

# The Gamma-ray Blazar Quest: new optical spectra, state of art and future perspectives

F. Massaro<sup>1,2</sup>, N. Álvarez Crespo<sup>1,2</sup>, R. D'Abrusco<sup>3</sup>, M. Landoni<sup>4</sup>, N. Masetti<sup>5,6</sup>, F. Ricci<sup>7</sup>, D. Milisavljevic<sup>8</sup>, A. Paggi<sup>8</sup>, V. Chavushyan<sup>9</sup>, E. Jiménez-Bailón<sup>10</sup>, V. Patiño-Álvarez<sup>9</sup>, J. Strader<sup>11</sup>, L. Chomiuk<sup>11</sup>, F. La Franca<sup>7</sup>, Howard A. Smith<sup>4</sup> & G. Tosti<sup>12</sup>

**Abstract** We recently developed a procedure to recognize  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates within the positional uncertainty regions of the unidentified/unassociated  $\gamma$ -ray sources (UGSs). Such procedure was based on the discovery that *Fermi* blazars show peculiar infrared colors. However, to confirm the real nature of the selected candidates, optical spectroscopic data are necessary. Thus, we performed an extensive archival search for spectra available in the literature in parallel with an optical spectroscopic campaign aimed to reveal and confirm the nature of the selected  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates. Here, we first search for optical spectra of a

selected sample of  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates that can be potential counterparts of UGSs using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS DR12). This search enables us to update the archival search carried out to date. We also describe the state-of-art and the future perspectives of our campaign to discover previously unknown  $\gamma$ -ray blazars.

**Keywords** galaxies: active - galaxies: BL Lacertae objects - quasars: general - surveys - radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

F. Massaro, N. Álvarez Crespo, R. D'Abrusco, M. Landoni, N. Masetti, F. Ricci, D. Milisavljevic, A. Paggi, V. Chavushyan, E. Jiménez-Bailón, V. Patiño-Álvarez, J. Strader, L. Chomiuk, F. La Franca, Howard A. Smith & G. Tosti

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, University of Napoli Federico II, via Cinthia 9, 80126 Napoli, Italy

<sup>4</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy

<sup>5</sup>INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica di Bologna, via Gobetti 101, 40129, Bologna, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Fernández Concha 700, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

<sup>7</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146, Roma, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Harvard - Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>9</sup>Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Apartado Postal 51-216, 72000 Puebla, México

<sup>10</sup>Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 877, Ensenada, 22800 Baja California, México

<sup>11</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

<sup>12</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Perugia, 06123 Perugia, Italy

## 1 Introduction

The first observations performed with the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET; Thompson et al. 1993) revealed that the largest fraction of sources detected in the MeV-GeV energy range were unidentified/unassociated. According to the latest versions of the 3<sup>rd</sup> EGRET (Hartman et al. 1999; Casandjian & Grenier 2008) source catalog, the fraction of  $\gamma$ -ray objects with an unknown origin is  $\sim 60\%$ . This is mostly due to the large positional uncertainties of the  $\gamma$ -ray sources being up to an order of magnitude greater than those at lower energies. Thus, the association of  $\gamma$ -ray sources with their low energy counterparts is one of the most demanding task for modern  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy (see e.g., Thompson 2008; Massaro et al. 2012a; Massaro et al. 2016).

The study of the unidentified/unassociated  $\gamma$ -ray sources (UGSs) was set as one of the major scientific goals of the *Fermi* mission (Atwood et al. 2009). Thanks to the major improvements achieved in the source localization with the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board of *Fermi*, the “source association task” has been greatly simplified. This was also due to the improvements of statistical methods used to search for low energy counterparts of *Fermi* detected objects (see e.g., Abdo et al. 2010a; Abdo et al. 2010b). Difficulties on the source association in  $\gamma$ -rays is partially mitigated by another aspect: the largest fraction of known  $\gamma$ -ray

emitters is associated with the rarest class of active galaxies: the blazars. In the *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope (LAT) third source catalog (3FGL; Acero et al. 2015) blazars constitute about 36% of the *Fermi* sources.

Blazars are generally divided in two classes on the basis of the equivalent width (EW) of their optical spectral features (see e.g., Stickel et al. 1991). When presenting featureless spectra or with emission/absorption lines with  $EW < 5\text{\AA}$  they are classified as BL Lac objects, while those showing quasar-like optical spectra coupled with a flat radio spectrum are known as flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ; see also Urry & Padovani 1995, for more details on the blazar properties). Following the nomenclature proposed in the multifrequency catalog of Blazars (*Roma-BZCAT*; Massaro et al. 2015b), we indicate the former class as BZB while the latter one as BZQ. We also considered the blazar of galaxy type (indicated as BZG), according to the definition presented in the latest release of the *Roma-BZCAT* catalog<sup>1</sup> (Massaro et al. 2009), being sources whose multifrequency emission exhibits some properties of blazars but appears dominated by the host galaxy contribution in the optical-ultraviolet energy range (Massaro et al. 2012b; Massaro et al. 2014).

Across all the releases of the *Fermi*-LAT source catalogs, the fraction of UGSs does not seem to decrease significantly. In the First *Fermi*-LAT source catalog (1FGL Abdo et al. 2010a) there were 630 out of 1451 unassociated *Fermi* objects (i.e.,  $\sim 43\%$ ), fraction that decreases to 34% in the Second *Fermi*-LAT source catalog (2FGL Nolan et al. 2012) then being  $\sim 33\%$  in the 3FGL. This is in agreement with the fact that even as more distant and/or less luminous sources are found, the UGS fraction remains about the same. This indicates that, even eight years after the launch of *Fermi*, unveiling the UGS nature is still an unsolved issue.

According to the 3FGL analysis the second largest population is constituted by the blazar candidates of uncertain type (BCUs) defined in the 3FGL and in the Third Catalog of Active Galactic Nuclei Detected by the *Fermi*-LAT (3LAC; Ackermann et al. 2015a, see following sections for additional details). This corresponds to a revised definition of the previous  $\gamma$ -ray source class of active galaxies of uncertain type (AGUs) (see e.g., the First and the Second Catalog of Active Galactic Nuclei Detected by the *Fermi*-LAT, 1LAC and 2LAC, respectively Abdo et al. 2010b; Ackermann et al. 2011). BCUs are most probably all blazars (see e.g., Massaro et al. 2012c; Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016c; Chiaro et al. 2016), but the lack of spectroscopic information does not permit us to confirm their nature.

Follow up observations aiming to search for the potential counterparts of the UGSs and to confirm BCUs have been carried out in the radio (e.g., Kovalev 2009; Hovatta et al. 2012; Petrov et al. 2013; Hovatta et al. 2014; Schinzel et al. 2015), even below 1GHz (Massaro et al. 2013a; Nori et al. 2014; Giroletti et al. 2016), in the sub millimeter ranges (Giommi et al. 2012; López-Caniego et al. 2013) and in the X-rays with *Swift* (e.g., Mirabal & Halpern 2009; Paggi et al. 2013; Takeuchi et al. 2013; Stroh et al. 2013; Acero et al. 2013) as well as with *Chandra* and *Suzaku* (e.g., Maeda et al. 2011; Cheung et al. 2012; Kataoka et al. 2012; Takahashi et al. 2012). Additional archival analyses were also carried out using multifrequency surveys and catalogs (see e.g., Cowperthwaite et al. 2013; Maselli et al. 2013; Maselli et al. 2015).

Using the *WISE* all-sky survey (Wright et al. 2010), we showed that in the IR color-color diagrams the  $\gamma$ -ray blazars, dominated by non-thermal emission, lie in a distinct region well separated from that occupied by other extragalactic sources (Massaro et al. 2011a; D’Abrusco et al. 2012; Massaro & D’Abrusco 2016). On the basis of this discovery, we built new procedures to recognize  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates lying within the positional uncertainty regions of the UGSs (D’Abrusco et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2013b; D’Abrusco et al. 2014).

Then in 2012 we started an optical spectroscopic campaign to confirm the nature of both  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates selected according to our IR procedure, UGS potential counterparts and BCUs associated in the *Fermi* catalogs. All the spectroscopic observations collected during our campaign are already published (see e.g., Paggi et al. 2014; Massaro et al. 2015c; Landoni et al. 2015; Ricci et al. 2015; Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016a; Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016b). During our campaign we also continuously searched in the optical databases to exclude targets for which an optical spectrum became recently available (see e.g., Massaro et al. 2014, Massaro et al. 2015a, Álvarez Crespo et al. 2016c, for the spectra of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, SDSS, DR12 and of the Six-degree-Field Galaxy Survey, 6dFGS).

In the present paper we aim to present the state-of-art of our *hunt* for  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates. Scientific objectives of the current analysis can be summarized as follows:

1. searching for optical spectra of radio sources that could be potential counterparts of 3FGL UGSs lying in the SDSS footprint;
2. presenting an overview of the results of our optical spectroscopic campaign achieved to date;
3. discussing on the future perspectives towards the preparation of the 4FGL catalog.


The paper is organized as follows. In § 2 we described our search for radio sources having a blazar-like optical spectrum and lying within the positional uncertainty region of the UGSs in the footprint of the SDSS. Then § 3 and § 4

<sup>1</sup><http://www.asdc.asi.it/bzcat/>

are devoted to present results and the summary of our optical spectroscopic campaign, respectively. Finally in § 5 we speculate on future perspectives towards a better understanding of the unknown  $\gamma$ -ray sky.

We used cgs units unless stated otherwise through the whole paper, and spectral indices,  $\alpha$ , are defined by flux density,  $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$  considering sources with a flat spectrum when  $\alpha < 0.5$ .

## 2 Searching for $\gamma$ -ray BL Lac candidates in the footprint of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey



**Fig. 1** The optical spectrum of SDSS J214530.19+100605.4, classified as a BZB at unknown redshift, lying within the positional uncertainty region of the UGS 3FGL J2145.5+1007.

As previously stated, our optical spectroscopic campaign was carried out both observing  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates and searching major optical databases and surveys. To perform the literature search we crossmatched the radio/IR position of the  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates selected for the UGSs as well as those of the BCUs reported in the *Fermi* catalogs with those reported in the comparison survey as, for example, the SDSS. Thus to complete and update our literature search we decided to carry out the following additional analysis.

We considered all the UGSs lying in the footprint of the SDSS DR12 (Alam et al. 2015). Then we searched for all sources having the SDSS spectrum available and with a radio counterpart in the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-centimeters (FIRST) survey (see e.g., Becker et al. 1995; White et al. 1997). Finally, we selected only those SDSS sources with a BL Lac or a quasar-like optical spectrum. The latter are considered blazar-like when showing a flat radio spectrum.

This further investigation led to the discovery of six new BL Lacs and one BZQs, potential counterparts of *Fermi*


UGSs, since one BZQ and two BZBs were recently reported in the latest release of the *Roma-BZCAT* but not in the 3FGL. The spectra of one BL Lac is shown in Fig.1 as template of those selected in our analysis. Source details for these eleven blazars are reported in Table 1 where we report (i) their 3FGL names together with those of the SDSS and the *WISE* potential low energy counterparts; (ii) our classification; (iii) the redshift estimate when possible; (iv) alternative *Fermi* names from previous catalogs and (v) the *Roma-BZCAT* name.

It is worth noting that the UGS 3FGL J0158.6+0102 could be potentially associated with SDSS J015852.76+010132.9 classified as BZU in the latest release of the *Roma-BZCAT* 5BZU J0158+0101 but appearing as a BL Lac object in the SDSS presented here (see Fig. 2). Then we also remark that 3FGL J2223.3+0103 is missing from 3LAC but present in 2FGL class AGU without redshift.

Finally, we emphasize that even if four blazars are already present in the latest version of the *Roma-BZCAT* (Massaro et al. 2015c) there were not indicated as counterparts of 3FGL sources because the latter catalog was released previously.

## 3 State-of-art of our optical spectroscopic campaign

Here we present the summary of the results achieved during spectroscopic campaign considering the latest release of the *Fermi* catalog available to date (i.e., 3FGL). Given the differences in the  $\gamma$ -ray analysis between the releases of the *Fermi*-LAT source catalogs we also discuss some targets that belong to the 1FGL and the 2FGL catalogs only.



**Fig. 2** The featureless optical spectrum of SDSS J015852.76+010132.9, potential low energy counterpart of the unassociated *Fermi* source: 3FGL J0158.6+0102. The source is classified by us as a BL Lac object.

**Table 1** Summary of source details for the eleven blazars found within the sample of UGSs lying in the SDSS footprint (See § 2 for more details).

3FGL name	SDSS name	WISE name	class	z	1FGL name	2FGL name	1FHL name	BZCAT name
J0158.6+0102	J015852.77+010132.9	J015852.76+010132.9	bzb	0.0		J0158.4+0107		5BZU J0158+0101
J0234.2-0629	J023410.30-062825.7	J023410.28-062825.8	bzb	0.0				
J1103.3+5239	J110249.84+525012.6	J110249.86+525012.6	bzq	0.68984				
J1105.7+4427	J110544.28+442830.4	J110544.29+442830.6	bzb	0.74641				
J1129.0+3758	J112904.78+375844.6	J112904.78+375844.6	bzb	0.0	J1129.3+3757	J1129.5+3758		
J1301.5+3333	J130129.14+333700.3	J130129.16+333700.2	bzq	1.00826		J1301.6+3331		5BZQ J1301+3337
J1330.4+5641	J133040.69+565520.1	J133040.67+565520.1	bzb	0.0				5BZB J1330+5655
J1411.1+3717	J141130.56+372245.5	J141130.51+372246.4	bzb	0.0				
J1731.9+5428	J173340.32+542636.8	J173340.31+542636.7	bzb	0.0		J1730.8+5427		
J2145.5+1007	J214530.19+100605.4	J214530.19+100605.5	bzb	0.0				
J2223.3+0103	J222329.57+010226.6	J222329.57+010226.7	bzb	0.0	J2223.3+0103	J2223.4+0104	J2223.4+0104	5BZB J2223+0102

Column description: (1) name reported in the Third *Fermi*-LAT source catalog (3FGL); (2) SDSS name; (3) *WISE* name; (4) Spectroscopic class; (5) redshift; (6) name in the First *Fermi*-LAT source catalog (1FGL); (7) name in the Second *Fermi*-LAT source catalog (2FGL); (8) name in the First *Fermi*-LAT Catalog of Sources above 10 GeV (1FHL); (9) *Roma-BZCAT* name.

We only observed those AGUs that had not been otherwise observed at the time of the 2FGL release (see e.g., Shaw et al. 2013a; Shaw et al. 2013b). On the other hand, we recently provided an important contribution to the classification of the 3FGL BCUs obtaining optical spectra for about 1/5 of them (see e.g., Massaro et al. 2015a, and references therein).

Regarding the UGSs the impact of our campaign was crucial to decrease their percentage in the 1FGL and in the 2FGL (see also Massaro et al. 2015a, for a recent review on the sources listed in both these *Fermi* catalogs), while for the 3FGL the number of newly discovered blazars correspondent to the UGSs is rather small (see following Section). This is mostly due to the fact that the catalog of IR selected blazar-like sources (D’Abrusco et al. 2014) was used to search for associations of the 3FGL sources, thus providing a significant number of BCUs that we preferred to follow up during our spectroscopic campaign.

### 3.1 General Results

All the 3FGL sources observed to date are reported in Table 2, 3 and 4 where we provide (i) their *Fermi* names together with their (ii)  $\gamma$ -ray classification, when present; (iii) the associated counterpart for the BCUs and (iv) the infrared (i.e., *WISE* or 2MASS) name of the counterpart observed in our campaign; then we also present (v) our optical classification: BZB for BL Lac objects, BZQ for flat spectrum radio quasars and quasar (QSO) for sources having no radio counterpart and/or not a flat radio spectrum but a quasar-like (i.e., broad emission lines) optical behavior, respectively. Then we also show (vi) the reference among our campaign papers, (vii) the *Roma-BZCAT* name. For our optical classification we adopted the *Roma-BZCAT* criteria, including the definition of blazar of galaxy type (BZG) for sources having an SED with a significant dominance of the host galaxy emission over the nuclear one (see Massaro et al. 2015b, for more details). It is also worth noting that QSOs, having no blazar-like radio emission, could be contaminants of our selection procedure, as described in D’Abrusco et al. (2014).

The  $\gamma$ -ray classification reported in Table ??, 3 and 4 corresponds to the one of the 3LAC (Ackermann et al. 2015a). In particular we distinguish among BCU of type I, II and III on the basis of the following criteria. These corresponds to the a, b, c subclasses of the 3LAC, respectively.

- (I) a BZU object (blazar of uncertain/transitional type) in the *Roma-BZCAT*;
- (II) a source with multiwavelength data in one of the catalogs used for the 3LAC associations, with a flat radio spectrum, and a typical two-humped, blazar-like spectral energy distribution (SED);
- (III) a source with a radio and an X-ray counterparts also showing a typical two-humped, blazar-like SED.

Since the beginning of our follow up spectroscopic observations in 2012 we pointed 198 unique targets correspondent to 3FGL sources.

For 3FGL sources we summarize our results as reported below.

- We found 75 BZBs all classified in the 3FGL as BL Lacs with the only exception of that associated with 3FGL J2356.0+4037 appeared as a BZG. Most of these targets (i.e., more than ~60%) were unclassified counterparts of 2FGL sources for which their nature is actually reported in the 3FGL thanks to our campaign. This occurred because a significant fraction of our observations begun between the 2FGL and the 3FGL releases.
- Nine 3FGL sources associated with flat spectrum radio quasars were also observed, all resulting as BZQ and with a  $z$  estimate.
- We observed 88 BCUs. In this sample we found : 14 BZQs and 10 BZGs all with known redshifts; 1 quasar and 1 source with still uncertain classification plus 62 BZBs, 6 also having a good redshift estimate and 2 with an uncertain  $z$  value.
- We observed 26 blazar-like objects that could be potential counterparts of *Fermi* UGSs, selected with their IR colors. For six UGSs we pointed a quasar, most probably a contaminant of our selection methods unless future radio follow up observations will reveal a flat radio spectrum. The remaining sources are indeed

all blazar-like. We found 2 BZQs, one with known redshift, 1 BZG with a certain  $z$  estimate and 17 BZBs with a certain  $z$  value reported in only four cases.

We also observed 10 unique targets that belong to 1FGL, 2FGL and the First Fermi-LAT Catalog of Sources above 10 GeV (1FHL; Ackermann et al. 2013) catalogs but are not in the 3FGL. Results on this sample are thus summarized below.

- The potential counterparts of 1FHL J0030.1-1647 and 1FHL J0044.0-1111, both UGS of the 1FHL catalog, could be potentially associated to two newly discovered BZBs at known redshifts, 0.237 and 0.264, respectively (Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016b).
- For the three 1FGL sources we found: 1FGL J0411.6+5459 potentially associated to a new BL Lac at unknown  $z$ ; one QSO contaminant of our selection method for the UGS 1FGL J2110.3+3820; and 1FGL J1422.7+3743 for which we confirmed the BZB classification available in the literature.
- We observed 3 AGUs of the 2FGL subsample all classified as BZQs and all with a certain redshift estimate provided. Then we also confirm that 2FGL J0819.6-0803 is associated with a BZB at redshift unknown. One additional UGS, 2FGL J1745.6+0203, was also observed searching for blazar-like sources within the *Fermi* positional uncertainty region, but we pointed another QSO.

### 3.2 Additional source details

During our campaign we also re-observed a few sources, mostly BL Lacs for which the redshift  $z$  estimate was uncertain or unknown, to obtain a better measurement and also to catch some transitional states as occurred in a couple of cases (see Álvarez Crespo et al. 2016a,b). In three cases we also pointed a different target than that actually reported in the 3LAC as described below. Thus we also add the following notes with respect to the results presented in the published papers and previously summarized.

- In Álvarez Crespo et al. (2016a) for the source 3FGL J0653.6+2817 associated with the BCU: GB6 J0653+2816 we pointed a different target resulting as a BZG: WISE J065340.46+281848.5 at uncertain  $z > 0.45$  instead of WISE J065344.26+281547.5. The same occurred for the BCU associated with 3FGL J1013.5+3440 for which WISE J101336.51+344003.6 was observed instead of OL 318. In addition to them, in Massaro et al. (2015a) for 3FGL J1549.0+6309 the reported “candidate association” pointed during our campaign is: NVSS J154828+631050. The source associated in the 3FGL/3LAC catalog has the coordinates of SDSS J154957.32+631007.3 (a.k.a. CRATES J1549+6310) but the counterpart name seems incorrect being: SDSS J154958.45+631021.2; in both cases out pointed target differs from them.

- The BCU NVSS J132210+084231 associated with 3FGL J1322.3+0839 was observed in Álvarez Crespo et al. (2016a) and Álvarez Crespo et al. (2016c) in a different state, since it appeared as a BZB first and a BZQ at  $z = 0.32549$  later. The optical spectrum of 3FGL J0158.6+0102 is reported Massaro et al. (2014) as well as in the current paper. This source showed a BZQ spectrum in the past not confirmed by the most recent observation. Thus these two sources can be considered as transitional sources present in our sample in addition to those discovered in (Álvarez Crespo et al. 2016a,c): 3FGL J0433.1+3228 and 3FGL J1040.4+0615. These two *Fermi* objects were originally classified as BZQ while had a typical BZB optical spectrum when pointed by us.
- Only for the AGU: TXS 1801+253 associated in the 2FGL with 2FGL J1803.6+2523c, we were not able to find a *WISE* counterpart, due to a confusion with a nearby star (see Álvarez-Crespo et al. 2016c, for more details).
- We re-pointed the associated counterparts of 3FGL J1340.6-0408, 3FGL J1314.8+2349, 3FGL J2323.9+4211, 3FGL J1129.0+3758 and 3FGL J2223.3+0103 during our campaign but they always appeared as BZBs at unknown redshift.
- We found that the associated counterparts of 3FGL J0522.9-3628 is a BZQ while those of 3FGL J0009.6-3211 and 3FGL J2356.0+4037 are indeed BZGs at redshifts 0.002542 and 0.131, respectively.

## 4 Summary and Conclusions

The *WISE* all-sky survey (Wright et al. 2010) allowed us to distinguish  $\gamma$ -ray emitting blazars from other extragalactic sources. On the basis of the mid-IR colors we developed a set of procedures to select potential counterparts of the UGSs and to recognize blazar candidates within the AGUs and the BCUs listed in the *Fermi* catalogs. Motivated by preliminary results of our IR selection, we carried out an optical spectroscopic campaign aiming to verify the blazar-like nature of UGS potential counterparts. As secondary goal we also obtained a classification for AGUs and BCUs present in the *Fermi* catalogs.

During our campaign we collected and analyzed 223 unique spectra and the major results achieved are summarized as follows.

1. The largest fraction of pointed targets are classified as BZBs (i.e., 173/223). This strongly suggests that *Fermi* survey is extremely useful to discover new BZBs and confirms that these are one of most elusive class of active galaxies.

2. We obtained 49 certain redshifts for the blazars observed thanks to the presence of emission/absorption lines or only absorption features due to intervening systems.
3. We discovered a handful of transitional sources: blazars with a different spectrum available in the literature and thus classified differently.
4. During our campaign we found two BL Lac objects without radio counterparts in the major radio surveys (Paggi et al. 2014; Ricci et al. 2015). This discovery could open new scenarios on the blazar phenomenon and could potentially make the  $\gamma$ -ray association task more challenging since a large fraction of the *Fermi* associations come from radio surveys/catalogs.
5. Within the sample of newly discovered BL Lacs with a  $z$  estimate, presented in Section 2, it is worth noting that one of them lie above redshift  $z = 0.7$  (this occurs only for 11 BL Lacs from the *Roma-BZCAT* listed in the *Fermi* catalogs).

Given the small number of QSOs found during our campaign (i.e.,  $\sim 4\%$ ) in addition to a handful of unpublished spectra that did not have enough signal-to-noise ratio to clearly classify the observed targets, our results strongly supports the reliability of our IR selection method (see D’Abrusco et al. 2016, for more details).

Finally, we emphasize that results obtained thanks to our campaign were used to classify the sources listed in the latest release of the *Fermi* catalogs (i.e., 3FGL, 3LAC and 2FHL Ackermann et al. 2016) as well as to increase those in the *Roma-BZCAT*.

## 5 Future perspectives

Our spectroscopic follow up campaign at optical wavelengths is still on-going and we expect to have an additional  $\sim 80$  targets presented by the end of 2016.

These optical observations of  $\gamma$ -ray blazar candidates are crucial to build the luminosity function of BL Lacs (see e.g., Ajello et al. 2012; Ajello et al. 2014), confirm potential targets for the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) selected on the basis of multifrequency properties (see e.g., Massaro et al. 2013c; Arsioli et al. 2015), obtain stringent limits on the dark matter annihilation in sub-halos (see e.g., Zechlin & Horns 2012; Berlin & Hooper 2014) and/or from the estimates of the extragalactic  $\gamma$ -ray background (EGB Ajello et al. 2015), search for counterparts of new flaring  $\gamma$ -ray sources (see e.g. Bernieri et al. 2013) and identify potential counterparts of new *Fermi* sources discovered with detection algorithms different from those used to build the *Fermi* catalog (see e.g., Campana et al. 2015; Campana et al. 2016a; Campana et al. 2016b). Studies on the UGSs are also crucial to discover new BL Lacs that

might improve our knowledge on their contribution to the extragalactic  $\gamma$ -ray background (EGB; see e.g., Ajello et al. 2014). The BL Lac contribution considered together with that arising from other  $\gamma$ -ray emitters as for example radio-galaxies (see e.g. Inoue 2011; Massaro & Ajello 2011b; Di Mauro et al. 2014) and/or star forming galaxies (see e.g., Ackermann et al. 2012a) could help searching for a potential dark matter signature in the EGB spectrum (Ackermann et al. 2015a, Di Mauro & Donato 2015, Fermi Collaboration 2015). Moreover optical spectroscopic campaign are also useful to obtain new redshifts necessary to estimate the imprint of the extragalactic background light in their spectra (see e.g., Ackermann et al. 2012b).

At the current moment the major problem we foresee to continue after 2016 resides in the strategy originally developed, even if it has been successful to date. To minimize the impact on telescope schedules and maximize the scientific return, we proposed a small subsample of targets each time. Consequently, each publication presents only a limited amount of spectra, in the range between 20 and 50. However, it is worth noting that even if the number of the *Fermi* sources for each catalog is growing consistently with the expectations: 1451 in the 1FGL, 1873 in the 2FGL and now 3033 in the 3FGL, the fraction of UGSs is rather constant. Thus if the expected number of sources in the next *Fermi* catalog (i.e., 4FGL) will be  $\sim 4500$  sources, the number of UGSs and BCUs expected of the order of 2000. Consequently, assuming we can still obtain observing nights at the telescopes used to date, collecting  $\sim 200$  spectra in about 3 years, it will be impossible to achieve our final goal of “resolving” the  $\gamma$ -ray sky on a few years time scale.

To complete our campaign, considering the sources listed in the 3FGL, the number of targets that must be observed is approximately 550, mostly concentrated in the Southern hemisphere, and correspondent to  $\sim 40$  observing nights, assuming to use 4 meter class telescopes/facilities. Thus, the only strategy suitable to achieve our final goal is a survey/large program. Completing these observations will allow us to assign a certain classification to all the BCUs listed in the 3FGL and decrease the fraction of 3FGL UGSs by a factor of 5-10%, assuming results similar to those achieved to date.

We thank the anonymous referee for useful comments that led to improvements in the paper. F.M. gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Programma Giovani Ricercatori – Rita Levi Montalcini – Rientro dei Cervelli (2012) awarded by the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research (MIUR). This investigation is supported by the NASA grants NNX12AO97G and NNX13AP20G. The work by G. Tosti is supported by the ASI/INAF contract I/005/12/0. H. A. Smith acknowledges partial support from NASA/JPL grant NNX14AJ61G. H.

Otí-Flóranes is funded by a postdoctoral UNAM grant. V. Chavushyan acknowledges funding by CONACyT research grant 151494 (México). We thank the staff at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional in San Pedro Mártir (México) for all their help during the observation runs. We thank the staff of the Astronomical Observatory of Bologna in Loiano for their assistance during the observations. Part of this work is based on archival data, software or on-line services provided by the ASI Science Data Center. This research has made use of data obtained from the high-energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC) provided by NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center; the SIMBAD database operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France; the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III web site is <http://www.sdss3.org/>. SDSS-III is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS-III Collaboration including the University of Arizona, the Brazilian Participation Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Carnegie Mellon University, University of Florida, the French Participation Group, the German Participation Group, Harvard University, the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, the Michigan State/Notre Dame/JINA Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, New Mexico State University, New York University, Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the Spanish Participation Group, University of Tokyo, University of Utah, Vanderbilt University, University of Virginia, University of Washington, and Yale University. This research has made use of the USNOFS Image and Catalogue Archive operated by the United States Naval Observatory, Flagstaff Station (<http://www.nofs.navy.mil/data/fchpix/>). TOPCAT<sup>2</sup> (Taylor 2005) for the preparation and manipulation of the tabular data and the images. The Aladin Java

applet<sup>3</sup> was used to create the finding charts reported in this paper (Bonnarell et al. 2000). It can be started from the CDS (Strasbourg - France), from the CFA (Harvard - USA), from the ADAC (Tokyo - Japan), from the IUCAA (Pune - India), from the UKADC (Cambridge - UK), or from the CADC (Victoria - Canada).

---

<sup>2</sup><http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/>

<sup>3</sup><http://aladin.u-strasbg.fr/aladin.gml>

**Table 2** Summary of the targets observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign for the 3FGL sources. (R.A. 00-08)

3FGL name	3FGL class	3FGL counterpart	counterpart name	class	z	reference	Roma-BZCAT name
J0003.8-1151	bcu II	PMN J0004-1148	J000404.91-114858.3	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	5BZB J0004-1148
J0009.6-3211	bcu I	IC 1531	J000935.55-321636.8	bzg	0.02542	Crespo+16c	
J0015.7+5552	bcu II	GB6 J0015+5551	J001540.13+555144.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0028.8+1951	bcu III	TXS 0025+197	J002829.81+200026.7	bzq	1.5517	Crespo+16c	
J0030.2-1646	bcu II	1RXS J003019.6-164723	J003019.40-164711.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0043.5-0444	bcu II	1RXS J004333.7-044257	J004334.12-044300.6	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0103.4+5336	bll	1RXS J010325.9+533721	J010325.89+533713.4	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0103.7+1323	bcu III	NVSS J010345+132346	J010345.74+132345.3	bzb	0.49	Crespo+16b	
J0105.3+3928	bll	GB6 J0105+3928	J010509.19+392815.1	bzb	0.44	Crespo+16b	5BZB J0105+3928
J0109.1+1816	bll	MG1 J010908+1816	J010908.17+181607.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J0109+1816
J0116.3-6153	bll	SUMSS J011619-615343	J011619.62-615343.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0116-6153
J0133.0-4413	bll	SUMSS J013306-441422	J013306.37-441421.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0133-4414
J0143.7-5845	bll	SUMSS J014347-584550	J014347.41-584551.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0143-5845
J0147.0-5204	bcu I	PKS 0144-522	J014648.58-520233.5	bzg	0.098	Crespo+16c	5BZG J0146-5202
J0148.3+5200	bcu III	GB6 J0148+5202	J014820.33+520204.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0145.6+8600	bcu III	NVSS J014929+860114	J014935.28+860115.4	bzg	0.15	Crespo+16a	
J0156.9-4742	bcu II	2MASS J01564603-4744174	J015646.03-474417.3	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0157.0-5301	bll	1RXS J015658.6-530208	J015658.00-530200.0	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0156-5302
J0158.6-3931	bll	PMN J0158-3932	J015838.10-393203.8	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0158-3932
J0158.6+0102			J015852.76+010132.9	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	5BZU J0158+0101
J0158.6+0102			J015852.76+010132.9	bzq	1.61?	Massaro+14	5BZU J0158+0101
J0219.0+2440	bcu II	87GB 021610.9+243205	J021900.40+244520.6	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0221.2+2518			J022051.24+250927.6	qso	0.4818	Paggi+14	5BZU J0220+2509
J0222.6+4301	bll	3C66A	J022239.60+430207.8	bzb	0.0	Paggi+14	5BZB J0222+4302
J0234.2-0629			J023410.28-062825.8	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	
J0237.5-3603	bll	RBS 0334	J023734.04-360328.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0237-3603
J0238.4-3117	bll	1RXS J023832.6-311658	J023832.48-311658.0	bzb	0.232	Landoni+15	5BZB J0238-3116
J0253.5+3216	bcu II	MG3 J025334+3217	J025333.64+321720.8	bzq	0.859	Ricci+15	
J0255.8+0532	bcu II	PMN J0255+0533	J025549.51+053355.0	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0301.8-7157	bcu II	PKS 0301-721	J030138.47-715634.5	bzq	0.8232	Crespo+16c	5BZQ J0301-7156
J0307.3+4916			J030727.21+491510.6	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J0309.5-0749	bll	NVSS J030943-074427	J030943.23-074427.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0309-0744
J0316.2-6436	bll	SUMSS J031614-643732	J031614.34-643731.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0316-6437
J0323.6-0109	bll	1RXS J032342.6-011131	J032343.62-011146.1	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J0323-0111
J0333.6+2916	bll	TXS 0330+291	J033349.00+291631.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J0333+2916
J0334.3-4008	bll	PKS 0332-403	J033413.65-400825.4	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0334-4008
J0335.3-4459	bll	1RXS J033514.5-445929	J033513.88-445943.8	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0335-4459
J0339.2-1738	bcu I	PKS 0336-177	J033913.70-173600.8	bzg	0.06556	Crespo+16c	
J0343.3+3622	bcu I	OE 367	J034328.94+362212.4	bzq	1.485	Crespo+16c	5BZQ J0343+3622
J0352.9+5655	bcu II	GB6 J0353+5654	J035309.54+565430.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J0409.8-0358	bll	NVSS J040946-040003	J040946.58-040003.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0409-0400
J0415.7-4351			J041605.82-435514.6	qso	0.398	Landoni+15	5BZU J0416-4355
J0425.0-5331	bll	PMN J0425-5331	J042504.27-533158.2	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0425-5331
J0428.6-3756	bll	PKS 0426-380	J042840.41-375619.3	bzb	1.02?	Landoni+15	5BZB J0428-3756
J0433.1+3228	bcu II	NVSS J043307+322840	J043307.54+322840.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0433.7-6028	bcu II	PKS 0432-606	J043334.59-603010.3	bzq	0.9301	Crespo+16c	5BZQ J0433-6030
J0434.4-2341	bcu I	PMN J0434-2342	J043428.98-234205.3	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	5BZB J0434-2342
J0439.9-1859	bcu II	PMN J0439-1900	J043949.72-190101.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0505.9+6114	bll	NVSS J050558+611336	J050558.78+611335.9	bzb	0.0	Paggi+14	5BZB J0505+6113
J0508.2-1936	bcu II	PMN J0508-1936	J050818.99-193555.7	bzq	1.88	Crespo+16b	
J0521.7+0103	bcu II	NVSS J052140+010257	J052140.82+010255.5	?	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0522.9-3628	bcu I	PKS 0521-36	J052257.98-362730.8	bzq	0.05655	Crespo+16c	5BZU J0522-3627
J0537.4-5717	bll	SUMSS J053748-571828	J053748.96-571830.1	bzb	1.18?	Landoni+15	5BZB J0537-5718
J0556.0-4353	bll	SUMSS J055618-435146	J055618.74-435146.0	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0556-4351
J0601.0+3837	bll	PKS 0601-70	J060102.86+383829.2	bzb	0.0	Paggi+14	5BZB J0601+3838
J0604.1-4817	bll	1ES 0602-482	J060408.61-481725.1	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J0604-4817
J0607.4+4739	bll	TXS 0603+476	J060723.25+473947.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0607+4739
J0609.4-0248	bll	NVSS J060915-024754	J060915.06-024754.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0609-0247
J0612.8+4122	bll	B3 0609+413	J061251.18+412237.4	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0612+4122
J0618.2-2429	bcu II	PMN J0618-2426	J061822.65-242637.7	bzq	0.2995	Crespo+16b	
J0644.6+6035			J064459.38+603131.7	bzb	0.3582	Paggi+14	
J0650.7+2503	bll	1ES 0647+250	J065046.48+250259.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0650+2502
J0653.6+2817	bcu II	GB6 J0653+2816	J065340.46+281848.5	bzg	0.45?	Crespo+16a	
J0700.0+1709	bcu II	TXS 0657+172	J070001.49+170921.9	bzq	1.08	Crespo+16b	
J0700.2+1304	bcu II	GB6 J0700+1304	J070014.31+130424.4	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0708.9+2239	bcu II	GB6 J0708+2241	J070858.28+224135.4	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0708+2241
J0720.0-4010	bcu II	1RXS J071939.2-401153	J071939.18-401147.4	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J0721.5-0221			J072113.90-022055.0	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J0723.2-0728	bcu III	1RXS J072259.5-073131	J072259.68-073134.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	5BZB J0722-0731
J0728.0+4828	bcu II	GB6 J0727+4827	J072759.84+482720.3	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J0730.5-6606	bcu II	PMN J0730-6602	J073049.51-660218.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0746.6-4756	bcu II	PMN J0746-4755	J074642.31-475455.0	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J0754.8+4824	bll	GB1 0751+485	J075445.66+482350.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J0754+4823
J0813.3+6509	bcu II	GB6 J0812+6508	J081240.84+650911.1	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0812+6509
J0814.1-1012	bll	NVSS J081411-101208	J081411.69-101210.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J0814-1012
J0814.7+6428	bll	GB6 J0814+6431	J081439.19+643122.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0814+6431
J0822.9+4041	fsrq	B3 0819+408	J082257.55+404149.7	bzq	0.8655	Massaro+14	5BZQ J0822+4041
J0827.2-0711	bcu I	PMN J0827-0708	J082706.16-070845.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0828.8-2420	bcu III	NVSS J082841-241850	J082841.74-241851.1	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J0835.4+0930	bll	GB6 J0835+0936	J083543.21+093717.9	bzb	0.35?	Massaro+14	5BZB J0835+0937
J0845.1-5458	bcu II	PMN J0845-5458	J084502.48-545808.4	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J0849.1+6607	bll	GB6 J0848+6605	J084854.60+660609.3	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0848+6606
J0855.4+7142			J085654.85+714623.8	bzq	0.542	Ricci+15	

Column description: (1) 3FGL name reported; (2) 3FGL  $\gamma$ -ray classification. Empty field implies that the sources is an UGS; (3) 3FGL associated counterpart if present; (4) *WISE* name of the counterpart observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign; (5) optical classification (6) redshift; question marks indicate uncertain values or redshifts estimated via intervening systems while empty field is used for unknown  $z$ ; (7) reference within the papers published with the results of our campaign; (8) the name reported in the *Roma-BZCAT*.

Notes: Sources pointed twice are reported in different lines within the table.



**Table 3** Summary of the targets observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign for the 3FGL sources. (R.A. 08-16)

3FGL name	3FGL class	3FGL counterpart	counterpart name	class	z	reference	Roma-BZCAT name
J0900.0+6754			J090038.69+674223.3	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J0900+6742
J0904.3+4240	bcu II	S4 0900+42	J090415.62+423804.5	bzq	1.34246	Crespo+16c	
J0917.3-0344	bcu II	NVSS J091714-034315	J091714.61-034314.2	bzg	0.308	Crespo+16b	
J0921.0-2258	bcu II	NVSS J092057-225721	J092057.47-225721.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J0924.0+2816	fsrq	B2 0920+28	J092351.52+281525.1	bzq	0.7442	Massaro+14	5BZQ J0923+2815
J0942.1-0756	blI	PMN J0942-0800	J094221.46-075953.0	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J0942-0759
J0950.1+4554	blI	RX J0950.2+4553	J095011.82+455320.0	bzb	0.3994	Massaro+14	5BZB J0950+4553
J1003.6+2608	bcu I	PKS 1000+26	J100342.22+260512.8	bzb	0.9295	Crespo+16c	
J1007.9+0621	blI	MG1 J100800+0621	J100800.81+062121.2	bzb	0.6495?	Paggi+14	5BZB J1008+0621
J1013.5+3440	fsrq	OL 318	J101336.51+344003.6	qso	0.208	Crespo+16a	
J1016.1+5555	bcu II	TXS 1012+560	J101544.43+555100.6	bzq	0.677	Massaro+15a	5BZQ J1015+5551
J1018.3+3542	fsrq	B2 1015+35B	J101810.97+354239.4	bzq	1.228	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1018+3542
J1023.1+3952	fsrq	4C +40.25	J102333.50+395312.7	bzq	1.3328	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1023+3953
J1038.9-5311	bcu II	MRC 1036-529	J103840.66-531142.9	bzq	1.45	Crespo+16b	
J1040.4+0615	bcu II	GB6 J1040+0617	J104031.62+061721.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1040.8+1342	bcu II	1RXS J104057.7+134216	J104057.69+134211.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1042.0-0557	bcu II	PMN J1042-0558	J104204.30-055816.5	bzg	0.39	Crespo+16b	
J1049.7+1548			J104939.34+154837.8	bzb	0.3271	Paggi+14	5BZB J1049+1548
J1100.5+4020	blI	RX J1100.3+4019	J110021.05+401928.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1100+4019
J1103.3+5239			J110249.86+525012.6	bzq	0.68984	Massaro+16	
J1103.9-5357	blI	PKS 1101-536	J110352.22-535700.7	bzb	0.461?	Landoni+15	5BZB J1103-5357
J1105.7+4427			J110544.29+442830.6	bzb	0.74641	Massaro+16	
J1123.3-2529			J112325.37-252857.0	qso	0.148	Ricci+15	
J1125.0-2101	bcu II	PMN J1125-2100	J112508.62-210105.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1129.0+3758			J112903.24+375656.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1129+3756
J1129.0+3758			J112904.78+375844.6	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	
J1129.4-4215	bcu I	SUMSS J113006-421441	J113007.04-421440.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1141.6-1406	bcu II	1RXS J114142.2-140757	J114141.80-140754.6	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1200.8+1228	bcu II	GB6 J1200+1230	J120040.03+123103.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1209.4+4119	blI	B3 1206+416	J120922.78+411941.3	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1209+4119
J1217.8+3007	blI	1ES 1215+303	J121752.08+300700.6	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1217+3007
J1221.5-0632			J122127.20-062847.8	qso	0.44	Crespo+16b	
J1222.4+0414	fsrq	4C +04.42	J122222.54+041315.7	bzq	0.9642	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1222+0413
J1222.7+7952			J122358.06+795328.2	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1223+7953
J1230.3+2519	blI	ON 246	J123014.08+251807.1	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1230+2518
J1238.2-1958	bcu II	PMN J1238-1959	J123824.39-195913.8	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZU J1238-1959
J1243.1+3627	blI	Ton 116	J124312.73+362743.9	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1243+3627
J1256.3-1146	bcu I	PMN J1256-1146	J125615.95-114637.3	bzg	0.0579	Crespo+16c	5BZG J1256-1146
J1259.8-3749	bcu II	NVSS J125949-374856	J125949.80-374858.1	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1301.5+3333			J130129.16+333700.2	bzq	1.0084	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1301+3337
J1301.5+3333			J130129.16+333700.2	bzq	1.00826	Massaro+16	5BZQ J1301+3337
J1311.0+0036	blI	RX J1311.1+0035	J131106.47+003510.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1311+0035
J1314.8+2349	blI	TXS 1312+240	J131443.80+234826.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1314+2348
J1314.8+2349	blI	TXS 1312+240	J131443.80+234826.7	bzb	0.0	Paggi+14	5BZB J1314+2348
J1315.4+1130	bcu II	1RXS J131531.9+113327	J131532.62+113331.7	bzb	0.73	Crespo+16c	
J1322.3+0839	bcu II	NVSS J132210+084231	J132210.17+084232.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J1322.3+0839	bcu II	NVSS J132210+084231	J132210.17+084232.9	bzq	0.32549	Crespo+16c	
J1328.5-4728	bcu II	1WGA J1328.6-4727	J132840.61-472749.2	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1330.6+7002	blI	NVSS J133025+700141	J133025.82+700138.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1330+7001
J1330.4+5641			J133040.67+565520.1	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	5BZB J1330+5655
J1331.8+4718	fsrq	B3 1330+476	J133245.24+472222.6	bzq	0.6687	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1332+4722
J1340.6-0408	bcu II	NVSS J134042-041006	J134042.02-041006.8	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1340.6-0408	bcu II	NVSS J134042-041006	J134042.02-041006.8	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1342.7+0945	bcu II	NVSS J134240+094752	J134240.02+094752.4	qso	0.28279	Crespo+16c	
J1346.9-2958	bcu II	NVSS J134706-295840	J134706.88-295842.4	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1351.4+1115	blI	RX J1351.3+1115	J135120.84+111453.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1351+1114
J1359.9-3746	blI	PMN J1359-3746	J135949.71-374600.7	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1359-3746
J1406.0-2508	bcu II	NVSS J140609-250808	J140609.60-250809.2	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1410.4+2821	blI	RX J1410.4+2821	J141029.56+282055.6	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1410+2820
J1411.1+3717			J141130.51+372246.4	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	
J1412.0+5249	bcu I	SBS 1410+530	J141149.44+524900.2	bzg	0.07649	Crespo+16c	
J1434.6+6640	bcu II	1RXS J143442.0+664031	J143441.46+664026.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J1442.0+4348	blI	SDSS J144207.15+434836.6	J144207.15+434836.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1442+4348
J1444.0-3907	blI	PKS 1440-389	J144357.20-390840.0	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J1443-3908
J1511.8-0513	bcu III	NVSS J151148-051345	J151148.56-051346.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J1521.8+4340	fsrq	B3 1520+437	J152149.61+433639.2	bzq	2.1677	Massaro+14	5BZQ J1521+4336
J1548.4+1455			J154824.38+145702.8	bzg	0.23	Crespo+16b	
J1549.0+6309	bcu II	SDSS J154958.45+631021.2	J154828.42+631051.1	bzg	0.269	Massaro+15b	5BZG J1548+6310
J1549.5+1709	bcu II	MG1 J154930-1708	J154929.28+170828.1	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1553.5-3118	blI	1RXS J155333.4-311841	J155333.56-311830.9	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1553-3118
J1611.9+1404			J161118.10+140328.7	qso	0.5855	Massaro+14	
J1626.4-7640	bcu II	PKS 1619-765	J162638.15-763855.5	bzb	0.1050	Ricci+15	5BZU J1626-7638
J1627.8+3217			J162800.39+322414.0	qso	0.9051	Massaro+14	
J1636.7+2624	bcu II	NVSS J163651+262657	J163651.46+262656.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1647.4+4950	bcu I	SBS 1646+499	J164734.91+495000.5	bzq	0.049	Crespo+16a	5BZU J1647+4950
J1649.4+5238	blI	87GB 164812.2+524023	J164924.98+523515.0	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1649+5235
J1656.8-2010	bcu II	1RXS J165655.0-201049	J165655.14-201056.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	

Column description: (1) 3FGL name reported; (2) 3FGL  $\gamma$ -ray classification. Empty field implies that the sources is an UGS; (3) 3FGL associated counterpart if present; (4) *WISE* name of the counterpart observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign; (5) optical classification (6) redshift; question marks indicate uncertain values or redshifts estimated via intervening systems while empty field is used for unknown z; (7) reference within the papers published with the results of our campaign; (8) the name reported in the *Roma-BZCAT*.

Notes: Sources pointed twice are reported in different lines within the table.

**Table 4** Summary of the targets observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign for the 3FGL sources. (R.A. 16-24)

3FGL name	3FGL class	3FGL counterpart	counterpart name	class	z	reference	Roma-BZCAT name
J1704.1+1234			J170409.58+123421.7	bzb	0.45	Crespo+16b	
J1719.2+1744	bl	PKS 1717+177	J171913.05+174506.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15b	5BZB J1719+1745
J1725.3+5853	bl	7C 1724+5854	J172535.02+585140.0	bzb	0.0	Paggi+14	5BZB J1725+5851
J1730.6-0357			J173052.85-035247.2	bzb	0.776?	Ricci+15	
J1731.9+5428			J173340.31+542636.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	
J1736.0+2033	bcu II	NVSS J173605+203301	J173605.25+203301.1	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J1736+2033
J1801.5+4403	fsrq	S4 1800+44	J180132.32+440421.7	bzq	0.663	Massaro+15b	5BZQ J1801+4404
J1809.7+2909	bl	MG2 J180948+2910	J180945.39+291019.8	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1809+2910
J1819.1+2134	bcu II	MG2 J181902+2132	J181905.22+213233.8	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J1835.4+1349	bcu III	TXS 1833+137	J183535.34+134848.8	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	
J1836.3+3137	bl	RX J1836.2+3136	J183621.23+313626.8	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1836+3136
J1844.3+1547	bcu II	NVSS J184425+154646	J184425.36+154645.8	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15b	
J1844.1+5709	bl	TXS 1843+571	J184450.96+570938.6	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1844+5709
J1849.5+2751	bl	MG2 J184929+2748	J184931.74+274800.8	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J1849+2748
J1903.2+5541	bl	TXS 1902+556	J190311.60+554038.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J1903+5540
J1913.9+4441	bcu II	1RXS J191401.9+443849	J191401.88+443832.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J1942.7+1033	bcu II	1RXS J194246.3+103339	J194247.48+103327.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15b	5BZB J1942+1033
J1955.0-1605	bcu II	1RXS J195500.6-160328	J195500.65-160338.4	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J1959.8-4725	bcu II	SUMSS J195945-472519	J195945.66-472519.3	bzb	0.519?	Ricci+15	
J2004.8+7003	bl	1RXS J200504.0+700445	J200505.97+700439.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2005+7004
J2014.5+0648	bcu II	NVSS J201431+064849	J201431.08+064852.5	bzg	0.341	Massaro+15b	5BZB J2014+0648
J2015.3-1431			J201525.02-143203.9	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J2021.9+0630	bl	87GB 201926.8+061922	J202155.45+062913.6	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J2031.0+1937	bcu II	RX J2030.8+1935	J203057.12+193612.9	bzb	0.668?	Massaro+15b	
J2036.4+6551	bl	87GB 203539.4+654245	J203620.14+655314.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J2036+6553
J2036.6-3325	bcu II	1RXS J203650.9-332817	J203649.49-332830.7	bzb	0.23	Crespo+16b	
J2039.5+5217	bl	1ES 2037+521	J203923.51+521950.1	bzb	0.0	Ricci+15	5BZB J2039+5219
J2104.2-0211	bcu II	NVSS J210421-021239	J210421.92-021239.0	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J2108.0+3654	bcu II	TXS 2106+367	J210805.46+365526.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	
J2109.1-6638	bcu II	PKS 2104-668	J210851.80-663722.7	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	5BZB J2108-6637
J2118.0-3241	bcu I	NVSS J211754-324326	J211754.91-324328.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J2127.7+3612	bl	B2 2125+35	J212743.03+361305.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2127+3613
J2133.3+2533	bcu II	87GB 213100.1+251534	J213314.36+252859.0	bzg	0.294	Massaro+15b	5BZB J2133+2528
J2134.5-2131			J213430.18-213032.8	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
J2145.5+1007			J214530.19+100605.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	
J2156.0+1818	bcu II	RX J2156.0+1818	J215601.64+181837.1	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	
J2223.3+0103			J222329.57+010226.7	bzb	0.29?	Massaro+14	5BZB J2223+0102
J2223.3+0103			J222329.57+010226.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+16	5BZB J2223+0102
J2232.9-2021	bcu II	1RXS J223249.5-202232	J223248.80-202226.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J2247.8+4413	bl	NVSS J224753+441317	J224753.22+441315.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2247+4413
J2251.9+4031	bl	MG4 J225201+4030	J225159.77+403058.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2251+4030
J2255.1+2411	bl	MG3 J225517+2409	J225515.37+241011.2	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2255+2410
J2258.2-3645			J225815.00-364434.3	bzb	0.0	Landoni+15	5BZB J2258-3644
J2300.3+3136	bl	NVSS J230022+313703	J230022.84+313704.4	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15b	5BZB J2300+3137
J2311.0+0204	bl	NVSS J231101+020504	J231101.29+020505.3	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2311+0205
J2317.3-4534	bcu II	1RXS J231733.0-453348	J231731.98-453359.6	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16c	
J2323.9+4211	bl	1ES 2321+419	J232352.07+421058.5	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16a	5BZB J2323+4210
J2323.9+4211	bl	1ES 2321+419	J232352.07+421058.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2323+4210
J2324.7+0801	bl	PMN J2324+0801	J232445.32+080206.1	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2324+0802
J2325.6+1650	bl	NVSS J232538+164641	J232538.11+164642.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2325+1646
J2328.4-4034	bcu II	PKS 2325-408	J232819.26-403509.8	bzq	1.972	Crespo+16c	5BZQ J2328-4035
J2339.0+2122	bl	RX J2338.8+2124	J233856.38+212441.3	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2338+2124
J2340.7+8016	bl	1RXS J234051.4+801513	J234054.23+801516.0	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15b	5BZB J2340+8015
J2346.7+0705	bcu II	TXS 2344+068	J234639.93+070506.8	bzb	0.17	Crespo+16c	
J2352.0+1752	bl	CLASS J2352+1749	J235205.84+174913.7	bzb	0.0	Massaro+15a	5BZB J2352+1749
J2356.0+4037	bl	NVSS J235612+403648	J235612.70+403646.8	bzg	0.131	Massaro+15a	5BZG J2356+4036

Column description: (1) 3FGL name reported; (2) 3FGL  $\gamma$ -ray classification. Empty field implies that the sources is an UGS; (3) 3FGL associated counterpart if present; (4) *WISE* name of the counterpart observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign; (5) optical classification (6) redshift; question marks indicate uncertain values or redshifts estimated via intervening systems while empty field is used for unknown z; (7) reference within the papers published with the results of our campaign; (8) the name reported in the *Roma-BZCAT*.  
Notes: Sources pointed twice are reported in different lines within the table.

**Table 5** Summary of the targets observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign for the 1FGL, 2FGL and 1FHL sources only.

Fermi name	Fermi class	Fermi counterpart	counterpart name	class	z	reference	Roma-BZCAT name
1FHL J0030.1-1647			J003020.44-164713.1	bzb	0.237	Crespo+16b	
1FHL J0044.0-1111			J004348.66-111607.2	bzb	0.264	Crespo+16b	
2FGL J0332.5-1118	agu	NVSS J033223-111951	J033223.25-111950.6	bzq	0.2074	Crespo+16b	5BZU J0332-1119
1FGL J0411.6+5459			J041203.78+545747.2	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	
2FGL J0819.6-0803	bzb	RX J0819.2-0756	J081917.58-075626.0	bzb	0.0	Crespo+16b	5BZB J0819-0756
1FGL J1422.7+3743	bzb	CLASS J1423+3737	J142304.61+373730.5	bzb	0.0	Massaro+14	5BZB J1423+3737
2FGL J1624.4+1123	agu	MG1 J162441+1111	J162444.79+110959.3	bzq	2.1	Crespo+16b	
2FGL J1745.6+0203			J174507.82+015442.4	qso	0.078	Ricci+15	
2FGL J1803.6+2523c	agu	TXS 1801+253	2MASS J18031240+2521185	bzq	0.77	Crespo+16b	
2FGL J1848.6+3241	agu	B2 1846+32B	J184834.37+324400.0	bzq	0.981	Massaro+15b	
2FGL J2110.3+3822			J211020.19+381659.2	qso	0.46	Massaro+15a	

Column description: (1) *Fermi* name reported in the 1FGL, 2FGL or 1FHL; (2) *Fermi*  $\gamma$ -ray classification; (3) *Fermi* associated counterpart if present; (4) *WISE* or 2MASS name of the counterpart observed during our optical spectroscopic campaign; (5) optical classification (6) redshift; question marks indicate uncertain values or redshifts estimated via intervening systems while empty field is used for unknown z; (7) reference within the papers published with the results of our campaign; (8) the name reported in the *Roma-BZCAT*.

## References

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al. 2010a ApJS, 188, 405
- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al. 2010b ApJ, 715, 429
- Acero, F., Donato, D., Ojha, R. et al. 2013 ApJ, 779, 133
- Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M. et al. 2015 ApJS, 218, 23
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A. et al. 2011a ApJ, 743, 171
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A. et al. 2012a ApJ, 755, 164
- Ackermann, M. et al. 2012b Sci, 338, 1190
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A. et al. 2013 ApJS, 209, 34
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W. B. et al. 2015 ApJ, 810, 14
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W. B. et al. 2016 ApJS, 222, 5
- Ajello, M., Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W. et al. 2012 ApJ, 751, 108
- Ajello, M. et al. 2014 ApJ, 780, 73
- Ajello, M.; Gasparrini, D.; Sánchez-Conde, M. 2015 ApJ, 800L, 27
- Alam, S., Albareti, F. D., Allende Prieto, C. et al. 2015 ApJS, 219, 12
- Álvarez Crespo, N., Masetti, N., Landoni, M. et al. 2016 AJ, 151, 32
- Álvarez Crespo, N., Massaro, F., Milisavljevic, D. et al. 2016 AJ, 151, 95
- Álvarez-Crespo, N., Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2016c ApSS 361, 316
- Arsioli, B. et al. 2015 A&A, 579A, 34
- Atwood, W. B., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M. et al. 2009 ApJ, 697, 1071
- Berlin, A. & Hooper D. 2014 PhRvD, 89a6014;
- Bernieri, E. et al. 2013 A&A, 551L, 5
- Bonnarel, F., Fernique, P., Bienaymé, O. et al. 2000 A&AS, 143, 33
- Becker, R. H., White, R. L., Helfand, D. J. 1995 ApJ, 450, 559
- Casandjian, J.-M. & Grenier, I. A. 2008 A&A, 489, 849
- Campana, R. et al. 2015 Ap&SS, 360, 65
- Campana, R.; Massaro, E.; Bernieri, E. 2016a Ap&SS 361, 183
- Campana, R.; Massaro, E.; Bernieri, E. 2016b Ap&SS 361, 185
- Cheung, C. C., Donato, D., Gehrels, N. et al. 2012 ApJ, 756, 33
- Chiaro, G., Salvetti, D., La Mura, G., Giroletti, M., Thompson, D. J., Bastieri, D. 2016 MNRAS, 462, 3180
- Cowperthwaite, Philip S. et al. 2013 AJ, 146, 110
- D’Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Ajello, M. et al. 2012 ApJ, 748, 68
- D’Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2013 ApJS, 206, 12
- D’Abrusco, R., Massaro, F., Paggi, A. et al. 2014 ApJS, 215, 14
- D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2016 ApJS in prep.
- Di Mauro, M., Calore, F., Donato, F., Ajello, M., Latronico, L. 2014 ApJ, 780, 161
- Di Mauro, M. & Donato, F. 2015 PhRvD, 9113001D
- The Fermi LAT Collaboration 2015 JCAP, 09, 008
- Giommi, P. et al. 2012 A&A, 541A.160
- Giroletti, M., Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2016 A&A, 588A, 141
- Hartman, R. C., Bertsch, D. L., Bloom, S. D. et al. 1999 ApJS, 123, 79
- Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F. et al. 2012 AJ, 144, 105
- Hovatta, T., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D. et al. 2014 AJ, 147, 143
- Kataoka, J., Yatsu, Y., Kawai, N. 2012 ApJ, 757, 176
- Kovalev, Y. Y. 2009 ApJ, 707L, 56
- Inoue, Y. 2011 ApJ, 733, 66
- Landoni, M., Massaro, F. et al. 2015 AJ, 149, 63
- López-Cañiego, M. et al. 2013 MNRAS, 430, 1566
- Maeda, K., Kataoka, J., Nakamori, T. et al. 2011 ApJ, 729, 103
- Maselli, A., Massaro, F., Cusumano, G. et al. 2013 ApJS, 206, 17
- Maselli, A., Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2015 Ap&SS, 357, 141
- Massaro, E., Giommi, P., Leto, C. et al. 2009 A&A, 495, 691
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Ajello, M. et al. 2011a ApJ, 740L, 48
- Massaro, F. & Ajello, M. 2011b ApJ, 729L, 12
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Tosti, G. et al. 2012a ApJ, 752, 61
- Massaro, E., Nesci, R. & Piranomonte S. 2012 MNRAS, 422, 2322
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Tosti, G. et al. 2012b ApJ, 750, 138
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Giroletti, M. et al. 2013a ApJS, 207, 4
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Paggi, A. et al. 2013b ApJS, 206, 13
- Massaro, F., Paggi, A., Errando, M. et al. 2013c ApJS, 207, 16;
- Massaro, F., Masetti, N., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2014 AJ, 148, 66
- Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R., Landoni, M. et al. 2015a ApJS, 217, 2
- Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C. et al. 2015b Ap&SS, 357, 75
- Massaro, F., Landoni, M., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2015c, A&A, 575, 124
- Massaro, F., Thompson, D. J., Ferrara, E. C. 2016 A&AR, 24, 2
- Massaro, F. & D’Abrusco, R. 2016 ApJ, 827, 67
- Mirabal, N. 2009 ApJ, 701, 129
- Nolan, P. L., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M. et al. 2012 ApJS, 199, 31
- Nori, M., Giroletti, M., Massaro, F. et al. 2014 ApJS 212, 3
- Paggi, A., Massaro, F., D’Abrusco, R. et al. 2013 ApJS, 209, 9
- Paggi, A., Milisavljevic, D., Masetti, N. et al. 2014 AJ, 147, 112
- Petrov, L., Mahony, E. K., Edwards, P. G. et al. 2013 MNRAS, 432, 1294
- Ricci F., Massaro F., Landoni M. et al. 2015 AJ, 149, 160
- Schinzl, F. K., Petrov, L., Taylor, G. B. 2015 ApJS, 217, 4
- Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W.; Cotter, G. et al. 2013 ApJ, 764, 135
- Shaw, M. S., Filippenko, A. V., Romani, R. W. et al. 2013 AJ, 146, 127
- Stickel, M., Padovani, P., Urry, C. M. et al. 1991 ApJ, 374, 431
- Stroh, M. C. & Falcone, A. D. 2013, ApJS, 207, 28
- Takahashi, Y., Kataoka, J., Nakamori, T. et al. 2012 ApJ, 747, 64
- Takeuchi, Y., Kataoka, J., Maeda, K. et al. 2013 ApJS, 208, 25
- Taylor, M. B. 2005, ASP Conf. Ser., 347, 29
- Thompson, D. J., Bertsch, D. L., Fichtel, C. E. et al. 1993 ApJS, 86, 629
- Thompson, D. J. 2008 RPPH, 71k6901
- Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- White, R. L., Becker, R. H. Helfand, D. J., Gregg, M. D. et al. 1997 ApJ, 475, 479
- Wright, E. L., et al. 2010 AJ, 140, 1868
- Zechlin, H. & Horns, D. 2012 JCAP, 11, 050.