A massive momentum-subtraction scheme

Peter Boyle, Luigi Del Debbio, Ava Khamseh*

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, Edinburgh, United Kingdom E-mail: a.khamseh@sms.ed.ac.uk

We introduce a new massive renormalization scheme, denoted mSMOM, as a modification of the existing RI/SMOM schem. We use SMOM for defining renormalized fermion bilinears in QCD at non-vanishing fermion mass. This scheme has properties similar to those of the SMOM scheme, such as the use of non-exceptional symmetric momenta, while in contrast to SMOM, it defines the renormalized fields away from the chiral limit. Here we discuss some of the properties of mSMOM, and present non-perturbative arguments for deriving some renormalization constants. The results of a 1-loop calculation in dimensional regularization are briefly summarised to illustrate some properties of the scheme.

The 34th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory 24 -30 July 2015 University of Southampton, UK

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). PROCEEDINGS



1. Introduction

Lattice QCD simulations allow the determination of physical quantities, such as meson masses and decay constants as well as operator matrix elements, non-pertubatively. Matrix elements measured on the lattice are bare quantities and have to be renormalized before the continuum limit is taken. The renormalization scale μ , is often chosen such that

$$am \ll a\mu \ll \pi$$
 (1.1)

where *m* is the mass of the quark and *a* is the lattice spacing corresponding to cut-off π/a . Heavy quarks such as charm are currently simulated in order to investigate their non-perturbative dynamics. The mass of the quarks in these simulations are often the same order as the lattice cut-off. This can make it difficult to find a clear separation between the fermion mass, the renormalization scale μ , and the lattice cut-off. Therefore, it would be interesting to introduce a mass dependent renormalization scheme, with the renormalization conditions being imposed at a finite renormalized mass $m \to \overline{m}$, while having the renormalized WIs to hold implying scale independence of the conserved currents.

2. Massive Renormalization Conditions

Following Ref. [2] we will consider continuum Minkowski space, with symmetric non-exceptional momenta

$$p_2^2 = p_3^2 = q^2 = -\mu^2, (2.1)$$

where p_2 and p_3 are incoming and outgoing off-shell momenta of the vertex, $q^{\mu} = p_2^{\mu} - p_3^{\mu}$ is the momentum out of the vertex and $\mu^2 > 0$ is the renormalization scale. Vertex functions are defined



Figure 1: Kinematics used for the correlators of fermion bilinears.

as:

$$G^a_{\Gamma}(p_3, p_2) = \langle O^a_{\Gamma}(q)\bar{\psi}(p_3)\psi(p_2)\rangle, \qquad (2.2)$$

i.e. as the correlator of two fermions together with the fermion bilinear operator $O_{\Gamma}^{a} = \bar{\psi}\Gamma\tau^{a}\psi$, which is a flavor non-singlet with τ^{a} denoting a generic generator of rotations in flavor space. Γ spans all the elements of the basis of the Clifford algebra, which we denote as $\Gamma = S, P, V, A, T$. We consider a fermion doublet which is degenerate in mass, $m_1 = m_2 = m$, and take $\tau^{a} = \frac{\sigma^{+}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2)$ giving $O_{\Gamma} = \overline{\psi}_{\Gamma}\Gamma\psi_{2}$. The amputated vertex function is

$$\Lambda^{a}_{\Gamma}(p_{2},p_{3}) = S(p_{3})^{-1} G^{a}_{\Gamma}(p_{3},p_{2}) S(p_{2})^{-1}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where S(p) is the fermion propagator:

$$S(p) = \frac{i}{\not p - m - \Sigma(p) + i\varepsilon}.$$
(2.4)

Note that for each leg being amputated, the fermion propagator with the corresponding flavor needs to be used.

Let us consider chiral symmetry transformations with a regulator that does not break the symmetry, like *e.g.* dimensional regularization. The infinitesimal vector and axial non-singlet SU(2) transformations change $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ in the path integral, yielding the bare vector and axial WIs. Written in terms of Λ_V^a and Λ_A^a they are

$$q \cdot \Lambda_{\rm V}^a = iS(p_2)^{-1} - iS(p_3)^{-1}, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$q \cdot \Lambda_{\rm A}^a = 2mi\Lambda_{\rm P}^a - \gamma_5 iS(p_2)^{-1} - iS(p_3)^{-1}\gamma_5.$$
(2.6)

The renormalized quantities are defined as follows:

$$\psi_R = Z_q^{1/2} \psi, \quad m_{qR} = Z_{m_q} m_q, \quad O_{\Gamma,R} = Z_{\Gamma} O_{\Gamma}, \qquad (2.7)$$

yielding the renormalized propagator and amputated vertex functions

$$S_R(p) = Z_q S(p), \quad \Lambda_{\Gamma,R}(p_2, p_3) = \frac{Z_\Gamma}{Z_q} \Lambda_{\Gamma}(p_2, p_3), \qquad (2.8)$$

where q = l, H for light and heavy quarks respectively. Note that for each leg being amputated, the fermion propagator with the corresponding flavor needs to be used. In the rest of this section, we will denote m_l by m and m_H by M and suppress the flavor index a to keep the notation simple.

The mSMOM renormalization condition are defined away from the chiral limit, at some reference mass \bar{m} which can be chosen freely:

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12p^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[i S_R(p)^{-1} \not p \right] \bigg|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} = 1, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12M_R} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[-iS_R(p)^{-1} \right] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[(q \cdot \Lambda_{A,R}) \gamma_5 \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} \right\} = 1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathbf{V},R} \right) q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} = 1, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{A,R} - 2M_R i \Lambda_{P,R} \right) \gamma_5 q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} = 1, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12i} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}} \gamma_5 \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} = 1 , \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{S,R} \right] - \frac{1}{6q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[2iM_R \Lambda_{P,R} \gamma_5 \not q \right] \right\} \bigg|_{\text{sym}} = 1.$$
(2.14)

As compared to the SMOM scheme [2], only the renormalization conditions for the axial and the scalar vertex functions are modified, with the modifications being proportional to the mass, and vanishing at tree-level. Therefore, in the chiral limit $\bar{m} \rightarrow 0$, these conditions reduce to SMOM exactly. Similar to SMOM, the conditions for mSMOM are spelled out in such a way to preserve the renormalized WIs and give $Z_V = Z_A = 1$. The non-perturbative derivation follows similar steps to those taken in [2]. For Z_V , using the relation between renormalized and bare vertex functions,

and Eq. (2.11), we obtain

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{V}}) \, q \right] |_{\mathrm{sym}} = \lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{Z_q}{Z_{\mathrm{V}}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{V},R}) \, q \right] |_{\mathrm{sym}}$$
(2.15)

$$=\frac{Z_q}{Z_V}.$$
(2.16)

Using the vector Ward identity, Eq. (2.5), the LHS of the expression above can be written as

$$\lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(iS(p_2)^{-1} - iS(p_3)^{-1} \right) q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} = \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[iS(q)^{-1} q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}}$$
(2.17)

$$= Z_q \lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[i S_R(q)^{-1} q \right] \Big|_{q^2 = -\mu^2} = Z_q.$$
(2.18)

Comparing Eqs. (2.16) and (2.18) yields $Z_V = 1$.

Because of the modified renormalization condition for the renormalization of the axial vertex function, the computation of Z_A and $Z_M Z_P$ are coupled in the mSMOM scheme. The axial Ward identity, Eq. (2.6), can be rewritten in terms of renormalized quantities:

$$\frac{1}{Z_{\rm A}}q \cdot \Lambda_{{\rm A},R} - \frac{1}{Z_{M}Z_{\rm P}}2M_{R}i\Lambda_{{\rm P},R} = -\left\{\gamma_{5}iS_{R}(p_{2})^{-1} + iS_{R}(p_{3})^{-1}\gamma_{5}\right\}.$$
(2.19)

Two independent equations can be obtained by multipling Eq. (2.19) by $\gamma^5 \not q$ and γ_5 respectively, taking the trace, and evaluating correlators at the symmetric point. In the first case we obtain

$$(Z_{\rm A}-1) = \left(1 - \frac{Z_{\rm A}}{Z_M Z_{\rm P}}\right) C_{mP}, \qquad (2.20)$$

where

$$C_{mP} = \lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[2iM_R \Lambda_{P,R} \gamma_5 q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} \,.$$
(2.21)

The second equation instead yields

$$(Z_{\rm A} - 1)C_{qA} = -2Z_{\rm A} \left(1 - \frac{1}{Z_M Z_{\rm P}} \right),$$
 (2.22)

where we have introduced one more constant

$$Z_{\rm P}C_{q\rm A} = \lim_{M_R \to \overline{m}} \frac{1}{12M_R} \operatorname{Tr} \left[q \cdot \Lambda_{{\rm A},R} \gamma_5 \right] \big|_{\rm sym} \,. \tag{2.23}$$

It is easy to verify that $Z_A = 1$, $Z_M Z_P = 1$ is the unique solution of the system. It can be readily observed that given these condition Eq. (2.19) gives the correct renormalized axial WI. In particular Z_V and Z_A are independent of the renormalization scale μ .

3. Perturbative computation

We have checked all the above properties of the mSMOM scheme by performing an explicit one-loop computation in perturbation theory using dimensional regularization, keeping explicitly the dependence on the bare mass m. We start from the 1-loop expression

and rewrite the numerator in terms of scalar coefficients [9, 10] multiplying some form factors, depending on which vertex is being considered. The scalar coefficients are functions of m^2/μ^2 . Computing the vertex for all $\Gamma = S, P, V, A$ and the quark propagator at one loop, we check that the results satisfy the bare WIs and reproduce the results in Ref.[2] as $m \to 0$. We then use the renormalization conditions Eq. 2.9-2.14, and obtain $Z_V = Z_A = 1$, $Z_P = Z_S$, $Z_m Z_P = 1$.

The details of this calculation goes beyond the scope of these proceedings, and will be reported in details in a forthcoming publication.

4. Mass non-degenerate scheme

We will now consider the renormalization scheme for the case of non-singlet, mass nondegenerate vertex functions with the mass matrix taking the form

$$\mathscr{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0\\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix},\tag{4.1}$$

where *M* and *m* are masses of the heavy and the light quarks respectively. In what follows we will be interested in fermion bilinears of the form $O^+ = \overline{H}\Gamma l$ by choosing the flavor rotation matrix to be $\tau^a = \tau^+ = \frac{\sigma^+}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2)$. For clarity, we will leave the flavor index "+" explicit in the WIs, but will suppress it for the rest of the section to keep the notation simple. The curly letters $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S})$ denote the heavy-light bilinears. The vector and axial Ward identities are as follows:

$$q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{V}}^{+} = (M - m)\Lambda_{\mathscr{S}}^{+} + iS_{H}(p_{2})^{-1} - iS_{l}(p_{3})^{-1}, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{A}}^{+} = (M+m)i\Lambda_{\mathscr{P}}^{+} - \gamma_{5}iS_{H}(p_{2})^{-1} - iS_{l}(p_{3})^{-1}\gamma_{5}.$$
(4.3)

4.1 Modified renormalization conditions

The mSMOM scheme for the heavy-light mixed case is defined by imposing the following set of conditions at some reference mass \overline{m} :

$$\lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{V},R} - (M_R - m_R) \Lambda_{\mathscr{S},R} \right) q \right] \Big|_{\operatorname{sym}} = \lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(i \zeta^{-1} S_{H,R}(p_2)^{-1} - i \zeta S_{l,R}(p_3)^{-1} \right) q \right],$$
(4.4)

$$\lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{A},R} - (M_R + m_R)i\Lambda_{\mathscr{P},R} \right) \gamma_5 q \right] \Big|_{\text{sym}} = \lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(-i\gamma^5 \zeta^{-1} S_{H,R}(p_2)^{-1} - i\zeta S_{l,R}(p_3)^{-1} \gamma^5 \right) \gamma_5 q \right]$$

$$(4.5)$$

$$\lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \frac{1}{12i} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{\mathscr{P},R} \gamma_5 \right] \Big|_{\operatorname{sym}} = \lim_{\substack{m_R \to 0 \\ M_R \to \overline{m}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{12(M_R + m_R)} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[-i\zeta^{-1}S_{H,R}(p)^{-1} \right] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{A},R} \right) \gamma_5 \right] \Big|_{\operatorname{sym}} \right\} + \frac{1}{12(M_R + m_R)} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[-i\zeta S_{l,R}(p)^{-1} \right] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(q \cdot \Lambda_{\mathscr{A},R} \right) \gamma_5 \right] \Big|_{\operatorname{sym}} \right\} \right\}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

where ζ denotes the ratio of the light to the heavy field renormalizations, i.e. $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{Z_l}}{\sqrt{Z_H}}$. In the degenerate mass limit $\zeta \to 1$ and the mixed mSMOM prescription reduces to the mSMOM and

SMOM ones. The curly subscripts denote heavy-light mixed vertices. The renormalization conditions for Z_l , Z_H and Z_m remain unaltered as they are independently determined from the corresponding degenerate, massive and massless schemes of the previous sections. As usual the renormalization conditions are satisfied by the tree level values of the field correlators.

4.2 Renormalization constants

The renormalization constants in this scheme are obtained once again from the WIs. We multiply the vector WI Eq. 4.2 by q', take the trace and write the bare quantities in terms of the renormalized ones. Using Eq. 4.4 we obtain the solution $Z_{\psi} = 1$ and

$$Z_{\mathscr{S}} = \frac{\frac{M_R}{Z_M} - \frac{m_R}{Z_m}}{M_R - m_R}.$$
(4.7)

For the axial current we follow a similar procedure, starting from the bare mixed axial WI Eq. 4.3. Multiplying by $\gamma^5 \not q$ and γ_5 respectively and taking the trace gives two independent equations. In the first case we use Eq. 4.5 which gives $Z_{\mathscr{A}} = 1$ and

$$Z_{\mathscr{P}} = \frac{\frac{M_R}{Z_M Z_{\mathscr{P}}} + \frac{m_R}{Z_m Z_{\mathscr{P}}}}{M_R + m_R},$$
(4.8)

as a solution. Note that in the degenerate mass limit, we recover $Z_m Z_P = 1$. In the second case, we take the trace with γ^5 and make use of Eq. 4.6, to obtain the solutions $Z_{\mathscr{A}} = 1$ and $Z_{\mathscr{P}}$ as in Eq. 4.8. One can easily check that this solution is unique.

5. Lattice regularization

If we use lattice as a regulator, the axial WI takes the form

$$\nabla^*_{\mu} \langle A^a_{\mu}(x) \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle = 2m \langle P^a(x) \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle + \text{contact terms} + \langle X^a(x) \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(z) \rangle.$$
(5.1)

where $X^a(x)$ is the explicit chiral symmetry breaking term due to the lattice regulator. Since translational invariance of the action is recovered in the naive $a \rightarrow 0$ limit, the contribution from the explicit breaking by the regulator is given by by higher-dimensional operators $X^a(x) = aO_5^a(x)$, where the suffix 5 indicates the fact that these operators have classical dimension greater or equal to 5. In order to discuss the continuum limit of Eq. 5.1, each term needs to be renormalized. In particular the higher dimensional operator $O_5^a(x)$ mixes with the lower dimensional ones appearing in Eq. 5.1:

$$O_{5R}^{a}(x) = Z_{5} \left[O_{5}^{a}(x) + \frac{\overline{m}}{a} P^{a}(x) + \frac{Z_{A} - 1}{a} \nabla_{\mu}^{*} A_{\mu}^{a}(x) \right].$$
(5.2)

According to Ref. [3] the power divergences due to this mixing do not contribute to the anomalous dimensions, *i.e.* they do not depend on the renormalization scale μ to all orders of perturbation theory,

$$A^a_{R,\mu} = Z_{\mathcal{A}}\left(g,am\right)A^a_{\mu},\tag{5.3}$$

and the renormalized current satisfies the Ward identities up to terms of order a. It is important to notice that the dependence of Z_A on the mass is a lattice artefact.

6. Conclusion

We have developed a massive renormalization scheme, mSMOM, for non-singlet fermion bilinear operators in QCD with non-exceptional momenta away from the chiral limit. The renormalization conditions are imposed at some value \bar{m} of the renormalized mass. In the limit where $\bar{m} \rightarrow 0$, our scheme reduces to the familiar SMOM scheme [2].

We have shown that the renormalized WIs for the case of both degenerate and non-degenerate masses are satisfied non-perturbatively, giving $Z_V = 1$ and $Z_A = 1$ for conserved currents. In order to gain a better understanding of the properties of the mSMOM scheme we have performed an explicit one-loop computation in perturbations theory using dimensional regularization. Often on the lattice, we obtain local currents that have to be renormalized. In this case the renormalization constants can be obtained by taking ratios of vertex functions with an appropriate projector and using both SMOM and mSMOM conditions to extract Z_V^{local} and Z_A^{local} . The details of this procedure are deferred to a forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Claude Duhr for his help with the technical aspects of massive oneloop computations and the use of his Mathematica package PolyLogTools. AK is thankful to Andries Waelkens and Einan Gardi for helpful discussions regarding the perturbative calculations. LDD is supported by STFC, grant ST/L000458/1, and the Royal Society, Wolfson Research Merit Award, grant WM140078. AK is supported by SUPA Prize Studentship and Edinburgh Global Research Scholarship. LDD and AK acknowledge the warm hospitality of the TH department at CERN, where part of this work has been carried out. We are grateful to Andreas Jüttner, Chris Sachrajda, Agostino Patella, Guido Martinelli and Martin Lüscher for comments on early versions of the manuscript.

References

- G. Martinelli, C. Pittori, C. T. Sachrajda, M. Testa and A. Vladikas, Nucl. Phys. B 445 (1995) 81 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00126-D [hep-lat/9411010].
- [2] C. Sturm *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 014501 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.014501 [hep-ph/0901.2599].
- [3] M. Testa, JHEP 9804 (1998) 002 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/04/002 [hep-th/9803147].
- [4] T. Blum et al., Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 014504 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.014504 [hep-lat/0102005].
- [5] A. Vladikas, Modern perspectives in lattice QCD, Les Houches International School (2009), [hep-lat/1103.1323].
- [6] W. E. Caswell, A. D. Kennedy, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 392, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.25.392,
- [7] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 3497-3509, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.8.3497,
- [8] V. A. Smirnov, Feynman integral calculus (2006).
- [9] F. Chavez, C. Duhr, JHEP 11 (2012), doi: 10.1007/JHEP11(2012)114, [hep-ph/1209.2722].
- [10] A. V. Smirnov, Fire5 Mathematica Package, Algorithm FIRE Feynman Integral REduction, JHEP 10 (2008), doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/107, [hep-ph/0807.3243].