

# INVERSE SCATTERING TRANSFORM FOR THE NONLOCAL REVERSE SPACE-TIME SINE-GORDON, SINH-GORDON AND NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH NONZERO BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

MARK J. ABLOWITZ, BAO-FENG FENG, XU-DAN LUO, AND ZIAD H. MUSSLIMANI

**ABSTRACT.** The reverse space-time (RST) Sine-Gordon, Sinh-Gordon and nonlinear Schrödinger equations were recently introduced and shown to be integrable infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. The inverse scattering transform (IST) for rapidly decaying data was also constructed. In this paper, IST for these equations with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) at infinity is presented. The NZBC problem is more complicated due to the associated branching structure of the associated linear eigenfunctions. With constant amplitude at infinity, four cases are analyzed; they correspond to two different signs of nonlinearity and two different values of the phase at infinity. Special soliton solutions are discussed and explicit 1-soliton and 2-soliton solutions are found. In terms of IST, the difference between the RST Sine-Gordon/Sinh-Gordon equations and the RST NLS equation is the time dependence of the scattering data. Spatially dependent boundary conditions are also briefly considered.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1974, AKNS [6] published “Inverse scattering transform – Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems” where a general framework to generate integrable systems solvable by the method of inverse scattering transform was developed. The idea is to consider the scattering problem

$$(1.1) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q(x, t) \\ r(x, t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

where  $v(x, t) = (v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t))^T$ ,  $k$  is a time-independent spectral parameter and  $q(x, t)$ ,  $r(x, t)$  are complex-valued functions of the real variables  $x, t$ . Furthermore, associated with the AKNS scattering problem (1.1) is the time evolution equation

$$(1.2) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A \end{pmatrix} v,$$

where the quantities  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are scalar functions of  $q(x, t)$ ,  $r(x, t)$  and spectral parameter  $k$ . Depending on the choices of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  the compatibility condition  $v_{xt} = v_{tx}$  gives rise to a coupled partial differential equations for  $q(x, t)$  and  $r(x, t)$ . Under certain relation between  $q(x, t)$  and  $r(x, t)$  (also referred to as symmetry reduction) the resulting system is compatible and leads to a single integrable evolution equation for  $q(x, t)$  or  $r(x, t)$ . Examples include, the nonlinear Schrödinger

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37K15; 35Q51; 35Q15.

*Key words and phrases.* Inverse scattering transform, nonlocal RST Sine-Gordon equation, nonlocal RST Sinh-Gordon equation, nonlocal RST NLS equation.

(NLS), complex modified Korteweg-deVries (KdV) and complex Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations. All these equations originate from the same scattering problem (1.1), and are found using the symmetry reduction [6]

$$(1.3) \quad r(x, t) = \sigma q^*(x, t), \quad \sigma = \mp 1 .$$

The only differences are the coefficients  $A, B, C$  in the time evolution equation (1.2). For decaying data, this means that once the scattering problem is solved, one can obtain the solutions of all these equations by taking different time evolutions for scattering data which are determined by the value of  $A$  at infinity.

If  $r(x, t) = \sigma q(x, t)$  and  $q(x, t)$  are real, then the eigenfunctions  $v(x, t)$  possess two symmetries. Associated with the proper time evolution equations, we are able to recover and linearize the real Sine-Gordon equation with  $r(x, t) = -q(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}u_x$  and the real Sinh-Gordon equation with  $r(x, t) = q(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}u_x$ .

Most studies of IST deal with initial-value problems with rapidly decaying data; e.g.  $q(x, t), r(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  rapidly as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . However there has been keen interest in other NLS type problems with non-zero boundary conditions (NZBCs). Pioneering studies investigating NZBCs were developed for the NLS equation [51]. The original method to carry out the inverse problem for NZBCs employed two Riemann surfaces associated with square root branch points in the eigenfunctions/scattering data. A significant improvement was made with the introduction of a uniformization variable [10]. This transforms the inverse problem to the more standard inverse problem in the upper lower/half planes in the new variable. Subsequently a number of researchers have also studied NLS problems in this manner, cf. [11, 12, 13, 14], hence substantially enhancing the applicability of the IST technique.

The solutions of the complex Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations with non-decaying boundary conditions can be deduced from the same scattering problem as the NLS equation; the only difference is the associated time evolution equation (see section 9).

Recently, four new nonlocal symmetry reductions for the AKNS scattering problem have been identified [15]. These are (here  $\sigma = \mp 1$ )

- $r(x, t) = \sigma q^*(x, -t)$ ,
- $r(x, t) = \sigma q^*(-x, t)$ ,
- $r(x, t) = \sigma q(-x, -t)$ ,
- $r(x, t) = \sigma q^*(-x, -t)$ .

Each of these symmetry reduction leads to a new types of inverse problems and new classes of nonlocal nonlinear integrable equations [15]; furthermore, the IST with decaying data for many associated equations was constructed. But the IST with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) was only considered for the  $PT$  symmetric case:  $r(x, t) = \sigma q^*(-x, t)$  [16]. Recall that an evolution equation is said to be  $PT$  symmetric if it is invariant under the combined action of parity operator  $P(x \rightarrow -x)$  and time-reversal symmetry and complex conjugation  $T$ .

In addition to these nonlocal NLS equations, the integrability of the nonlocal ‘reverse space-time’ (RST) Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations was also established in [15]. They correspond to the symmetry reduction  $r(x, t) = \sigma q(-x, -t)$  with  $\sigma = -1$  for

the nonlocal Sin-Gordon equation and  $\sigma = 1$  for the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation. Although the IST under the symmetry reduction  $r(x, t) = \sigma q(-x, -t)$  was analyzed for decaying data, the IST for the nonlocal RST NLS equation and nonlocal RST Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations with NZBCs is still new and open. There are significant differences between these cases and the  $PT$  symmetric case considered in [16]. The real Sine-Gordon equation

$$(1.4) \quad u_{xt} = \sin u$$

arises in many branches of mathematical physics. In mathematics, it has arisen classically in the study of differential geometry and in physics it has arisen in the study of Josephson junctions, models of particle physics, stability of fluid motions etc. The nonlocal RST Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon equations [17], [15] are given by

$$(1.5) \quad q_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)q(x, t) = 0,$$

with

$$(1.6) \quad s_x(x, t) = -\sigma \partial_t(q(x, t)q(-x, -t)), \quad \sigma = \mp 1.$$

We will define  $s(x, t)$  as

$$(1.7) \quad s(x, t) = \sigma \int_x^\infty \partial_t(q(x', t)q(-x', -t))dx'$$

and require  $s(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ; alternatively, (1.7) implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_t(q(x', t)q(-x', -t))dx' = 0$$

It follows that  $s(-x, -t) = s(x, t)$ . When  $\sigma = -1$ , (1.5)-(1.7) is referred to as the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation; when  $\sigma = 1$ , (1.5)-(1.7) is referred to as the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation; both are integrable. We note that for the classical real Sine-Gordon/Sinh-Gordon equations  $s_x(x, t) = -\sigma(q^2(x, t))_t$  and there is a further reduction; i.e. we can reduce to (1.4) when  $q = -u_x/2$ . For the complex sine/Sinh-Gordon equation we have  $s_x(x, t) = -\sigma(|q|^2(x, t))_t$ , but there is no known reduction beyond that. The same is true for the nonlocal RST Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations.

In this paper, we study the direct and inverse scattering transform associated with the RST nonlocal Sine/Sinh-Gordon equation with the following nonzero boundary conditions

$$(1.8) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_\pm(t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)}, \text{ and } s(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

where  $q_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_\pm < 2\pi$  and  $\alpha$  is real.

Taking into account results from the scattering problem, the following cases are considered. For both  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\sigma = -1$ :  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$  or  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , so that the branch points of eigenvalues in the scattering problem either lie in the real axis ( $\pm q_0$ ) or imaginary axis ( $\pm i q_0$ ).

First, we consider the case of  $\sigma = 1$  (the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation),  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ . We find the following nonsingular ‘dark’ 1-soliton solution which in terms of magnitude has a dip from its asymptotic values at infinity:

$$(1.9) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i\alpha t} \cos \left( \theta_+ - i q_0 x \sin \theta_+ - \frac{i}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \operatorname{sech} \left( q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right),$$

$\theta_+ \neq \pi/2$ . This is discussed in section 3 below.

Second, we consider the case of  $\sigma = 1$  (the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation),  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . In this case a single eigenvalue is found to be in the continuous spectrum; there is no ‘proper exponentially decaying’ one soliton solution. The simplest decaying pure reflectionless potential generates a 2-soliton solution. There are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions. See section 4 below.

Third, we consider the case of  $\sigma = -1$  (the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation),  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . We find the following nonsingular dark 1-soliton solution

$$(1.10) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i\alpha t} \left[ i \sin \theta_+ + \cos \theta_+ \tanh \left( q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{\alpha t}{2} \cot \theta_+ \right) \right];$$

see section 6.

Finally we analyze the case of  $\sigma = -1$  (the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation),  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ . We have a similar conclusion as that discussed in the first case above when  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ ; i.e there are no exponentially decaying 1-soliton solutions, but there are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions; this is discussed in section 7.

In addition the IST is developed for the nonlocal reverse space-time NLS (RST-NLS) equation

$$(1.11) \quad iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) - 2\sigma q^2(x, t)q(-x, -t),$$

with the nonzero boundary conditions:

$$(1.12) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(2\sigma q_0^2 t + \theta_{\pm})}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , and the case

$$(1.13) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(-2\sigma q_0^2 t + \theta_{\pm})}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . Since the RST-NLS equation has the same scattering problem as the nonlocal RST Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations, we obtain the corresponding soliton solutions for all four cases by modifying the time evolution of the scattering data.

We note that some properties of the nonzero boundary conditions associated with RST-NLS equation (1.11) can be obtained directly. We assume that as  $q \rightarrow q_{\pm}(t)$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , then equation (1.11) yields

$$(1.14) \quad iq_{\pm,t}(t) = -2\sigma q_{\pm}^2(t)q_{\mp}(-t).$$

This implies that

$$(1.15) \quad q_+(t)q_-(-t) = C_0 = \text{const.}$$

hence equation (1.14) simplifies to

$$(1.16) \quad iq_{\pm,t}(t) = -2\sigma C_0 q_{\pm}(t).$$

This equation has the solution

$$(1.17) \quad q_{\pm}(t) = |q_{\pm}(0)| e^{2i\sigma C_0 t} e^{i\theta_{\pm}}$$

where  $\theta_{\pm}$  are constant. Since  $C_0 = |q_+(0)| \cdot |q_-(0)| \cdot e^{i(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$ , thus, if  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$  or  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , then  $C_0$  is real. Otherwise it is complex and the background either grows or decays exponentially as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Without loss of generality we take  $q_{\pm}(0) = q_0 = \text{const.}$

For the RST-NLS equation in section 8 we then consider the IST for the same four cases as for the Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations:  $\sigma = \pm 1$  with  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0, \pi$ .

First, when  $\sigma = 1, \theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we find the following nonsingular dark 1-soliton solution

$$(1.18) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} \left[ e^{i\theta_+} \cdot e^{2q_0 x \sin \theta_+} + e^{-i\theta_+} \cdot e^{2q_0^2 t \sin(2\theta_+)} \right]}{e^{2q_0 x \sin \theta_+} + e^{2q_0^2 t \sin(2\theta_+)}}.$$

Second, when  $\sigma = 1, \theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , there is no ‘proper exponentially decaying’ 1-soliton solution as a single eigenvalue is found to be in the continuous spectrum. The simplest decaying pure reflectionless potential generates a 2-soliton solution. There are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions.

Third, when  $\sigma = -1, \theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , we find the following nonsingular dark 1-soliton solution

$$(1.19) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} [i \sin \theta_+ + \cos \theta_+ \tanh(q_0 \cos \theta_+ \cdot (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+))].$$

Lastly, when  $\sigma = -1, \theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we have a similar conclusion as that discussed in the case when  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ .

In section 9 we show that there also exist solutions for the nonlocal Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations, complex Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which satisfy the following spatially dependent boundary conditions

$$(1.20) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x + \theta_{\pm})},$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real. For the NLS equation Galilean invariance is verified.

In section 10, we also present, some novel types of solutions to the above equations which are singular along space-time lines.

## 2. NONLOCAL SINH-GORDON EQUATION

The nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation

$$(2.1) \quad q_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)q(x, t) = 0,$$

where  $s_x(x, t) = -(q(x, t)q(-x, -t))_t$ ,  $s(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $s(-x, -t) = s(x, t)$  is associated with the following  $2 \times 2$  compatible systems:

$$(2.2) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q(x, t) \\ q(-x, -t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

$$(2.3) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{is(x, t)}{2k} & -\frac{iq_t(x, t)}{2k} \\ \frac{iq_t(-x, -t)}{2k} & \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} \end{pmatrix} v,$$

where  $q(x, t)$  is a complex-valued function of the real variables  $x$  and  $t$  with the corresponding linear operators given by

$$(2.4) \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q(x, t) \\ q(-x, -t) & ik \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{is(x, t)}{2k} & -\frac{iq_t(x, t)}{2k} \\ \frac{iq_t(-x, -t)}{2k} & \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Alternatively the space part of the compatible system may be written in the form

$$(2.5) \quad v_x = (ikJ + Q)v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(x, t) \\ q(-x, -t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $q(x, t)$  is called the potential and  $k$  is a complex spectral parameter.

As  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , the eigenfunctions of the scattering problem asymptotically satisfy

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)} \\ q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_\mp)} & ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$(2.8) \quad v_x = (ikJ + Q_\pm(t))v, \quad Q_\pm(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)} \\ q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_\mp)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### 3. NONLOCAL SINH-GORDON EQUATION WITH $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$

**3.1. Direct scattering.** In this section we consider the nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) given above in (1.8) and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ . With this condition, equation (2.7) conveniently reduces to

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x^2} = -(k^2 - q_0^2)v_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Each of the two equations has two linearly independent solutions  $e^{i\lambda x}$  and  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - q_0^2}$ . The variable  $k$  is then considered to belong to a Riemann surface  $\mathbb{K}$  consisting of two sheets  $\mathbb{C}_1$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2$  with the complex plane cut along  $(-\infty, -q_0] \cup [q_0, +\infty)$  with its edges glued in such a way that  $\lambda(k)$  is continuous through the cut. We introduce the local polar coordinates

$$(3.2) \quad k - q_0 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 < 2\pi,$$

$$(3.3) \quad k + q_0 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \quad -\pi \leq \theta_2 < \pi,$$

where  $r_1 = |k - q_0|$  and  $r_2 = |k + q_0|$ . Then the function  $\lambda(k)$  becomes single-valued on  $\mathbb{K}$ , i.e.,

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda(k) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1(k) = (r_1 r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{i\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}}, & k \in \mathbb{C}_1, \\ \lambda_2(k) = -(r_1 r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{i\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}}, & k \in \mathbb{C}_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $k \in \mathbb{C}_1$ , then  $\Im \lambda \geq 0$ ; and if  $k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , then  $\Im \lambda \leq 0$ . Hence, the variable  $\lambda$  is thought of as belonging to the complex plane consisting of the upper half plane  $U_+ : \Im \lambda \geq 0$ , and lower half plane  $U_- : \Im \lambda \leq 0$ , glued together along the real axis; the transition occurs at  $\Im \lambda = 0$ . The transformation  $k \rightarrow \lambda$  maps  $\mathbb{C}_1$  onto  $U_+$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_2$  onto  $U_-$ , the cut  $(-\infty, -q_0] \cup [q_0, +\infty)$  onto the real axis, and the points  $\pm q_0$  to 0 (See [16], Page 6, Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

**3.2. Eigenfunctions.** It is natural to introduce the eigenfunctions defined by the following boundary conditions

$$(3.5) \quad \phi(x, k) \sim w e^{-i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\phi}(x, k) \sim \bar{w} e^{i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \psi(x, k) \sim v e^{i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) \sim \bar{v} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . We substitute the above into (2.7), obtaining

$$(3.7) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{w} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.8) \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the boundary conditions, but they are not unique.

In the following analysis, it is convenient to consider functions with constant boundary conditions. We define the bounded eigenfunctions as follows:

$$(3.9) \quad M(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \phi(x, k), \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \bar{\phi}(x, k),$$

$$(3.10) \quad N(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \psi(x, k), \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \bar{\psi}(x, k).$$

The eigenfunctions can be represented by means of the following integral equations

$$(3.11) \quad M(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(3.13) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(3.14) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k) dx'.$$

Using the Fourier transform method, we get

$$(3.15) \quad G_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{G}_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(3.17) \quad G_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

$$(3.18) \quad \bar{G}_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.,  $\theta(x) = 1$  if  $x > 0$  and  $\theta(x) = 0$  if  $x < 0$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We say  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  if  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| dx < \infty$ , and  $f \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$  if  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| \cdot (1 + |x|)^2 dx < \infty$ .

Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  belong to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the eigenfunctions  $M(x, k)$  and  $N(x, k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_1 \setminus \{\pm q_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_1$ ,  $\bar{M}(x, k)$  and  $\bar{N}(x, k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_2 \setminus \{\pm q_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ . In addition, if the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  belong to  $L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the eigenfunctions  $M(x, k)$  and  $N(x, k)$  are continuous for*

$k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_1$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_1$ ,  $\overline{M}(x, k)$  and  $\overline{N}(x, k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_2$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ .

The proof makes use of Neumann series; it is similar to [16].

**3.3. Scattering data.** The two eigenfunctions with non-fixed or fixed boundary conditions as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  are linearly independent, so as are the two eigenfunctions with fixed boundary conditions as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Indeed, if  $u(x, k) = (u_1(x, k), u_2(x, k))^T$  and  $v(x, k) = (v_1(x, k), v_2(x, k))^T$  are any two solutions of (2.2), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{d}{dx} W(u, v) = 0,$$

where the Wronskian of  $u$  and  $v$ ,  $W(u, v)$  is given by

$$(3.20) \quad W(u, v) = u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1.$$

From the asymptotics (3.5) and (3.6), it follows that

$$(3.21) \quad W(\phi, \overline{\phi}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} W(\phi(x, k), \overline{\phi}(x, k)) = 2\lambda(\lambda + k)$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad W(\psi, \overline{\psi}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W(\psi(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k)) = -2\lambda(\lambda + k),$$

which proves that the functions  $\phi(x, k)$  and  $\overline{\phi}(x, k)$  are linearly independent, as are  $\psi$  and  $\overline{\psi}$ , with only the branch points  $\pm q_0$  being excluded. Hence, we can write  $\phi(x, k)$  and  $\overline{\phi}(x, k)$  as linear combinations of  $\psi(x, k)$  and  $\overline{\psi}(x, k)$ , or vice versa. Thus, the relations

$$(3.23) \quad \phi(x, k) = b(k)\psi(x, k) + a(k)\overline{\psi}(x, k)$$

and

$$(3.24) \quad \overline{\phi}(x, k) = \overline{a}(k)\psi(x, k) + \overline{b}(k)\overline{\psi}(x, k)$$

hold for any  $k$  such that all four eigenfunctions exist. Combining (3.21) and (3.22), we can deduce that the scattering data satisfy the following characterization equation

$$(3.25) \quad a(k)\overline{a}(k) - b(k)\overline{b}(k) = 1.$$

The scattering data can be represented in terms of Wronskians of the eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$(3.26) \quad a(k) = \frac{W(\phi(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{W(\overline{\psi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))} = \frac{W(\phi(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)},$$

$$(3.27) \quad \overline{a}(k) = -\frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{W(\overline{\psi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))} = -\frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)},$$

$$(3.28) \quad b(k) = -\frac{W(\phi(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{W(\overline{\psi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))} = -\frac{W(\phi(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)},$$

$$(3.29) \quad \overline{b}(k) = \frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{W(\overline{\psi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))} = \frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)}.$$

Then from the analytic behavior of the eigenfunctions we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  belong to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $a(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_1 \setminus \{\pm q_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_1$ , and  $\bar{a}(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_2 \setminus \{\pm q_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ . Moreover,  $b(k)$  and  $\bar{b}(k)$  are continuous in  $k \in (-\infty, -q_0) \cup (q_0, +\infty)$ . In addition, if the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  belong to  $L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $a(k)\lambda(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_1$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_1$ , and  $\bar{a}(k)\lambda(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_2$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ . Moreover,  $b(k)\lambda(k)$  and  $\bar{b}(k)\lambda(k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . If the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  do not grow faster than  $e^{-ax^2}$ , where  $a$  is a positive real number, then  $a(k)\lambda(k)$ ,  $\bar{a}(k)\lambda(k)$ ,  $b(k)\lambda(k)$  and  $\bar{b}(k)\lambda(k)$  are analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}$ .*

The proof follows from the Wronskian relations; see also [16].

Note that (3.23) and (3.24) can be written as

$$(3.30) \quad \mu(x, k) = \rho(k)e^{2i\lambda x}N(x, k) + \bar{N}(x, k)$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad \bar{\mu}(x, k) = N(x, k) + \bar{\rho}(k)e^{-2i\lambda x}\bar{N}(x, k),$$

where  $\mu(x, k) = M(x, k)a^{-1}(k)$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(x, k) = \bar{M}(x, k)\bar{a}^{-1}(k)$ ,  $\rho(k) = b(k)a^{-1}(k)$  and  $\bar{\rho}(k) = \bar{b}(k)\bar{a}^{-1}(k)$ . Introducing the  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$(3.32) \quad m_+(x, k) = (\mu(x, k), N(x, k)), \quad m_-(x, k) = (\bar{N}(x, k), \bar{\mu}(x, k)),$$

which are meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}_1$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2$  respectively. Hence, we can write the Riemann-Hilbert problem or ‘jump’ conditions in the  $k$ -plane as

$$(3.33) \quad m_+(x, k) - m_-(x, k) = m_-(x, k) \begin{pmatrix} -\rho(k)\bar{\rho}(k) & -\bar{\rho}(k)e^{-2i\lambda x} \\ \rho(k)e^{2i\lambda x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the contour  $\Sigma : k \in (-\infty, -q_0] \cup [q_0, +\infty)$ .

**3.4. Symmetry reductions.** The symmetry in the potential induces a symmetry between the eigenfunctions. Indeed, if  $v(x, k) = (v_1(x, k), v_2(x, k))^T$  solves (2.2), then  $(v_2(-x, k), -v_1(-x, k))^T$  also solves (2.2). Taking into account boundary conditions (3.7) and (3.8), we can obtain

$$(3.34) \quad \psi(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, k)$$

and

$$(3.35) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, k).$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we can get the symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$(3.36) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, k)$$

and

$$(3.37) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, k).$$

From the Wronskian representations for the scattering data and the above symmetry relations, we have

$$(3.38) \quad \bar{b}(k) = b(k).$$

**3.5. Uniformization coordinates.** Before discussing the properties of scattering data and solving the inverse problem, we introduce a uniformization variable  $z$ , defined by the conformal mapping:

$$(3.39) \quad z = z(k) = k + \lambda(k),$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - q_0^2}$  and the inverse mapping is given by

$$(3.40) \quad k = k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

Then

$$(3.41) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

We observe that

(1) the upper sheet  $\mathbb{C}_1$  and lower sheet  $\mathbb{C}_2$  of the Riemann surface  $\mathbb{K}$  are mapped onto the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}^+$  and lower half plane  $\mathbb{C}^-$  of the complex  $z$ -plane respectively;

(2) the cut  $(-\infty, -q_0] \cup [q_0, +\infty)$  on the Riemann surface is mapped onto the real  $z$  axis;

(3) the segments  $[-q_0, q_0]$  on  $\mathbb{C}_1$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2$  are mapped onto the upper and lower semicircles of radius  $q_0$  and centered at the origin of the complex  $z$ -plane respectively.

From Theorem 3.2, we have the eigenfunctions  $M(x, z)$  and  $N(x, z)$  are analytic in the upper half  $z$ - plane: i.e  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , and  $\overline{M}(x, z)$  and  $\overline{N}(x, z)$  are analytic in the lower half  $z$ - plane: i.e.  $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.3, we find that  $a(z)$  is analytic in the upper half  $z$ - plane:  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and  $\overline{a}(z)$  is analytic in the lower half plane:  $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$ .

**3.6. Symmetries via uniformization coordinates.** From the eigenfunction symmetries above we have

$$(3.42) \quad \psi(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, z),$$

$$(3.43) \quad \overline{\psi}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\phi}(-x, z).$$

Further, when  $z \rightarrow \frac{q_0^2}{z}$ , then  $(k, \lambda) \rightarrow (k, -\lambda)$ . Hence,

$$(3.44) \quad \phi \left( x, \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{q_0^2}{-iz_-} \cdot \overline{\phi}(x, z), \quad \psi \left( x, \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{-iq_+}{z} \cdot \overline{\psi}(x, z), \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Similarly, we can get

$$(3.45) \quad N(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, z),$$

$$(3.46) \quad \overline{N}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{M}(-x, z),$$

$$(3.47) \quad \overline{b}(z) = b(z),$$

$$(3.48) \quad a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = e^{2i\theta_+}\bar{a}(z), \quad \Im z < 0; \quad b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = -\bar{b}(z).$$

**3.7. Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data.** In order to solve the inverse problem, one has to determine the asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data both as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . From the integral equations (in terms of Green's functions), we have

$$(3.49) \quad M(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_-} \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.50) \quad N(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_-} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.51) \quad \bar{M}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_+} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.52) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_+} \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.53) \quad a(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ e^{2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.54) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ e^{-2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.55) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zb(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

### 3.8. Riemann-Hilbert problem via uniformization coordinates.

3.8.1. *Left scattering problem.* In order to take into account the behavior of the eigenfunctions, the 'jump' conditions at the real  $z$ -axis can be written from the left end as

$$(3.56) \quad \frac{M(x, z)}{za(z)} - \frac{\bar{N}(x, z)}{z} = \rho(z)e^{i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{N(x, z)}{z}$$

and

$$(3.57) \quad \frac{\bar{M}(x, z)}{z\bar{a}(z)} - \frac{N(x, z)}{z} = \bar{\rho}(z)e^{-i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\bar{N}(x, z)}{z},$$

so that the functions will be bounded at infinity, though having an additional pole at  $z = 0$ . Note that  $M(x, z)/a(z)$ , as a function of  $z$ , is defined in the upper

half plane  $\mathbb{C}^+$ , where it has (by assumption) simple poles  $z_j$ , i.e.,  $a(z_j) = 0$ , and  $\overline{M}(x, z)/\overline{a}(z)$ , is defined in the lower half plane  $\mathbb{C}^-$ , where it has simple poles  $\overline{z}_j$ , i.e.,  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j) = 0$ . At zeros of  $a, \overline{a}$ , we have

$$(3.58) \quad M(x, z_j) = b(z_j)e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)$$

and

$$(3.59) \quad \overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j) = \overline{b}(\overline{z}_j)e^{-i(\overline{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x} \cdot \overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j).$$

Then subtracting the values at infinity, the induced pole at the origin and the poles, assumed simple, in the upper/lower half planes respectively, at  $a(z_j) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, J$  and  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, \overline{J}$  (later we will see that  $J = \overline{J}$ ) gives

$$(3.60) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{M(x, z)}{za(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{M(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j)e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & = \rho(z)e^{i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{N(x, z)}{z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.61) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{\overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j)}{(z - \overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{N(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{\overline{b}(\overline{z}_j)e^{-i(\overline{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x} \cdot \overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j)}{(z - \overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & = \overline{\rho}(z)e^{-i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce the projection operators

$$(3.62) \quad P_{\pm}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - (z \pm i0)} d\xi,$$

which are well-defined for any function  $f(\xi)$  that is integrable on the real axis. If  $f_{\pm}(\xi)$  is analytic in the upper/lower  $z$ -plane and  $f_{\pm}(\xi)$  is decaying at large  $\xi$ , then

$$(3.63) \quad P_{\pm}(f_{\pm})(z) = \pm f_{\pm}(z), \quad P_{\mp}(f_{\pm})(z) = 0.$$

Applying  $P_-$  to (3.60) and  $P_+$  to (3.61), we can obtain

$$(3.64) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j)e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.65) \quad \frac{N(x, z)}{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \bar{z}_j)}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \xi) d\xi,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.66) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N(x, \xi) d\xi$$

and

$$(3.67) \quad N(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \bar{z}_j)}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Since the symmetries are between eigenfunctions defined at both  $\pm\infty$  we proceed to obtain the inverse scattering integral equations defined from the right end.

3.8.2. *Right scattering problem.* The right scattering problem can be written as

$$(3.68) \quad \psi(x, z) = \alpha(z) \bar{\phi}(x, z) + \beta(z) \phi(x, z)$$

and

$$(3.69) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, z) = \bar{\alpha}(z) \phi(x, z) + \bar{\beta}(z) \bar{\phi}(x, z),$$

where  $\alpha(z)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(z)$ ,  $\beta(z)$  and  $\bar{\beta}(z)$  are the right scattering data. Moreover, we can get the right scattering data and left scattering data satisfy the following relations

$$(3.70) \quad \bar{\alpha}(z) = \bar{a}(z), \quad \alpha(z) = a(z), \quad \bar{\beta}(z) = -b(z), \quad \beta(z) = -\bar{b}(z).$$

Thus,

$$(3.71) \quad \begin{aligned} N(x, z) &= \alpha(z) \bar{M}(x, z) + \beta(z) M(x, z) e^{-i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \\ &= a(z) \bar{M}(x, z) - \bar{b}(z) M(x, z) e^{-i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.72) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}(x, z) &= \bar{\alpha}(z) M(x, z) + \bar{\beta}(z) \bar{M}(x, z) e^{i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \\ &= \bar{a}(z) M(x, z) - b(z) \bar{M}(x, z) e^{i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x}. \end{aligned}$$

The above two equations can be rewritten as

$$(3.73) \quad \frac{N(x, z)}{za(z)} - \frac{\bar{M}(x, z)}{z} = -\frac{\bar{b}(z)}{a(z)} \cdot e^{-i(z - \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{M(x, z)}{z}$$

and

$$(3.74) \quad \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \frac{M(x, z)}{z} = -\frac{b(z)}{\overline{a}(z)} \cdot e^{i(z-\frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z}.$$

By the symmetry relations of scattering data, we have

$$(3.75) \quad \frac{N(x, z)}{za(z)} - \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z} = \rho^*(-z^*) \cdot e^{-i(z-\frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{M(x, z)}{z}$$

and

$$(3.76) \quad \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \frac{M(x, z)}{z} = \overline{\rho}^*(-z^*) \cdot e^{i(z-\frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z},$$

so that the functions will be bounded at infinity, though having an additional pole at  $z = 0$ . Note that  $N(x, z)/a(z)$ , as a function of  $z$ , is defined in the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}^+$ , where it has simple poles  $z_j$ , i.e.,  $a(z_j) = 0$ , and  $\overline{N}(x, z)/\overline{a}(z)$ , is defined in the lower half plane  $\mathbb{C}^-$ , where it has simple poles  $\overline{z}_j$ , i.e.,  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j) = 0$ . At the zeros of  $a, \overline{a}$ ,

$$(3.77) \quad N(x, z_j) = -\overline{b}(z_j)M(x, z_j)e^{-i(z_j-\frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}$$

and

$$(3.78) \quad \overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j) = -b(\overline{z}_j)\overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j)e^{i(\overline{z}_j-\frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x}.$$

Then, as before, subtracting the values at infinity, the induced pole at the origin and the poles, assumed simple, in the upper/lower half planes respectively, at  $a(z_j) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, J$  and  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, \overline{J}$ , gives

$$(3.79) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{N(x, z)}{za(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{N(x, z_j)}{(z-z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-\overline{b}(z_j)M(x, z_j)e^{-i(z_j-\frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z-z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & = \rho^*(-z^*) \cdot e^{-i(z-\frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{M(x, z)}{z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.80) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{\overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j)}{(z-\overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{M(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{-b(\overline{z}_j)\overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j)e^{i(\overline{z}_j-\frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x}}{(z-\overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & = \overline{\rho}^*(-z^*) \cdot e^{i(z-\frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $P_-$  to (3.79) and  $P_+$  to (3.80), we can obtain

$$(3.81) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{M}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \overline{b}(z_j) M(x, z_j) e^{-i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &+ \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot M(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.82) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{-z \cdot b(\overline{z}_j) \overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j) e^{i(\overline{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x}}{(z - \overline{z}_j) \overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \\ &- \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \overline{M}(x, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**3.9. Recovery of the potentials.** In order to reconstruct the potential, we use asymptotics. For example from equation (3.52), we have

$$(3.83) \quad \frac{\overline{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim \frac{q(x)}{q_+}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . By (3.66), we can get

$$(3.84) \quad \frac{\overline{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,

$$(3.85) \quad q(x) = q_+ \cdot \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \right].$$

**3.10. Closing the system.** We can find  $J = \overline{J}$  from  $a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = e^{2i\theta + \overline{a}(z)}$ . By combining the prior integral equations we find

$$(3.86) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} N_1(x, z) \\ N_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \overline{b}(\overline{z}_j) e^{-i(\overline{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x}}{(z - \overline{z}_j) \overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\overline{z}_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\overline{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\overline{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\overline{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \overline{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\overline{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\overline{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\overline{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \overline{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &- \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ &iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{aligned} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

(3.87)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{M}_1(x, z) \\ \overline{M}_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \overline{b}(z_j) e^{-i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &z_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\overline{z}_l) e^{i(\overline{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \overline{z}_l) \overline{z}_l \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_l)} \cdot \overline{M}_1(x, \overline{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{p}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \overline{M}_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\overline{z}_l) e^{i(\overline{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \overline{z}_l) \overline{z}_l \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_l)} \cdot \overline{M}_2(x, \overline{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{p}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \overline{M}_2(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned} \right) \\ &+ \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\overline{z}_l) e^{i(\overline{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \overline{z}_l) \overline{z}_l \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_l)} \cdot \overline{M}_1(x, \overline{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{p}^*(-\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \overline{M}_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ &iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\overline{z}_l) e^{i(\overline{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \overline{z}_l) \overline{z}_l \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_l)} \cdot \overline{M}_2(x, \overline{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\overline{p}^*(-\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \overline{M}_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{aligned} \right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The potential (3.85) can be reconstructed from the solution of the integral equation (3.86).

**3.11. Trace formula.** We have shown that  $a(z)$  and  $\overline{a}(z)$  are analytic in the upper and lower  $z$ -plane respectively. As mentioned above, we assume that  $a(z)$  has simple zeros, which we call  $z_j$ . By the symmetry relation  $a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = e^{2i\theta} \overline{a}(z)$ , we can deduce that  $\overline{a}(z)$  has simple zeros  $\frac{q_0^2}{z_j}$ .

We define

$$(3.88) \quad \gamma(z) = a(z) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j},$$

$$(3.89) \quad \overline{\gamma}(z) = \overline{a}(z) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}.$$

Then  $\gamma(z)$  and  $\overline{\gamma}(z)$  are analytic in the upper and lower  $z$ -plane respectively and have no zeros in their respective half planes. We can get

$$(3.90) \quad \log \gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \overline{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi = 0, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(3.91) \quad \log \overline{\gamma}(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \overline{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi = 0, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Adding or subtracting the above equations in each half plane respectively, we obtain

$$(3.92) \quad \log \gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi) \overline{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(3.93) \quad \log \overline{\gamma}(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi) \overline{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Hence,

$$(3.94) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi) \bar{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(3.95) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log \gamma(\xi) \bar{\gamma}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Note that

$$(3.96) \quad \gamma(z) \bar{\gamma}(z) = a(z) \bar{a}(z),$$

from the unitarity condition

$$(3.97) \quad a(z) \bar{a}(z) - b(z) \bar{b}(z) = 1,$$

it follows

$$(3.98) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 + b(\xi) \bar{b}(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(3.99) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 + b(\xi) \bar{b}(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

By the symmetry  $b(z) = \bar{b}(z)$ , we can obtain

$$(3.100) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 + b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(3.101) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 + b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Thus we can reconstruct  $a(k), \bar{a}(k)$  in terms of the eigenvalues (zero's) and only **one function**  $b(k)$ .

Since  $a(z) \sim e^{2i\theta_+}$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , from the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in the real axis, we have the following constraint for the reflectionless potentials

$$(3.102) \quad \prod_{j=1}^J z_j^2 = q_0^{2J} e^{2i\theta_+}$$

**3.12. Discrete scattering data and their symmetries.** In order to find reflectionless potentials/solitons, we need to calculate the relevant scattering data:

$$b(z_j) \text{ and } \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, J.$$

and

$$a'(z_j), \quad \bar{a}'(z_j)$$

The latter functions can be calculated via the trace formulae. So we concentrate on the former.

Since

$$(3.103) \quad N_1(x, z) = -M_2(-x, z), \quad N_2(x, z) = M_1(-x, z),$$

$$(3.104) \quad M_1(x, z_j) = b(z_j) e^{i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, z_j)$$

and

$$(3.105) \quad M_2(x, z_j) = b(z_j) e^{i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_2(x, z_j),$$

we have

$$(3.106) \quad N_1(x, z_j) = -b(z_j) \cdot e^{-i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_2(-x, z_j),$$

$$(3.107) \quad N_2(x, z_j) = b(z_j) \cdot e^{-\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(-x, z_j).$$

By rewriting (3.107), we obtain

$$(3.108) \quad N_2(-x, z_j) = b(z_j) \cdot e^{\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, z_j).$$

Combining (3.106), we can deduce the following symmetry condition on the discrete data  $b(z_j)$

$$(3.109) \quad -b^2(z_j) = 1.$$

Similar analysis shows that  $\bar{b}(\bar{z}_j)$  satisfies an analogous equation

$$-\bar{b}^2(\bar{z}_j) = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.110) \quad b(z_j) = \pm i, \quad \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) = \pm i.$$

By the symmetry relation

$$(3.111) \quad \bar{b}(z) = b(z),$$

we have

$$(3.112) \quad \bar{b}(z_j) = b(z_j), \quad b(\bar{z}_j) = \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j).$$

When  $J = 1$ , assuming that  $0 < \theta_+ < \pi$ , then  $z_1 = q_0 e^{i\theta_+}$ . By the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in the real axis, we can get

$$(3.113) \quad a'(z_1) = a'(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}) = \frac{1}{q_0(e^{i\theta_+} - e^{-i\theta_+})}, \quad \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_1) = a'(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}) = \frac{1}{q_0(e^{-i\theta_+} - e^{i\theta_+})}.$$

Moreover, from the symmetry relation

$$(3.114) \quad b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = -\bar{b}(z),$$

we have

$$(3.115) \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}) = -b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}).$$

For convenience, we write  $b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}) = \delta i$ , then  $\bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}) = -\delta i$ , where  $\delta = \pm 1$ .

3.13. **Time evolution.** Since

$$(3.116) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{is(x,t)}{2k} & -\frac{iq_t(x,t)}{2k} \\ \frac{iq_t(-x,-t)}{2k} & \frac{is(x,t)}{2k} \end{pmatrix} v := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A \end{pmatrix} v,$$

we have

$$(3.117) \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{is(x,t)}{2k}v_1 - \frac{iq_t(x,t)}{2k}v_2$$

and

$$(3.118) \quad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} = \frac{iq_t(-x,-t)}{2k}v_1 + \frac{is(x,t)}{2k}v_2.$$

Note that

$$(3.119) \quad q_{xt}(x,t) + 2s(x,t)q(x,t) = 0,$$

where  $s(x,t) = -\int_x^\infty \partial_t(q(x,t)q(-x,-t))$ . As mentioned in the introduction we require  $s(x,t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(3.120) \quad q(x,t) \rightarrow q_\pm(t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

where  $q_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_\pm < 2\pi$ ,  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$  and  $\alpha$  is real.

Thus, we have

$$(3.121) \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} \sim \frac{\alpha q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)}}{2k} v_2$$

$$(3.122) \quad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \sim \frac{\alpha q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_-)}}{2k} v_1$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ; and

$$(3.123) \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} \sim \frac{\alpha q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_-)}}{2k} v_2$$

$$(3.124) \quad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \sim \frac{\alpha q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_+)}}{2k} v_1$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . As  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , the eigenfunctions of the spatial portion of the scattering problem asymptotically satisfy

$$(3.125) \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)} \\ q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_\mp)} & ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can get

$$(3.126) \quad q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)} v_2 \sim \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + ikv_1$$

and

$$(3.127) \quad q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_\mp)} v_1 \sim \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} - ikv_2$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Hence,

$$(3.128) \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} \sim \frac{i\alpha}{2} v_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2k} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}$$

and

$$(3.129) \quad \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \sim -\frac{i\alpha}{2} v_2 + \frac{\alpha}{2k} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

Note that the eigenfunctions themselves, whose boundary values at space infinities, are not compatible with this time evolution. Therefore, one introduces time-dependent eigenfunctions

$$(3.130) \quad \Phi(x, t) = e^{iA_\infty t} \cdot \phi(x, t), \quad \bar{\Phi}(x, t) = e^{iB_\infty t} \cdot \bar{\phi}(x, t),$$

$$(3.131) \quad \Psi(x, t) = e^{iC_\infty t} \cdot \psi(x, t), \quad \bar{\Psi}(x, t) = e^{iD_\infty t} \cdot \bar{\psi}(x, t)$$

to be solutions of (3.116). We have

$$(3.132) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = iA_\infty \Phi_1(x, t) + e^{iA_\infty t} \frac{\partial \phi_1(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_2(x, t)}{\partial t} = iA_\infty \Phi_2(x, t) + e^{iA_\infty t} \frac{\partial \phi_2(x, t)}{\partial t},$$

$$(3.133) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = iB_\infty \bar{\Phi}_1(x, t) + e^{iB_\infty t} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_1(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_2(x, t)}{\partial t} = iB_\infty \bar{\Phi}_2(x, t) + e^{iB_\infty t} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_2(x, t)}{\partial t},$$

$$(3.134) \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = iC_\infty \Psi_1(x, t) + e^{iC_\infty t} \frac{\partial \psi_1(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_2(x, t)}{\partial t} = iC_\infty \Psi_2(x, t) + e^{iC_\infty t} \frac{\partial \psi_2(x, t)}{\partial t},$$

$$(3.135) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Psi}_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = iD_\infty \bar{\Psi}_1(x, t) + e^{iD_\infty t} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}_1(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Psi}_2(x, t)}{\partial t} = iD_\infty \bar{\Psi}_2(x, t) + e^{iD_\infty t} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}_2(x, t)}{\partial t}.$$

We recall that

$$(3.136) \quad \phi(x, t) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_-)} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda x}, \text{ so that } \frac{\partial \phi(x, t)}{\partial t} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\alpha q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_-)} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .

From

$$(3.137) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial t} \sim \frac{i\alpha}{2} \Phi_1(x, t) + \frac{\alpha}{2k} \frac{\partial \Phi_1(x, t)}{\partial x} = iA_\infty \Phi_1(x, t) + e^{iA_\infty t} \frac{\partial \phi_1(x, t)}{\partial t}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , we can deduce

$$(3.138) \quad A_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2k}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.139) \quad B_\infty = -\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2k},$$

$$(3.140) \quad C_\infty = -\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2k},$$

$$(3.141) \quad D_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2k}.$$

Then

$$(3.142) \quad \frac{\partial \phi(x, k)}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A - iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A - iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \phi(x, k),$$

$$(3.143) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}(x, k)}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A + iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A + iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(x, k),$$

$$(3.144) \quad \frac{\partial \psi(x, k)}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A + iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A + iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \psi(x, k),$$

$$(3.145) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}(x, k)}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A - iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A - iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}(x, k).$$

Recall that

$$(3.146) \quad \phi(x, t) = b(t)\psi(x, t) + a(t)\bar{\psi}(x, t)$$

and

$$(3.147) \quad \bar{\phi}(x, t) = \bar{a}(t)\psi(x, t) + \bar{b}(t)\bar{\psi}(x, t),$$

we have

$$(3.148) \quad \begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} A - iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A - iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} [b\psi + a\bar{\psi}] \\ &= b_t\psi + b \begin{pmatrix} A + iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A + iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \psi + a_t\bar{\psi} + a \begin{pmatrix} A - iA_\infty & B \\ C & -A - iA_\infty \end{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.149) \quad \frac{\partial a(t)}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial b(t)}{\partial t} = -2iA_\infty b(t).$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$(3.150) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{a}(t)}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{b}(t)}{\partial t} = 2iA_\infty \bar{b}(t).$$

Therefore, both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent, and

$$(3.151) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t},$$

and

$$(3.152) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t}.$$

Then we have

$$(3.153) \quad b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}, t) = \delta i e^{-\frac{i\alpha t}{\cos \theta_+}} \cdot e^{-i\theta_+}$$

and

$$(3.154) \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}, t) = -\delta i e^{\frac{i\alpha t}{\cos \theta_+}} \cdot e^{i\theta_+}.$$

**3.14. Pure 1-Soliton Solution.** With  $J = 1$ , and  $b(\xi, t) = 0$  (reflectionless potential) we have from (3.85)

$$(3.155) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} \left[ 1 - \frac{2i \sin \theta_+ \cdot b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}) e^{-2q_0 x \sin \theta_+}}{q_0 e^{2i\theta_+}} \cdot N_1(x, q_0 e^{i\theta_+}, t) \right].$$

Solving the corresponding discrete system (3.86) with  $J = 1$

$$(3.156) \quad N_1(x, q_0 e^{i\theta_+}, t) = \frac{-iq_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} - \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}) q_0 e^{i\theta_+} e^{-2q_0 x \sin \theta_+}}{1 - b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}) \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}) e^{-4q_0 x \sin \theta_+}}.$$

Note that  $\delta$  can be chosen as either 1 or  $-1$ , when  $\delta = 1$ , there is a nonsingular pure "dark" 1-soliton solution (see the following); when  $\delta = -1$ , we find a pure

”bright” 1-soliton solution, which is singular along a space-time line (see \*\*Section 10). When  $\delta = 1$ , it yields

(3.157)

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, t) &= q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} \left[ 1 + \frac{2 \sin \theta_+ \cdot e^{-\frac{i\alpha t}{\cos \theta_+} \cdot e^{-i\theta_+}} \cdot e^{-2q_0 x \sin \theta_+}}{q_0 e^{2i\theta_+}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \frac{-iq_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} + ie^{\frac{i\alpha t}{\cos \theta_+} \cdot e^{i\theta_+}} q_0 e^{i\theta_+} \cdot e^{-2q_0 x \sin \theta_+}}{1 - e^{-2\alpha t \cdot \tan \theta_+} \cdot e^{-4q_0 x \sin \theta_+}} \right] \\ &= q_0 e^{i\alpha t} \cos \left( \theta_+ - iq_0 x \sin \theta_+ - \frac{i}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \operatorname{sech} \left( q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.158) \quad s(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \cdot \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \sin \theta_+ \tan \theta_+.$$

We see that  $s \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . This solution is not singular because  $e^{q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+} > 0$ . In Fig. 1 below we give a typical dark 1-soliton solution. Note also, when  $\theta_+ = \pi/2$  then the solution becomes elementary.




FIGURE 1. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . \*

#### 4. NONLOCAL SINH-GORDON EQUATION WITH $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$

In this section we consider the nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) given above in (1.8) and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . With this condition, equation (2.7) conveniently reduces to

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x^2} = -(k^2 + q_0^2)v_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Each of the two equations has two linearly independent solutions  $e^{i\lambda x}$  and  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2}$ . We introduce the local polar coordinates

$$(4.2) \quad k - iq_0 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta_1 < \frac{3\pi}{2},$$

$$(4.3) \quad k + iq_0 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta_2 < \frac{3\pi}{2},$$

where  $r_1 = |k - iq_0|$  and  $r_2 = |k + iq_0|$ . One can write  $\lambda(k) = (r_1 r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{i \cdot \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2} + im\pi}$ , and  $m = 0, 1$  respectively on sheets I ( $\mathbb{K}_1$ ) and II ( $\mathbb{K}_2$ ). The variable  $k$  is then thought of as belonging to a Riemann surface  $\mathbb{K}$  consisting of sheets I and II with both coinciding with the complex plane cut along  $\Sigma := [-iq_0, iq_0]$  with its edges glued in such a way that  $\lambda(k)$  is continuous through the cut. Along the real  $k$  axis, we have  $\lambda(k) = \pm \text{sign}(k) \sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2}$ , where the plus/minus signs apply, respectively, on sheet I and sheet II of the Riemann surface, and where the square root sign denotes the principal branch of the real-valued square root function. We denote  $\mathbb{C}^\pm$  the open upper/lower complex half planes, and  $\mathbb{K}^\pm$  the open upper/lower complex half planes cut along  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\lambda$  provides one-to-one correspondences between the following sets:

1.  $k \in \mathbb{K}^+ = \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus (0, iq_0]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,
2.  $k \in \partial\mathbb{K}^+ = \mathbb{R} \cup \{is - 0^+ : 0 < s < q_0\} \cup \{iq_0\} \cup \{is + 0^+ : 0 < s < q_0\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
3.  $k \in \mathbb{K}^- = \mathbb{C}^- \setminus [-iq_0, 0)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^-$ ,
4.  $k \in \partial\mathbb{K}^- = \mathbb{R} \cup \{is - 0^+ : -q_0 < s < 0\} \cup \{-iq_0\} \cup \{is + 0^+ : -q_0 < s < 0\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover,  $\lambda^\pm(k)$  will denote the boundary values taken by  $\lambda(k)$  for  $k \in \Sigma$  from the right/left edge of the cut, with  $\lambda^\pm(k) = \pm \sqrt{q_0^2 - |k|^2}$ ,  $k = is \pm 0^+$ ,  $|s| < q_0$  on the right/left edge of the cut (See [16], Page 30, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

**4.1. Eigenfunctions.** It is natural to introduce the eigenfunctions defined by the following boundary conditions

$$(4.4) \quad \phi(x, k) \sim w e^{-i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\phi}(x, k) \sim \bar{w} e^{i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad \psi(x, k) \sim v e^{i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) \sim \bar{v} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . We substitute the above into (2.7), obtaining

$$(4.6) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{w} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.7) \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the boundary conditions, which are not unique.

In the following analysis, it is convenient to consider functions with constant boundary conditions. We define the bounded eigenfunctions as follows:

$$(4.8) \quad M(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \phi(x, k), \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \bar{\phi}(x, k),$$

$$(4.9) \quad N(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \psi(x, k), \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \bar{\psi}(x, k).$$

The eigenfunctions can be represented by means of the following integral equations

$$(4.10) \quad M(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(4.12) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_+(x - x', k)((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k)dx',$$

$$(4.13) \quad \overline{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{G}_+(x - x', k)((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k)dx'.$$

Using the Fourier transform method, we get

$$(4.14) \quad G_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda}[(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(4.15) \quad \overline{G}_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda}[(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(4.16) \quad G_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda}[(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

$$(4.17) \quad \overline{G}_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda}[(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.,  $\theta(x) = 1$  if  $x > 0$  and  $\theta(x) = 0$  if  $x < 0$ .

**Definition 4.1.** We say  $f \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  if  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| \cdot (1 + |x|)dx < \infty$ .

Then, using similar methods as in the prior case ( $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ ), we find the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose the entries of  $Q - Q_{\pm}$  belong to  $L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ , then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the eigenfunctions  $M(x, k)$  and  $N(x, k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}^+} \cup \partial\overline{\mathbb{K}^-}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}^+$ ,  $\overline{M}(x, k)$  and  $\overline{N}(x, k)$  are continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}^-} \cup \partial\overline{\mathbb{K}^+}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}^-$ .*

The proof makes use of Neumann series; it is similar to [16].

4.1.1. *Scattering data.* We have

$$(4.18) \quad \phi(x, k) = b(k)\psi(x, k) + a(k)\overline{\psi}(x, k)$$

and

$$(4.19) \quad \overline{\phi}(x, k) = \overline{a}(k)\psi(x, k) + \overline{b}(k)\overline{\psi}(x, k)$$

hold for any  $k$  such that all four eigenfunctions exist. Moreover,

$$(4.20) \quad a(k)\overline{a}(k) - b(k)\overline{b}(k) = 1,$$

where

$$(4.21) \quad a(k) = \frac{W(\phi(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)}, \quad \overline{a}(k) = -\frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)},$$

$$(4.22) \quad b(k) = -\frac{W(\phi(x, k), \overline{\psi}(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)}, \quad \overline{b}(k) = \frac{W(\overline{\phi}(x, k), \psi(x, k))}{2\lambda(\lambda + k)}.$$

When  $k \in (-iq_0, iq_0)$ , the above scattering data and eigenfunctions are defined by means of the corresponding values on the right/left edge of the cut, are labeled with superscripts  $\pm$  as clarified below. Explicitly, one has

$$(4.23) \quad a^{\pm}(k) = \frac{W(\phi^{\pm}(x, k), \psi^{\pm}(x, k))}{2\lambda^{\pm}(\lambda^{\pm} + k)}, \quad k \in (-iq_0, iq_0),$$

$$(4.24) \quad \bar{a}^\pm(k) = -\frac{W(\bar{\phi}^\pm(x, k), \bar{\psi}^\pm(x, k))}{2\lambda^\pm(\lambda^\pm + k)}, \quad k \in (-iq_0, iq_0),$$

$$(4.25) \quad b^\pm(k) = -\frac{W(\phi^\pm(x, k), \bar{\psi}^\pm(x, k))}{2\lambda^\pm(\lambda^\pm + k)}, \quad k \in (-iq_0, iq_0),$$

$$(4.26) \quad \bar{b}^\pm(k) = \frac{W(\bar{\phi}^\pm(x, k), \psi^\pm(x, k))}{2\lambda^\pm(\lambda^\pm + k)}, \quad k \in (-iq_0, iq_0).$$

Then from the analytic behavior of the eigenfunctions we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose the entries of  $Q - Q_\pm$  belong to  $L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $a(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}^+} \cup \partial\overline{\mathbb{K}^-} \setminus \{\pm iq_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}^+$ , and  $\bar{a}(k)$  is continuous for  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}^-} \cup \partial\overline{\mathbb{K}^+} \setminus \{\pm iq_0\}$  and analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}^-$ . Moreover,  $b(k)$  and  $\bar{b}(k)$  are continuous in  $k \in \mathbb{R} \cup (-iq_0, iq_0)$ . In addition, if the entries of  $Q - Q_\pm$  do not grow faster than  $e^{-ax^2}$ , where  $a$  is a positive real number, then  $a(k)\lambda(k)$ ,  $\bar{a}(k)\lambda(k)$ ,  $b(k)\lambda(k)$  and  $\bar{b}(k)\lambda(k)$  are analytic for  $k \in \mathbb{K}$ .*

The proof makes use of Neumann series; it is similar to [16].

**4.2. Symmetry reductions.** The symmetry in the potential induces a symmetry between the eigenfunctions. Indeed, if  $v(x, k) = (v_1(x, k), v_2(x, k))^T$  solves (2.2), then  $(v_2(-x, k), -v_1(-x, k))^T$  also solves (2.2). Taking into account boundary conditions, we can obtain

$$(4.27) \quad \psi(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, k)$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, k).$$

Similarly, we can get the symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$(4.29) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, k)$$

and

$$(4.30) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, k).$$

From the Wronskian representations for the scattering data and the above symmetry relations, we have

$$(4.31) \quad \bar{b}(k) = b(k).$$

**4.3. Uniformization coordinates.** Similarly, we introduce a uniformization variable  $z$ , defined by the conformal mapping:

$$(4.32) \quad z = z(k) = k + \lambda(k),$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2}$  and the inverse mapping is given by

$$(4.33) \quad k = k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

Then

$$(4.34) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

We let  $C_0$  be the circle of radius  $q_0$  centered at the origin in  $z$ -plane. We observe that

(1) The branch cut on either sheet is mapped onto  $C_0$ . In particular,  $z(\pm iq_0) = \pm iq_0$  from either sheet,  $z(0_I^\pm) = \pm q_0$  and  $z(0_{II}^\pm) = \mp q_0$ .

(2)  $\mathbb{K}_1$  is mapped onto the exterior of  $C_0$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_2$  is mapped onto the interior of  $C_0$ . In particular,  $z(\infty_I) = \infty$  and  $z(\infty_{II}) = 0$ ; the first/second quadrants of  $\mathbb{K}_1$  are mapped into the first/second quadrants outside  $C_0$  respectively; the first/second quadrants of  $\mathbb{K}_2$  are mapped into the second/first quadrants inside  $C_0$  respectively;  $z_I z_{II} = q_0^2$ .

(3) The regions in  $k$ -plane such that  $\Im \lambda > 0$  and  $\Im \lambda < 0$  are mapped onto  $D^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - q_0^2) \cdot \Im z > 0\}$  and  $D^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - q_0^2) \cdot \Im z < 0\}$  respectively (See [16], Page 36, Fig. 11).

Then we have that the eigenfunctions  $M, N$  are analytic for  $z \in D^+$  and the eigenfunctions  $\bar{M}, \bar{N}$  are analytic for in  $z \in D^-$ .

**4.4. Symmetries via uniformization coordinates.** From the above eigenfunction symmetry relations, we have

$$(4.35) \quad \psi(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, z),$$

$$(4.36) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, z).$$

Further, from  $z \rightarrow -\frac{q_0^2}{z}$ , then  $(k, \lambda) \rightarrow (k, -\lambda)$ . Hence,

$$(4.37) \quad \phi \left( x, -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{q_0^2}{z} \bar{\phi}(x, z), \quad \psi \left( x, -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{-iq_+}{z} \bar{\psi}(x, z), \quad z \in D^-.$$

Similarly, we can get

$$(4.38) \quad N(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, z),$$

$$(4.39) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, z),$$

$$(4.40) \quad \bar{b}(z) = b(z),$$

$$(4.41) \quad a \left( -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = -e^{2i\theta_+} \bar{a}(z), \quad z \in D^-; \quad b \left( -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = -\bar{b}(z).$$

**4.5. Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data.** In order to solve the inverse problem, one has to determine the asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data both as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathbb{K}_1$  and as  $z \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{K}_2$ . We have

$$(4.42) \quad M(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_-} \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.43) \quad N(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_-} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.44) \quad \overline{M}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_+} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.45) \quad \overline{N}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_+} \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.46) \quad a(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ -e^{2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.47) \quad \overline{a}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ -e^{-2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.48) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zb(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

#### 4.6. Riemann-Hilbert problem via uniformization coordinates.

4.6.1. *Left scattering problem.* In order to take into account the behavior of the eigenfunctions, the ‘jump’ conditions at  $\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma := (-\infty, -q_0) \cup (q_0, +\infty) \cup \overrightarrow{(q_0, -q_0)} \cup \{q_0 e^{i\theta}, \pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}_{\text{clockwise, upper circle}} \cup \{q_0 e^{i\theta}, -\pi \leq \theta \leq 0\}_{\text{anticlockwise, lower circle}}$ , can be written as

$$(4.49) \quad \frac{M(x, z)}{za(z)} - \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z} = \rho(z) e^{i(z + \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{N(x, z)}{z}$$

and

$$(4.50) \quad \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \frac{N(x, z)}{z} = \overline{\rho}(z) e^{-i(z + \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z},$$

so that the functions will be bounded at infinity, though having an additional pole at  $z = 0$ . Note that  $M(x, z)/a(z)$ , as a function of  $z$ , is defined in  $D^+$ , where it has

simple poles  $z_j$ , i.e.,  $a(z_j) = 0$ , and  $\overline{M}(x, z)/\overline{a}(z)$ , is defined in  $D^-$ , where it has simple poles  $\overline{z}_j$ , i.e.,  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j) = 0$ . It follows that

$$(4.51) \quad M(x, z_j) = b(z_j)e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)$$

and

$$(4.52) \quad \overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j) = \overline{b}(\overline{z}_j)e^{-i(\overline{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x} \cdot \overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j).$$

Then subtracting the values at infinity, the induced pole at the origin and the poles, assumed simple in  $D^+/D^-$  respectively, at  $a(z_j) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, J$  and  $\overline{a}(\overline{z}_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, \overline{J}$  gives

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{M(x, z)}{za(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{M(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j)e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \right] \\ & = \rho(z)e^{i(z + \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{N(x, z)}{z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\overline{M}(x, z)}{z\overline{a}(z)} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{\overline{M}(x, \overline{z}_j)}{(z - \overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & - \left[ \frac{N(x, z)}{z} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{J}} \frac{\overline{b}(\overline{z}_j)e^{-i(\overline{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\overline{z}_j})x} \cdot \overline{N}(x, \overline{z}_j)}{(z - \overline{z}_j)\overline{z}_j \overline{a}'(\overline{z}_j)} \right] \\ & = \overline{\rho}(z)e^{-i(z + \frac{q_0^2}{z})x} \cdot \frac{\overline{N}(x, z)}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce the projection operators

$$(4.55) \quad P_{\pm}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - (z \pm i0)} d\xi,$$

where  $z$  lies in the  $\pm$  regions and  $\Sigma := (-\infty, -q_0) \cup (q_0, +\infty) \cup \overline{(q_0, -q_0)} \cup \{q_0 e^{i\theta}, \pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$  *clockwise, upper circle*  $\cup \{q_0 e^{i\theta}, -\pi \leq \theta \leq 0\}$  *anticlockwise, lower circle*.

If  $f_{\pm}(\xi)$  is analytic in  $D^{\pm}$  and  $f_{\pm}(\xi)$  is decaying at large  $\xi$ , then

$$(4.56) \quad P_{\pm}(f_{\pm})(z) = \pm f_{\pm}(z), \quad P_{\mp}(f_{\pm})(z) = 0.$$

Applying  $P_-$  to (4.53) and  $P_+$  to (4.54), we can obtain

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{N}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot b(z_j)e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &+ \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{aligned} N(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \bar{z}_j)}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could solve the right scattering problem, which reads

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{M}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) M(x, z_j) e^{-i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot M(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.60) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot b(\bar{z}_j) \bar{M}(x, \bar{z}_j) e^{i(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}(x, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**4.7. Recovery of the potentials.** Note that

$$(4.61) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim \frac{q(x)}{q_+}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$(4.62) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(4.63) \quad q(x) = q_+ \cdot \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \right].$$

4.8. **Closing the system.** Similarly, we can get  $J = \bar{J}$  from  $a\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = -e^{2i\theta} \bar{a}(z)$ . Combining the above integral equations, we have

$$(4.64) \quad \begin{pmatrix} N_1(x, z) \\ N_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i\left(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j}\right)x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i\left(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l}\right)x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i\left(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l}\right)x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot N_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i\left(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l}\right)x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i\left(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i\left(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l}\right)x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i\left(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta}\right)x} \cdot N_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{pmatrix} d\xi \end{pmatrix} d\xi,$$

$$(4.65) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_1(x, z) \\ \bar{M}_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) e^{-i\left(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i\left(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l}\right)x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i\left(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l}\right)x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i\left(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi}\right)x} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i\left(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l}\right)x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta^*)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i\left(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta}\right)x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i\left(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l}\right)x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta^*)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i\left(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta}\right)x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{pmatrix} d\xi \end{pmatrix} d\xi.$$

We can reconstruct the potential from (4.63).

4.9. **Trace formula.** In a similar manner to the prior section, we can get the Trace formula as follows.

$$(4.66) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 + b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^+$$

and

$$(4.67) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 + b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^-.$$

**4.10. Discrete scattering data and their symmetries.** In order to find reflectionless potentials and solitons we need to be able to calculate the relevant discrete scattering data. The coefficients

$$b(z_j) \text{ and } \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, J.$$

can be calculated in the same way as in previous section, finding

$$(4.68) \quad \bar{b}(z_j) = b(z_j) = \pm i, \quad b(\bar{z}_j) = \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) = \pm i.$$

Since  $a(z) \sim -e^{2i\theta_+}$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , from the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , we have the following constraint for the reflectionless potentials

$$(4.69) \quad \prod_{j=1}^J z_j = \pm (-1)^{\frac{J+1}{2}} q_0^J e^{i\theta_+}.$$

We claim that  $J \geq 2$ . Otherwise, if  $J = 1$ , then  $z_1 = \pm q_0 e^{i\theta_+}$ . It implies that the eigenvalue  $z_1$  lies on the circle, which in this case is the continuous spectrum. Such eigenvalues are not proper; they are not considered here.

**4.11. Reflectionless scattering data 2-eigenvalues.** In this subsection, we consider scattering data associated with 2-eigenvalues; i.e.  $J = 2$ , with no reflection. Note that  $|z_1| \cdot |z_2| = q_0^2$ . Now let  $\pi < \theta_+ < \frac{3\pi}{2}$  and  $z_1 = q_1 e^{i\theta_1}$ , where  $q_1 > q_0$  and  $0 < \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $z_2 = \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}$ , where  $\pi < \arg z_2 < 2\pi$ . By the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$(4.70) \quad a(z) = \frac{z - q_1 e^{i\theta_1}}{z + \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{-i\theta_1}} \cdot \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}}{z + q_1 e^{-i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}}$$

and

$$(4.71) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \frac{z + \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{-i\theta_1}}{z - q_1 e^{i\theta_1}} \cdot \frac{z + q_1 e^{-i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})}}.$$

Then

$$(4.72) \quad a'(q_1 e^{i\theta_1}) = \frac{q_0^2 e^{2i\theta_+} + i q_1^2 e^{(\theta_+ + 2\theta_1)i}}{q_1 e^{i\theta_1} (1 + i e^{i\theta_+}) (q_0^2 + q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1})},$$

$$(4.73) \quad a' \left( \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})} \right) = \frac{q_1}{q_0^2} e^{i(\theta_+ + \theta_1)} \cdot \frac{-q_0^2 e^{i\theta_+} - i q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1}}{(1 + i e^{i\theta_+}) (-q_0^2 e^{2i\theta_+} + q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1})},$$

$$(4.74) \quad \bar{a}' \left( -\frac{q_0^2}{q_1} e^{-i\theta_1} \right) = \frac{-i q_1 (-i q_0^2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_+)} + q_1^2 e^{3i\theta_1})}{q_0^2 e^{i\theta_+} (1 + i e^{i\theta_+}) (q_0^2 + q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1})},$$

$$(4.75) \quad \bar{a}' \left( -q_1 e^{-i(\theta_+ - \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2})} \right) = \frac{-q_0^2 e^{2i\theta_+} - i q_1^2 e^{i(2\theta_1 + \theta_+)}}{q_1 e^{i\theta_1} (1 + i e^{i\theta_+}) (q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1} - q_0^2 e^{2i\theta_+})}.$$

Moreover, from the symmetry relation

$$(4.76) \quad b \left( -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = -\bar{b}(z),$$

we obtain

$$(4.77) \quad \bar{b}\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{-i\theta_1}\right) = -b(q_1e^{i\theta_1}), \quad \bar{b}\left(-q_1e^{-i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}\right) = -b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}\right).$$

Since  $b(q_1e^{i\theta_1}) = \delta_1i$ ,  $b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}\right) = \delta_2i$ , where  $\delta_1 = \pm 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \pm 1$ , we get

$$(4.78) \quad \bar{b}\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{-i\theta_1}\right) = -\delta_1i, \quad \bar{b}\left(-q_1e^{-i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}\right) = -\delta_2i.$$

In particular, we can choose  $z_1 = iq_1$ ,  $z_2 = -i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}$  and  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $\bar{z}_1 = i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}$ ,  $\bar{z}_2 = -iq_1$  and  $z_1z_2 = q_0^2$ . Thus,

$$(4.79) \quad a(z) = \frac{z - iq_1}{z - i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}} \cdot \frac{z + i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}{z + iq_1}$$

and

$$(4.80) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \frac{z - i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}{z - iq_1} \cdot \frac{z + iq_1}{z + i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}.$$

We have

$$(4.81) \quad a'(iq_1) = \frac{-i(q_1^2 + q_0^2)}{2q_1(q_1^2 - q_0^2)}, \quad a'\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = \frac{iq_1(q_0^2 + q_1^2)}{2q_0^2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)},$$

$$(4.82) \quad \bar{a}'\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = -\frac{iq_1(q_0^2 + q_1^2)}{2q_0^2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)}, \quad \bar{a}'(-iq_1) = \frac{i(q_1^2 + q_0^2)}{2q_1(q_1^2 - q_0^2)}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.83) \quad b(iq_1) = \delta_1i, \quad b\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = \delta_2i, \quad \bar{b}\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = -\delta_1i, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1) = -\delta_2i.$$

**4.12. Time evolution.** In the same manner as in Section 3 we can deduce both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent and

$$(4.84) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t} = b(z; 0)e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 - q_0^2}t},$$

and

$$(4.85) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t} = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 - q_0^2}t}.$$

Thus,

$$(4.86) \quad b(q_1e^{i\theta_1}; t) = \delta_1i \cdot e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1} - q_0^2}t}, \quad b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}; t\right) = \delta_2i \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 e^{2i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})} + q_1^2}t},$$

(4.87)

$$\bar{b}\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}e^{-i\theta_1}; t\right) = -\delta_1i \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 q_1^2 i}{q_0^4 e^{-2i\theta_1} - q_0^2 q_1^2}t}, \quad \bar{b}\left(-q_1e^{-i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})}\right) = -\delta_2i \cdot e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_1^2 e^{2i(\theta_+-\theta_1+\frac{\pi}{2})} + q_0^2}t}.$$

In particular,

$$(4.88) \quad b(iq_1; t) = \delta_1i \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t}, \quad b\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_2i \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_1^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t},$$

$$(4.89) \quad \bar{b}\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = -\delta_1 i \cdot e^{\frac{2\alpha q_1^2 i}{q_0^2+q_1^2}t}, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1; t) = -\delta_2 i \cdot e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2+q_1^2}t}.$$

**4.13. Pure 2-Soliton Solution.** With  $J = 2$ , and  $b(\xi, t) = 0$  (reflectionless potential), solving the corresponding discrete system (4.64) and from (4.63), one yields nonsingular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ .

(i) When  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,

$$(4.90) \quad \begin{aligned} q(x, t) = & \left( i \cdot e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot x^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\ & - 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\ & + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\ & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2)((q_0^2 + q_1^2)x + iq_1 \alpha t)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\ & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} + 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We take  $t = 0$ , if the above solution is singular, then

$(q_0^2 + q_1^2)(e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + e^{2q_1 x}}) = 4q_0 q_1 e^{q_1 x + \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} x}$ , but  $(q_0^2 + q_1^2)(e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + e^{2q_1 x}}) > 4q_0 q_1 e^{q_1 x + \frac{q_0^2}{q_1} x}$  since  $q_1 > q_0$ . Hence,  $q(x, 0)$  is nonsingular. Similarly, we can deduce  $q(x, t)$  is nonsingular.

(ii) When  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ ,

$$(4.91) \quad \begin{aligned} q(x, t) = & \left( i \cdot e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\ & - 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\ & - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\ & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2)((q_0^2 + q_1^2)x + iq_1 \alpha t)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\ & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} + 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have the above 2-soliton solution, which is also nonsingular.

In Fig. 2 below we give a nonsingular 2-soliton solution.

When  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ , we find the 2-soliton solutions are singular along certain space-time lines (See Section 10).




FIGURE 2. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

## 5. NONLOCAL SINE-GORDON EQUATION

The nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation

$$(5.1) \quad q_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)q(x, t) = 0,$$

where  $s_x(x, t) = (q(x, t)q(-x, -t))_t$ ,  $s(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $s(-x, -t) = s(x, t)$ , is associated with the following  $2 \times 2$  compatible systems:

$$(5.2) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q(x, t) \\ -q(-x, -t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

$$(5.3) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} & -\frac{iq_t(x, t)}{2k} \\ -\frac{iq_t(-x, -t)}{2k} & \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} \end{pmatrix} v,$$

where  $q(x, t)$  is a complex-valued function of the real variables  $x$  and  $t$  with the corresponding linear operators given by

$$(5.4) \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q(x, t) \\ -q(-x, -t) & ik \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} & -\frac{iq_t(x, t)}{2k} \\ -\frac{iq_t(-x, -t)}{2k} & \frac{is(x, t)}{2k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Alternatively the space part of the compatible system may be written in the form

$$(5.5) \quad v_x = (ikJ + Q)v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$(5.6) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(x, t) \\ -q(-x, -t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $q(x, t)$  is called the potential and  $k$  is a complex spectral parameter.

As  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , the eigenfunctions of the scattering problem asymptotically satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_\pm)} \\ -q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_\mp)} & ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$(5.8) \quad v_x = (ikJ + Q_{\pm}(t))v, \quad Q_{\pm}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_{\pm})} \\ -q_0 e^{i(-\alpha t + \theta_{\mp})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 6. NONLOCAL SINE-GORDON EQUATION WITH $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$

In this section, we consider the nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) given above in (1.8) and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . With this condition, equation (5.7) conveniently reduces to

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x^2} = -(k^2 - q_0^2)v_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Each of the two equations has two linearly independent solutions  $e^{i\lambda x}$  and  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - q_0^2}$ . This is similar to Case 1; Section 3.

**6.1. Eigenfunctions.** Introducing the eigenfunctions defined by the following boundary conditions

$$(6.2) \quad \phi(x, k) \sim w e^{-i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\phi}(x, k) \sim \bar{w} e^{i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$(6.3) \quad \psi(x, k) \sim v e^{i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) \sim \bar{v} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and substituting into (2.7), one obtains

$$(6.4) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{w} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(6.5) \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the boundary conditions, which are not unique.

To consider functions with constant boundary conditions, we define the bounded eigenfunctions as follows:

$$(6.6) \quad M(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \phi(x, k), \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \bar{\phi}(x, k),$$

$$(6.7) \quad N(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \psi(x, k), \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \bar{\psi}(x, k).$$

The eigenfunctions can be represented by means of the following integral equations

$$(6.8) \quad M(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(6.9) \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(6.10) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)N)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(6.11) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)N)(x', k) dx'.$$

Using the Fourier transform method, we get

$$(6.12) \quad G_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(6.13) \quad \overline{G}_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(6.14) \quad G_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

$$(6.15) \quad \overline{G}_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.,  $\theta(x) = 1$  if  $x > 0$  and  $\theta(x) = 0$  if  $x < 0$ .

The analyticity of eigenfunctions and definitions of scattering data are the same as Case 1, Section 3.

**6.2. Symmetry reductions.** Taking into account boundary conditions, we can obtain

$$(6.16) \quad \psi(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, k)$$

and

$$(6.17) \quad \overline{\psi}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\phi}(-x, k).$$

Similarly, we can get the symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$(6.18) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, k)$$

and

$$(6.19) \quad \overline{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{M}(-x, k).$$

Moreover,

$$(6.20) \quad \overline{b}(k) = -b(k).$$

**6.3. Uniformization coordinates.** We introduce a uniformization variable  $z$ , defined by the conformal mapping:

$$(6.21) \quad z = z(k) = k + \lambda(k),$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - q_0^2}$  and the inverse mapping is given by

$$(6.22) \quad k = k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

Then

$$(6.23) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

**6.4. Symmetries via uniformization coordinates.** From the above eigenfunction symmetries, we have

$$(6.24) \quad \psi(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, z),$$

$$(6.25) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, z).$$

Further, when  $z \rightarrow \frac{q_0^2}{z}$ , then  $(k, \lambda) \rightarrow (k, -\lambda)$  we have

$$(6.26) \quad \phi\left(x, \frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = \frac{q_0^2}{-iz} \bar{\phi}(x, z), \quad \psi\left(x, \frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = \frac{-iq_+}{z} \bar{\psi}(x, z), \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Similarly, we can get

$$(6.27) \quad N(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, z),$$

$$(6.28) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, z),$$

$$(6.29) \quad \bar{b}(z) = -b(z),$$

$$(6.30) \quad a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = -e^{2i\theta_+} \bar{a}(z), \quad \Im z < 0; \quad b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = \bar{b}(z).$$

**6.5. Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data.** In order to solve the inverse problem, one has to determine the asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data both as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . From the integral equations (in terms of Green's functions), we have

$$(6.31) \quad M(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_-} \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.32) \quad N(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_-} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.33) \quad \bar{M}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_+} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.34) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_+} \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.35) \quad a(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ -e^{2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.36) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ -e^{-2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.37) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zb(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

**6.6. Left and right scattering problems.** Using similar methods in Case 1, we find

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N(x, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} N(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \bar{z}_j)}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{M}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) M(x, z_j) e^{-i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot M(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.41) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot b(\bar{z}_j) \bar{M}(x, \bar{z}_j) e^{i(\bar{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}(x, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**6.7. Recovery of the potentials.** In order to reconstruct the potential, we use asymptotics. Note that

$$(6.42) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim \frac{q(x)}{q_+}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$(6.43) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we have

(6.44)

$$q(x) = q_+ \cdot \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \right].$$

**6.8. Closing the system.** We can find  $J = \bar{J}$  from  $a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = -e^{2i\theta_+} \bar{a}(z)$ . Combining the above integral equations, we have

(6.45)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} N_1(x, z) \\ N_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\bar{z}_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &-iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &- \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ &-iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l) z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{aligned} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \end{pmatrix} d\xi,$$

(6.46)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_1(x, z) \\ \bar{M}_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) e^{-i(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &z_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &-iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &+ \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi - \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \\ &\left( \begin{aligned} &\xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ &-iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l - \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l) \bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta - \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{aligned} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \end{pmatrix} d\xi.$$

We can reconstruct the potential from (6.44).

**6.9. Trace formula.** Using  $\bar{b}(z) = -b(z)$  and following the analysis in Case 1, we obtain

$$(6.47) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 - b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z > 0,$$

$$(6.48) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1 - b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad \Im z < 0.$$

Since  $a(z) \sim -e^{2i\theta_+}$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$  from the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in the real axis, we have the following constraint for the reflectionless potentials

$$(6.49) \quad \prod_{j=1}^J z_j = iq_0^J e^{i\theta_+}$$

or

$$(6.50) \quad \prod_{j=1}^J z_j = -iq_0^J e^{i\theta_+}.$$

**6.10. Discrete scattering data and their symmetries.** In order to find reflectionless potentials/solitons, we calculate the relevant scattering data:

$$b(z_j) \text{ and } \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, J.$$

and

$$a'(z_j), \quad \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)$$

We calculate the former first, the latter functions can be calculated via the trace formulae.

Since

$$(6.51) \quad N_1(x, z) = M_2(-x, z), \quad N_2(x, z) = M_1(-x, z),$$

$$(6.52) \quad M_1(x, z_j) = b(z_j) e^{i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, z_j)$$

and

$$(6.53) \quad M_2(x, z_j) = b(z_j) e^{i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_2(x, z_j),$$

we have

$$(6.54) \quad N_1(x, z_j) = b(z_j) \cdot e^{-i\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_2(-x, z_j),$$

$$(6.55) \quad N_2(x, z_j) = b(z_j) \cdot e^{-\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(-x, z_j).$$

By rewriting (6.55), we obtain

$$(6.56) \quad N_2(-x, z_j) = b(z_j) \cdot e^{\left(z_j - \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}\right)x} \cdot N_1(x, z_j).$$

Combining (6.54), we can deduce the following symmetry condition on the discrete data  $b(z_j)$

$$(6.57) \quad b^2(z_j) = 1.$$

Similar analysis shows that  $\bar{b}(\bar{z}_j)$  satisfies an analogous equation

$$\bar{b}^2(\bar{z}_j) = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(6.58) \quad b(z_j) = \pm 1, \quad \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) = \pm 1.$$

By the symmetry relation

$$(6.59) \quad \bar{b}(z) = -b(z),$$

we have

$$(6.60) \quad \bar{b}(z_j) = -b(z_j), \quad b(\bar{z}_j) = -\bar{b}(\bar{z}_j).$$

When  $J = 1$  we may assume that  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_+ < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $z_1 = q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}$ . By the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in the real axis, we can get

$$(6.61) \quad a'(z_1) = a'(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) = \frac{1}{q_0 (e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})} - e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})})},$$

$$(6.62) \quad \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_1) = a'(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) = \frac{1}{q_0 (e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})} - e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})})}.$$

Moreover, from the symmetry relation

$$(6.63) \quad b\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = \bar{b}(z),$$

we have

$$(6.64) \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) = b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}).$$

For convenience, we assume  $b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) = \delta$ , then  $\bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) = \delta$ , where  $\delta = \pm 1$

**6.11. Time evolution.** From the time evolution of the eigenfunctions, we can deduce that  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent and

$$(6.65) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0) e^{-i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha \lambda}{k})t} = b(z; 0) e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 + q_0^2} t},$$

and

$$(6.66) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0) e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha \lambda}{k})t} = \bar{b}(z; 0) e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 + q_0^2} t}.$$

Then we can write

$$(6.67) \quad b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t) = \delta e^{\frac{2\alpha i t}{e^{2i\theta_+} - 1}}, \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t) = \delta e^{-\frac{2\alpha i t}{e^{-2i\theta_+} - 1}}.$$

**6.12. Pure 1-Soliton Solution.** With  $J = 1$  and  $b(\xi, t) = 0$ , we have from (6.44) and (6.45)

$$(6.68) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} \left[ 1 - \frac{2i \sin(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}) \cdot b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) e^{-2q_0 x \sin(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}}{q_0 e^{2i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}} \cdot N_1(x, q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}, t) \right],$$

where

$$(6.69) \quad N_1(x, q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}, t) = \frac{-i q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} - \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})} e^{-2q_0 x \sin(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}}{1 - b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}) e^{-4q_0 x \sin(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}}.$$

Note that  $\delta$  can be chosen either 1 or  $-1$ ; we find there is a nonsingular "dark" 1-soliton solution with  $\delta = -1$  (see the following), and there is a "bright" 1-soliton solution which is singular along a space-time line with  $\delta = 1$  (see the Section 10).

When  $\delta = -1$ , we obtain

$$(6.70) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i\alpha t} \left[ i \sin \theta_+ + \cos \theta_+ \tanh \left( q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{\alpha t}{2} \cot \theta_+ \right) \right]$$

and

$$(6.71) \quad s(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \cos \theta_+ \cot \theta_+ \operatorname{sech}^2 \left[ q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{\alpha t}{2} \cot \theta_+ \right].$$

We see that  $s(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . This solution is not singular because  $e^{q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{\alpha t}{2} \cot \theta_+} > 0$ . In Fig. 3 below we give a typical ‘dark’ 1-soliton solution.




FIGURE 3. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  for  $\delta = -1$  with  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  for  $\delta = -1$  with  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

## 7. NONLOCAL SINE-GORDON EQUATION WITH $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$

In this section, we consider the nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) given above in (1.8) and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ . With this condition, equation (5.7) conveniently reduces to

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x^2} = -(k^2 + q_0^2) v_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Each of the two equations has two linearly independent solutions  $e^{i\lambda x}$  and  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2}$ . This is similar to Case 2 discussed in Section 4.

**7.1. Eigenfunctions.** Introducing the eigenfunctions defined by the following boundary conditions

$$(7.2) \quad \phi(x, k) \sim w e^{-i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\phi}(x, k) \sim \bar{w} e^{i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$(7.3) \quad \psi(x, k) \sim v e^{i\lambda x}, \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) \sim \bar{v} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and substituting into (2.7), one obtains

$$(7.4) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{w} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(7.5) \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the boundary conditions, which are not unique.

Further, in order to consider functions with constant boundary conditions, we define the bounded eigenfunctions as follows:

$$(7.6) \quad M(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \phi(x, k), \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \bar{\phi}(x, k),$$

$$(7.7) \quad N(x, k) = e^{-i\lambda x} \psi(x, k), \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = e^{i\lambda x} \bar{\psi}(x, k).$$

The eigenfunctions can be represented by means of the following integral equations

$$(7.8) \quad M(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(7.9) \quad \bar{M}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_-(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_-)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(7.10) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ \lambda + k \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k) dx',$$

$$(7.11) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + k \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{G}_+(x - x', k) ((Q - Q_+)M)(x', k) dx'.$$

Using the Fourier transform method, we get

$$(7.12) \quad G_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(7.13) \quad \bar{G}_-(x, k) = \frac{\theta(x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_-)],$$

$$(7.14) \quad G_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{-2i\lambda x})\lambda I + i(e^{-2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

$$(7.15) \quad \bar{G}_+(x, k) = -\frac{\theta(-x)}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda x})\lambda I - i(e^{2i\lambda x} - 1)(ikJ + Q_+)],$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.,  $\theta(x) = 1$  if  $x > 0$  and  $\theta(x) = 0$  if  $x < 0$ .

The analyticity of eigenfunctions and definitions of scattering data are the same as Case 2, Section 4.

**7.2. Symmetry reductions.** Taking into account boundary conditions, we can obtain

$$(7.16) \quad \psi(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, k)$$

and

$$(7.17) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, k).$$

Similarly, we can get the symmetry relations of the eigenfunctions, i.e.,

$$(7.18) \quad N(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, k)$$

and

$$(7.19) \quad \bar{N}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, k).$$

Moreover,

$$(7.20) \quad \bar{b}(k) = -b(k).$$

**7.3. Uniformization coordinates.** Prior to discussing the properties of scattering data and solving the inverse problem, we introduce a uniformization variable  $z$ , defined by the conformal mapping:

$$(7.21) \quad z = z(k) = k + \lambda(k),$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2}$  and the inverse mapping is given by

$$(7.22) \quad k = k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

Then

$$(7.23) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{q_0^2}{z} \right).$$

**7.4. Symmetries via uniformization coordinates.** From the eigenfunction symmetries, one has

$$(7.24) \quad \psi(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi(-x, z),$$

$$(7.25) \quad \bar{\psi}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}(-x, z).$$

Furthermore, when  $z \rightarrow -\frac{q_0^2}{z}$ , then  $(k, \lambda) \rightarrow (k, -\lambda)$ . Hence,

$$(7.26) \quad \phi \left( x, -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{q_0^2}{z} \bar{\phi}(x, z), \quad \psi \left( x, -\frac{q_0^2}{z} \right) = \frac{-iq_+}{z} \bar{\psi}(x, z), \quad z \in D^-.$$

Similarly, we can get

$$(7.27) \quad N(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M(-x, z),$$

$$(7.28) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{M}(-x, z),$$

$$(7.29) \quad \bar{b}(z) = -b(z),$$

$$(7.30) \quad a\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = e^{2i\theta_+} \bar{a}(z), \quad z \in D^-; \quad b\left(-\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = \bar{b}(z).$$

**7.5. Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data.** In order to solve the inverse problem, one has to determine the asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions and scattering data both as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . From the integral equations (in terms of Green's functions), we have

$$(7.31) \quad M(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_-} \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.32) \quad N(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_-} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.33) \quad \bar{M}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -iq(x) \\ z \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \cdot \frac{q(-x)}{q_+} \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.34) \quad \bar{N}(x, z) \sim \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq(-x) \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} z \cdot \frac{q(x)}{q_+} \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.35) \quad a(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ e^{2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.36) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \rightarrow \infty, \\ e^{-2i\theta_+}, & z \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(7.37) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zb(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

**7.6. Left and right scattering problems.** Using similar methods in Case 2, we find

$$(7.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq_- \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot b(z_j) e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x} \cdot N(x, z_j)}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &+ \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N(x, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.39) \quad \begin{aligned} N(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{J}} \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \bar{z}_j)}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{N}(x, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{M}(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) M(x, z_j) e^{-i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j) z_j a'(z_j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot M(x, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.41) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -iq_+ \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{J}} \frac{-z \cdot b(\bar{z}_j) \bar{M}(x, \bar{z}_j) e^{i(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j) \bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}(x, \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**7.7. Recovery of the potentials.** Note that

$$(7.42) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim \frac{q(x)}{q_+}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$(7.43) \quad \frac{\bar{N}_1(x, z)}{z} \sim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(7.44) \quad q(x) = q_+ \cdot \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{b(z_j) e^{i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{-z_j^2 a'(z_j)} \cdot N_1(x, z_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi^2} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \right].$$

**7.8. Closing the system.** We can find  $J = \bar{J}$  from  $a\left(\frac{q_0^2}{z}\right) = e^{2i\theta_+}\bar{a}(z)$ . Combining the above integral equations, we have

$$(7.45) \quad \begin{pmatrix} N_1(x, z) \\ N_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_+ \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z \cdot \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) e^{-i(\bar{z}_j + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_j})x}}{(z - \bar{z}_j)\bar{z}_j \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_j)}. \\ \left( \begin{array}{l} \bar{z}_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l)z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ -iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\bar{z}_j \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\bar{z}_j - z_l)z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - \bar{z}_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot N_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x}. \end{array} \right) \\ \left( \begin{array}{l} \xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l - \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l)z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_1(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ -iq_- + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{\xi \cdot b(z_l) e^{i(z_l + \frac{q_0^2}{z_l})x}}{(\xi - z_l)z_l a'(z_l)} \cdot N_2(x, z_l) + \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot N_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{array} \right) d\xi, \\ (7.46)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_1(x, z) \\ \bar{M}_2(x, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_- \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{-z \cdot \bar{b}(z_j) e^{-i(z_j + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j})x}}{(z - z_j)z_j a'(z_j)}. \\ \left( \begin{array}{l} z_j + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l)\bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \xi) d\xi \\ -iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-z_j \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(z_j - \bar{z}_l)\bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{z_j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z_j)} \cdot e^{i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \xi) d\xi \\ + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\rho^*(-\xi^*)}{\xi(\xi - z)} \cdot e^{-i(\xi + \frac{q_0^2}{\xi})x}. \end{array} \right) \\ \left( \begin{array}{l} \xi + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l)\bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta^*)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \bar{M}_1(x, \eta) d\eta \\ -iq_+ + \sum_{l=1}^J \frac{-\xi \cdot b(\bar{z}_l) e^{i(\bar{z}_l + \frac{q_0^2}{\bar{z}_l})x}}{(\xi - \bar{z}_l)\bar{z}_l \bar{a}'(\bar{z}_l)} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \bar{z}_l) - \frac{\xi}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\rho}^*(-\eta^*)}{\eta(\eta - \xi)} \cdot e^{i(\eta + \frac{q_0^2}{\eta})x} \cdot \bar{M}_2(x, \eta) d\eta \end{array} \right) d\xi.$$

We can reconstruct the potential from (7.44).

**7.9. Trace formula.** Using  $\bar{b}(z) = -b(z)$  and following the analysis in Case 2, Section 4, we obtain

$$(7.47) \quad \log a(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z - z_j}{z + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 - b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^+$$

and

$$(7.48) \quad \log \bar{a}(z) = \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{z + \frac{q_0^2}{z_j}}{z - z_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 - b^2(\xi))}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^-.$$

Since  $a(z) \sim e^{2i\theta_+}$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , from the trace formula when  $b(\xi) = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , we have the following constraint for the reflectionless potentials

$$(7.49) \quad \prod_{j=1}^J z_j = \pm(-1)^{\frac{J}{2}} q_0^J e^{i\theta_+}.$$

We claim that  $J \geq 2$ . Otherwise, if  $J = 1$ , then  $z_1 = \pm q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}$ . It implies that the eigenvalue  $z_1$  lies on the circle, which in this case is the continuous spectrum. Such eigenvalues are not proper, which are not considered here.

**7.10. Discrete scattering data and their symmetries.** Using similar methods in Case 3, we have

$$(7.50) \quad b(z_j) = \pm 1, \quad \bar{b}(\bar{z}_j) = \pm 1,$$

$$(7.51) \quad \bar{b}(z_j) = -b(z_j), \quad b(\bar{z}_j) = -\bar{b}(\bar{z}_j).$$

Next we give the scattering data for a pure 2-eigenvalue/ solution. Note that  $|z_1| \cdot |z_2| = q_0^2$ . In particular, we choose  $z_1 = iq_1$ ,  $z_2 = -i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}$  and  $\theta_+ = 0$ , then  $\bar{z}_1 = i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}$ ,  $\bar{z}_2 = -iq_1$  and  $z_1 z_2 = q_0^2$ . Thus,

$$(7.52) \quad a(z) = \frac{z - iq_1}{z - i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}} \cdot \frac{z + i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}{z + iq_1}$$

and

$$(7.53) \quad \bar{a}(z) = \frac{z - i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}{z - iq_1} \cdot \frac{z + iq_1}{z + i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}}.$$

We have

$$(7.54) \quad a'(iq_1) = \frac{-i(q_1^2 + q_0^2)}{2q_1(q_1^2 - q_0^2)}, \quad a'\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = \frac{iq_1(q_0^2 + q_1^2)}{2q_0^2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)},$$

$$(7.55) \quad \bar{a}'\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = -\frac{iq_1(q_0^2 + q_1^2)}{2q_0^2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)}, \quad \bar{a}'(-iq_1) = \frac{i(q_1^2 + q_0^2)}{2q_1(q_1^2 - q_0^2)}.$$

Since

$$(7.56) \quad b(iq_1) = \delta_1, \quad b\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = \delta_2,$$

where  $\delta_1 = \pm 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \pm 1$ , then

$$(7.57) \quad \bar{b}\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}\right) = \delta_1, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1) = \delta_2.$$

**7.11. Time evolution.** Similarly, we can deduce both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent,

$$(7.58) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t} = b(z; 0)e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 - q_0^2}t},$$

and

$$(7.59) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{k})t} = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{z^2 - q_0^2}t}.$$

Thus,

$$(7.60) \quad b(iq_1; t) = \delta_1 e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t}, \quad b\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_2 e^{-\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t},$$

$$(7.61) \quad \bar{b}\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_1 e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t}, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1; t) = \delta_2 e^{\frac{2\alpha q_0^2 i}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}t}.$$

**7.12. Pure 2-Soliton Solution.** There are different situations to investigate. There are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1\delta_2 = -1$  (see the following), and there are 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1\delta_2 = 1$ , which are singular along some lines (see Section 10).

(i): When  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,

$$(7.62) \quad \begin{aligned} q(x, t) = & \left( e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\ & - 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\ & - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha(q_0^2 + 3q_1^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\ & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha(q_1^2 + 3q_0^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2) \left( (q_0^2 + q_1^2)x + iq_1 \alpha t \right)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\ & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} + 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii): When  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ ,

(7.63)

$$\begin{aligned}
q(x, t) = & \left( e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\
& - 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\
& + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\
& \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2) \left( (q_0^2 + q_1^2) x + iq_1 \alpha t \right)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\
& \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} + 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can use the similar method in Section 4 to verify the above two solutions are non-singular. For all these solutions we require that  $s(x, t) = -\int_x^\infty (q(x', t)q(-x', -t))_t dx' \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

In Fig. 4 below we give a typical 2-soliton solution.




FIGURE 4. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

## 8. THE NONLOCAL REVERSE SPACE-TIME NLS EQUATION

The scattering problem of the nonlocal reverse space-time NLS equation is the same as nonlocal Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations, the only difference between them is the time evolution equations governing the eigenfunctions, which lead to different time evolutions for scattering data  $(a(t), \bar{a}(t), b(t), \bar{b}(t))$ .

The nonlocal reverse space-time NLS equation is given by

$$(8.1) \quad iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) - 2\sigma q^2(x, t)q(-x, -t),$$

whose time evolution equation is

$$(8.2) \quad v_t = \begin{pmatrix} 2ik^2 + i\sigma q(x, t)q(-x, -t) & -2kq(x, t) - iq_x(x, t) \\ -2\sigma kq(-x, -t) - \sigma iq_x(-x, -t) & -2ik^2 - i\sigma q(x, t)q(-x, -t) \end{pmatrix} v.$$

The nonzero boundary conditions

$$(8.3) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_{\pm}(t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_{\pm})}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

yields  $\alpha = 2\sigma q_0^2$  when  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$  and  $\alpha = -2\sigma q_0^2$  when  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ . By such nonzero boundary conditions we can deduce the following.

If  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , then both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent, and

$$(8.4) \quad b(t) = b(0)e^{-2i(\sigma q_0^2 + 2\lambda k)t}, \quad \bar{b}(t) = \bar{b}(0)e^{2i(\sigma q_0^2 + 2\lambda k)t},$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - \sigma q_0^2}$ .

If  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , then both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent, and

$$(8.5) \quad b(t) = b(0)e^{-2i(-\sigma q_0^2 + 2\lambda k)t}, \quad \bar{b}(t) = \bar{b}(0)e^{2i(-\sigma q_0^2 + 2\lambda k)t},$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 + \sigma q_0^2}$ . Then we make use of the scattering problems of nonlocal Sine/Sinh-Gordon equations to obtain the pure soliton solutions of the nonlocal reverse space-time NLS equation as follows.

**Case 1.** When  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we have

$$(8.6) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-2i\left[q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2}\right)\right]t}, \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{2i\left[q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2}\right)\right]t},$$

which can be written

$$(8.7) \quad b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}; t) = \delta_i e^{-2iq_0^2[1+i\sin(2\theta_+)]t}, \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}; t) = -\delta_i e^{2iq_0^2[1-i\sin(2\theta_+)]t}.$$

We find there is a nonsingular pure 1-soliton solution only with  $\delta = 1$ , which is given by

$$(8.8) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} \left[ e^{i\theta_+} \cdot e^{2q_0 x \sin \theta_+} + e^{-i\theta_+} \cdot e^{2q_0^2 t \sin(2\theta_+)} \right]}{e^{2q_0 x \sin \theta_+} + e^{2q_0^2 t \sin(2\theta_+)}}.$$

A typical 1-soliton solution is shown in Fig. 5.

When  $\delta = -1$ , there is a 1-soliton solution, which is singular along a space-time line (See Section 10).

**Case 2.** When  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , we have

$$(8.9) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2}\right)\right]t}, \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2}\right)\right]t}.$$

Thus,

$$(8.10) \quad b(iq_1; t) = \delta_1 i \cdot e^{-2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(-q_1^2 + \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2}\right)\right]t}, \quad b\left(-i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_2 i \cdot e^{-2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(q_1^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2}\right)\right]t},$$

$$(8.11) \quad \bar{b}\left(i\frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = -\delta_1 i \cdot e^{2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(q_1^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2}\right)\right]t}, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1; t) = -\delta_2 i \cdot e^{2i\left[-q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(-q_1^2 + \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2}\right)\right]t}.$$

It is found that there are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$  (see the following), and there are 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ , which are singular along some lines (see Section 10).

(i) When  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ , one yields

$$(8.12) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ i(q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cos\left(\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t\right) + iq_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x\right) + (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \sin\left(\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t\right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ 2q_0 q_1 \cos\left(\frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}\right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) \right]}.$$

(ii) When  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ , one obtains

$$(8.13) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ -i(q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cos\left(\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t\right) + iq_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x\right) + (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \sin\left(\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t\right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ -2q_0 q_1 \cos\left(\frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}\right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) \right]}.$$

To show the above two solutions are nonsingular, we only need to prove  $(q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) - 2q_0 q_1 \neq 0$  for any  $x$ . Indeed, if  $(q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) - 2q_0 q_1 = 0$  for some  $x$ , then  $(q_0^2 + q_1^2)y^2 - 4q_0 q_1 y + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) = 0$  has a least one solution for  $y > 0$ , where  $y := e^{\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}}$ . But it is easy to see that this quadratic does not have real solution, which is a contradiction.

In Fig. 6 below we give typical 2-soliton solutions, which are of breather type.

**Case 3.** When  $\sigma = -1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , we have

$$(8.14) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0) e^{-2i \left[ q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2} \right) \right] t}, \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0) e^{2i \left[ q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2} \right) \right] t}.$$

Thus,

$$(8.15) \quad b\left(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t\right) = \delta e^{-2iq_0^2 [1 - i \sin(2\theta_+)] t}, \quad \bar{b}\left(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t\right) = \delta e^{2iq_0^2 [1 + i \sin(2\theta_+)] t}.$$

Note that  $\delta$  can be chosen either 1 or  $-1$ , we find there is a nonsingular "dark" 1-soliton solution with  $\delta = -1$  (see the following), and there is a "bright" 1-soliton solution which is singular along a space-time line with  $\delta = 1$  (see Section 10).

When  $\delta = -1$ ,

$$(8.16) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} [i \sin \theta_+ + \cos \theta_+ \tanh(q_0 \cos \theta_+ \cdot (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+))],$$

which is nonsingular because  $e^{q_0 \cos \theta_+ \cdot (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+)} > 0$ .

In Fig. 5, a typical nonsingular 1-soliton solution is displayed.

**Case 4.** When  $\sigma = -1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we have

$$(8.17) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0) e^{-2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2} \right) \right] t}, \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0) e^{2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{z^2} \right) \right] t}.$$

Thus,

$$(8.18) \quad b(iq_1; t) = \delta_1 \cdot e^{-2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( -q_1^2 + \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2} \right) \right] t}, \quad b\left(-i \frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_2 \cdot e^{-2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( q_1^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2} \right) \right] t},$$

(8.19)

$$\bar{b}\left(i \frac{q_0^2}{q_1}; t\right) = \delta_1 \cdot e^{2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( q_1^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2} \right) \right] t}, \quad \bar{b}(-iq_1; t) = \delta_2 \cdot e^{2i \left[ -q_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( -q_1^2 + \frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2} \right) \right] t}.$$

As shown previously, there are nonsingular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$  (see the following), and there are 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ , which are singular along certain space-time lines (see Section 10).




FIGURE 5. 1-soliton solutions. (a) Case 1: The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) Case 3: The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\sigma = -1$ ,  $\delta = -1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

(i) When  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ , it yields

(8.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x, t) &= iq_0 e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left( 1 - \left( (q_0^2 - q_1^2) \left( q_0^2 e^{\frac{i(-q_0^4 + q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}} - q_1^2 e^{\frac{i(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}} \right) \right) \left( 2q_0 q_1 \cdot \right. \right. \\
 &e^{-\frac{it}{2} \left( \frac{2q_0^4}{q_1^2} - 2q_1^2 \right)} + 2q_0 q_1 e^{-\frac{it}{2} \cdot \left( 2 \left( -\frac{q_0^4}{q_1^2} + q_1^2 \right) \right)} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) e^{-q_1 x + \frac{q_0^2(iq_1 t + x)}{q_1} - iq_0^2 t} \\
 &+ (q_0^2 + q_1^2) e^{q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( it - \frac{x}{q_1} \right) - iq_0^2 t} \left. \right) / \left( q_0 q_1 \left( (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cos \left( \frac{2(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &+ (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cosh \left( \frac{2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1} \right) \left. \left. \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ -q_0^4 e^{i\frac{q_1^4 - q_0^4}{q_1^2} t} - q_1^4 e^{i\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh \left( \frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x \right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ -2q_0 q_1 \cos \left( \frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2} \right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh \left( \frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1} \right) \right]}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) When  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ , it yields

$$(8.21) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ q_0^4 e^{i\frac{q_1^4 - q_0^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_1^4 e^{i\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh \left( \frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x \right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ 2q_0 q_1 \cos \left( \frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2} \right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \cosh \left( \frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1} \right) \right]}.$$

The above solutions have the same characteristics as Case 2. Fig. 6 shows a typical 2-soliton solution of breather type.

## 9. SPATIAL DEPENDENT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

**9.1. Nonlocal Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon equations.** The nonlocal Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon equations are

$$(9.1) \quad q_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)q(x, t) = 0,$$




FIGURE 6. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  for Case 2 with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  for Case 4 with  $\delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\delta_2 = -1$ ,  $q_1 = 4$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

where  $s(-x, -t) = s(x, t)$ ,  $s_x(x, t) = -\sigma(q(x, t)q(-x, -t))_t$  and  $\sigma = \mp 1$ . In particular, when  $\sigma = -1$ , (1.5) is the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation; when  $\sigma = 1$ , (1.5) is the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation. We will define  $s(x, t)$  as

$$(9.2) \quad s(x, t) = \sigma \int_x^\infty \partial_t(q(x', t)q(-x', -t))dx' + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$$

and require  $s(x, t) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . In this section, we consider the boundary condition

$$(9.3) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x + \theta_\pm)}, \quad s(x, t) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real. Setting  $q(x, t) = \tilde{q}(x, t)e^{i\beta x}$ , we have

$$(9.4) \quad \tilde{q}_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)\tilde{q}(x, t) + i\beta\tilde{q}_t(x, t) = 0$$

which is associated with the following  $2 \times 2$  compatible systems:

$$(9.5) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & \tilde{q}(x, t) \\ \sigma\tilde{q}(-x, -t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

$$(9.6) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s(x, t)}{2i(k-\frac{\beta}{2})} & \frac{\tilde{q}_t(x, t)}{2i(k-\frac{\beta}{2})} \\ -\frac{\sigma\tilde{q}_t(-x, -t)}{2i(k-\frac{\beta}{2})} & -\frac{s(x, t)}{2i(k-\frac{\beta}{2})} \end{pmatrix} v.$$

Then both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent, and

$$(9.7) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-\frac{i\alpha(2k-2\lambda-\beta)t}{2(k-\frac{\beta}{2})}}$$

and

$$(9.8) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{\frac{i\alpha(2k-2\lambda-\beta)t}{2(k-\frac{\beta}{2})}}.$$

In particular, when  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ , we have

$$(9.9) \quad b(q_0 e^{i\theta_+}; t) = i e^{-i\alpha \left( \frac{2q_0 e^{-i\theta_+ - \beta}}{2q_0 \cos \theta_+ - \beta} \right) t},$$

$$(9.10) \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i\theta_+}; t) = -i e^{i\alpha \left( \frac{2q_0 e^{i\theta_+ - \beta}}{2q_0 \cos \theta_+ - \beta} \right) t}.$$

Substituting the above into (3.155), then the special pure 1-soliton solution is given by

$$(9.11) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x)} \left[ \cos \theta_+ + i \sin \theta_+ \cdot \tanh \left( q_0 \sin \theta_+ \left( x - \frac{\alpha t}{\beta - 2q_0 \cos \theta_+} \right) \right) \right].$$

When  $\sigma = -1$ ,  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$  and  $\delta = -1$ , we obtain

$$(9.12) \quad b(q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t) = -e^{-i\alpha \left( \frac{2q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}) - \beta}}{2q_0 \cos(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}) - \beta} \right) t},$$

$$(9.13) \quad \bar{b}(q_0 e^{-i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2})}; t) = -e^{i\alpha \left( \frac{2q_0 e^{i(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}) - \beta}}{2q_0 \cos(\theta_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}) - \beta} \right) t}.$$

Then

$$(9.14) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x)} \left[ e^{i(\alpha t + \theta_+)} \left( -e^{\frac{2q_0 \alpha t \cos \theta_+}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+}} + e^{q_0 \cos \theta_+ \left( 4x - \frac{2\alpha t}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+} \right)} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2 \cos \theta_+ \left( e^{i\alpha t + 2q_0 x \cos \theta_+} - e^{\frac{\alpha t (2e^{i\theta_+ + i\beta})}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+}} \right) \right] / \left[ -e^{\frac{\alpha t (2q_0 e^{i\theta_+ + i\beta})}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+}} + e^{i\alpha t + q_0 \cos \theta_+ \left( 4x - \frac{2\alpha t}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+} \right)} \right].$$

We can see that  $x = \frac{\alpha t}{\beta + 2q_0 \sin \theta_+}$  is a removable singularity, so  $q(x, t)$  is nonsingular.

**9.2. Complex standard Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon equations.** The complex standard Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon equations are

$$(9.15) \quad q_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)q(x, t) = 0,$$

where  $s(x, t)$  is real,  $s_x(x, t) = -\sigma(q(x, t)q^*(x, t))_t$  and  $\sigma = \mp 1$ . In particular, when  $\sigma = -1$ , (9.15) is the complex Sine-Gordon equation; when  $\sigma = 1$ , (9.15) is the complex Sinh-Gordon equation.

We consider the boundary condition

$$(9.16) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x + \theta_{\pm})},$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real. Set  $q(x, t) = \tilde{q}(x, t)e^{i\beta x}$ , we have

$$(9.17) \quad \tilde{q}_{xt}(x, t) + 2s(x, t)\tilde{q}(x, t) + i\beta\tilde{q}_t(x, t) = 0$$

which is associated with the following  $2 \times 2$  compatible systems:

$$(9.18) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & \tilde{q}(x, t) \\ \sigma\tilde{q}^*(x, t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

$$(9.19) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s(x, t)}{2i(k - \frac{\beta}{2})} & \frac{\tilde{q}_t(x, t)}{2i(k - \frac{\beta}{2})} \\ -\frac{\sigma\tilde{q}^*(x, t)}{2i(k - \frac{\beta}{2})} & -\frac{s(x, t)}{2i(k - \frac{\beta}{2})} \end{pmatrix} v.$$

Then both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent,

$$(9.20) \quad b(z; t) = b(z; 0)e^{-\frac{i\alpha(2k-2\lambda-\beta)}{2(k-\frac{\beta}{2})}t}$$

and

$$(9.21) \quad \bar{b}(z; t) = \bar{b}(z; 0)e^{\frac{i\alpha(2k-2\lambda-\beta)}{2(k-\frac{\beta}{2})}t},$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - \sigma q_0^2}$ .

Further analysis follows in the same way as in the prior section.

**9.3. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation.** The nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(9.22) \quad iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) - 2\sigma|q(x, t)|^2q(x, t),$$

where  $q^*$  denotes complex conjugate of  $q$  and  $\sigma = \mp 1$ . We consider the boundary condition

$$(9.23) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(\alpha t + \beta x + \theta_{\pm})},$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where  $q_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_{\pm} < 2\pi$ , both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real. It is easy to see that  $\alpha = \beta^2 + 2\sigma q_0^2$ , then the boundary condition becomes

$$(9.24) \quad q(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i[(\beta^2 + 2\sigma q_0^2)t + \beta x + \theta_{\pm}]},$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where  $\beta$  is real. By setting  $q(x, t) = \tilde{q}(x, t)e^{i\beta x}$ , we have

$$(9.25) \quad i\tilde{q}_t = \tilde{q}_{xx} + 2i\beta\tilde{q}_x - (\beta^2 + 2\sigma|\tilde{q}|^2)\tilde{q},$$

which is associated with the following  $2 \times 2$  compatible systems:

$$(9.26) \quad v_x = Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & \tilde{q}(x, t) \\ \sigma\tilde{q}^*(x, t) & ik \end{pmatrix} v,$$

$$(9.27) \quad v_t = Tv = \begin{pmatrix} 2ik^2 - 2\beta ik + i\sigma|\tilde{q}|^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}i & -2\tilde{q}k + 2\beta\tilde{q} - i\tilde{q}_x \\ -2\sigma\tilde{q}^*k + i\sigma\tilde{q}_x^* + 2\beta\sigma\tilde{q}^* & -2ik^2 + 2\beta ik - i\sigma|\tilde{q}|^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{2}i \end{pmatrix} v.$$

See [7].

Then we can deduce both  $a(t)$  and  $\bar{a}(t)$  are time independent,

$$(9.28) \quad b(t) = b(0)e^{-2i[\sigma q_0^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} - 2\lambda(\beta - k)]t}, \quad \bar{b}(t) = \bar{b}(0)e^{2i[\sigma q_0^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} - 2\lambda(\beta - k)]t}.$$

The scattering problem (9.26) is the same as the NLS equation with a nonzero boundary condition

$$(9.29) \quad \tilde{q}(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(2\sigma q_0^2 t + \theta_{\pm})}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , the only difference between the NLS equation and (9.25) is the time evolution. Based on the scattering problem and 1-soliton solution of defocusing NLS ([12]) equation, we deduce that when  $\sigma = 1$ ,

$$(9.30) \quad q(x, t) = \tilde{q}e^{i\beta x} = e^{i\beta x} \left[ q_0 e^{i[(\beta^2 + 2q_0^2)t + \theta_+]} + \frac{iC_1^*(0)\alpha_1^* e^{2i(q_0^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2})t - 4v_1(\beta - k_1)t - 2v_1x}}{1 + \frac{q_0|C_1(0)|}{2v_1} e^{-2v_1x - 4v_1(\beta - k_1)t}} \right],$$

where  $\alpha_1 = k_1 + iv_1$ ,  $v_1 = \sqrt{q_0^2 - k_1^2}$ ,  $-q_0 < k < q_0$ ,  $e^{2v_1x_0} = \frac{q_0|C_1(0)|}{2v_1}$  and  $C_1^*(0) = -|C_1(0)|e^{i\theta_+}$ .

We can rewrite it in the form

$$(9.31) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} e^{i(\beta x + \beta^2 t)} \left[ e^{i\theta_+} + \frac{iC_1^*(0)\alpha_1^* e^{-2v_1(x+2\beta t-2k_1 t)}}{1 + \frac{q_0|C_1(0)|}{2v_1} e^{-2v_1(x+2\beta t-2k_1 t)}} \right]$$

A property of the NLS equation is its Galilean invariance, i.e., if  $q_1(x, t)$  solves NLS equation and satisfies boundary condition

$$(9.32) \quad q_1(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i(2\sigma q_0^2 t + \theta_\pm)}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , then

$$(9.33) \quad q_2(x, t) := q_1(x + 2\beta t, t) e^{i(\beta x + \beta^2 t)}$$

also solves NLS equation and satisfies boundary condition

$$(9.34) \quad q_2(x, t) \rightarrow q_0 e^{i[(\beta^2 + 2\sigma q_0^2)t + \beta x + \theta_\pm]}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . When  $\sigma = 1$ , we have the 1-soliton solution

$$(9.35) \quad q_1(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} \left[ e^{i\theta_+} + \frac{iC_1^*(0)\alpha_1^* e^{-2v_1(x-2k_1 t)}}{1 + \frac{q_0|C_1(0)|}{2v_1} e^{-2v_1(x-2k_1 t)}} \right].$$

We have that  $q(x, t) = q_1(x + 2\beta t, t) e^{i(\beta x + \beta^2 t)}$ , which means that the result of IST agrees with the one based on Galilean invariance of NLS equation.

If we ignore the nonlinear term, then the following linear PDE

$$(9.36) \quad iq_t(x, t) - q_{xx}(x, t) = 0$$

also satisfies the Galilean invariance. Indeed, by Fourier transform,

$$(9.37) \quad u_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b^{(1)}(\xi) e^{i(\xi x + \xi^2 t)} d\xi$$

is a solution of (9.36). Set

$$(9.38) \quad u_2(x, t) = u_1(x + 2\beta t, t) e^{i(\beta x + \beta^2 t)},$$

thus,

$$(9.39) \quad u_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b^{(1)}(\xi) e^{i(\xi + \beta)x} e^{i(\xi + \beta)^2 t} d\xi.$$

Redefining variables ( $\xi' = \xi + \beta$ ) shows that  $u_2(x, t)$  is also a solution of (9.36), which implies that the Galilean invariance holds.

## 10. NOVEL CLASS OF SINGULAR SOLUTIONS

In the following, we present solutions of the Sinh/Sine-Gordon equations and the nonlocal reverse space-time NLS equation which are singular along space-time lines.

**10.1. Singular soliton solutions of the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation with  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ .** When  $\delta = -1$ , we obtain the following singular "bright" 1-soliton solution

$$(10.1) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{i\alpha t} \left[ \cos \theta_+ + i \coth \left( q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \sin \theta_+ \right],$$

and

$$(10.2) \quad s(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \coth^2 \left( q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ \right) \sin \theta_+ \tan \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \sin \theta_+ \tan \theta_+.$$

We see that  $s \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . This solution is singular along the line:  $q_0 x \sin \theta_+ + \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \tan \theta_+ = 0$ . In Fig. 7 below we give a typical bright 1-soliton solution.




FIGURE 7. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta = -1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\delta = -1$ ,  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

**10.2. Singular soliton solutions of the nonlocal Sinh-Gordon equation with  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ .** We find the following singular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ .

(i) When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ ,

(10.3)

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, t) = & \left( i \cdot e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\ & + 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\ & + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\ & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2) \left( (q_0^2 + q_1^2) x + i q_1 \alpha t \right)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\ & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and  $s(x, t) = \int_x^\infty (q(x', t)q(-x', -t))_t dx' \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .  
 (ii) When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -1$ ,

(10.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x, t) = & \left( i \cdot e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\
 & + 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\
 & - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha(q_0^2 + 3q_1^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\
 & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha(q_1^2 + 3q_0^2)t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2)((q_0^2 + q_1^2)x + iq_1 \alpha t)}{q_1(q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\
 & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $s(x, t) = \int_x^\infty (q(x', t)q(-x', -t))_t dx' \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**10.3. Singular soliton solutions of the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation with  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ .** We find the following "bright" 1-soliton solution with  $\delta = 1$ , which reads

(10.5)

$$q(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} q_0 e^{i(\alpha t - \theta_+)} \left[ -1 + e^{2i\theta_+} + (1 + e^{2i\theta_+}) \coth \left( q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \cot \theta_+ \right) \right]$$

and

(10.6)

$$s(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \cos \theta_+ \cot \theta_+ \coth^2 \left( q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \cot \theta_+ \right) - \frac{1}{2} q_0 \alpha \cos \theta_+ \cot \theta_+.$$

We see that  $s(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . This solution is singular along the space-time line:  $q_0 x \cos \theta_+ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha t \cot \theta_+ = 0$ . In Fig. 8 below we give a typical bright 1-soliton solution.




FIGURE 8. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  for  $\delta = 1$  with  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The real part of  $q(x, t)$  for  $\delta = 1$  with  $\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

**10.4. Singular soliton solutions of the nonlocal Sine-Gordon equation with  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ .** There are 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ , which are singular along some lines. (i) When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10.7) \quad q(x, t) = & \left( e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\
 & + 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\
 & - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\
 & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2) \left( (q_0^2 + q_1^2) x + i q_1 \alpha t \right)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\
 & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

from (7.44) and (7.45). (ii) When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10.8) \quad q(x, t) = & \left( e^{i\alpha t} \left( -4q_0^5 q_1 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0 q_1^5 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \right. \right. \\
 & + 2q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \\
 & + 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_0^2 + 3q_1^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} - 2q_0^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{3q_0^2 x}{q_1} + i\alpha t + q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} \\
 & \left. \left. + 2q_1^2 (q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 3q_1 x + \frac{i\alpha (q_1^2 + 3q_0^2) t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2}} + 2q_1^2 (-q_0^4 + q_1^4) \cdot e^{\frac{(3q_0^2 + q_1^2) \left( (q_0^2 + q_1^2) x + i q_1 \alpha t \right)}{q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2)}} \right) \right) / \\
 & \left( q_1 \left( -4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{2q_1 x + q_0^2 \left( \frac{2x}{q_1} + \frac{4i\alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 4q_0^2 q_1^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 \left( x + \frac{2iq_1 \alpha t}{q_0^2 + q_1^2} \right)} - 2(q_0^2 - q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{2q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{4q_0^2 x}{q_1} + 2i\alpha t} + (q_0^2 + q_1^2)^2 \cdot e^{4q_1 x + 2i\alpha t} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

### 10.5. Singular soliton solutions of the nonlocal reverse NLS equation.

**Case 1.** When  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we have a singular 1-soliton solution with  $\delta = -1$ , which is given by

$$(10.9) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} [\cos \theta_+ + i \coth(q_0(x - 2q_0 t \cos \theta_+)) \sin \theta_+ \sin \theta_+].$$

**Case 2.** When  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , we have singular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ .

When  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ , it yields

$$(10.10) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{i e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ -q_0^4 e^{i \frac{q_1^4 - q_0^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_1^4 e^{i \frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh \left( \frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x \right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ 2iq_0 q_1 \sin \left( \frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2} \right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh \left( \frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1} \right) \right]}.$$

When  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ , it yields

$$(10.11) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ i(q_0^4 - q_1^4) \cos \left( \frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t \right) + iq_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh \left( \frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x \right) + (q_0^4 + q_1^4) \sin \left( \frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t \right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ -2q_0 q_1 \sin \left( \frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2} \right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh \left( \frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1} \right) \right]}.$$

**Case 3.** When  $\sigma = -1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = \pi$ , we have a singular 1-soliton solution with  $\delta = 1$ , which is given by

$$(10.12) \quad q(x, t) = q_0 e^{2iq_0^2 t} \cdot \frac{(1 + e^{4q_0 \cos \theta_+ (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+)}) + 2e^{2q_0 \cos \theta_+ (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+)}) \cos \theta_+ + i \sin \theta_+ (-1 + e^{4q_0 \cos \theta_+ (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+)})}{-1 + e^{4q_0 \cos \theta_+ (x + 2q_0 t \sin \theta_+)}}.$$

**Case 4.** When  $\sigma = -1$  and  $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ , we have singular 2-soliton solutions with  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ , which are

$$(10.13) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ q_0^4 e^{i\frac{q_1^4 - q_0^4}{q_1^2} t} - q_1^4 e^{i\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh\left(\frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x\right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ -2iq_0 q_1 \sin\left(\frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}\right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) \right]}.$$

for  $\delta_1 = 1$  and  $\delta_2 = 1$ , and

$$(10.14) \quad q(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2iq_0^2 t} \left[ -q_0^4 e^{i\frac{q_1^4 - q_0^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_1^4 e^{i\frac{q_0^4 - q_1^4}{q_1^2} t} + q_0 q_1 (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh\left(\frac{q_0^2 - q_1^2}{q_1} x\right) \right]}{q_1 \left[ 2iq_0 q_1 \sin\left(\frac{(q_0^4 - q_1^4)t}{q_1^2}\right) + (q_0^2 + q_1^2) \sinh\left(\frac{(q_0^2 - q_1^2)x}{q_1}\right) \right]}.$$

for  $\delta_1 = -1$  and  $\delta_2 = -1$ . The singular 1-soliton solutions for  $\sigma = 1, \delta = -1$  and  $\sigma = -1, \delta = 1$  are displayed in Fig. 9 (a) and (b), respectively.




FIGURE 9. (a) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$   $\sigma = 1, \delta = -1, \theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $q_0 = 2$ . (b) The amplitude of  $q(x, t)$  with  $\sigma = -1, \delta = 1, \theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $q_0 = 2$ .

## 11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are pleased to acknowledge Justin T. Cole for assistance in the figures of this paper and in Mathematica respectively. MJA was partially supported by NSF under Grant No. DMS-1310200.

## REFERENCES

1. Gardner C S et. al., *Method for Solving the Korteweg-deVries Equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1095 (1967).
2. R.M. Miura, C.S. Gardner and M.D. Kruskal, Korteweg-deVries equation and generalizations II Existence of conservations laws and constants of motion. J. Math. Phys. **9** 1204- (1968)
3. P.D. Lax Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **28** 141 (1968)

4. V.E Zakharov and L. D. Fadeev, Korteweg-deVries equation a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **5** 280 (1971)
5. Zakharov V E and Shabat A B, *Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **34**, 62 (1972).
6. Ablowitz M J, Kaup D J, Newell A C, and Segur H, *The Inverse Scattering Transform-Fourier Analysis for Nonlinear Problems*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **53**, 249-315 (1974).
7. Ablowitz M J and Clarkson P A, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1991).
8. Ablowitz M J and Segur H, *Solitons and Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics Vol. 4, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, (1981).
9. Novikov S P, Manakov S V, Pitaevskii L P and Zakharov V E, *Theory of Solitons. The inverse Scattering Method*, Plenum (1984).
10. Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons* (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
11. Prinari B, Ablowitz M J, and Biondini G, *Inverse scattering transform for the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **Vol. 47** 063508, (2004).
12. Demontis F, Prinari B, van der Mee C, and Vitale F, *The inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonzero boundary conditions*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **131**, 1-40 (2012).
13. Demontis F, Prinari B, van der Mee C, and Vitale F, *The inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with asymmetric boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 101505 (2014).
14. Biondini G and Kovacic G, *Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 55, 031506 (2014)
15. Ablowitz M J and Musslimani Z H, *Integrable nonlocal nonlinear equations*, arXiv:1610.02594 *Stud. Appl. Math.*, DOI: 10.1111/sapm.12153 (2016).
16. Ablowitz M J, Luo X D and Musslimani Z H, *The inverse scattering transform for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions*, arXiv:1612.02726.
17. Ablowitz M J and Musslimani Z H, *Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *Nonlinearity* , **29 (3)** (2016).
18. Ablowitz M J and Musslimani Z H, *Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 064105 (2013).
19. Ablowitz M J and Musslimani Z H, *Integrable discrete P T symmetric model*, *Phys. Rev. E* **90** 032912 (2014).
20. Ablowitz M J, Kaup D J, Newell A C, and Segur H, *Method for Solving the Sine-Gordon Equation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **30**, (1973).
21. Ablowitz M J *Nonlinear Dispersive Waves, Asymptotic Analysis and Solitons*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2011).
22. Ablowitz M J, Prinari B and Trubatch A D, *Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (No. 302).
23. Ablowitz M J and Segur H, *The inverse scattering transform: Semi-infinite interval*, *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 1054 (1975).
24. Ablowitz M J and Baldwin D E, *Nonlinear shallow ocean-wave soliton interactions on flat beaches*, *Phys. Rev. E*, . **86** 036305 (2012).
25. Agrawal G P, *Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, Academic Press (1995).
26. Aratyn H, Ferreira L A, Gomes J F and Zimmerman A H, *The complex sine-Gordon equation as a symmetry flow of the AKNS hierarchy*, *J. Phys. A* **33**: L331–L337 (2000).
27. R. Beals and R.R. Coifman, *Inverse scattering and evolution equations*, *Comm. Pure & Appl. Math.*, **38**, 29, 1985.
28. Bender C M and Boettcher S, *Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5243 (1998).
29. Biondini G and Wang H, *Solitons, BVPs and a nonlinear method of images*, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 205207: 1-18 (2009).
30. Calogero F and Degasperis A, *Spectral Transform and Solitons I*, North Holland (1982).
31. Chern S S, *Geometrical interpretation of sinh-Gordon equation*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **39**: 74-80 (1980).

32. Clarkson P A, *Painlevé equations nonlinear special functions*, J. of Comp. and Applied Math. **153** (1), 127-140, (2003).
33. Clarkson P A and Mansfield E L, *The second Painlevé equation, its hierarchy and associated special polynomials*, Nonlinearity **16** (3), R1-R26 (2003).
34. El-Ganainy E, Makris K G, Christodoulides D N, and Musslimani Z H, *Theory of coupled optical PT-symmetric structures*, Opt. Lett., **32**, 2632 (2007).
35. Fokas A S, *Integrable Multidimensional Versions of the Nonlocal Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Nonlinearity **29**(2):319-324 (2016).
36. T A Gadzhimuradov and A M Agalarov, *Towards a gauge-equivalent magnetic structure of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Phys Rev A, **93**, 062124 (2016).
37. Guo A et. al., *Observation of PT-Symmetry Breaking in Complex Optical Potentials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).
38. He J S and Qiu D Q, *Mirror Symmetrical Nonlocality of a Parity-Time Symmetry System*, Private Communication 2016.
39. Kadomtsev B B and Petviashvili V I, *On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **15**, 539 (1970).
40. Kivshar Y and Agrawal G P, *Optical Solitons: From Fibers to Photonic Crystals*, Academic Press (2003).
41. Korteweg D J and de Vries G, *On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves*, Philos. Mag. Ser. 5, **39**, 422, (1895).
42. Lazarides N and Tsironis G P, *Gain-Driven Discrete Breathers in PT-Symmetric Nonlinear Metamaterials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 053901 (2013).
43. Lumer Y, Plotnik Y, Rechtsman M C, and Segev M, *Nonlinearly Induced PT Transition in Photonic Systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 263901 (2013).
44. Makris K G, El Ganainy R, Christodoulides D N, and Musslimani Z H, *Beam Dynamics in PT Symmetric Optical Lattices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 103904 (2008).
45. Mikhailov A V, Papamikos G and Wang J P, *Dressing method for the vector sine-Gordon equation and its soliton interactions*, Physica D 325: 53-62 (2016).
46. Musslimani Z H, Makris K G, El Ganainy R, and Christodoulides D N, *Optical Solitons in PT Periodic Potentials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030402 (2008).
47. Regensburger A et. al., *Paritytime synthetic photonic lattices*, Nature, **488** 167 (2012).
48. Regensburger A, Miri M A, Bersch C, Näger J, Onishchukov G, Christodoulides D N, and Peschel U, *Observation of Defect States in PT-Symmetric Optical Lattices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 223902 (2013).
49. Ruter C E et. al., *Observation of paritytime symmetry in optics*, Nature Phys. **6**, 192 (2010).
50. Zabusky N J and Kruskal M D, *Interaction of 'solitons' in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15** (6), 240-243 (1965).
51. Zakharov VE and Shabat AB, *Interaction between solitons in a stable medium*, Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 823828 (1973).

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, CAMPUS BOX 526, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309-0526

*E-mail address:* mark.ablowitz@colorado.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS RIO GRANDE VALLEY

*E-mail address:* baofeng.feng@utgv.edu

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AT BOULDER, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309

*E-mail address:* lxdmathematics@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306-4510

*E-mail address:* muslimani@math.fsu.edu