## Ab initio Electron Mobility and Polar Phonon Scattering in GaAs

Jin-Jian Zhou<sup>1</sup> and Marco Bernardi<sup>1,\*</sup>

 $1$  Department of Applied Physics and Materials Science, Steele Laboratory,

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(Dated: June 20, 2022)

In polar semiconductors and oxides, the long-range nature of the electron-phonon  $(e$ -ph) interaction is a bottleneck to compute charge transport from first principles. Here, we develop an efficient ab initio scheme to compute and converge the e-ph relaxation times (RTs) and electron mobility in polar materials. We apply our approach to GaAs, where using the Boltzmann equation with state-dependent RTs, we compute mobilities in excellent agreement with experiment at 250−500 K. The e-ph RTs and the phonon contributions to intra-valley and inter-valley e-ph scattering are also analyzed. Our work enables efficient ab initio computations of transport and carrier dynamics in polar materials.

Semiconductors with polar bonds, such as III-V and II-VI compounds, and oxides, are important in condensed matter physics and for technological applications. Charge transport in these polar materials plays a key role in electronics, optoelectronic, photovoltaics, and photocatalysis. Novel experiments [\[1,](#page-4-1) [2\]](#page-4-2) are dramatically advancing understanding of charge transport in polar materials. Yet, their microscopic interpretation requires detailed knowledge of the carrier scattering processes. Since fabricating pure crystals is challenging for many polar materials, extracting intrinsic charge transport properties from experiment is non-trivial; questions related to the role of doping, impurities, and defects often arise when interpreting transport measurements.

Ab initio computational approaches to study carrier transport and scattering mechanisms are uniquely poised to advance understanding of polar materials. Ab initio calculations of carrier mobility [\[3](#page-4-3)[–9\]](#page-4-4) and scattering [\[10–](#page-4-5) [13\]](#page-4-6) are a recent development, and have been reported so far only for a few metals and non-polar semiconductors. However, the mobility and scattering mechanisms in polar semiconductors and oxides are still typically investigated with semi-empirical models [\[1,](#page-4-1) [14\]](#page-4-7). One major challenge in computing transport in polar materials is the Fröhlich interaction  $[15]$ , a long-range coupling between electrons and longitudinal optical (LO) phonons. Electron-phonon (e-ph) scattering due to LO modes, and in general to polar phonons (PP), is typically the main carrier scattering mechanism in polar materials, but it cannot yet be included in ab initio transport calculations.

When computed directly using density functional perturbation theory (DFPT)  $[16]$ , the e-ph matrix elements correctly include the Fröhlich interaction for arbitrary values of the phonon wavevector q. Yet, the very large number of e-ph matrix elements necessary to converge the mobility and the e-ph relaxation times  $(RTs)$  [\[10–](#page-4-5) [12\]](#page-4-8) make direct DFPT calculations impractical due to computational cost. For metals and non-polar semiconductors, in which the e-ph interaction is short-ranged, Wannier interpolation  $[17]$  can be employed to obtain  $e$ ph matrix elements on fine Brillouin zone (BZ) grids.

In polar materials, Wannier interpolation is inconvenient since the e-ph matrix elements for LO modes diverge as  $1/q$  for  $q \to 0$ . A method was recently proposed [\[18,](#page-5-3) [19\]](#page-5-4) to split the e-ph matrix elements  $g$  into shortand long-range parts,  $g = g^S + g^L$ . The long range part  $g^L$  containing the  $1/q$  singularity is the ab initio generalization of the e-ph Fröhlich interaction  $[19]$ , and can be evaluated using an analytical formula based on the Vogl model [\[20\]](#page-5-5). The short-range part  $g^S$  is well-behaved, and can be computed by Wannier interpolation. This method can correctly reproduce the e-ph matrix elements computed with DFPT for arbitrary values of q [\[18,](#page-5-3) [19\]](#page-5-4). It has also been used to compute the e-ph RTs for specific electronic states [\[18,](#page-5-3) [19\]](#page-5-4) for showcasing the computations possible with this important novel approach. However, ab initio computations of charge transport, which involve the daunting task of computing and converging the e-ph RTs on fine grids in the entire BZ, have not been carried out to date in polar materials.

In this work, we present the first fully ab initio calculation of electron mobility in a polar material. An efficient scheme to compute and converge the e-ph RTs on fine BZ grids is derived. We apply this approach to GaAs, a polar material for which accurate mobility measurements are available. The Boltzmann equation within the RT approximation is employed, in combination with temperature- and state-dependent RTs, to compute the electron mobility for temperatures of 200−700 K, achieving excellent agreement with experiment (e.g., within 5% of experiment at 300 K). We analyze the phonon mode contributions to the RTs and mobility, and find that PP dominate intra-valley scattering and transport, while acoustic phonons dominate inter-valley scattering and hot carrier dynamics. Our work enables ab initio transport calculations in polar materials at roughly the same computational cost as in non-polar materials, and advances microscopic understanding of carrier dynamics in GaAs.

We carry out density functional theory (DFT) calculations on GaAs with a relaxed lattice constant of  $5.55 \text{ Å}$ , using the local density approximation (LDA) [\[21\]](#page-5-6) and a plane wave basis with the QUANTUM ESPRESSO code [\[22\]](#page-5-7). Norm-conserving pseudopotentials [\[23\]](#page-5-8) and a planewave kinetic energy cutoff of 72 Ry are employed to obtain the ground state charge density and bandstructure. We use DFPT to compute the lattice dynamical prop-erties [\[16\]](#page-5-1) and the e-ph matrix elements,  $g_{nm\nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ , on coarse  $8\times8\times8$  k- and q-point BZ grids. These e-ph matrix elements represent the transition amplitudes from a Bloch state with band index  $n$  and crystal momentum **k** to a Bloch state with quantum numbers m and  $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$ , mediated by the emission or absorption of a phonon with branch index  $\nu$  and wavevector **q** [\[24,](#page-5-9) [25\]](#page-5-10). The e-ph matrix elements for arbitrary k- and q-points are then obtained by adding the short-range part  $g_{nm\nu}^S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ , obtained by Wannier interpolation, and the long-range part  $g_{nm\nu}^{L}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ , which we independently implement using the method in Ref.  $[19]$ . The band- and **k**-dependent  $e$ -ph scattering rate  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}$  is computed with an in-house modified version of the EPW code [\[26\]](#page-5-11), from the imaginary part of the lowest order e-ph self-energy,  $\text{Im}\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{e-ph}}$ , using  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{e-ph}}=2/\hbar\cdot\text{Im}\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{e-ph}}$  [\[24\]](#page-5-9):

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}(T) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{m\nu\mathbf{q}} \left| g_{nm\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) \right|^2 \left[ \left( N_{\nu\mathbf{q}} + 1 - f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \right) \delta(\varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \hbar \omega_{\nu\mathbf{q}}) + \left( N_{\nu\mathbf{q}} + f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \right) \delta(\varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} + \hbar \omega_{\nu\mathbf{q}}) \right]
$$
\n(1)

where T is the temperature,  $\varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\hbar\omega_{\nu\mathbf{q}}$  the electron and phonon energies, respectively, and  $f_{n\mathbf{k}}$  and  $N_{\nu\mathbf{q}}$  the corresponding occupations. Here, the temperature dependence is included in the occupations, while the e-ph matrix elements are computed in the ground state. The e-ph RTs,  $\tau_{n\mathbf{k}} = (\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{e-ph}})^{-1}$ , are the inverse of the scattering rates. Finally, the electrical conductivity  $\sigma$  is computed within the RT approximation of the Boltzmann transport equation [\[27,](#page-5-12) [28\]](#page-5-13):

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = e^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE(-\partial f/\partial E) \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(E,T). \qquad (2)
$$

 $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(E,T)$  is the transport distribution function (TDF):

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(E,T) = \frac{2}{V_{\text{uc}}} \sum_{n\mathbf{k}} \tau_{n\mathbf{k}}(T) \mathbf{v}_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\beta} \delta(E - \varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}), \quad (3)
$$

computed here with a tetrahedron integration method [\[29\]](#page-5-14), using ab initio  $e$ -ph RTs and interpolated [\[30,](#page-5-15) [31\]](#page-5-16) band velocities  $v_{n\mathbf{k}}$ . The mobility is obtained as  $\mu =$  $\sigma/ne$ , where *n* is the intrinsic carrier concentration.

We first discuss our approach for efficiently computing the e-ph scattering rates in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) in polar materials. Due to the  $1/q$  singularity of the long-range part  $g^L$  (dropping all the indices from now on), converging  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}$  when using  $g = g^S + g^L$  is computationally prohibitive since the sum over q in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) converges very slowly. We reason that the matrix elements  $g^L$  are inexpensive to compute, as they merely require evaluating an analytical function at one point [\[19\]](#page-5-4). While converging  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}$  using  $g = g^L$ alone requires as many as  $10^6 - 10^7$  q points due to the singularity, this task is still relatively inexpensive. On the other hand, computing each short-range e-ph matrix element  $g^S$  is rather costly as it requires Wannier interpolation. For example, converging  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}$  using  $g = g^S$ alone, as done for non-polar materials [\[10](#page-4-5)[–12\]](#page-4-8), typically requires  $\sim$ 10<sup>3</sup>−10<sup>5</sup> q points and is computationally very



<span id="page-1-1"></span>FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The converged scattering rate in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) (curve labeled as "Total"), expressed in terms of ImΣe-ph in meV units. Shown are also the long-range and remainder contributions, which add up to the total. (b) Convergence of the scattering rate near the CBM. Shown are the results for Lorentzian (L) and Gaussian (G) broadenings. The broadening parameter, in meV units, is given in parentheses.

expensive.

On this basis, we split  $|g|^2$  in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) into two parts, the long-range part  $|g^L|^2$  and the remainder  $(|g|^2 - |g^L|^2)$ . Eq. [1](#page-1-0) with  $|g|^2 = |g^L|^2$  and  $|g|^2 = (|g|^2 - |g^L|^2)$  is then used to separately compute the long-range and remainder contributions to  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\hat{\mathbf{e}}-\mathbf{ph}}$ , respectively, which add up to the total scattering rate. Fig.  $1(a)$  $1(a)$  shows the longrange, remainder, and total scattering rates in GaAs at 300 K. Each contribution is computed and converged separately, with important advantages for the choice of the integration grids. For the long-range part, we treat the  $1/q^2$  singularity of  $|g^L|^2$  by using Monte Carlo integration with importance sampling near the BZ center, using q points randomly sampled from a Cauchy distribution [\[32\]](#page-5-17). For the remainder part, convergence requires  $\sim$ 10<sup>3</sup> $-10^5$  q points as in non-polar materials, and is achieved incrementally using Monte Carlo integration over multiple random q-point grids [\[11,](#page-4-9) [12\]](#page-4-8).

Overall, the approach enables calculations of e-ph RTs in polar materials at roughly the same cost as in nonpolar materials, with a small overhead to compute the long-range contribution. For comparison, converging  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{e-ph}}$  in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) directly with  $g = g^S + g^L$  is dramatically more expensive, by a factor equal to the ratio  $N_L/N_R \approx 10-1,000$  between the number of q points needed to converge the long-range  $(N_L \approx 10^6 - 10^7)$  and the remainder  $(N_R \approx 10^3 - 10^5)$  parts. Our idea of dividing and conquering the long-range part thus enables fast computations of the e-ph RTs in polar materials.

The approximation employed for the  $\delta$  function in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) is also crucial to converge the scattering rate, especially near the conduction band minimum (CBM). We use  $\delta(x) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} f(x, \eta)$ , where  $\eta$  is a small broadening parameter, and test both Lorentzian and Gaussian broadenings, with distributions  $f(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\eta}{x^2 + \eta^2}$ and  $f(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right)^2}$ , respectively. Convergence of  $\Gamma_{n\mathbf{k}}^{e-ph}$  in Eq. [1](#page-1-0) is achieved by choosing a small value of  $\eta$  (e.g., 10 meV) and using a number of **q** points  $N_{\mathbf{q}}(\eta)$ large enough to converge the sum over q for the given value of  $\eta$ . Existence of the limit guarantees that upon decreasing  $\eta$  to a new value, and increasing  $N_{\mathbf{q}}(\eta)$  accordingly, the scattering rate no longer varies as  $\eta$  is decreased further. We employ broadening parameters of 2, 5, and 10 meV, and for each broadening method and parameter converge the scattering rate with respect to the number of q points.

The results of our convergence study are shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-1-1)b) for energies up to  $\sim$ 0.1 eV above the CBM (from now on, we reference the electron energy to the CBM). We find that the scattering rate for low energy electrons in the Γ valley is highly sensitive to the broadening. In particular, Lorentzian broadening tends to overestimate the scattering rate even for a small value of  $\eta = 2$  meV. Gaussian broadening is easier to converge: a small parameter  $\eta \approx 5$  meV is sufficient to converge the scattering rate in the  $\Gamma$  and  $L$  valleys. As shown below, electronic states in this energy range play a crucial role in transport. Note that even a relatively small 10 meV Lorentzian broadening, as typically employed, would lead to enormous errors in the mobility. On the other hand, a 10 meV Lorentzian broadening is acceptable at energy above ∼0.3 eV, as electronic states with higher energy are less sensitive to broadening. In what follows, we employ a 5 meV Gaussian broadening.

We first focus on e-ph scattering in the  $\Gamma$  and  $L$  valleys, which is of crucial importance to compute charge transport in GaAs. Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$  shows the e-ph scattering rate at 300 K within ∼0.4 eV of the CBM, separately for electronic states in the  $\Gamma$  and  $L$  valleys. For electrons in the  $\Gamma$  valley, only intra-valley scattering is possible for energies up to the L valley minimum,  $E_L \approx 0.25$  eV. Small-q LO phonon scattering dominates in this energy



<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) e-ph scattering rate and (b) RT for electrons in GaAs with energies within ∼0.4 eV of the CBM, which is the origin of the energy axis. Data points in blue (red) are for electronic states in the  $\Gamma(L)$  valley. The scattering rate associated with LO phonon scattering alone is also shown in (a). The inset in (b) shows a schematic of the  $Γ$  and  $L$  valleys in GaAs.

range, as shown in Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$  by comparing the total e-ph scattering rate with the one due to LO phonons alone. The scattering rate is nearly constant over the 0.05−0.25 eV energy range, with an associated RT [see Fig.  $2(b)$  $2(b)$ ] of ∼168 fs. Our RT at 300 K is excellent agreement with room temperature experiments, e.g., ∼165 fs in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-5-18). At energies below ∼0.05 eV the scattering rate drops sharply, and approaches the CBM with a constant trend. Within  $\hbar \omega_{LO} \approx 35$  meV of the CBM, the phase space for LO phonon emission vanishes, and the scattering process is dominated by LO phonon absorption. The scattering rate in this energy range is roughly proportional to the LO phonon occupation, and is strongly temperature dependent. Our computed RT for LO phonon absorption at 300 K is  $\sim$ 600 fs [Fig. [2\(](#page-2-0)b)]. At energy higher than  $E_L$ , Γ−L inter-valley scattering becomes possible, and the scattering rate increases rapidly as a result. Intravalley scattering in the L valley, also possible above  $E_L$ , is dominated by PP scattering. It exhibits a scattering rate with multiple branches  $[Fig. 2(a)]$  $[Fig. 2(a)]$  $[Fig. 2(a)]$ , and thus a strong k-dependence, due to the anisotropy of the L valley.

To gain additional insight into e-ph scattering, we plot in Fig.  $3(a,b)$  $3(a,b)$  the contributions from different phonon modes to the total scattering rates, over a wider energy range (up to ∼1 eV) than analyzed above. Though LO scattering is dominant in the  $\Gamma$  and  $L$  valleys (below and above  $E_L$ , respectively), the longitudinal acoustic  $(LA)$ mode also contributes to small-q intra-valley scattering through the so-called piezoelectric interaction [\[36\]](#page-5-19). For energies between  $E_L$  and  $E_X$ , where  $E_X \approx 0.45$  eV is the X valley minimum, the  $\Gamma-L$  inter-valley scattering is dominated by large-q LA and transverse acoustic (TA) phonon scattering. At energy greater than  $E<sub>X</sub>$ , scattering by the TA modes is the main  $e$ -ph scattering mecha-





<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 3. (Color online) Mode resolved e-ph scattering rates. (a) Total scattering rate, shown along with the contributions from the LO and LA modes alone. (b) Contributions from the transverse modes. (c) Comparison of ab initio RTs including the PP scattering (red curve), as computed in this work, with previous calculations in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-4-9) that neglect PP scattering (black curve).

nism, consistent with recent results [\[11\]](#page-4-9).

Fig.  $3(c)$  $3(c)$  compares our computed e-ph RTs with those obtained in previous work [\[11\]](#page-4-9) that did not include PP scattering as it focused on hot carriers with high energy above the CBM. For energies above  $E<sub>X</sub>$ , we find that the change in the RTs due to PP scattering is rather small, consistent with the fact that large-q scattering dominates in this energy range. PP scattering is thus almost negligible in hot carrier dynamics, and the conclusion that carriers excited above  $E_X$  in GaAs thermalize chiefly by emitting acoustic phonons [\[11\]](#page-4-9) is still valid when PP scattering is included. However, Fig.  $3(c)$  $3(c)$  also clearly shows that for electronic states with energy lower than  $E_L$ , the inclusion of PP scattering makes a dramatic difference in the RTs. PP scattering additionally leads to a strong kdependence of the RTs for energies between  $E_L$  and  $E_X$ . These effects are crucial to accurately compute electron mobility and transport.

Next, we discuss the phonon-limited mobility in GaAs, shown in Fig.  $4(a)$  $4(a)$  for temperatures between 200–500 K. Our computed mobilities are in excellent agreement with experiment between 250−500 K. For example, our room temperature result is  $\sim 8,900 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ , versus experimen-tal values of 8,200−8,900 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs [\[34,](#page-5-20) [35\]](#page-5-21). Converging the electron mobility is highly non-trivial. Eq. [3](#page-1-2) requires

<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Electron mobilities close to room temperature. The experimental values are taken from Refs. [\[34,](#page-5-22) [35\]](#page-5-23), and compared with our computed ab initio mobilities (red line). (b) Convergence of the ab initio mobility at 300 K with respect to the fine k grids used for the tetrahedron integration in Eq. [3.](#page-1-2) The blue, green, purple and red curves are computed using fine grids  $80^3$ ,  $150^3$ ,  $320^3$ ,  $600^3$  k points in the BZ, respectively.

BZ integration on very fine **k**-point grids, a task achieved here using the tetrahedron method, which allows us to converge the conductivity with high accuracy. To investigate the convergence of our mobility calculations, we plot the integrand in Eq. [2,](#page-1-3)  $(-\partial f/\partial E) \cdot \Sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(E,T)$ , at  $T = 300$  K; this function is proportional to the TDF, and is employed to visualize the contributions to the conductivity from electronic states at different energies. The integrand calculated using four different choices of the kpoint grids, together with the corresponding mobilities, is shown in Fig.  $4(b)$  $4(b)$ . The main contribution to the mobility originates from electronic states in a small energy window (at room temperature, ∼0.05 eV) above the CBM, where scattering is dominated by LO phonon absorption. Extremely fine grids are necessary to sample this small BZ region and capture the rapid changes of the RTs near the CBM. Fig.  $4(b)$  $4(b)$  shows that convergence of the mobilities is achieved only for extremely fine grids with more than 600<sup>3</sup> k points. Even fine grids with  $150^3$  k points lead to large errors in the mobility calculation. Previous theoretical work [\[34\]](#page-5-22) using empirical models concluded that iterative methods beyond the RT approximation are necessary to obtain mobilities in agreement with experiment. However, our results demonstrate that if ab initio



<span id="page-4-10"></span>FIG. 5. (Color online) Electron mobilities computed using both the DFT-relaxed and thermal expansion corrected lattice parameters, for temperatures above 300 K. The sources of the experimental data are the same as in Fig.  $4(a)$  $4(a)$ .

temperature- and state-dependent RTs are employed, together with fine BZ sampling to converge the mobilities, then the Boltzmann transport equation within the RT approximation (Eq. [2\)](#page-1-3) can yield highly accurate results over a wide temperature range.

The computed mobility increasingly deviates from the experimental result at high temperatures above 500 K. Note that for each temperature we investigate, we employ RTs computed at the same temperature, but the band velocities are obtained from DFT without accounting for finite-temperature corrections to the bandstructure. In particular, we find that our computed mobilities are lower than experiment at  $T > 500$  K, a trend opposite to that shown in previous work using empirical models [\[34\]](#page-5-22). A possible explanation for this difference is that most previous studies neglected the  $\Gamma-L$  inter-valley scattering, which is incorrect since the integrand in Eq. [3](#page-1-2) extends well beyond  $E_L$  at high temperature.

We attribute the deviation of our results at  $T > 500$ K to the lack of finite-temperature corrections to our bandstructure. To test this hypothesis, we compute the mobility using a thermal expansion corrected lattice parameter  $(a \approx 5.57 \text{ Å})$  $(a \approx 5.57 \text{ Å})$  $(a \approx 5.57 \text{ Å})$  [\[37\]](#page-5-24). Fig. 5 shows that agreement for the mobilities at  $T > 500$  K is improved by employing a lattice parameter corrected for thermal expansion. Our simple attempt to include finite temperature effects in the bandstructure suggests that bandstructure renormalization is an important aspect of high temperature mobility calculations. On the other hand, we anticipate that combining ab initio temperature dependent bandstructures [\[38,](#page-5-25) [39\]](#page-5-26) with our accurate RT and mobility calculations would be computationally very challenging.

In summary, our work demonstrates the crucial role of BZ sampling and convergence in computing the e-ph RTs and the mobility in polar materials. The algorithms developed in this work reduce the computational cost significantly by dividing and conquering the long range part of the e-ph interaction, and optimizing BZ sampling. Our calculations in GaAs achieve excellent agreement with experiment, thus demonstrating that, contrary to previous results, the RT approximation of the Boltzmann equation contains the correct physics to compute the mobility in GaAs at room temperature. Our approach enables ab initio studies of charge transport and carrier dynamics in polar materials, with broad applications in materials science and condensed matter physics. Finally, the authors are working toward releasing a new code incorporating these novel workflows.

This work was supported by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a DOE Energy Innovation Hub, supported through the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0004993.

Note added.– A related calculation for GaAs was recently reported by Liu  $et \ al \ [40]$  $et \ al \ [40]$ . Their computed mobility within the RT approximation is significantly lower than our result, and their scattering rate in the  $\Gamma$  valley is larger than ours. While convergence and broadening are not discussed in their work, their results are consistent with those found here for a too large Lorentzian broadening and for under-converged  $(\sim 100^3)$  k-point grids in the mobility calculation. These important differences lead to different conclusions in their work.

<span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> [bmarco@caltech.edu](mailto:bmarco@caltech.edu)

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] A. Verma, A. P. Kajdos, T. A. Cain, S. Stemmer, and D. Jena, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.216601) 112, 216601 (2014).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[2] Z. Yu, Z.-Y. Ong, Y. Pan, Y. Cui, R. Xin, Y. Shi, B. Wang, Y. Wu, T. Chen, Y.-W. Zhang, G. Zhang, and X. Wang, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.201503033) 28, 547 (2016).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[3] O. D. Restrepo, K. Varga, and S. T. Pantelides, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3147189) Phys. Lett. 94[, 212103 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3147189)
- [4] K. Kaasbjerg, K. S. Thygesen, and K. W. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. B 85[, 115317 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.115317)
- [5] X. Li, J. T. Mullen, Z. Jin, K. M. Borysenko, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, and K. W. Kim, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.115418) 87, [115418 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.115418)
- [6] C.-H. Park, N. Bonini, T. Sohier, G. Samsonidze, B. Kozinsky, M. Calandra, F. Mauri, and N. Marzari, Nano Lett. 14[, 1113 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/nl402696q)
- [7] W. Li, Phys. Rev. B **92**[, 075405 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.075405)
- [8] B. Liao, J. Zhou, B. Qiu, M. S. Dresselhaus, and G. Chen, Phys. Rev. B 91[, 235419 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235419)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[9] T. Gunst, T. Markussen, K. Stokbro, and M. Brandbyge, Phys. Rev. B 93[, 035414 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.035414)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[10] M. Bernardi, D. Vigil-Fowler, J. Lischner, J. B. Neaton, and S. G. Louie, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.257402) 112, 257402 (2014).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[11] M. Bernardi, D. Vigil-Fowler, C. S. Ong, J. B. Neaton, and S. G. Louie, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419446112) 112, 5291 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419446112)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[12] M. Bernardi, J. Mustafa, J. B. Neaton, and S. G. Louie, [Nat. Commun.](http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2015/150602/ncomms8044/full/ncomms8044.html) 6 (2015).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[13] H. Tanimura, J. Kanasaki, Katsumi Tanimura, J. Sjakste, N. Vast, M. Calandra, and F. Mauri, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.161203) 93[, 161203 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.161203)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[14] A. Faghaninia, J. W. Ager, and C. S. Lo, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235123)

91[, 235123 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235123)

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[15] H. Fröhlich, Adv. Phys. **3**[, 325 \(1954\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018735400101213)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[16] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515) 73, 515 (2001).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[17] F. Giustino, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.165108) B 76[, 165108 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.165108)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[18] J. Sjakste, N. Vast, M. Calandra, and F. Mauri, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.054307) Rev. B 92[, 054307 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.054307)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[19] C. Verdi and F. Giustino, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.176401) 115, 176401 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.176401)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[20] P. Vogl, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.694) **13**, 694 (1976).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[21] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.23.5048) 23, 5048 [\(1981\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.23.5048)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[22] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, et al., [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502) 21, [395502 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[23] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993) 43, 1993 [\(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[24] M. Bernardi, [arXiv:1607.00080 \[cond-mat\] \(2016\).](http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.00080)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[25] F. Giustino, [arXiv:1603.06965 \[cond-mat\] \(2016\).](http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.06965)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[26] J. Noffsinger, F. Giustino, B. D. Malone, C.-H. Park, S. G. Louie, and M. L. Cohen, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.08.027) 181[, 2140 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.08.027)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[27] G. D. Mahan, Condensed matter in a nutshell (Princeton University Press, 2010).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[28] G. Pizzi, D. Volja, B. Kozinsky, M. Fornari, and N. Marzari, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cpc.2013.09.015) 185, 422 (2014).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[29] P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.16223) B 49[, 16223 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.16223)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[30] J. R. Yates, X. Wang, D. Vanderbilt, and I. Souza, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.195121)

Rev. B 75[, 195121 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.195121)

- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[31] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, G. Pizzi, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Marzari, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.05.003) 185[, 2309 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.05.003)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[32] We sample each component of  $q$  within [-0.5, 0.5] using the Cauchy distribution, with a probability density function  $P(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{x^2 + \varepsilon^2}$ . We employ  $\varepsilon = 0.035$  in our calculations..
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[33] J. A. Kash, J. C. Tsang, and J. M. Hvam, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.2151) Lett. 54[, 2151 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.2151)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span><span id="page-5-20"></span>[34] D. L. Rode, Phys. Rev. B 2[, 1012 \(1970\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.1012) D. L. Rode and S. Knight, Phys. Rev. B 3[, 2534 \(1971\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.3.2534)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span><span id="page-5-21"></span>[35] J. S. Blakemore, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.331665) **53**, R123 (1982); H. G. B. Hicks and D. F. Manley, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(69)90022-2) 7, 1463 [\(1969\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(69)90022-2) C. M. Wolfe, G. E. Stillman, and W. T. Lindley, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1659368) 41, 3088 (1970); P. Blood, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.6.2257) 6[, 2257 \(1972\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.6.2257) K. H. Nichols, C. M. L. Yee, and C. M. Wolfe, [Solid-State Electron.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(80)90144-6) 23, 109 (1980).
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[36] P. Y. Yu and M. Cardona, [Fundamentals of Semiconduc](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00710-1)[tors](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00710-1), 4th ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[37] V. M. Glazov and A. S. Pashinkin, [Inorg. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02757926) **36**, 225  $(2000).$
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[38] H. Kawai, K. Yamashita, E. Cannuccia, and A. Marini, Phys. Rev. B 89[, 085202 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.085202)
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[39] S. Poncé, Y. Gillet, J. L. Janssen, A. Marini, M. Verstraete, and X. Gonze, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.4927081) 143, 102813 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.4927081)
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[40] T.-H. Liu, J. Zhou, B. Liao, D. J. Singh, and G. Chen, [arXiv:1606.07074 \[cond-mat\] \(2016\).](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07074)