

The K-inductive Structure of the Noncommutative Fourier Transform

Samuel G. Walters

Dedicated to Canada on her 150th birthday

ABSTRACT. The noncommutative Fourier transform of the irrational rotation C^* -algebra is shown to have a K-inductive structure (at least for a large concrete class of irrational parameters, containing dense G_δ 's). This is a structure for automorphisms that is analogous to Huaxin Lin's notion of tracially AF for C^* -algebras, except that it requires more structure from the complementary projection.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The Framework	4
2.1. Continuous Field of Fourier Transforms	4
2.2. Basic Matrix Approximation	4
2.3. Covariant Projections	5
2.4. Topological Invariants	6
2.5. Class of Irrationals \mathcal{I}	8
3. Proof of Structure Theorem	10
References	13

Date: May 20, 2017.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L80, 46L40, 46L35, 81T30, 81T45, 83E30, 55N15, 81T45.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebras; irrational rotation algebras; Fourier transform; automorphisms; K-theory; AF-algebras; Connes-Chern character.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove that the noncommutative Fourier transform of the irrational rotation C*-algebra A_θ has a K-inductive structure for at least a large class of irrationals θ (containing concrete dense G_δ 's) – see Theorem 1.2. Let us explain this.

Let \mathcal{B} denote the collection of C*-algebras (which we regard as building blocks) consisting of matrix algebras, matrix algebras over the unit circle, or finite direct sums of these. By a \mathcal{B} -type algebra we mean one that is C*-isomorphic to an algebra in the collection \mathcal{B} . For example, the Elliott-Evans structure theorem [3] states that the irrational rotation C*-algebra A_θ can be approximated by unital C*-subalgebras of the form $M_q(C(\mathbb{T})) \oplus M_{q'}(C(\mathbb{T}))$, which are in the class \mathcal{B} .

Definition 1.1. Let α be an automorphism of a unital C*-algebra A . We say that α is *K-inductive* if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each finite subset S of A , there exist a finite number of \mathcal{B} -type building block C*-subalgebras B_1, \dots, B_n of A with respective unit projections e_1, \dots, e_n , such that

- (1) B_j and e_j are α -invariant for each j ,
- (2) $\|e_j x - x e_j\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in S$ and each j ,
- (3) $e_j x e_j$ is to within distance ε from B_j , $\forall x \in S$ and each j ,
- (4) $[e_1] + \dots + [e_n] = [1]$ in $K_0(A^\alpha)$.

Here, A^α is the fixed point subalgebra of A (i.e., the C*-orbifold under α). Note that the equality of K -classes in condition (4) is stronger than simply requiring it to hold in $K_0(A)$. A projection e is a *matrix projection* in A when it is approximately central and is the unit of a subalgebra $B \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (for some n), and the cut downs exe are close to B for each x in any prescribed finite subset $S \subset A$.

The rotation C*-algebra (or noncommutative 2-torus) A_θ is the universal C*-algebra generated by unitaries U, V enjoying the Heisenberg relation

$$VU = e^{2\pi i \theta} UV.$$

The noncommutative Fourier transform (NCFT) of A_θ is the canonical order four automorphism (or symmetry) σ given by

$$\sigma(U) = V^{-1}, \quad \sigma(V) = U. \tag{1.1}$$

We will simply say ‘Fourier transform’ (and drop the adjective ‘noncommutative’).¹ The Elliott Fourier Transform problem, which is still open, is the problem of determining the inductive limit structure of the Fourier transform

¹The connection between this C*-Fourier transform and the classical Fourier transform \widehat{f} is aptly expressed in terms of the C*-inner product equation $\sigma(\langle f, g \rangle_D) = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_D$ as in [8] (but we will not need this fact here).

of the irrational rotation C*-algebra A_θ with respect to basic building blocks consisting of finite dimensional algebras and circle algebras. (Or, more generally, in terms of type I C*-subalgebras.)

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, where \mathcal{G} is any of the dense G_δ sets in $(0, 1)$ constructed in Section 2.5 below.

Theorem 1.2. (Structure Theorem) *For each irrational number θ in the dense G_δ -set \mathcal{G} , the noncommutative Fourier transform σ of A_θ is a K-inductive automorphism with respect to matrix algebras. More specifically, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each finite subset S of A_θ , there are three \mathcal{B} -type building block matrix C*-subalgebras*

$$B_1 \cong M_m(\mathbb{C}), \quad B_2 \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B_3 = M \oplus \sigma(M) \oplus \sigma^2(M) \oplus \sigma^3(M), \quad M \cong M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$$

(for some integers ℓ, m, n), with respective unit projections

$$e_1, \quad e_2, \quad f = g + \sigma(g) + \sigma^2(g) + \sigma^3(g)$$

where g is the unit projection of M with $g\sigma^j(g) = 0$ (for $j = 1, 2, 3$), such that

- (1) B_1, B_2, B_3 and e_1, e_2 are σ -invariant,
- (2) $\|e_j x - x e_j\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|g x - x g\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in S$ and $j = 1, 2$,
- (3) $e_j x e_j$ and $g x g$ are to within distance ε from B_j and M , respectively, $\forall x \in S$ and each $j = 1, 2$.

Further, there exist σ -invariant unitaries w, z in A_θ satisfying the equation

$$e_1 + w e_2 w^* + z f z^* = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

This equation (1.2) is equivalent to condition (4) in the above definition since the orbifold A_θ^σ has the cancellation property.

We may schematically display the K-inductive structure of the NCFT on A_θ in terms of building blocks as “ $\bullet \oplus \bullet \oplus \circ \oplus \circ \oplus \circ \oplus \circ$ ” where each bullet \bullet represents a σ -invariant matrix algebra and the open bullets \circ are matrix algebras that are cyclically permuted by the NCFT.

The notion of K-inductive is a natural extension of Huaxin Lin’s notion of tracially AF for C*-algebras [4] to automorphisms. The one difference is that whereas tracially AF means that there are plenty of finite dimensional projections whose complements are equivalent to some projection in a prescribed hereditary C*-subalgebra, in the case of K-inductive the complement is required to have a rather specific structure – i.e., is required to be invariantly equivalent to other projections of a building block nature.

We believe that a similar result can be proved for any irrational θ . Our choice of the classes \mathcal{G} of irrationals makes our computations far more accessible by avoiding number theoretic complications (and helps to make the paper shorter). A similar approach to that presented in this paper would probably also show that the cubic and hexic transforms of A_θ – namely the canonical order 3 and 6 automorphisms studied in [1], [2], [10] – are K-inductive automorphisms as well, with respect to matrix algebras possibly including circle

algebra building blocks. The hoped-for conclusion, then, is that all the canonical finite order automorphisms (the only orders being 2, 3, 4, and 6) are K-inductive automorphisms for all irrational θ .

2. The Framework

2.1. Continuous Field of Fourier Transforms. We write U_t, V_t for the continuous sections of canonical unitaries of the continuous field $\{A_t : 0 < t < 1\}$ of rotation C*-algebras such that $V_t U_t = e(t) U_t V_t$, where we've used the now common notation

$$e(t) := e^{2\pi i t}.$$

(The unitaries U_t, V_t generate A_t for each t .) When dealing with a specific irrational rotation algebra A_θ we often write its unitary generators simply as U, V instead of U_θ, V_θ . On the field $\{A_t\}$ there is a field of noncommutative Fourier transforms σ_t given on the fiber A_t by

$$\sigma_t(U_t) = V_t^{-1}, \quad \sigma_t(V_t) = U_t.$$

Often we omit the subscript on σ_t and simply write σ since there will be no risk of confusion.

2.2. Basic Matrix Approximation. We will use the following result from [9], Theorem 1.5 (a result that was originally rooted in [8]).

Theorem 2.1. ([9], Theorem 1.5.) *Let $\theta > 0$ be an irrational number and $p/q > 0$ be a rational number in reduced form such that $0 < q(q\theta - p) < 1$. Let σ be the Fourier transform of A_θ . Then there exists a Fourier invariant smooth projection e in A_θ of trace $\tau(e) = q(q\theta - p)$ and a Fourier equivariant isomorphism*

$$\eta : eA_\theta e \rightarrow M_q \otimes A_{\theta'} \quad \text{such that} \quad \eta\sigma = (\Sigma \otimes \sigma')\eta \quad (2.1)$$

where Σ and σ' are Fourier transform automorphisms of M_q and $A_{\theta'}$, respectively, given by

$$\Sigma(u) = v, \quad \Sigma(v) = u^*, \quad \sigma'(a) = b^*, \quad \sigma'(b) = a, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M_q = C^*(u, v)$ and u, v are order q unitary matrices with $vu = e(\frac{p}{q})uv$, and $A_{\theta'}$ is generated by unitaries a, b with $ba = e(\theta')ab$, where $\theta' = \frac{c\theta + d}{q\theta - p}$ is an irrational number in the $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ orbit of θ . (Here, c, d are integers such that $cp + dq = 1$.)

Furthermore, given a sequence of rational approximations p/q of θ such that $0 < q(q\theta - p) < \kappa < 1$ for some fixed number κ , the projection e is a matrix projection: e is approximately central and $\eta(eUe), \eta(eVe)$ are close to order q unitary generators of M_q and which is Fourier invariant – the approximations here go to 0 as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

It is easy to see that a similar result to this theorem applies for rational approximations of θ such that $0 < q(p - q\theta) < 1$ – simply by replacing θ by $1 - \theta$

and using the canonical isomorphism $A_{1-\theta} \cong A_\theta$ which canonically intertwines the Fourier transform.

Since θ will be fixed throughout the paper, we will write e_q^+ for the above canonical projection of trace $q^2\theta - pq$, since it has positive label (or ‘charge’), and write e_q^- for the canonical projection of trace $pq - q^2\theta$ with negative label (see (2.4)). According to the last assertion of Theorem 2.1, we can have Fourier invariant matrix projections of both these types.

2.3. Covariant Projections. In [11] (but also somewhat evident in [8]) we showed that the Fourier invariant projections e_q^+ of Theorem 2.1 are instances of one and the same continuous field $\mathcal{E}(t)$ of projections of the continuous field $\{A_t\}$ of rotation algebras such that $\tau(\mathcal{E}(t)) = t$. The relation is canonically furnished by equation (2.3) for e_q^+ and (2.4) for its negative charge counterpart e_q^- .

Given an irrational number t and integers n, k , where $n \neq 0$, one has the canonical unital *-morphism

$$\zeta_{n,t} : A_{n^2t-k} \rightarrow A_t, \quad \zeta_{n,t}(U_{n^2t-k}) = U_t^n, \quad \zeta_{n,t}(V_{n^2t-k}) = V_t^n.$$

This map clearly intertwines the Fourier transform

$$\sigma_t \zeta_{n,t} = \zeta_{n,t} \sigma_{n^2t-k}.$$

For rational approximations $\frac{p}{q} < \theta$ such that $0 < q^2\theta - pq < 1$, we have the projection

$$e_q^+ = \zeta_{q,\theta} \mathcal{E}(q^2\theta - pq) \in A_\theta \tag{2.3}$$

whose trace is $q^2\theta - pq$. This is what we mean by saying that e_q^+ is covariant (that it arises from the projection field $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in a natural manner). We could also write down negatively charged projections² in A_θ defined by

$$e_b^- = v \zeta_{b,1-\theta} \mathcal{E}(ab - b^2\theta) \tag{2.4}$$

of trace $ab - b^2\theta \in (0, 1)$, where v is the canonical isomorphism

$$v : A_{1-\theta} \rightarrow A_\theta, \quad v(U_{1-\theta}) = V_\theta, \quad v(V_{1-\theta}) = U_\theta. \tag{2.5}$$

We will need to use the parity automorphism γ of A_θ defined by

$$\gamma(U) = -U, \quad \gamma(V) = -V$$

because it commutes with the Fourier transform³ and has the nice effect of flipping the signs of two of the topological invariants below (namely, ψ_{11}, ψ_{22}), while preserving the others.

²In the mathematical physics literature related to string theory and noncommutative geometry, the Connes-Chern number $-b^2$, for a projection of trace $ab - b^2\theta$, is referred to as the ‘‘charge’’ of the projection (or that of its associated instanton).

³In fact, γ is the only nontrivial of the toral action automorphisms that commutes with the Fourier transform σ .

2.4. Topological Invariants. With U_θ, V_θ denoting the canonical unitaries satisfying

$$V_\theta U_\theta = e(\theta) U_\theta V_\theta$$

and the Fourier transform defined by

$$\sigma(U_\theta) = V_\theta^{-1}, \quad \sigma(V_\theta) = U_\theta$$

the following are the basic unbounded “trace” functionals defined on the canonical smooth dense *-subalgebra A_θ^∞ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{10}^\theta(U_\theta^m V_\theta^n) &= e(-\frac{\theta}{4}(m+n)^2) \delta_2^{m-n}, & \psi_{20}^\theta(U_\theta^m V_\theta^n) &= e(-\frac{\theta}{2}mn) \delta_2^m \delta_2^n, \\ \psi_{11}^\theta(U_\theta^m V_\theta^n) &= e(-\frac{\theta}{4}(m+n)^2) \delta_2^{m-n-1}, & \psi_{21}^\theta(U_\theta^m V_\theta^n) &= e(-\frac{\theta}{2}mn) \delta_2^{m-1} \delta_2^{n-1}, \\ & & \psi_{22}^\theta(U_\theta^m V_\theta^n) &= e(-\frac{\theta}{2}mn) \delta_2^{m-n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where δ_a^b is *divisor delta function* defined to be 1 if a divides b , and 0 otherwise. These maps were calculated in [6] and used in [7], [8], [11]. (Sometime θ is omitted from the notation ψ_{jk}^θ when there is no risk of confusion.)

The functionals ψ_{1j} are σ -invariant σ -traces and ψ_{2j} are σ -invariant σ^2 -traces. Recall that if α is an automorphism of an algebra A (usually a pre-C*-algebra like A_θ^∞), by an α -trace we understand a complex-valued linear map ψ defined on A satisfying the condition

$$\psi(xy) = \psi(\alpha(y)x)$$

for each x, y in A ; and we say that ψ is σ -invariant when $\psi\sigma = \psi$. (Clearly, a σ -trace is automatically σ -invariant if its domain contains the identity, but a σ^2 -trace need not be σ -invariant.) These unbounded linear functionals induce trace maps on the smooth C*-orbifold $A_\theta^{\infty, \sigma} = A_\theta^\infty \cap A_\theta^\sigma$, thereby inducing homomorphisms on K -theory $\psi_* : K_0(A_\theta^\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

In [6] it was shown that $\{\psi_{10}, \psi_{11}\}$ is a basis for the 2-dimensional vector space of all σ -traces on A_θ^∞ , and that $\{\psi_{20}, \psi_{21}, \psi_{22}\}$ is a basis for the 3-dimensional vector space of all σ -invariant σ^2 -traces on A_θ^∞ .

The unbounded traces ψ_{jk} along with the canonical bounded trace τ comprise the associated Connes-Chern character group homomorphism for the fixed point algebra A_θ^σ :

$$\mathbf{T} : K_0(A_\theta^\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^6, \quad \mathbf{T}(x) = (\tau(x); \psi_{10}(x), \psi_{11}(x); \psi_{20}(x), \psi_{21}(x), \psi_{22}(x)).$$

For the identity one has $\mathbf{T}(1) = (1; 1, 0; 1, 0, 0)$. It will be convenient to write

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = (\tau(x); \mathbf{Top}(x))$$

where

$$\mathbf{Top}(x) := (\psi_{10}(x), \psi_{11}(x); \psi_{20}(x), \psi_{21}(x), \psi_{22}(x))$$

consists of the discrete topological invariants of x . Indeed, in view of [6] and [7], the values of the unbounded traces on projections, and on $K_0(A_\theta^\sigma)$, are quantized, with ψ_{10}, ψ_{11} having range in the lattice subgroup $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}(\frac{1-i}{2})$ of \mathbb{C} ;

ψ_{20}, ψ_{21} have range $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$; and ψ_{22} has range \mathbb{Z} . (Cf. Lemma 2.3 below which gives the topological invariants for the field $\mathcal{E}(t)$.)

It is straightforward to check that the parity automorphism γ changes the signs of ψ_{11} and ψ_{22} :

$$\psi_{11}\gamma = -\psi_{11}, \quad \psi_{22}\gamma = -\psi_{22}$$

and it keeps the other ψ_{jk} unchanged. Thus,

$$\mathbf{Top}(\gamma(x)) = (\psi_{10}(x), -\psi_{11}(x); \psi_{20}(x), \psi_{21}(x), -\psi_{22}(x)).$$

The Connes-Chern map \mathbf{T} was shown to be injective [7] for a dense G_δ set of irrationals θ , but since $K_0(A_\theta^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}^9$ for all θ by [2] or [5], \mathbf{T} is injective for all irrational θ . This allows us to conclude that since A_θ^σ has the cancellation property for any irrational θ , two projections e and e' in A_θ^σ are unitarily equivalent by a σ -invariant unitary if and only if $\mathbf{T}(e) = \mathbf{T}(e')$.

Definition 2.2. A projection f is called *flat* (or σ -*flat*), when it is an orthogonal sum of the form

$$f = g + \sigma(g) + \sigma^2(g) + \sigma^3(g)$$

for some projection g . We call such projection g a *cyclic* subprojection for f since it is orthogonal to its orbit under σ and its orbit sum gives f .

Another reason we call f “flat” is because its topological invariants vanish:

$$\mathbf{Top}(f) = (0, 0; 0, 0, 0).$$

Indeed, if ψ is any of the two kinds of unbounded traces in (2.6), we have (can assume g is smooth) $\psi(g) = \psi(gg) = \psi(\sigma^j(g)g) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$, hence $\psi(f) = 0$.

In [11] we proved that the topological invariants of the continuous section $\mathcal{E}(t)$ mentioned in Section 2.3 are given as follows.

Lemma 2.3. ([11], Theorem 1.7.) *The topological invariants of the projection section $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are*

$$\mathbf{Top}(\mathcal{E}(t)) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2}, \frac{1-i}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)$$

and its trace is $\tau(\mathcal{E}(t)) = t$ for each $t \in (0, 1)$.

This will allow us to calculate the topological invariants of the canonical projections e_q^+ and e_q^- of Theorem 2.1 using the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. ([11], Lemma 3.2.) *Let $\theta_n = n^2\theta - k$ where k, n are integers. The unbounded traces on A_{θ_n} and A_θ are related by $\zeta_{n,\theta}$ according to the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{10}^\theta \zeta_{n,\theta} &= \psi_{10}^{\theta_n} + i^{-k} \delta_2^n \psi_{11}^{\theta_n}, & \psi_{20}^\theta \zeta_{n,\theta} &= \psi_{20}^{\theta_n} + (-1)^k \delta_2^n \psi_{21}^{\theta_n} + \delta_2^n \psi_{22}^{\theta_n}, \\ \psi_{11}^\theta \zeta_{n,\theta} &= i^{-k} \delta_2^{n-1} \psi_{11}^{\theta_n}, & \psi_{21}^\theta \zeta_{n,\theta} &= (-1)^k \delta_2^{n-1} \psi_{21}^{\theta_n}, \\ & & \psi_{22}^\theta \zeta_{n,\theta} &= \delta_2^{n-1} \psi_{22}^{\theta_n}. \end{aligned}$$

(Lemma 2.4 is in fact easy to check by directly working out both sides on generic unitaries $U_{\theta_n}^m V_{\theta_n}^n$.)

Combining these two lemmas and applying them to the canonical projection $e_q^+ = \zeta_{q,\theta} \mathcal{E}(q^2\theta - pq)$, we obtain its topological invariants

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_q^+) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2}[1 + (-1)^{q/2} \delta_2^q], \quad \left(\frac{1-i}{2}\right) i^{-pq} \delta_2^{q-1}; \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \delta_2^q, \quad \frac{1}{2} (-1)^p \delta_2^{q-1}, \quad \delta_2^{q-1} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Likewise, the topological invariants of the negatively charged canonical projection e_b^- of trace $ab - b^2\theta$ (where a, b are coprime) are

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_b^-) = \left(\frac{1+i}{2}[1 + (-1)^{b/2} \delta_2^b], \quad \left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right) i^{-ab} \delta_2^{b-1}; \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \delta_2^b, \quad \frac{1}{2} (-1)^a \delta_2^{b-1}, \quad \delta_2^{b-1} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

To check the latter invariants of e_b^- , one uses the following relations between the unbounded traces and the canonical isomorphism ν of (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{10}^\theta \nu &= (\psi_{10}^{1-\theta})^*, & \psi_{11}^\theta \nu &= -i(\psi_{11}^{1-\theta})^* \\ \psi_{20}^\theta \nu &= \psi_{20}^{1-\theta}, & \psi_{21}^\theta \nu &= -\psi_{21}^{1-\theta}, & \psi_{22}^\theta \nu &= \psi_{22}^{1-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

which are straightforward to check by working them out on the unitary elements $U_{1-\theta}^m V_{1-\theta}^n$. (Here, ψ^* is the Hermitian adjoint $\psi^*(x) = \overline{\psi(x^*)}$.)

To verify the first component of $\mathbf{Top}(e_b^-)$, for example, we compute:

$$\psi_{10}^\theta(e_b^-) = \psi_{10}^\theta \nu \zeta_{b,1-\theta} \mathcal{E}(ab - b^2\theta) = \overline{\psi_{10}^{1-\theta} \zeta_{b,1-\theta} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\theta})}$$

where we have written $\tilde{\theta} := ab - b^2\theta = b^2(1-\theta) - (b^2 - ab)$. By Lemma 2.4, with θ replaced by $1-\theta$, and by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{10}^{1-\theta} \zeta_{b,1-\theta} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\theta}) &= \psi_{10}^{\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\theta}) + i^{-(b^2-ab)} \delta_2^b \psi_{11}^{\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\theta}), \\ &= \frac{1-i}{2} + i^{-(b^2-ab)} \delta_2^b \frac{1-i}{2} \\ &= \frac{1-i}{2} (1 + i^{ab} \delta_2^b) \\ &= \frac{1-i}{2} (1 + (-1)^{b/2} \delta_2^b) \end{aligned}$$

since if b is odd the delta term vanishes and when b is even, a has to be odd so can be removed in the power of -1 . After conjugating we obtain $\psi_{10}^\theta(e_b^-)$, as asserted. The other invariants of e_b^- in (2.8) are similarly checked.

2.5. Class of Irrationals \mathcal{G} . We begin with any dense set D of rational numbers $\frac{k}{m}$ in the open interval $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ where $k, m \geq 1$. We form the following integers

$$n = 4mk + 1, \quad q = n^2, \quad s = n^2 + 4m^2, \quad p = 4k^2(2n + 1), \quad r = p + 2n - 3$$

which can easily be checked to satisfy the modular equation

$$ps - qr = 1 \quad (2.9)$$

(for any k, m). Let κ_2, κ_1 be any fixed pair of positive numbers such that

$$0 < \kappa_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} < \kappa_1 < 1, \quad 1 < \kappa_1 + \kappa_2. \quad (2.10)$$

One checks (using (2.9)) directly that the following inequality

$$\frac{r}{s} < \frac{pq - \kappa_1}{q^2} < \frac{rs + \kappa_2}{s^2} < \frac{p}{q} \quad (2.11)$$

holds for large enough k . The left inequality holds for large enough k (specifically for k such that $\frac{q}{s} > \kappa_1$, since $\frac{q}{s} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$). (Indeed, the left inequality holds for k such that $4k^2 \geq \frac{\kappa_1}{1-\kappa_1}$.) The middle inequality holds for all k, m by virtue of (2.10)⁴, and the right inequality holds always since $\kappa_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

It is easy to see that the difference $\frac{p}{q} - \frac{2k}{m}$ goes to 0 for large k, m , hence the set of rationals $\{\frac{p}{q} : k, m \geq 1\}$ is dense in the open interval $(0, 1)$, as also is the set $\{\frac{r}{s}\}$.

We can extend slightly inequality (2.11) to the following

$$\frac{2km - \frac{1}{2}}{m^2} < \frac{r}{s} < \frac{pq - \kappa_1}{q^2} < \theta < \frac{rs + \kappa_2}{s^2} < \frac{p}{q} < \frac{2k}{m} \quad (2.12)$$

where θ will be the type of irrational that we'll be interested in. The leftmost and rightmost inequalities here can be checked to hold for all k, m since they follow from the equalities

$$rm^2 - s(2km - \frac{1}{2}) = 4k^2m^2 + m^2 + 2km + \frac{1}{2}, \quad 2kq - pm = 4k^2m + 2k.$$

Of course, the remaining inequalities in (2.12) hold for large enough k, m depending on choice of κ_1, κ_2 satisfying (2.10).

The above leads to the construction of various dense G_δ sets of irrational numbers θ in $(0, 1)$ for each choice of κ_1, κ_2 satisfying (2.10) and choice of dense set D of rational numbers in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$. Such irrationals θ possess infinitely many pairs of integers k, m , and associated rational approximations $\frac{2k}{m}, \frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{s}$ satisfying (2.12). For example, based on the inner inequality in (2.12), one takes a countable intersection of the dense unions of open intervals

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} = \bigcap_{N \geq 1} \bigcup_{\substack{k, m \geq N \\ \frac{k}{m} \in D}} \left(\frac{pq - \kappa_1}{q^2}, \frac{rs + \kappa_2}{s^2} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

One could conceivably construct specific irrationals in the class \mathcal{G} .

⁴The middle inequality yields the quadratic inequality $\kappa_2 x^2 - x + \kappa_1 > 0$, where $x = q/s$. By (2.10), the quadratic is a decreasing function over the interval $[0, 1]$ and is positive at the endpoints, so it is positive on $[0, 1]$.

3. Proof of Structure Theorem

We begin the proof with the following lemma. If B is a C^* -subalgebra of A and $x \in A$, we use the standard notation $d(x, B)$ for the norm distance between x and B : $d(x, B) = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in B\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\theta > 0$ be an irrational number and M, N positive coprime integers such that $0 < N(N\theta - M) < 1$. Then for each $t \in (0, 1) \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta)$ such that*

$$t < \frac{1}{4}(N\theta - M)$$

there exists a cyclic projection h (i.e., $h\sigma^j(h) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$) of trace

$$\tau(h) = Nt.$$

If, in addition, there is a sequence of rationals M/N such that $0 < N(N\theta - M) < \kappa < 1$ for some fixed κ , then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there are N, M large enough such that

- (1) $\|hU - Uh\| < \varepsilon$, $\|hV - Vh\| < \varepsilon$,
- (2) *there is a matrix C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{M} of A_θ having h has its unit such that*

$$d(hUh, \mathfrak{M}) < \varepsilon, \quad d(hVh, \mathfrak{M}) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Consider the canonical Fourier invariant projection e in A_θ of trace $\tau(e) = N(N\theta - M)$ given by Theorem 2.1 and corresponding isomorphism

$$\eta : eA_\theta e \rightarrow M_N \otimes A_{\theta'}, \quad \text{where } \theta' = \frac{c\theta + d}{N\theta - M}$$

and c, d are integers such that $cM + dN = 1$. Write $t = m + n\theta$, for some integers m, n , and let $K = Mn + Nm$ and $L = dn - cm$. Then

$$K\theta' + L = \frac{(Mn + Nm)(c\theta + d) + (dn - cm)(N\theta - M)}{N\theta - M} = \frac{t}{N\theta - M} < \frac{1}{4}$$

so that $K\theta' + L$ is in $(0, \frac{1}{4}) \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta')$. By Theorem 1.6 of [9]⁵, there exists a σ' -cyclic projection h' in $A_{\theta'}$ of trace $K\theta' + L = \frac{t}{N\theta - M}$, where σ' is the Fourier transform of $A_{\theta'}$ in Theorem 2.1. This gives the cyclic projection

$$h := \eta^{-1}(I_N \otimes h')$$

of trace

$$\tau(h) = N(N\theta - M) \cdot \frac{t}{N\theta - M} = Nt.$$

Since the isomorphism η is Fourier covariant, as expressed by (2.1), the projection h is a cyclic subprojection of e .

To prove the second assertion of the lemma, assume we have an infinite sequence of rationals M/N such that $0 < N(N\theta - M) < \kappa < 1$ for some fixed κ . In view of the second part of Theorem 2.1, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is N large enough so that $\eta(eUe)$ and $\eta(eVe)$ are to within ε of some elements of the matrix algebra M_N . Then

$$\mathfrak{M} := \eta^{-1}(M_N \otimes h') = h\eta^{-1}(M_N)h$$

⁵One could also use Theorem 1.5 in [12].

is a matrix C^* -subalgebra of A_θ with identity element h . (So the algebra \mathfrak{M} is cyclic under σ .) As $\eta(h) = I_N \otimes h'$ commutes with $M_N \otimes h'$, the cut downs $hUh = heUeh$ and $hVh = heVeh$ are to within ε of elements of \mathfrak{M} , hence condition (2) holds, and $\|ex - xe\| < \varepsilon$, for $x = U, V$. To see that h is approximately central, let $x = U, V$ and write

$$hx - xh = h(ex - xe) + (ex - xe)h + hexe - exeh$$

so that from $\|ex - xe\| < \varepsilon$ one gets $\|hx - xh\| < 2\varepsilon + \|hexe - exeh\|$. Further, since η is an isometry we get

$$\|hexe - exeh\| = \|\eta(h)\eta(exe) - \eta(exe)\eta(h)\|$$

and since $\eta(exe)$ is to within ε of an element of $M_N \otimes 1$, with which $\eta(h)$ commutes, one gets $\|hexe - exeh\| < 2\varepsilon$. Therefore, $\|hx - xh\| < 4\varepsilon$ and h is approximately central. ■

Remark 3.2. We point out that the proof of this lemma can be modified slightly to give approximately central Fourier invariant projections h of trace Nt (with the $1/4$ factor removed from the hypothesis on t).

We now have the groundwork necessary in order to proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Fix an irrational θ in the class \mathcal{G} given by (2.13).

The inequalities (2.12) give three rational convergents of θ and three respective numbers

$$0 < 2km - m^2\theta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < pq - q^2\theta < \kappa_1, \quad 0 < s^2\theta - rs < \kappa_2.$$

We are interested in the following approximately central canonical matrix projections

$$e_m^-, \quad e_q^-, \quad e_s^+$$

with respective traces $2km - m^2\theta$, $pq - q^2\theta$, $s^2\theta - rs$. From (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain the topological invariants of the last two to be

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_q^-) = \left(\frac{1+i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}i^{-pq}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(-1)^p, 1\right) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_s^+) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2}, \frac{1-i}{2}i^{-rs}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r, 1\right) \quad (3.2)$$

as q and s are odd. Since $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $rs \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ (see first paragraph of Section 2.5), these become

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_q^-) = \left(\frac{1+i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_s^+) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1\right). \quad (3.4)$$

Taking the parity γ of e_s^+ gives

$$\mathbf{Top}(\gamma e_s^+) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2}, -\left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1\right) \quad (3.5)$$

and adding gives

$$\mathbf{Top}(e_q^-) + \mathbf{Top}(\gamma e_s^+) = (1, 0; 1, 0, 0) = \mathbf{Top}(1).$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{T}(1) - \mathbf{T}(e_q^-) - \mathbf{T}(\gamma e_s^+) = (\tau_0; 0, 0; 0, 0, 0) \quad (3.6)$$

where the trace value τ_0 here is

$$\tau_0 = 1 - (pq - q^2\theta) - (s^2\theta - rs) = (1 + rs - pq) - (s^2 - q^2)\theta.$$

Computing these in terms of the parameters k, m , one gets

$$s^2 - q^2 = 8m^2(2m^2 + n^2) = 8m^2(16k^2m^2 + 8km + 2m^2 + 1) = 4m^2B$$

and

$$1 + rs - pq = 4m^2(64k^3m + 8km + 24k^2 - 1) = 4m^2A$$

where

$$A = 64k^3m + 8km + 24k^2 - 1, \quad B = 2(16k^2m^2 + 8km + 2m^2 + 1).$$

Thus, we can write

$$\tau_0 = 4m^2(A - B\theta).$$

We now claim that τ_0 is the trace of an approximately central flat projection

$$f = g + \sigma(g) + \sigma^2(g) + \sigma^3(g)$$

whose cyclic subprojection g is approximately central as well. First, it is straightforward to check that

$$2ks - m(r + 4) = 4k^2m + 2k - 3m > 0$$

is positive (for all $k, m \geq 1$), and that one has the equality

$$sA - Br = 1.$$

These give the inequality

$$\frac{4mA - 2k}{4mB - m} < \frac{r}{s} < \theta$$

from which we get

$$t := m(A - B\theta) < \frac{1}{4}(2k - m\theta) < 1. \quad (3.7)$$

To be sure that $A - B\theta > 0$, in view of (2.12) it is enough to see that

$$\theta < \frac{rs + \kappa_2}{s^2} < \frac{A}{B}.$$

Cross multiplying the last inequality here reduces it to $\kappa_2 < \frac{s}{B}$ (again using $sA - Br = 1$) which holds since $\kappa_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{s}{B}$ follows from $2s = B + 4m^2$.

To establish the claim just made, apply Lemma 3.1 with

$$N(N(1 - \theta) - M) = m(2k - m\theta) = \tau(e_m^-)$$

i.e., with $N = m$ and $M = m - 2k$, and with $t = m(A - B\theta)$. The hypothesis of this lemma that $t < \frac{1}{4}(2k - m\theta)$ has already been checked in (3.7). Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 there exists an approximately central cyclic projection g of trace

$$\tau(g) = mt = m^2(A - B\theta).$$

The second part of Lemma 3.1 (where the “ κ ” there can be taken to be $\frac{1}{2}$ in view of the inequalities (2.12) relating θ and $2k/m$) gives the matrix cut down approximation for g . The corresponding flat projection is then

$$f = g + \sigma(g) + \sigma^2(g) + \sigma^3(g)$$

with trace

$$\tau(f) = 4m^2(A - B\theta) = \tau_0.$$

Therefore (3.6) becomes

$$\mathbf{T}(e_q^-) + \mathbf{T}(\gamma e_s^+) + \mathbf{T}(f) = \mathbf{T}(1).$$

where all the underlying projections e_q^-, e_s^+, g, f are approximately central matrix projections. Since the Connes-Chern map \mathbf{T} is injective we get the following equality of classes in $K_0(A_\theta^\sigma)$

$$[e_q^-] + [\gamma e_s^+] + [f] = [1]$$

as required by Definition 1.1. Since the orbifold C*-algebra A_θ^σ has the cancellation property, this equation of K -classes gives equation (1.2) of Theorem 1.2 for some Fourier invariant unitaries w, z – namely, $e_q^- + w\gamma e_s^+ w^* + zfz^* = 1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 that the Fourier transform σ is K-inductive on the irrational rotation C*-algebra A_θ .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Buck and S. Walters, *Connes-Chern characters of hexic and cubic modules*, J. Operator Theory **57** (2007), 35–65.
- [2] S. Echterhoff, W. Lück, N. C. Phillips, S. Walters, *The structure of crossed products of irrational rotation algebras by finite subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle’s Journal) **639** (2010), 173–221.
- [3] G. Elliott and D. Evans, *The structure of the irrational rotation C*-algebra*, Ann. Math. **138** (1993), 477–501.
- [4] H. Lin, *Classification of Simple Tracially AF C*-Algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53**, No. 1, (2001), 161–194.
- [5] A. Polishchuk, *Holomorphic bundles on 2-dimensional noncommutative toric orbifolds*, in *Noncommutative Geometry and Number Theory*, by C. Consani and M. Marcolli (eds.), Vieweg Publ. (2006), 341–359.
- [6] S. Walters, *Chern characters of Fourier modules*, Canad. J. Math. **52** (2000), No. 3, 633–672.
- [7] S. Walters, *K-theory of non commutative spheres arising from the Fourier automorphism*, Canad. J. Math. **53**, No. 3 (2001), 631–672.
- [8] S. Walters, *The AF structure of non commutative toroidal $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ orbifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle’s Journal) **568** (2004), 139–196. arXiv: math.OA/0207239.
- [9] S. Walters, *Decomposable Projections Related to the Fourier and flip Automorphisms*, Math. Scand. **107** (2010), 174–197.
- [10] S. Walters, *Toroidal Orbifolds of \mathbb{Z}_3 and \mathbb{Z}_6 Symmetries of Noncommutative Tori*, Nuclear Physics B **894** (2015), 496–526. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.03.008>

- [11] S. Walters, *Continuous Fields Of Projections And Orthogonality Relations*, J. Operator Theory **77:1** (2017), 191-203. DOI: 10.7900/jot.2016mar19.2130
- [12] S. Walters, *Semiflat Orbifold Projections*, preprint (2016), 16 pages.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN B.C., PRINCE GEORGE, B.C. V2N 4Z9, CANADA.

E-mail address: Samuel.Walters@unbc.ca

URL: <http://hilbert.unbc.ca>