

Search for right-handed neutrinos from dark matter annihilation with gamma-rays

Miguel D. Campos,^a Farinaldo S. Queiroz,^a Carlos E. Yaguna,^{a,b} Christoph Weniger^c

^aMax-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

^bEscuela de Física, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia (UPTC)
Avenida Central del Norte, Tunja, Colombia

^cGRAPPA, Institute of Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1090 GL Amsterdam, Netherland

E-mail: farinaldo.queiroz@mpi-hd.mpg.de

Abstract. Several extensions of the Standard Model contain right-handed (sterile) neutrinos in the GeV-TeV mass range. Due to their mixing with the active neutrinos, they may give rise to novel effects in cosmology, neutrino physics, and collider searches. In addition, right-handed neutrinos can also appear as final states from dark matter annihilations, with important implications for dark matter indirect detection searches. In this paper, we use current data from the *Fermi* Large Area Telescope (6-year observation of dwarf spheroidal galaxies) and H.E.S.S. (10-year observation of the Galactic center) to constrain the annihilation of dark matter into right-handed neutrinos. We consider right-handed neutrino with masses between 10 GeV and 1 TeV, including both two-body and three-body decays, to derive bounds on the dark matter annihilation rate, $\langle\sigma v\rangle$, as a function of the dark matter mass. Our results show, in particular, that the thermal dark matter annihilation cross section, $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, into right-handed neutrinos is excluded for dark matter masses smaller than 200 GeV.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Framework | 2 |
| 3 | Dark Matter Annihilation | 4 |
| 4 | Fermi-LAT limits | 7 |
| 5 | H.E.S.S. limits | 9 |
| 6 | Results | 10 |
| 7 | Conclusions | 12 |

1 Introduction

The existence of dark matter in our universe has been established for different times and distance scales of the universe. Its fundamental nature is one of the most important open problems in science [1–5]. From a particle physics perspective, dark matter is often interpreted as Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs), which feature electroweak scale interactions predicting signals within current and planned experiments. Among the search strategies for WIMPs, indirect dark matter detection has the advantage of connecting particle physics with astrophysics. The gamma-ray signal from dark matter annihilation in our galaxy, for instance, depends on the dark matter density profile, the mass of the dark matter particle, and its self-annihilation cross section into different final states. The former is purely an astrophysical quantity, inferred through either cosmological simulations or galaxy rotation curves, whereas the others are particle physics inputs that vary according to the specific model of dark matter considered.

As far as indirect dark matter detection is concerned, the final states from dark matter annihilation are often assumed to belong to the Standard Model spectrum, such as charged leptons, quarks, gauge bosons and neutrinos [6–25]¹. In this work, we investigate instead the annihilation of dark matter into right-handed neutrinos.

Right-handed neutrinos naturally appear in several well-motivated extensions of the Standard Model, and they are essential for the generation of neutrino masses through the type-I see-saw mechanism [32–36]. In addition, they are usually invoked to account for the matter-antimatter asymmetry via leptogenesis [37, 38], they may

¹Some exceptions occurs for annihilations into metastable particles which then decay into SM particles [26–31]

induce interesting collider signatures [39–49], and sometimes they are even considered as dark matter candidates [50–53]. Hence, the presence of right-handed neutrinos is common to several scenarios with a rather rich phenomenology. Moreover, it has been recently argued that, within the minimal seesaw scenario, the masses of the right-handed neutrinos should lie below 10^3 TeV [54], a mass range that widely overlaps with that expected for WIMPs. It is therefore possible that the dark matter particle annihilates into right-handed neutrinos. In fact, right-handed neutrinos appear as final states from dark matter annihilation in several explicit models considered previously in the literature (see for example [55–62] and references therein), and, in some cases [58, 63–73], they constitute the dominant annihilation mode of the dark matter.

Here, we use state-of-art techniques and up-to-date data from *Fermi*-LAT and H.E.S.S. to constrain the annihilation of dark matter into right-handed neutrinos. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: the next section describes the basic framework of our analysis; in section 3 the energy spectrum expected from dark matter annihilation into right-handed neutrinos is analyzed; sections 4 and 5 specify the data sets and the methods used to derive the constraints, which are our main results and are presented in section 6; finally, our results are summarized in section 7.

2 Framework

The search for right-handed neutrinos from dark matter annihilation relies on their interactions with SM particles. In this work, we will assume they interact with the SM particles via the see-saw mechanism type-I, i.e. their interactions with SM particles occurs via the mixing with the active neutrinos. Thus, right-handed neutrinos produced from dark matter annihilation have decay modes with the presence of either gauge bosons ($W^\pm l, Z\nu$) or the Higgs ($H\nu$), which then decay producing quarks and leptons. The quarks and leptons resulted from the decay cascade yield, through hadronization or final state radiation processes, gamma-rays that can then be detected using *Fermi*-LAT and H.E.S.S. telescopes.

In order to precisely compute the amount of gamma-rays generated in these processes we first need to account for the right-handed neutrino decays. The rates of two-body decays into $W^\pm l, Z\nu$ and $H\nu$ are as follow (see Fig.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N_l \rightarrow W^\pm \ell^\mp) &= \frac{\alpha_W}{16M_W^2} |B_{lN}|^2 M_N^3 \left(1 + \frac{2M_W^2}{M_N^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_N^2}\right)^2 \theta(M_N - M_W), \\ \Gamma(N_l \rightarrow Z\nu_\ell) &= \frac{\alpha_W}{16M_W^2} |C_{\nu N}|^2 M_N^3 \left(1 + \frac{2M_Z^2}{M_N^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{M_N^2}\right)^2 \theta(M_N - M_Z), \\ \Gamma(N_l \rightarrow H\nu_\ell) &= \frac{\alpha_W}{16M_W^2} |C_{\nu N}|^2 M_N^3 \left(1 - \frac{M_H^2}{M_N^2}\right)^2 \theta(M_N - M_H),\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where α_W is $g^2/4\pi$ and $B_{lN}(C_{\nu N})$ represent the mixing matrices entering the charged (neutral) current in agreement with [74, 75]. We assume that dark matter is its own




Figure 1. Feynman diagrams for two-body decays of the right-handed neutrinos. Notice that we consider the one flavor approximation, where the lepton produced having the same flavor of the right-handed neutrino. The three body decays follow straightforwardly from W,Z and H decays. See text for details.

anti-particle, which is causing the factor $1/2$. As for the three body final state decays, relevant whenever $M_N < M_W, M_Z$, we used the expressions appearing in [76–78].

For completeness, we also included the radiative decay into an active neutrino and a photon – see e.g. [79]. All two- and three-body decays were implemented in PYTHIA 8.219, taking into account both leptonic and hadronic decay modes.

In our analysis, we consider the so-called ‘one-flavor approximation’, which means that the right-handed neutrino mixes only with one lepton flavor at a time. As a result, $B_{lN} = C_{\nu N}$ and the decay branching ratios of the right-handed neutrino, which are the relevant quantities for indirect detection purposes, depend only on its mass –not on the mixing structure. Let us emphasize, in any case, that this approximation is not expected to significantly affect our results because the lepton flavor in the final state is not that relevant, as we shall see further. Thus, the inclusion of all flavors would simply bring unnecessary complications yielding rather mild quantitative changes in our results.

Thus, in contrast to collider or laboratory searches, which strongly depend on the mixing of the right-handed neutrinos, the indirect detection signal from dark matter annihilation is practically insensitive to it. Indirect dark matter detection introduces, therefore, a new way to test some particle physics models in the context of the type-I seesaw mechanism even in the regime of suppressed mixings.

Now that we have reviewed the framework on which our results are based, we discuss in detail the signal from dark matter annihilation we are interested in.




Figure 2. Feynman diagrams for dark matter annihilation into right-handed neutrinos.




Figure 3. Gamma spectra for the annihilation of a DM particle with mass $M_{DM} = 100$ GeV (left-panel) and $M_{DM} = 1000$ GeV (right panel) into different final states. For simplicity we show just the case in which N mixes exclusively with $\ell = e$. Magenta and green curves represent the final states of $b\bar{b}$ and W^+W^- . *Left-Panel:* Orange and yellow contours account for annihilations into right-handed neutrinos with $M_N = 90$ GeV and $M_N = 10$ GeV respectively. *Right-Panel:* Orange and yellow contours account for annihilations into right-handed neutrinos with $M_N = 500$ GeV and $M_N = 50$ GeV respectively.

3 Dark Matter Annihilation

Since the presence of dark matter has been established, it is expected that these dark matter particles may self-annihilate in regions where the concentration of dark matter is large enough, as is the case in the Galactic Center and in dSphs. A gamma-ray indirect dark matter detection signal is translated at the end of the day to counting the number of photons at a given energy bin from a portion of the sky, and the quantity that captures this information is the differential flux. Since we are dealing with annihilation, the differential flux should be proportional to: the number density squared $n_\chi^2 = \rho_{DM}^2/M_{DM}^2$, where ρ_{DM} and M_{DM} are the dark matter density and mass of the dark matter particle; the annihilation cross section times velocity (σv); the number of photons produced per dark matter annihilation as a function of energy, i.e the energy spectrum (dN/dE); and finally the size and density of the region of the sky under study, enclosed in the so-called J-factor (J_{ann}). After including the normalization factor of 4π , which accounts for the solid angle of a sphere, we find the differential flux for dark matter annihilation within an angular direction to be,

$$\frac{d\Phi_\gamma(\Delta\Omega)}{dE}(E_\gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\sigma v}{2M_{DM}^2} \frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} \cdot J_{ann} \quad (3.1)$$

where J_{ann} is the annihilation J-factor,

$$J_{ann} = \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int \rho_{DM}^2(s) ds, \quad (3.2)$$




Figure 4. The energy spectrum for $M_{DM} = 1$ TeV and $M_N = 500$ GeV, for different final state leptons. One can see there is only a mild different between them, with the final state τ leading to harder gamma-ray yield, i.e. larger dN/dE , as expected since they lead to a relatively more efficient hadronization process.

with $s = s(\theta)$, and the integral is computed over the line of sight within the solid angle and the factor of two in Eq.(3.1) appears because we assuming the dark matter particle to be its own anti-particle.

The dark matter density profile that goes in the computation of the J-factors is not precisely determined [80–83]. The *Fermi*-LAT collaboration adopted the ones based on the Navarro-Frenk and White (NFW) profile, which is motivated by the results of numerical simulations that include gravitational forces only [84]². For this reason we will stick to it, using

$$\rho_{DM}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{r/r_s(1 + r/r_s)^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where r_s and ρ_s are the scale radius and the characteristic density respectively.

For dSphs these inputs are determined dynamically from the maximum circular velocity v_c and the enclosed mass contained up to the radius of maximum v_c as discussed in [107]. In this work we adopted the J-factors listed in the table I of [108] which is equivalent to [107]. As for the galactic center, the dark matter density profile

²Keep in mind that steeper profiles seem to be favored by numerical simulations that include the effects of the existence of baryons [85–106].

is extracted from simulations of Milky Way-like halos, and the density profile favored by these simulations also varies. In our work we adopt the values quoted by the H.E.S.S. collaboration in [109], which can also be computed with the code CLUMPY [110].

Lastly, the energy spectrum in Eq.(3.1) was obtained numerically with PYTHIA 8.219 by simulating a resonance \mathcal{D} with $M_{\mathcal{D}} = 2M_{\text{DM}}$ that decays into two right-handed neutrinos, as done in [111] (see Fig.2). We investigated right-handed neutrino masses in the range [10GeV, 1TeV] for which we needed to consider explicitly 3-body decays when $M_N < M_W, M_Z$. Both two-body and three-body decays obtained in [76–78] were coded into PYTHIA 8.219 to numerically to obtain the energy spectrum as shown in Fig.3. We did not include inverse Compton scattering. This indeed could improve even further our limits specially for the electron and μ right-handed neutrino flavors since would increase the number of photons at higher energies. Thus, our analysis is conservative from this perspective.

Notice that, regardless of the decay mode relevant for a given right-handed neutrino mass, both leptonic and hadronic decays produce a sizable amount of gamma-rays, which can be detected by *Fermi*-LAT and H.E.S.S. instruments. In other words, the signature of dark matter annihilation into right-handed neutrinos is the usual continuous gamma-ray emission. To clearly see that, in Fig.3 we exhibit the energy spectrum for a dark matter mass fixed at 100 GeV (*left-panel*) and 1000 GeV (*right-panel*), but for different right-handed neutrino masses.

We superimpose our results with the energy spectrum of benchmark final states used in the literature, namely $b\bar{b}$ and W^+W^- , obtained with the PPPC4DMID code [111] (including electroweak corrections). In the *left-panel* of Fig. 3, the magenta, green, orange and yellow curves represent final states of $b\bar{b}$, W^+W^- , NN with a mass of $M_N = 90$ GeV, and NN with a mass of $M_N = 10$ GeV respectively. In the *right-panel*, the orange and yellow contours now account for the energy spectrum with right-handed neutrino masses of 500 GeV and 50 GeV respectively.

Note that when the right-handed neutrino is heavy enough to decay into on-shell gauge W and Z gauge bosons, see *left-panel*, the energy spectrum for annihilation into right-handed neutrinos, though softer, resembles the $b\bar{b}$ and W^+W^- ones at higher energies, but at lower energies it yields a harder gamma-ray spectrum, i.e. larger dN/dE , due to the presence of leptonic decay modes which produce much more photons at lower energies compared to hadronic ones. From this fact only, one can already foresee that the *Fermi*-LAT and H.E.S.S. limits on the dark matter annihilation into right-handed neutrinos should be comparable to the bounds based on these canonical channels. Moreover, as we ramp up the dark matter to 1 TeV as shown in the *right-panel*, the energy spectrum becomes visibly harder at lower energies for both $M_N = 500$ GeV and 50 GeV masses. With these results at hand, we next discuss the data set used in this work.




Figure 5. Upper limit on $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ as a function of the dark matter mass (M_{DM}) for the electron right-handed neutrino final state. Blue curves represent the limits obtained using *Fermi*-LAT data, while red are those obtained using H.E.S.S. data. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for $M_N = 10, 10^2, 10^3$ GeV respectively.

4 Fermi-LAT limits

The NASA telescope *Fermi*-LAT has given rise to a new era of gamma-ray analysis due the publicly available data and the effort in developing friendly user tools which allowed a multitude of independent assessments and cross-checks of results, specially in the dark matter field [112–123], in addition to major improvements in the detector performance compared to its predecessors.

DSphs are dark matter dominated objects with a relatively known dark matter density profile, and thanks to their high latitude they suffer from low diffuse gamma-ray emission. For these reasons dSphs are recognized as standard targets in the search for a dark matter signal. Albeit, there are still uncertainties surrounding the dark matter content in dSphs, and for this reason a combined data set of dSphs allied with a maximum likelihood analysis was adopted in order to make more robust assessments concerning a potential dark matter signal and limits. That said, the *Fermi*-LAT telescope has been collecting data from dozens of dSphs in the Milky Way. They have gathered six years of data, in particular photons with energies between 500 MeV and 500 GeV belonging to the event class P8R2SOURCEV6, and using up-to-date software, PASS-8. The new analysis improves upon previous studies in several aspects, namely improved effective area, point-spread function, updated background models, and the inclusion of the latest gamma-ray catalog. Since no significant gamma-ray excess was

observed in these years of observations, restrictive constraints were placed on the dark matter properties [108].

As we have seen in the previous section, once the astrophysical quantities are defined, a dark matter signal depends on three particle physics quantities, namely the annihilation cross section, energy spectrum and dark matter mass. Thus after selecting the annihilation final state, the collaboration was able to show the resulting bounds on the plane *annihilation cross section vs dark matter mass* for $b\bar{b}$, W^+W^- among other SM particles [108] by performing a joint likelihood analysis of a stack of dSphs where the statistical errors in the J-factors were marginalized over.

The *Fermi*-LAT team has made publicly available, however, only the energy binned Poisson likelihood tools of each individual dSph ³, which allows one to reproduce the limit originated from a given dSph. In order to recast their limits in a more solid way, and not be sensitive to peculiarities of a particular dSph, a joint-likelihood study is necessary. To do so, we follow the supplemental material of Ref. [108], and perform a joint-likelihood analysis across 15 dSphs, and treat the J-factors as nuisance parameters, by defining a J-factor likelihood function as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_J(J_i|J_{obs,i}, \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{\ln(10)J_{obs,i}\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{(\log_{10}(J_i) - \log_{10}(J_{obs,i}))^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right\},$$

where $J_{obs,i}$ is the measured J-factor with error σ_i of a dSph i and J_i is the true J-factor value. In this way, the joint-likelihood analysis, i.e. the overall product of each individual likelihood, is performed by computing $\mathcal{L}_i(\mu, \theta_i|D_i)$ as below,,

$$\mathcal{L}_i(\mu, \theta_i|D_i) = \prod_j \mathcal{L}_i(\mu, \theta_i|D_{i,j}), \quad (4.1)$$

knowing that likelihood of an individual dSph i , is found to be,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_i(\mu, \theta_i = \{\alpha_i, J_i\}|D_i) = \mathcal{L}_i(\mu, \theta_i|D_i)\mathcal{L}_J(J_i|J_{obs,i}, \sigma_i), \quad (4.2)$$

where μ encompasses the parameters of the dark matter model, i.e. the ratio of the dark matter annihilation cross section and dark matter mass squared; θ_i accounts for the set of nuisance parameters from the LAT study (α_i) and J-factors of the dSphs J_i , where D_i is the gamma-ray data set. With these ingredients we perform a test statistic (TS) to obtain 95% C.L. upper limit on the dark matter annihilation cross section. Such bounds are derived by finding a change in the log-likelihood of $2.71/2$ with $TS = -2 \ln(\mathcal{L}(\mu_0, \hat{\theta}|D)/\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta}|D))$ as described in [124]. We were able to reproduce *Fermi*-LAT limits using based on a joint-likelihood analysis, as demonstrated in [21]. With this machinery we could investigate *Fermi*-LAT sensitivity to dark matter annihilations into right-handed neutrinos as shown in Fig.5-7.

³http://www-glast.stanford.edu/pub_data/1048/

5 H.E.S.S. limits

The H.E.S.S. collaboration has enriched our understanding of gamma-ray emitters for energies larger than 200 GeV, with profound impact on astrophysics and particle physics. For being an earth-based telescope, i.e. lacking exposure to dSphs in comparison with *Fermi*-LAT but gaining in effective area, the H.E.S.S. collaboration has mostly concentrated their dark matter searches in the galactic center, which is brighter in gamma-rays than any known dSph.

In summary, the H.E.S.S. collaboration derived strong limits on dark matter properties over the course of four years of observations of the galactic center which translates to 112h of data [109]. In order not to lose exposure, they divided the galactic center into source and background regions, with the former near the galactic plane where the dark matter signal is expected to be strong, and the latter located further away from the galactic plane, where a dark matter signal is expected to be dimmer. Moreover, the source region with latitude $|b| < 0.3^\circ$ was removed to reduce the contamination by the large population of local gamma-ray sources. Anyways, afterwards the number of events stemming from the source and background regions were obtained and can be derived using the differential flux in Figure 3 of [109] multiplied by observation time (112h), effective area provided by code `gammapy`⁴, and J-factors presented in [109]. Now following the procedure described in [125], we define likelihood functions which furnish 95% C.L. limits on the pair *dark matter annihilation cross section vs mass* for a given annihilation final state and assuming a NFW dark matter density profile. We validated our procedure by comparing our results with those provided by the H.E.S.S. collaboration for some standard final state annihilation models such as $b\bar{b}$, finding excellent agreement as shown in [21]. Recently, however, the H.E.S.S. collaboration has released new results using the full statistics from 10 years (equivalent to 254 h) of galactic center observations with the initial four telescopes of the H.E.S.S. instrument, giving rise to a gain in sensitivity by a factor of five on the dark matter annihilation cross section for dark matter masses above 400 GeV [126]. The overall improvements are due to the use of a 2D binned Poisson maximum likelihood analysis which takes advantage of the spatial and spectral characteristics of the dark matter and background expected gamma-ray emission; and the larger data set.

That said, knowing that for dark matter masses below ~ 400 GeV, *Fermi*-LAT telescope offers more restrictive limits on the dark matter annihilation cross section, and the newest paper from H.E.S.S. team does not provide much information for us to reproduce their results, we will simply rescale their limits for dark matter masses above ~ 400 GeV to match their current findings. This rescaling is quite reasonable as pointed out by the H.E.S.S. collaboration in [126]. In summary, our results based on H.E.S.S. data rely on our determination of the H.E.S.S. sensitivity to dark matter

⁴<https://gammapy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/> which agrees with <https://www.physik.hu-berlin.de/de/eephys/HESS/theses/pdfs/ArneThesis.pdf>.

annihilation into right-handed neutrinos using the previous analysis by H.E.S.S. team in [109] and the rescaling to match the updated limits.




Figure 6. Upper limit on $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ as a function of the dark matter mass (M_{DM}) for the muon right-handed neutrino final state. Blue curves represent the limits obtained using *Fermi*-LAT data, while red are those obtained using H.E.S.S. data. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for $M_N = 10, 10^2, 10^3$ GeV respectively.

6 Results

Our results are based on the 6 years observation of dSphs by *Fermi*-LAT and 10 years of data collection in the direction of the galactic center by the H.E.S.S. telescopes, as described in the previous sections. In summary, we redid H.E.S.S. and *Fermi*-LAT analyses using the energy spectrum corresponding to the pair production of right-handed neutrinos, which was obtained using Pythia accounting for both 2-body and three-body decays in the context of the type-I see-saw mechanism.

In Figs. 5-7 we display upper limits on the dark matter annihilation cross section into right-handed neutrinos as a function of the dark matter mass for different right-handed neutrino masses. We present the result for each right-handed neutrino flavor separately. Hence, the electron (tau) right-handed neutrino stands for the right-handed neutrino decaying into eW (τW), $\nu_e Z$ ($\nu_\tau Z$), and $\nu_\tau H$ ($\nu_\tau H$) if sufficiently heavy.

Firstly, in Fig. 5 we show the results for a right-handed neutrino of flavor e . The blue (red) curves represent the upper bounds placed on the annihilation cross section




Figure 7. Upper limit on $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ as a function of the dark matter mass (M_{DM}) for tau right-handed neutrino final state. Blue curves represent the limits obtained using *Fermi*-LAT data, while red are those obtained using H.E.S.S. data. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for $M_N = 10, 10^2, 10^3$ GeV respectively.

into electron right-handed neutrinos using data from the *Fermi*-LAT and H.E.S.S. telescopes. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for right-handed neutrino masses of $M_N = 10$ GeV, 100 GeV and 1 TeV.

It is noticeable that *Fermi*-LAT gives rise to better limits for dark matter masses below 500 GeV, whereas H.E.S.S. furnishes much stronger bounds for dark matter masses above 1 TeV independently of the value used for the electron right-handed neutrino mass. For $M_{DM} = 500$ GeV–1 TeV, the mass of the right-handed neutrino determines which telescope performs better. This fact can be understood having in mind that the *Fermi*-LAT analysis relies on photons with energies between 500 MeV and 500 GeV, and for dark matter particle masses at the TeV scale, some fraction of the gamma-rays are produced at energies higher than 500 GeV, beyond *Fermi*-LAT energy upper limit, weakening *Fermi*-LAT sensitivity, which goes in the opposite direction of the H.E.S.S. telescope. Therefore, these telescopes are complementary to each other for dark matter masses between 500 GeV–1 TeV. Similar conclusions are found for the other flavors, as illustrated in figures 6 and 7. The limits for the tau are slightly more stringent though, due to the decay into τW , which yields a slightly stronger gamma-ray production than the other cases. In particular, we are able to exclude the canonical annihilation section of $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for dark matter masses below ~ 200 GeV.⁵

⁵The canonical cross section of $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ refers to typical annihilation cross section needed

We emphasize that in the one-flavor approximation the decay branching ratio of the right-handed neutrino into a given final state is determined just by its mass. Therefore, our bounds on the dark matter annihilation cross section are not sensitive to the mixing angles, in contrast to collider or precision studies. Consequently, gamma-ray searches introduce an orthogonal probe for right-handed neutrinos appearing as final states from dark matter annihilation.

Our limits can be regarded as conservative, because we did not incorporate inverse Compton scattering. Inverse Compton scattering is not relevant when talking about annihilation into hadrons, but it is relevant for annihilations e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$. Notice that in our work, right-handed neutrinos may decay into $l^\pm W^\mp$, $\nu_l H$ or $\nu_l Z$. Therefore, there is always a significant hadronic contribution, rendering inverse Compton scattering not as relevant as in the case of purely leptonic annihilations such as e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$. Notice from our figure 4, that changing the final state from e to μ yields basically no change to our results, showing that the hadronic contribution is dominant. Moreover, inverse Compton scattering is particularly not important in the case for dSphs due to low interstellar radiation field and unknown diffusion. However, in the H.E.S.S. analysis, the galactic center is the target. Thus, inverse Compton can indeed be important, but is subject to large uncertainties due to our lack of knowledge regarding the diffusion coefficient. Therefore, the addition of more unknown quantities to our work because of two possible final states relevant only to Fermi-LAT study is not well motivated. Nevertheless, keep in mind that depending on the diffusion coefficient used, stronger limits on annihilation cross section could be achieved.

7 Conclusions

In the light of the importance of right-handed neutrinos in several model building endeavors, and their appearance as possible final states from dark matter annihilation, we discussed a probe for dark matter annihilations into right-handed neutrinos using gamma-ray data. Analyzing *Fermi*-LAT 6-year data of dwarf spheroidal galaxies, and H.E.S.S. 10-year data of the galactic center region, we placed stringent bounds on dark matter annihilations into right-handed neutrinos. In particular, we ruled out the thermal annihilation cross section for dark matter masses below 200 GeV. This limit concretely shows that the search for gamma-rays from dark matter annihilation brings out a test to dark matter models where right-handed neutrino arise as possible annihilation final states.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Fermi-LAT Collaboration for the public data and tools used in this work. We are also grateful to the PPC4DMID team for making their code publicly available. The authors warmly thank Werner Rodejohann for his collaboration in early stages of the project. FSQ thanks Torsten Bringmann for comments and University of Oslo

to reproduce the correct relic density within the WIMP paradigm.

for the hospitality during which this project was partly carried on. The authors are grateful to William Shepherd, Evgeny Akhmedov and Alexey Smirnov for discussions. C.W. was supported by NWO through one Vidi grant. M.C. was supported by the IMPRS-PTFS.

References

- [1] L. E. Strigari, *Galactic Searches for Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rept.* **531** (2013) 1–88, [[1211.7090](#)].
- [2] S. Profumo, *Astrophysical Probes of Dark Matter*, in *Proceedings, Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Searching for New Physics at Small and Large Scales (TASI 2012): Boulder, Colorado, June 4-29, 2012*, pp. 143–189, 2013. [[1301.0952](#)].
- [3] G. Bertone and D. Hooper, *A History of Dark Matter*, *Submitted to: Rev. Mod. Phys.* (2016) [[1605.04909](#)].
- [4] F. S. Queiroz, W. Rodejohann, and C. E. Yaguna, *Is the dark matter particle its own antiparticle?*, [[1610.06581](#)].
- [5] F. S. Queiroz, *Dark Matter Overview: Collider, Direct and Indirect Detection Searches*, 2016. [[1605.08788](#)].
- [6] T. Bringmann, F. Calore, M. Di Mauro, and F. Donato, *Constraining dark matter annihilation with the isotropic γ -ray background: updated limits and future potential*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 2 023012, [[1303.3284](#)].
- [7] T. Bringmann, F. Calore, G. Vertongen, and C. Weniger, *On the Relevance of Sharp Gamma-Ray Features for Indirect Dark Matter Searches*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 103525, [[1106.1874](#)].
- [8] D. Hooper, C. Kelso, and F. S. Queiroz, *Stringent and Robust Constraints on the Dark Matter Annihilation Cross Section From the Region of the Galactic Center*, *Astropart. Phys.* **46** (2013) 55–70, [[1209.3015](#)].
- [9] A. Ibarra, A. S. Lamperstorfer, and J. Silk, *Dark matter annihilations and decays after the AMS-02 positron measurements*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 6 063539, [[1309.2570](#)].
- [10] L. Bergstrom, T. Bringmann, I. Cholis, D. Hooper, and C. Weniger, *New limits on dark matter annihilation from AMS cosmic ray positron data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 171101, [[1306.3983](#)].
- [11] S.-J. Lin, Q. Yuan, and X.-J. Bi, *Quantitative study of the AMS-02 electron/positron spectra: Implications for pulsars and dark matter properties*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 6 063508, [[1409.6248](#)].
- [12] T. Bringmann, M. Vollmann, and C. Weniger, *Updated cosmic-ray and radio constraints on light dark matter: Implications for the GeV gamma-ray excess at the Galactic center*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 12 123001, [[1406.6027](#)].

- [13] A. X. Gonzalez-Morales, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, *Effect of Black Holes in Local Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies on Gamma-Ray Constraints on Dark Matter Annihilation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 10 103508, [[1406.2424](#)].
- [14] M. Di Mauro, F. Donato, N. Fornengo, and A. Vittino, *Dark matter vs. astrophysics in the interpretation of AMS-02 electron and positron data*, *JCAP* **1605** (2016), no. 05 031, [[1507.07001](#)].
- [15] Y. Mambrini, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, *Dark Matter and Global Symmetries*, *Phys. Lett.* **B760** (2016) 807–815, [[1508.06635](#)].
- [16] M. R. Buckley, E. Charles, J. M. Gaskins, A. M. Brooks, A. Drlica-Wagner, P. Martin, and G. Zhao, *Search for Gamma-ray Emission from Dark Matter Annihilation in the Large Magellanic Cloud with the Fermi Large Area Telescope*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 10 102001, [[1502.01020](#)].
- [17] G. Giesen, M. Boudaud, Y. G. Afnolini, V. Poulin, M. Cirelli, P. Salati, and P. D. Serpico, *AMS-02 antiprotons, at last! Secondary astrophysical component and immediate implications for Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **1509** (2015), no. 09 023, [[1504.04276](#)].
- [18] B.-Q. Lu and H.-S. Zong, *Limits on dark matter from AMS-02 antiproton and positron fraction data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 10 103517, [[1510.04032](#)].
- [19] L. A. Cavasonza, H. Gast, M. KrÄdmer, M. Pellen, and S. Schael, *Constraints on leptophilic dark matter from the AMS-02 experiment*, [1612.06634](#).
- [20] K. Belotsky, R. Budaev, A. Kirillov, and M. Laletin, *Fermi-LAT kills dark matter interpretations of AMS-02 data. Or not?*, [1606.01271](#).
- [21] S. Profumo, F. S. Queiroz, and C. E. Yaguna, *Extending Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. Limits on Gamma-ray Lines from Dark Matter Annihilation*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **461** (2016), no. 4 3976–3981, [[1602.08501](#)].
- [22] X.-J. Huang, C.-C. Wei, Y.-L. Wu, W.-H. Zhang, and Y.-F. Zhou, *Antiprotons from dark matter annihilation through light mediators and a possible excess in AMS-02 \bar{p}/p data*, [1611.01983](#).
- [23] D. Hooper and S. J. Witte, *Gamma Rays From Dark Matter Subhalos Revisited: Refining the Predictions and Constraints*, [1610.07587](#).
- [24] R. Caputo, M. R. Buckley, P. Martin, E. Charles, A. M. Brooks, A. Drlica-Wagner, J. M. Gaskins, and M. Wood, *Search for Gamma-ray Emission from Dark Matter Annihilation in the Small Magellanic Cloud with the Fermi Large Area Telescope*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 6 062004, [[1603.00965](#)].
- [25] H.-B. Jin, Y.-L. Wu, and Y.-F. Zhou, *Astrophysical background and dark matter implication based on latest AMS-02 data*, [1701.02213](#).
- [26] J. Mardon, Y. Nomura, D. Stolarski, and J. Thaler, *Dark Matter Signals from Cascade Annihilations*, *JCAP* **0905** (2009) 016, [[0901.2926](#)].
- [27] M. Abdullah, A. DiFranzo, A. Rajaraman, T. M. P. Tait, P. Tanedo, and A. M. Wijangco, *Hidden on-shell mediators for the Galactic Center γ -ray excess*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 035004, [[1404.6528](#)].

- [28] D. G. Cerdeno, M. Peiro, and S. Robles, *Fits to the Fermi-LAT GeV excess with RH sneutrino dark matter: implications for direct and indirect dark matter searches and the LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 12 123530, [[1501.01296](#)].
- [29] A. Martin, J. Shelton, and J. Unwin, *Fitting the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess with Cascade Annihilations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 10 103513, [[1405.0272](#)].
- [30] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, and L. E. Strigari, *Confronting Galactic center and dwarf spheroidal gamma-ray observations with cascade annihilation models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 7 075019, [[1508.05989](#)].
- [31] A. Rajaraman, J. Smolinsky, and P. Tanedo, *On-Shell Mediators and Top-Charm Dark Matter Models for the Fermi-LAT Galactic Center Excess*, [[1503.05919](#)].
- [32] P. Minkowski, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?, *Phys. Lett.* **B67** (1977) 421–428.
- [33] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 912.
- [34] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, and C. Wetterich, *Proton Lifetime and Fermion Masses in an $SO(10)$ Model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B181** (1981) 287–300.
- [35] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 165.
- [36] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2227.
- [37] A. Abada, G. Arcadi, V. Domcke, and M. Lucente, *Lepton number violation as a key to low-scale leptogenesis*, *JCAP* **1511** (2015), no. 11 041, [[1507.06215](#)].
- [38] P. Di Bari, P. O. Ludl, and S. Palomares-Ruiz, *Unifying leptogenesis, dark matter and high-energy neutrinos with right-handed neutrino mixing via Higgs portal*, *JCAP* **1611** (2016), no. 11 044, [[1606.06238](#)].
- [39] V. Tello, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, and F. Vissani, *Left-Right Symmetry: from LHC to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 151801, [[1011.3522](#)].
- [40] A. Melfo, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, and Y. Zhang, *Type II Seesaw at LHC: The Roadmap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 055018, [[1108.4416](#)].
- [41] M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, and Y. Zhang, *First Limits on Left-Right Symmetry Scale from LHC Data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 115014, [[1103.1627](#)].
- [42] L. A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, and G. Steigman, *Right-Handed Neutrinos as the Dark Radiation: Status and Forecasts for the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B718** (2013) 1162–1165, [[1211.0186](#)].
- [43] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et. al.*, *Search for heavy neutrinos and $W[R]$ bosons with right-handed couplings in a left-right symmetric model in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 261802, [[1210.2402](#)].
- [44] M. Lindner, D. Schmidt, and A. Watanabe, *Dark matter and symmetry for the right-handed neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 1 013007, [[1310.6582](#)].

- [45] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et. al.*, *Search for heavy neutrinos and W bosons with right-handed couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014), no. 11 3149, [[1407.3683](#)].
- [46] F. F. Deppisch, P. S. Bhupal Dev, and A. Pilaftsis, *Neutrinos and Collider Physics*, *New J. Phys.* **17** (2015), no. 7 075019, [[1502.06541](#)].
- [47] J. N. Ng, A. de la Puente, and B. W.-P. Pan, *Search for Heavy Right-Handed Neutrinos at the LHC and Beyond in the Same-Sign Same-Flavor Leptons Final State*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 172, [[1505.01934](#)].
- [48] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato, and O. Fischer, *Sterile neutrino searches at future e^-e^+ , pp , and e^-p colliders*, [[1612.02728](#)].
- [49] A. Das and N. Okada, *Bounds on heavy Majorana neutrinos in type-I seesaw and implications for collider searches*, [[1702.04668](#)].
- [50] K. Kaneta, Z. Kang, and H.-S. Lee, *Right-handed neutrino dark matter under the B-L gauge interaction*, [[1606.09317](#)].
- [51] N. Okada and S. Okada, *Z' -portal right-handed neutrino dark matter in the minimal $U(1)_X$ extended Standard Model*, [[1611.02672](#)].
- [52] P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, and Y. Zhang, *Naturally stable right-handed neutrino dark matter*, *JHEP* **11** (2016) 077, [[1608.06266](#)].
- [53] L. Heurtier and D. Teresi, *Dark matter and observable lepton flavor violation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 12 125022, [[1607.01798](#)].
- [54] G. Bambhaniya, P. S. B. Dev, S. Goswami, S. Khan, and W. Rodejohann, *Naturalness, Vacuum Stability and Leptogenesis in the Minimal Seesaw Model*, [[1611.03827](#)].
- [55] R. Allahverdi, S. Bornhauser, B. Dutta, and K. Richardson-McDaniel, *Prospects for Indirect Detection of Sneutrino Dark Matter with IceCube*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 055026, [[0907.1486](#)].
- [56] F. S. Queiroz and K. Sinha, *The Poker Face of the Majoron Dark Matter Model: LUX to keV Line*, *Phys. Lett.* **B735** (2014) 69–74, [[1404.1400](#)].
- [57] E. Dudas, L. Heurtier, and Y. Mambrini, *Generating X-ray lines from annihilating dark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 035002, [[1404.1927](#)].
- [58] Y.-L. Tang and S.-h. Zhu, *Dark matter annihilation into right-handed neutrinos and the galactic center gamma-ray excess*, [[1512.02899](#)]. [[JHEP03,043\(2016\)](#)].
- [59] R. Allahverdi, Y. Gao, B. Knockel, and S. Shalgar, *Indirect Signals from Solar Dark Matter Annihilation to Long-lived Right-handed Neutrinos*, [[1612.03110](#)].
- [60] A. Ibarra, S. Lopez-Gehler, E. Molinaro, and M. Pato, *Gamma-ray triangles: a possible signature of asymmetric dark matter in indirect searches*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 10 103003, [[1604.01899](#)].
- [61] A. Alves, G. Arcadi, P. V. Dong, L. Duarte, F. S. Queiroz, and J. W. F. Valle, *R-parity as a residual gauge symmetry : probing a theory of cosmological dark matter*, [[1612.04383](#)].
- [62] C. Garcia-Cely and J. Heeck, *Neutrino Lines from Majoron Dark Matter*, [[1701.07209](#)].

- [63] J. K. Mizukoshi, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *WIMPs in a 3-3-1 model with heavy Sterile neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 065024, [[1010.4097](#)].
- [64] J. D. Ruiz-Alvarez, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, D. Restrepo, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, *On the Connection of Gamma-Rays, Dark Matter and Higgs Searches at LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 075011, [[1206.5779](#)].
- [65] S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, *Constraining the Z' mass in 331 models using direct dark matter detection*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014), no. 7 2960, [[1307.7802](#)].
- [66] C. Kelso, H. N. Long, R. Martinez, and F. S. Queiroz, *Connection of $g - 2_\mu$, electroweak, dark matter, and collider constraints on 331 models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 11 113011, [[1408.6203](#)].
- [67] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, F. S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, *Phenomenology of the 3-3-1-1 model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 7 075021, [[1405.2591](#)].
- [68] D. Cogollo, A. X. Gonzalez-Morales, F. S. Queiroz, and P. R. Teles, *Excluding the Light Dark Matter Window of a 331 Model Using LHC and Direct Dark Matter Detection Data*, *JCAP* **1411** (2014), no. 11 002, [[1402.3271](#)].
- [69] W. Rodejohann and C. E. Yaguna, *Scalar dark matter in the $B\hat{L}\check{S}L$ model*, *JCAP* **1512** (2015), no. 12 032, [[1509.04036](#)].
- [70] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, *Dirac-Fermionic Dark Matter in $U(1)_X$ Models*, [[1506.06767](#)].
- [71] M. Klasen, F. Lyonnet, and F. S. Queiroz, *NLO+NLL Collider Bounds, Dirac Fermion and Scalar Dark Matter in the B-L Model*, [[1607.06468](#)].
- [72] M. Escudero, N. Rius, and V. Sanz, *Sterile neutrino portal to Dark Matter I: The $U(1)_{B-L}$ case*, [[1606.01258](#)].
- [73] M. Escudero, N. Rius, and V. Sanz, *Sterile Neutrino portal to Dark Matter II: Exact Dark symmetry*, [[1607.02373](#)].
- [74] W. Buchmuller and C. Greub, *Electroproduction of Majorana neutrinos*, *Phys. Lett.* **B256** (1991) 465–470.
- [75] A. Pilaftsis, *Radiatively induced neutrino masses and large Higgs neutrino couplings in the standard model with Majorana fields*, *Z. Phys.* **C55** (1992) 275–282, [[hep-ph/9901206](#)].
- [76] D. Gorbunov and M. Shaposhnikov, *How to find neutral leptons of the ν MSM?*, *JHEP* **10** (2007) 015, [[0705.1729](#)]. [Erratum: *JHEP*11,101(2013)].
- [77] A. Atre, T. Han, S. Pascoli, and B. Zhang, *The Search for Heavy Majorana Neutrinos*, *JHEP* **05** (2009) 030, [[0901.3589](#)].
- [78] B. Shuve and M. E. Peskin, *Revision of the LHCb Limit on Majorana Neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 11 113007, [[1607.04258](#)].
- [79] A. Kusenko, *Sterile neutrinos: The Dark side of the light fermions*, *Phys.Rept.* **481** (2009) 1–28, [[0906.2968](#)].
- [80] A. Burkert, *The Structure of dark matter halos in dwarf galaxies*, *IAU Symp.* **171** (1996) 175, [[astro-ph/9504041](#)]. [*Astrophys. J.*447,L25(1995)].

- [81] P. Salucci and A. Burkert, *Dark matter scaling relations*, *Astrophys. J.* **537** (2000) L9–L12, [[astro-ph/0004397](#)].
- [82] A. W. Graham, D. Merritt, B. Moore, J. Diemand, and B. Terzic, *Empirical models for Dark Matter Halos. I. Nonparametric Construction of Density Profiles and Comparison with Parametric Models*, *Astron. J.* **132** (2006) 2685–2700, [[astro-ph/0509417](#)].
- [83] P. Salucci, A. Lapi, C. Tonini, G. Gentile, I. Yegorova, and U. Klein, *The Universal Rotation Curve of Spiral Galaxies. 2. The Dark Matter Distribution out to the Virial Radius*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **378** (2007) 41–47, [[astro-ph/0703115](#)].
- [84] J. F. Navarro, A. Ludlow, V. Springel, J. Wang, M. Vogelsberger, S. D. M. White, A. Jenkins, C. S. Frenk, and A. Helmi, *The Diversity and Similarity of Cold Dark Matter Halos*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **402** (2010) 21, [[0810.1522](#)].
- [85] F. Prada, A. Klypin, J. Flix Molina, M. Martinez, and E. Simonneau, *Dark Matter Annihilation in the Milky Way Galaxy: Effects of Baryonic Compression*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 241301, [[astro-ph/0401512](#)].
- [86] O. Y. Gnedin, A. V. Kravtsov, A. A. Klypin, and D. Nagai, *Response of dark matter halos to condensation of baryons: Cosmological simulations and improved adiabatic contraction model*, *Astrophys. J.* **616** (2004) 16–26, [[astro-ph/0406247](#)].
- [87] M. S. Seigar, B. L. Davis, J. Berrier, and D. Kennefick, *Constraining dark matter halo profiles and galaxy formation models using spiral arm morphology. II. Dark and stellar mass concentrations for 13 nearby face-on galaxies*, *Astrophys. J.* **795** (2014), no. 1 90, [[1409.6667](#)].
- [88] P. Mollitor, E. Nezri, and R. Teyssier, *Baryonic and dark matter distribution in cosmological simulations of spiral galaxies*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **447** (2015), no. 2 1353–1369, [[1405.4318](#)].
- [89] Q. Zhu, F. Marinacci, M. Maji, Y. Li, V. Springel, and L. Hernquist, *Baryonic impact on the dark matter distribution in Milky Way-sized galaxies and their satellites*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **458** (2016), no. 2 1559–1580, [[1506.05537](#)].
- [90] F. Calore, N. Bozorgnia, M. Lovell, G. Bertone, M. Schaller, C. S. Frenk, R. A. Crain, J. Schaye, T. Theuns, and J. W. Trayford, *Simulated Milky Way analogues: implications for dark matter indirect searches*, *JCAP* **1512** (2015), no. 12 053, [[1509.02164](#)].
- [91] T. K. Chan, D. KereÅa, J. OÃsorbe, P. F. Hopkins, A. L. Muratov, C. A. Faucher-GiguÃre, and E. Quataert, *The impact of baryonic physics on the structure of dark matter haloes: the view from the FIRE cosmological simulations*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **454** (2015), no. 3 2981–3001, [[1507.02282](#)].
- [92] D. Hooper, *The Density of Dark Matter in the Galactic Bulge and Implications for Indirect Detection*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **15** (2017) 53–56, [[1608.00003](#)].
- [93] V. Gammaldi, V. Avila-Reese, O. Valenzuela, and A. X. Gonzales-Morales, *Analysis of the very inner Milky Way dark matter distribution and gamma-ray signals*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 12 121301, [[1607.02012](#)].
- [94] S. Peirani, Y. Dubois, M. Volonteri, J. Devriendt, K. Bundy, J. Silk, C. Pichon,

- S. Kaviraj, R. Gavazzi, and M. Habouzit, *Density profile of dark matter haloes and galaxies in the Horizon-AGN simulation: the impact of AGN feedback*, [1611.09922](#).
- [95] S. P. C. Peters, G. de Geyter, P. C. van der Kruit, and K. C. Freeman, *The shape of dark matter haloes – IV. The structure of stellar discs in edge-on galaxies*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **464** (2017), no. 1 48–64, [[1608.05563](#)].
- [96] D. Suto, T. Kitayama, T. Nishimichi, S. Sasaki, and Y. Suto, *Evolution and Statistics of Non-Sphericity of Dark Matter Halos from Cosmological N-Body Simulation*, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jap.* **68** (2016), no. 6 97, [[1608.06494](#)].
- [97] S. P. C. Peters, P. C. van der Kruit, R. J. Allen, and K. C. Freeman, *The shape of dark matter haloes – III. Kinematics and structure of the H_I disc*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **464** (2017), no. 1 32–47, [[1608.05559](#)].
- [98] E. E. Richards, L. van Zee, K. L. Barnes, S. Staudaher, D. A. Dale, T. T. Braun, D. C. Wavle, J. J. Dalcanton, J. S. Bullock, and R. Chandar, *Baryonic distributions in galaxy dark matter haloes – I. New observations of neutral and ionized gas kinematics*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **460** (2016), no. 1 689–728, [[1605.01638](#)].
- [99] S. Contreras, I. Zehavi, C. M. Baugh, N. Padilla, and P. Norberg, *The evolution of the galaxy content of dark matter haloes*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **465** (2017) 2833–2848, [[1607.06154](#)].
- [100] R. Reischke, F. Pace, S. Meyer, and B. M. SchÄdfer, *Spherical collapse of dark matter haloes in tidal gravitational fields*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **463** (2016), no. 1 429–440, [[1606.09207](#)].
- [101] K. Hayashi, K. Ichikawa, S. Matsumoto, M. Ibe, M. N. Ishigaki, and H. Sugai, *Dark matter annihilation and decay from non-spherical dark halos in galactic dwarf satellites*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **461** (2016), no. 3 2914–2928, [[1603.08046](#)].
- [102] J. Vega, G. Yepes, and S. GottlÄuber, *On the shape of dark matter halos from MultiDark Planck simulations*, [1603.02256](#).
- [103] R. Reischke, F. Pace, S. Meyer, and B. M. SchÄdfer, *Shear and vorticity in the spherical collapse of dark matter haloes*, [1612.04275](#).
- [104] A. B. Pace, *Comparing rotation curve observations to hydrodynamic Λ CDM simulations of galaxies*, 2016. [1605.05326](#).
- [105] J. Merten, Q. Llorens, and H. Winther, *Characterising dark matter haloes with computer vision*, [1704.05072](#).
- [106] D. C. Rodrigues, P. L. C. de Oliveira, V. Marra, and A. del Popolo, *Evidences against cuspy dark matter halos in large galaxies*, [1701.02698](#).
- [107] **Fermi-LAT Collaboration** Collaboration, M. Ackermann *et. al.*, *Dark Matter Constraints from Observations of 25 Milky Way Satellite Galaxies with the Fermi Large Area Telescope*, *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 042001, [[1310.0828](#)].
- [108] **Fermi-LAT** Collaboration, M. Ackermann *et. al.*, *Searching for Dark Matter Annihilation from Milky Way Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies with Six Years of Fermi Large Area Telescope Data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015), no. 23 231301, [[1503.02641](#)].
- [109] **HESS** Collaboration, A. Abramowski *et. al.*, *Search for a Dark Matter annihilation*

- signal from the Galactic Center halo with *H.E.S.S.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 161301, [[1103.3266](#)].
- [110] V. Bonnivard, M. Häijttten, E. Nezri, A. Charbonnier, C. Combet, and D. Maurin, *CLUMPY : Jeans analysis, \hat{I}_γ -ray and \hat{I}_j fluxes from dark matter (sub-)structures*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **200** (2016) 336–349, [[1506.07628](#)].
- [111] M. Cirelli, G. Corcella, A. Hektor, G. Hutsi, M. Kadastik, P. Panci, M. Raidal, F. Sala, and A. Strumia, *PPPC 4 DM ID: A Poor Particle Physicist Cookbook for Dark Matter Indirect Detection*, *JCAP* **1103** (2011) 051, [[1012.4515](#)]. [Erratum: *JCAP*1210,E01(2012)].
- [112] **Fermi-LAT, MAGIC** Collaboration, M. L. Ahnen *et. al.*, *Limits to dark matter annihilation cross-section from a combined analysis of MAGIC and Fermi-LAT observations of dwarf satellite galaxies*, *JCAP* **1602** (2016), no. 02 039, [[1601.06590](#)].
- [113] K. A. Oman, J. F. Navarro, L. V. Sales, A. Fattahi, C. S. Frenk, T. Sawala, M. Schaller, and S. D. M. White, *Missing dark matter in dwarf galaxies?*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **460** (2016), no. 4 3610–3623, [[1601.01026](#)].
- [114] S. Li, Y.-F. Liang, K.-K. Duan, Z.-Q. Shen, X. Huang, X. Li, Y.-Z. Fan, N.-H. Liao, L. Feng, and J. Chang, *Search for gamma-ray emission from eight dwarf spheroidal galaxy candidates discovered in Year Two of Dark Energy Survey with Fermi-LAT data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 4 043518, [[1511.09252](#)].
- [115] **VERITAS** Collaboration, B. Zitzer, *A Search for Dark Matter from Dwarf Galaxies using VERITAS*, *PoS ICRC2015* (2016) 1225, [[1509.01105](#)].
- [116] **Fermi-LAT, MAGIC** Collaboration, J. Rico, M. Wood, A. Drlica-Wagner, and J. AleksíÄšÄš, *Limits to dark matter properties from a combined analysis of MAGIC and Fermi-LAT observations of dwarf satellite galaxies*, *PoS ICRC2015* (2016) 1206, [[1508.05827](#)].
- [117] V. Bonnivard, C. Combet, D. Maurin, A. Geringer-Sameth, S. M. Koushiappas, M. G. Walker, M. Mateo, E. W. Olszewski, and J. I. Bailey III, *Dark matter annihilation and decay profiles for the Reticulum II dwarf spheroidal galaxy*, *Astrophys. J.* **808** (2015), no. 2 L36, [[1504.03309](#)].
- [118] V. Bonnivard *et. al.*, *Dark matter annihilation and decay in dwarf spheroidal galaxies: The classical and ultrafaint dSphs*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **453** (2015), no. 1 849–867, [[1504.02048](#)].
- [119] D. Hooper and T. Linden, *On The Gamma-Ray Emission From Reticulum II and Other Dwarf Galaxies*, *JCAP* **1509** (2015), no. 09 016, [[1503.06209](#)].
- [120] A. Geringer-Sameth, M. G. Walker, S. M. Koushiappas, S. E. Koposov, V. Belokurov, G. Torrealba, and N. W. Evans, *Indication of Gamma-ray Emission from the Newly Discovered Dwarf Galaxy Reticulum II*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015), no. 8 081101, [[1503.02320](#)].
- [121] **DES, Fermi-LAT** Collaboration, A. Drlica-Wagner *et. al.*, *Search for Gamma-Ray Emission from DES Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxy Candidates with Fermi-LAT Data*, *Astrophys. J.* **809** (2015), no. 1 L4, [[1503.02632](#)].

- [122] M. G. Baring, T. Ghosh, F. S. Queiroz, and K. Sinha, *New Limits on the Dark Matter Lifetime from Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies using Fermi-LAT*, [1510.00389](#).
- [123] F. S. Queiroz, C. E. Yaguna, and C. Weniger, *Gamma-ray Limits on Neutrino Lines*, *JCAP* **1605** (2016), no. 05 050, [[1602.05966](#)].
- [124] W. A. Rolke, A. M. Lopez, and J. Conrad, *Limits and confidence intervals in the presence of nuisance parameters*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A551** (2005) 493–503, [[physics/0403059](#)].
- [125] **HESS** Collaboration, V. Lefranc and E. Moulin, *Dark matter search in the inner Galactic halo with H.E.S.S. I and H.E.S.S. II*, in *Proceedings, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2015)*, 2015. [1509.04123](#).
- [126] **HESS** Collaboration, H. Abdallah *et. al.*, *Search for dark matter annihilations towards the inner Galactic halo from 10 years of observations with H.E.S.S.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016), no. 11 111301, [[1607.08142](#)].