

The CONNIE experiment

A. Aguilar-Arevalo¹, X. Bertou², C. Bonifazi³, M. Butner⁴,
 G. Cancelo⁴, A. Castaneda Vazquez¹, B. Cervantes Vergara¹,
 C.R. Chavez⁵, H. Da Motta⁶, J.C. D'Olivo¹, J. Dos Anjos⁶,
 J. Estrada⁴, G. Fernandez Moroni^{7,8}, R. Ford⁴, A. Foguel^{3,6},
 K.P. Hernandez Torres¹, F. Izraelevitch⁴, A. Kavner⁹,
 B. Kilminster¹⁰, K. Kuk⁴, H.P. Lima Jr.⁶, M. Makler⁶, J. Molina⁵,
 G. Moreno-Granados¹, J.M. Moro¹¹, E.E. Paolini^{7,12}, M. Sofo Haro²,
 J. Tiffenberg⁴, F. Trillaud¹, and S. Wagner^{6,13}

¹Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México

²Centro Atómico Bariloche - Instituto Balseiro, CNEA/CONICET, Argentina

³Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Física, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, U.S.A.

⁵Facultad de Ingeniería - Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Paraguay

⁶Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁷Departamento de Ingeniería Eléctrica y de Computadores, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

⁸Instituto de Investigaciones en Ingeniería Eléctrica “Alfredo Desages”, CONICET - Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

⁹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.

¹⁰Universität Zürich Physik Institut, Zurich, Switzerland

¹¹Depto. de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

¹²Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas Provincia Buenos Aires, La Plata, Argentina

¹³Pontificia Universidade Católica, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E-mail: alexis@nucleares.unam.mx

Abstract. The CONNIE experiment uses fully depleted, high resistivity CCDs as particle detectors in an attempt to measure for the first time the Coherent Neutrino-Nucleus Elastic Scattering of antineutrinos from a nuclear reactor with silicon nuclei. This talk, given at the XV Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields (MWPF), discussed the potential of CONNIE to perform this measurement, the installation progress at the Angra dos Reis nuclear power plant, as well as the plans for future upgrades.

1. Introduction

The Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering (CE ν NS), is a Standard Model (SM) process where a neutrino, or antineutrino, interacts with a nucleus as a whole entity [1]. It arises from the coherent enhancement of the interaction cross-section with the constituent nucleons, when the 4-momentum transfer is small compared to the reciprocal of the nuclear size: $|q^2| < 1/R^2$; in the laboratory frame, this corresponds roughly to incident neutrino energies $E_\nu < 50$ MeV. Its differential cross-section, to lowest order in T/E_ν , is [2]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT}(E_\nu, T) = \frac{G_F^2}{8\pi} [Z(4\sin^2\theta_W - 1) + N]^2 M \left(2 - \frac{MT}{E_\nu^2}\right) |f(q^2)|^2, \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. (a) A packaged 8 Mpix CONNIE CCD. (b) Single pixel value distribution at -140°C with and without a ^{60}Co gamma source. (c) Sea level exposure showing various particle tracks.

where T is the nuclear recoil energy, G_F is the Fermi constant, M is the mass of the nucleus, Z , and N are the number of protons and neutrons in the nucleus respectively, θ_W is the weak mixing angle, and $f(q^2)$ is the nuclear form factor, which is a function only of the 4-momentum transfer $q^2 = 2E_\nu TM/(T - E_\nu)$. Integration of Eq. (1) over T with the approximations $f(q^2) \approx 1$ (valid for $E_\nu < 20$ MeV), and $\sin^2 \theta_W \approx 1/4$, yields a total cross section as a function of neutrino energy: $\sigma_T(E_\nu) \approx 4.22 \times 10^{-45} N^2 (E_\nu/1 \text{ MeV})^2 \text{ cm}^2$.

This process has never been observed experimentally, primarily because, in addition to its small cross-section ($\mathcal{O} \sim 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2$), the nuclear recoil energies involved are very small ($< \sim 15$ keV), and escape the capabilities of most sufficiently massive detectors. Many detector technologies used in direct WIMP dark matter (DM) searches, combining low thresholds with large target masses, have been considered for the detection of $\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}$. Such DM experiments are expected to eventually reach the sensitivity to the “neutrino floor”: an irreducible background from the coherent scattering of solar, atmospheric and diffuse supernova neutrinos [3].

Recent developments in the technology of Charge Coupled Devices (CCD) have made it possible to build and operate detectors with very low thresholds (of order ~ 10 eV) and relatively large sensitive mass (~ 5 g per CCD); these have been successfully used in the DAMIC experiment [4] at SNOLAB to perform direct DM searches in the low mass region $< 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, demonstrating the unique capabilities of CCDs for the detection of low-energy recoils.

The Coherent Neutrino Nucleus Interaction Experiment (CONNIE) is a detector of solid-state technology installed at a nuclear reactor with an array of CCDs at its core, designed to observe the $\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}$ reaction for the first time. In 2014 a prototype detector was installed at one of the reactors at the Angra dos Reis nuclear power plant in Brazil, where the characterization of the detectors response to the backgrounds is being studied.

2. The CONNIE CCDs

The CCDs are developed by the LBNL Microsystems Laboratory. They are fabricated on high-resistivity (10-20 k Ω cm) silicon, which allows their operation at full depletion with moderate substrate voltages. The sensitive area is divided into several million square ($15 \mu\text{m} \times 15 \mu\text{m}$) pixels, reaching a few tens of square centimeters, and thicknesses up to $\sim 650 \mu\text{m}$, providing detector masses of a few grams per CCD. They exhibit very low charge transfer inefficiencies and dark current when operated at low temperatures (~ 140 K). The energy response to electronic and nuclear recoils has been extensively studied [5, 6, 7, 8]. The CCDs are packaged in supporting structures and bonded to flex readout cables, as shown in Figure 1 (a), at the Fermilab Silicon Detector Facility. An optimal readout noise of $\sigma_{\text{RMS}} = 1.5e^-$ (equivalent to ~ 5.8 eV) on the pixel values can be achieved by appropriate choice of the output amplifier integration time window. Figure 1 (b) shows the distribution of pixel values in a typical exposure with a ^{60}Co source. A typical image from a CCD exposure at sea level in which different particle tracks are visible is shown in Figure 1 (c). Events depositing energy within the volume of a single pixel




Figure 2. (a) CCD copper box. (b) Full shield concept. (c) Full installation inside container.

produce point-like events where ionized charge diffuses over one or a few neighboring pixels as it is drifted towards the CCD gate electrodes. These “diffusion limited hits” are expected from nuclear recoils such as those produced by the coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering.

3. Prototype installation at Angra-II

The prototype CCD array in the initial installation (2014 to mid 2016) has four $4\text{k}\times 2\text{k}$ pixel (8 Mpix) CCDs, each with a thickness of $250\ \mu\text{m}$, an area of $6\ \text{cm}\times 3\ \text{cm}$, and a mass of $\sim 1\ \text{g}$. These are “engineering grade” sensors having several defects and significant contamination from U and Th in the AlN substrate used in the package design; they were deployed with the purpose of testing the system operation at the reactor site, and have preliminary estimates of the environmental background. Only two of the sensors were of sufficient quality for the background analysis.

The CCDs are stacked in a high purity copper box, see Figure 2 (a) kept at a temperature of -140°C inside a vacuum vessel (10^{-7} torr). A 15 cm-long lead cylinder inside the vessel shields the CCD array from gammas coming from radioactive contaminants in the readout electronics. A radiation shield was installed in two phases: Phase-I consisting of an inner 30 cm layer of polyethylene followed by 5 cm of lead, and Phase-II consisting of an additional 10 cm of lead (totaling 15 cm of Pb) and an outer 30 cm layer of polyethylene. The concept of the full shield is shown in Figure 2 (b). A picture of the inside of the container with the final installation of the detector, electronics, and support systems is shown in Figure 2 (c).

The detector is located at a distance of 30 m, see Figure 3 (a) and (b), from the core of the Angra-II reactor of the Almirante Alvaro Alberto nuclear power plant in Angra dos Reis, near Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. It is housed in a standard shipping container outside the main reactor building. The Angra-II reactor is a $3.95\ \text{GW}_{\text{th}}$ Pressurized Water Reactor, and generates $\sim 8.7 \times 10^{20}\ \bar{\nu}_e\text{s}^{-1}$. At the detector location this corresponds to $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{20}\ \bar{\nu}_e\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, coming mainly from β decay chains of the products of the fragmentation of fissile isotopes ^{235}U , ^{238}U , ^{239}Pu , and ^{241}Pu , and n-capture processes in the reactor core (avg. fission rate of $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{20}\text{fis/s}$). The antineutrino flux expected from the reactor is shown in Figure 3 (c).

4. Expected event rates and forecast

Convolution of the antineutrino energy spectrum in Figure 3 (c), with the differential cross section in Eq. (1) yields the nuclear recoil energy spectrum. This is converted into the measured spectrum by means of the quenching factor $Q(T)$, relating the “measured” energy, T_m , to the recoil energy, T , via $T_m = T Q(T)$. Event rates are then calculated as a function of the detection threshold, T_{thr} , by integration of the measured energy spectrum weighted by the detection efficiency. Details of the event rate calculations and the efficiency estimate assumed here are given elsewhere [9]. The left-hand side plot in Figure 4 compares the expected recoil energy




Figure 3. (a) Detector location in reactor complex. (b) Detector sketch. (c) Neutrino flux at detector.

spectrum and the spectrum of measured energies assuming the Lindhard [10] quenching factor with (green dashed line) and without (red dashed line) the effect of the detector efficiency. The right-hand side plot shows the total event rate as a function of the threshold, T_{thr} , for different choices of Q showing a weak dependence on the details of the quenching factor. From these calculations a signal rate of 16.15 evt/kg/day is extracted, using the Lindhard quenching factor and the estimated efficiency. As shown in the right-hand side plot, the contribution to the total number of signal events above 300 eV is negligible, hence defining a signal region from $\sim 28 - 300$ eV. Earlier studies have shown that with passive shielding at shallow depths, a background rate at sea level of ~ 600 evt/day/keV/kg can be achieved [11]; over the expected signal region this amounts to a background contribution of 163.2 evt/day.

4.1. Forecast for a 52 g detector

For the purpose of evaluating the potential of CONNIE, in what follows we assume a 52 g detector array (ten 8 Mpix CCDs with $650 \mu\text{m}$ and fiducial mass of 5.2 g each) running for T days. As shown in [9], in such a detector we expect a signal rate of $\sim 0.84T$ events, and a background rate of $\sim 8.5T$ events. Adding a rate of false positive events of ~ 3.8 evt/day due to readout noise values above the threshold gives a total of $\sim 11.68T$ background events. The significance of a given signal can be calculated as a function of the running time by the expression $N_\sigma = 0.84 T / \sqrt{11.68 T} = 0.25\sqrt{T}$. Table 1 shows the running time in days required to reach a given level of significance with this setup. A $\sim 3\sigma$ significance can be achieved with 150 live days under the above assumptions.

Table 1. Days to reach a given confidence level (C.L.).

C.L. [%]	80	90	95	98	99
T (days)	12	28	45	70	150

5. Timeline

Plans to deploy a CCD-based detector making use of the available space already approved for the Angra-Neutrinos project at the Angra-II reactor site began in 2013. The detectors were tested and packaged at Fermilab during 2014. The fully assembled vacuum vessel (containing the CCDs), the cooling and vacuum systems, and the readout electronics, were all shipped from Fermilab to Rio de Janeiro on August 2014, arriving (by sea) on September. The installation of the system took place from October through November; producing the first images of events for background studies as early as December. The full (lead+polyethylene) shield was assembled during July and August of 2015. From August through September, 2015 more than a month of reactor-on data were acquired with the full shield in place. From September through October,




Figure 4. Left: The expected recoil energy spectrum (blue dashed line); the visible energy spectrum assuming a Lindhard Q factor (red solid line); the visible energy spectrum with Lindhard Q factor and the effect of the detector efficiency (green dashed line). Right: Total event rate as a function of the energy threshold for various quenching factors. The shaded area shows the expected signal region for a threshold of $5\sigma_{RMS} \simeq 28$ eV, and realistic quenching factors. Adapted from [9].

2015, one full month of reactor-off data were collected during a scheduled refueling outage. These data sets constitute the first CONNIE engineering run, whose results have been published since the time of this conference [12].

6. Summary and outlook

The low noise and low threshold of CCDs make them an ideal detector technology for the measurement of low energy depositions such as those expected from the coherent scattering of neutrinos off nuclei. The CONNIE Collaboration has been operating a prototype CCD detector at the Angra-II reactor in Brazil since December of 2014, and has taken data with different shield configurations, and with the reactor on and off. Results have been published since the XV MWPF [12] demonstrating the feasibility of the operations and background stability. The current setup is not expected to distinguish the coherent neutrino scattering events, only to demonstrate operations at the reactor site and measure environmental backgrounds and stability. Plans for upgrade to a CONNIE100 are ongoing.

6.1. Update since the XV MWPF

The collaboration plans to conduct an upgrade to a 100 g detector (CONNIE100) during 2016. The plan includes an upgrade of the packaging design that will reduce the intrinsic background of the detector (*e.g.* removal of the AlN substrate). It will incorporate a total of 18 engineering grade sensors of superior quality, with $675 \mu\text{m}$ thickness and 5.7 g of active mass per CCD. The upgraded detector should achieve sensitivity to the SM neutrino-nucleus coherent scattering as discussed in [9].

Acknowledgements

We thank *Central Nuclear Almirante Alvaro Alberto Electronuclear* for access to the reactor and their technical support, in particular to Ison Soares. We thank the Silicon Detector Facility team at Fermilab for being the host lab for the assembly and testing of the detector components. We acknowledge support from Ministério da Ciencia, Tecnologia e Inovação (MCTI) and the Brazilian funding agencies FAPERJ (grant E-26/110.145/2013), CNPQ, and FINEP; México's CONACYT (grant No. 240666), and DGAPA-UNAM (PAPIIT grants IB100413 and IN112213); Argentina's Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT-2014-1225, PICT-2013-2128). We thank Ricardo Galvão and Ronald Shellard for supporting the experiment.

References

- [1] D. Z. Freedman, Phys. Rev. D9, 1389 (1974).
- [2] Barranco J, Miranda O and Rashba TI, 2005, *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP12 (2005) 21.
- [3] Cushman P., *et al.* Snowmass CF1 Summary: WIMP Dark Matter Direct Detection (2013) [arXiv:1310.8327].
- [4] J.R.T. de Mello Neto *et al.*, [DAMIC Collaboration], [arXiv:1510.02126].
- [5] J.S. Tiffenberg, Proceedings of the ICRC 2013 [arXiv:1310.6688].
- [6] A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [DAMIC Collaboration], JINST 10 (2015), no. 8, P08014 [arXiv:1506.02562].
- [7] J. Tiffenberg, <https://kip-workshops.uchicago.edu/2015-lowecal/depot/talk-tiffenberg-javier.pdf> (2015).
- [8] A. Chavarria, <https://kip-workshops.uchicago.edu/2015-lowecal/depot/talk-chavarria-alvaro.pdf> (2015).
- [9] G. Fernandez Moroni, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 072001 (2015).
- [10] J. Lindhard, V. Nielsen, M. Scharf, and P.V. Thomsen, Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk **33**, 10 (1963).
- [11] G. Heusser, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 45, 543 (1995).
- [12] A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [CONNIE Collaboration], JINST 11 (2016) no. 07, P07024 [arXiv:1604.01343].