available antennas in the central square and the two nearest arm antennas. The extreme arm antennas were not included since they would dephase very fast (within 15 minutes), as a result of ionospheric variations, and would reduce the effective S/N.

We observed at two separate frequency bands roughly between 317–333 MHz and 602–618 MHz. At these observing frequencies, the GMRT is equipped with dual linear feeds which are converted to left and right-handed circular polarizations via a hybrid. The dual polarization signals are passed through a superheterodyne system and down-converted to the baseband which are finally fed to the GMRT software backend (GSB, Roy et al. 2010). The FX correlator algorithm is implemented in the GSB where each polarization voltage is first digitally sampled at the nyquist rate and subsequently fast fourier transformed to produce frequency channels across the bands which are then cross correlated. In the PA mode of the GSB, with full Stokes capability, the voltage signals from all the selected antennas are added for each polarization to get dual polarization data which are used to produce the raw Stokes values using a multiplying polarimeter algorithm. We used a total bandwidth of 16.67 MHz spread over 256 channels with time resolution of 0.245 milliseconds. The final time-series data were equivalent to a large single dish and similar polarization calibration can be applied to get the calibrated Stokes parameters (Johnston 2002; Mitra et al. 2005, 2007, JKMG08).

Our polarization calibration and analysis were similar to JKMG08. The antennas were initially phase aligned with respect to a reference antenna using a strong flux calibrator as a model. Before recording each pulsar a secondary phase calibrator close to the source was used to verify the phase alignment of the antennas and the phases realigned if needed. The auto and cross-polarized data were recorded in a filterbank format and were analysed using the software schemes developed by Mitra et al. (2005) and later used in JKMG08. The polarization data were gain corrected and converted to the four Stokes parameters (I, Q, U, V) for each individual spectral channels. Proper corrections were applied for the fixed delay between the circular polarization channels of the reference antenna. This was followed by correcting for the phase lags caused by Faraday rotation in the interstellar medium using the known rotation measure (hereafter RM) of the pulsar (ATNF database, also given in table 2). We further verified the catalog rotation measures by using the technique of maximizing the linear polarization across the observing band (e.g. Mitra et al. 2003, Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005, Sobey et al. 2015). Using our analysis methods we were not able to measure RM with any accuracy for these cases and used RM value of  $0.0 \ rad/m^2$  for analysis. In one pulsar J1321+8323 we were able to estimate from our data the previously unreported RM. Finally, we searched for and rejected spectral channels affected by radio frequency interference (RFI) before averaging the channels, adjusted to the upper edge of the band at 333 MHz and 618 MHz, respectively, for each of the four Stokes parameters. During each observing run the data quality was frequently monitored by interspersing the test pulsars B0950+08, B1133+16 and B1929+10 at regular intervals and different parallactic angles. We have estimated the systematic error in the linear and circular polarization measurements to be  $\sim$ 5 percent.




Fig. 1.— The left plot shows the time-averaged polarisation properties of PSR J1751-4657 at 333 MHz. The three panels in the right shows the distribution of the variation of %L, %V and |V| (from bottom to top) that arise due to the noise in the baseline. The red line shows the median of the distribution and the green line shows the rms. See section 4.1.2 for details. The figures for all pulsars is available for download (see appendix).




Fig. 2.— The three panels shows  $W_{50}$ ,  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}$  width in our sample as given in table 3 as a function of pulsar period. The black and red points correspond to 333 MHz and 618 MHz respectively. The red lines in the figures correspond to  $2.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$ ,  $5.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  and  $6.3^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  respectively. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the widths at the two frequencies as a function of pulsar period. The grey dashed lines are at 0.90, 0.92 and 0.84 respectively and shows the median values of the ratio. See section 4.1.2 for details.

Table 1: MSPES Observational Summary:

		v				
Total BW	Channel BW	$t_{\rm res}$	$N_{\mathrm{TAP}}$	$N_{\mathrm{SP}}$	$N_{ m pol}$	$N_{\mathrm{Drift}}$
(MHz)	(MHz)	(msec)				
16.66	0.065	0.245	105	83	59	39
16.66	0.065	0.245	118	93	49	44
_	_	_	100	72	40	26
	(MHz) 16.66	16.66 0.065	(MHz) (MHz) (msec) 16.66 0.065 0.245	(MHz) (MHz) (msec)  16.66 0.065 0.245 105 16.66 0.065 0.245 118	(MHz) (MHz) (msec)  16.66 0.065 0.245 105 83 16.66 0.065 0.245 118 93	(MHz) (msec)  16.66 0.065 0.245 105 83 59 16.66 0.065 0.245 118 93 49

The first four columns of the table specifies the observing frequency, bandwidth, channel and time resolutions. Column 5 gives the number of pulsars  $N_{TAP}$  with good time-averaged polarization profiles, column 6 gives the number of pulsars  $N_{SP}$  for which the S/N ratio of the single pulse exceeds  $5\sigma$  limit and column 7 gives the number of pulsars where PPA's can be measured for more than 5% of the single pulses. Column 8 specifies the number of pulsars  $N_{Drift}$  that showed drifting properties (see text for details).

# 4. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

We have recorded a total of 223 good average polarization profiles from 123 pulsars at two frequencies. In table 1 we present a short summary of the observations and in table 2 we summarize the observational results. Our sample has 77 pulsars common with GL98, and 30 pulsars common with JKMG08, which are the notable polarization surveys of pulsars at similar wavelengths, though our study had a significantly improved S/N. Additionally 79 pulsars in our sample were found to be common with the single pulse study of subpulse drifting by Weltevrede et al. (2007) and Weltevrede et al. (2006) using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) at 90 cm and 21 cm. There are 47 pulsars in our sample that were also in the list of the pulsars used for single pulse study by Burke-Spolaor et al. (2012) at 1350 MHz.

Most of the pulsars were observed for about 2100 pulses, however, in some cases we had fewer periods due to a variety of reasons like presence of RFI, setting of sources during the observing run, time on calibrator source, etc. The single pulse polarization study at 618 MHz, to the best of our knowledge, is the first such survey carried out at this frequency band. For each observed pulsar we determined the number of usable pulses  $(N_p)$ , unaffected by RFI, along with the average S/N  $(S/N_{avg})$  of the peak intensity for the entire observing run. We further estimated the percentage of single pulses for which the peak S/N > 5 (see table 2). We found around 77% of the data, corresponding to 104 pulsars, useful for single pulse analysis (see table 1 for full summary). To give an assessment of the single pulse polarization quality we quote the percentage of single pulses in which polarization position angle (PPA) values for linear polarization S/N > 3 could be measured. This gave around  $\sim 50\%$  of the pulsars which had more than 5% of the single pulses with measured PPA values (see table 1, 2). In the remainder of this section we discuss the preliminary outcomes of our survey.

# 4.1. Time Averaged properties

The time-averaged profiles, with periods of each pulsar determined using the  $TEMPO2^3$  software, were obtained by averaging the single pulses, after rejecting the ones affected by RFI (an example of average polarization for the pulsar J1751–4657 is shown in Fig. 1). We estimated pulse widths at each frequency  $(\nu)$  using three different schemes namely the  $W^{\nu}_{5\sigma}$  corresponding to the pulse width measured at five times the baseline noise rms and  $W^{\nu}_{10}$  and  $W^{\nu}_{50}$  corresponding to widths at 10% and 50% level of the peak intensity, respectively. All the measured widths at each frequency are shown in table 3 along with the error in pulse widths, computed using the prescription of Kijak & Gil 1997 (equation 4 therein). The average linear polarization  $L(\phi_i)$  across the profile phase,  $\phi_i$ , was obtained by summing up the stokes  $U(\phi_i)$  and  $Q(\phi_i)$  along each  $\phi_i$ , and using the relation  $L(\phi_i) = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n U_j(\phi_i)\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j(\phi_i)\right)^2}/n$ , here n is the total number of pulses. The  $L(\phi_i)$  estimated above has a positive bias, and a mean value of the linear polarization obtained from the off pulse region is subtracted to obtain the final  $L(\phi_i)$ . The average circular polarization was obtained using the relation  $V(\phi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n V_j(\phi_i)/n$ . The average polarization position angle was obtained as  $\Psi(\phi_i) = 0.5 \tan^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n U_j(\phi_i)/\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j(\phi_i)\right)$ , with only points greater than three times the rms of the linear polarization baseline level being used.

# 4.1.1. Pulse widths

Pulse width serves as a useful tool for investigating the geometry and location of pulsar radio emission within the magnetosphere. In figure 2 we show the dependence of the different widths,  $W_{50}^{\nu}$ ,  $W_{10}^{\nu}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}^{\nu}$ , on pulsar period P. In table 3 we have indicated 12 pulsars at 333 MHz and the pulsar J1848–0123 at 618 MHz which were highly scattered and not used in our plots and statistical analysis. The bottom panel in Fig. 2 shows the ratio of the widths at the two frequencies and the dashed grey line is the median value of the ratio. The average ratio of the three profile measure is  $\sim$ 0.89, and agrees with the putative phenomenon of radius to frequency mapping (e.g. Mitra & Rankin 2002). Assuming a power law dependence of the frequency on widths,  $W^{\nu} \propto \nu^{a}$ , we find,  $a \sim$ -0.19  $\pm$  0.1, which agrees with previous results (Mitra & Deshpande 1999; Mitra & Rankin 2002; Chen & Wang 2014). There was one notable exception to the above results, PSR J1034–3224, where the ratio of widths was significantly larger than unity. On closer inspection it was revealed that an additional emission component appeared at 618 MHz which was absent at 333 MHz.

The pulse width decreasing with increasing P, seen in Fig. 2, is a well established phenomenon with a lower bound to the distribution of widths noted by several authors (e.g. Lyne & Manchester 1988; Rankin 1990, 1993, GL98, Maciesiak & Gil 2011; Maciesiak et al. 2012; Pilia et al. 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/tempo2/

In particular Rankin (1990) found that  $W_{50}$  for the core components followed a lower boundary line (LBL) corresponding to  $2.45^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  where the  $P^{-0.5}$  dependence is the scaling of the opening angle of the open dipolar field lines (e.g. Biggs 1990, Kramer et al. 1998). Recently Maciesiak et al. (2012) emphasized the existence of the same LBL,  $2.45^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$ , for  $W_{50}$  at 1 GHz frequency in a wider population of 1450 pulsars, which included both core and conal components. They argued that the LBL corresponds to the smallest angular structures that can be observed either as core or conal component widths. In Fig. 2 the width distribution also appears to have a lower bound. Since the pulse widths at 618 MHz are smaller, the lower bound is dominated by the 618 MHz measurements. We modeled the lower bound for  $W_{50}$  by scaling the 1 GHz value of 2.45° to 618 MHz using  $\alpha \sim 0.19$  and find the LBL to be  $2.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  which appears to be consistent with our result. In the case of widths  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}$  we observed LBLs but there are no previous estimates to compare our results. The lower bounds, which are dominated by measurements at 618 MHz for  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}$ , could be represented by a LBL of the form  $5.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  and  $6.3^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  respectively, and were derived by visual examination. Since the  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}$  are measured at comparatively lower intensity levels that  $W_{50}$ , these measurements includes both the width of the component as well as the separation of the components. Thus the fact that in these measurements the period scaling of  $P^{-0.5}$  still seem to hold implies that the spacing between the components has a similar period scaling as the component  $(W_{50})$  widths. The presence of a LBL in the width distribution is a curious phenomenon and a more detailed study to understand the LBL as a function of pulsar frequency and other profile measures is currently underway.

### 4.1.2. Average polarization properties

In table. 3 we list the average degree of linear  $\%L = \sum_i L(\phi_i)/I(\phi_i)$ , circular  $\%V = \sum_i V(\phi_i)/I(\phi_i)$ and absolute circular % |  $V \mid = \sum_{i} |V(\phi_{i})|/I(\phi_{i})$  polarization where the summation is across pulse phase  $\phi_i$  and is performed for only statistically significant values, i.e. with S/N > 3, for the respective quantities, and the noise were estimated from the off-pulse region. It is to be noted that while Stokes I, Q, U and V have errors with gaussian distribution, the quantities %L, %Vand  $\% \mid V \mid$  have non-gaussian error distributions and hence error estimates for these quantities cannot be computed using standard error propagation (Mitra et al. 2015). Instead we took the following approach to estimate the errors. Using the noise rms obtained from the off pulse region, we constructed a large number of profiles by varying each of the four stoke parameters randomly within the rms value. For each of these profiles we estimated the average %L,%V and  $\%\mid V\mid$  as described above. The median and the rms values of this distribution were used as estimates and errors respectively. Figure 1 shows the details of these measurements for one pulsar. In a number of cases, PSR J1648–3256, J1848–1414, J1849–0636 and J1921+1948 at 333 MHz and PSR J1739– 2903 (main pulse), J1757–2421, J1835–1020, J1848–1414 and J1921+1948 at 618 MHz, there were insufficient polarization measurements above the rms cutoff to yield any average polarization values despite the total intensity profile having sufficient signal to noise. These are examples of extreme depolarization in the pulsar population.




Fig. 3.— The percentage of linear polarization for all the observed pulsars (table 2) is plotted as a function of different pulsar parameters. The black and red short lines correspond to 333 MHz and 618 MHz respectively. The black and red points with error bars correspond to median values of the sample. See section 4.1.2 for details.




Fig. 4.— The central panel on the left and right plots show single pulse stacks of PSR J0630-2834 at 333 and 618 MHz respectively. The red horizontal lines on the rightmost strip correspond to pulses affected by RFI. The blue vertical bars in the bottom plot correspond to the leading and trailing edge of the pulse estimated at  $5\sigma$  level, the black curve corresponds to the average total intensity, the green points in the bottom panel are the rms of the intensity fluctuation along each longitude and the red points show the modulation index. The black curve in the left panel shows the variation of the average single pulse intensity as a function of pulse number. See section 4.2.1 for detail. The figures for all pulsars are available for download (see appendix).

The degree of polarization has been shown to be correlated with pulsar period P, period derivative  $\dot{P}$  and their derived parameters particularly the spindown energy loss  $\dot{E} = 4\pi^2 I \dot{P} P^{-3}$ erg s<sup>-1</sup> where I is the moment of inertia having a typical value of  $10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>, and characteristic age  $\tau = 0.5P\dot{P}^{-1}$  yr (Von Hoensbroech 1998; von Hoensbroech et al. 1998, GL98, Crawford et al. 2001; Han et al. 2009; Weltevrede & Johnston 2008, hereafter WJ08). The strongest correlation is observed between %L and  $\dot{E}$ , with high  $\dot{E}$  pulsars showing higher degree of linear polarization. The correlation was a highlight of the study conducted by WJ08 at 1.4 GHz using 350 pulsars in the  $\dot{E}$ range  $\sim 10^{30} - 10^{37.5}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, where a distinct but gradual transition is seen around  $\dot{E} \sim 10^{34} - 10^{35}$ erg s<sup>-1</sup>, above which the degree of polarization is very high with a mean value of 60% and below this level it decreases to 20%. A similar correlation in the meterwavelengths has also been reported by GL98. We have observed similar correlations in our data where the dependence of %L with  $P, \dot{P}, \dot{E}$  and  $\tau$  is shown in figure 3. It is to be noted that there exists a spread in the measured values of the degree of polarization along all  $\dot{E}$ , however the mean values obtained by dividing the data along multiple bins in  $\dot{E}$  reveal clear trends in agreement with WJ08. The minimum of the mean linear polarization is about 20% at  $\dot{E} \sim 10^{32.3} {\rm erg \ s^{-1}}$ , which rises marginally to 30% below this level but increases significantly to 70% in the higher  $\dot{E}$  range. Another interesting dependence of %L on  $\tau$  is observed where younger pulsars ( $\tau < 10^6$  yr) show very high polarizations upto 70% compared to older ones ( $\tau \gg 10^6 \text{ yr}$ ) with %L ~ 30%. Our data shows no evidence for any correlation for %V and  $\% \mid V \mid$  to any of these pulsar parameters.

# 4.2. Single Pulse Properties

The observed pulsed emission can be analysed in a number of ways as illustrated in figures 4 and 5 for the pulsar J0630-2834 and figure 6 at the two observing frequencies. The data can be used to study pulsar phenomenon involving both single pulse total intensity and single pulse polarization.

#### 4.2.1. Single pulse total intensity

A useful way of plotting single pulse data are single pulse stacks where the intensity corresponding to consecutive pulsar period is plotted on top of each other as shown in figure 4. The main panel in the plot represents colour coded contour of single pulse stack of total intensity  $I(j, \phi_i)$ , which corresponds to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  pulse along the y-axis and the pulse phase  $\phi_i$  along the x-axis. The baseline levels for each of the single pulses were estimated from a region of minimum rms in the off-pulse window which was subtracted from each pulse to create the stack. In addition the pulses affected by RFI were identified using a statistical approach, determining the mean and rms in a off-pulse window for each pulse where the pulses exceeding five times the median noise identified as outliers, and shown on the rightmost strip of the figure (horizontal red lines). The outlier pulses were not included in subsequent analysis. The on-pulse window bounded by the longitude range

 $n_1$  and  $n_2$  was determined as the region above 5 times the rms of the off-pulse window and marked with blue bordering lines. The average energy corresponding to each single pulse was determined as  $\sum_{i=n_1}^{n_2} I(j,\phi_i)/N_{\text{bins}}$  within the on-pulse window having  $N_{\text{bins}}$  number of bins, and is shown as the black curve on the left panel. The average profile after rejecting the RFI affected pulses is shown as the black curve in the lowermost panel. In addition we have also estimated the fluctuation of the pulse to pulse intensity along every longitude  $\phi_i$  by measuring the rms of  $I(j,\phi_i)$ which is shown as the green points in the lowermost panel. The longitude resolved modulation index  $m(\phi_i)$  is shown as the red points with errorbars in the lowermost panel, and is defined as  $m(\phi_i) = \left(\sqrt{\langle I(j,\phi_i)^2 \rangle - \langle I(j,\phi_i) \rangle^2}\right) / \langle I(j,\phi_i) \rangle$  where the angle brackets indicate mean values, and the errors were estimated using Monte Carlo simulations (see Weltevrede et al. 2012). The MSPES data set showed a rich variety in the distribution of on-pulse energy of single pulse intensity which are related to phenomenon like pulsar nulling, moding (e.g. Wang et al. 2007) and interstellar scintillation (e.g. Rickett 1977). This variation can be effectively represented by plotting on-pulse and off-pulse energy histogram of single pulses (see Ritchings 1976), an example of which is shown for PSR J0630-2834 in figure 5. The red histogram corresponds to the off-pulse energy histogram which was computed by first finding a off-pulse window in the average profile which corresponds to a minimum rms regions, and then using the same window to find the mean energies of the single pulses. For purely white noise the off-pulse histogram should have a normal distribution. However, as seen in figure 5 the distribution, particularly at 333 MHz is asymetric, and arises due to a presence of gain variations leading to systematics in the baseline level, and low level RFI which could not be detected through our RFI excision algorithm. The on-pulse histogram is the blue histogram in figure 5, and was computed by finding the single pulse energy in the on-pulse window corresponding to  $5\sigma$  pulse width. The on-pulse energy distribution has contribution from the low level RFI and baseline variations as well as from pulsar single pulse phenomenon associated with nulling, moding and scintillation. A proper investigation of these phenomenon will need to address the issue of mitigating the low level RFI and baseline systematics (one method to eliminate baseline systematics have been devised in MSPESII, appendix A). Currently more detailed study of these phenomenon are underway and will be reported elsewhere.

The next important single pulse phenomenon is subpulse drifting. The pulsed emission is composed of one or more components called subpulses which in certain pulsars is seen to exhibit periodic variation. This phenomenon is known as subpulse drifting and has been a subject of considerable interest for understanding the radio emission mechanism. The largest study of subpulse drifting has been conducted by Weltevrede et al. (2006, 2007) where 187 pulsars were studied and drifting features reported in 68 pulsars with 42 new detections. A comprehensive study of the phenomenon of drifting subpulses using the present dataset has been carried out by Basu et al. (2016, hereafter MSPESII) which is being presented as an accompanying paper. The principal outcomes of the studies are as follows: we detected drifting features in 39 pulsars at 333 MHz, and 44 pulsars at 618 MHz with a total of 57 pulsars showing some features of drifting. The drifting phenomenon was detected for the first time in 22 pulsars which increased the sample of drifting pulsars by around 20% and is one of the largest such studies conducted. In table 2 pulsars showing

drifting are indicated as "D" and the new detections are indicated as "D\*". As demonstrated in MSPESII, the superior quality of single-pulses in the current study enabled us to estimate the drifting properties with much higher significance.

# 4.2.2. Single Pulse Polarization

Several examples of single pulse polarisation are shown in figure 6. The plot represents the distribution of the single pulse phased-resolved PPA (grayscale), with only statistically significant points exceeding three times the off-pulse noise levels shown in the plot. The average PPA is overlaid as a red curve. We found around 60% of the pulsars in our sample to exhibit PPA histograms with some discernible points within the pulse window (see table 2). The circular polarization of the single pulses were weak for most pulsars barring a few bright cases, which will be studied in a future paper.

The PPA histograms exhibit a variety of shapes ranging from simple S-shaped curves (RVM) to extremely complex structures. In some cases the two orthogonal polarization tracks are clearly visible. The PPA values are determined within (-90,+90) degrees and at any given longitude there are two distinct distributions. A tightly bunched distribution confined to around 10-20 degrees, mostly seen in pulsars with S-shaped PPA tracks, and a wide spread which sometimes cover the entire window. Despite a large variation in shape, a pattern connecting the PPA histogram and the average degree of linear polarization emerges in our sample. In figure 6 we show examples of pulsars with three distinct PPA behaviour at 333 MHz and 610 MHz: (1) the top two panels show pulsars with high linear polarization,  $\%L \sim 60-70\%$ , which are typically associated with  $\dot{E} > 10^{34} {\rm erg \ s^{-1}}$ . The single pulse polarization in these cases are close to the average value and the PPA histograms show tight bunching, sometimes with a hint of weak orthogonal polarization modes. (2) The middle two panels are examples of pulsars with extremely low linear polarization, %L < 10%, associated with  $\dot{E}$  between  $10^{32}$  and  $10^{34}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. The PPA histograms show chaotic shapes with random spread within the window. (3) The bottom two panels are examples of pulsars with intermediate polarizations,  $\%L \sim 30\%$  and  $\dot{E} < 10^{32} {\rm erg \ s^{-1}}$  cases. These typify PPA with low spread, resembling the RVM, and exhibit clear orthogonal polarization modes. A detailed study of single pulse polarization and how it leads to depolarization in average profiles will be presented elsewhere.

#### 5. Summary

In this paper we have described the time-averaged and single pulse emission properties of the pulsars in MSPES conducted using the GMRT at 333 MHz and 618 MHz. These observations were aimed at a systematic and detailed study of the pulsar radio emission properties. The calibrated data sets (section 3) have been used to estimate the pulse widths and average linear and circular

polarizations in the pulsar sample (table 3 and discussion in section 4). The effect of RFM is clearly demonstrated in the pulse widths with an estimated power law index of  $a \sim 0.19$  for the evolution of widths between 333 MHz and 618 MHz. The pulse width distribution with period had a lower bound, with the LBL corresponding to  $2.7^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$ ,  $5.7P^{-0.5}$  and  $6.3P^{-0.5}$  for  $W_{50}$ ,  $W_{10}$ and  $W_{5\sigma}$  respectively at 618 MHz. The LBL for  $W_{50}$  at 1.4 GHz was found to be  $2.45^{\circ}P^{-0.5}$  by Maciesiak et al. (2012) and interpreted as emission from the narrowest angular structure, mainly the core/conal component, in a pulse profile. They invoke the partially screened vacuum gap (PSG) model (Gil et al. 2003) of the inner accelerating region which was initially suggested by Ruderman & Sutherland (1975), and argue that the components in a pulse profile are related to the sparking discharge in the PSG. They further demonstrate that the numerical factor  $\sim 2.45^{\circ}$  in the  $W_{50}$ widths can be related to the radius of curvature of non-dipolar magnetic field in the PSG where dense electron-positron plasma is created due to the sparking process and finally the pulsar radio emission arises at altitudes of about 50 stellar radii above the neutron star surface. Our result for  $W_{50}$  LBL support the findings of Maciesiak et al. (2012), where the slightly higher numerical factor  $2.7^{\circ}$  at 618 MHz can be attributed to RFM. The  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{5\sigma}$  LBL however is not connected to the component widths, instead they measure widths for both the components and the separation of the components and the LBL suggests the non existence of pulsed radio emission structures below this level in the pulsar population. The  $P^{-0.5}$  dependence of the widths follows from the nature of the dipolar open magnetic field lines in the radio emission region. The physical origin of this bound is currently unclear.

We found %L to be correlated with various pulsar parameters confirming previous studies. The degree of correlation varies with various parameters, e.g. it is much stronger with P than  $\dot{P}$ . %L is seen to be as high as 70% for pulsars rotating faster than  $\sim 300$  milliseconds and about 30% for periods slower than 400 milliseconds. The correlation of %L is also present with both  $\dot{E}$ and  $\tau$ , where %L is 70% for  $\dot{E} > 10^{35} \ {\rm erg \ s^{-1}}$  and  $\tau < 10^{5.5} \ {\rm yr}$  and %L is 30% for  $\dot{E} < 10^{34}$ erg s<sup>-1</sup> and  $\tau > 10^6$  yr. The physics of depolarization in pulsars is still poorly understood, which makes these results, particularly the transitions between high and low %L, as important inputs into the various models. One likely source of depolarization is the presence of orthogonal and non-orthogonal polarization modes (e.g. Rankin & Ramachandran 2003). Our single pulse polarization data showed that several pulsars with low %L exhibit a variety of OPM and non-OPM distributions compared to high %L pulsars. A detailed single pulse study revealing the relation between polarization properties of individual pulses and average pulses is essential to understand the depolarization process. Additionally, the high quality single pulse data obtained in our survey showed clear presence of nulling and subpulse drifting. In an accompanying paper, MSPESII, a detailed study of drifting subpulses revealed that around 45% of the pulsars in our sample exhibit drifting features with 22 pulsars in which this phenomenon was detected for the first time as indicated in table 2.

Our data is consistent with the observational evidence that the coherent radio emission in pulsars originating at heights of 50 stellar radii or below 10% of the light cylinder (Blaskiewicz

et al. 1991; Rankin 1993; Kijak & Gil 1997) which suggests the presence of strong magnetic fields  $(\sim 10^8 \text{ G})$  in the emission region. In such strong magnetic fields the radio emitting plasma is constrained to move only along the field lines with all transverse motions suspended. In this specialized condition only the two-stream instability can develop within the plasma and our current understanding is that the non-linear growth of the two stream instability can lead to formation of charged relativistic solitons emitting coherent curvature radiation in plasma (Melikidze et al. 2000; Gil et al. 2004; Mitra et al. 2009; Melikidze et al. 2014). The supply of the radio emitting plasma from the inner accelerating region is initiated by a sparking process (e.g Ruderman & Sutherland 1975) and the drifting subpulse phenomenon is thought to be associated with the  $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$  drift, where  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  are the electric and magnetic fields in the inner accelerating region. The detailed study of the drifting subpulse phenomenon of our data in MSPESII confirms the presence of the inner accelerating region and favours the PSG model. However major challenges are faced when the coherent curvature radiation theory is used to explain the polarization properties. The curvature radiation can excite the ordinary ordinary (O-mode) and extraordinary (X-mode) modes within the plasma (Melikidze et al. 2000; Gil et al. 2004; Mitra et al. 2009; Melikidze et al. 2014). The X-mode, with the waves polarized perpendicular to the magnetic field planes, can emerge from the plasma without suffering any propagation effect. This is supported by good observational evidence where the linear polarization vector emerges from the pulsar as X-mode (Lai et al. 2001; Johnston et al. 2005; Rankin 2015). The presence of OPMs on the other hand also suggests the emergence of the O-modes where the polarization is in the plane of the magnetic field line. However, theoretically considerations expect the O-modes to be heavily damped within the plasma and unable to emerge (Arons & Barnard 1986, Melikidze et al. 2014). Also there is no theoretical basis to understand the presence of circular polarization observed in pulsars. We aim to carry out a systematic study of identifying the OPM in our large sample to better understand the polarization properties in pulsars.

The data presented in this paper as well as other studies strongly suggest that the emission properties depend on pulsar parameters, particularly  $\dot{E}$ . It appears that  $\dot{E}$  change leads to a systematic change in the radio emitting plasma, thereby affecting the pulsar emission properties. Our future aim is to use these observational results and perform further detailed analysis of emission properties to enhance our understanding of the physics of pulsar radio emission under the framework of the coherent curvature radio emission model, with our present work being a significant attempt towards these goals.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Late Prof. Janusz Gil for his leadership and inspiration that has motivated us to start the MSPES project. We thank the referee for his comments which helped to improve the paper. We thank Joanna Rankin, W. Lewandowski and J. Kijak for critical comments on the manuscript. We would like to thank the staff of GMRT and NCRA for providing valuable sup-

port in carrying out this project. This work was supported by grants DEC-2012/05/B/ST9/03924 and DEC-2013/09/B/ST9/02177 of the Polish National Science Centre. This work has been supported by Polish National Science Centre grant DEC-2011/03/D/ST9/00656 (KK). This work was financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) under project "CleanMachine" (614.001.301).

#### REFERENCES

Arons, J., & Barnard, J. J. 1986, ApJ, 302, 120

Basu, R., Szary, A., Mitra, D., et al. 2016, submitted to ApJ

Biggs, J. D. 1990, MNRAS, 245, 514

Blaskiewicz, M., Cordes, J. M., & Wasserman, I. 1991, ApJ, 370, 643

Brentjens, M. A., & de Bruyn, A. G. 2005, A&A, 441, 1217

Burke-Spolaor, S., Johnston, S., Bailes, M., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 1351

Chen, J. L., & Wang, H. G. 2014, ApJS, 215, 11

Crawford, F., Manchester, R. N., & Kaspi, V. M. 2001, AJ, 122, 2001

Edwards, R. T., & Stappers, B. W. 2004, A&A, 421, 681

Gil, J., Lyubarsky, Y., & Melikidze, G. I. 2004, ApJ, 600, 872

Gil, J., Melikidze, G. I., & Geppert, U. 2003, A&A, 407, 315

Gil, J. A., & Lyne, A. G. 1995, MNRAS, 276, L55

Gould, D. M., & Lyne, A. G. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 235

Gupta, Y., Gothoskar, P., Joshi, B. C., et al. 2000, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 202, IAU Colloq. 177: Pulsar Astronomy - 2000 and Beyond, ed. M. Kramer, N. Wex, & R. Wielebinski, 277

Han, J. L., Demorest, P. B., van Straten, W., & Lyne, A. G. 2009, ApJS, 181, 557

Hankins, T. H., & Eilek, J. A. 2007, ApJ, 670, 693

Hobbs, G., Faulkner, A., Stairs, I. H., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1439

Jessner, A., Popov, M. V., Kondratiev, V. I., et al. 2010, A&A, 524, A60

Johnston, S. 2002, PASA, 19, 277

Johnston, S., Hobbs, G., Vigeland, S., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 364, 1397

Johnston, S., Karastergiou, A., Mitra, D., & Gupta, Y. 2008, MNRAS, 388, 261

Johnston, S., van Straten, W., Kramer, M., & Bailes, M. 2001, ApJ, 549, L101

Johnston, S., & Weisberg, J. M. 2006, MNRAS, 368, 1856

Karastergiou, A., & Johnston, S. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1678

Karastergiou, A., Johnston, S., & Manchester, R. N. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 481

Karastergiou, A., Kramer, M., Johnston, S., et al. 2002, A&A, 391, 247

Kijak, J., & Gil, J. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 631

Kramer, M., Xilouris, K. M., Jessner, A., Wielebinski, R., & Timofeev, M. 1996, A&A, 306, 867

Kramer, M., Xilouris, K. M., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 1998, ApJ, 501, 270

Lai, D., Chernoff, D. F., & Cordes, J. M. 2001, ApJ, 549, 1111

Lyne, A. G., & Manchester, R. N. 1988, MNRAS, 234, 477

Maciesiak, K., & Gil, J. 2011, MNRAS, 417, 1444

Maciesiak, K., Gil, J., & Melikidze, G. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1762

Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., & Hobbs, M. 2005, AJ, 129, 1993

Manchester, R. N., Taylor, J. H., & Huguenin, G. R. 1975, ApJ, 196, 83

Melikidze, G. I., Gil, J. A., & Pataraya, A. D. 2000, ApJ, 544, 1081

Melikidze, G. I., Mitra, D., & Gil, J. 2014, ApJ, 794, 105

Melrose, D. B. 1995, Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy, 16, 137

Mitra, D., Arjunwadkar, M., & Rankin, J. M. 2015, ApJ, 806, 236

Mitra, D., & Deshpande, A. A. 1999, A&A, 346, 906

Mitra, D., Gil, J., & Melikidze, G. I. 2009, ApJ, 696, L141

Mitra, D., Gupta, Y., & Kudale, S. 2005, Polarization Calibration of the Phased Array Mode of the GMRT. URSI GA 2005, Commission J03a

Mitra, D., & Li, X. H. 2004, A&A, 421, 215

Mitra, D., & Rankin, J. M. 2002, ApJ, 577, 322

—. 2011, ApJ, 727, 92

Mitra, D., Rankin, J. M., & Gupta, Y. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 932

Mitra, D., Wielebinski, R., Kramer, M., & Jessner, A. 2003, A&A, 398, 993

Noutsos, A., Sobey, C., Kondratiev, V. I., et al. 2015, A&A, 576, A62

Pilia, M., Hessels, J. W. T., Stappers, B. W., et al. 2015, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1509.06396

Radhakrishnan, V., & Cooke, D. J. 1969, Astrophys. Lett., 3, 225

Rankin, J. M. 1990, ApJ, 352, 247

—. 1993, ApJ, 405, 285

—. 2015, ApJ, 804, 112

Rankin, J. M., & Ramachandran, R. 2003, ApJ, 590, 411

Rickett, B. J. 1977, ARA&A, 15, 479

Ritchings, R. T. 1976, MNRAS, 176, 249

Roy, J., Gupta, Y., Pen, U.-L., et al. 2010, Experimental Astronomy, 28, 25

Ruderman, M. A., & Sutherland, P. G. 1975, ApJ, 196, 51

Sirothia, S. 2000, PhD thesis, Univ. Pune, 2000

Sobey, C., Young, N. J., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2493

Soglasnov, V. A., Popov, M. V., Bartel, N., et al. 2004, ApJ, 616, 439

Stinebring, D. R., Cordes, J. M., Rankin, J. M., Weisberg, J. M., & Boriakoff, V. 1984, ApJS, 55, 247

Swarup, G., Ananthakrishnan, S., Kapahi, V. K., et al. 1991, Current Science, Vol. 60, NO.2/JAN25, P. 95, 1991, 60, 95

Taylor, J. H., Manchester, R. N., & Huguenin, G. R. 1975, ApJ, 195, 513

Von Hoensbroech, A. 1998, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 69, 1055

von Hoensbroech, A., Kijak, J., & Krawczyk, A. 1998, A&A, 334, 571

von Hoensbroech, A., & Xilouris, K. M. 1997, A&AS, 126

Wang, N., Manchester, R. N., & Johnston, S. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1383

Weltevrede, P., Edwards, R. T., & Stappers, B. W. 2006, A&A, 445, 243

Weltevrede, P., & Johnston, S. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1210

Weltevrede, P., Stappers, B. W., & Edwards, R. T. 2007, A&A, 469, 607

Weltevrede, P., Wright, G., & Johnston, S. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 843

Xilouris, K. M., Kramer, M., Jessner, A., et al. 1998, ApJ, 501, 286

# Appendix

We have made the plots and data products from our survey freely available to the user.

Several of the data products for each pulsar have been archived in the website:

http://mspes.ia.uz.zgora.pl/

The bulk download of files is also available from:

ftp://ftpnkn.ncra.tifr.res.in/dmitra/MSPES/

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LATEX macros v5.2.




Fig. 5.— The left and right plots show the off-pulse (red curves) and on-pulse (blue curves) energy histograms for PSR J0630-2834 based on the data in figure 4 for 333 and 618 MHz respectively. See section 4.2.1 for detail. The figures for all pulsars are available for download (see appendix).




Fig. 6.— Example of single pulse polarization position angle (PPA) histograms for three different ranges of  $\dot{E}$ , with three pulsars shown for each range at both 333 and 618 MHz. The top two panels correspond to  $\dot{E} > 10^{34}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, middle two panels correspond to  $\dot{E} \sim 10^{32} - 10^{34}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> and bottom two panels corresponds to  $\dot{E} < 10^{31}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. A running mean of 3 bins has been applied to the data before plotting to increase the S/N of the PPA points. The figures for all pulsars are available for download (see appendix).

catalog. Columns 9—13 gives single pulse properties for 333 MHz data where we give the number of single pulses N<sub>p</sub> which are not affected due to RFI, the average peak signal to noise ratio  $S/N_{avg}$ , the percentage of pulses  $f_{5\sigma}$  for which the peak signal to noise ratio exceeds the pulsar catalog. In the rotation measure (RM) column the quantities with (NA) are pulsars for which RM was not available in the ATNF 5 times the off-pulse rms and the percentage of single pulses f<sub>pol</sub> for which single pulse polarization is detected. f<sub>pol</sub> is only quoted when Table 2. The table gives the sample of pulsars observed. The first 8 columns gives various pulsar parameter obtained from the ATNF the number of pulses exceeds 100. Columns 14–18 corresponds to 618 MHz data. The letters D in column 13 and 18 stands for pulsars showing drifting features, while D\* represents drifting reported for the first time (see MSPESII).

Tend $T_{-11}$ DM $KMI$ $T_{0}$ $T_{0$			٠ţ	í	í		٠,	,	333	333  MHz			;	618	$\frac{618 \text{ MHz}}{\hat{c}}$	٠	
0.942         0.0460         1.02         9.89         366         1.92         2042         13.3         65         54         D         1124         5.7         40         15           1.1464         0.0436         2.08         1.80         27.7         120         2180         3.7         45         5         2144         3.1         45         5         40         9.5         6         45         5         40         12         45         40         11         45         40         11         45         40         11         45         45         12         5.5         40         12         65         40         12         12         40         12         40         12         40         12         40         12         40         12         40         12         40         40         12         40 <th></th> <th>Period (s)</th> <th><math>P_{-15}</math> (s s<sup>-1</sup>)</th> <th><math>\frac{\text{DM}}{\text{cc}}</math></th> <th><math>rac{ ext{rad}}{ ext{m}^2})</math></th> <th><math> au_6</math> (yr)</th> <th><math display="block">(\mathrm{erg}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})</math></th> <th><math>_{ m p}</math></th> <th><math> m S/N_{avg}</math></th> <th><math>f_{5\sigma}</math> (%)</th> <th><math>_{\mathrm{pol}}^{\mathrm{f}}</math></th> <th></th> <th><math>_{ m p}</math></th> <th><math>_{ m S/N_{avg}}</math></th> <th><math display="block">_{(\%)}^{\mathrm{f}_{5\sigma}}</math></th> <th>f<sub>pol</sub> (%)</th> <th></th>		Period (s)	$P_{-15}$ (s s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\text{DM}}{\text{cc}}$	$rac{ ext{rad}}{ ext{m}^2})$	$ au_6$ (yr)	$(\mathrm{erg}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$_{ m p}$	$ m S/N_{avg}$	$f_{5\sigma}$ (%)	$_{\mathrm{pol}}^{\mathrm{f}}$		$_{ m p}$	$_{ m S/N_{avg}}$	$_{(\%)}^{\mathrm{f}_{5\sigma}}$	f <sub>pol</sub> (%)	
0.138         0.0784         21.81         13.00         27.7         120         2180         3.7         < 5         < 5         < 5         < 5         44         3.1         5         44         3.1         5         49         5.5         49         5.5         44         11         D         519         5.5         40         5.5         40         1.30         1.30         1.32         2.00         52.4         0.556         6.5         3.9         3.9         3.1         6.5         3.9         3.9         9.0         8.7         3.1         6.5         4.4         1.0         0.00         9.0         6.3         1.9         1.0         0.00         9.0         8.3         1.9         1.0         0.00         9.0         8.7         1.0         0.00         9.0         8.7         1.0         1.0         0.00         9.0         8.0         0.00         8.0         0.00         9.0         8.0         9.0         8.0         9.0         8.0         9.0         8.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0         9.0	10034-0721	0.942	0.4080	10.92	9.89	36.6	1.92	2042	13.3	62	54	D	1124	5.7	40	19	Ω
14464         0.4436         2.56         2.04         6.55         6.15         5.6         44         11         D         519         5.5         40         2.0           0.832         1.30         1.30         2.00         10.2         8.88         2054         10.8         9		0.136	0.0784	21.81	13.00	27.7	120	2180	3.7	\ \ \	5		2144	3.1	\ N	\ 5	
0.832         1.30         11.38         2.00         10.2         8.88         2054         10.8         93         90         9         90 <td></td> <td>1.464</td> <td>0.4436</td> <td>25.66</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>52.4</td> <td>0.556</td> <td>615</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>44</td> <td>11</td> <td>О</td> <td>519</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>40</td> <td>25</td> <td>О</td>		1.464	0.4436	25.66	2.00	52.4	0.556	615	5.6	44	11	О	519	5.5	40	25	О
0.630         1.20         1.20         4.00         8.33         1.89         1.93         7.1         7         208         3.1         5.5         4.00         8.33         1.89         1.93         6.3         7.1         7         1.91         2058         13.1         81         6.5         D         2026         8.5         7.2         5.5         3.4         6.5         3.4         6.5         1.5         1.5         1.5         1.88         20.9         86         5         21.8         8.0         8.5         5.5         3.4         6.5         3.4         6.5         1.5         3.4         6.5         3.4         6.5         1.5         1.6         1.5         1.88         20.9         86         5         21.8         8.0         85         3.2         6.5         3.5         3.4         6.5         1.5         1.6         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44         1.84         1.7         1.44		0.832	1.30	11.93	2.00	10.2	8.88	2054	10.8	93	39	Ω	2079	8.7	88	24	О
1.38         1.30         15.74         -8.30         17.0         1.91         2058         13.1         81         68         D         2026         8.5         75         56           0.548         5.75         39.90         13.80         1.51         137         1868         20.9         96         86         2158         8.0         85         33           3.44         0.245         0.043         6.53         1.84         3.0         1.84         7.7         49         7.4         1.84         7.7         49         7.7         2.08         8.0		0.630	1.20	12.90	-4.00	8.33	18.9	1993	6.3	71	<b>!~</b>		2080	3.1	γ S	ς Ω	
0.544         5.75         39.90         13.80         1.51         137         1868         20.9         86         2158         8.0         85         33         44           0.344         0.736         7.34         37.0         76.3         6.53         1872         4.5         26         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         3.4         6         5         6         5         0         216         4.4         1.4         7.4 <td< td=""><td>O</td><td>1.387</td><td>1.30</td><td>15.74</td><td>-8.30</td><td>17.0</td><td>1.91</td><td>2058</td><td>13.1</td><td>81</td><td>89</td><td>О</td><td>2026</td><td>8.5</td><td>72</td><td>56</td><td>Ω</td></td<>	O	1.387	1.30	15.74	-8.30	17.0	1.91	2058	13.1	81	89	О	2026	8.5	72	56	Ω
0.354         0.0736         79.34         37.00         76.3         6.53         1872         4.5         26         <5         D         2169         3.4         <5            3.745         4.01         50.44         1.48         3.01         444         18.4         7.4         7         1         9.9         3.7         <-5	J0452 - 1759	0.548	5.75	39.90	13.80	1.51	137	1868	20.9	66	98		2158	8.0	82	33	
3.745         40.1         50.94         -39.60         1.48         3.01         444         184         74 <td>10525 + 11115</td> <td>0.354</td> <td>0.0736</td> <td>79.34</td> <td>37.00</td> <td>76.3</td> <td>6.53</td> <td>1872</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>26</td> <td>5</td> <td>Ω</td> <td>2169</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>\ \ \</td> <td>\ \</td> <td></td>	10525 + 11115	0.354	0.0736	79.34	37.00	76.3	6.53	1872	4.5	26	5	Ω	2169	3.4	\ \ \	\ \	
0.334         59.4         77.71         8.70         0.253         4090         2118         7.7         49         37         2188         9.3         57         42           0.334         59.4         96.91         69.00         0.089         6240         2029         4.1         7         7         1989         3.0         6.5         5.0         3.78         7.28         1993         11.2         98         71         2079         5.9         6.7         11.2         98         71         1989         3.0         6.5         11.1         98         71         2079         5.9         6.7         11.2         98         71         2079         5.9         6.7         11.2         98         71         14         2079         5.9         6.7         11.2         98         7.1         4.6         8.7         11.2         11.2         11.2         14.2 <td>0</td> <td>3.745</td> <td>40.1</td> <td>50.94</td> <td>-39.60</td> <td>1.48</td> <td>3.01</td> <td>444</td> <td>18.4</td> <td>74</td> <td>74</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td></td>	0	3.745	40.1	50.94	-39.60	1.48	3.01	444	18.4	74	74						
0.334         59.4         96.91         69.00         0.089         6240         2029         4.1         7         1989         3.0         65.6         65.7         11.2         98         71         1989         3.0         65.8         67         11.2         98         71         1989         6.8         6.8         46.5         3.7         14.6         1934         6.8         46.3         5.7         14.6         1934         6.8         46.3         5.7         14.6         1934         6.8         46.3         5.0         9.9         7.1         2079         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.9         7.0         9.0         7.0         9.0         7.0         9.0         7.0         9.0         7.0         9.0         7.0         9.0 </td <td>6</td> <td>0.245</td> <td>15.4</td> <td>77.71</td> <td>8.70</td> <td>0.253</td> <td>4090</td> <td>2118</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>49</td> <td>37</td> <td></td> <td>2188</td> <td>9.3</td> <td>22</td> <td>42</td> <td></td>	6	0.245	15.4	77.71	8.70	0.253	4090	2118	7.7	49	37		2188	9.3	22	42	
0.476         2.00         84.19         69.50         3.78         72.8         1993         11.2         98         71         2079         5.8         67         11.2           1.244         7.12         34.42         46.53         2.77         146         1934         6.8         46         35         2079         9.9         86         70           0.384         75.0         19.0         61.29         51.00         0.426         564         2061         7.0         54         21         4         2152         4.3         19         7         4.9         4         2152         209         4.9         7         19         8         7         19         8         7         19         20         9.9         4.0         20         9         7         19         8         7         19         9         7         19         8         7         19         8         7         1	J0614 + 2229	0.334	59.4	96.91	69.00	0.089	6240	2029	4.1	17	7		1989	3.0	\ N	\ \ \ \	
1.244         7.12         34.42         46.53         2.77         14.6         1934         6.8         46         35         2079         9.9         86         70           0.384         55.0         13.98         23.50         0.111         3810         2128         5.7         14         215         4.3         19         86         70           0.510         19.0         61.29         51.00         0.426         564         2061         7.0         54         21         4.9         4.6         21         209         4.6         24         5         4.9         4.6         21         209         4.6         20         6.0         4.6         21         204         4.9         4.1         4         4.6         21         20         9.8         4.7         4.7         4.9         4.7         4.8         4.7         4.9         4.1         4         4.6         2.1         4.7         4.7         4.8         4.7         4.9         4.1         4         4.6         4.7         4.7         4.0         4.8         4.7         4.8         4.7         4.7         4.8         4.7         4.7         4.8         4.7         4.7<	ι.	0.476	2.00	84.19	69.50	3.78	72.8	1993	11.2	86	71		2079	5. 8.	29	11	
0.384         55.0         13.98         23.50         0.111         3810         2128         5.7         27         14         215         4.3         19         8           0.510         19.0         61.29         51.00         0.426         564         2061         7.0         54         21         4         219         4.6         4	₹	1.244	7.12	34.42	46.53	2.77	14.6	1934	8.9	46	35		2079	6.6	98	20	О
0.510         19.0         61.29         51.00         0.426         564         2061         7.0         54         21         2099         4.6         24         5           0.374         1.62         160.80         12.10         3.68         121         2194         4.9         41         4         2188         8.9         93         47           0.0562         16.8         12.10         3.68         12.11         2079         3.2         6         5         2067         5.0         38         47           0.0582         1.62         63.33         55.00         6.68         20.1         2079         3.2         6         5         2067         5.0         38         5           0.0545         0.0189         11.3         6.460             2063         8.4         97         35           1.176         1.60         94.16         144.00         0.110         4.55         1964         3.9         7         6         5         2090         9.5         64           1.116         1.60         9.41         4.5         1.64         3.9         7         6         1.6 <td>4</td> <td>0.384</td> <td>55.0</td> <td>13.98</td> <td>23.50</td> <td>0.111</td> <td>3810</td> <td>2128</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>27</td> <td>14</td> <td></td> <td>2152</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>19</td> <td><sub>∞</sub></td> <td></td>	4	0.384	55.0	13.98	23.50	0.111	3810	2128	5.7	27	14		2152	4.3	19	<sub>∞</sub>	
0.374         1.62         160.80         12.10         3.68         121         2194         4.9         41         4         4         2188         8.9         93         47           0.166         16.8         73.78         149.95         0.157         14300         1741         24.2         100         99         1767         21.6         100         99           0.682         1.62         63.33         55.00         6.68         20.1         2079         32.6         6.5         2067         5.06         38         6.5           1.238         2.11         40.94         -1.20         9.32         4.38         32.7         6.6         -2         D         D         2067         3.8         6.5           1.238         2.11         40.94         6.70         4.58         10.46             11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61         3.9         6         6.5         11.61	9	0.510	19.0	61.29	51.00	0.426	564	2061	7.0	54	21		2099	4.6	24	ಬ	
0.166         16.8         73.78         149.95         0.157         14300         1741         24.2         100         99         1767         21.6         100         99           0.682         1.62         63.33         55.00         6.68         20.1         2079         3.2         6         5         2067         5.0         38         55           1.238         2.11         40.94         -1.20         9.32         4.38         327         36.0         98         —         D         2067         5.0         38         55           0.545         0.0189         113.40         57.70         4578         0.460         —         —         —         2161         3.9         6         5         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         5         5         6         4         5         5         6         5         5         6         4         5         5         6         4         5         6 </td <td>2</td> <td>0.374</td> <td>1.62</td> <td>160.80</td> <td>12.10</td> <td>3.68</td> <td>121</td> <td>2194</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>41</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>2188</td> <td>8.9</td> <td>93</td> <td>47</td> <td></td>	2	0.374	1.62	160.80	12.10	3.68	121	2194	4.9	41	4		2188	8.9	93	47	
0.682         1.62         63.33         55.00         6.68         20.1         2079         3.2         6         <5         5         0.66         5         36         6         <5         5         0.67         5.0         38         <5           1.238         2.11         40.94         -1.20         9.32         4.38         327         36.0         98         —         D         2063         8.4         97         35           0.545         0.0189         113.40         57.70         4578         0.460         —         —         —         2161         3.9         6         5           1.273         6.80         12.86         25.32         2.97         13.0         1084         3.9         7         <5	2	0.166	16.8	73.78	149.95	0.157	14300	1741	24.2	100	66		1767	21.6	100	66	
1.238         2.11         40.94         -1.20         9.32         4.38         327         36.0         98         —         D         2063         8.4         97         35           0.545         0.0189         113.40         57.70         4578         0.460         —         —         —         —         2161         3.9         6         5           1.273         6.80         12.86         25.32         2.97         13.0         1036         50.6         94         93         D         2026         11.9         9         64           1.116         1.60         94.16         144.00         0.110         4.55         1964         3.9         7         <5	00	0.682	1.62	63.33	55.00	89.9	20.1	2079	3.2	9	\ 5		2067	5.0	38	\ \5	О
0.545         0.0189         113.40         57.70         4578         0.460         —         —         —         —         2161         3.9         6         5           1.273         6.80         12.86         25.32         2.97         13.0         1036         50.6         94         93         D         2026         11.9         92         64           1.116         1.60         94.16         144.00         0.110         4.55         1964         3.9         7         <5	J0820 - 1350	1.238	2.11	40.94	-1.20	9.32	4.38	327	36.0	86		О	2063	8.4	26	35	О
1.273         6.80         12.86         25.32         2.97         13.0         1036         50.6         94         93         D         2026         11.9         92         64           1.116         1.60         94.16         144.00         0.110         4.55         1964         3.9         7         <5	4	0.545	0.0189	113.40	57.70	4578	0.460						2161	3.9	9	\ \ \	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	1.273	6.80	12.86	25.32	2.97	13.0	1036	50.6	94	93	О	2026	11.9	92	64	О
0.988         0.149         179.70         153.00         105         0.609         —         —         —         —         2057         3.1         <5           0.346         24.9         196.43         291.00         0.22         2370         2247         3.1         <5	33	1.116	1.60	94.16	144.00	0.110	4.55	1964	3.9	7	\ 5	* \(\triangle	2090	3.8	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \5	*
0.346         24.9         196.43         291.00         0.22         2370         2247         3.1         <5         <5         5         3454         3.1         <5           0.401         0.669         15.88         -31.00         9.50         40.8         2050         3.8         15         <5	9	0.988	0.149	179.70	153.00	105	0.609						2057	3.1	N N	۸ 5	
0.401         0.669         15.88         -31.00         9.50         40.8         2050         3.8         15         <5	7	0.346	24.9	196.43	291.00	0.22	2370	2247	3.1	\ S	\ 5		3454	3.1	\ S	\ 5	
0.430     13.7     27.30     29.20     0.497     679     2202     8.5     93     50     2222     7.7     83       0.570     0.0453     12.50     -7.00     200     0.963     1961     9.8     88     67     D     2191     3.7     6       0.253     0.230     2.97     -0.66     17.5     56.0     1063     177.2     97     96     2215     137.3     99	6	0.401	0.669	15.88	-31.00	9.50	40.8	2050	3.8	15	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\circ}$		2229	3.4	9	N N	
0.570 0.0453 12.50 -7.00 200 0.963 1961 9.8 88 67 D 2191 3.7 6 0.253 0.230 2.97 -0.66 17.5 56.0 1063 177.2 97 96 2215 137.3 99	∞	0.430	13.7	27.30	29.20	0.497	629	2202	8.5	93	20		2222	7.7	83	44	
0.230  2.97  -0.66  17.5  56.0  1063  177.2  97  96  2215  137.3  99	J0944-1354	0.570	0.0453	12.50	-7.00	200	0.963	1961	8.6	88	29	О	2191	3.7	9	\ 5	
	55	0.253	0.230	2.97	-0.66	17.5	56.0	1063	177.2	26	96		2215	137.3	66	86	

Table 2—Continued

f <sub>pol</sub> (%)	10	*O 6	13 D	\ \ \	20	Q 69	\ \ \ \	\ rv	\ \ 5		<5 D*	\ 5	ì	\ \ 2	\ \ 52	<5 D*	2	86	4	<5 D*	<5 D*	<5 D*	\ 70	99 D	\ \ \	<5 D*	£1 ₩
010 MHZ vg f5σ (%)	11	23	31	30	80	85	11	9	13	28	\$	Ω	ì	ر ا	\ \ \	34	30	100	09	<sub>∞</sub>	44	ъ	, S	100	\ \ \	7	00
S/Navg	4.0	4.7	4.8	4.6	21.2	12.4	3.7	3.5	4.0	4.6	3.6	3.1	Ċ	3.6	2.7	4.7	4.3	14.1	6.2	3.4	5.3	3.2	2.6	90.5	3.0	3.7	1
Z o	1973	2125	2040	1905	401	860	2101	1963	2047	2000	998	2266	1	1193	2289	2181	2146	2478	4208	2104	2165	1520	2482	2154	2054	2101	0606
		*		* \( \text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex		О				*			í	*		*											*
(%)		11		IJ	48	90	۸ ت		\ 5	15		\ \ \	1	22	۸ ر	\ 50	\ 52	35	\ C	\ 5			$\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$		\ 5	\ 52	r.
$\frac{333 \text{ MHz}}{\text{vg}}$ f5 $\sigma$ (%)		53		34	61	94	37		23	44		\ 50	i	20	۸ ت	34	\ \ 5	84	\ \r	\ 5			, S		\ 5	\ 5	CO
$\frac{333}{\text{S/N}_{\text{avg}}}$		6.5		5.0	16.4	33.2	5.0		3.5	9.9		3.5	ć	6.3	3.2	4.7	3.4	7.1	3.7	2.8					3.4	3.2	1
$_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{N}}$		1528		2090	725	1033	2022		2004	2019		2124	1	828	2155	2036	2155	2235	2128	2046			2482		1638	2076	1616
$\frac{\dot{E}_{31}}{(\mathrm{erg}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	1.08	0.597	1.40	37.4	8.79	1.43	60.9	7.43	78.7	0.745	2.66	569	0	5.33	2320	1.77	36.5	237	426	277	115	0.134	7510	121	37.4	7.42	1 40
$\tau_6 \text{ (yr)}$	129	79.1	23.2	1.88	5.04	22.8	27.0	18.7	2.80	38.4	19.5	2.83		2.01	0.324	132	15.8	4.00	0.197	2.82	5.09	84.2	0.59	3.45	3.23	4.57	1 00
$\frac{\mathrm{RM}}{(\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{m}^2})}$	50.00	-8.00	-16.00	-37.00	3.97	-0.12	8.00	-20 (NA)	-41.00	170.00	-15.00	-34.00		4.00	-15.00	-49.00	-41.90	-7.00	71.50	15.00	-16.00	-7.00	10.00	15.80	-60.00	-15.00	01 70
$\frac{\mathrm{DM}}{(\frac{\mathrm{pc}}{\mathrm{cc}})}$	92.70	50.75	33.78	40.53	4.85	9.26	29.63	13.31	42.00	118.00	60.49	48.70	0	49.00	55.98	73.05	144.50	56.10	170.93	53.76	140.80	145.00	193.23	35.76	128.28	166.97	110.01
$\dot{P}_{-15}$ (s s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0820	0.230	0.945	7.95	3.73	0.960	0.363	0.566	3.01	0.610	0.889	1.60	(	19.1	14.1	0.0622	0.330	1.02	69.6	1.59	1.02	0.443	3.19	1.78	3.53	4.71	0880
Period (s)	0.670	1.150	1.386	0.943	1.187	1.382	0.617	0.670	0.532	1.478	1.096	0.286	1	2.417	0.288	0.518	0.329	0.257	0.864	0.283	0.327	2.355	0.118	0.387	0.719	1.358	1 011
Jname	J0959-4809	J1034-3224	J1041-1942	J1116-4122	J1136+1551	J1239 + 2453	J1257-1027	J1321+8323	J1328-4357	J1328-4921	J1418-3921	J1507-4352		J1527-3931	J1549-4848	J1555-3134	J1557-4258	J1559-4438	J1602-5100	J1603-2531	J1604-4909	J1625-4048	J1637-4553	J1645-0317	J1648-3256	J1700-3312	11709 9941
	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	;	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	20	51	52	53	7

Table 2—Continued

			О	*				О	<u>*</u>	Ω		О	О	*							*	*						
$f_{\rm pol} (\%)$	35	\ \.	7	\ \U	N N	\ ru	95	\ 5	\ \.	N N	, N	38	20	6	25	, ro	\ \ \	28	95	, S	\ N	\ 50	\ 50	\ 52	\ 5		\ \J	48
$f_{5\sigma}$ (%)	92	\ 5	26	11	\ 5	\ ru	100	\ 5	\ \.	44	, N	73	22	40	41	7	\ \.	06	26	, S	17	<sub>∞</sub>	78	\ 5	\ 50		\ 5	66
$ m S/N_{avg}$	8.2	3.5	5.7	4.1	3.2	2.8	29.0	3.8	2.9	5.1	3.1	9.2	4.4	5.4	9.3	3.8	3.4	10.9	70.3	3.1	3.8	3.7	8.9	3.5	3.3		3.0	12.1
$_{\rm q}^{\rm N}$	2059	2876	2125	2108	2286	2094	2157	2099	1959	2146	2145	2137	2035	2108	2121	2113	2095	1975	2128	2303	1606	2106	2200	1550	2114		2173	2090
			О					О		О			О	*		*0					*				*			
$_{\rm pol}^{\rm fpol}$	73	\ 5	ಬ	\ N	۸ ت	\ ru	80	7		20		24	30	\ 5	\ \ \ 22	\ ru	\ N	88	96		Λ rυ	22	\ 50	\ 5	\ 52	6	\ ro	09
$f_{5\sigma}$ (%)	88	\ 70	54	∞	\ 50	Λ rυ	86	45		81		81	33	10	11	16	, S	92	100		18	18	11	\ 5	\ 50	33	\ \C	100
$S/N_{avg}$	15.5	3.3	5.3	4.2	3.2	3.0	17.8	5.2		11.6		7.3	5.1	4.0	3.8	4.2	3.4	14.9	48.4		4.0	4.2	4.0	3.3	3.6	5.2	3.0	18.2
$_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$	1909	2876	2039	2107	2286	2030	2135	2009		2105		2090	1709	2088	2122	2074	2100	1938	2130		2116	2081	2195	2112	1999	2116	2129	2091
$\dot{E}_{31}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	89.4	341000	12.3	23.5	3250	2.01	1130	0.255	1540	65.0	924	11.1	1.05	56.7	849	78.2	0.470	12.5	180	3980	16.7	10.3	25.9	7.28	1.26	139	40.3	117
$\tau_6 \\ (\mathrm{yr})$	1.64	0.017	13.2	11.7	0.345	18.6	0.080	323	0.355	5.47	0.649	8.77	14.2	4.20	0.546	5.15	284	9.11	1.10	0.287	4.41	5.21	90.1	11.2	141	3.00	10.5	1.50
$\frac{\rm RM}{(\frac{\rm rad}{\rm m^2})}$	-1.30	0.70	21.00	90.00	104.00	0.0(NA)	-429.10	-12.00	-335.00	38.00	-236.00	64.40	124.00	204.00	101.00	67.00	173.00	19.00	96.00	16.00	32.00	-62.00	166.00	77.00	90.00	66.00	102.90	69.20
$\frac{\mathrm{DM}}{\mathrm{cc}}$	24.89	75.69	42.64	126.06	99.50	147.00	123.33	41.14	153.50	73.51	138.56	48.67	74.90	158.50	88.37	99.36	189.35	20.40	50.37	179.45	120.37	125.61	112.38	151.27	128.12	94.30	102.85	84.44
$\dot{P}_{-15}$ (s s <sup>-1</sup> )	6.31	93.0	0.746	0.646	10.9	1.10	164	0.0427	15.0	1.21	7.88	1.45	2.27	1.93	10.7	1.21	0.0381	1.29	8.13	12.9	3.31	3.29	0.0288	1.24	0.0664	2.05	0.580	6.33
Period (s)	0.653	0.102	0.620	0.477	0.236	1.293	0.829	0.871	0.337	0.419	0.322	0.803	2.043	0.512	0.367	0.394	0.684	0.742	0.562	0.234	0.921	1.081	0.163	0.876	0.592	0.387	0.384	0.598
Jname	J1709-1640	J1709-4429	J1720-2933	J1722-3207	J1722-3712	J1727-2739	J1731-4744	J1733-2228	J1733-3716	J1735-0724	J1739-2903	J1740 + 1311	J1741-0840	J1741-3927	J1745-3040	J1748-1300	J1750-3503	J1751-4657	J1752-2806	J1757-2421	J1801-0357	J1801-2920	J1807-0847	J1808-0813	J1816-2650	J1817-3618	J1817-3837	J1820-0427
	56	22	28	59	09	61	62	63	64	65	99	29	89	69	20	71	72	73	74	75	92	22	28	79	80	81	82	83
	Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ N <sub>p</sub> S/N <sub>avg</sub> $t_{5\sigma}$ $t_{\rm pol}$ N <sub>p</sub> S/N <sub>avg</sub> $t_{5\sigma}$ (%) (%) (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	Jname Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ N <sub>p</sub> $S/N_{\rm avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{\rm pol}$ N <sub>p</sub> $S/N_{\rm avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $(\%)$	Juame Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ N <sub>p</sub> $S/N_{\rm avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{pol}$ N <sub>p</sub> $S/N_{\rm avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $g_{5\sigma}$ $g_$	Juame Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ (yr) (erg s <sup>-1</sup> ) $r_6$ $r_{31}$ (%) $r_{31}$ (%) $r_{32}$ $r$	Jname Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ $\dot{E}_{31$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ $f_{p$	Jname Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ $N_p$ $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{pol}$ $N_p$ $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{pol}$ $S_p$	Jname Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ $(yr)$ $(erg s^{-1})$ $(sg s^{-1})$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{5\sigma}$ $f_{pol}$ $f$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ $f_{p$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{pol}$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_{6}$ $\dot{r}_{31}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{50}$ $f_{50}$ Np $S/N_{avg}$ $f_{50}$ <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td>Jname         Period         <math>\dot{P}_{-15}</math>         DM         RM         <math>\tau_6</math> <math>\dot{E}_{31}</math> <math>N_{\text{bag}}</math> <math>f_{\text{pol}}</math> <math>f_{\text{pol}}</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td>  Juanue   Period   <math>P_{-1,1}</math>   <math>P_{-1,1}</math></td> <td>  Juname   Period   <math>\dot{P}_{-15}</math>   DM   RM   <math>\tau_{0}</math>   <math>\dot{E}_{21}</math>   Np   <math>c_{1}</math>   <math>c_{1}</math>   Np   <math>c_{1}</math>   Np   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>   Np   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>  </td> <td>  Junume   Period   <math>\dot{P}_{-15}</math>   DM   RM   <math>\tau_{0}</math>   <math>\dot{E}_{21}</math>   Np   <math>c_{1}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>   Np   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>   Np   <math>c_{2}</math>   <math>c_{2}</math>  </td> <td>  Junuale</td> <td>  Second   Pariod   Pariod  </td>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jname         Period $\dot{P}_{-15}$ DM         RM $\tau_6$ $\dot{E}_{31}$ $N_{\text{bag}}$ $f_{\text{pol}}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Juanue   Period   $P_{-1,1}$   $P_{-1,1}$	Juname   Period   $\dot{P}_{-15}$   DM   RM   $\tau_{0}$   $\dot{E}_{21}$   Np   $c_{1}$   $c_{1}$   Np   $c_{1}$   Np   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$   Np   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$	Junume   Period   $\dot{P}_{-15}$   DM   RM   $\tau_{0}$   $\dot{E}_{21}$   Np   $c_{1}$   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$   Np   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$   Np   $c_{2}$   $c_{2}$	Junuale	Second   Pariod   Pariod

Table 2—Continued

	D		О	О О	ΩΩ	* Q
f <sub>p ol</sub> (%)	15	^	$\mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{\Gamma U}$	^	12 8	$\begin{smallmatrix} \wedge & \wedge & \wedge & \wedge & \times \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 4 \end{smallmatrix}$
618 MHz vg f <sub>5</sub> $\sigma$ (%)	36	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6 13 7 5 7 16	18 70 70	28 60 13 100 <5	6 10 6 <5 100
$\frac{618}{S/N_{avg}}$	4.7	4.2 3.6 4.3 2.9 3.1	3.6 3.6 3.3 4.2	4.4 2.8 5.3 3.3 6.4	4.7 5.7 4.2 21.9 3.1	3.6 3.9 3.5 3.7 24.6
Z <sub>o</sub>	1262 2043	2057 2144 2244 2167 2171	2131 1925 2087 2223 2070	2113 2192 2068 1900 2095	1049 2061 1527 2099 2181	2141 2100 2152 2053 1978
	О		Ω	О	ΩΩ	О О
f <sub>pol</sub> (%)	7 \	44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6 A A A A	29 26 82 55 75	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$ $\vdash$ $\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$ $\mid$
$\frac{MHz}{f_5\sigma}$ (%)	27 <5	857   274   27	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\circ} \stackrel{\wedge}{\circ} \stackrel{\wedge}{\circ} \stackrel{\wedge}{\circ} \stackrel{+}{\circ} \stackrel{+}{\circ} $	\$\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	42 73 18 100 <5	64 28
$\frac{333 \text{ MHz}}{\text{S/Navg}}$ $(\%)$	4.5	8.8 9.2 6.5 7.5 8.8	3.2 3.8 3.5 4.9 4.1	3.7 2.9 4.3 4.0	5.7 6.9 4.3 23.3 3.3	6.5   4.1
Z D	2059 2128	2058 2263 2254 —- 3554	2134 1871 1962 2121 2072	2180 2171 2066 2062 1976	2012 2022 1527 2069 2224	2073 1656 — 2015
$\dot{E}_{31}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.812 \\ 10.2$	504 2.10 11.6 845 17800	77.6 0.322 0.451 140 956	72.3 2.11 59.7 9.10 3.52	1.14 457 1.39 28.5 504e	3850 14.7 0.563 6.39 2.23
$\tau_6 $ (yr)	21.9 10.6	1.54 52.6 63.9 0.810 0.128	5.54 57.3 1.79 3.18 0.183	1.99 335 0.497 60.8 47.4	17.2 1.70 8.26 3.22 1.70	0.428 2.63 43.1 14.5 15.7
$\frac{\mathrm{RM}}{(\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{m}^2})}$	124.00 153.00	95.00 145.00 100.00 0.0(NA) 42.00	53.00 326.00 0.0(NA) 109.00 117.00	580.00 0.0(NA) -35.00 -21.00	29.00 540.00 -127.00 3.98 100.00	233.00 120.00 47.00 160.00 -16.99
$\frac{pc}{cc}$	121.20 135.87	50.24 66.78 79.31 113.70 132.68	49.11 195.98 101.50 41.50 141.98	159.53 134.47 148.17 56.81 37.99	72.68 149.98 82.93 89.39 60.95	94.54 90.31 191.90 153.85 12.44
$\dot{P}_{-15}$ (s s <sup>-1</sup> )	1.35	2.93 0.227 0.0719 5.92 20.6	1.09 0.509 7.79 1.87 50.17	5.25 0.0141 40.62 0.0877 0.205	1.64 2.64 4.47 4.07 2.52	7.20 7.67 0.589 0.896 1.35
Period (s)	1.874 0.759	0.284 0.752 0.290 0.302 0.165	0.381 1.839 0.880 0.375 0.597	0.659 0.297 1.451 0.336 0.612	1.781 0.283 2.330 0.825 0.270	0.194 1.272 1.603 0.821 1.337
Jname	J1822-2256 J1823-0154	J1823-3106 J1823+0550 J1834-0426 J1835-1106	J1841+0912 J1842-0359 J1843-0000 J1844+1454 J1847-0402	J1848-0123 J1848-1414 J1849-0636 J1852-2610 J1900-2600	J1901-0906 J1909+1102 J1910+0358 J1913-0440 J1916+0951	J1917+1353 J1919+0021 J1919+0134 J1921+1948 J1921+2153
	84	88 88 89 90	91 92 94 95	96 97 98 99 100	101 102 103 104 105	106 107 108 109 110

Table 2—Continued

				О	*	О	Ω					О		
	$_{\rm lool}^{\rm (\%)}$	91	18	24	\ 5	32	\ 5	69	\ 5			\ 5	24	ಬ
$618~\mathrm{MHz}$	$f_{5\sigma} \\ (\%)$	96	38	29	\ 5	89	<sub>∞</sub>	22	43			7	53	11
618	$ m S/N_{avg}$	14.8	5.3	6.2	3.7	7.4	3.7	21.0	5.9			3.7	7.0	3.9
	$_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{N}}$	2222	2224	2171	2083	2069	1543	1822	239			2091	2093	2126
		О			*	Ω		Ω		О		О		О
	$_{\rm pol}^{\rm fool}$	95	ಬ	21	9	75		81	06	56	92	56	80	24
333  MHz	$f_{5\sigma} \\ (\%)$	26	26	28	23	93		98	26	79	93	61	85	42
333	$ m S/N_{avg}$	23.0	4.3	7.2	4.6	15.1		62.1	69.1	9.6	18.9	6.3	29.8	6.3
	$_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{N}}$	2596	2001	5431	2002	2008		1174	325	1608	2408	1727	1177	2043
	$\dot{E}_{31}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	393	57.7	1.11	0.927	1.57	0.488	5.73	0.00318	2.92	10.4	1.37	4.12	3.26
	$ au_6$ (yr)	3.10	89.9	290	200	16.7	98.9	2.84	272	8.63	49.3	21.9	5.62	13.7
	$\frac{\rm RM}{(\frac{\rm rad}{\rm m^2})}$	-6.87	-33.50	-28.00	-62.00	-1.00	-100.00	-10.00	-2.00	-75.50	7.00	-37.00	16.00	-5.00
	$\mathop{\rm DM}_{(\frac{\rm pc}{\rm cc})}$	3.18	50.04	16.22	32.39	35.80	39.84	11.46	3.35	49.64	17.28	20.91	8.46	22.50
	$\dot{P}_{-15}$ (s s <sup>-1</sup> )	1.16	0.956	0.0241	0.0460	1.47	0.182	11.0	0.496	2.89	0.112	1.05	4.63	1.36
	Period (s)	0.226	0.402	0.440	0.580	1.546	1.138	1.961	8.509	1.575	0.349	1.444	1.643	1.181
	Jname	J1932 + 1059	J1941-2602	J1946 + 1805	J2006-0807	J2046-0421	J2046 + 1540	J2048-1616	J2144-3933	J2305 + 3100	J2313+4253	J2317 + 2149	J2330-2005	J2346-0609
		111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123

Table 3. The table gives the average width and polarization properties. Pulsars with superscript  $^{\star}$  are highly scattered pulsars; superscript  $^{\dagger}$  are pulsars with interpulses where we have only reported the main pulse emission.

(°)
49.6
1.7 31.7 II 39.7 II
$12.7 \pm 0.1$ $15.0 \pm 0.3$ $17.3 \pm 0.5$ $13.8 \pm 0.4$
21.9
35.8
$6 \pm 0.7$ $23.5 \pm 0.4$ $3 \pm 0.1$ $22.6 \pm 0.06$
H .
$22.2 \; \pm$
24.9 ±
64.9 #
$3.7 \pm 0.9$ $31.3 \pm 0.0$ $10.0 \pm 0.5$ $24.8 \pm 0.4$
216.
46.7 ±
$0 \pm 1.7$ $6.2 \pm 0.3$
Н Н
146+
- ± - 32.9 ± 0.2
H;
20.5 ±
1
$6.4 \pm 0.2$ $25.5 \pm 0.5$
. 5.9 . 8.8 8.8
+ +
$5 \pm 0.8$ $76.1 \pm 0.2$
+
10.0 #
14.2.2.4.1 14.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.
$8.2 \pm 0.5$ $18.9 \pm 0.4$
+1
$19.8 \pm 1.9$ $16.0 \pm 0.4$
5 17.9 土
$- \pm \pm -$ 17.0 ± 0.9 15.1 ± 0.8
$14.6 \pm 0.3$ $8.2 \pm 0.1$

Table 3—Continued

$W_{50}$	$7.1 \pm 0.8$ $19.6 \pm 0.4$ $5.6 \pm 0.7$ $10.0 \pm 0.9$	$7.6 \pm 0.3$ $8.8 \pm 0.8$ $6.2 \pm 0.7$ $12.2 \pm 0.1$ $-\pm -$	$4.8 \pm 0.6$ $6.0 \pm 0.3$ $5.2 \pm 0.2$ $13.2 \pm 0.2$ $21.8 \pm 0.9$	$6.2 \pm 0.3$ $31.9 \pm 2.2$ $17.3 \pm 0.4$ $10.9 \pm 0.5$ $9.4 \pm 0.9$	$30.5 \pm 0.9$ $3.0 \pm 0.3$ $24.5 \pm 0.3$ $53.2 \pm 0.7$ $4.6 \pm 0.5$	$9.6 \pm 0.7$ $14.8 \pm 0.3$ $14.0 \pm 0.1$ $7.2 \pm 0.4$ $4.8 \pm 0.6$	$9.8 \pm 0.6$ $34.0 \pm 0.3$ $7.4 \pm 0.3$ $4.7 \pm 0.4$ $22.3 \pm 1.0$	$3.4 \pm 0.2$ $11.3 \pm 0.2$ $8.6 \pm 1.4$ $11.2 \pm 0.3$ $28.5 \pm 0.4$	$- \pm -$ 7.1 $\pm 0.6$
$W_{10}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \pm - \\ 23.9 \pm 0.4 \\ 12.1 \pm 0.7 \\ 18.6 \pm 0.9 \end{array}$	$13.5 \pm 0.3 \\ - \pm - \\ 14.6 \pm 0.7 \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm -$	$8.0 \pm 0.6$ $-\pm -$ $-\pm -$ $15.8 \pm 0.2$ $-\pm -$	$12.3 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm -$ $23.1 \pm 0.4$ $17.0 \pm 0.5$ $17.6 \pm 1.0$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.3 ± 0.2 - ± - 29.2 ± 1.4 - ± - - ± - - ± -	 #  #  
$\frac{\mathrm{MHz}}{W_{5\sigma}}$	$12.0 \pm 0.8  25.8 \pm 0.4  21.0 \pm 0.7  52.0 \pm 0.9$	$21.4 \pm 0.3$ $15.0 \pm 0.8$ $20.3 \pm 0.7$ $21.3 \pm 0.1$ $16.4 \pm 1.9$	$23.3 \pm 0.6$ $8.1 \pm 0.3$ $13.4 \pm 0.2$ $17.3 \pm 0.2$ $44.7 \pm 0.9$	$16.9 \pm 0.3$ $53.5 \pm 2.2$ $24.1 \pm 0.4$ $17.4 \pm 0.5$ $18.0 \pm 1.0$	$35.2 \pm 0.9$ $17.7 \pm 0.3$ $35.8 \pm 0.3$ $62.7 \pm 0.7$ $21.3 \pm 0.5$	18.9 ± 0.7 26.7 ± 0.3 18.0 ± 0.1 22.3 ± 0.4 35.9 ± 0.6	21.1 ± 0.6 40.6 ± 0.3 12.9 ± 0.3 19.2 ± 0.4 29.5 ± 1.0	$13.2 \pm 0.2$ $21.4 \pm 0.2$ $35.1 \pm 1.4$ $16.0 \pm 0.3$ $30.5 \pm 0.4$	$-\pm -$ 10.1 $\pm 0.6$
618 N	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm - \\ 3.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 18.9 \pm 0.6 \\ 9.0 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$12.8 \pm 0.2$ $4.8 \pm 1.0$ $8.0 \pm 0.4$ $10.5 \pm 1.0$ $19.0 \pm 7.3$	$3.0 \pm 0.0$ $11.4 \pm 4.4$ $15.7 \pm 0.7$ $5.5 \pm 0.1$ $8.7 \pm 1.2$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$ $24.7 \pm 1.8$ $11.1 \pm 0.5$ $7.8 \pm 0.5$ $10.0 \pm 1.2$	$24.0 \pm 6.8$ $4.5 \pm 0.1$ $13.1 \pm 0.9$ $24.3 \pm 4.2$ $5.6 \pm 0.4$	5.9 ± 0.2 5.7 ± 0.3 3.1 ± 0.3 7.3 ± 0.3	$5.3 \pm 0.8$ $17.2 \pm 2.4$ $11.4 \pm 0.1$ $3.0 \pm 0.0$ $- \pm -$	$22.3 \pm 1.2$ $11.8 \pm 1.3$ $3.9 \pm 0.3$ $11.1 \pm 0.8$ $18.5 \pm 2.8$	$-\pm -$ 7.9 $\pm 1.8$
Λ%	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 1.0 \pm 0.6\\ -17.4 \pm 0.9\\ -8.6 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$5.4 \pm 0.3$ $0.3 \pm 1.6$ $-1.7 \pm 0.4$ $5.9 \pm 1.6$ $3.0 \pm 10.0$	$-0.4 \pm 0.0$ $1.4 \pm 7.3$ $-15.2 \pm 0.8$ $-2.8 \pm 0.1$ $1.5 \pm 2.0$	$-0.2 \pm 0.2$ $-23.7 \pm 2.0$ $11.0 \pm 0.6$ $-3.9 \pm 0.7$ $9.6 \pm 1.3$	$-6.8 \pm 9.8$ $3.7 \pm 0.1$ $6.0 \pm 1.4$ $-20.0 \pm 5.0$ $-0.5 \pm 0.5$	4.5 ± 0.2 -3.2 ± 0.5 -0.8 ± 0.4 -4.8 ± 0.5	$3.8 \pm 1.0$ $-3.2 \pm 4.1$ $7.7 \pm 0.2$ $-1.5 \pm 0.0$ $-1.5 \pm -1$	$-4.2 \pm 2.6$ $-3.5 \pm 1.9$ $-0.7 \pm 0.6$ $9.9 \pm 1.0$ $10.1 \pm 3.8$	$-\pm$
7%	$\begin{array}{c} - \pm - \\ 15.7 \pm 0.6 \\ 40.5 \pm 0.9 \\ 53.1 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$17.5 \pm 0.4$ $38.1 \pm 1.5$ $13.5 \pm 0.5$ $32.7 \pm 1.4$ $69.6 \pm 9.7$	$15.6 \pm 0.0$ $29.5 \pm 6.1$ $40.4 \pm 0.8$ $52.3 \pm 0.3$ $18.7 \pm 1.6$	$12.8 \pm 0.4$ $82.8 \pm 1.8$ $19.0 \pm 0.6$ $19.1 \pm 0.7$ $43.7 \pm 1.5$	70.2 ± 9.4 18.2 ± 0.1 25.4 ± 1.5 78.1 ± 4.2 24.1 ± 0.4	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 40.5 \pm 0.3 \\ 40.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 18.5 \pm 0.8 \\ 41.7 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$25.0 \pm 1.6$ $46.7 \pm 2.4$ $26.7 \pm 0.2$ $10.4 \pm 0.0$ $= \pm$	19.4 ± 1.1 37.0 ± 1.6 18.7 ± 0.7 32.4 ± 0.9 47.4 ± 2.5	$-\pm$ $-$ 32.2 $\pm$ 2.6
$W_{50}$	$6.4 \pm 0.8$ $20.3 \pm 0.4$ $21.0 \pm 0.7$ $9.6 \pm 0.9$	13.7 ± 0.3 10.6 ± 0.8   ±     ±     ±	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 6.3 \pm 0.3\\ 14.7 \pm 0.2\\ 14.7 \pm 0.2\\ 61.7 \pm 0.9\\ \end{array}$	$6.4 \pm 0.3$ $42.3 \pm 2.2$ $19.1 \pm 0.4$ $16.3 \pm 0.5$ $13.1 \pm 0.9$	$36.3 \pm 1.7$ $5.0 \pm 0.3$ $5.7 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm  5.1 \pm 0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 14.9 \pm 0.3 \\ 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ 21.9 \pm 0.4 \\ 9.2 \pm 0.6 \end{array}$	10.3 ± 0.6 38.3 ± 0.3 8.3 ± 0.3 5.5 ± 0.4 — ± —	$5.2 \pm 0.2$ $12.4 \pm 0.2$ $18.4 \pm 1.4$ $14.9 \pm 0.3$ $34.9 \pm 0.4$	$6.9 \pm 0.6$ $9.4 \pm 0.6$
$W_{10}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18.4 # H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	$13.0 \pm 0.3 \\ -1 \pm - \\ 24.8 \pm 0.4 \\ 39.3 \pm 0.5 \\ -1 \pm - $	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 12.6 \pm 0.3\\ 34.4 \pm 0.3\\ -\pm -\\ 10.6 \pm 0.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$21.3 \pm 0.6 \\ - \pm - \\ 11.4 \pm 0.3 \\ 9.0 \pm 0.4 \\ - \pm - $	$11.8 \pm 0.2 \\ - \pm - \\ 48.6 \pm 1.4 \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm -$	$15.8 \pm 0.6$ - $\pm$ -
$\frac{\text{MHz}}{W_{5\sigma}}$	16.0 ± 0.8 28.2 ± 0.4 39.8 ± 0.7 46.2 ± 0.9	42.8 ± 0.3 11.6 ± ± 0.8   ± ±	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 9.4 \pm 0.3\\ 15.7 \pm 0.2\\ 20.9 \pm 0.2\\ 64.5 \pm 0.9\end{array}$	$19.0 \pm 0.3$ $54.4 \pm 2.2$ $25.7 \pm 0.4$ $45.1 \pm 0.5$ $25.1 \pm 0.9$	$45.9 \pm 1.7 \\ 20.4 \pm 0.3 \\ 41.6 \pm 0.3 \\ \pm \\ 23.4 \pm 0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 27.8 \pm 0.3 \\ 20.6 \pm 0.1 \\ 51.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 34.9 \pm 0.6 \end{array}$	$25.4 \pm 0.6$ $43.9 \pm 0.3$ $15.0 \pm 0.3$ $19.8 \pm 0.4$ $- \pm -$	$14.0 \pm 0.2$ $23.8 \pm 0.2$ $54.0 \pm 1.4$ $22.1 \pm 0.3$ $40.6 \pm 0.4$	$22.0 \pm 0.6 \\ 13.4 \pm 0.6$
333	4.3 ± 1.5 5.6 ± 0.4 - ± - 4.6 ± 0.3	14.0 ±	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$5.5 \pm 0.1$ $30.0 \pm 5.8$ $16.7 \pm 0.6$ $3.4 \pm 0.7$ $10.2 \pm 1.5$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 8.0 \pm 0.1\\ 8.2 \pm 0.4\\ -\pm -\\ 6.0 \pm 0.2\\ \end{array}$	8.9 ± 0.2 5.5 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.4 8.9 ± 1.1	$\begin{array}{c} 5.7 \pm 0.5 \\ 21.3 \pm 8.5 \\ 11.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 0.0 \\ -\pm - \end{array}$	$16.2 \pm 1.5$ $10.4 \pm 0.7$ $4.1 \pm 0.5$ $11.3 \pm 1.7$ $13.0 \pm 0.9$	$9.7 \pm 0.6$ $8.7 \pm 2.2$
A%	-0.8 ± 1.8 4.8 ± 0.6 ± -3.6 ± 0.4	-0.4 ± 4.4 -0.4 ± 4.4 	- # - - # - -8.7 # 2.4 -2.1 # 0.2 - # -	$-5.0 \pm 0.1$ $-25.5 \pm 5.8$ $16.7 \pm 0.6$ $-1.6 \pm 1.0$ $9.1 \pm 2.1$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \pm 0.6 \\ -2.9 \pm 12.0 \\ 9.5 \pm 0.1 \\ -1.4 \pm 0.0 \\ -1.4 \pm 0.0 \\ \end{array}$	$4.9 \pm 2.5$ $-1.6 \pm 1.0$ $-0.6 \pm 1.1$ $7.8 \pm 2.3$ $11.8 \pm 1.1$	$-7.7 \pm 0.6$ $1.7 \pm 3.1$
%T	$30.5 \pm 2.8$ $16.9 \pm 0.6$ $- \pm -$ $47.1 \pm 0.5$	52.9 ±   	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ -\pm \\ 3.9 \pm 2.0 \\ 43.9 \pm 0.2 \\ -\pm \\ -\pm \end{array}$	$27.8 \pm 0.2$ $63.8 \pm 6.1$ $20.8 \pm 0.7$ $7.3 \pm 0.8$ $44.3 \pm 1.6$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 15.0 \pm 0.1 \\ 22.5 \pm 0.7 \\ -\pm \\ 20.7 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 26.8 \pm 0.2 \\ 39.5 \pm 0.4 \\ 12.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 27.1 \pm 1.3 \end{array}$	$21.5 \pm 0.7 \\ 58.5 \pm 7.9 \\ 33.2 \pm 0.2 \\ 8.6 \pm 0.0 \\ -1 \pm -1$	$16.0 \pm 1.8$ $34.5 \pm 0.9$ $34.1 \pm 1.0$ $30.0 \pm 2.0$ $38.3 \pm 0.9$	$17.3 \pm 0.9 \\ 29.1 \pm 2.8$
PSR	J1549-4848 <sup>†</sup> J1555-3134 J1557-4258 J1559-4438	J1602-5100* J1603-2531 J1604-4909 J1625-4048 J1637-4553	J1645-0317 J1648-3256 J1700-3312 J1703-3241 J1705-3423*	J1709-1640 J1709-4429 J1720-2933 J1722-3207* J1722-3712	J1727-2739 J1731-4744 J1733-2228 J1733-3716 J1735-0724	J1739-2903 <sup>†</sup> J1740+1311 J1741-0840 J1741-3927* J1745-3040	J1748-1300 J1750-3503 J1751-4657 J1752-2806 J1757-2421	J1801-0357 J1801-2920 J1807-0847 J1808-0813 J1816-2650	J1817-3618 J1817-3837

Table 3—Continued

W <sub>50</sub>	$5.5 \pm 0.4$ $10.6 \pm 0.1$ $2.9 \pm 0.3$	$17.2 \pm 0.3$ $7.5 \pm 0.8$ $108.3 \pm 0.8$ $10.8 \pm 0.7$ $13.3 \pm 1.3$	$7.9 \pm 0.6$ $64.2 \pm 0.4$ $13.0 \pm 0.3$ $10.4 \pm 0.6$ $11.1 \pm 0.4$	$11.5 \pm 0.3$ $13.4 \pm 3.7$ $3.6 \pm 0.2$ $8.4 \pm 0.7$ $26.3 \pm 0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$ $6.5 \pm 0.8$ $8.3 \pm 0.3$ $3.7 \pm 0.3$ $14.1 \pm 0.8$	$6.6 \pm 1.1$ $2.2 \pm 0.2$ $15.2 \pm 0.4$ $26.2 \pm 0.3$ $9.0 \pm 0.2$	$10.6 \pm 1.0$ $3.6 \pm 0.5$ $20.9 \pm 0.5$ $49.0 \pm 0.4$ $4.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$ $13.9 \pm 0.1$ $1.1 \pm 0.2$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$	$5.3 \pm 0.2$ $1.7 \pm 0.1$ $16.7 \pm 0.2$
$W_{10}$	10.9 ± 0.4 ± 8.0 ± 0.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$13.9 \pm 0.6 \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ 18.9 \pm 0.4$	$32.5 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm -$ $7.6 \pm 0.2$ $- \pm -$ $39.9 \pm 0.4$	$12.0 \pm 0.1  10.3 \pm 0.8  - \pm -  7.0 \pm 0.3  - \pm -  - \pm -  - = = - $	$16.6 \pm 1.1 \\ 11.0 \pm 0.2 \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ 11.8 \pm 0.2$	$21.9 \pm 1.0$ $10.6 \pm 0.6$ $36.4 \pm 0.5$ $- \pm  8.2 \pm 0.1$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	± 7.4 ± 0.1 ±
$\frac{MHz}{W_{5\sigma}}$	$16.4 \pm 0.2$ $16.3 \pm 0.1$ $8.3 \pm 0.3$	$28.6 \pm 0.3$ $16.2 \pm 0.8$ $126.9 \pm 0.8$ $12.6 \pm 0.7$ $25.6 \pm 1.4$	$14.4 \pm 0.6$ $80.9 \pm 0.4$ $23.6 \pm 0.3$ $15.1 \pm 0.6$ $20.3 \pm 0.4$	$41.6 \pm 0.3$ $15.5 \pm 3.8$ $13.1 \pm 0.2$ $27.4 \pm 0.7$ $44.8 \pm 0.4$	$12.2 \pm 0.1$ $18.7 \pm 0.8$ $71.1 \pm 0.3$ $13.0 \pm 0.3$ $17.7 \pm 0.8$	$20.0 \pm 1.2$ $11.3 \pm 0.2$ $21.0 \pm 0.4$ $50.8 \pm 0.3$ $18.7 \pm 0.2$	$47.7 \pm 1.0$ $12.3 \pm 0.6$ $40.2 \pm 0.5$ $59.6 \pm 0.4$ $10.1 \pm 0.1$	$15.6 \pm 0.2$ $19.1 \pm 0.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.2$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$	$8.3 \pm 0.2$ $8.9 \pm 0.1$ $19.3 \pm 0.2$
N	$11.7 \pm 0.1$ $8.8 \pm 0.4$ $9.8 \pm 1.6$	$12.2 \pm 1.0 \\ 8.5 \pm 0.7 \\ 5.7 \pm 0.3 \\ - \pm - \\ 9.8 \pm 2.2$	17.3 ± 0.9 9.4 ± 0.4 6.4 ± 1.8 7.2 ± 1.2 4.6 ± 0.5	$4.5 \pm 0.3 \\ - \pm - \\ 1.7 \pm 0.6 \\ 10.0 \pm 1.3 \\ 14.7 \pm 0.3$	$8.0 \pm 0.7$ $10.7 \pm 0.4$ $13.1 \pm 1.0$ $7.9 \pm 0.1$ $11.5 \pm 1.7$	$7.6 \pm 1.0$ $17.6 \pm 1.2$ $12.4 \pm 1.5$ $- \pm  4.1 \pm 0.0$	$7.0 \pm 0.1$ $9.6 \pm 0.8$ $12.3 \pm 0.5$ $10.1 \pm 0.7$ $12.7 \pm 0.3$	9.8 ± 0.9 4.2 ± 0.0 20.4 ± 2.3 — ± —	$14.3 \pm 0.7 15.5 \pm 0.2 13.4 \pm 1.2$
1%	-9.8 ± 0.1 -8.2 ± 0.5 7.9 ± 1.9	5.4 ± 1.4 -8.2 ± 0.7 -3.5 ± 0.7 - ± - 7.9 ± 2.6	$17.2 \pm 1.0$ $2.4 \pm 0.7$ $-2.5 \pm 2.6$ $-3.0 \pm 1.8$ $-0.2 \pm 0.8$	$-4.0 \pm 0.4$ $-4.0 \pm 0.4$ $0.6 \pm 0.9$ $3.2 \pm 1.6$ $-3.5 \pm 0.4$	$-5.0 \pm 0.9$ $-10.2 \pm 0.5$ $-4.7 \pm 1.3$ $-4.1 \pm 0.1$ $7.6 \pm 2.3$	$-7.0 \pm 1.1$ $14.2 \pm 1.4$ $-6.0 \pm 2.1$ $-6.0 \pm 2.1$ $-6.0 \pm 1.0$ $-6.0 \pm 1.0$	$-6.6 \pm 0.1$ $-9.4 \pm 0.8$ $-12.2 \pm 0.5$ $2.7 \pm 1.3$ $-12.4 \pm 0.3$	$-7.3 \pm 1.2$ $3.8 \pm 0.1$ $10.7 \pm 3.3$ -1.2 -1.2 -1.2	$-13.9 \pm 0.7$ $-15.4 \pm 0.3$ $10.9 \pm 1.5$
%T	$20.3 \pm 0.1$ $32.3 \pm 0.5$ $13.6 \pm 1.7$	$19.1 \pm 1.0$ $48.1 \pm 0.9$ $26.5 \pm 0.5$ $- \pm -$ $57.4 \pm 2.3$	$30.1 \pm 1.1$ $40.4 \pm 0.7$ $18.2 \pm 2.0$ $26.5 \pm 1.8$ $10.7 \pm 0.7$	$9.6 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm -$ $3.7 \pm 0.7$ $37.1 \pm 1.7$ $38.1 \pm 0.4$	$34.6 \pm 1.1$ $35.3 \pm 0.5$ $35.8 \pm 1.1$ $12.9 \pm 0.1$ $27.0 \pm 2.1$	$43.6 \pm 1.1 \\ 19.4 \pm 1.3 \\ 37.8 \pm 1.5 \\ - \pm - \\ 8.5 \pm 0.0$	$75.0 \pm 0.2$ $46.3 \pm 0.9$ $33.0 \pm 0.4$ $43.4 \pm 1.1$ $14.5 \pm 0.2$	$33.1 \pm 1.5$ $42.9 \pm 0.1$ $26.1 \pm 1.7$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$	$18.0 \pm 0.6$ $22.5 \pm 0.2$ $43.9 \pm 1.3$
W <sub>50</sub> (°)	$6.8 \pm 0.4$ $15.2 \pm 0.1$ $6.0 \pm 0.3$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$ $8.1 \pm 0.8$ $54.9 \pm 0.8$ $- \pm -$ $26.7 \pm 1.3$	$8.1 \pm 0.6$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$ $9.3 \pm 0.6$ $21.9 \pm 0.4$	$47.2 \pm 0.3$ $19.0 \pm 3.7$ $7.9 \pm 0.2$ $8.0 \pm 0.7$ $20.7 \pm 0.4$	1.8 ± 0.1 7.7 ± 0.8 42.5 ± 0.3 3.5 ± 0.3 7.8 ± 0.8	$9.3 \pm 1.1$ $2.5 \pm 0.2$ $- \pm  20.4 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm  - \pm -$	$10.6 \pm 1.0$ $4.5 \pm 0.5$ $12.0 \pm 0.5$ $57.7 \pm 0.4$ $5.0 \pm 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm -\\ 15.3 \pm 0.1\\ 1.0 \pm 0.1\\ 4.9 \pm 0.1\\ 9.6 \pm 0.6\\ \end{array}$	$5.4 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 18.5 \pm 0.2$
W <sub>10</sub> (°)	18.6 ± 0.4 24.7 ± 0.1 — ± —	$20.6 \pm 0.3$ $15.9 \pm 0.8$ $121.4 \pm 0.8$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$13.6 \pm 0.1$ $15.6 \pm 0.8$ $- \pm -$ $6.2 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm -$ $+ -$	$19.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 10.7 \pm 0.2 \\$	$23.5 \pm 1.0  12.0 \pm 0.6  35.6 \pm 0.5  - \pm -  9.0 \pm 0.1$	$- \pm - 17.6 \pm 0.1$ $1.7 \pm 0.1$ $8.8 \pm 0.1$ $17.0 \pm 0.6$	$8.5 \pm 0.2$ $7.8 \pm 0.1$ $22.7 \pm 0.2$
$\frac{MHz}{W_{5\sigma}}$	$47.9 \pm 0.2  25.5 \pm 0.1  12.9 \pm 0.3$	$34.1 \pm 0.3$ $24.0 \pm 0.8$ $130.3 \pm 0.8$ $- \pm -$ $46.9 \pm 1.4$	$13.5 \pm 0.6$ $95.1 \pm 0.9$ $- \pm -$ $17.0 \pm 0.6$ $73.3 \pm 0.4$	88.3 ± 0.3 19.3 ± 3.8 20.3 ± 0.2 35.3 ± 0.7 49.2 ± 0.4	$15.8 \pm 0.1$ $32.1 \pm 0.8$ $72.7 \pm 0.3$ $13.3 \pm 0.3$ $17.4 \pm 0.8$	$26.9 \pm 1.2  12.7 \pm 0.2 $	$52.8 \pm 1.0$ $13.0 \pm 0.6$ $49.6 \pm 0.5$ $70.1 \pm 0.4$ $12.2 \pm 0.1$	$- \pm -$ $24.1 \pm 0.1$ $2.6 \pm 0.1$ $11.7 \pm 0.1$ $20.5 \pm 0.6$	$10.3 \pm 0.2  10.7 \pm 0.1  24.6 \pm 0.2$
333 MH	$10.2 \pm 0.2$ $5.7 \pm 0.3$ $- \pm -$	$13.9 \pm 0.2  10.0 \pm 0.3  6.2 \pm 0.3  - \pm -  13.5 \pm 2.3$	$19.0 \pm 1.5$ $- \pm -$ $- \pm -$ $6.6 \pm 0.4$ $6.8 \pm 2.1$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$6.5 \pm 0.4$ $4.2 \pm 0.3$ $12.9 \pm 0.7$ $4.7 \pm 0.1$ $12.7 \pm 1.4$	$8.1 \pm 0.4$ $11.4 \pm 0.2$ $- \pm  - \pm  - \pm -$	9.2 ± 0.1 5.0 ± 0.9 8.1 ± 0.3 7.0 ± 0.4 5.1 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 11.8 \pm 0.0 \\ 20.9 \pm 0.2 \\ 5.8 \pm 0.2 \\ 5.4 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$10.0 \pm 0.3 11.3 \pm 0.1 13.3 \pm 0.7$
A%	$-10.1 \pm 0.2$ $-5.1 \pm 0.3$ $-\pm -$	$8.4 \pm 0.3$ $-9.6 \pm 0.3$ $-1.0 \pm 0.6$ $-1.0 \pm 0.6$ $-1.0 \pm 0.6$ $-1.0 \pm 0.6$	18.3 ± 1.5 		-2.8 ± 0.5 -3.9 ± 0.4 -8.3 ± 0.8 -1.6 ± 0.1 3.0 ± 2.1	-7.4 ± 0.5 10.5 ± 0.3 	-9.0 ± 0.1 -3.4 ± 1.1 -7.3 ± 0.3 -1.6 ± 0.7 0.3 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 11.7 \pm 0.0 \\ 1.9 \pm 0.2 \\ 5.0 \pm 0.2 \\ -2.1 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$-0.9 \pm 0.4$ $-9.6 \pm 0.2$ $12.6 \pm 0.8$
%T	9.2 ± 0.3 37.6 ± 0.3 — ± —	$14.6 \pm 0.2$ $55.9 \pm 0.4$ $33.0 \pm 0.8$ $- \pm -$ $49.4 \pm 2.5$	$24.6 \pm 1.4 \\ - \pm - \\ - \pm - \\ 17.9 \pm 0.5 \\ 12.0 \pm 2.4$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$38.9 \pm 0.7$ $37.3 \pm 0.3$ $35.7 \pm 0.6$ $10.9 \pm 0.1$ $24.2 \pm 1.3$	44.4 ± 0.6 19.4 ± 0.2 — ± — — ± —	$84.4 \pm 0.1$ $42.6 \pm 1.2$ $24.5 \pm 0.3$ $32.5 \pm 0.7$ $20.7 \pm 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} -\pm \\ 39.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 33.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 11.7 \pm 0.2 \\ 22.1 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$28.8 \pm 0.2$ $31.8 \pm 0.2$ $31.8 \pm 0.9$
PSR	J1820-0427* J1822-2256 J1823-0154*	J1823+0550 J1823-3106 J1834-0426 J1835-1100*	J1841+0912 J1842-0359* J1843-0000* J1844+1454 J1847-0402*	J1848-0123* J1848-1414 J1849-0636* J1852-2610 J1900-2600	J1901-0906 J1909+1102 J1910+0358 J1913-0440 J1916+0951	J1917+1353 J1919+0021 J1919+0134 J1921+1948 J1921+2153	$J_{1932+1059}^{\dagger}$ $J_{1941-2602}^{\dagger}$ $J_{1946+1805}^{\dagger}$ $J_{2006-0807}^{\dagger}$ $J_{2046-0421}^{\dagger}$	J2046+1540 J2048-1616 J2144-3933 J2305+3100 J2313+4253	J2317+2149 J2330-2005 J2346-0609

Table 3—Continued

	$W_{50}$
	$W_{10}$
MHz	$ V  W_{5\sigma}$
618	_ <u> </u>
	A%
	7%
	$W_{50}$
	$W_{10}$
MHz	$V \mid W_{5\sigma}$
333	_ <u> </u>
	A%
	7%
	PSR