History of the mass ejection in K 4-37: from the AGB to the evolved planetary nebula phase^{*}

L. F. Miranda¹[†], P. F. Guillén², L. Olguín³, R. Vázquez²

¹Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía – CSIC, C/ Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain

² Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 877, 22800 Ensenada, B.C., Mexico

³Departamento de Investigación en Física, Universidad de Sonora, Blvd. Rosales Esq. L.D. Colosio, Edif. 3H, 83190 Hermosillo, Son. Mexico

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present narrow-, broad-band, and WISE archive images, and high- and intermediate-resolution long-slit spectra of K4-37, a planetary nebula that has never been analyzed in detail. Although K 4-37 appears bipolar, the morphokinematical analysis discloses the existence of three distinct axes and additional particular directions in the object, indicating that K 4-37 is a multi-axis planetary nebula that has probably been shaped by several bipolar outflows at different directions. A $4-6 M_{\odot}$ mainsequence progenitor is estimated from the derived high nebular He and N abundances, and very high N/O abundance ratio (~ 2.32). The general properties are compatible with K4-37 being a highly evolved planetary nebula located at \sim 14 kpc. The WISE image at $22 \,\mu\text{m}$ reveals K4-37 to be surrounded by a large ($\sim 13 \times 8 \,\text{pc}^2$) elliptical detached shell probably related to material ejected from the AGB progenitor. The observed elliptical morphology suggests deformation of an originally spherical AGB shell by the ISM magnetic field or by the influence of a companion. We compare K4-37 and NGC 6309 and found remarkable similarities in their physical structure but noticeably different chemical abundances that indicate very different progenitor mass. This strongly suggests that, irrespective of the initial mass, their (presumably binary) central stars have shared a very similar mass ejection history.

Key words: planetary nebulae: individual (K 4-37) – insterstellar medium: jets and outflows.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known that planetary nebulae (PNe) originate from Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) and post-AGB stars with low- and intermediate-mass ($\leq 8-10 \, M_{\odot}$) main-sequence progenitors once the central star becomes hot enough to photoionize the envelope ejected during the AGB phase. It is also well known that this process, when applied to single star evolution, can hardly explain the dramatic transformation of a spherical AGB envelope into the varied and complex morphologies observed in a noticeable number of PNe (e.g., Balick & Frank 2002).

During many years the formation of PNe has been un-

 \bigodot 2016 The Authors

derstood within the Interacting Stellar Wind model (ISW; Kwok, Purton & Fitzgeral 1978; Balick 1987). This model has probed its ability to explain many of the features observed in PNe (Balick & Frank 2002, and references therein). However, multiple, highly collimated, and axisymmetric structures in PNe have always presented difficulties to be explained within the ISW scenario. Nowadays, in addition to wind-wind interaction, it is recognized that the formation of complex PNe requires the action of collimated outflows that distort an originally spherical AGB envelope (Sahai & Trauger 1998). In this context, binary central stars were always though to be determining in the formation of complex PNe (e.g., Morris 1981; Soker & Livio 1994). The importance of binary central stars in PN formation is receiving strong support with the detection of new post-common envelope binary central stars associated to complex PNe (Miszalski et al. 2009; Miszalski 2012; Jones 2016; and references therein). Evolution through a common-envelope provides many of basic ingredients though to be involved in the formation of complex PNe, as accretion disks, highly collimated outflows,

^{*} Based on observations collected at the German-Spanish Astronomical Center, Calar Alto, jointly operated by the Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (Heidelberg) and the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), and on observations acquired at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional in the Sierra San Pedro Mártir (OAN-SPM), Baja California, Mexico. † e-mail: lfm@iaa.es

2 L.F. Miranda et al.



Figure 1. Grey-scale reproductions of the 1998 H α and [N II], and 2016 [O III] images of K 4-37. The grey levels are logarithmic. North is up, east to the left. A field star is arrowed in the 1998 H α image, which has been identified as a star (and not as a nebular knot) thanks to the intermediate-resolution, long-slit spectrum (Section 2.3). The size of the field shown in the three panels is $23 \times 21.5 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$.



Figure 2. Grey-scale reproductions of the 2014 original (left) and deconvolved (right) $H\alpha + [N II]$ image of K4-37. The grey levels are logarithmic. North is up, east to the left. A field star is arrowed in the $H\alpha + [N II]$ original image (see caption of Fig. 1). The size of the field shown in the two panels is $23 \times 21.5 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$.

and magnetic fields (García-Segura et al. 1999; Dennis et al. 2008; de Marco 2009; and references therein).

Nowadays, about 3500 PNe are known in the Galaxy (Parker, Bojičić & Frew 2016). However, many of them still lack an analysis that allow us to place them within the evolutionary sequence and to carry out comparisons with other PNe, which could provide information to disetangle the possible key processes involved in the formation of the different kinds of PNe. Given the enormous variety of properties (e.g., morphology, kinematics, chemical abundances, physical conditions) exhibited by PNe, increasing the number of well analyzed objects may contribute to shed light on the processes involved in the AGB to PN transition.

K 4-37 (PN G066.9+02.2; $\alpha(2000.0) = 19^{\text{h}} 51^{\text{m}} 00^{\text{s}}6$; $\delta(2000.0) = +31^{\circ} 02' 29''$) is a PN that has never been analyzed in detail. It was discovered by Kohoutek (1965) and its PN nature can be guessed from the emission line fluxes listed in the Strasbourg ESO Catalog (Acker et al. 1992). According to this catalog, the nebula presents very strong [N II] and [S II], moderate [O III], and absent He II λ 4686 emission lines, indicating a very low-excitation PN. Except for these data, information about the characteristics and properties of K 4-37 is lacking in the published literature. The object was imaged by us many years ago in our programs to study compact PNe (e.g., Miranda et al. 1997, 2000, 2001; Vázquez et al. 2002), and it showed a simple and less interesting bipolar morphology. However, the acquisition and analysis of new data, and inspection of the WISE archive have revealed noticeable properties in this PN. In this paper we present the data, analysis, and results that we have obtained for K 4-37.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

2.1 Optical imaging

Narrow-band images of K4-37 were obtained during 1998 July 7-9 with CAFOS at the Calar Alto Observatory. The detector was LORAL $2k \times 2k$ CCD with a plate scale of 0.33 arcsec pixel⁻¹. Three filters were used: H α (FWHM =



Figure 3. Grey-scale reproduction of the WISE image at $22 \,\mu\text{m}$ (W4) around K4-37 (arrowed). Grey-levels are linear. North is up, east to the left, and the field of view is $15 \times 15 \,\text{arcmin}^2$. The elliptical ring-like structure is arrowed.

15 Å), [N II] (FWHM = 19 Å), and [O III] (FWHM = 25 Å). Exposure time was 600 s for each filter. The spatial resolution, determined by the FWHM of field stars, is 1.2 arcsec in the H α and [N II] images and 3 arcsec in the [O III] one.

Additional narrow-band images were obtained also with CAFOS in 2014 June 4 using an $H\alpha+[N II]$ filter (FWHM = 50 Å) and an exposure time of 3×600 s, and in 2016 May 3 using an [O III] filter (FWHM = 25 Å) and an exposure time of 2×900 s. In 2014 and 2016 the detector was a SITe $2k\times2k$ CCD with a plate scale of 0.53 arcsec pixel⁻¹. The FWHM of the field stars in the $H\alpha+[N II]$ image is 0.9 arcsec, lower than twice the pixel size, suggesting that the true spatial resolution of this image is better than 0.9 arcsec. In the 2016 [O III] image, the spatial resolution is 1.4 arcsec.

The images were cosmic-ray cleaned, bias subtracted and flat fielded using standard routines within the MIDAS package. To gain a better view of the morphology of K 4-37, we have used the Richardson-Lucy algorithm in the MIDAS package, to deconvolve the $H\alpha$ +[N II] image. A well exposed field star in the image was used as point-spread-function, and iteration was stopped when artifacts appeared in the deconvolved image. After 30 iterations, we obtained a deconvolved $H\alpha$ +[N II] image with a spatial resolution of ~0.5 arcsec.

The 1998 H α and [N II] images together with the 2016 [O III] image are shown in Figure 1. The original and deconvolved H α +[N II] images are presented in Figure 2. The nebula show a bipolar shape with a size of ~12×6.5 arcsec² in H α and [N II], somewhat smaller in [O III], and the major axis oriented at PA ~-40°. Nevertheless, faint emission can be detected in a ~18×11 arcsec² area. The images show a point-symmetric intensity distribution in the bipolar lobes approximately along the north-south direction. A torus traces the equatorial plane of the nebula, which contains two bright knots located symmetrically with respect to the center of the object and oriented at PA ~60°. Due to the point-symmetric regions of the lobes, the axis of the torus seems to be oriented close to the east-west direction. However, the deconvolved $H\alpha + [N II]$ image does show that its axis is oriented similarly to that of the bipolar lobes. The size of the torus is $\sim 4 \times 2 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$ and some distortions can be recognized towards its southeastern side.

More details about the morphology of K 4-37 can be recognized in the original and deconvolved $H\alpha + [NII]$ images (Fig. 2). These images show a noticeably limb-brightening and a knotty structure in the bipolar lobes. Moreover, the northwestern lobe is clearly distorted or broken towards the west where an elongated feature is observed up to \sim 7.3 arcsec from the center, which clearly deviates from the lobe geometry. An eastern counterpart cannot be recognized in the southeastern lobe (Fig. 2) but we note that the region along PA $\sim 90^{\circ}$ protrudes and does not follow the lobe geometry. In fact, the southeastern lobe can be traced up to $\sim 5.7 \,\mathrm{arcsec}$ from the center along PA $\sim 90^{\circ}$ whereas it extends only $\sim 4 \operatorname{arcsec}$ at PA $\sim 180^{\circ}$. The distorted regions subtend an angle of $\sim 30^{\circ}$ as seen from the center. From the images in Fig. 2 two different axes are identified in K4-37: one along PA $\sim -40^{\circ}$, defined by the main axis of the lobes and bright torus, and another one along PA $\sim 270^{\circ}$ (or $\sim 90^{\circ}$), defined by the distortions of the bipolar lobes. Besides, the point-symmetric features suggest additional particular directions in the nebula.

The central star of K 4-37 has never been identified and is neither observed in our narrow-band images. Central stars with V or B magnitudes $\lesssim 17^{\rm m}$ can be detected in (narrowband) H α or [O III] images with moderate exposure times, which implies that the central star of K 4-37 should be very faint. In an attempt to detect it, we obtained an image of K4-37 with CAFOS in 2016 May 3 using a Johnson B filter and an exposure time of 1200 s, under seeing conditions of $\sim 1.5 \,\mathrm{arcsec}$. No star can be recognized in our B image close to center of the object, where the central star could be expected, which would imply a lower limit of $\sim 20^{\rm m}$ for its B magnitude. On the other hand, it is well known that central stars may be displaced from the nebular center (e.g., Soker 1994; Tweedy & Napiwotzki 1994; Soker, Rappaport & Harpaz 1998; Chiotellis et al. 2016). We note that the images of K4-37 show a star at ~ 1.7 arcsec from nebular center (Figures 1 and 2). Its spectrum indicates a K-type star (see Section 2.3). A (somewhat speculative) alternative to the non detection of a star at the nebular center could be that the central star and the K-type star constitute a (non-resolved) binary system that has moved since the nebula was formed. If this was the case, the angular distance to the center and the age of the nebula ($\sim 10^4$ yr, Section 3.2) would imply a proper motion of $\sim 0.17 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ for the pair, corresponding to a tangential velocity of $\sim 11 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (at a distance of 14 kpc, see Section 3.2). If so, the large distorsion of the nebula towards the west, as compared with that towards the east, could be partially due to that motion. In any case, further efforts to detect the central star of K 4-37 would be very valuable.

2.2 WISE imaging

To complement our analysis, we have retrieved the images of K 4-37 obtained with the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) satellite in the four bands: W1 (3.4 μ m),



Figure 4. Flux-calibrated spectrum of K 4-37. The left inset shows the spectrum between 4300 and 6400 Å and the right inset in the $[NI]\lambda\lambda6548,6583$ and H α region. [A color version of the figure is available in the online version.]

W2 (4.6 $\mu m),$ W3 (12 $\mu m),$ and W4 (22 $\mu m),$ in a field of $15{\times}15\,{\rm arcmin}^2$ centered on the object.

K 4-37 itself is not resolved in the WISE images owing their relatively low spatial resolution $(6.1-12 \operatorname{arcsec})$ and the small size of the object. In addition, the field star observed in the optical images (Fig. 2) is bright at $3.4-22 \,\mu m$ and this star and the nebula are indistinguishable in the WISE images. The more interesting result is observed in the W4 band a reproduction of which is shown in Figure 3. K 4-37 appears embedded in a complex nebular environment in which stands out an elliptical ring-like structure surrounding the optical PN. Emission from the ring-like structure is also observed in the W3 band but more diffuse and fainter than in the W4 one, and is not observed in the W1 and W2 bands (not shown here). The elliptical ring-like structure (hereafter referred to as the $22\,\mu m$ ring) is open (or very faint) towards the northwest, contains a bright spot towards the southwest, and presents a complex region towards the northeast where it seems to contact an ambient filament. The edge of the $22 \,\mu m$ ring is relatively bright but emission is also detected inside it. The complex northern region makes it difficult to obtain accurately the orientation and major axis of this structure. Nevertheless, its minor axis appears oriented close to PA $\sim -60^{\circ}$ and is $\sim 2 \operatorname{arcmin}$ in size, its major axis is $\sim 2.9-3.6$ arcmin in size as measured at PA 30° (in the following we will adopt a major axis of $3.2 \operatorname{arcmin}$), while a size of $\sim 2.4 \operatorname{arcmin}$ is measured at PAs 75° and $165^\circ.$ From the image in Fig. 3, an association, and not a superposition chance, between the $22 \,\mu m$ ring and the optical nebula is strongly suggested because the $22 \,\mu m$ ring, the only closed structure observed in the field, is well centered on K4-37. Nevertheless, the optical nebula seems to

be slightly displaced towards the southeast with respect to the possible center of the $22 \,\mu$ m ring, which is difficult to define because of its complex northern region. The large difference in sizes between the optical nebula and the $22 \,\mu$ m ring strongly suggests that the latter should correspond to a much older ejection than that involved in the formation of the main nebula. As we will discuss below (Section 3.3), the $22 \,\mu$ m ring probably represents mass ejected from the AGB progenitor of K 4-37. We note that the detection of this structure at $22 \,\mu$ m suggests dust as origin of its emission.

2.3 Intermediate-resolution long-slit spectroscopy

Intermediate-resolution, long slit-spectra of K 4-37 were obtained on 2008 June 8 with the Boller & Chivens spectrograph mounted on the 2.1 m telescope at the San Pedro Martir Observatory (OAN-SPM)¹, using the SITe3 CCD (plate scale 24 μ m pix⁻¹), with a 1k×1k pixel array as a detector. We used a 400 lines mm⁻¹ dispersion grating along with a 2.5 arcsec slit width, giving a spectral resolution (FWHM) of ~6.5 Å and covering the 4300–7400 Å spectral range. The slit was centered on the nebula and oriented at PA 90°. Four spectra were obtained with an exposure time of 1800 s each. Spectra reduction was carried out following standard pro-

¹ The Observatorio Astronómico Nacional at the Sierra de San Pedro Mártir (OAN-SPM) is operated by the Instituto de Astronomía of the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

cedures in XVISTA². In particular, the median of the four spectra was first derived and then it was subtracted from each individual spectrum to detect and eliminate cosmic rays manually. Finally, the cleaned spectra were added to get a final spectrum with a total exposure time of 7200 s. Spectrophotometric standard stars were observed in the same night for flux calibration purposes. Seeing was ~2.5 arcsec during the observations.

The field star overimposed on the nebula (Figs. 1 and 2) is covered by the slit. The spectrum indicates a K-type for this star. We have fitted the continuum of this star and subtracted it from the integrated nebular spectrum. The contribution of the stellar absorption lines to the Balmer nebular emission lines is difficult to evaluate because a more precise spectral type cannot be deduced for the field star. Nevertheless, from other observed absorption lines in the stellar spectrum, we estimate that this contribution should be lower than ~2% and, therefore, it is not critical in the analysis of the nebular emission spectrum.

Figure 4 shows the integrated spectrum of K 4-37 and Table 1 lists the emission line intensities, their errors, and several parameters, as determined with the programm AN-NEB, a description of which can be found in Olguín et al. (2011). Briefly, we used the extinction law of Seaton (1979) and assume case B recombination to start an iterative process until the values of the logarithmic extinction coefficiente $c(H\beta)$, electron temperatures $T_e[N II]$ and $T_e[O III]$ obtained from the [N II] and [O III] emission line ratios, respectively, and electron density, $N_e[S II]$ obtained from the [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731 emission lines, converge to their final values that are listed in Table 1.

Figure 4 and Table 1 show the extreme low-excitation of K 4-37 with [N II]/H α and [S II]/H α line intensity ratios of ~6.6 and ~0.4, respectively, and prominent [N I] and [O I] emission lines as compared with that usually observed in PNe (see Section 3.1). The [O III]/H β line intensity ratio presents a moderate value of ~7.7. Noticeably, the He II λ 4686 emission line is also detected in our spectrum and indeed with a relatively strong intensity of about a third of H β , suggesting a relatively hot central star. Finally, the electron temperatures are quite typical of PNe, while the electron density is very low (see Table 1), indicating a very evolved PNe.

Ionic and elemental abundances were derived using the values of $N_{\rm e}[\rm S\,II]$ and $T_{\rm e}[\rm N\,II]$ in Table 1, and are listed in Table 2. The icf method of Kingsburgh & Barlow (1984) has been used as implemented in ANNEB. The high He (He/H ~0.145) and N (N/H ~ 6.3×10^{-4}) abundances make K 4-37 a typical type I PN (Peimbert 1990). Sulfur and argon abundances are in the range of the values observed in PN and type I PNe (e.g., Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994). What makes K 4-37 particularly interesting is the high value of the N/O abundance ratio of ~2.32 that is among the highest ones observed in PNe (see, e.g., Pottasch, Beintema & Feibelman 2000; Akras et al. 2016 and references therein) and indicates an extreme nitrogen enrichment. The high He

² XVISTA was originally developed as Lick Observatory Vista. It is currently mantained by Jon Holtzman at New Mexico State University and is available at http://ganymede.nmsu.edu/holtz/xvista.

Table 1. Dereddened emission line intensities in K 4-37 in units of $I_{{\rm H}\beta}\,=\,100.0$

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	т		$((\lambda))$	$T(\lambda)$
Hγ 4340 0.129 64.5 ± 5.9 [O III] 4363 0.124 12.2 ± 3.7 He II 4686 0.042 29.9 ± 2.8 Hβ 4861 0.000 100.0 ± 2.3 [O III] 4959 -0.024 194.5 ± 3.4 [O III] 5007 -0.035 572.5 ± 9.5 [N I] 5199 -0.075 26.0 ± 1.1 [N II] 5755 -0.192 24.0 ± 0.7 He I 5876 -0.216 15.3 ± 0.6 [O I] 6300 -0.285 20.2 ± 0.6 [S III] 6312 -0.287 5.5 ± 0.3 [N II] 6548 -0.321 465.9 ± 13.0 Hα 6563 -0.323 283.9 ± 8.0 [N II] 6583 -0.326 1414.8 ± 39.8 He I 6678 -0.339 5.2 ± 0.3 [S II] 6716 -0.343 60.0 ± 1.8 [S II] 6731 -0.345 53.6 ± 1.6 <	Ion	λ	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$H\gamma$	4340	0.129	64.5 ± 5.9
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[O III]	4363	0.124	12.2 ± 3.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccc} \mathrm{H}\beta & & 4861 & 0.000 & 100.0 \pm 2.3 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{III}] & & 4959 & -0.024 & 194.5 \pm 3.4 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{III}] & & 5007 & -0.035 & 572.5 \pm 9.5 \\ [\mathrm{N}\mathrm{II}] & & 5199 & -0.075 & 26.0 \pm 1.1 \\ [\mathrm{N}\mathrm{II}] & & 5755 & -0.192 & 24.0 \pm 0.7 \\ \mathrm{He}\mathrm{I} & & 5876 & -0.216 & 15.3 \pm 0.6 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{II}] & & 6300 & -0.285 & 20.2 \pm 0.6 \\ [\mathrm{S}\mathrm{III}] & & 6312 & -0.287 & 5.5 \pm 0.4 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{II}] & & 6363 & -0.294 & 5.5 \pm 0.3 \\ [\mathrm{N}\mathrm{II}] & & 6548 & -0.321 & 465.9 \pm 13.0 \\ \mathrm{H}\alpha & & 6563 & -0.323 & 283.9 \pm 8.0 \\ [\mathrm{N}\mathrm{II}] & & 6583 & -0.326 & 1414.8 \pm 39.8 \\ \mathrm{He}\mathrm{I} & & 6678 & -0.339 & 5.2 \pm 0.3 \\ [\mathrm{S}\mathrm{II}] & & 6716 & -0.343 & 60.0 \pm 1.8 \\ [\mathrm{S}\mathrm{II}] & & 6731 & -0.345 & 53.6 \pm 1.6 \\ \mathrm{He}\mathrm{I} & & 7065 & -0.383 & 4.8 \pm 0.3 \\ [\mathrm{A}\mathrm{I}\mathrm{III}] & & 7136 & -0.391 & 25.7 \pm 0.9 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{II}] & & 7330 & -0.411 & 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ [\mathrm{O}\mathrm{II}] & & 7330 & -0.412 & 5.1 \pm 0.3 \\ \end{array}$	HeII	4686	0.042	29.9 ± 2.8
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$H\beta$	4861	0.000	100.0 ± 2.3
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[O III]	4959	-0.024	194.5 ± 3.4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[O III]	5007	-0.035	572.5 ± 9.5
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[N I]	5199	-0.075	26.0 ± 1.1
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[N II]	5755	-0.192	24.0 ± 0.7
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Heı	5876	-0.216	15.3 ± 0.6
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[O I]	6300	-0.285	20.2 ± 0.6
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	[S III]	6312	-0.287	5.5 ± 0.4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[O I]	6363	-0.294	5.5 ± 0.3
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mbox{H}\alpha & 6563 & -0.323 & 283.9 \pm 8.0 \\ \mbox{[N II]} & 6583 & -0.326 & 1414.8 \pm 39.8 \\ \mbox{H}e I & 6678 & -0.339 & 5.2 \pm 0.3 \\ \mbox{[S II]} & 6716 & -0.343 & 60.0 \pm 1.8 \\ \mbox{[S II]} & 6731 & -0.345 & 53.6 \pm 1.6 \\ \mbox{H}e I & 7065 & -0.383 & 4.8 \pm 0.3 \\ \mbox{[Ar III]} & 7136 & -0.391 & 25.7 \pm 0.9 \\ \mbox{[O II]} & 7320 & -0.411 & 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ \mbox{[O II]} & 7330 & -0.412 & 5.1 \pm 0.3 \\ \mbox{log} F(\mbox{H}\beta) & & -16.23 \pm 0.03 \\ \mbox{(erg cm}^{-2} s^1) & & \\ \mbox{c}(\mbox{H}\beta) & & 1.71 \pm 0.03 \\ \mbox{T}e[\mbox{[N II]} (\mbox{K}) & & 11000 \pm 300 \\ \mbox{T}e[\mbox{[O II]} (\mbox{K}) & & 15800 \pm 2450 \\ \mbox{N}e[\mbox{S II]} (\mbox{cm}^{-3}) & & 370 \pm 120 \end{array}$	[N II]	6548	-0.321	465.9 ± 13.0
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Hα	6563	-0.323	283.9 ± 8.0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {\rm He I} & 6678 & -0.339 & 5.2 \pm 0.3 \\ [S{\rm II}] & 6716 & -0.343 & 60.0 \pm 1.8 \\ [S{\rm II}] & 6731 & -0.345 & 53.6 \pm 1.6 \\ {\rm He I} & 7065 & -0.383 & 4.8 \pm 0.3 \\ [A{\rm r III}] & 7136 & -0.391 & 25.7 \pm 0.9 \\ [O{\rm II}] & 7320 & -0.411 & 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ [O{\rm II}] & 7330 & -0.412 & 5.1 \pm 0.3 \\ \\ \hline \log F({\rm H}\beta) & & -16.23 \pm 0.03 \\ ({\rm erg cm^{-2} s^1}) & & \\ c({\rm H}\beta) & & 1.71 \pm 0.03 \\ T_{\rm e}[{\rm N II}] ({\rm K}) & & 11000 \pm 300 \\ T_{\rm e}[{\rm N II}] ({\rm K}) & & 15800 \pm 2450 \\ N_{\rm e}[{\rm S II}] ({\rm cm^{-3}}) & & 370 \pm 120 \end{array}$	[N II]	6583	-0.326	1414.8 ± 39.8
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	HeI	6678	-0.339	5.2 ± 0.3
	[S II]	6716	-0.343	60.0 ± 1.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \text{He I} & 7065 & -0.383 & 4.8 \pm 0.3 \\ [\text{Ar III}] & 7136 & -0.391 & 25.7 \pm 0.9 \\ [\text{O II}] & 7320 & -0.411 & 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ [\text{O II}] & 7330 & -0.412 & 5.1 \pm 0.3 \\ \hline \log F(\text{H}\beta) & & -16.23 \pm 0.03 \\ (\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^1) & & \\ c(\text{H}\beta) & & 1.71 \pm 0.03 \\ T_{e}[\text{N II}] (\text{K}) & & 11000 \pm 300 \\ T_{e}[\text{N II}] (\text{K}) & & 15800 \pm 2450 \\ N_{e}[\text{S II}] (\text{cm}^{-3}) & & 370 \pm 120 \\ \end{array} $	[S II]	6731	-0.345	53.6 ± 1.6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	HeI	7065	-0.383	4.8 ± 0.3
	[Ar III]	7136	-0.391	25.7 ± 0.9
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[O II]	7320	-0.411	4.9 ± 0.3
$\begin{array}{ll} \log F(\mathrm{H}\beta) & -16.23 \pm 0.03 \\ (\mathrm{ergcm^{-2}s^{1}}) & \\ c(\mathrm{H}\beta) & 1.71 \pm 0.03 \\ T_{\mathrm{e}}[\mathrm{NII}] (\mathrm{K}) & 11000 \pm 300 \\ T_{\mathrm{e}}[\mathrm{OIII}] (\mathrm{K}) & 15800 \pm 2450 \\ N_{\mathrm{e}}[\mathrm{SII}] (\mathrm{cm^{-3}}) & 370 \pm 120 \end{array}$	[O II]	7330	-0.412	5.1 ± 0.3
$(erg \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^1)$ 1.71 ± 0.03 $c(H\beta)$ 1.71 ± 0.03 $T_e[\text{N II}]$ (K) 11000 ± 300 $T_e[\text{O III}]$ (K) 15800 ± 2450 $N_e[\text{S II}]$ (cm ⁻³) 370 ± 120	$\log F(\mathrm{H}\beta)$			-16.23 ± 0.03
$c(H\beta)$ 1.71 ± 0.03 $T_{e}[N II]$ (K) 11000 ± 300 $T_{e}[O III]$ (K) 15800 ± 2450 $N_{e}[S II]$ (cm ⁻³) 370 ± 120	$(\mathrm{erg}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^1)$			
$T_{e}[N II]$ (K) 11000 ± 300 $T_{e}[O III]$ (K) 15800 ± 2450 $N_{e}[S II]$ (cm ⁻³) 370 ± 120	$c(\mathrm{H}\beta)$			1.71 ± 0.03
$T_{e}[O \text{ III}](K) 15800 \pm 2450 \\ N_{e}[S \text{ II}] (\text{cm}^{-3}) 370 \pm 120$	$T_{\rm e}[\rm NII]$ (K)			11000 ± 300
$N_{\rm e}[{\rm SII}]~({\rm cm}^{-3})$ 370 ± 120	$T_{e}[O_{III}](K)$			15800 ± 2450
	$N_{\rm e}[{\rm SII}]~({\rm cm}^{-3})$			370 ± 120

Table 2. Ionic and elemental abundances by number in K 4-37

Ion	Abundance		
$\begin{array}{c} He^{+} (\times 10^{2}) \\ He^{2+} (\times 10^{2}) \\ O^{0} (\times 10^{4}) \\ O^{+} (\times 10^{4}) \\ O^{2+} (\times 10^{4}) \\ N^{0} (\times 10^{5}) \\ N^{+} (\times 10^{6}) \\ S^{2+} (\times 10^{6}) \\ Ar^{2+} (\times 10^{6}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.060 \pm 0.397 \\ 2.42 \pm 0.22 \\ 0.26 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.04 \\ 1.48 \pm 0.02 \\ 2.61 \pm 0.11 \\ 2.15 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.21 \pm 0.05 \\ 8.93 \pm 0.64 \\ 1.90 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$		
Element	Abundance		
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm He/H} \\ {\rm O/H} \; (\times 10^4) \\ {\rm N/H} \; (\times 10^4) \\ {\rm Ar/H} \; (\times 10^6) \\ {\rm S/H} \; (\times 10^5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.145 \pm 0.005 \\ 2.72 \pm 0.06 \\ 6.31 \pm 0.36 \\ 3.55 \pm 0.79 \\ 1.25 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$		

and N abundances, and N/O abundance ratio imply an intermediate mass progenitor. We have compared the values in Table 2 with the models for stellar yields by Karakas (2010) to estimate an initial mass of $4-6 \ M_{\odot}$ for the main-sequence progenitor of K 4-37.

6 L.F. Miranda et al.

2.4 High-resolution long-slit spectroscopy

High-dispersion optical spectra were obtained with the Manchester Echelle Spectrometer (Meaburn et al. 2003) and the 2.1 m (f/7.5) telescope at the OAN-SPM Observatory during 2004 July 29–30. A SITe CCD with $1k \times 1k$ pixels was used as detector. The slit length is $6.5\,\mathrm{arcmin}$ and its width was set to $150 \,\mu\text{m}$ (2 arcsec). A 2×2 binning was used, leading to a spatial scale of $0.66 \operatorname{arcsec pixel}^{-1}$ and a spectral scale of 0.1 Å pixel^{-1} . This spectrograph has no cross dispersion, consequently, a $\Delta \lambda = 90$ Å bandwidth filter was used to isolate the 87th order covering the spectral range that includes the H α and [N II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548,6583 emission lines. Spectra were obtained with the slit centered across the center of the nebula and oriented at PAs -40° and $+90^{\circ}$. Exposure time for each spectrum was 1200 s. The spectra were wavelength calibrated with a Th-Ar arc lamp to an accuracy of $\pm 1 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. The FWHM of the arc lamp emission lines was measured to be $\simeq 12 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ that corresponds to the achived spectral resolution. Seeing was $\sim 2 \operatorname{arcsec} during$ the observations. Reduction of the spectra was carried out with standard routines in the IRAF package.

Figure 5 presents position-velocity (PV) maps of the $[N II]\lambda 6583$ emission line at the two observed PAs. From these high-resolution spectra, the systemic velocity of K 4-37 was measured by co-adding 2.4 arcsec around the central position of the emission line features observed at each PA, and finding the central radial velocity of the resulting line profile. The estimates for the systemic velocity in both spectra and from the H α and $[N II]\lambda\lambda 6548,6583$ emission lines are consistent with each other after heliocentric and LSR corrections, and its mean value is $V_{\rm HEL} = -23.7 \pm 1.5 \,\rm km \,s^{-1}$ ($V_{\rm LSR} = -5.3 \pm 1.5 \,\rm km \,s^{-1}$).

The [NII] emission feature in the PV maps (Fig. 5) is dominated by two intensity maxima that correspond to the equatorial ring. The PV map at PA -40° shows two velocity components at each spatial position, as expected from a bipolar shell. The velocity splitting is small and varies between ~ 22 and $\sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. At PA 90° velocity splitting is clearly observed around the center of the line feature but is difficult to recognize at larger angular distances. The longslit spectrum at PA 90° covers the distorted region towards the west (Fig. 2). In fact, the [N II] emission feature in the PV map at PA 90° is much more extended towards the west than towards the east, in consonance with the images (Fig. 2). If the weastern nebular regions have been distorted, as the images show, its kinematics may have been distorted, too, which may explain the lack of velocity splitting, as expected from a bipolar shell.

To extract more information from the PV maps, we used the tool SHAPE (Steffen et al. 2011) to find the morphokinematic parameters that are able to reproduce simultaneously the observed morphology and PV maps. It should be emphasized that we did not try to reproduce with SHAPE all the observed features in the PV maps and image in detail as, e.g., changes of intensity (point-symmetric regions, bright knots), (spatial and velocity) widths of the features, and distortions of the structures. Rather, we try to reproduce the variation of the radial velocity (two components) as a function of the spatial position, as observed in the PV maps, and the basic shape (projected contour) of the bipolar lobes and torus, as observed in the image. In Figure 6 we show the deconvolved H α +[N II] image and [N II] PV maps of K 4-37 together with the results of SHAPE assuming a thin bipolar shell with a homologous expansion velocity law, i.e., expansion velocity (V_{exp}) proportional to the radius (r) at each point of the nebula [$V_{exp}(r) = K \times r$] and narrow slits (1 arcsec) at PAs -40° and 90° (but see below).

The equatorial torus is quite well reproduced with a circular structure of 1.9 arcsec in radius, expansion velocity of $15.3 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$, and a tilt for its axis of 45° with respect to the plane of the sky (red colour in Figure 6). These parameters imply $K \sim 8.0 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, arcsec^{-1}}$. When we applied this law to the bipolar lobes, we found that their kinematics cannot be reproduced satisfactorily, even though their morphology can be reproduced acceptably (blue colour in Figure 6). It is clear that the kinematics of the bipolar lobes predicted assuming homologous expansion results to be very different from the observed one. Firstly, we have considered whether a slit width of 2 arcsec (as we used for the long-slit spectra, see above) could have an effect on the resulting shape of the blue lines in Figure 6. However, the use of a 2 arcsec slit produces virtually the same results as shown in Figure 6, except that the blue lines become slightly thicker inwards as a result of including nebular regions at both sides of the main axis, which have somewhat lower radial velocities than along the main axis. Other effects, as, e.g., smoothing due to seeing, may also increase the thickness of the blue lines but the apparent tilt of these lines in the PV maps remains unchanged. Then, we have modified (one by one and simultaneously) several parameters involved in the analysis (e.g., size of the polar axis, polar expansion velocity, inclination angle of the polar axis, in all cases also with a 2 arcsec slit width) and our conclusion is that reproducing the morphokinematics of the bipolar lobes requires that the main axis of the lobes is not perpendicular to the plane of the torus, and, in addition, that a non-homologous expansion law should be considered. In Fig. 6 (green colour) we also show the morphology and kinematics expected if the main axis of the lobes is tilted by 20° with respect to the plane of the sky, the semi-major axis is 5.3 arcsec, and the polar expansion velocity is $34 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. These parameters provide a much more acceptable reproduction of the kinematics observed in the PV maps and keep an acceptable representation of the morphology of the lobes as well. They also imply $K \sim 6.4 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, arcsec^{-1}}$ for the bipolar lobes, that is sensibly different from that obtained for the equatorial torus. We note that the western region of the PV map at PA $+90^{\circ}$ is difficult to reproduce (Fig. 6), and emission is observed at higher redshifted radial velocities than the predicted ones. This suggests that the distorted region could be more tilted than the axis of the lobes (i.e., $>20^{\circ}$ with respect to the plane of the sky), but a more precise determination would require spectra at higher spectral and spatial resolution. We have also checked the range of tilt angles capable to provide a reasonable reproduction of the observed morphokinematics and found that the deduced tilt angles are correct within $\sim \pm 3^{\circ}$ for the axis of the bipolar lobes and $\sim \pm 1.5$ for the axis of the torus. These uncertainties are much smaller than the difference in tilt angles $(\sim 25^{\circ})$, supporting that the difference is real. Finally, we note that the expansion velocities obtained in K 4-37 (~ 15 - $35 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$), are noticeable lower than those observed in other bipolar PNe.

The morphokinematical analysis has disclosed the pres-



Figure 5. Grey-scale and contours position-velocity maps of the $[N II]\lambda 6583$ emission line at the two observed PAs (upper right). The grey levels and contours are logarithmic. Spatial and velocity scales are identical in both panels and indicated in the left one.



Figure 6. Grey-scale $H\alpha + [N II]$ deconvolved image (left), and grey-scale and contours position-velocity (PV) maps of the $[N II]\lambda 6584$ emission line (middle and right). The grey levels and contours are logarithmic. The orientation of the long slits are plotted on the image (slit width not to scale), and the PV maps contain information about the orientation, and spatial and velocity scales the latter two being identical in the middle and right panels. Overimposed on the panels are the results of SHAPE: red color corresponds to the equatorial torus, blue color to the bipolar lobes assuming homologous expansion velocity, and green color to the bipolar lobes assuming non-homologous expansion velocity and an inclination angle for the bipolar lobes different from that of the torus (see text for details). [A colour version of this figure is available in the online version.]

ence of two different axes where only one is observed in the images as a the main bipolar axis. By chance, due to our line of sight, the axes of the torus and bipolar lobes appear aligned on the plane of the sky at about the same PA ($\sim -40^{\circ}$), but they present different tilts with respect to the observer (see also Section 3.4 for the case of NGC 6309). These two axes add to the axes of the distortions of the bipolar lobes and the orientation of the point-symmetric regions, which are all different from each other. According to these results, K 4-37 may be better classified as a multi-axis PN rather than among bipolar PNe with a single axis.

The non-homologous expansion found in K 4-37 is worth mentioning. The idea that PNe expand in an homologous manner has prevailed during long time mainly due to the fact that a simple homologous expansion velocity law is able to reproduce the observed PV maps of many PNe in a quite such a law is unable to reproduce the observed PV maps (e.g., Miranda et al. 1999; Steffen, García-Segura & Koning 2009; Steffen et al. 2013; Bermúdez 2015). In these cases, the action of collimated outflows on the shell and interaction between the stellar wind and an inhomogeneous shell have been invoked to explain the non-homologous expansion (see references above). In this context, the formation of the bipolar lobes of K 4-37 seems to require a focussed wind/outflow along a direction that is not perpendicular to the equatorial bright torus. In PNe, these kind of outflows are usually related to binary central stars. The possibility that at least in some PNe, non-homologous expansion could be suggesting a binary central star should be investigated.

satisfactory manner. Nevertheless, PNe are known in which

From the morphokinematic parameters we obtain kinematical ages of $\sim 590 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr and $\sim 740 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr for the

torus and bipolar lobes, respectively, that seem to imply that the formation of the bipolar lobes has preceded that of the torus. However, given the uncertainties involved in the analysis, we will consider a mean value of $665 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr for the kinematical age of K 4-37. As of the $22 \,\mu\text{m}$ ring, if we assume a constant expansion velocity of $15 \,\text{km s}^{-1}$ (typical of AGB envelopes), its kinematical age is $\sim 3.1 \times 10^4 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr, much larger than that of the bipolar nebula.

3 DISCUSSION

3.1 The evolutionary status of K 4-37

If the global properties derived in the previous sections are considered, K4-37 seems to find its right place among the group of highly evolved PNe recently analyzed by Akras et al. (2016, hereafter AK16). Tables 3 and 4 in AK16 and Tables 1 and 2 in this work show the common properties. These PNe, including K 4-37, show very strong [N II] and [S II] emissions that place them in the lower-left region of the diagram $\log(H\alpha + [N II])$ versus $\log(H\alpha + [S II])$ (see Fig. 9 in AK16). AK16 call the attention to the presence of unusually strong [NI], [OI] emission lines in their sample of highly evolved PNe, which suggest a contribution of shock excitation (see also Akras & Gonçalves 2016). K 4-37 presents [N I] and [O I] to $H\beta$ line intensity ratios comparable to those in the sample by AK16, and, therefore, shocks are probably contributing to the line emission. These PNe also present high He and N abundances, and high N/O abundance ratios, indicating progenitors of $\sim 5 M_{\odot}$, and very low electron densities (AK16; see Section 2.3). To these common characteristics, we add the presence of a relatively strong HeII λ 4686 nebular emission (He II λ 4686/H β ~0.2–0.5; Table 1; AK16 and references therein). This is probably related to the high effective temperature of their central stars (AK16). Although the central star of K4-37 has not been detected, its pressumable faintness and relatively strong He II λ 4686 nebular emisssion suggest that it could be of low luminosity and high effective temperature, as those in the sample analyzed by AK16.

3.2 The distance of K 4-37

We have obtained a kinematical age of $665 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr for K 4-37 that depends on its distance (Section 2.4). Although the distance is unknown, the resulting kinematical age should be compatible with K 4-37 being a highly evolved PN. If, as a first approximation, we assume a kinematical age of >10000 yr as representative of a highly evolved PN (see AK16), the distance to K 4-37 is >15 kpc. A more confident distance can be obtained by using the recent surface brightness-radius relationship by Frew, Parker & Bojičić (2016), the observed H α flux and $c(\text{H}\beta)$ (Table 2), and a mean nebular radius of ~6 arcsec. With these values, the distance is ~14 kpc and, consequently, the kinematical age is ~9300 yr, compatible with a highly evolved PN.

For 14 kpc, the size of the nebula is $\sim 0.72 \times 0.26 \text{ pc}^2$, very much smaller than the size of the 22 μ m ring that amounts $\sim 13 \times 8 \text{ pc}^2$ and whose kinematical age is of $\sim 4.3 \times 10^5$ yr. Taking into account the age of the nebula and that a 4–6 M_☉ star traverses the post-AGB phase in $\lesssim 100 \text{ yr}$

(Blöcker 1995), the kinematical age of the $22 \,\mu$ m ring places its formation in the AGB phase of the progenitor of K 4-37.

Finally, the low expansion velocities in K 4-37 suggest that the shell might have been decelerated in the course of the evolution. If this was the case, the "true" kinematical age of K 4-37 would be $<665 \times D[\text{kpc}]$ yr, and 14 kpc could be a lower limit to the distance to make compatible the kinematical age of K 4-37 with its evolved nature. In any case, it seems to be clear that K 4-37 should be located at a relatively large distance.

3.3 Mass ejection history in K 4-37

Our data analysis has shown that K 4-37 should be classified as a multi-axis PNe. In addition, a very large elliptical structure has been found at $22 \,\mu m$ surrounded the optical nebula, which probably corresponds to mass ejected in the AGB phase of the progenitor of K 4-37. These findings provide information about the formation history of K 4-37

According to the properties described in the previous sections, the $22 \,\mu \text{m}$ ring probably is a detached shell related to mass ejected during the AGB phase. The emission detected inside the ring indicates that it is filled with material while the bright edge traces the site of interaction between the AGB ejections and the ISM (e.g., Villaver, García-Segura & Manchado 2002; Schöier, Lindqvist & Olofsson 2005; Mattsson, Höfner & Herwig 2007). Detached shells are observed in many AGB and post-AGB stars (e.g, Speck, Meixner & Knapp 2000; Cox et al. 2012; and references therein). To the best of our knowledge, the $22 \,\mu m$ ring in K4-37 is the largest one of this kind of shells, only comparable to that around R CrB (size $\sim 8.7 \,\mathrm{pc}$, Gillett et al. 1997), and much larger than those around AGB stars (sizes $\sim 0.04-0.8 \,\mathrm{pc}$, e.g., Olofsson et al. 2000; Cox et al. 2012), and post-AGB stars as, e.g., AFGL 2688 and CRL 618 with sizes of ~ 4 and $\sim 6.6 \,\mathrm{pc}$, respectively (Speck et al. 2000). Although the evolution of the these shells may be complex (e.g., Villaver et al. 2002), detached shells around AGB and post-AGB stars could be expected to grow noticeably when the (possible) associated future PNe reach a highly evolved phase.

The elliptical morphology of the $22 \,\mu m$ ring contradicts the spherical mass ejection that is expected to dominate during the AGB phase (e.g., Olofsson et al. 2000; Kerschbaum et al. 2010). It is interesting to speculate about the origin of this morphology. Deformation of an original spherical AGB shell by the own interaction with the ISM can be ruled out because of the very peculiar ISM structure required to create a well defined elliptical ring. The $22\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ ring has some resemblance with the "eye-type" shells observed around some AGB stars (Cox et al. 2012). "Eye-type" shells have been modeled as deformation of an AGB spherical shell by the ISM magnetic field (van Marle, Cox & Decin 2015) and a similar model could be applicable to the $22 \,\mu m$ ring. Alternatively, a companion could flatten the (spherical) envelope of an AGB star (Mauron, Huggins & Cheung 2013) resulting in an oblated shell. Molecular line observations of the $22\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ ring are required to study its kinematics, and to derive its 3D structure and properties.

The formation of K 4-37 itself fits well into the usual scenario in which several bipolar outflows have shaped the nebula (Sahai & Trauger 1998). As observed in many PNe, the

ical abundances in NGC 6309 (Table 3) and the models by

Karakas (2010) suggest an initial mass of $\sim 1-1.2 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ for

its progenitor, while 4–6 ${\rm M}_{\odot}$ were estimated in the case of

K4-37 (see above). Vázquez et al. (2008) noticed that the

existence of the multi-axis structure of NGC 6309 strongly

contrasts with the idea that these kind of PNe should be as-

sociated with intermediate-mass progenitors (e.g., Corradi &

•	PN	He	Ν	0	S	Ar	N/O	Reference
	K 4-37 NGC 6309	$\begin{array}{c} 11.161 \\ 11.061 \end{array}$	$8.80 \\ 7.94$	$8.43 \\ 8.73$	$7.10 \\ 6.79$	$6.55 \\ 6.47$	$2.32 \\ 0.15$	This work Vázquez et al. 2008

Table 3. Chemical abundances $[12+\log[n(X)/n(H)]$ in K4-37 and NGC 6309.

bipolar outflows should have been ejected at different directions and their collimation degree has also changed; a poor collimated outflow seems to be involved in the formation of the bipolar lobes while more focused outflows could be related to the distortions of the lobes and point-symmetric regions. Our data do not allow us to stablish a possible time sequence in the generation of the various outflows, although the bipolar lobes may be ascribed to a major ejection event.

3.4 Comparison of K 4-37 and NGC 6309

Among multi-axis PNe, we found striking morphological similarities between K4-37 and NGC 6309, a PN with a bright equatorial torus and two pairs of bipolar lobes at different directions (Vázquez et al. 2008; Rubio et al. 2015). Knotty and point-symmetric structures can be recognized in the lobes as well as distortions in one of the pairs of NGC 6309, characteristics that are present in K 4-37 as well. Interestingly, the two pairs of bipolar lobes and the torus of NGC 6309 seem to be tilted with respect to the observer by the same amount (Vázquez et al. 2008; Rubio et al. 2015). This implies that if NGC 6309 was observed from a line of sight perpendicular to the current one, it would appear as a "simple" bipolar PN, with a pair of bipolar lobes and an equatorial torus sharing a single main axis, and resembling the images of K4-37; in this case, only a morphokinematical analysis of NGC 6309 would be able to reveal the existence of two pairs of lobes and different orientations of the structures. Moreover, a spherical halo surrounds NGC 6309 and evidence exists for a large shell with a size of $\sim 1.5 \,\mathrm{pc}$ and kinematical age of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^5$ yr (Rubio et al. 2015). This comparison strongly suggests that the central stars of K4-37 and NGC 6309 share a similar mass ejection history. In particular, the specific processes involved in the shaping of the multi-axis structure should have been very similar in both PNe.

Some differences can be noticed between K 4-37 and NGC 6309. In particular, the electron density in NGC 6309 (1400-4000 cm⁻³, Vázquez et al. 2008) does not indicate a particularly young or a highly evolved PN, in consonance with its kinematical age of ~4000 yr (Vázquez et al. 2008; Rubio et al. 2015) that suggests a moderately young/evolved PN. Probably K 4-37 and NGC 6309 are in a different evolutionary stage within PN evolution, being K 4-37 in a more advanced one.

However, the most interesting difference between K 4-37 and NGC 6309 is observed in their chemical abundances that are listed in Table 3. Although He seems to be slightly overabundant in NGC 6309, N may be deficient, and the N/O abundance ratio is very low. Albeit multi-axis, NGC 6309 is not a type I PN (Vázquez et al. 2008). Chemical abundances in PNe are primarily related to the initial mass of the progenitor. Therefore, K 4-37 and NGC 6309 should have evolved from progenitors of very different mass. The chem-

Schwarz 1995). The striking structural similarities between K 4-37 and NGC 6309 strengthen this conclusion: stars with very different initial mass have been able to shape very similar PNe at the end of their lives. The complexity of K4-37 and NGC 6309 is compatible with that expected from binary central stars and, in particular, from those having evolved through a common envelope phase. It is known that post-common envelope binary central stars tend to be associated with complex PNe that often show multiple structures and/or signs of collimated/focused outflows (Miszalski et al. 2009; Aller et al. 2015). In NGC 6309, evidence (but not conclusive yet) exists for a possible F3V companion, as suggested by the near-IR excess observed towards its central star (Douchin et al. 2015). In K 4-37 such an evidence does not exist. Nevertheless, given the complexity of the nebula, it is reasonable to propose a binary nature for the central star of K 4-37. CONCLUSIONS 4 We have presented and analyzed narrow- and broad-

We have presented and analyzed narrow- and broadband images, intermediate- and high-resolution spectra, and WISE archive images of K 4-37, a PN not analyzed before. The main conclusions of this work can be summarized as follows.

• K 4-37 appears as a bipolar PN consisting of a bright equatorial torus, two main bipolar lobes, point-symmetric structures and off-axis deformations of the bipolar lobes. Its internal kinematics cannot be reproduced assuming an homologous expansion velocity law. The morphokinematical analysis allows us to disclose the existence of three distinct axes and additional particular directions in K 3-37 that may be classified as a multi-axis PN.

• Very strong [N II], [S II] and relatively strong He II emission lines are observed in the nebular spectrum. High He and N abundances, and a very high N/O abundance ratio (~ 2.32) are obtained. A progenitor of $\sim 4-6 M_{\odot}$ is estimated. The nebula presents a very low electron density indicating a highly evolved PN.

• A distance of $\sim 14 \text{ kpc}$ is estimated for K 4-37, which is compatible with its highly evolved PN nature and a kinematical age of $\sim 10^4 \text{ yr}$.

• The WISE image at $22 \,\mu\text{m}$ reveals K 4-37 to be surrounded by a very large elliptical detached shell with a size of $\sim 13 \times 8 \,\text{pc}^2$ and a kinematical age of $\sim 4.3 \times 10^5 \,\text{yr}$. This

10 L.F. Miranda et al.

shell is probably related to the mass ejected during the AGB phase of the progenitor of K 4-37.

• The elliptical morphology of the detached shell is not compatible with the spherical mass ejection expected in the AGB phase. Deformation of an originally spherical shell by the ISM magnetic field and/or the influence of a companion could explain the peculiar morphology. The formation of the nebula itself is better understood as caused by several focussed outflows at different directions and with different collimation degrees.

• Remarkable morphological similarites exist between K 4-37 and NGC 6309. However, chemical abundances largely differ in both PNe, indicating progenitors of very different initial mass. These results suggest that the initial mass of the progenitor has not played a crucial role in shaping these two PNe but their formation can be better attributed to a similar mass loss history of their central stars that, given the complexity of both PNe, are probably binary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to our referee for his/her comments that have help to improve our analysis, interpretation and presentation of the results. We thank Calar Alto Observatory for allocation of director's discretionary time to this programme. We are very grateful to the staff on Calar Alto for carrying out these observations. We thank the staff of OAN-SPM for assistance during observations. We thank Wolfgang Steffen for interesting discussions and help with SHAPE. This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. LFM acknowledges partial support from Spanish MINECO grant AYA2014-57369-C3-3-P (co-funded by FEDER funds). This project is supported by UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT grant IN107914. Part of this paper was done during a stay of LFM at the IA-UNAM (Ensenada, México). He is very grateful to the people of the IA-UNAM for their warm hospitality and pleasant stay.

REFERENCES

- Acker A., Marcout J., Ochsenbein F., Stenholm B., Tylenda R., Schohn C., 1992, Strasbourg-ESO Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae (Garching: ESO)
- Akras S., Clyne N., Boumis P., Monteiro H., Gonçalves D.R., Redman M.P., Williams S., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 3409
- Akras S., Gonçalves D.R., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 930
- Aller A., Miranda L.F., Olguín L., Vázquez R., Guillén P.F., Oreiro R., Ulla A., Solano E., 2015, MNRAS, 446, 317
- Balick B., 1987, AJ, 94, 671
- Balick B., Frank A., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 439
- Bermúdez L.C., 2015, M. Sc. Thesis, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
- Blöcker T., 1995, A&A, 299, 755
- Chiotellis A., Boumis P., Nanouris N., Meaburn J., Dimitriadis G., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 9
- Corradi R.M.L., Schwarz H.E., 1995, A&A, 293, 871
- Cox N.L.J., et al., 2012, A&A, 537, A35
- de Marco O., 2009, PASP, 121, 316

- Dennis T.J. Cunningham A.J., Frank A., Balick B., Blackman E.G., Mitran S, 2008, ApJ, 679, 1327
- Douchin D., De Marco O., Frew D.J., Jacoby G.H., Jasniewicz G., Fitzgerald M., Passy J.-C., Harmer D., Hillwig T., Moe M., 2015, MNRAS, 448, 3132
- Frew D.J., Parker Q.A., Bojičić I.S., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 1459
- García-Segura G., Langer N., Różyczka M., Franco J., 1999, ApJ, 517, 767
- Gillett F.C., Backman D.E., Beichman C, Neugebauer G., 1986, ApJ, 310, 842
- Jones D., 2016, Journal of Physics, Conference Series, 728, A032014
- Karakas A.I., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1413
- Kerschbaum F., et al., 2010, A&A, 518, L140
- Kingsburgh R.L., Barlow M.J., 1994, MNRAS, 271, 257
- Kohoutek L., 1665, Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech., 16, 221
- Kwitter K.B., Henry R.B.C., 2001, ApJ, 562, 804
- Kwok S. Purton C.R., Fitzgerald P.M., 1978, pJ, 219, L125
- Mattsson L., Höfner S., Herwing F., 2007, A&A, 470, 339
- Mauron N., Huggins P.J., Cheung C.-L., 2013, A&A, 551, A110 Meaburn J., López J.A., Gutiérrez L., Quirós F., Murillo J.M.,
- Valdés J., Pedráyes M., 2003, RevMexAA, 39, 185 Miranda L.F., Fernández M., Alcalá J.M. Guerrero M.A.,
- Anglada G., Gómez Y., Torrelles J.M., Aaquist O.B., 2000, MNRAS, 311, 748
- Miranda L.F., Torrelles J.M., Guerrero M.A., Vázquez R., Gómez Y., 2001, MNRAS, 321, 487
- Miranda L.F., Vázquez R., Corradi R.L.M., Guerrero M.A., López J. A., Torrelles J.M., 1999, ApJ, 520, 714
- Miranda L.F., Vázquez R., Torrelles J. M., Eiroa C., López J.A., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 777
- Miszalski B., in Planetary Nebulae: an eye to the future, IAU Symp.283, 107
- Miszalski B., Acker A., Parker Q.A., Moffat A.F.J., 2009, A&A, 505, 249
- Morris M., 1981, ApJ, 249, 572
- Olguín L., Vázquez R., Contreras M.E., Jiménez M.Y., 2011, Rev.Mex.AA (Conference Series), 40, 193
- Olofsson H., Bergman P., Lucas R., Eriksson K., Gustafsson B., Bieging J.H., 2000, A&A, 353, 583
- Parker Q.A., Bojičić I.S., Frew, D.J., 2016, Journal of Physics, Conference Series, 728, A032008
- Pottasch S.R., Beintema D.A., Feibelman W.A., 2000, A&A, 363, 767
- Peimbert M., 1990, Reports on Progress in Physics, 53, 1559
- Rubio G., Vázquez R., Ramos-Larios G., Guerrero M.A., Olguín L., Guillén P.F., Mata H., 2015, MNRAS, 446, 1931
- Sahai R., Trauger J.T., 1998, AJ, 116, 1357
- Seaton M.J., 1979, MNRAS, 187, 73
- Schöier F.L., Lindqvist M., Olofsson H., 2005, A&A, 436, 633
- Soker N., 1994, MNRAS, 270, 774
- Soker N., Livio M., 1994, ApJ, 421, 219
- Soker N., Rappaport S., Harpaz A., 1998, ApJ, 496, 842
- Speck A.K., Meixner M., Knapp G.R., 2000, ApJ, 545, L145
- Steffen W., García-Segura G., Koning N., 2009, ApJ, 691, 696
- Steffen W., Koning N., Esquivel A, García-Segura G., García-Díaz M.T., López J.A., Magnor M., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 470
- Steffen W., Koning N., Wenger S., Morisset C., Magnor M., 2011, "Shape: A 3-D Modelling Tool for Astrophysics", IEEE Trans. on Visualization & Computer Graphics, 17, 454
- Tweedy R.W., Napiwotzki R., 1994, AJ, 108, 948
- van Marle A.J., Cox N.L.J., Decin L., 2014, A&A, 570, A131
- Vázquez R., Miranda L.F., Olguín L., Ayala S., Torrelles J.M.,
- Contreras M.E., Guillén P.F., 2008, A&A, 481, 107
- Vázquez R., Miranda L.F., Torrelles J.M., Olguín L., Benítez G., Rodríguez L.F., López J.A., 2002, ApJ, 576, 860
- Villaver E., García-Segura G., Manchado A., 2002, ApJ, 571, 880

This paper has been typeset from a $\mathrm{T}_{\!E}\!X/\mathrm{I}\!A\mathrm{T}_{\!E}\!X$ file prepared by the author.