A MATRIX APPROACH TO THE YANG MULTIPLICATION THEOREM

AKIHIRO MUNEMASA AND PRITTA ETRIANA PUTRI

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Noboru Ito

Abstract. In this paper, we use two-variable Laurent polynomials attached to matrices to encode properties of compositions of sequences. The Lagrange identity in the ring of Laurent polynomials is then used to give a short and transparent proof of a theorem about the Yang multiplication.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many classes of complementary sequences have been investigated in the literature (see [\[1\]](#page-8-0)). A quadruple of (± 1) -sequences (a, b, c, d) of length m, m, n, n , respectively, is called base sequences if

$$
N_{a}(j) + N_{b}(j) + N_{c}(j) + N_{d}(j) = 0
$$

for all positive integers i , where

$$
N_{\mathbf{s}}(j) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{l-j-1} s_i s_{i+j} & \text{if } 0 \le j < l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

for $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \ldots, s_{l-1}) \in \{\pm 1\}^l$. We denote by $BS(m, n)$ the set of base sequences of length m, m, n, n. If $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m, n)$, then it is complementary with weight $2(m+n)$. In [\[11\]](#page-8-1), Yang proved the following theorem, which is known as one version of the Yang multiplication theorem:

Theorem 1.1 ([\[11,](#page-8-1) Theorem 4]). If $BS(m+1,m) \neq \emptyset$ and $BS(n+1,n) \neq \emptyset$, then $BS(m', m') \neq \emptyset$ with $m' = (2m + 1)(2n + 1)$.

The well-known Hadamard conjecture states that Hadamard matrices of order $4n$ exist for every positive integer n . A consequence of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) is the existence of a Hadamard matrix of order $8m'$ for a positive integer m' satisfying the hypotheses. Indeed, a class of sequences called T -sequences with length $2m'$ can be obtained from $BS(m', m')$ [\[8\]](#page-8-2), and Hadamard matrices of order $8m'$ can be produced from Tsequences with length 2m′ by using Goethals–Seidel arrays [\[12\]](#page-8-3). For more information on T-sequences, we refer the reader to $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$.

Date: September 27, 2018.

In order to prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) Yang used the Lagrange identity for polynomial rings. Let $\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials over \mathbb{Z} and $*:\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \to \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ be the involutive automorphism defined by $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. We define the *Hall polynomial* $\phi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ of **a** by

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i x^i.
$$

It is easy to see that a quadraple (± 1) -sequences (a, b, c, d) of length m, m, n, n , respectively, is a base sequences if and only if

$$
(\phi_{\pmb a} \phi_{\pmb a}^* + \phi_{\pmb b} \phi_{\pmb b}^* + \phi_{\pmb c} \phi_{\pmb c}^* + \phi_{\pmb d} \phi_{\pmb d}^*)(x) = 2(m+n).
$$

Suppose $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(n + 1, n)$ and $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(m + 1, m)$. The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) in $[11]$ is by establishing the identity

$$
(\phi_{\mathbf{q}}\phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{r}}\phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\mathbf{s}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{t}}\phi_{\mathbf{t}}^{*})(x) = (\phi_{\mathbf{a}}\phi_{\mathbf{a}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{b}}\phi_{\mathbf{b}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{c}}\phi_{\mathbf{c}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{d}}\phi_{\mathbf{d}}^{*})(x^{2})(\phi_{\mathbf{e}}\phi_{\mathbf{e}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{f}}\phi_{\mathbf{f}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{g}}\phi_{\mathbf{g}}^{*} + \phi_{\mathbf{h}}\phi_{\mathbf{h}}^{*})(x^{2(2m+1)}), (1)
$$

after defining the sequences q, r, s, t appropriately such that, in particular,

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{q}}(x) = \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2)\phi_{\mathbf{f}^*}(x^{2(2m+1)}) + x\phi_{\mathbf{c}}(x^2)\phi_{\mathbf{g}}(x^{2(2m+1)}) - x^{2(2m+1)}\phi_{\mathbf{b}^*}(x^2)\phi_{\mathbf{e}}(x^{2(2m+1)}) + x^{2(2m+1)+1}\phi_{\mathbf{d}}(x^2)\phi_{\mathbf{h}}(x^{2(2m+1)}).
$$

A key to the proof is the Lagrange identity (see [\[11,](#page-8-1) Theorem L]): given a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h in a commutative ring with an involutive automorphism \ast , set

$$
q = af^* + cg - b^*e + dh,
$$

\n
$$
r = bf^* + dg^* + a^*e - ch^*,
$$

\n
$$
s = ag^* - cf - bh - d^*e,
$$

\n
$$
t = bg - df + ah^* + c^*e.
$$
\n(2)

Then

$$
qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* = (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*).
$$
 (3)

However, the derivation of (1) from (3) is not so immediate since one has to define a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h , as

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2), \phi_{\mathbf{b}}(x^2), x\phi_{\mathbf{c}}(x^2), x\phi_{\mathbf{d}}(x^2),
$$

\n
$$
x^{2m+(1-n)(2m+1)}\phi_{\mathbf{e}}(x^{2(2m+1)}), x^{-n(2m+1)}\phi_{\mathbf{f}}(x^{2(2m+1)}),
$$

\n
$$
x^{-n(2m+1)}\phi_{\mathbf{g}}(x^{2(2m+1)}), x^{(1-n)(2m+1)}\phi_{\mathbf{h}}(x^{2(2m+1)}),
$$

rather than

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2), \phi_{\mathbf{b}}(x^2), \phi_{\mathbf{c}}(x^2), \phi_{\mathbf{d}}(x^2), \phi_{\mathbf{e}}(x^{2(2m+1)}), \phi_{\mathbf{f}}(x^{2(2m+1)}), \phi_{\mathbf{g}}(x^{2(2m+1)}), \phi_{\mathbf{h}}(x^{2(2m+1)}),
$$

respectively. We note that Doković and Zhao [7] observed some connection between

the Yang multiplication theorem and the octonion algebra. More information on the

Yang multiplication theorem and constructions of complementary sequences can be found in $|5|$.

In this paper, we give a more straightforward proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) Our approach is by constructing a matrix Q from the eight sequences a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h and produce Laurent polynomials $\psi_{s}(x)$ for $s \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$ of single variable and a Laurent polynomial $\psi_Q(x, y)$ of two variables for a matrix Q, such that

$$
\psi_Q(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_f(y) + \psi_c(x)\psi_g(y) + \psi_b(x)\psi_e(y) + \psi_d(x)\psi_h(y).
$$

This gives an interpretation of the Lagrange identity in term of sequences and matrices, i.e. there exist matrices Q, R, S, T such that

$$
\begin{split} & (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, y) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x) (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(y). \end{split}
$$

Then [\(1\)](#page-1-0) follows immediately by noticing $\psi_Q(x, x^{(2n+1)}) = \psi_q(x)$ and $(\psi_a \psi_a^*)(x) =$ $(\phi_a \phi_a^*)(x^2).$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will define a Laurent polynomial $\psi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x)$ for a sequence \boldsymbol{a} and introduce basic properties of $\psi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x)$. We will also show how to combine sequences and matrices to produce new sequences and matrices, eventually leading to a construction of a matrix from a given set of eight sequences. Finally, in Section 3, we will prove Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) as a consequence of the Lagrange identity in the ring of Laurent polynomials of two variables. We note here that Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) [\[11,](#page-8-1) Theorem 4] is known as one of the Yang multiplication theorem. Other versions of the Yang multiplication theorem will be investigated in subsequent papers.

2. Preliminary Results

Let $\mathcal R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $*$ be an involutive automorphism of R. Moreover, let $\mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials over R and $*$: $\mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}] \to \mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}]$ be the extension of the involutive automorphism $*$ of R defined by $x \mapsto x^{-1}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $a = (a_0, \ldots a_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^l$. We define the *Hall polynomial* $\phi_a(x) \in$ $\mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}]$ of \boldsymbol{a} by

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i x^i.
$$

We define a Laurent polynomial $\psi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}]$ by

$$
\psi_a(x) = x^{1-l} \phi_a(x^2).
$$

Hall polynomials have been used not only by Yang, but also others. See [\[6\]](#page-8-9) and references therein. For a sequence $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^l$ of length l we define $a^* \in \mathcal{R}^l$ by $(a^*_{l-1}, \ldots, a^*_0)$. It follows immediately that $a^{**} = a$ for every $a \in \mathcal{R}^l$.

Definition 2.2. For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1})$ of length l with entries in \mathcal{R} , we define the non-periodic autocorrelation N_a of a by

$$
N_{a}(j) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{l-j-1} a_i a_{i+j}^* & \text{if } 0 \le j < l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We say that a set of sequences $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ not necessarily all of the same length, is complementary with weight w if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{a_i}(j) = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

By Definition [2.2](#page-3-0) with $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m, n)$ if and only if $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is complementary with weight $2(m+n)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let l be a positive integer and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}^l$. Then

$$
\psi_{a^*}(x) = \psi_a^*(x).
$$

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Lemma 2.4. For sequences a_1, \ldots, a_n with entries in R, the following are equivalent.

(i) a_1, \ldots, a_n are complementary with weight w, (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_{\mathbf{a}_i} \phi_{\mathbf{a}_i}^*)(x) = w,$ (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\psi_{a_i} \psi_{a_i}^*)(x) = w.$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that (i) is equivalent to (ii). Equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is clear since for any sequence $a, \phi_a(x^2)\phi_a^*(x^2) = \psi_a(x)\psi_a^*(x)$ from Definition [2.1.](#page-2-0) \Box

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^l$. Define

$$
\mathbf{a}/0=(a_0,0,a_1,\ldots,0,a_{l-1})\in\mathcal{R}^{2l-1},\quad 0/\mathbf{a}=(0,a_0,0,\ldots,a_{l-1},0)\in\mathcal{R}^{2l+1}.
$$

Lemma 2.6. For every $a \in \mathcal{R}^l$,

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{a}/0}(x) = \psi_{0/\mathbf{a}}(x) = \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2).
$$

Proof. By Definition [2.1](#page-2-0) and Definition [2.5](#page-3-1) , we have

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{a}/0}(x) = x^{1-(2l-1)} \phi_{\mathbf{a}/0}(x^2) = x^{2-2l} \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^4) = \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2),
$$

$$
\psi_{0/\mathbf{a}}(x) = x^{1-(2l+1)} \phi_{0/\mathbf{a}}(x^2) = x^{-2l} x^2 \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^4) = \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x^2).
$$

Now, we will define a Laurent polynomial of two variables for arbitrary matrices. Let $\mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in two variables x, y. We define an involutive ring automorphism $* : \mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}] \to \mathcal{R}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ by $x \mapsto x^{-1}, y \mapsto y^{-1}$ and $a \mapsto a^*$ for $a \in \mathcal{R}$.

 \Box

Definition 2.7. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we denote the row vectors of a matrix A by a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1} . Define

$$
\text{seq}(A) = (\boldsymbol{a}_0 \mid \boldsymbol{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \boldsymbol{a}_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^{mn},
$$

where | denotes concatenation, and

$$
\psi_A(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \psi_{a_i}(x) y^{2i+1-m}.
$$

Clearly, we have $\psi_{A\pm B}(x,y) = \psi_A(x,y) \pm \psi_B(x,y)$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$. Note that we may regard \mathcal{R}^n as $\mathcal{R}^{1 \times n}$. So, for every $a \in \mathcal{R}^n$, we have $a^t \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times 1}$ where t denotes the transpose of a matrix.

Lemma 2.8. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$
\psi_{f^t a}(x, y) = \psi_a(x) \psi_f(y).
$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$. Then

$$
\psi_{f^t a}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \psi_{(f^t a)_i}(x) y^{2i+1-m}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_i \psi_a(x) y^{2i+1-m}
$$

=
$$
\psi_a(x) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_i y^{2i+1-m}
$$

=
$$
\psi_a(x) \psi_f(y).
$$

Lemma 2.9. If $A \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$, then

$$
\psi_{\text{seq}(A)}(x) = \psi_A(x, x^n).
$$

Proof. Let a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1} be the row vectors of A. Since $\phi_{seq(A)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{ni} \phi_{a_i}(x)$, we have

$$
\psi_{\text{seq}(A)}(x) = x^{1 - mn} \phi_{\text{seq}(A)}(x^2)
$$

= $x^{1 - mn} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{2ni} \phi_{\mathbf{a}_i}(x^2)$
= $x^{1 - mn} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{2ni+n-1} \psi_{\mathbf{a}_i}(x)$
= $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{n(2i+1-m)} \psi_{\mathbf{a}_i}(x)$
= $\psi_A(x, x^n)$.

 \Box

3. Main Result

We will present our result by three steps. The following lemma is essential to describe the Yang multiplication theorem by using matrix approach.

Lemma 3.1. Let

 $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} \in \mathcal{R}^n, \quad \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h} \in \mathcal{R}^m.$

Set

$$
Q = f^{*t}a + g^tc - e^tb^* + h^td,
$$

\n
$$
R = f^{*t}b + g^{*t}d + e^ta^* - h^{*t}c,
$$

\n
$$
S = g^{*t}a - f^tc - h^tb - e^td^*,
$$

\n
$$
T = g^tb - f^td + h^{*t}a + e^tc^*.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{split} & (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, y) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x) (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(y). \end{split}
$$

Proof. By Lemma [2.3](#page-3-2) and Lemma [2.8,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\psi_Q(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_f^*(y) + \psi_c(x)\psi_g(y) - \psi_b^*(x)\psi_e(y) + \psi_d(x)\psi_h(y),
$$

\n
$$
\psi_R(x, y) = \psi_b(x)\psi_f^*(y) + \psi_d(x)\psi_g^*(y) + \psi_a^*(x)\psi_e(y) - \psi_c(x)\psi_h^*(y),
$$

\n
$$
\psi_S(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_g^*(y) - \psi_c(x)\psi_f(y) - \psi_b(x)\psi_h(y) - \psi_d^*(x)\psi_e(y),
$$

\n
$$
\psi_T(x, y) = \psi_b(x)\psi_g(y) - \psi_d(x)\psi_f(y) + \psi_a(x)\psi_h^*(y) + \psi_c^*(x)\psi_e(y).
$$

Thus, by applying the Lagrange identity, the result follows. \Box

For the remainder of this section, we fix a multiplicatively closed subset \mathcal{T} of $\mathcal{R}\setminus\{0\}$ satisfying $-1 \in \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^*$. Also, we denote $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by supp (a) and supp(A) the set of indices of nonzero entries of a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^l$ and a matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{0 \le i \le m-1, 0 \le j \le n-1} \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$, respectively. We say that sequences **a**, **b** are disjoint if $\sup_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}) \cap \sup_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b}) = \emptyset$. Matrices A, B are also said to be disjoint if supp(A) ∩ supp(B) = \emptyset .

Lemma 3.2. Let m and n be positive integers,

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} &\in \mathcal{T}^{n+1}, \\ \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} &\in \mathcal{T}^n, \\ \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g} &\in \mathcal{T}^{m+1}, \\ \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{e} &\in \mathcal{T}^m. \end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
a' = a/0
$$
, $b' = b/0$, $c' = 0/c$, $d' = 0/d$,
\n $f' = f/0$, $g' = g/0$, $h' = 0/h$, $e' = 0/e$.

Write

$$
Q = f^{\prime *t} a' + g^{\prime t} c' - e^{\prime t} b^{\prime *} + h^{\prime t} d', \tag{4}
$$

$$
R = f'^{*t}b' + g'^{*t}d' + e'^{t}a'^{*} - h'^{*t}c',
$$
\n(5)

$$
S = g'^{*t}a' - f'^{t}c' - h'^{t}b' - e'^{t}d'^{*},
$$
\n(6)

$$
T = g'^t b' - f'^t d' + h'^{*t} a' + e'^t c'^*.
$$
 (7)

Then $Q, R, S, T \in \mathcal{T}^{(2m+1)\times (2n+1)}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{split} & (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, y) \\ &= (\psi_{\mathbf{a}} \psi_{\mathbf{a}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{b}} \psi_{\mathbf{b}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{c}} \psi_{\mathbf{c}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{d}} \psi_{\mathbf{d}}^*)(x^2) (\psi_{\mathbf{e}} \psi_{\mathbf{e}}^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(y^2). \end{split}
$$

Proof. Notice that $a', b', c', d' \in \mathcal{T}_0^{2n+1}$ and $e', f', g', h' \in \mathcal{T}_0^{2m+1}$.

Since supp (s^{i}) = supp (s') for every $s \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$ and (s', t') is disjoint whenever

$$
s\in\{a,b\}, t\in\{c,d\} \quad \text{or} \quad s\in\{f,g\}, t\in\{h,e\},
$$

matrices A and B are disjoint whenever $A \neq B$ and

$$
A, B \in \{f'^{*t}a', g'^{t}c', e'^{t}b'^{*}, h'^{t}d'\}.
$$

Also,

$$
supp(\boldsymbol{a}') \cup supp(\boldsymbol{c}') = supp(\boldsymbol{b}'^*) \cup supp(\boldsymbol{d}') = \{0, \ldots, 2n\},
$$

$$
supp(\boldsymbol{f}'^*) = supp(\boldsymbol{g}'), \quad supp(\boldsymbol{e}') = supp(\boldsymbol{h}').
$$

Hence

$$
supp(Q) = supp(\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime *t}\boldsymbol{a}') \cup supp(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime t}\boldsymbol{c}') \cup supp(\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime t}\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime *}) \cup supp(\boldsymbol{h}^{\prime t}\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime})
$$

\n
$$
= \{(i, j) : i \in supp(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}), j \in supp(\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}) \cup supp(\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime})\}
$$

\n
$$
\cup \{(i, j) : i \in supp(\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}), j \in supp(\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime *}) \cup supp(\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime})\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{(i, j) : i \in supp(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}) \cup supp(\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}), j \in \{0, ..., 2n\}\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{0, ..., 2m\} \times \{0, ..., 2n\}.
$$

By a similar argument, we obtain

$$
supp(R) = supp(S) = supp(T) = \{0, ..., 2m\} \times \{0, ..., 2n\}.
$$

Therefore, $Q, R, S, T \in \mathcal{T}^{(2m+1)\times(2n+1)}$. The claimed identity follows from Lemma [2.6](#page-3-3) and Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let m, n be positive integers, and suppose

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} &\in \mathcal{T}^{n+1}, \\ \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} &\in \mathcal{T}^n, \\ \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g} &\in \mathcal{T}^{m+1}, \\ \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{e} &\in \mathcal{T}^m \end{aligned}
$$

satisfy

$$
(\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x) = 2(2n + 1),
$$

\n
$$
(\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(x) = 2(2m + 1).
$$

Then there exist $q, r, s, t \in \mathcal{T}^{(2m+1)(2n+1)}$ such that

$$
(\psi_{\mathbf{q}}\psi_{\mathbf{q}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_{\mathbf{s}}^* + \psi_{\mathbf{t}}\psi_{\mathbf{t}}^*)(x) = 4(2m+1)(2n+1).
$$

Proof. Define Q, R, S, T as in $(4), (5), (6), (7)$ $(4), (5), (6), (7)$ $(4), (5), (6), (7)$ $(4), (5), (6), (7)$ $(4), (5), (6), (7)$ $(4), (5), (6), (7)$, respectively. Write

$$
q = \text{seq}(Q),
$$
 $r = \text{seq}(R),$ $s = \text{seq}(S),$ $t = \text{seq}(T).$

By Lemma [3.2,](#page-6-4) $q, r, s, t \in \mathcal{T}^{(2m+1)(2n+1)}$. Applying Lemma [2.9](#page-4-1) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
(\psi_{\mathbf{q}}\psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_{\mathbf{s}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{t}}\psi_{\mathbf{t}}^{*})(x)
$$

= $(\psi_{\mathbf{Q}}\psi_{\mathbf{Q}}^{*} + \psi_{R}\psi_{R}^{*} + \psi_{S}\psi_{S}^{*} + \psi_{T}\psi_{T}^{*})(x, x^{2n+1})$
= $(\psi_{\mathbf{a}}\psi_{\mathbf{a}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{b}}\psi_{\mathbf{b}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{c}}\psi_{\mathbf{c}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{d}}\psi_{\mathbf{d}}^{*})(x^{2})(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}\psi_{\mathbf{e}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{f}}\psi_{\mathbf{f}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{g}}\psi_{\mathbf{g}}^{*} + \psi_{\mathbf{h}}\psi_{\mathbf{h}}^{*})(x^{2(2n+1)})$
= $4(2m+1)(2n+1).$

Hence the proof is complete. \Box

Finally, we see that Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) follows from Theorem [3.3](#page-7-0) by setting $\mathcal{T} = {\pm 1}$ \subset Z. Hence, our method gives a more transparent proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) Indeed, by taking $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(n + 1, n)$ and $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(m + 1, m)$, the hypotheses in Theorem [3.3](#page-7-0) are satisfied by Lemma [2.4.](#page-3-4) Then the resulting sequences (q, r, s, t) belong to $BS(m', m')$ by Lemma [2.4](#page-3-4) where $m' = (2m + 1)(2n + 1)$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Robert Craigen for valuable advice.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cohen, D. Rubie, J. Seberry, C. Koukouvinos, S. Kounias, and M. Yamada, A survey of base sequences, disjoint complementary sequences and $OD(4t; t, t, t, t)$, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 5 (1989) 69–103.
- [2] H. Kharaghani and C. Koukouvinos, Complementary, base and Turyn sequences in: Handbook of Comb. Des. (C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz., eds.), 2nd Ed., pp. 317–321, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.
- [3] H. Kharaghani and B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, A Hadamard matrix of order 428, J. Combin. Designs 13 (2005), 435–440.
- [4] C. Koukouvinos and J. Seberry, Addendum to further results on base sequences, disjoint complementary sequences, $OD(4t; t, t, t, t)$ and the excess of Hadamard matrices, Congr. Numer. 82 (1991), 97–103.
- [5] C. Koukouvinos, S. Kounias, J. Seberry, C.H. Yang and J. Yang, Multiplication of sequences with zero autocorrelation, Australas. J. Combin. 10 (1994), 5-15.
- [6] R. Craigen, W. Gibson and C. Koukouvinos, An update on primitive ternary complementary pairs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007), 957–963.
- [7] D. Ž. Ðoković and K. Zhao, An octonion algebra originating in combinatorics, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 4187–4195.
- [8] D. Ž. Ðoković , Hadamard matrices of small order and Yang conjecture. J. Combin. Designs, 18 (2010), 254–259.
- [9] C. H. Yang, Lagrange identity for polynomials and δ -codes of length 7t and 13t, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 746–750.
- [10] C. H. Yang, A composition theorem for δ-codes, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1983), 375–378.
- [11] C. H. Yang, On composition of four-symbol δ -codes and Hadamard matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math.* Soc. 107 (1989), 763-776.
- [12] R. J. Turyn, An infinite class of Williamson matrices, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 12 (1972), 319–321.

Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Japan

E-mail address: munemasa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp

Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Japan and Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

E-mail address: pritta@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp