

# Bimetric Theory of Fractional Quantum Hall States

Andrey Gromov and Dam Thanh Son

*Kadanoff Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA*

We present a bimetric low-energy effective theory of fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states that describes the topological properties and a gapped collective excitation, known as the Girvin-Macdonald-Platzman (GMP) mode. The theory consists of a topological Chern-Simons action, coupled to a symmetric rank-2 tensor, and an action *à la* bimetric gravity, describing the gapped dynamics of a spin-2 mode. The theory is formulated in curved ambient space and is spatially covariant, which allows us to restrict the form of the effective action and the values of phenomenological coefficients. Using bimetric theory, we calculate the projected static structure factor up to the  $k^6$  order in the momentum expansion. To provide further support for the theory, we derive the long-wave limit of the GMP algebra, the dispersion relation of the GMP mode, and the Hall viscosity of FQH states. The particle-hole (PH) transformation of the theory takes a very simple form, making the duality between FQH states and their PH conjugates manifest. We also comment on the possible applications to fractional Chern insulators, where closely related structures arise. It is shown that the familiar FQH observables acquire a curious geometric interpretation within the bimetric formalism.

## I. INTRODUCTION

During the last two decades, topological quantum field theory (TQFT) has firmly established itself as a useful low-energy theory of fractional quantum Hall states [1, 2] (and, more generally, of topological phases in two spatial dimensions). It describes the properties of local anyonic quasiparticles and the ground-state degeneracy on higher-genus surfaces; in addition, it allows one to calculate the universal part of the linear response to external fields and implies gapless edge excitations. TQFT was introduced into quantum Hall physics in the seminal papers [3, 4] and has led to a satisfactory picture of the fractionalized local excitations originally introduced by Laughlin in the language of trial wave functions [2]. The relationship between the two approaches was first explained in Ref. [5]. The properties described by the TQFT are expected to be insensitive to the microscopic details of the material or the experimental setup that probes the topological phase in question.

In recent years, it was realized that additional universal features are revealed when a quantum Hall state (or any topological phase in two dimensions) is placed on a curved surface. Paradoxically, when the TQFT is coupled to the geometry of the ambient space, it produces nontrivial linear response functions that encode additional information about a quantum Hall state such as the Wen-Zee shift [6–8], the Hall viscosity [9–12], and the central charge [13–19].

Another remarkable feature of fractional quantum Hall states, not shared generically by other topological phases of matter, is the presence of a gapped collective excitation first proposed by Girvin, Macdonald, and Platzman (GMP) [20]. This excitation is absent in the integer quantum Hall phases but appears to be universally present in the fractional states and has been experimentally observed [21–23]. One remarkable property of the GMP mode is that it carries angular momentum  $L = 2$  at zero linear momentum. This property of the GMP

mode is one of the motivations that lead Haldane to propose that fractional quantum Hall states have a hidden sector described by a gapped effective theory of a geometric nature [12, 24, 25]. More recently, the authors of Refs. [26, 27] (see also Ref. [28]), motivated by recent experiments [29], suggested that the GMP mode can be understood as gapped fluctuations of a nematic order parameter; hence, it becomes light near the nematic phase transition. This interpretation justifies the inclusion of only the spin-2 mode in the effective field theory. However, it leaves one wondering about the role of general covariance and geometry emphasized in Refs. [12, 24, 25]. Another effective theory of the GMP mode was considered in Ref. [30], where the Wess-Zumino-Witten action was used to construct the kinetic term. Geometric degrees of freedom and possible means of their observation were also discussed in Refs. [31, 32] in a different language.

The goal of the present paper is to construct an effective theory describing the spin-2 mode that is consistent with all constraints arising from the topological properties and the structure of the single Landau level. Our approach combines the ideas of Refs. [12, 24–27] with the formalism developed in Ref. [33] and the bimetric approach to massive gravity [34, 35]. This effective theory is geometric in nature, covariant with respect to spatial diffeomorphisms, and nonlinear by construction. Similarly to Ref. [26], the theory consists of a Chern-Simons TQFT interacting with a massive spin-2 field, whose dynamics is governed by an action reminiscent of the action for bimetric theory of massive gravity. We evaluate the projected static structure factor (SSF), which is given by the equal-time correlation function of the Ricci scalar within bimetric theory, and we match it to the microscopic result of Ref. [20]. This matching completely fixes all dimensionless phenomenological parameters in the theory and provides a matching of dimensionful parameters to quantities computable from the microscopics. Then, we use the theory to rederive the long-wavelength limit of

the GMP algebra, the dispersion relation of the GMP mode, and the Hall viscosity, and we show that all linear response functions are reproduced correctly. The proposed theory is valid as long as the observable quantities are saturated by the single-mode approximation (SMA). This is presumably true close to a nematic phase transition. While there is *a priori* no reason to expect the SMA to be reliable away from a nematic phase transition, there is evidence that it is a good approximation numerically [36–38].

There are two equivalent formulations of the bimetric theory. In the first formulation, the metric sets the background geometry, and the dynamical degree of freedom is a  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrix of unit determinant, reminiscent of a Goldstone field, that transforms under the internal symmetries of the tangent space. This matrix is not a metric and, in general, cannot be used to measure distances. This formulation is very close in spirit to the one in Ref. [26]. In the second formulation, the quantum degree of freedom is a dynamical metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . The two formulations are related to each other by a linear change of variables. We refer to these formulations as first and second order, correspondingly. We emphasize that the presented theory does not refer to the notion (or any properties of) holomorphic wave functions and should be applicable for *any* Landau level, as well as fractional Chern insulators (with the possible incorporation of lattice symmetries).

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we review the bimetric geometry of Ref. [33]. In Sec. III we introduce the bimetric effective theory, fix the phenomenological coefficients by matching the SSF computation, and reproduce the long-wave limit of the GMP algebra. In Sec. IV, we further develop the theory and discuss the role of the gravitational Chern-Simons term. In Sect. V, we present our conclusions and discuss open questions.

## II. BIMETRIC GEOMETRY

In this section, we review the geometric formalism recently developed in Ref. [33] to analyze anisotropic FQH states. This formalism will play the central role in formulating the effective theory.

### A. “Nonrelativistic” geometry

We start with a brief review of the geometry used to probe FQH states [13, 39–44]. In what follows, we do not assume any nongeneric symmetry such as rotational, Galilean, or Lorentz invariance, which will be reflected in the geometry we discuss. We describe the geometry using vielbein fields  $e_\mu^A = (e_0^A, e_i^A)$  and  $E_A^\mu = (E_A^0, E_A^i)$ , where the indices  $i, j, \dots = 1, 2$  label the spatial coordinates on the manifold, while  $A, B, \dots = 1, 2$  are the internal indices. Here,  $E_A^i$  is the inverse matrix of  $e_i^A$ . Objects carrying the index  $i$  transform under spatial co-

ordinate transformations, and those carrying the index  $A$  transform under internal  $SO(2)$  rotations. Greek letters  $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, 2$  are used for spacetime indices; however, we only allow time-independent spatial coordinate transformations, thus separating time from space. The spatial metric is then given by

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{AB} e_i^A e_j^B, \quad g^{ij} = \delta^{AB} E_A^i E_B^j. \quad (1)$$

We allow the metric to depend on both time  $t$  and space  $\mathbf{x}$ . The spatial metric is used to measure spatial distances according to  $ds^2 = g_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) dx^i dx^j$ ; thus, we allow the distance between any two points to change in time. The  $SO(2)$  “symmetry” that acts on the vielbein field merely reflects the inherent ambiguity of splitting the metric into a product of two matrices. Any physical observable must not depend on how this ambiguity is resolved, which will translate into the invariance of the effective action and the generating functional with respect to local  $SO(2)$  transformations.

We introduce a covariant derivative  $\nabla_\mu$  and impose metric compatibility conditions:

$$\nabla_\mu e_\nu^A = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu g_{ij} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Defining the action of the covariant derivative to be

$$\nabla_\mu e_\nu^A = \partial_\mu e_\nu^A - \Gamma_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda e_\lambda^A + \omega_{B, \mu}^A e_\nu^B = 0, \quad (3)$$

we find that the spin connection is given in terms of the vielbeins and the Christoffel symbols,

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_A^B E_B^i \partial_0 e_i^A, \quad (4)$$

$$\omega_j = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_A^B \left( E_B^i \partial_j e_i^A - \Gamma_{i, j}^k e_k^A E_B^i \right), \quad (5)$$

where the Christoffel symbols are determined from the second condition in Eq. (2),

$$\Gamma_{k, j}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{i\ell} (\partial_j g_{k\ell} + \partial_k g_{j\ell} - \partial_\ell g_{jk}), \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma_{j, 0}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \partial_0 g_{jk}. \quad (7)$$

Certain components of the Christoffel connection remain undetermined by Eq. (2) and, therefore, have to be determined solely by a torsion. We set the “reduced torsion” of Ref. [41] to 0. It is easy to verify that under a rotation of vielbeins, the spin connection  $\omega_\mu$  transforms like an Abelian gauge field. The Ricci curvature of a time slice is given by

$$R = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \partial_1 \omega_2 - \partial_2 \omega_1 \right). \quad (8)$$

The Ricci curvature can depend on time; however, we assume that the Euler characteristic

$$\chi = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{g} R \quad (9)$$

is time independent. Finally, we often use the form notation  $d\omega$ , which means

$$(d\omega)_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu. \quad (10)$$

This completes our review of the spatial geometry. In the remainder of the paper, this geometry will describe the shape and the curvature of the sample where the topological electron fluid “lives.”

## B. Intrinsic geometry

Next, we recall the formalism developed in Ref. [33] in the context of anisotropic FQH states. In the present context, there is no anisotropy in the sense of Ref. [33]; however, we postulate the existence of a rank-2 symmetric tensor,  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  that describes the spin-2 massive collective excitation. Note that, by definition,  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a spacetime scalar that transforms only under the internal  $SO(2)$ . With this tensor at hand, we can reconstruct the formalism of Ref. [33].

Following Ref. [33], we introduce an analogue of the vielbeins according to

$$\mathfrak{h}_{AB} = \lambda_A^\alpha \lambda_B^\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (11)$$

where the indices  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, 2$  correspond to a new ambiguity in splitting  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$  into a product of two matrices. We denote this ambiguity as  $\widehat{SO}(2)$ . We use a convention in which all internal indices  $A, B, \dots$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$  are raised and lowered with  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ , respectively. We also introduce the inverse of  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$  which is denoted  $\mathfrak{H}^{AB}$  and is, in general, *not* equal to  $\mathfrak{h}^{AB}$ . Correspondingly, we introduce the inverse vielbeins:

$$\mathfrak{H}^{AB} = \Lambda_\alpha^A \Lambda_\beta^B \delta^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (12)$$

Then,

$$\mathfrak{h}_{AB} \mathfrak{H}^{BC} = \delta_C^B, \quad \Lambda_\alpha^A \lambda_A^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta. \quad (13)$$

Given these data, we can introduce an intrinsic vielbein field  $\hat{e}_\mu^\alpha$  and an intrinsic metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  according to

$$\hat{e}_\mu^\alpha = e_\mu^A \lambda_A^\alpha, \quad \hat{g}_{ij} = \hat{e}_i^\alpha \hat{e}_j^\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathfrak{h}_{AB} e_i^A e_j^B. \quad (14)$$

Next, we introduce the inverse intrinsic metric

$$\hat{G}^{ij} = \mathfrak{H}^{AB} E_A^i E_B^j = \hat{E}_\alpha^i \hat{E}_\beta^j \delta^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (15)$$

where we have also introduced the inverse second vielbein  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\mu = E_A^\mu \lambda_A^\alpha$ . We use a convention where the spatial indices  $i, j, \dots$  are raised and lowered with the spatial metric  $g_{ij}$ .

With  $\hat{e}_\mu^\alpha$  at hand, we again define a covariant derivative  $\hat{\nabla}_\mu$  and impose the compatibility with the vielbein

$$\hat{\nabla}_\mu \hat{e}_\nu^A = \partial_\mu \hat{e}_\nu^A - \hat{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu,\mu} \hat{e}_\lambda^A + \hat{\omega}^A_{B,\mu} \hat{e}_\nu^B = 0, \quad (16)$$

which will again define the spin connection  $\hat{\omega}_\mu$

$$\hat{\omega}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\alpha^\beta \hat{E}_\beta^i \partial_0 \hat{e}_i^\alpha, \quad (17)$$

$$\hat{\omega}_j = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\alpha^\beta \left( \hat{E}_\beta^i \partial_j \hat{e}_i^\alpha - \hat{\Gamma}^k_{i,j} \hat{e}_k^\alpha \hat{E}_\beta^i \right), \quad (18)$$

where the second Christoffel connection is defined by the condition  $\hat{\nabla}_\mu \hat{g}_{ij} = 0$  and is given by

$$\hat{\Gamma}^i_{k,j} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}^{i\ell} (\partial_j \hat{g}_{k\ell} + \partial_k \hat{g}_{j\ell} - \partial_\ell \hat{g}_{jk}), \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^i_{j,0} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}^{ik} \partial_0 \hat{g}_{jk}. \quad (20)$$

Clearly, the spin connection  $\hat{\omega}_\mu$  transforms as an Abelian gauge field under the  $\widehat{SO}(2)$  transformations. Next, we define the Ricci scalar according to  $\hat{R} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} (\partial_1 \hat{\omega}_2 - \partial_2 \hat{\omega}_1)$  and the second Euler characteristic  $\hat{\chi}$ ,

$$\hat{\chi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R}. \quad (21)$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\hat{\chi} = \chi + \mathcal{X}, \quad (22)$$

where  $\chi$  is the Euler character of the physical space and  $\mathcal{X}$  is the number of singularities in  $\lambda_A^\alpha$ . The latter can be evaluated as

$$\mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{\mathfrak{h}} \hat{R} \Big|_{g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}}, \quad (23)$$

where we have introduced  $\mathfrak{h} = \lambda^2 = \det \mathfrak{h}_{AB} = (\det \lambda_A^\alpha)^2$ .

With two independent metrics and connections, we can introduce extra data absent in the traditional Riemannian geometry. Consider the one-form

$$C^i_{j,\mu} = \Gamma^i_{j,\mu} - \hat{\Gamma}^i_{j,\mu}. \quad (24)$$

As a difference of two connections,  $C^i_{j,k}$  transforms like a rank-3 tensor. There are no more independent objects of interest. One can construct two independent one-forms from  $C^i_{j,k}$ : the trace  $C^i_{i,k} \sim \partial \ln \mathfrak{h}$  and the antisymmetric part  $C_\mu = \epsilon_i^j C^i_{j,\mu}$ . The latter does not vanish in our setup and will be used in the effective action. Note that  $C_\mu$  has good transformation properties only when the same diffeomorphism is applied simultaneously to  $\Gamma$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}$ . Thus, any action that involves  $C_\mu$  will break the two copies of diffeomorphisms (acting on  $g$  and  $\hat{g}$ , correspondingly) down to a diagonal subgroup.

While the general geometric structure allows for arbitrary nondegenerate, positive-definite  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$ , we also impose a constraint

$$\det \mathfrak{h}_{AB} = 1, \quad (25)$$

which prohibits “dilaton” excitations of  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  through the constraint

$$\det \hat{g}_{ij} = \det g_{ij}. \quad (26)$$

The intrinsic metric will be viewed as a *dynamical* property of the physical system. We can use either the internal field  $\lambda_B^\alpha$  or the vielbein  $\hat{e}_i^\alpha = e_i^\beta \lambda_B^\alpha$  to describe the physical degrees of freedom. These two choices correspond to the first- and second-order formalisms alluded to in the Introduction and are related by a linear, nondegenerate change of variables. Bimetric theory will be constructed in the second-order formalism since the symmetries are more transparent this way. In the second-order formulation, we impose the constraint (26) without any reference to  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$ . Finally, we note that a pair of metrics is precisely the starting point for building bimetric theory of massive gravity [34, 45], with the difference that the theory there is Lorentz invariant and all of the components of both metrics are allowed to fluctuate.

Before moving on, we make a comment about the relation to the work of Haldane [12, 24, 25]. The geometric description discussed in Refs. [12, 24, 25] is represented by a unimodular (i.e., unit-determinant) metric, which, in our notation, is  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . To avoid confusion and to impose the constraints coming from self-consistency in weakly curved space, the effective theory will be constructed when both the ambient and the “dynamic” spaces are curved from the very beginning.

### III. BIMETRIC EFFECTIVE THEORY

In this section, we construct a covariant bimetric effective action for an Abelian FQH state that will include the massive dynamics of the GMP mode. Using this theory, we calculate the Hall viscosity and the projected static structure factor, and derive the long-wave limit of the GMP algebra.

We start with the more familiar topological part of the effective action, which includes the coupling of an internal gauge field  $a$  to the bimetric geometry through a Wen-Zee term [46]

$$S_{\text{top}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int ada - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int adA - \frac{s}{2\pi} \int ad\omega - \frac{\varsigma}{2\pi} \int ad\hat{\omega}, \quad (27)$$

where  $k$  determines the filling factor  $\nu = \frac{1}{k}$ , whereas  $s$  and  $\varsigma$  describe the coupling to the ambient and dynamic geometries, respectively. The topological effective action describes local anyonic quasiparticles and their fractional electric charge, spin, and statistics. Integrating out the gauge field  $a$  with a proper gauge-fixing condition [17] leads to a generating functional  $W[A, \omega, \hat{\omega}]$ , which describes the kinematics of the metric  $\hat{g}$  and the linear response functions—Hall conductance, Hall viscosity, and the shift.

The electron density is given by

$$\rho = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} B + \frac{\nu s}{4\pi} R + \frac{\nu \varsigma}{4\pi} \hat{R}, \quad (28)$$

and the total number of states is given by

$$N = \nu N_\phi + \nu s \chi + \nu \varsigma \chi, \quad (29)$$

where we have introduced the number of flux quanta  $N_\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int B$  and assumed that, as long as the fluctuations of  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  are small, the two Euler characteristics are equal.

To interpret the meaning of Eq.(29), we recall the topological quantum number known as the shift [6–8], defined as an offset between the number of electrons and the number of flux quanta on a compact Riemann surface with the Euler characteristic  $\chi$  according to

$$N = \nu N_\phi + \nu \mathcal{S} \frac{\chi}{2}. \quad (30)$$

As a topological quantum number, the shift cannot change continuously when a small, translationally invariant perturbation is introduced. The shift is well defined and quantized even when the global rotational invariance is absent, as long as translational invariance is preserved [33]. The shift is used to distinguish topologically different FQH states that occur at the same filling factor. It is readily available in numerics and is often measured on a sphere.

Comparing Eq.(29) with the definition (30), we find that the shift is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = 2(s + \varsigma). \quad (31)$$

The electric current is given by

$$j^i = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ik} E_k + \frac{\nu s}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ik} \mathcal{E}_k + \frac{\nu \varsigma}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ik} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_k, \quad (32)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_i = -\partial_i \omega_0 + \partial_0 \omega_i$  is the geometric analogue of the electric field. The conservation of the electric charge (in the absence of external fields) holds identically,

$$\partial_0 \rho + \partial_i j^i = \partial_0 \hat{R} + \epsilon^{ik} \partial_i \hat{\mathcal{E}}_k \equiv 0. \quad (33)$$

Finally, we note that the topological part of the effective action,  $S_{\text{top}}$ , has an enlarged symmetry group. It can be directly verified that, in the absence of the electric field,  $S_{\text{top}}$  is invariant under a time-independent  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  transformation  $\hat{e}_i^\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha^\beta \hat{e}_i^\alpha$ . This explains the appearance of the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  Lie algebra, as we discuss later.

Next, we construct the dynamical part of the effective action. In fact, the parity-breaking terms will be generated after integrating out the gauge field  $a$ , and the parity-even terms will be added by hand. First, we need a kinetic term for  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$ . The obvious choice would be the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{EH} = \int d^3x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R}; \quad (34)$$

however, this action is equal to the Euler characteristic (integrated over time) and does not generate any dynamics.

It turns out that it is possible to construct another kinetic term, using  $C_k = \epsilon^j{}_i C^i{}_{j,k}$ , defined in Eq.(24). Indeed, consider the term

$$S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g] = -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} g^{kl} C_k C_l \\ \sim -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left| \Gamma - \hat{\Gamma} \right|^2. \quad (35)$$



This term is the same order in derivatives as the Einstein-Hilbert action and is diffeomorphism invariant. In the first-order language, as we will see shortly,  $S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g]$  is a covariant version of the ordinary kinetic term  $\partial\lambda\partial\Lambda$ .

In the absence of higher-derivative terms, the coefficient  $\alpha$  has to be strictly positive in order for the theory to be stable. It is illuminating to view  $S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g]$  as a functional of the Christoffel connection  $\hat{\Gamma}$ . From the perspective of the connection,  $S_{\text{kin}}$  is a potential term (since it is a polynomial in  $\hat{\Gamma}$ ) that favors the configurations where the two connections are equal to each other:  $\Gamma = \hat{\Gamma}$ . This can be achieved if the two metrics are equal:  $g_{ij} = \hat{g}_{ij}$ . We emphasize here that were we not careful about diffeomorphism invariance, we could have written a kinetic term  $|\Gamma - \kappa\hat{\Gamma}|^2$ , which would allow for solutions  $\hat{g}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\kappa}g_{ij}$  (reminiscent of  $a = \frac{1}{\kappa}A$  in Chern-Simons theory). However, these solutions, within our construction, are inconsistent with diffeomorphism invariance.

The solution we have just described is not the only one. An arbitrary solution is of the form  $\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + h_{ij}$ , where  $h_{ij}$  is a *constant* rank-2 tensor. Such a solution is acceptable since the Christoffel symbol behaves as  $\hat{\Gamma}_k \sim \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\hat{g}_{jk}$ . The condition  $\det g = \det \hat{g}$  must be preserved. These solutions will parametrize the space of ground states. One way to fix  $h_{ij}$  would be to choose a particular boundary condition for the metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  at spatial infinity. Alternatively, we could choose a potential term that energetically favors a particular choice of  $h_{ij} \neq 0$ . Such a potential will induce a spontaneous breaking of the rotational symmetry (in flat space) since a symmetric matrix  $\hat{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + h_{ij} \neq \delta_{ij}$  is not an  $SO(2)$  invariant tensor [47]. Massless fluctuations of  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  around  $h_{ij}$  will describe the Goldstone mode in the nematic (i.e., symmetry-broken) phase. We will elaborate on this phase in a separate publication. Thus, we have established that  $S_{\text{kin}}$  forces the dynamical metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  to follow the fixed spatial metric  $g_{ij}$ , and, consequently, it forces (in the isotropic phase)  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$ .

If  $\alpha < 0$ , the theory would favor the metric that deviates arbitrarily far from the fixed spatial metric, which leads to an instability. This instability will be seen in the dispersion relation of the GMP mode that goes to arbitrarily small negative energies at higher momentum, unless stabilized by higher-order terms in the kinetic-energy part of the effective action. In the second-order language, the interpretation of the instability is also clear—large values of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  will be energetically favorable, but since the Christoffel connection  $\hat{\Gamma} \sim \partial\hat{g}$ , the only way to maximize it is to make a configuration of  $\hat{g}$  with very rapid spatial variations. The dynamical curvature  $\hat{R}$  generated in such a way will be singular everywhere. To describe the GMP mode, we have to enter this unstable regime; however, we argue that this instability can be cured by higher-order terms in  $S_{\text{kin}}$ . Indeed, it is possible to add higher-derivative corrections to  $S_{\text{kin}}$  with a Lagrangian of the form

$$\delta S_{\text{kin}}^{(n)}[\hat{g}; g] = \frac{\alpha_n}{4} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} g^{kl} C_k (g^{ij} D_i D_j)^n C_l, \quad (36)$$

where  $D_i$  is the covariant derivative. The coefficients  $\alpha^{(n)}$  can *a priori* be either positive or negative. As we show, choosing a positive  $\alpha^{(2)} \approx |\alpha|$  will induce a roton minimum that will fix the instability. There are other terms that can contribute to the  $(k\ell)^4$  power in the dispersion relation. Notably, the gravitational analogue of the “Maxwell-type” term is  $\mathcal{L} \sim c_1 |\hat{\mathcal{E}}|^2 + c_2 \hat{R}^2$ . These terms describe the local current-current and density-density interactions, correspondingly. We do not consider higher-gradient terms in much detail but only point out that there is a variety of terms that can be added to the effective action to stabilize the GMP mode.

Next, we need to introduce a potential term that will force our spin-2 degree of freedom to be gapped. The choice of the potential is the defining feature of the standard bimetric gravity [34], where the potential is carefully crafted to ensure the absence of ghosts. We discuss these potentials and their implications for our theory in Appendix B. Here, we only mention that, unlike the potential discussed below, the bimetric gravity potentials do not support a nematic phase transition.

We choose a potential equivalent to the potential in Ref. [26]. The potential term is given by

$$S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g] = -\frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}_{ij} g^{ij} - \gamma \right)^2, \quad (37)$$

where we assumed  $\tilde{m} > 0$ . Such a term is possible because of the bimetric nature of the theory.

To understand the phase diagram, we note that  $\hat{g}_{ij} g^{ij} = \text{Tr } \mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a symmetric unimodular matrix with positive eigenvalues, its trace satisfies  $\text{Tr } \mathfrak{h} \geq 2$ . Thus, this potential supports two phases connected by a phase transition occurring at  $\gamma = 1$ . When  $\gamma > 1$ , the system is in the symmetry-broken phase with  $\text{Tr } \mathfrak{h} = 2\gamma > 2$  (and  $h_{ij} \neq 0$ ), and when  $\gamma < 1$ , the system is in the isotropic phase with  $\text{Tr } \mathfrak{h} = 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$ , and  $\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}$ . Note that, by construction, in either phase the configuration of  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  that minimizes  $S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g]$  also extremizes  $S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g]$ . Finally, we note that potential and kinetic terms depend on the ambient metric  $g_{ij}$ , while the topological terms do not.

An object similar to  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  has appeared in the study of fractional Chern insulators (FCI) [48, 49], where it was referred to as the “quantum metric.” The “metric”  $g_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$  of Ref. [49] is related to ours via  $\hat{g}_{ij} = \frac{2}{B^\alpha} g_{ij}^\alpha$ , where we have changed the type of indices to fit our notations, and  $B^\alpha$  is the Berry curvature. Interestingly, Ref. [49] investigated the dependence of the spectral gap on the Brillouin-zone-averaged difference  $\langle T \rangle = \langle \text{Tr } \mathfrak{h} \rangle - 2$ . In our theory, the gapped phase occurs at  $\langle T \rangle = 0$ , and the gapless phase appears at  $\langle T \rangle > 0$ . Thus,  $\langle T \rangle$  is an order parameter for the nematic transition. In Ref. [49], the gap is observed at positive  $\langle T \rangle$ , and the gap decreases as  $\langle T \rangle$  increases. This happens because the FCI is inherently formulated on a lattice and the Goldstone mode acquires a mass because of the presence of explicit breaking of rotational symmetry by the lattice. When  $\langle T \rangle$  is positive, but small in absolute value, the mean-field potential

	$e_i^A$	$g_{ij}$	$\omega_\mu$	$\hat{e}_i^\alpha$	$\hat{g}_{ij}$	$\hat{\omega}_\mu$	$\lambda^\alpha_A$	$\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$	$C_\mu$
$SO(2)$	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
$\widehat{SO}(2)$	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-
Diff	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+

TABLE I. The full list of fields that appear in various formulations of bimetric theory. The “+” entries indicate that the field transforms under the symmetry group, while the “-” entries indicate that it does not.

is very shallow and quantum fluctuations effectively restore the symmetry, shifting the critical value of  $T$  to a positive number and leading to a larger gap. As the value of  $\langle T \rangle$  increases, the mean-field potential becomes deeper, the fluctuations become less important, and, ultimately, the gap is set entirely by the lattice effects. It is likely that Ref. [49] has numerically observed the nematic phase in FCIs, softened by the lattice effects and the fluctuations around the mean field.

This completes the formulation of the bimetric effective theory. The full action is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}}[a, \hat{g}; g] = S_{\text{top}}[a, \hat{g}] + S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g] + S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g]. \quad (38)$$

Note that, by construction, the effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}[a, \hat{g}; g]$  is gauge, diffeomorphism,  $SO(2)$ , and  $\widehat{SO}(2)$  invariant. The structure of the action is rigidly fixed by the symmetries and does not allow further freedom in the lowest order in gradients. Table I summarizes the fields that appear in this paper and their transformation laws.

### A. Hall viscosity

We start with an attempt to fix the coefficient  $\varsigma$  by calculating the Hall viscosity [9, 11] from Eq. (38). Hall viscosity describes the response of the stress tensor to time-dependent strain, defined by the generating functional as

$$T^\mu_\nu = \frac{\delta W[A_\mu, g_{ij}]}{\delta e_\mu^A} e_\nu^A = \lambda^\mu_{\nu A} e_\lambda^A + \eta^\mu_{\nu A} \partial_0 e_\lambda^A. \quad (39)$$

The Hall viscosity

$$(\eta_H)^\mu_{\nu \rho} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta^\mu_{\nu A} \lambda^\lambda_A - \eta^\lambda_{A \nu} \right) e_\rho^A \quad (40)$$

is the nondissipative, parity-odd part of the viscosity tensor. When rotational symmetry is preserved, it has one independent component  $\eta_H = \mathcal{S}\bar{\rho}/4$ , proportional to the shift on the sphere [11, 50], and the average density  $\bar{\rho}$ . In the nematic phase, the Hall viscosity will no longer have only one independent component.

To compute the Hall viscosity, we integrate out the gauge field  $a$  and find

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\hat{g}; g] = \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int AdA + \frac{\nu s}{2\pi} \int Ad\omega + \frac{\nu \varsigma}{2\pi} \int Ad\hat{\omega} + S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g] + S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g], \quad (41)$$

where we have dropped the gravitational Chern-Simons terms generated by integration over  $a$  [17]. The first term in the second line of Eq. (41) was referred to as the “Berry phase term” in Refs. [26, 27].

As discussed previously, at low frequency, the term  $S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g]$  forces the dynamical metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  to follow  $g_{ij}$ , and the constant part  $h_{ij}$  is fixed to be 0 to minimize the potential. Plugging the isotropic solution of the equations of motion  $\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}$ , which implies  $\hat{\omega}_i = \omega_i$  [51], back into the action, we find

$$S_{\text{eff}}[g] = \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int AdA + \frac{\nu(s + \varsigma)}{2\pi} \int Ad\omega. \quad (42)$$

Then, Hall viscosity is given by

$$\eta_H = \frac{2s + 2\varsigma}{4} \bar{\rho} = \frac{\mathcal{S}}{4} \bar{\rho}, \quad (43)$$

where we have introduced  $\bar{\rho} = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} B$ . Thus, we were able to match  $s + \varsigma$  to the shift, which was already done in Eq. (31). We find that the matching of the Hall viscosity is reproduced correctly; however, it cannot be used to fix  $\varsigma$ . This is in contrast to Ref. [26], where  $\varsigma$  was identified with  $\mathcal{S}/2$  by matching the Hall viscosity. It is clear from bimetric theory that the authors of Ref. [26] implicitly assumed  $s = 0$ . In what follows, we show that in the isotropic phase,  $\varsigma$  can indeed be uniquely fixed by matching the projected static structure factor.

Note that if the background metric is perturbed at a frequency much larger than the gap and much smaller than the cyclotron frequency  $\tilde{m} \ll \Omega \ll \omega_c$ , the dynamic metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  can no longer follow  $g_{ij}$ , and instead we find  $\hat{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . Thus, we conclude that at higher frequencies, the interacting quantum Hall state responds to the metric perturbations as if it has shift  $\mathcal{S} = 2s$ .

Next, we linearize the theory around a flat background and establish the relation with the previous works [26, 27].

### B. Linearization of bimetric theory

To gain further insight into the effective theory (38), we expand the action to the quadratic order in flat space,  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . We assume that the theory is in the isotropic phase  $\gamma < 1$ . We choose the following parametrization of  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ ,

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \exp \begin{pmatrix} Q_2 & Q_1 \\ Q_1 & -Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 + Q_2 & Q_1 \\ Q_1 & 1 - Q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

and furthermore,

$$Q = Q_1 + iQ_2, \quad \bar{Q} = Q_1 - iQ_2. \quad (45)$$

It is not hard to see that  $\hat{e}_i^\alpha = \hat{e}_i^\alpha(Q)$  depends *nonlinearly* on  $Q$  and  $\bar{Q}$ ; thus, to simplify the analysis, we linearize the theory around the isotropic vacuum,  $Q_i = 0$ .

It is convenient to first integrate out  $a$  in Eq. (38) to find

$$S_{\text{eff}}[Q] = \frac{\nu\varsigma}{2\pi} \int Ad\hat{\omega} + S_{\text{kin}}[Q] + S_{\text{pot}}[Q], \quad (46)$$

where we have removed all of the terms made only from the external fields and neglected the gravitational Chern-Simons term  $\hat{\omega}d\hat{\omega}$  since it is higher order in derivatives. This term will be discussed in some detail in the next section. We integrate the first term in Eq. (46) by parts and expand everything up to the second order in  $Q$  to find

$$S_{\text{top}} \approx \frac{i\varsigma\bar{\rho}}{4} \int \bar{Q}\dot{Q}, \quad (47)$$

$$S_{\text{kin}} \approx -\alpha \int |\partial Q|^2, \quad (48)$$

$$S_{\text{pot}} \approx -\frac{m}{2} \int |Q|^2, \quad m = \tilde{m}(1 - \gamma), \quad (49)$$

giving us the low-energy, linearized Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{i\varsigma\bar{\rho}}{4} \bar{Q}\dot{Q} - \alpha |\partial Q|^2 - \frac{m}{2} |Q|^2. \quad (50)$$

The equations of motion for  $Q$ ,

$$i\dot{Q} = -\frac{16\alpha}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}} \Delta Q + \frac{2m}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}} Q, \quad (51)$$

imply that as long as  $Q$  tends to 0 at infinity, the only solution is  $Q = 0$ , which corresponds to  $\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . The action of the type shown in Eq. (50) was studied in Ref. [26] and derived in Ref. [27] using flux attachment. The Lagrangians studied in Refs. [26, 27] had different values for the coefficient  $\varsigma$ . We will resolve the disagreement shortly.

The propagator for the field  $Q$  is given by

$$\langle \bar{Q}Q \rangle = \frac{4}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}} \frac{i}{\Omega - \frac{\alpha}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}}(k\ell)^2 - \frac{2m}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}} + i0}, \quad (52)$$

where  $\ell = \sqrt{1/B}$  is the magnetic length.

### C. Projected static structure factor

In this section, we show how to fix the coefficient  $\varsigma$ . To do so, we evaluate the projected static structure factor, defined as the connected equal-time correlation function of the (projected) density operators,

$$\bar{s}(k) = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \langle \rho(\Omega, k) \rho(-\Omega, -k) \rangle_c. \quad (53)$$

We define  $s_4$  and  $s_6$  as the coefficients in the low- $k$  expansion of  $\bar{s}(k)$ ,

$$\bar{s}(k) = s_4(k\ell)^4 + s_6(k\ell)^6 + o((k\ell)^6). \quad (54)$$

To evaluate the SSF, we recall Eq. (28),

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} + \frac{\nu\varsigma}{4\pi} \hat{R}. \quad (55)$$

Thus, the SSF is given by the correlation function of Ricci scalars (which are operators in bimetric theory),

$$\bar{s}(k) = \left( \frac{\nu\varsigma}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \langle \hat{R}(\Omega, k) \hat{R}(-\Omega, -k) \rangle_c. \quad (56)$$

To evaluate the correlation function, we express the Ricci curvature  $\hat{R}$  in terms of  $Q$ ,

$$\hat{R} = 2i(\bar{\partial}^2 Q - \partial^2 \bar{Q}). \quad (57)$$

Using the propagator at zero spatial momentum,

$$\langle \bar{Q}Q \rangle = \frac{4}{\bar{\rho}\varsigma} \frac{i}{\Omega - 2\Omega_0 + i0}, \quad \Omega_0 = \frac{m}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}}, \quad (58)$$

we find, in momentum space,

$$\langle \hat{R}(\Omega, k) \hat{R}(-\Omega, -k) \rangle_c = \frac{k^4}{\bar{\rho}\varsigma} \frac{4i\Omega_0}{\Omega^2 - (2\Omega_0)^2 + i0} \quad (59)$$

which leads to

$$\bar{s}(k) = \frac{2\varsigma}{8} (k\ell)^4, \quad (60)$$

where we find that  $2\varsigma = 8s_4$ . This is one of the central results of the present paper.

We can match this to a general relation, valid for chiral states in the absence of Landau level mixing [12, 52] (see also Ref. [53]),

$$\bar{s}(k) = \frac{\mathcal{S} - 1}{8} (k\ell)^4 + \dots, \quad (61)$$

from where we fix

$$2\varsigma = \mathcal{S} - 1 \quad (62)$$

and  $2s = 1$ .

The decomposition  $\mathcal{S} = 2\varsigma + 2s$ , in the present case, corresponds to the separation of the shift into contributions from the orbital part  $s$  and the guiding center part  $\varsigma$ . This is in contrast to Ref. [26], where the coefficient  $\varsigma$  was fixed to be equal to  $\mathcal{S}/2$ . The realization of this decomposition in the effective theory (38) is one of the main results of the present paper. The GMP mode is absent in the IQH case (since the coefficient of the  $Ad\hat{\omega}$  term vanishes), which is a crucial property of any effective theory of the GMP mode.

In the language of Ref. [27], the composite fermions (in the isotropic phase) should couple to both the fluctuations of the GMP mode and background geometry through the covariant derivative

$$D_i = \partial_i + iA_i + ia_i + i\frac{1}{2}\omega_i + i\frac{k-2}{2}\hat{\omega}_i. \quad (63)$$

Thus, the composite fermions perceive the ambient geometry in the same way as particles with a geometric spin  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ —the same value as for noninteracting electrons filling the lowest Landau level. At the same time, the composite fermions perceive the fluctuations of the GMP mode (viewed in the second-order, or metric, formalism) as particles with a geometric spin  $\varsigma = \frac{k-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{k-1}{2}$ , equal to the geometric spin of the guiding centers [24].

To lowest order in derivatives, such as presented in Eq. (50), there are no more dimensionless adjustable parameters. The phenomenological coefficient  $C$  discussed in Ref. [26] is fixed to the value  $-\frac{1}{2}$  by the requirement that the effective theory (38) is self-consistent in a weakly curved space. For any other value of  $C$ , the theory is not invariant under the internal local  $SO(2)$  “symmetry” and would require additional noninvariant counter terms to restore the invariance. These extra terms will enforce  $C = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

We emphasize that, at this point, we have not proven that  $\rho$  should be treated as a projected density operator, although the vanishing of the  $(k\ell)^2$  contribution to the SSF is strong evidence. In the next section, we provide further evidence of the implicit LLL projection present in Eq. (38).

#### D. GMP algebra

In this section, we prove that the density operators (55) satisfy the long-wave limit of the GMP/ $W_\infty$  algebra [54, 55]. It was shown in Ref. [20] that projected density operators  $\rho(\mathbf{k})$  satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho(\mathbf{k}), \rho(\mathbf{q})] &= 2ie^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q})\ell^2} \sin\left(\frac{(\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{q})\ell^2}{2}\right) \rho(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) \\ &\approx i(\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{q})\ell^2 \rho(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

To reproduce the GMP algebra from bimetric theory, we start with the expression (55); however, this time we express the linearized spin connection  $\hat{\omega}_i$  and the Ricci scalar  $\hat{R}$  in terms of the field  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ :

$$\hat{\omega}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{jk}\partial_j\hat{g}_{ki}, \quad \hat{R} = -\partial_i\partial_j\hat{g}_{ij}. \quad (65)$$

The electron density is given by

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} + \frac{\nu\varsigma}{2\pi}\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\hat{\omega}_j. \quad (66)$$

To evaluate the commutator, we need to know the commutation relations for  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . These commutation relations follow from the commutation relations for  $\hat{e}_i^\alpha$  that can be read out from the topological term of Eq. (38). We find

$$[\hat{E}_\alpha^i(\mathbf{x}), \hat{e}_j^\beta(\mathbf{x}')] = -\frac{2i}{\rho\varsigma}\delta_j^i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'). \quad (67)$$

Upon linearization in  $\hat{e}_i^\alpha \approx \delta_i^\alpha + \delta\hat{e}_i^\alpha$ , we find

$$[\delta\hat{e}_i^\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \delta\hat{e}_j^\beta(\mathbf{x}')] \approx -\frac{2i}{\rho\varsigma}\delta_{ij}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'), \quad (68)$$

which is identical to the commutation relations obtained in Ref. [26]. We find that components of the metric satisfy the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  algebra [24, 26],

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{g}_{kl}(\mathbf{x}')] &= -\frac{2i}{\bar{\rho}\varsigma} \left( \epsilon_{il}\hat{g}_{jk} + \epsilon_{jk}\hat{g}_{il} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon_{jl}\hat{g}_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik}\hat{g}_{jl} \right) \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

It is useful to evaluate the commutator of spin connections  $\hat{\omega}_i$  as an intermediate step. Curiously, the algebra of  $\hat{\omega}_i$  closes (to lowest order in  $k$ ). We find

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\omega}_i(\mathbf{k}), \hat{\omega}_j(\mathbf{q})] &= \frac{1}{\rho\varsigma} (k_j\hat{\omega}_i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) - q_i\hat{\omega}_j(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})) \\ &\quad - \frac{i\epsilon_{ij}}{2\rho\varsigma} \hat{R}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

which implies

$$[\hat{R}(\mathbf{k}), \hat{R}(\mathbf{q})] = \frac{4\pi}{\nu\varsigma} i(\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{q})\ell^2 \hat{R}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}). \quad (71)$$

Together with  $\rho = \bar{\rho} + \frac{\nu\varsigma}{4\pi}\hat{R}$ , Eq. (71) implies the GMP algebra (64). Thus, we are justified in treating the operators  $\rho$ , at low energies, as the projected density operators. Since the commutators in Eqs. (70) and (71) take a covariant form, we expect that they will remain valid beyond the linear order in  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . We were not able to find the “spin connection algebra” (70) in the literature. Finally, we note here that the GMP algebra has also appeared in fractional Chern insulators [56], further supporting our claim that bimetric theory can be used to describe the FCIs.

#### IV. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF BIMETRIC THEORY

In this section, we use bimetric theory to calculate the  $s_6$  coefficient of the projected SSF and derive the small-momentum behavior of the GMP mode.

##### A. Gravitational Chern-Simons term

So far, we have neglected the gravitational Chern-Simons term, which will necessarily be generated from integrating out the gauge field. This term has a quantized, dimensionless coefficient and therefore will most likely describe some universal physics. In this section, we fix this coefficient and expose the physical consequences of this term.

Bimetric theory, modified by the Chern-Simons term, takes the form

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\hat{g}; g] = \frac{\nu\varsigma}{2\pi} \int Ad\hat{\omega} + S_{\text{gCS}}[\hat{g}] + S_{\text{kin}}[\hat{g}; g] + S_{\text{pot}}[\hat{g}; g], \quad (72)$$



where

$$S_{\text{gCS}}[\hat{g}] = -\frac{\hat{c}}{4\pi} \int \hat{\omega} d\hat{\omega}. \quad (73)$$

Here, we have introduced a phenomenological parameter  $\hat{c}$  and, for now, will remain agnostic about its value and origin. So far, the only constraint is that  $\hat{c}$  should vanish for the IQH states.

Note that the gravitational Chern-Simons term is third order in derivatives and, therefore, will not modify either the dispersion relation, the projected SSF, or the GMP algebra at leading order. Thus, the role of the term is quite subtle, and to expose it, we go to higher orders in the momentum expansion.

Writing the gravitational Chern-Simons term in components, we find

$$\int \hat{\omega} d\hat{\omega} = \int \hat{\omega}_0 \hat{R} - \epsilon_{ij} \hat{\omega}_i \hat{\omega}_j, \quad (74)$$

which we linearize around a flat background metric. The first term is inherently nonlinear and goes as  $Q^3$ ; thus, it will be disregarded. The second term, however, contributes to the quadratic effective action

$$S_{\text{gCS}}[Q] = -\frac{\hat{c}}{4\pi} \int \hat{\omega} d\hat{\omega} \approx -\frac{i\hat{c}}{16\pi} \int \bar{Q} \Delta \dot{Q}. \quad (75)$$

The gravitational Chern-Simons term  $S_{\text{gCS}}$  has several subtle consequences. First, it modifies the canonical commutation relations for  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  by a higher-gradient term [57],

$$[\hat{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}), \hat{g}_{kl}(\mathbf{q})] = -\frac{2i}{\bar{\rho}\varsigma} \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{c}}{2\nu\varsigma} \ell^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \right) \times \left( \epsilon_{il} \hat{g}_{jk} + \epsilon_{jk} \hat{g}_{il} + \epsilon_{jl} \hat{g}_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik} \hat{g}_{jl} \right), \quad (76)$$

where every  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  on the rhs is taken at the momentum  $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$ . We now verify that the additional term in Eq. (76) does not spoil the GMP algebra to the subleading,  $(k\ell)^4$ , order in the momentum expansion. To do so in a self-consistent manner, we add all the terms to bimetric theory that contribute in this order. Fortunately, there is only one such term:

$$S_{\xi}[\hat{g}] = \frac{\xi \ell^2}{8\pi} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \hat{g}^{ij} E_i \partial_j \hat{R}, \quad (77)$$

where  $\xi$  is a phenomenological parameter to be fixed momentarily and  $E_i$  is the electric field. This term describes the interaction of the dynamic curvature  $\hat{R}$  with a gradient of the electric field, favoring an alignment of the gradient of the dynamical curvature with the electric field.

The role of this term is to modify the relation between the electron density and Ricci curvature  $\hat{R}$ :

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} + \frac{\nu\varsigma}{4\pi} \hat{R} + \frac{\xi}{8\pi} \ell^2 \Delta \hat{R}. \quad (78)$$

The commutation relation of the density operators is now

$$[\rho(\mathbf{k}), \rho(\mathbf{q})] = i(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{q})\rho - \frac{i}{8\pi} (\hat{c} + \xi)(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{q})(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \hat{R}, \quad (79)$$

where  $\rho$  is given by Eq. (78). To ensure that the GMP algebra holds to order  $(k\ell)^4$ , we enforce

$$\xi = -\hat{c} - \frac{\nu\varsigma}{2}. \quad (80)$$

We do not attempt to reproduce the  $(k\ell)^6$  term in the GMP algebra since it is likely that, in order to properly match such a term to the exact GMP expression, the higher-spin fields have to be introduced. A recent attempt to find a topological theory for higher-spin fields was made in Ref. [58].

Next, we fix the coefficient  $\hat{c}$  in terms of microscopic properties of the FQH states. To accomplish this task, we evaluate the  $(k\ell)^6$  correction to the projected static structure factor, Eq. (54), and compare it to the results known in the literature. Under certain conditions on the microscopic physics, discussed in Refs. [16, 24, 52, 59–61],  $s_6$  is universal and is determined by topological quantum numbers (i.e., the filling factor, shift, chiral central charge, etc.) only. Generally speaking, we do not expect  $s_6$  to be universal because its ‘‘universality’’ arises from subtle (and not yet entirely understood) properties of the quantum Hall phase [16, 61]. The necessary (but not sufficient) conditions are (i) the absence of Landau level mixing and that (ii) the state has to be either fully chiral, in the sense of Ref. [52], or a particle-hole conjugate of a chiral state [61].

When evaluated in bimetric theory, the coefficient  $s_6$  receives two different contributions. One comes from the gravitational Chern-Simons term, through the modification of the propagator

$$\langle \bar{Q} Q \rangle = \frac{4}{\varsigma \bar{\rho}} \frac{i}{\left( 1 + \frac{\hat{c}}{2\nu\varsigma} (k\ell)^2 \right) \Omega - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi\nu\varsigma} (k\ell)^2 - \frac{2m}{\varsigma\bar{\rho}} + i0}, \quad (81)$$

and another one comes from  $S_{\xi}[\hat{g}]$  [62]. Computation reveals

$$\bar{s}(k) = \frac{2\varsigma}{8} (k\ell)^4 - \frac{\hat{c} + 2\xi}{8\nu} (k\ell)^6 = \frac{2\varsigma}{8} (k\ell)^4 + \frac{\hat{c} - \nu\varsigma}{8\nu} (k\ell)^6. \quad (82)$$

Thus, we establish a general relation  $\hat{c} = 8\nu s_6$ . Assuming the absence of Landau level mixing and chirality of the state, we can match  $\hat{c}$  to Refs. [16, 59, 61] and find

$$\hat{c} = 8\nu s_6 + 4\nu s_4 = \frac{\nu - c}{12} + \nu\varsigma^2 + \nu \text{var}(s), \quad (83)$$

where  $\nu \text{var}(s)$  is the orbital spin variance [17, 18], which plays a major role when the theory is applied to the Jain series. Note that  $\hat{c}$  vanishes for IQH states. At this point, it is good to note that the value of the coefficient  $\hat{c}$  is not the naive one that would have come from integrating out only the gauge field  $a$ . The extra contributions should come from integrating out the higher-spin modes. Since the higher-spin modes were not introduced explicitly, we use the consistency of bimetric theory with the GMP algebra and  $s_6$  to fix the value of  $\hat{c}$ . It is an interesting open problem to perform the computation with the higher-spin fields directly.

The present calculation of  $s_6$  can be turned around to argue its universality in the SMA. Indeed, the coefficient  $\hat{c}$  of the gravitational Chern-Simons term cannot take an arbitrary value and has to be quantized as a rational number. At the same time, Eq. (83) tells us that  $s_6 = \frac{\hat{c}}{8\nu} - \frac{s}{8}$ ; thus, if  $\hat{c}$  cannot change continuously under small perturbations (provided that these perturbations do not introduce the LL mixing), then neither can  $s_6$ .

A comment is in order. It is well known that any two-body Hamiltonian, projected to the lowest Landau level, is invariant under the particle-hole (PH) transformation. This invariance implies a duality [61] between FQH states and their particle-hole conjugates (in the sense of Girvin [63]).

We now comment on the property of our theory with respect to PH symmetry [63], which is an exact symmetry of any two-body Hamiltonian, projected to the lowest Landau level. PH transformation relates the properties of a QH state with its particle-hole conjugate. If we wish to claim that  $S_{\text{eff}}[\hat{g}; g]$  describes the intrinsic dynamics, projected to a single Landau level, then particle-hole duality must be manifest. Upon closer inspection, we find that this is indeed the case. Note that the coefficients  $\nu_\varsigma$  and  $\hat{c}$  are of the form  $\nu s_4$  and  $\nu s_6$ , respectively. It was shown in Refs. [61, 64] that the combination  $\nu \bar{s}(k)$  is invariant under PH transformation. Since the coefficients in the effective action have the form  $\nu s_i$  [ $s_i$  being the coefficient in front of  $(k\ell)^i$  in the long-wave expansion of the projected SSF], we conclude that particle-hole duality is manifest in the bimetric effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}[\hat{g}; g]$ . The actions proposed in Refs. [26, 27] do not satisfy this property. For completeness, we note that under PH transformation, the first three terms in Eq. (72) flip the sign, while the rest of the action is invariant. This transformation property is similar to the one encountered in Dirac composite fermion theory of Jain states, where PH transformation only flips certain signs.

Next, we use Eq. (81) to find the dispersion relation of the GMP mode:

$$\frac{\Omega(k)}{\Omega(0)} = 1 + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2m} - \frac{\hat{c}}{2\nu\varsigma} \right) (k\ell)^2. \quad (84)$$

The presence of a universal correction in the  $(k\ell)^2$  order of the dispersion relation should not come as a surprise. To see that such a possibility exists, we can use the results of Ref. [20], which state that the dispersion relation of the GMP mode, in the single-mode approximation, is given by

$$\Omega(k) = \frac{f(k)}{\bar{s}(k)}, \quad (85)$$

where  $f(k)$  is a nonuniversal function that depends on the interaction potential [20]. Assuming that  $f(k)$  takes the form  $f(k) = f_4(k\ell)^4 + f_6(k\ell)^6 + \dots$ , we find

$$\frac{\Omega(k)}{\Omega(0)} = 1 + \left( \frac{f_6}{f_4} - \frac{s_6}{s_4} \right) (k\ell)^2 + \dots \quad (86)$$

Comparing this to Eqs. (83) and (84), we unambiguously match the phenomenological parameters

$$m = 2\bar{\rho}f_4, \quad \alpha = 4\bar{\rho}f_6 - 2\bar{\rho}f_4. \quad (87)$$

Equation (87) provides the explicit expressions of the phenomenological parameters  $m$  and  $\alpha$  in terms of the Fourier modes of the projected interaction potential, as long as the SMA remains valid.

The first identification in Eq. (87) is also consistent with Ref. [20], where the function  $f(k)$  is identified with the expectation value of the double commutator  $[\rho(\mathbf{k}), [\rho(-\mathbf{k}), H]]$ . In our theory,  $\rho$  is given by the second derivative of the metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ , while the Hamiltonian is, to leading order, given by Eq. (37). Computing the double commutator, we find the first term in the low- $k$  expansion of  $f(k)$ ,  $f_4(k\ell)^4$ . This term is sometimes referred to as the “shear modulus” [25, 30, 65].

## B. Global properties

In this section, we briefly discuss some global features of bimetric theory. We start by noting that the coefficient  $\hat{c}$  has two distinct contributions:

$$\hat{c} = \frac{\nu - c}{12} + \nu \left[ \varsigma^2 + \text{var}(s) \right]. \quad (88)$$

It is now understood [17] that upon integrating out the gauge field  $a$  (and, presumably, the higher-spin fields) in addition to the gravitational Chern-Simons terms, one generates a winding number term  $\sim (\hat{E}d\hat{e})^3$ . This winding number term is completely invisible as far as any local properties are concerned. It can, however, be observed if one is interested in global properties such as global gravitational anomalies (i.e., noninvariance under Dehn twists). We conjecture that the winding term is indeed generated in bimetric theory and has the form

$$S_{\text{winding}}[\hat{e}] = \frac{1}{3} \frac{c - \nu}{96\pi} \int \text{Tr} \left( \hat{E}d\hat{e} \right)^3. \quad (89)$$

To support the conjecture, we note that the combination  $(c - \nu)/24$  has previously appeared in the numerical computation by Park and Haldane [66], where it appeared as a subleading correction to what they called “momentum polarization.” We are not aware of how to make a precise connection, however, we note that when the theory is placed on a (spatial) torus, only the winding number term transforms under the Dehn twist of the cylinder and, therefore, contributes to the phase accumulated by the wave function after the twist, which is the quantity that should be computed in the momentum polarization technique. We note, however, that the Dehn twist must only affect the  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  and not the spatial metric  $g_{ij}$ . This is, presumably, accomplished in Ref. [66] via an “orbital cut.”

We also emphasize that Eq. (89) does *not* imply that the gravitational anomaly of the edge theory is given

by  $c - \nu$ . Another winding number term, made from the ambient vielbein  $e$ , is generated from the framing anomaly and ensures the correct gravitational anomaly of the edge.

Finally, we note that taking the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  leads to the elimination of all local degrees of freedom and pushes the gap of the GMP mode to infinity. In this limit, however,  $S_{\text{eff}}$  reduces to a topological theory given by  $S_{\text{top}}$ . Singularities of  $\hat{\omega}$  in such a theory correspond to world lines of geometric singularities such as conical points [67–69], punctures [70], or branching points [71] of the dynamical metric  $\hat{g}$ . The singularities of  $\hat{\omega}$ , with the curvature given by

$$\hat{R} = 4\pi \frac{p}{2\varsigma} \delta(z - z_0(t)), \quad (90)$$

accommodate the proper Wilson lines of the  $U(1)_k$  Chern-Simons theory and, consequently, may correspond to quasiholes.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We have formulated a bimetric theory for the gapped collective excitations in fractional quantum Hall states. The theory consists of topological Chern-Simons theory coupled to a nonrelativistic, parity-violating version of a bimetric massive gravity. The theory is naturally formulated in curved ambient space with gauge, diffeomorphism, and internal  $SO(2)$  “symmetries” built into the construction. The symmetries rigidly restrict the form of the effective action, leaving only two dimensionless parameters,  $\varsigma$  and  $\hat{c}$ . There is, in principle, an infinite number of dimensionful parameters, only two of which play a role in the low-energy physics. For the sake of completeness, we write the entire Lagrangian (after the gauge field is “integrated out”)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = & \frac{\nu\varsigma}{2\pi} \text{Ad}\hat{\omega} - \frac{\hat{c}}{4\pi} \hat{\omega} d\hat{\omega} - \frac{\hat{c}\ell^2}{8\pi} \hat{g}^{ij} E_i \partial_j \hat{R} \\ & - \frac{\hat{m}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}_{ij} \hat{g}^{ij} - \gamma \right)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4} |\Gamma - \hat{\Gamma}|^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \frac{c - \nu}{96\pi} \text{Tr} \left( \hat{E} d\hat{e} \right)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

where  $\varsigma = 4s_4$  and  $\hat{c} = 8\nu s_6 + 4\nu s_4$ .

The full bimetric theory is nonlinear. To perform the computations, we linearized the theory around the flat background and found an action similar to the one studied previously [26]; however, we used fewer phenomenological parameters because some of these parameters were fixed by the symmetry and by the consistency of the theory in weakly curved space. The values of the parameters are self-consistent and do not agree with Refs. [26, 27], however, they do agree with Refs. [30, 72] whenever comparison is possible.

We have related the value of  $\varsigma$  to the  $s_4$  coefficient in the long-wave expansion of the *projected* static structure

factor  $\varsigma = 4s_4$ . In the limit when Landau level mixing can be neglected, and the state is chiral (or a particle-hole conjugate of a chiral state) this sets  $2|\varsigma| = |\mathcal{S} - 1|$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the shift. This value of  $\varsigma$  implies the absence of the GMP mode in the integer case (as it should be).

The dimensionless coefficient  $\hat{c}$  appears in front of the gravitational Chern-Simons term and determines the  $s_6$  coefficient in the long-wave expansion of the projected static structure factor according to  $\hat{c} = -8\nu s_6$ ; it contributes to the  $(k\ell)^2$  behavior of the GMP dispersion relation at low wavelength.

The projected static structure factor is interpreted in bimetric theory as a two-point function of Ricci scalars. The GMP algebra follows from the fact that components of the spin connection  $\hat{\omega}_i$  form a closed algebra themselves. In principle, any FQH calculation performed in bimetric theory receives a curious geometric interpretation.

The present theory is only a first step in the effective field theory description of the bulk gapped collective excitations in quantum Hall states, and many open questions remain. For example, the theory (38) is nonlinear, and it would be interesting to understand the role of the nonlinear effects. Recently, it was understood that many modes of higher angular momentum are expected to appear in addition to the GMP mode. These modes should be described by the higher-spin cousins of the field  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . Presumably, when all of the higher-spin fields are included, the exact GMP algebra should be reproduced. Multilayer states can provide a natural FQH interpretation of multigravity (where many metrics are involved). Our construction was only spatially covariant, and a fully covariant formulation should be done in the language of the Newton-Cartan geometry. The Galilean or Milne boost symmetry (in the limit of zero bare electron mass  $m_{el} \rightarrow 0$ ) is most likely present in bimetric theory, but it is not clear how it is realized. A precise relation to the theory of fractional Chern insulators still needs to be established. Are there higher-spin fields relevant for the FCIs? What is the precise relation to the nonlinear collective field theory of Ref. [73]? We leave all of these and many other questions to future work.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is our pleasure to thank A. Abanov, B. Bradlyn, P. Glorioso, D. Haldane, D. X. Nguyen, Z. Papic, R. Roy, J. Wang, and B. Yang for stimulating discussions, and A. Abanov, S. Moroz, and S. Klevtsov for comments on the manuscript. A. G. also thanks B. Bradlyn and S. Geraedts for a collaboration on a closely related topic [33]. This work is supported, in part, by U.S. DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-13ER41958, the ARO MURI Grant No. 63834-PH-MUR, and a Simons Investigator Grant from the Simons Foundation. Additional support was provided by the Chicago MRSEC, which is funded by NSF through Grant No. DMR-1420709. A. G. was supported by NSF

Grant No. DMS-1206648.

$s_4 q^4 + s_4 q^6 + \dots$  according to  $\varsigma = 4s_4$  and  $\hat{c} = 8\nu s_6$ , which implies

### Appendix A: Values of the coefficients for specific states

In this appendix, we collect the values of the topological quantum numbers for some prominent states. We have found that the phenomenological coefficients are given by the coefficients of small momentum expansion of the projected static structure factor  $\bar{s}(q) =$

$$\varsigma = \frac{S-1}{2}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\hat{c} = \frac{\nu-c}{12} - \nu\varsigma + \nu\varsigma^2 + \nu \text{var}(s). \quad (\text{A2})$$

The values of the coefficients for various states are summarized in the table below.

	$\nu$	$S$	$c$	$\nu \text{var}(s)$	$\varsigma$	$\hat{c}$
Laughlin [2]	$\frac{1}{k}$	$k$	1	0	$\frac{k-1}{2}$	$\frac{(k-1)(3k-10)}{12k}$
Moore-Read [5]	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{12}$
Read-Rezayi [74]	$\frac{k}{Mk+2}$	$M+2$	$\frac{3k}{k+2}$	0	$\frac{M+1}{2}$	$\frac{k(3(k+2)M^2 - 3kM - 2(k+5))}{12(k+2)(kM+2)}$
Jain [75]	$\frac{N}{2N+1}$	$N+2$	$N$	$\frac{N(N^2-1)}{12}$	$\frac{N+1}{2}$	$\frac{N(N^3+2N^2-2N-2)}{6(2N+1)}$
Jain, $p$ fluxes	$\frac{N}{2Np+1}$	$N+2p$	$N$	$\frac{N(N^2-1)}{12}$	$\frac{N+2p-1}{2}$	$\frac{N(N^3p+2N^2+N(4p-6)+6p(p-2)+4)}{6(2Np+1)}$

The topological quantum numbers for the particle-hole dual states can be found in Ref. [61]. The coefficients  $\varsigma$  and  $\hat{c}$  do not change under particle-hole transformation. Note that the coefficient  $\hat{c} < 0$  only for the Read-Rezayi series at  $M = 1$  and, in particular, for the Laughlin  $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\nu = \frac{2}{3}$  states and for the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  states. The value of  $\hat{c}$  for the Pfaffian state leads to a gravitational Chern-Simons term with a properly quantized coefficient  $\frac{1}{48\pi}\hat{\omega}d\hat{\omega}$ .

### Appendix B: Potential term in bimetric gravity

The most prominent feature of bimetric gravity is the fine-tuned potential that ensures the absence of ghosts. Here, we construct this potential in the language of bimetric theory. For simplicity, we assume (as it is usually done in bimetric gravity) that there is no difference between  $SO(2)$  and  $\widehat{SO}(2)$  indices. To construct the potential, we follow Ref. [34] and introduce

$$\gamma^i_j[g, \hat{g}] = g^{ik}\hat{g}_{kj} = e_j^A \mathfrak{h}_A^B E_B^i, \quad \mathcal{K}^i_j[g, \hat{g}] = \delta_j^i - (\sqrt{\gamma})^i_j. \quad (\text{B1})$$

It then follows that

$$\mathcal{K}^i_j[g, \hat{g}] = E_A^i (\delta_B^A - \lambda^A_B) e_j^B. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Given these definitions, there is a unique stable potential [34]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{pot}} &= m \int d^3x \sqrt{g} ([\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2]) \\ &= 2m \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (1 + \lambda - [\lambda]), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

where we have introduced  $[\mathcal{K}] = \text{Tr} \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\lambda = \det \lambda$ , and  $m$  is a phenomenological parameter that will determine the gap of the GMP mode. This potential supports only *one* phase. As long as  $m > 0$ , there is a unique vacuum state with unbroken rotational symmetry. Indeed, in the parametrization  $Q_1 = \rho \cos \phi$ ,  $Q_2 = \rho \sin \phi$ . We find

$$S_{\text{pot}} = -8m \int d^3x (\sinh \rho)^2, \quad (\text{B4})$$

which means that, as long as  $\rho$  is real, the minimum occurs at  $\rho = 0$  which implies  $h_{ij} = 0$  and  $\lambda^A_B = \delta^A_B$  for all positive  $m$ . So, there is no possibility of a phase transition.



### Appendix C: Linearized geometric quantities

In this appendix, we collect several useful formulas for linearized geometric objects. We parametrize the  $\mathfrak{h}_{AB}$  as

$$\mathfrak{h}_{AB} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 + Q_2 & Q_1 \\ Q_1 & 1 - Q_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C1})$$

We use the following notations:

$$Q = Q_1 + iQ_2, \quad \bar{Q} = Q_1 - iQ_2, \quad (\text{C2})$$

and

$$\partial = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y), \quad \bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y), \quad (\text{C3})$$

so that  $\partial z = \bar{\partial}\bar{z} = 1$  and  $\bar{\partial}z = \partial\bar{z} = 0$ . Under internal  $SO(2)$  rotation by angle  $\varphi$ , the fields transform according to  $Q \rightarrow e^{-2i\varphi}Q$  and  $\bar{Q} \rightarrow e^{2i\varphi}\bar{Q}$ . The angle is *twice* the angle of rotation of an arbitrary vector  $v_z$  because  $Q$  has a quadrupolar nature.

The spin connection is given by

$$\hat{\omega}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{jk}\partial_j\hat{g}_{ki}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

We define the complex spin connection as

$$\hat{\omega}_z = \omega_1 + i\omega_2, \quad \hat{\omega}_{\bar{z}} = \omega_1 - i\omega_2. \quad (\text{C5})$$

Then

$$\hat{\omega}_z = \partial\bar{Q}, \quad \hat{\omega}_{\bar{z}} = \bar{\partial}Q. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Next, we compute  $C_k = \epsilon^i_j\hat{\Gamma}^j_{i,k} = 2\hat{\omega}_k$ .

Thus, it is clear that  $\int$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{\alpha}{4}C_i C_i = -\frac{\alpha}{4}C_z C_{\bar{z}} = -\alpha|\partial Q|^2. \quad (\text{C7})$$

We find the Ricci curvature

$$\hat{R} = -\partial_i\partial_j\hat{g}_{ij} = 2i(\bar{\partial}^2Q - \partial^2\bar{Q}). \quad (\text{C8})$$

We also find the ‘‘gravi-electric’’ field

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_z = \partial_0\hat{\omega}_z, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{z}} = \partial_0\hat{\omega}_{\bar{z}}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

The temporal component of the spin connection is always quadratic in fields,

$$\hat{\omega}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^i_j\hat{E}^j\partial_0\hat{e}^k_i \approx \frac{i}{8}(Q\dot{Q} - \bar{Q}\dot{\bar{Q}}). \quad (\text{C10})$$

The temporal component of  $C_0$  is also quadratic in fields; however, it is written entirely in terms of  $\hat{G}^{ij}$  and  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ :

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i^j\hat{G}^{ik}\partial_0\hat{g}_{jk}. \quad (\text{C11})$$

While, upon linearization, this term is indistinguishable from  $\hat{\omega}_0$ , we remark that as far as the canonical commutation relations are concerned, this term modifies the commutator of  $[\hat{g}_{ij}, \hat{g}_{kl}]$  by a term that is zeroth order in  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ . Then,  $\hat{g}_{ij}$  do not form an  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$  algebra. For this reason, we forbid the coupling of the Chern-Simons theory to  $C_\mu$  and do not include the term  $\bar{\rho}C_0$  in the effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}$ .

The gravitational Chern-Simons integrand is given by

$$\hat{\omega}d\hat{\omega} \approx -\epsilon_{ij}\hat{\omega}_i\partial_0\hat{\omega}_j \approx \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\partial}Q\partial\dot{\bar{Q}} - \partial\bar{Q}\dot{\bar{\partial}Q}). \quad (\text{C12})$$

We recall that  $\partial\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{4}\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator. The Chern-Simons term, as emphasized in the main text, modifies the canonical commutation relations.

- 
- [1] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, ‘‘Two-Dimensional Magnetotransport in the Extreme Quantum Limit,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1559 (1982).
- [2] R. B. Laughlin, ‘‘Anomalous Quantum Hall Effect: An Incompressible Quantum Fluid with Fractionally Charged Excitations,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [3] S. C. Zhang, T. H. Hansson, and S. Kivelson, ‘‘Effective-Field-Theory Model for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 82 (1989).
- [4] N. Read, ‘‘Order Parameter and Ginzburg-Landau Theory for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 86 (1989).
- [5] G. Moore and N. Read, ‘‘Nonabelions in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,’’ *Nucl. Phys.* **B360**, 362 (1991).
- [6] F. D. M. Haldane, ‘‘Fractional Quantization of the Hall Effect: A Hierarchy of Incompressible Quantum Fluid States,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 605 (1983).
- [7] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, ‘‘Shift and Spin Vector: New Topological Quantum Numbers for the Hall Fluids,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 953 (1992).
- [8] J. Fröhlich and U. M. Studer, ‘‘Gauge Invariance and Current Algebra in Nonrelativistic Many-Body Theory,’’ *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 733 (1993).
- [9] J. E. Avron, R. Seiler, and P. G. Zograf, ‘‘Viscosity of Quantum Hall Fluids,’’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 697 (1995).
- [10] I. V. Tokatly and G. Vignale, ‘‘Lorentz Shear Modulus of a Two-Dimensional Electron Gas at High Magnetic Field,’’ *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 161305 (2007).
- [11] N. Read, ‘‘Non-Abelian Adiabatic Statistics and Hall Viscosity in Quantum Hall States and  $p_x + ip_y$  Paired Superfluids,’’ *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 045308 (2009).
- [12] F. D. M. Haldane, ‘‘‘Hall viscosity’’ and Intrinsic Metric of Incompressible Fractional Hall Fluids,’’ [arXiv:0906.1854](https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1854).
- [13] A. G. Abanov and A. Gromov, ‘‘Electromagnetic and Gravitational Responses of Two-Dimensional Noninter-

- acting Electrons in a Background Magnetic Field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 014435 (2014).
- [14] S. Klevtsov, “Random Normal Matrices, Bergman Kernel and Projective Embeddings,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **01**, 133 (2014).
- [15] F. Ferrari and S. Klevtsov, “FQHE on Curved Backgrounds, Free Fields and Large N,” *J. of High Energy Phys.* **12**, 086 (2014).
- [16] T. Can, M. Laskin, and P. Wiegmann, “Fractional Quantum Hall Effect in a Curved Space: Gravitational Anomaly and Electromagnetic Response,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 046803 (2014).
- [17] A. Gromov, G. Young Cho, Y. You, A. G. Abanov, and E. Fradkin, “Framing Anomaly in the Effective Theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 016805 (2015).
- [18] B. Bradlyn and N. Read, “Topological Central Charge from Berry Curvature: Gravitational Anomalies in Trial Wave Functions for Topological Phases,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165306 (2015).
- [19] S. Klevtsov and P. Wiegmann, “Geometric Adiabatic Transport in Quantum Hall States,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 086801 (2015).
- [20] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, “Magneto-roton Theory of Collective Excitations in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. B* **33**, 2481 (1986).
- [21] C. F. Hirjibehedin, I. Dujovne, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, “Splitting of Long-Wavelength Modes of the Fractional Quantum Hall Liquid at  $\nu = 1/3$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 066803 (2005).
- [22] M. Kang, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, “Observation of Multiple Magneto-rotations in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2637 (2001).
- [23] I. V. Kukushkin, J. H. Smet, V. W. Scarola, V. Umansky, and K. von Klitzing, “Dispersion of the Excitations of Fractional Quantum Hall States,” *Science* **324**, 1044 (2009).
- [24] F. D. M. Haldane, “Geometrical Description of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 116801 (2011).
- [25] F. D. M. Haldane, “Self-Duality and Long-Wavelength Behavior of the Landau-Level Guiding-Center Structure Function, and the Shear Modulus of Fractional Quantum Hall Fluids,” (2011), [arXiv:1112.0990](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0990).
- [26] J. Maciejko, B. Hsu, S. A. Kivelson, Y. Park, and S. L. Sondhi, “Field Theory of the Quantum Hall Nematic Transition,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 125137 (2013).
- [27] Y. You, G. Y. Cho, and E. Fradkin, “Theory of Nematic Fractional Quantum Hall States,” *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 041050 (2014).
- [28] N. Regnault, J. Maciejko, S. A. Kivelson, and S. L. Sondhi, “Evidence of a Fractional Quantum Hall Nematic Phase in a Microscopic Model,” [arXiv:1607.02178](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02178).
- [29] J. Xia, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, “Evidence for a Fractionally Quantized Hall State with Anisotropic Longitudinal Transport,” *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 845 (2011).
- [30] S. Golkar, D. X. Nguyen, and D. T. Son, “Spectral Sum Rules and Magneto-roton as Emergent Graviton in Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **01**, 021 (2016).
- [31] K. Yang, “Geometry of Compressible and Incompressible Quantum Hall States: Application to Anisotropic Composite-Fermion Liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 241105(R) (2013).
- [32] K. Yang, “Acoustic Wave Absorption as a Probe of Dynamical Geometrical Response of Fractional Quantum Hall Liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 161302(R) (2016).
- [33] A. Gromov, S. D. Geraedts, and B. Bradlyn, “Investigating Anisotropic Quantum Hall States with Bi-metric Geometry,” [arXiv:1703.01304](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01304).
- [34] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze, and A. J. Tolley, “Resummation of Massive Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 231101 (2011).
- [35] E. A. Bergshoeff, S. de Haan, O. Hohm, W. Merbis, and P. K. Townsend, “Zwei-Dreibein Gravity: A Two-Frame-Field Model of 3D Massive Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , 111102.
- [36] P. M. Platzman and S. He, “Resonant Raman Scattering from Magneto Rotons in the Fractional Quantum Hall Liquid,” *Phys. Scr.* **T96**, 167 (1996).
- [37] C. Repellin, T. Neupert, Z. Papić, and N. Regnault, “Single-Mode Approximation for Fractional Chern Insulators and the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect on the Torus,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 045114 (2014).
- [38] T. Jolicœur, “Shape of the Magneto-roton at  $\nu = 1/3$  and  $\nu = 7/3$  in Real Samples,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 075201 (2017).
- [39] C. Hoyos and D. T. Son, “Hall Viscosity and Electromagnetic Response,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 066805 (2012).
- [40] D. T. Son, “Newton-Cartan Geometry and the Quantum Hall Effect,” [arXiv:1306.0638](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0638).
- [41] B. Bradlyn and N. Read, “Low-Energy Effective Theory in the Bulk for Transport in a Topological Phase,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 125303 (2015).
- [42] A. Gromov and A. G. Abanov, “Thermal Hall Effect and Geometry with Torsion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 016802 (2015).
- [43] K. Jensen, “On the Coupling of Galilean-Invariant Field Theories to Curved Spacetime,” [arXiv:1408.6855](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6855).
- [44] A. Gromov, K. Jensen, and A. G. Abanov, “Boundary Effective Action for Quantum Hall States,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 126802 (2016).
- [45] C. de Rham, “Massive Gravity,” *Living Rev. Relativity* **17**, 7 (2014).
- [46] We have explicitly assumed that Chern-Simons fields do not couple to the one-form  $C$ . Such coupling may be included and is discussed in Appendix C.
- [47] In curved space, the presence of constant  $h_{ij}$  leads to a breakdown of diffeomorphism invariance.
- [48] R. Roy, “Band Geometry of Fractional Topological Insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 165139 (2014).
- [49] T. S. Jackson, G. Möller, and R. Roy, “Geometric Stability of Topological Lattice Phases,” *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8629 (2015).
- [50] J. E. Avron, “Odd Viscosity,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **92**, 543 (1998).
- [51] In the nematic phase,  $\omega_i \neq \hat{\omega}_i$ , and the argument no longer holds.
- [52] D. X. Nguyen, D. T. Son, and C. Wu, “Lowest Landau Level Stress Tensor and Structure Factor of Trial Quantum Hall Wave Functions,” [arXiv:1411.3316](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3316).
- [53] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, “Hall Viscosity, Orbital Spin, and Geometry: Paired Superfluids and Quantum Hall Systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 085316 (2011).
- [54] A. Cappelli, C. A. Trugenberger, and G. R. Zemba, “Infi-

- nite Symmetry in the Quantum Hall Effect,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **396**, 465 (1993).
- [55] S. Iso, D. Karabali, and B. Sakita, “Fermions in the Lowest Landau Level. Bosonization,  $W_\infty$  algebra, Droplets, Chiral Bosons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **296**, 143 (1992).
- [56] S. A. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. L. Sondhi, “Fractional Quantum Hall Physics in Topological Flat Bands,” *C. R. Phys.* **14**, 816 (2013).
- [57] The term  $\hat{\omega}_0 \hat{R}$  will add a nonlinear contribution to the CCR. Presently, the effect of this nonlinear correction is not clear to us.
- [58] A. Cappelli and E. Randellini, “Multipole Expansion in the Quantum Hall Effect,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **03**, 105 (2016).
- [59] T. Can, M. Laskin, and P. B. Wiegmann, “Geometry of Quantum Hall States: Gravitational Anomaly and Transport Coefficients,” *Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam)* **362**, 752 (2015).
- [60] A. Gromov and A. G. Abanov, “Density-Curvature Response and Gravitational Anomaly,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 266802 (2014).
- [61] D. X. Nguyen, T. Can, and A. Gromov, “Particle-Hole Duality in the Lowest Landau Level,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 206602 (2017).
- [62] To be really consistent, we have to include higher-spin fields in the theory. These fields will also enter the definition of the density. Instead of doing this, we take a more phenomenological approach; we assume that higher-spin fields have already been integrated out and have generated the correction to the density, proportional to  $\Delta \hat{R}$ .
- [63] S. M. Girvin, “Particle-Hole Symmetry in the Anomalous Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 6012 (1984).
- [64] M. Levin and D. T. Son, “Particle-Hole Symmetry and Electromagnetic Response of a Half-Filled Landau Level,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 125120 (2017).
- [65] S. T. Chui, “Shear Modulus of Laughlin-Type wave Functions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 1409 (1986).
- [66] Y. Park and F. D. M. Haldane, “Guiding-Center Hall Viscosity and Intrinsic Dipole Moment Along Edges of Incompressible Fractional Quantum Hall Fluids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 045123 (2014).
- [67] N. Schine, A. Ryou, A. Gromov, A. Sommer, and J. Simon, “Synthetic Landau Levels for Photons,” *Nature (London)* **534**, 671 (2016).
- [68] T. Can, Y. H. Chiu, M. Laskin, and P. Wiegmann, “Emergent Conformal Symmetry and Geometric Transport Properties of Quantum Hall States on Singular Surfaces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 266803 (2016).
- [69] S. Klevtsov, “Lowest Landau Level on a Cone and Zeta Determinants,” *J. Phys. A* **50**, 234003 (2017).
- [70] T. Can, “Central Charge from Adiabatic Transport of Cusp Singularities in the Quantum Hall Effect,” *J. Phys. A* **50**, 174004 (2017).
- [71] A. Gromov, “Geometric Defects in Quantum Hall States,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 085116 (2016).
- [72] S. Golkar, D. X. Nguyen, M. M. Roberts, and D. T. Son, “Higher-Spin Theory of the Magnetorotons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 216403 (2016).
- [73] M. Laskin, T. Can, and P. Wiegmann, “Collective Field Theory for Quantum Hall States,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 235141 (2015).
- [74] N. Read and E. Rezayi, “Beyond Paired Quantum Hall States: Parafermions and Incompressible States in the First Excited Landau Level,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 8084 (1999).
- [75] J. K. Jain, “Composite-Fermion Approach for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 199 (1989).