

OPTICAL ARCHIVAL SPECTRA OF BLAZAR CANDIDATES OF UNCERTAIN TYPE IN THE 3RD FERMI LARGE AREA TELESCOPE CATALOG

N. ÁLVAREZ CRESPO^{1,2}, F. MASSARO^{1,2}, R. D'ABRUSCO³, M. LANDONI⁴, N. MASETTI^{5,6}, V. CHAVUSHYAN⁷, E. JIMÉNEZ-BAILÓN⁸, F. LA FRANCA⁹, D. MILISAVLJEVIC³, A. PAGGI³, V. PATIÑO-ÁLVAREZ⁷, F. RICCI⁹ & HOWARD A. SMITH³

Draft version September 21, 2016

ABSTRACT

Despite the fact that blazars constitute the rarest class among active galactic nuclei (AGNs) they are the largest known population of associated γ -ray sources. Many of the γ -ray objects listed in the *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope Third Source catalog (3FGL) are classified as blazar candidates of uncertain type (BCUs), either because they show multifrequency behaviour similar to blazars but lacking optical spectra in the literature, or because the quality of such spectra is too low to confirm their nature. Here we select, out of 585 BCUs in the 3FGL, 42 BCUs which we identify as probable blazars by their WISE infrared colors and which also have optical spectra that are available in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and/or Six-Degree Field Galaxy Survey Database (6dFGS). We confirm the blazar nature of all of the sources. We furthermore conclude that 28 of them are BL Lacs, 8 are radio-loud quasars with flat radio spectrum and 6 are BL Lac whose emission is dominated by their host galaxy.

Subject headings: galaxies: active - galaxies: BL Lacertae objects - radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the unification scenario of radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs), blazars are an extreme class of extragalactic sources, whose emission is due to a relativistic jet pointed closely aligned towards the observer's line of sight (see e.g. Blandford & Rees 1978; Urry & Padovani 1995). There are two main subclasses of blazars, flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ) and BL Lac objects. We label them according to the nomenclature of the Roma-BZCAT catalog (Massaro et al. 2009): if their optical spectrum is featureless or shows only optical emission/absorption lines with equivalent widths $EW < 5\text{\AA}$ (Stickel et al. 1991) the blazar is classified as BL Lac (i.e., BZB), while if it shows a typical quasar-like optical spectrum it is labeled as blazar of quasar type (i.e., BZQ). We also indicate BL Lacs exhibiting optical spectra of a typical elliptical galaxy (with a low Ca H&K break contrast) as BL Lacs of galaxy type (BZGs, see e.g. Massaro et al. 2012a, 2015 for details).

Although they have a low sky density (~ 0.1 sources/degree²), blazars constitute the most numerous population of extragalactic γ -ray sources. According to the Third catalog of active galactic nuclei detected by the *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope (3LAC, Ackermann et al.

2015), 29% of the sources detected are FSRQs and 41% BL Lacs. Also 28% of the sources detected above 100 MeV present multifrequency behaviour similar to blazars (e.g. flat radio spectra and/or X-ray counterpart, see e.g. Ackermann et al. 2015 and references therein), but often no optical spectra are available to precisely determine its class, or their signal-to-noise ratio could be too low to allow us a precise determination of their nature (Ackermann et al. 2012). When such situation occur γ -ray objects are classified as blazar candidates of uncertain type (BCUs). These sources belong to the γ -ray class labelled as active galaxies of uncertain type (AGUs) in the first and second releases of the *Fermi*-LAT Point Source Catalog (1FGL, Abdo et al. 2010 and 2FGL, Nolan et al. 2012). According to the 3LAC, the BCU sources are divided into three sub-types:

- BCU I: The counterpart has a published optical spectrum but it is not sensitive enough for a classification as a FSRQ or a BL Lac.
- BCU II: The counterpart is lacking an optical spectrum but a reliable evaluation of the SED synchrotron-peak position is possible.
- BCU III: The counterpart is lacking both an optical spectrum and an estimated synchrotron-peak position but shows blazar-like broadband emission and a flat radio spectrum.

Using the infrared (IR) colors as proxy of the blazar-like behavior, Massaro et al. (2012b) showed that BCUs feature the same IR emission as known γ -ray blazars (Massaro et al. 2011; D'Abrusco et al. 2012). Motivated by this result, a search of IR selected blazar candidates was also extended to include the unidentified/unassociated γ -ray sources (see e.g., Massaro et al. 2012a; D'Abrusco et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2013c) with different procedures developed and follow up observations (see e.g., Álvarez Crespo et al. 2016a, Álvarez Crespo et al. 2016b).

¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy

³ Harvard - Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁴ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy

⁵ INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica di Bologna, via Gobetti 101, 40129, Bologna, Italy

⁶ Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Fernández Concha 700, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

⁷ Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Apartado Postal 51-216, 72000 Puebla, México

⁸ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 877, Ensenada, 22800 Baja California, México

⁹ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146, Roma, Italy

Here we combine the study of the IR colors of the BCUs with the search of the optical spectra available in the latest releases of Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS DR12, Alam et al. 2015) and Six-Degree Field Galaxy Survey Database (6dFGS DR3, Jones et al. 2009), aiming to confirm their BL Lac or FSRQ nature and estimate their redshifts.

This updated search in the literature is complementary to our investigation of multifrequency observations (see e.g., Cowperthwaite et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2013a; Paggi et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2013b) and to the optical spectroscopic campaign carried out to confirm the blazar-like nature of BCUs and γ -ray blazar candidates selected to be potential counterparts of the UGSs (see e.g., Paggi et al. 2014; Massaro et al. 2015; Landoni et al. 2015; Ricci et al. 2015).

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the sample considered for the analysis and the underlying selection criteria; Section 3 is devoted to the archival optical analysis. Section 4 details the emission/absorption features of the sources. Finally, the summary and conclusions are given in Section 5.

We use cgs units unless otherwise stated. Spectral indices, α , are defined by flux density $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ and flat spectra when sources with $\alpha < 0.5$. WISE magnitude at [3.4], [4.6], [12], [22] μm (i.e., the nominal bands) are in the Vega system. WISE magnitudes are not corrected for the Galactic extinction since, as shown in our previous analyses, such corrections only affects significantly (i.e., but less than $\sim 3\%$) the magnitude at 3.4μ for sources lying at low Galactic latitudes (see e.g. D’Abrusco et al. 2014). This will also allow us a direct comparison with color-color diagrams published in previous works (Massaro et al. 2012a; Massaro et al. 2012b).

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

Our initial sample consists of 585 BCUs selected from the 3FGL. We first searched for the counterpart in the WISE all-sky survey in the AllWISE Source Catalog (Cutri et al. 2012) with a search radius of $3.3''$ (see D’Abrusco et al. 2014), to test whether their IR colors are consistent with those of known Fermi blazars (Massaro et al. 2011). In Figures 1 and 2 we overlay the IR counterpart of the BCUs in the [3.4] - [4.6] vs [4.6] - [12] color-color plane, locating those compatible with known γ -ray emitting BZBs and BZQs. The BZQs are located in the redder part of the locus occupied by the gamma-ray emitting blazars, while the BL Lacs lie on the bluer part, according to Massaro et al. (2012a). Afterwards we cross-matched the sky positions of their radio counterparts with SDSS DR12 and 6dFGS DR3 within 2 arcsec radius chosen, searching for the sources in the footprint of both surveys. We found 124 unique matches in the SDSS and 73 in the 6dFGS for the BCUs selected within 2 arcsec, however out of these crossmatches only 15 in SDSS and 22 on 6dFGS had a spectrum available

SDSS DR12 represents the culmination of the third phase of the survey. It includes all data taken through 14 July 2014, and encompasses more than 1/3 of the entire celestial sphere, more than four million spectra (see Alam et al. 2015 for more details). On the other hand 6dFGS is a combined redshift and peculiar velocity survey which covers most of the southern sky, excluding galactic latitudes $|b| < 10$ degrees (see Jones et al. 2004; Jones et al. 2009).

We collected spectra and classified each source, determining the redshift in the cases where emission/absorption features were clear. We classify the source as noted above: as a BZB if the $EW_{rest} < 5\text{\AA}$ when detected, as a BZG

if the emission is dominated by the host elliptical galaxy rather than by non-thermal continuum arising from the jet (Massaro et al. 2012a); and when the $EW_{rest} > 5\text{\AA}$ and flat radio spectrum, it appears as a BZQ.

In Table 1 we report the 1FGL, 2FGL and 3FGL names together with their classifications and the assigned counterpart for each release of the Fermi catalogs. In Table 2 we report the name of the BCUs in both 3FGL and WISE all-sky survey in the Allwise Source catalog¹⁰ together with the survey name, the quality of the spectra for 6dFGS or the signal to noise ratio for SDSS, the classification and the redshift. In Table 3 we report the BCUs already included in the BZCAT, with the 3FGL name, the WISE all-sky survey name, the telescope, the quality of the spectra for the cases in which it was found in the 6dFGS, the classification, the redshift and some notes about each source.

3. ARCHIVAL OPTICAL ANALYSIS

We visually inspected all the optical spectra to avoid misclassifications due to artefacts from the automated spectral analysis and line identifications. The SDSS and 6dFGS classification performed by their automatic procedures sometimes give a value for the redshift for BZBs even though there is no clear evidence of emission/absorption lines. We ignore them, using our own values.

We emphasize that in the 6dFGS, redshift measurements are obtained semi-automatically, and spectra are assigned a quality value Q based on visual inspection on a scale of 1 to 6 through assessment of every redshift. Q = 1 is assigned to unusable measurements, Q = 2 to possible but unlikely redshifts, Q = 3 for reliable redshifts and Q = 4 for high-quality redshifts (Jones et al. 2009). The quality of the spectra in the 6dFGS does not stand for the quality in terms of signal to noise as it is required in the 3LAC for the classification of a source as a BCU I, but only a visual assessment of the redshift. Since by definition most BL Lacs lack of emission/absorption features, their redshifts cannot be measured, so it is expected that the quality given by 6dFGS is Q=1,2. To confirm this statement, we searched for sources classified as BL Lacs in the BZCAT in the footprint of 6dFGS, and checked the quality of the spectra. From the 82 BL Lacs with a spectrum in the 6dFGS, 51 have Q=1, 16 Q=2, 10 Q=3 and 5 Q=4. Consequently, even if Q=1 or Q=2, if we do not see any feature we classify the source as BZB.

Nine sources of our initial sample were already reported in the Roma-BZCAT, five of them outside the footprint of both sources considered. We include them in our analysis because they became available after the 3LAC publication, so we can update the latest release of the Fermi AGN catalog. For those outside the footprint we found their spectra in the literature and we were able to update the 3LAC classification. We report these Roma-BZCAT sources on Table (3) and the literature for which we found information is in the column (7), together with the Roma-BZCAT name.

We found that 28 sources are BZBs, and confirm/estimate the redshift only for 3 of them. Eight sources are classified as BZQs because they have a flat spectrum ($\alpha < 0.5$) in the radio band at 1-8 GHz and/or even at lower frequencies (Massaro et al. 2013b; Massaro et al. 2013d). The remaining six sources are classified as BZGs.

4. SOURCE DETAILS

¹⁰ <http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allwise/>

Here we report the details of the emission/absorption features found in each source. If the object is a featureless BZB, we do not mention it.

3FGL J0009.6-3211 was classified as a BZG at $z=0.02$ because of the absorption features G band ($\lambda = 4416.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 18.1\text{\AA}$), Mg ($\lambda = 5312.7\text{\AA}$, $EW = 4.9\text{\AA}$) and Na ($\lambda = 6052.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 3.3\text{\AA}$); and the emission line H α ($\lambda = 6763.8\text{\AA}$, $EW = 1.8\text{\AA}$).

3FGL J0028.8+1951 was found to be a BZQ at $z=1.55$ because of the features C IV ($\lambda = 3949.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 106.2\text{\AA}$); He II ($\lambda = 4178.5\text{\AA}$, $EW = 4.8\text{\AA}$); [C III] ($\lambda = 4862.6\text{\AA}$, $EW = 17.0\text{\AA}$); Mg ($\lambda = 7137.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 29.3\text{\AA}$); [O III] ($\lambda = 9494.6\text{\AA}$, $EW = 8.6\text{\AA}$).

We found for the source 3FGL J0339.2-1738 the absorption features Ca H&K ($\lambda = 3630.9\text{\AA}$, $EW > 50.6\text{\AA}$), Mg ($\lambda = 3630.9\text{\AA}$, $EW > 50.6\text{\AA}$), Na ($\lambda = 3630.9\text{\AA}$, $EW > 50.6\text{\AA}$). It is a BZG at $z=0.06$.

For the source 3FGL J0904.3+4240 we find the following features: C IV ($\lambda = 3630.9\text{\AA}$, $EW > 50.6\text{\AA}$); [C III] ($\lambda = 4462.1\text{\AA}$, $EW = 39.9\text{\AA}$); Mg ($\lambda = 6562.6\text{\AA}$, $EW = 61.4\text{\AA}$) and O II ($\lambda = 8727.6\text{\AA}$, $EW = 13.9\text{\AA}$). Then we classify the source as a BZQ at $z=1.34$

In 3FGL J1003.6+2608 assuming the line identified is O II ($\lambda = 7194.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 4.9\text{\AA}$) the redshift estimate is $z=0.93$ for the BZB.

In 3FGL J1315.4+1130 there is an absorption feature, CA H&K ($\lambda = 6801.7 - 6868.3\text{\AA}$, $EW_{obs} = 2.0 - 4.4\text{\AA}$) that enables us to measure a redshift of $z = 0.73$. and to classify it as a BZB.

We classify the source 3FGL J1322.1+0838 as a BZQ at $z=0.32$ because of the identification of the lines Mg ($\lambda = 3683.4\text{\AA}$, $EW > 48.7\text{\AA}$); Ca H&K ($\lambda = 5218.6 - 5268.4\text{\AA}$, $EW = 1.6 - 1.1\text{\AA}$); [O III] ($\lambda = 6638.5\text{\AA}$, $EW = 1.8\text{\AA}$); H α ($\lambda = 8726.1\text{\AA}$, $EW = 4.7\text{\AA}$).

For 3FGL J1342.7+0945 we were able to identify the absorption features Ca H&K ($\lambda = 5048.5 - 5091.6\text{\AA}$, $EW = 1.8 - 2.0\text{\AA}$) and Mg ($\lambda = 6636.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 1.9\text{\AA}$); and the emission lines O II ($\lambda = 4782.3\text{\AA}$, $EW = 2.4\text{\AA}$) and H α ($\lambda = 8436.4\text{\AA}$, $EW = 5.9\text{\AA}$). It is a BZG at $z = 0.28$.

In 3FGL J1412.0+5249, due to the absorption features Ca H&K ($\lambda = 4236.1 - 4271.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 8.7 - 6.0\text{\AA}$); G band ($\lambda = 4633.3\text{\AA}$, $EW = 6.5\text{\AA}$); Mg ($\lambda = 5571.1\text{\AA}$, $EW = 6.0\text{\AA}$) and Na ($\lambda = 6325.9\text{\AA}$, $EW = 3.9\text{\AA}$), we classify it as a BZG at $z=0.08$.

The source 3FGL J2346.7+0705 is a BZB, but due to the absorption feature Ca H&K ($\lambda = 4612.2 - 4649.0\text{\AA}$, $EW = 0.3 - 0.9\text{\AA}$) it is possible to estimate a redshift of $z=0.17$.

In Figures 3, 4 and 5 we show the optical spectra for each one of the classifications of our analysis, as an example of a BL Lac, a BZQ and a BZG.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We performed a combined analysis of the mid-IR properties of the 43 BCUs in the 3LAC with the optical spectra. In Figures 1 and 2 we plot the positions of the BZBs and BZQs respectively, in the WISE IR color-color space in comparison with the γ -ray blazars from the WIBRaLS catalog that define the so called locus (peculiar position of blazars in the IR color-color space, D'Abrusco et al. 2014). The BZQs are located in the redder part of the locus, and the BL Lacs on the

bluer part.

For those sources consistent with being a BL Lac or a FSRQ, we searched for archival optical spectra in both surveys SDSS DR12 (Alam et al. 2015) and 6dFGS DR3 (Jones et al. 2009) to confirm their nature and whenever possible to estimate their redshifts.

Based on the spectra of both surveys, we classified 28 sources as BL Lacs and determined the redshift for 3 of them, 8 as FSRQ for which there was radio information available in the literature and 6 BZGs. We corrected the automatic classification and redshift measurements for 3FGL J1315.4+1130. It is classified as a QSO as SDSS, but measuring the EW_{rest} of the emission lines we detected it is indeed a BL Lac, and we were able to measure a redshift of $z = 0.73$ instead of the $z = 1.56$ given by their automated classification.

We emphasize that our analysis will be useful not only to reduce the number of unclassified sources in the future release for the *Fermi* catalogs, but to improve our knowledge on the luminosity function of each of the different blazar classes (see e.g., Ajello et al. 2012) and thus help determine their contributions to the extragalactic background (see e.g., Ajello et al. 2014). This will translate into more stringent limits on the dark matter annihilation (Ajello et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2015) as well as on the imprint of the extragalactic background light on BL Lac spectra (see e.g., Ackermann et al. 2012). Our investigation will also be useful to select of potential targets for the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) (Massaro et al. 2013d; Arsioli et al. 2015) in the near future.




FIG. 1.— Projection of the BZBs of our sample in the WISE gamma-ray strip in the $[3.4] - [4.6]$ versus $[4.6] - [12]$ color-color plane.




FIG. 2.— Projection of the BZQs of our sample in the WISE gamma-ray strip in the $[3.4] - [4.6]$ versus $[4.6] - [12]$ color-color plane.




FIG. 3.— The optical spectrum of WISE J131532.62+113331.7 from the SDSS, potential counterpart of 3FGL J1315.4+1130. It is classified as a BZB at $z=0.7$ on the basis of its featureless optical spectrum, dominated by non-thermal emission from the jet. The average signal to noise is 36.




FIG. 4.— The optical spectrum of WISE J002829.81+200026.7 from the SDSS, potential counterpart of 3FGL J0028.8+1951. Classified as a BZQ at $z=1.5517$ because of the identification of the lines C IV, He II, [C III], Mg and [O II]. The average signal to noise is 16.




FIG. 5.— The optical spectrum of WISE J141149.44+524900.2 from the SDSS, potential counterpart of 3FGL J1412.0+5249. The spectrum is dominated by the emission of the host elliptical galaxy and shows the doublet Ca H+K, the G band, [O III], Mg, He I, Na, H α and Ar III. These features corresponds to a redshift of $z = 0.07649$. The average signal to noise is 41

This investigation is supported by the NASA grants NNX12AO97G and NNX13AP20G. The work by G. Tosti is supported by the ASI/INAF contract I/005/12/0. H. A. Smith acknowledges partial support from NASA/JPL grant RSA 1369566 and NASA grant NNX14. HOF was funded by a postdoctoral UNAM grant and is currently granted by a Cátedra CONACyT para Jóvenes Investigadores. V. Chavushyan acknowledges funding by CONACyT research grant 151494 (México). Part of this work is based on archival data, software or on-line services provided by the ASI Science Data Center. This research has made use of data obtained from the high-energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC) provided by NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center; the SIMBAD database operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France; the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS-

IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org. SDSS-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, the Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU) / University of Tokyo, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatory of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatorio Nacional / MCTI, the Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University. TOPCAT¹¹ (Taylor 2005) for the preparation and manipulation of the tabular data and the images.

REFERENCES

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al. 2010 *ApJS*, 188, 405
 Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 218, 23
 Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A. et al. 2012 *ApJ*, 753, 83
 Ackermann, M. et al. 2015 *JCAP*, 09, 008
 Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W. B., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 14
 Ajello, M., Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W. et al. 2012 *ApJ*, 751, 108
 Ajello, M., Romani, R.W., Gasparrini, D., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 73
 Ajello, M.; Gasparrini, D.; Sánchez-Conde, M., et al. 2015 *ApJ*, 800L, 27
 Alam, S., Albareti, F., Allende Prieto, C. et al., 2015, *ApJS*, 219, 12
 Álvarez Crespo, N., Masetti, N., Landoni, M. et al. 2016 *AJ*, 151, 32
 Álvarez Crespo, N., Massaro, F., Milisavljevic, D., et al. 2016 *AJ*, 151, 95
 Arsioli, B., Fraga, B., Giommi, P., Padovani, P. & Marrese, P. M. 2015 *A&A*, 579A, 34
 Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. 1978, *Phys. Scr.*, 17, 265
 Britzen, S., Brinkmann, W., Campbell, R. M. et al. 2007 *A&A*, 476, 759
 Cowperthwaite, Philip S., Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2013 *AJ*, 146, 110
 Cutri, R. M., Wright, E. L., Conrow, T. et al. 2012, *wiserept*, 1C
 D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Ajello, M. et al. 2012 *ApJ*, 748, 68
 D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2013 *ApJS*, 206, 12
 D'Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2014, *ApJS*, 215, 14
 Jones, D. H., Read, M. A., Saunders, W., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 683
 Jones, D. H., Saunders, W., Colless, M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 747
 Landoni, M., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2015 *AJ*, 149, 163
 Massaro, E., Giommi, P., Leto, C. et al. 2009 *A&A*, 495, 691
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Ajello, M., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 740, L48
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Tosti, G. et al. 2012a *ApJ*, 752, 61
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Tosti, G. et al. 2012b *ApJ*, 750, 138
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Paggi, A. et al. 2013a *ApJS*, 206, 13
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Giroletti, M. et al. 2013b *ApJS*, 207, 4
 Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Paggi, A. et al. 2013c *ApJS*, 209, 10
 Massaro, F., Giroletti, M., Paggi, A. et al. 2013d *ApJS*, 208, 15
 Massaro, F., Masetti, N., D'Abrusco, R., et al. 2014b, *AJ*, 148, 66
 Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C. et al.: 'Multifrequency Catalogue of blazars 5th Edition', Aracne, Roma (2015)
 Massaro, F., Landoni, M., D'Abrusco, R. et al.. 2015, *A&A*, 575, 124
 Nolan, P. L., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M. et al. 2012 *ApJS*, 199, 31
 Paggi, A., Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R. et al. 2013 *ApJS*, 209, 9
 Paggi, A., Milisavljevic, D., Masetti, N. et al. 2014 *AJ*, 147, 112
 Ricci F., Massaro F., Landoni M. et al. 2015, *AJ*, 149, 160
 Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W.; Cotter, G. et al. 2013 *ApJ*, 764, 135
 Stickel, M., Padovani, P., Urry, C. M., Fried, J. W. & Kuehr, H. 1991 *ApJ*, 374, 431
 Taylor, M. B. 2005, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIV*, eds. P. Shopbell, M. Britton, & R. Ebert, ASP Conf. Ser., 347, 29
 Titov, O., Jauncey, D. L., Johnston, H. M. et al. 2011 *AJ*, 142, 165
 Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, *PASP*, 107, 803
 Vermeulen, R. C., & Taylor, G. B. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 1983
 Wisotzki, L., Christlieb, N., Bade, N., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 358, 77
 Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868

¹¹ <http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/>

TABLE 1
GAMMA-RAY NAME, CLASSIFICATION AND COUNTERPARTS IN THE *Fermi* CATALOGS

1FGL name	1FGL class	1FGL ctp	2FGL name	2FGL class	2FGL ctp	3FGL name	3LAC class	3FGL ctp
J0008.9+0635	bll	CRATES J0009+0628	J0009.0+0632	bll	CRATES J0009+0628	J0003.8-1151 J0009.6-3211 J0028.8+1951 J0030.2-1646 J0043.5-0444 J0146.6-5206 J0147.0-5204 J0156.9-4742 J0255.8+0532 J0301.8-7157	bcu II bcu I bcu III bcu II bcu II bcu I bcu II bcu II bcu II	PMN J0004-1148 IC 1531 TXS 0025+197 1RXS J003019.6-164723 1RXS J004333.7-044257 PKS 0144-522 2MASS J01564603-4744174 PMN J0255+0533 PKS 0301-721
J0339.1-1734	agn	PKS0336-177	J0339.2-1734	agn	PKS 0336-177	J0339.2-1738 J0343.3+3622 J0433.4-6029 J0435.1-2341 J0439.8-1858	bcu I bcu I bcu II bcu I bcu II	PKS 0336-177 OE 367 PKS 0432-606 PMN J0434-2342 PMN J0439-1900
J0439.8-1857			J0439.8-1858			J0439.9-1859	bcu II	PMN J0439-1900
J0521.6+0103			J0521.9+0108	fsrq	PKS0519+01	J0521.7+0103	bcu II	NVSS J052140+010257
			J0730.6-6607	agu	PMN J0730-6602	J0730.5-6606 J0827.2-0711	bcu II bcu I	PMN J0730-6602 PMN J0827-0708
			J0903.6+4238	agn	S4 0900+42	J0904.3+4240 J0921.0-2258 J1003.6+2608	bcu II bcu II bcu I	S4 0900+42 NVSS J092057-225721 PKS 1000+26
J1040.5+0616			J1040.7+0614	fsrq	4C +06.41	J1040.4+0615 J1040.8+1342 J1125.0-2101 J1129.4-4215 J1200.8+1228	bcu II bcu II bcu II bcu I bcu II	GB6 J1040+0617 1RXS J104057.7+134216 PMN J1125-2100 SUMSS J113006-421441 GB6 J1200+1230
J1256.5-1148	agu	CRATES J1256-1146	J1256.5-1145	agn	PMN J1256-1146	J1256.3-1146 J1315.4+1130 J1322.3+0839 J1340.6-0408 J1342.7+0945 J1412.0+5249 J1549.5+1709 J1636.7+2624	bcu I bcu II bcu II bcu II bcu II bcu I bcu II bcu II	PMN J1256-1146 1RXS J131531.9+113327 NVSS J132210+084231 NVSS J134042-041006 NVSS J134240+094752 SBS 1410+530 MG1 J154930+1708 NVSS J163651+262657
J1322.1+0838			J1340.5-0412			J1656.8-2010 J1954.4-1607	bcu II bcu II	1RXS J165655.0-201049 1RXS J195500.6-160328
J1340.5-0413						J1955.0-1605 J2104.2-0211 J2109.1-6638 J2118.0-3241 J2232.9-2021	bcu II bcu II bcu II bcu I bcu II	1RXS J195500.6-160328 NVSS J210421-021239 PKS 2104-668 NVSS J211754-324326 1RXS J223249.5-202232
J2108.6-6646	agu	PKS 2104-668	J2108.9-6636	agu	PKS 2104-668	J2109.1-6638 J2118.0-3241 J2232.9-2021	bcu II bcu I bcu II	PKS 2104-668 NVSS J211754-324326 1RXS J223249.5-202232
J2118.3-3237			J2317.3-4534	agu	1RXS J231733.0-453348	J2317.3-4534 J2328.4-4034 J2346.7+0705	bcu II bcu I bcu II	1RXS J231733.0-453348 PKS 2325-408 TXS 2344+068

bcu = AGN of uncertain type; bzb = bl = BL Lac; bzb = blazar of QSO type Column description. (1): 1FGL name, (2): 1FGL classification, (3): 1FGL assigned counterpart, (4): 2FGL name, (5): 2FGL classification, (6): 2FGL assigned counterpart, (7): 3FGL name, (8): 3LAC classification, (9): 3FGL assigned counterpart.

TABLE 2

3FGL name	WISE name	Survey\telescope name	Q 6dFG	SN SSDS	classification	z
J0009.6-3211	J000935.55-321636.8	6dFGS	4	-	bzg	0.02
J0028.8+1951	J002829.81+200026.7	SDSS	-	24	bzq	1.55
J0030.2-1646	J003019.40-164711.7	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J0043.5-0444	J004334.12-044300.6	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	1.63
J0156.9-4742	J015646.03-474417.3	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J0255.8+0532	J025549.51+053355.0	SDSS	-	32	bzb	
J0339.2-1738	J033913.70-173600.8	6dFGS	4	-	bzg	0.06
J0439.9-1859	J043949.72-190101.5	6dFGS	2	-	bzb	
J0521.7+0103	J052140.82+010255.5	SDSS	-	27	bzb	
J0730.5-6606	J073049.51-660218.9	6dFGS	4	-	bzb	
J0827.2-0711	J082706.16-070845.9	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J0904.3+4240	J090415.62+423804.5	SDSS	-	15	bzq	1.34
J0921.0-2258	J092057.47-225721.5	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J1003.6+2608	J100342.22+260512.8	SDSS	-	8	bzb	0.93
J1040.4+0615	J104031.62+061721.7	SDSS	-	9	bzb	
J1040.8+1342	J104057.69+134211.7	SDSS	-	16	bzb	
J1125.0-2101	J112508.62-210105.9	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J1129.4-4215	J113007.04-421440.9	6dFGS	3	-	bzb	
J1200.8+1228	J120040.03+123103.2	SDSS	-	16	bzb	
J1315.4+1130	J131532.62+113331.7	SDSS	-	13	bzb	0.73
J1322.3+0839	J132210.17+084232.9	SDSS	-	41	bzq	0.32
J1340.6-0408	J134042.02-041006.8	6dFGS	2	-	bzb	
J1342.7+0945	J134240.02+094752.4	SDSS	-	32	bzg	0.28
J1412.0+5249	J141149.44+524900.2	SDSS	-	44	bzg	0.08
J1549.5+1709	J154929.28+170828.1	SDSS	-	6	bzb	
J1636.7+2624	J163651.46+262656.7	SDSS	-	21	bzb	
J1656.8-2010	J165655.14-201056.2	6dFGS	2	-	bzb	
J1955.0-1605	J195500.65-160338.4	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J2104.2-0211	J210421.92-021239.0	6dFGS	2	-	bzb	
J2118.0-3241	J211754.91-324328.2	6dFGS	4	-	bzb	
J2232.9-2021	J223248.80-202226.2	6dFGS	1	-	bzb	
J2317.3-4534	J231731.98-453359.6	6dFG	1	-	bzb	
J2346.7+0705	J234639.93+070506.8	SDSS	-	73	bzb	0.17

Column description: (1): 3FGL name (*) indicates sources in the in the Roma-BZCAT, (2): WISE name, (3): Survey/telescope: Six-Degree Field Galaxy Survey Database (6dFGS), Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), (4): quality of the spectra in 6dFGS, (5): signal to noise ratio in the SDSS, (6): source classification, (7): redshift.

TABLE 3

3FGL name	WISE name	Survey\telescope name	Q 6dFGS	classification	z	Notes
J0003.8-1151	J000404.91-114858.3	6dFGS	4	bzb		5BZB J0004-1148
J0147.0-5204	J014648.58-520233.5	6dFGS	4	bzg	0.09	5BZG J0146-5202
J0301.8-7157*	J030138.47-715634.5	GemN.		bzq	0.82	Titov+13, 5BZQ J0301-7156
J0343.3+3622*	J034328.94+362212.4	Palomar		bzq	1.48	Vermeulen+95, 5BZQ J0343+3622
J0433.7-6028*	J043334.59-603010.3	GemN.		bzq	0.93	5BZQ J0433-6030
J0434.4-2341*	J043428.98-234205.3	NTT		bzb		Shaw+13, 5BZB J0434-2342
J1256.3-1146	J125615.95-114637.3	6dFGS	4	bzg	0.06	5BZG J1256-1146
J2109.1-6638*	J210851.80-663722.7	6dFGS+GemN.		bzb		Titov+13, 5BZB J2108-6637
J2328.4-4034*	J232819.26-403509.8	GemN.		bzq	1.97	5BZQ J2328-4035

Column description: (1): 3FGL name (*) indicates sources in the in the Roma-BZCAT, (2): WISE name, (3): Survey/telescope: Six-Degree Field Galaxy Survey Database (6dFGS), Gemini North telescope (GemN), Palomar Observatory (Palomar), New Technology Telescope (NTT), Multiple Mirror Telescope (MMT), (4): quality of the spectra in 6dFGS, (5): signal to noise ratio in the telescopes, (6): source classification, (7): redshift, (8): notes.