

ϕ meson mass and decay width in nuclear matter and nucleiJ.J. Cobos-Martínez^{a,d,*}, K. Tsushima^a, G. Krein^b, A.W. Thomas^c^aLaboratório de Física Teórica e Computacional - LFTC, Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, 01506-000, São Paulo, SP, Brazil^bInstituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271 - Bloco II, 01140-070, São Paulo, SP, Brazil^cCSSM and ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale, Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia^dInstituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Edificio C-3, Ciudad Universitaria, Morelia, Michoacán 58040, México**Abstract**

The mass and decay width of the ϕ meson in cold nuclear matter are computed in an effective Lagrangian approach. The medium dependence of these properties are obtained by evaluating kaon-antikaon loop contributions to the ϕ self-energy, employing the medium-modified kaon masses, calculated using the quark-meson coupling model. The loop integral is regularized with a dipole form factor, and the sensitivity of the results to the choice of cutoff mass in the form factor is investigated. At normal nuclear matter density we find a downward shift of the ϕ mass by a few percent, while the decay width is enhanced by an order of magnitude. For a large variation of the cutoff mass parameter, the results for the ϕ mass and the decay width turn out to vary very little. Our results support results in the literature which suggest that one should observe a small downward mass shift and a large broadening of the decay width. In order to explore the possibility of studying the binding and absorption of ϕ mesons in nuclei, we also present the single-particle binding energies and half-widths of ϕ -nucleus bound states for some selected nuclei.

1. Introduction

The study of the changes in light vector meson properties in a nuclear medium have attracted much experimental and theoretical interest — see Refs. [1, 2, 3] for recent reviews. Amongst the arguments motivating these studies we mention the interest in chiral symmetry restoration at high density and the possible role of QCD van der Waals forces. In particular, there is special interest on the ϕ meson, the main reasons being: (i) despite its nearly pure $s\bar{s}$ content, the ϕ does interact strongly with a nucleus, composed predominantly of light u and d quarks, through the excitation of below-threshold virtual kaon and anti-kaon states that might have their properties changed in medium, the latter issue in itself being also of current interest [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]; (ii) the ϕN interaction in vac-

uum [9, 10, 11, 12] and a possible in-medium mass shift of the ϕ are related to the strangeness content of the nucleon [13], which may have implications beyond the physics of the strong interaction, affecting, for example, the experimental searches for dark matter [14, 15, 16]; (iii) medium modifications of ϕ properties have been proposed [17] as a possible source for the anomalous nuclear mass number A -dependence observed in ϕ production from nuclear targets [18]; (iv) furthermore, as the ϕ is a nearly pure $s\bar{s}$ state and gluonic interactions are flavor blind, studying it serves to test theories of the multi-gluon exchange interactions, including long range QCD van der Waals forces [19], which are believed to play a role in the binding of the J/Ψ and other exotic heavy-quarkonia to matter [20, 21, 22, 28, 29, 30, 31, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

Heavy-ion collisions and photon- or proton-induced reactions on nuclear targets have been used to extract information on the in-medium properties of hadrons. Although the medium modifications of hadron properties are expected to be stronger in heavy-ion collisions, they are also expected to

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: javiercobos@ifm.umich.mx (J.J. Cobos-Martínez), kazuo.tsushima@gmail.com (K. Tsushima), gkrein@ift.unesp.br (G. Krein), anthony.thomas@adelaide.edu.au (A.W. Thomas)

be large enough in photon- or proton-induced reactions to enable the study of in-medium properties by fixed-target experiments. Several experiments have focused on the light vector mesons ρ , ω , and ϕ , since their mean-free paths can be comparable with the size of a nucleus after being produced inside the nucleus. However, a unified consensus has not yet been reached among the different experiments—see Refs. [1, 2, 3] for comprehensive reviews of the current status.

For the ϕ meson, although the precise values are different, a large in-medium broadening of the width has been reported by most of the experiments performed, while only a few of them find evidence for a substantial mass shift. For example, the KEK-E325 collaboration [32] reported a mass reduction of 3.4% and an in-medium decay width of ≈ 14.5 MeV at normal nuclear matter density. The latter disagrees with the SPring8 [18] result, which reported a large in-medium ϕN cross section leading to a decay width of 35 MeV. But this 35 MeV is in close agreement with the two JLab CLAS collaboration measurements reported in Refs. [33] and [34].

In an attempt to clarify the situation, the CLAS collaboration at JLab [35] performed new measurements of nuclear transparency ratios, and estimated in-medium widths in the range of 23-100 MeV. These values overlap with that of the SPring8 measurement [18]. More recently, the ANKE-COSY collaboration [36] has measured the ϕ meson production from proton-induced reactions on various nuclear targets. The comparison of data with model calculations suggests an in-medium ϕ width of ≈ 50 MeV. This result is consistent with that of SPring8 [18], as well as the one deduced from CLAS at JLab [35]. However, the value is clearly larger than that of the KEK-E325 collaboration [32].

From the discussions above, it is obvious that the search for evidence of a light vector meson mass shift is indeed complicated. It certainly requires further experimental efforts to understand better the changes of ϕ properties in a nuclear medium. For example, the J-PARC E16 collaboration [37] intends to perform a more systematic study for the mass shift of vector mesons with higher statistics. Furthermore, the E29 collaboration at J-PARC has recently put forward a proposal [38, 39] to study the in-medium mass modification of ϕ via the possible formation of the ϕ -nucleus bound states [26], using the primary reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \phi\phi$. Finally, there is a proposal at JLab, following the 12 GeV upgrade, to study the binding of ϕ (and η) to ${}^4\text{He}$ [40].

On the theoretical side, various authors predict a downward shift of the in-medium ϕ meson mass and a broadening of the decay width. The possible decrease of the light vector meson masses in a nuclear medium was first predicted by Brown and Rho [41]. Thereafter, many theoretical investigations have been conducted, some of them focused on the self-energies of the ϕ due to the kaon-antikaon loop. Ko et al. [42] used a density-dependent kaon mass determined from chiral perturbation theory and found that at normal nuclear matter density, ρ_0 , the ϕ mass decreases very little, by at most 2%, and the width $\Gamma_\phi \approx 25$ MeV and broadens drastically for large densities. Hatsuda and Lee calculated the in-medium ϕ mass based on QCD sum rule approach [43, 44], and predicted a decrease of 1.5%-3% at normal nuclear matter density. Other investigations also predict a large broadening of the ϕ width: Ref. [45] reports a negative mass shift of $< 1\%$ and a decay width of 45 MeV at ρ_0 ; Ref. [46] predicts a decay width of 22 MeV but does not report a result on the mass shift; and Ref. [47] gives a rather small negative mass shift of $\approx 0.81\%$ and a decay width of 30 MeV. More recently, Ref. [48] reported a downward mass shift of $< 2\%$ and a large broadening width of 45 MeV; and finally, in Ref. [49], extending the work of Refs. [46, 47], the authors reported a negative mass shift of 3.4% and a large decay width of 70 MeV at ρ_0 . The reason for these differences may lie in the different approaches used to estimate the kaon-antikaon loop contributions for the ϕ self-energy.

In the present article we report results for the ϕ mass shift and decay width in nuclear matter, taking into account the medium dependence of the K and \bar{K} masses. The latter are included by an explicit calculation based upon the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model [50, 51]. The QMC model is a quark-based model of finite nuclei and nuclear matter, and has been very successful in describing the nuclear matter saturation properties, hadron properties in nuclear medium, as well as the properties of finite nuclei [52] and hypernuclei [53]—for a comprehensive review of the QMC model, see Ref. [54].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the effective Lagrangian used to calculate the ϕ -meson self-energy in vacuum, and give explicit expressions for its real and imaginary parts. Since the in-medium properties of the ϕ are dependent on the kaon and anti-kaon masses in a nuclear medium calculated within the QMC model, we briefly review this model in Sec. 3, and provide




Figure 1: $K\bar{K}$ -loop contribution to the ϕ meson self-energy.

the necessary detail to understand the dressing of the kaons in nuclear medium. In Sec. 4 we calculate the ϕ -meson self-energy in nuclear matter and report the in-medium ϕ -meson mass and decay width, as well as the binding energies and widths of selected ϕ -nucleus bound states. Finally, conclusions and perspectives are given in Sec. 5.

2. ϕ meson self-energy in vacuum

We use the effective Lagrangian of Refs. [42, 55] to compute the ϕ self-energy; the interaction Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{int} involves $\phi K\bar{K}$ and $\phi\phi K\bar{K}$ couplings dictated by a local gauge symmetry principle:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \mathcal{L}_{\phi K\bar{K}} + \mathcal{L}_{\phi\phi K\bar{K}}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi K\bar{K}} = ig_\phi\phi^\mu [\bar{K}(\partial_\mu K) - (\partial_\mu \bar{K})K], \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\phi K\bar{K}} = g_\phi^2\phi^\mu\phi_\mu\bar{K}K. \quad (3)$$

We use the convention:

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K^+ \\ K^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{K} = \begin{pmatrix} K^- & \bar{K}^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

We note that the use of the effective interaction Lagrangian of Eq. (1) without the term given in Eq. (3) may be considered as being motivated by the hidden gauge approach in which there are no four-point vertices, such as Eq. (3), that involve two pseudoscalar mesons and two vector mesons [56, 57]. This is in contrast to the approach of using the minimal substitution to introduce vector mesons as gauge particles where such four-point vertices do appear. However, these two methods have been shown to be consistent if both the vector and axial vector mesons are included [58, 59, 60, 61]. Therefore, we present results with and without such

an interaction. We consider first the contribution from the $\phi K\bar{K}$ coupling given by Eq. (2) to the scalar part of the ϕ self-energy, $\Pi_\phi(p)$; Figure 1 depicts this contribution. For a ϕ meson at rest, it is given by

$$i\Pi_\phi(p) = -\frac{8}{3}g_\phi^2 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{q}^2 D_K(q) D_K(q-p), \quad (5)$$

where $D_K(q) = (q^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}$ is the kaon propagator; $p = (p^0 = m_\phi, \vec{0})$ is the ϕ meson four-momentum vector, with m_ϕ the ϕ meson mass; $m_K (= m_{\bar{K}})$ is the kaon mass. When $m_\phi < 2m_K$ the self-energy $\Pi_\phi(p)$ is real. However, when $m_\phi > 2m_K$, which is the case here, $\Pi_\phi(p)$ acquires an imaginary part. The mass of the ϕ is determined from the real part of $\Pi_\phi(p)$

$$m_\phi^2 = (m_\phi^0)^2 + \Re\Pi_\phi(m_\phi^2), \quad (6)$$

with m_ϕ^0 being the bare mass of the ϕ and

$$\Re\Pi_\phi = -\frac{2}{3}g_\phi^2 \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \bar{q}^2 \frac{1}{E_K(E_K^2 - m_\phi^2/4)}. \quad (7)$$

Here \mathcal{P} denotes the Principal Value part of the integral Eq. (5) and $E_K = (\bar{q}^2 + m_K^2)^{1/2}$. The decay width of ϕ to a $K\bar{K}$ pair is given in terms of the imaginary part of $\Pi_\phi(p)$

$$\Im\Pi_\phi = -\frac{g_\phi^2}{24\pi} m_\phi^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m_K^2}{m_\phi^2}\right)^{3/2}, \quad (8)$$

as

$$\Gamma_\phi = -\frac{1}{m_\phi} \Im\Pi_\phi = \frac{g_\phi^2}{24\pi} m_\phi \left(1 - \frac{4m_K^2}{m_\phi^2}\right)^{3/2}. \quad (9)$$

The integral in Eq. (7) is divergent and needs regularization; we use a phenomenological form factor, with a cutoff parameter Λ_K , as in Ref. [62]. The coupling constant g_ϕ is determined by the experimental width of the ϕ in vacuum [63]. For the ϕ mass, m_ϕ , we use its experimental value: $m_\phi^{\text{expt}} = 1019.461$ MeV [63]. For the kaon mass m_K , there is a small ambiguity since $m_{K^+} \neq m_{K^0}$, as a result of charge symmetry breaking and electromagnetic interactions. The experimental values for the K^+ and K^0 meson masses in vacuum are $m_{K^+}^{\text{expt}} = 493.677$ MeV and $m_{K^0}^{\text{expt}} = 497.611$ MeV, respectively [63]. For definiteness we use the av-

erage of $m_{K^+}^{\text{expt}}$ and $m_{K^0}^{\text{expt}}$ as the value of m_K in vacuum. The effect of this tiny mass ambiguity on the in-medium kaon (antikaon) properties is negligible. Then, we get the coupling $g_\phi = 4.539$, and can fix the bare mass m_ϕ^0 .

3. The quark-meson coupling model and the in-medium kaon mass

Essential to our results for the in-medium ϕ mass, m_ϕ^* , and decay width, Γ_ϕ^* , at finite baryon density $\rho_B = \rho_p + \rho_n$ (sum of the proton and neutron densities), is the in-medium kaon mass, m_K^* , which is driven by the interactions of the kaon with the nuclear medium—we denote with an asterisk an in-medium quantity. The in-medium kaon mass is calculated in the QMC model. This model has been successfully applied to investigate the properties of infinite nuclear matter and finite nuclei. Here we briefly present the necessary details needed to understand our results. For a more in depth discussion of the model see Refs. [4, 50, 54] and references therein.

We consider nuclear matter in its rest frame, where all the scalar and vector mean field potentials, which are responsible for the nuclear many-body interactions, are constants in Hartree approximation. The Dirac equations for the quarks and antiquarks ($q = u$ or d , and s) in a hadron bag in nuclear matter at the position $x = (t, \vec{r})$ (with $|\vec{r}| \leq R_h^*$, the in medium bag radius) are given by [4, 54]:

$$\begin{aligned} [i\not{\partial}_x - m_q^* \mp \gamma^0 V_+] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_{\bar{u}} \end{pmatrix} &= 0, \\ [i\not{\partial}_x - m_q^* \mp \gamma^0 V_-] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_d \\ \psi_{\bar{d}} \end{pmatrix} &= 0, \\ [i\not{\partial}_x - m_s] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_s \\ \psi_{\bar{s}} \end{pmatrix} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $m_q^* = m_q - V_\sigma^q$ and $V_\pm = V_\omega^q \pm 1/2 V_\rho^q$. Here we neglect the Coulomb force, and assume SU(2) symmetry for the light quarks ($m_q = m_u = m_d$). The constant mean-field potentials in nuclear matter are defined by $V_\sigma^q \equiv g_\sigma^q \sigma$, $V_\omega^q \equiv g_\omega^q \omega$, and $V_\rho^q \equiv g_\rho^q b$, where b is the time component of the ρ mean field, with g_σ^q , g_ω^q , and g_ρ^q the corresponding quark-meson coupling constants. Note that $V_\rho^q \propto (\rho_p - \rho_n) = 0$ in symmetric nuclear matter, although this is not true in a nucleus where the Coulomb force may induce an asymmetry between the proton and neutron distributions even in

a nucleus with the same number of protons and neutrons, resulting in $V_\rho^q \propto (\rho_p - \rho_n) \neq 0$ at a given position in a nucleus.

The normalized, static solution for the ground-state quarks or antiquarks with flavor f in the hadron h may be written as $\psi_f(x) = N_f e^{-i\epsilon_f t/R_h^*} \psi_f(\vec{r})$, where N_f and $\psi_f(\vec{r})$ are the normalization factor and the corresponding spin and spatial part of the wave function, respectively. The in-medium bag radius R_h^* of hadron h is determined through the stability condition for the mass of the hadron against the variation of the bag radius [50, 54]—see Eq. (15) below. The eigenenergies in units of $1/R_h^*$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_u \\ \epsilon_{\bar{u}} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_q^* \pm R_h^* V_+, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d \\ \epsilon_{\bar{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_q^* \pm R_h^* V_-, \quad (12)$$

$$\epsilon_s = \epsilon_{\bar{s}} = \Omega_s. \quad (13)$$

Recall that $V_\rho^q = 0$, as explained earlier. The in-medium hadron mass, m_h^* , is calculated by

$$m_h^* = \sum_{j=q,\bar{q},s,\bar{s}} \frac{n_j \Omega_j^* - z_h}{R_h^*} + \frac{4\pi}{3} R_h^{*3} B, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial m_h^*}{\partial R_h^*} = 0, \quad (15)$$

where $\Omega_q^* = \Omega_{\bar{q}}^* = [x_q^2 + (R_h^* m_q^*)^2]^{1/2}$ with $\Omega_s^* = \Omega_{\bar{s}}^* = [x_s^2 + (R_h^* m_s)^2]^{1/2}$, $x_{q,s}$ being the lowest bag eigenfrequencies; and $n_q(n_{\bar{q}})$, $n_s(n_{\bar{s}})$ are the quark (antiquark) numbers for the quark flavors q and s , respectively. The MIT bag quantities, z_h , B , $x_{q,s}$, and $m_{q,s}$ are the parameters for the sum of the c.m. and gluon fluctuation effects, bag constant, lowest eigenvalues for the quarks q or s , respectively, and the corresponding current quark masses. The parameters z_N (z_h) and B are fixed by fitting the nucleon (hadron) mass in free space.

For the current quark masses relevant for this study, we use $(m_{u,d}, m_s) = (5, 250)$ MeV, where these values were used in Refs. [4, 54] and many studies made in the standard version of the QMC model. Since the effects of the current-quark mass values on the final results are very small, we use the same values as those used in the past, so that we can compare and discuss the results with those obtained previously. The bag radius of the nucleon in

vacuum is taken to be $R_N = 0.8$ fm, and the parameter z_N , simulating the zero-point and c.m. energy, is obtained $z_N = 3.295$. For the kaon, the values in vacuum calculated here are $(R_K, z_K) = (0.574$ fm, 3.295). The bag constant calculated for the present study is $B = (170$ MeV)⁴. The quark-meson coupling constants, which are determined so as to reproduce the saturation properties of symmetric nuclear matter—the binding energy per nucleon of 15.7 MeV at $\rho_0 = 0.15$ fm⁻³—are $(g_\sigma^q, g_\omega^q, g_\rho^q) = (5.69, 2.72, 9.33)$. In addition, the incompressibility obtained is $K = 279.3$ MeV. The σ coupling at the nucleon level, which is not trivial, is related by $g_\sigma \equiv g_\sigma^N \equiv 3g_\sigma^q S_N(\sigma = 0) = 3 \times 5.69 \times 0.483 = 8.23$ [4, 54], where

$$S_N(\sigma) = \int d^3r \bar{\psi}_q(\vec{r})\psi_q(\vec{r}), \quad (16)$$

with the ground state light-quark wave functions evaluated self-consistently in-medium.

The resulting in-medium kaon (Lorentz-scalar) mass, calculated via Eqs. (14) and (15), is shown in Fig. 2, with the parameters fixed by the nuclear matter saturation properties. The kaon effective mass at normal nuclear matter density $\rho_0 = 0.15$ fm⁻³ decreases by about 13%. This is a little larger than the 10% decrease used in Ref. [42]. Note that, the isoscalar-vector ω -mean-field potentials arise both for the kaon and antikaon. However, they have opposite signs and cancel each other (or they can be eliminated by a variable shift) in the calculation of the ϕ self-energy, and therefore we do not show here—see Ref. [4] for details.




Figure 2: In-medium kaon mass m_K^* .

4. ϕ meson in matter

The in-medium ϕ mass is calculated by solving Eq. (6) by replacing m_K by m_K^* and m_ϕ by m_ϕ^* , and the width is obtained by using the solutions in Eq. (9). We regularize the associated loop integral with a dipole form factor using a cutoff mass parameter Λ_K . In principle, this parameter may be determined phenomenologically using, for example, a quark model—see Ref. [62] for more details. However, for simplicity we keep it free and vary its value over a wide interval, namely 1000-3000 MeV.

	$\Lambda_K = 1000$	$\Lambda_K = 2000$	$\Lambda_K = 3000$
m_ϕ^*	1009.3	1000.9	994.9
Γ_ϕ^*	37.7	34.8	32.8

Table 1: ϕ mass and width at normal nuclear matter density, ρ_0 . All quantities are given in MeV.

In Tab. 1, we present the values for m_ϕ^* and Γ_ϕ^* at normal nuclear matter density ρ_0 . A negative kaon mass shift of 13% induces only $\approx 2\%$ downward mass shift of the ϕ . On the other hand, Γ_ϕ^* is very sensitive to the change in the kaon mass; at $\rho_B = \rho_0$, the broadening of the ϕ becomes an order of magnitude larger than its vacuum value and it increases rapidly with increasing nuclear density, up to a factor of ~ 20 enhancement for the largest nuclear matter density treated, $\rho_B = 3\rho_0$. This can be seen in Fig. 3, where we plot m_ϕ^* and Γ_ϕ^* as a function of the ratio ρ_B/ρ_0 . The effect of the in-medium kaon mass change gives a negative shift of the ϕ meson mass. However, even for the largest value of density treated in this study, the downward mass shift is only a few percent for all values of the cutoff parameter Λ_K . For m_ϕ^* at normal nuclear matter density, the average downward mass shift is 1.8% with a 0.7% standard deviation from the averaged value, while Γ_ϕ^* broadens in average by a factor of 10 with a 0.7 standard deviation from the average.

Next, we present predictions for single-particle energies and half widths for ϕ -nucleus bound states for several selected nuclei. We solve the Schrödinger equation for a complex ϕ -nucleus scalar potential determined by a local-density approximation using the ϕ mass shift and decay width in nuclear matter. This amounts to using the following for the complex ϕ -nucleus (A) potential

$$V_{\phi A}(r) = \Delta m_\phi^*(\rho_B(r)) - (i/2)\Gamma_\phi^*(\rho_B(r)), \quad (17)$$

where $\Delta m_\phi^*(\rho_B(r)) \equiv m_\phi^*(\rho_B(r)) - m_\phi$, r is the distance from the center of the nucleus and $\rho_B(r)$ is the density profile of the given nucleus, which we calculate in the QMC model. Table 2 shows the results for the real and imaginary parts of the single-particle energies $\mathcal{E} = E - (i/2)\Gamma$ in ${}^4\text{He}$, ${}^{12}\text{C}$ and ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$. We present results with and without




Figure 3: In-medium ϕ mass (upper panel) and width (lower panel) for three values of the cutoff parameter Λ_K .

the imaginary (absorptive) part of the ϕ -nucleus potential $V_{\phi A}(r)$. One sees that ϕ is not bound to ${}^4\text{He}$ when the imaginary part of the potential is included. For larger nuclei, the ϕ does bind but while the binding is substantial the energy levels are quite broad; the half widths being roughly the same size as the central values of the real parts.

To conclude and for completeness, we show the impact of adding the $\phi\phi K\bar{K}$ interaction of Eq. (3)

		E	$\Gamma/2$	E	$\Gamma/2$
${}^4\text{He}$	1s	-1.39	0	n	
${}^{12}\text{C}$	1s	-7.70	0	-6.47	11.00
${}^{208}\text{Pb}$	1s	-21.22	0	-21.06	16.25
	1p	-17.69	0	-17.35	15.76
	1d	-13.34	0	-12.78	15.06
	2s	-11.68	0	-10.97	14.67

Table 2: ϕ -nucleus bound state single-particle energies E and half widths $\Gamma/2$, calculated by solving the Schrödinger equation with and without the imaginary part of the ϕ -nucleus potential $V_{\phi A}(r)$. The cutoff value used is $\Lambda_K = 3000$ MeV. Quantities are all in MeV. “n” in the entry ${}^4\text{He}$ denotes that we find no bound state.

on the in-medium ϕ mass and width. Figure 4 presents the results. We have used the notation that $\xi = 1(0)$ means that this interaction is (not) included in the calculation of the ϕ self-energy. One still gets a downward shift of the in-medium ϕ mass when $\xi = 1$, although the absolute value is slightly different from $\xi = 0$. The in-medium width is not very sensitive to this interaction.

5. Conclusions and perspectives

We have calculated the ϕ meson mass and width in nuclear matter within an effective Lagrangian approach up to three times of normal nuclear matter density. Essential to our results are the in-medium kaon masses, which are calculated in the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model, where the scalar and vector meson mean fields couple directly to the light u and d quarks (antiquarks) in the K (\bar{K}) mesons.

At normal nuclear matter density, allowing for a very large variation of the cutoff parameter Λ_K , although we have found a sizable negative mass shift of 13% in the kaon mass, this induces only a few percent (1.8% on average) downward shift of the ϕ meson mass. On the other hand, it induces an order-of-magnitude broadening of the decay width.

Given the nuclear matter results, we have used a local density approximation to infer the position dependent attractive complex scalar potential, $V_s(\rho_B(r)) = \Delta m_\phi^*(\rho_B(r)) - (i/2)\Gamma_\phi^*(\rho_B(r))$, in a finite nucleus. This allowed us to study the binding and absorption of a number of ϕ -nuclear systems, given the nuclear density profiles, $\rho_B(r)$, also calculated using the QMC model. While the results found in this study show that one should expect the ϕ meson to be bound in all but the lightest nuclei,





Figure 4: Effect of adding ($\xi = 1$) the $\phi\phi K\bar{K}$ interaction of Eq. (3) on the in-medium ϕ mass (upper panel) and width (lower panel) for two values of the cutoff parameter Λ_K .

the broadening of these energy levels, which is comparable to the amount of binding, may introduce challenges in observing such states experimentally.

In the present study, we have focused on the ϕ self-energy in medium due to the medium modified kaon-antikaon loop. However, more study of gluonic color forces is needed on binding of the ϕ -meson to a nucleus.

As a possible extension of this work, we note that the medium effects on the ϕ meson may lead to some enhancement of the strangeness content of the bound nucleon, with consequences, for example, for dark matter detection.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Conselho

Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq, Grant Nos. 152348/2016-6 (J.J.C-M.), 400826/2014-3 and 308088/2015-8 (K.T.), 305894/2009-9 (G.K.), and 313800/2014-6 (A.W.T.), and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo - FAPESP, Grant Nos. 2015/17234-0 (K.T.) and 2013/01907-0 (G.K.). This research was also supported by the Australian Research Council through the ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale (CE110001104), and through Grant No. DP151103101 (A.W.T.).

References

References

- [1] S. Leupold, V. Metag and U. Mosel, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **19**, 147 (2010) [arXiv:0907.2388 [nucl-th]].
- [2] R. S. Hayano and T. Hatsuda, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2949 (2010) [arXiv:0812.1702 [nucl-ex]].
- [3] G. Krein, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1701**, 020012 (2016).
- [4] K. Tsushima, K. Saito, A. W. Thomas and S. V. Wright, *Phys. Lett. B* **429**, 239 (1998) Erratum: [*Phys. Lett. B* **436**, 453 (1998)] [nucl-th/9712044].
- [5] F. Laue *et al.* [KaoS Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1640 (1999) [nucl-ex/9901005].
- [6] J. Schaffner-Bielich, V. Koch and M. Effenberger, *Nucl. Phys. A* **669**, 153 (2000) [nucl-th/9907095].
- [7] Y. Akaishi and T. Yamazaki, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 044005 (2002).
- [8] C. Fuchs, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **56**, 1 (2006) [nucl-th/0507017].
- [9] A. I. Titov, Y. s. Oh and S. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1634 (1997) [nucl-th/9702015].
- [10] A. I. Titov, Y. s. Oh, S. N. Yang and T. Morii, *Phys. Rev. C* **58**, 2429 (1998) [nucl-th/9804043].
- [11] Y. s. Oh, A. I. Titov, S. N. Yang and T. Morii, *Phys. Lett. B* **462**, 23 (1999) [nucl-th/9905044].
- [12] Y. s. Oh and H. C. Bhang, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 055207 (2001) [nucl-th/0104068].
- [13] P. Gubler and K. Ohtani, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 9, 094002 (2014) [arXiv:1404.7701 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. Bottino, F. Donato, N. Fornengo and S. Scopel, *Astropart. Phys.* **18**, 205 (2002). [hep-ph/0111229].
- [15] J. R. Ellis, K. A. Olive and C. Savage, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 065026 (2008). [arXiv:0801.3656 [hep-ph]].
- [16] J. Giedt, A. W. Thomas and R. D. Young, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 201802 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.201802 [arXiv:0907.4177 [hep-ph]].
- [17] A. Sibirtsev, H. W. Hammer, U. G. Meissner and A. W. Thomas, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **29**, 209 (2006) [nucl-th/0606044].
- [18] T. Ishikawa *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **608**, 215 (2005) [nucl-ex/0411016].
- [19] T. Appelquist and W. Fischler, *Phys. Lett.* **77B**, 405 (1978).
- [20] S. J. Brodsky, I. A. Schmidt and G. F. de Teramond, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1011 (1990).

- [21] M. E. Luke, A. V. Manohar and M. J. Savage, Phys. Lett. B **288**, 355 (1992) [hep-ph/9204219].
- [22] A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima, K. Saito and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **484** (2000) 23 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00635-3 [nucl-th/9904015].
- [23] D. Kawama [J-PARC E16 Collaboration], PoS Hadron **2013**, 178 (2013).
- [24] D. Kawama *et al.* [J-PARC E16 Collaboration], JPS Conf. Proc. **1**, 013074 (2014).
- [25] H. Ohnishi *et al.*, Acta Phys. Polon. B **45**, 819 (2014).
- [26] K. Aoki [J-PARC E16 Collaboration], arXiv:1502.00703 [nucl-ex].
- [27] Y. Morino *et al.* [J-PARC E16 Collaboration], JPS Conf. Proc. **8**, 022009 (2015).
- [28] H. Gao, T. S. H. Lee and V. Marinov, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 022201 (2001) [nucl-th/0010042].
- [29] S. R. Beane, E. Chang, S. D. Cohen, W. Detmold, H.-W. Lin, K. Orginos, A. Parreo and M. J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 11, 114503 (2015) [arXiv:1410.7069 [hep-lat]].
- [30] N. Brambilla, G. Krein, J. Tarrs Castell and A. Vairo, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 054002 (2016) [arXiv:1510.05895 [hep-ph]].
- [31] H. Gao, H. Huang, T. Liu, J. Ping, F. Wang and Z. Zhao, arXiv:1701.03210 [hep-ph].
- [32] R. Muto *et al.* [KEK-PS-E325 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 042501 (2007) [nucl-ex/0511019].
- [33] T. Mibe *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **76**, 052202 (2007) [nucl-ex/0703013 [NUCL-EX]].
- [34] X. Qian *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **680**, 417 (2009) [arXiv:0907.2668 [nucl-ex]].
- [35] M. H. Wood *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 112301 (2010) [arXiv:1006.3361 [nucl-ex]].
- [36] A. Polyanskiy *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 74 (2011) [arXiv:1008.0232 [nucl-ex]].
- [37] <http://rarfaxp.riken.go.jp/~yokkaich/paper/jparc-proposal-0604.pdf>.
- [38] http://j-parc.jp/researcher/Hadron/en/pac_0907/pdf/Ohnishi.pdf.
- [39] http://j-parc.jp/researcher/Hadron/en/pac_1007/pdf/KEK_J-PARC-PAC2010-02.pdf.
- [40] https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/PACpage/PAC42/PAC42_FINAL_Report.pdf.
- [41] G. E. Brown and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2720 (1991).
- [42] C. M. Ko, P. Levai, X. J. Qiu and C. T. Li, Phys. Rev. C **45**, 1400 (1992).
- [43] T. Hatsuda and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C **46**, no. 1, R34 (1992).
- [44] T. Hatsuda, H. Shiomi and H. Kuwabara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95**, 1009 (1996) [nucl-th/9603043].
- [45] F. Klingl, T. Waas and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B **431**, 254 (1998) [hep-ph/9709210].
- [46] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A **679**, 616 (2001) [nucl-th/0005046].
- [47] D. Cabrera and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 045203 (2003) [nucl-th/0205075].
- [48] P. Gubler and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B **751**, 396 (2015) [arXiv:1507.03769 [hep-ph]].
- [49] D. Cabrera, A. N. Hiller Blin and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C **95**, no. 1, 015201 (2017) [arXiv:1609.03880 [nucl-th]].
- [50] P. A. M. Guichon, Nucl. Phys. A **497**, 265C (1989).
- [51] P. A. M. Guichon, K. Saito, E. N. Rodionov and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A **601** (1996) 349 doi:10.1016/0375-9474(96)00033-4 [nucl-th/9509034].
- [52] J. R. Stone, P. A. M. Guichon, P. G. Reinhard and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) no.9, 092501 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.092501 [arXiv:1601.08131 [nucl-th]]; K. Saito, K. Tsushima and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A **609**, 339 (1996) doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(96)00263-1 [nucl-th/9606020]; K. Saito, K. Tsushima and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 2637 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.55.2637 [nucl-th/9612001].
- [53] K. Tsushima, K. Saito, J. Haidenbauer and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A **630**, 691 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(98)00806-9 [nucl-th/9707022]; K. Tsushima, K. Saito and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **411**, 9 (1997) Erratum: [Phys. Lett. B **421**, 413 (1998)] doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00944-1, 10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00065-3 [nucl-th/9701047]; K. Tsushima and F. C. Khanna, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 015211 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.67.015211 [nucl-th/0207077]; K. Tsushima and F. C. Khanna, J. Phys. G **30**, 1765 (2004) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/30/12/001 [nucl-th/0303073]; P. A. M. Guichon, A. W. Thomas and K. Tsushima, Nucl. Phys. A **814**, 66 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.10.001 [arXiv:0712.1925 [nucl-th]].
- [54] K. Saito, K. Tsushima and A. W. Thomas, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58**, 1 (2007) [hep-ph/0506314].
- [55] F. Klingl, N. Kaiser and W. Weise, Z. Phys. A **356**, 193 (1996) [hep-ph/9607431].
- [56] Z. w. Lin, C. M. Ko and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C **61**, 024904 (2000).
- [57] S. H. Lee, C. Song and H. Yabu, Phys. Lett. B **341**, 407 (1995) [hep-ph/9408266].
- [58] K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 412 (1987).
- [59] U. G. Meissner and I. Zahed, Z. Phys. A **327**, 5 (1987).
- [60] U. G. Meissner, Phys. Rept. **161**, 213 (1988).
- [61] S. Saito and K. Yamawaki, NAGOYA, JAPAN: UNIV., PHYS. DEPT. (1987) 225p
- [62] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas and K. Tsushima, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 136 (2011) [arXiv:1007.2220 [nucl-th]].
- [63] The Review of Particle Physics (2015), K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, **38**, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update, <http://pdg.lbl.gov/>