Quantum Correlation Dynamics for an Open Multi Qutrit System

R. Sufiani^{*a*}; A. Pedram [†]and M. Karimi [‡],

 a Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

November 8, 2018

[∗]E-mail:sofiani@tabrizu.ac.ir

[†]E-mail:alipedram01@gmail.com

[‡]E-mail:karimi-mohammad-70@yahoo.com

Abstract

We study the correlation dynamics of a system composed of arbitrary numbers of qutrits interacting with a common environment. Initially, the system is assumed to be in a low dimensional subspace of the Hamiltonian called "decoherence-free subspace". Environment induced quantum entanglement and discord is calculated between pair of qutrits of the system as measures of non-classical correlations. Finally the steady state distribution of entanglement and discord is determined with respect to the total number of qutrits of the system.

Keywords: entanglement dynamics, quantum discord, multiqutrit system, open quantum system

1 Introduction

The defining characteristic of the quantum mechanics is existence of the correlations which cannot be explained using any local classical theory. Much work has been done to quantify these correlations, however it is not an easy task to give a general measure for "quantumness" in multidimensional systems. Entanglement and discord are two measures to capture the amount of non-classicality of a system.

Research in quantum information science has shown that entanglement and discord can be used as a resource in various communication protocols and search algorithms[[\[1\]](#page-14-0), [\[2\]](#page-14-1), [\[3\]](#page-14-2), [\[4\]](#page-14-3)]. However, it is well known that entanglement is fragile under noisy processes while discord is more robust. In recent years it has been shown that environment can induce entanglement between subsystems of a system in various environmental settings[[\[5\]](#page-14-4), [\[6\]](#page-15-0), [\[7\]](#page-15-1), [\[8\]](#page-15-2)]. It is also shown that discord can be amplified between two uncoupled qubits in a common environment[[\[9\]](#page-15-3)]. In [[\[10\]](#page-15-4)] the authors studied entanglement dynamics for qubits dissipating into a common environment.

Here, we study the time variation of entanglement and discord in a system composed of arbitrary number of qutrits dissipating into a common environment. Initially, the system is assumed to be in a subspace of hamiltonian to which application of the dissipation operator gives another member of this subspace. This subspace is called the decoherence free subspace. We find that pairwise entanglement and discord is created between an initially excited qutrit and a qutrit initially in the ground state due to interaction with a common environment.

2 Correlation Measures

In this work, we will use negativity as a measure for quantum entanglement and geometric quantum discord as a measure for non-classical correlations. The two concepts are explained in this section.

2.1 Negativity

Negativity is a measure of quantum entanglement which is easy to compute. The negativity of subsystem A can be defined in terms of a density matrix ρ as [[\[11\]](#page-15-5)]:

$$
N(\rho) = \frac{\|\rho^{T_A}\|_1 - 1}{2}.\tag{2-1}
$$

In this equation ρ^{T_A} is the partial transpose of ρ with respect to subsystem A and $\|\rho^{T_A}\|_1$ is the trace norm or sum of the eigenvalues of the operator ρ^{T_A} .

2.2 Geometric Quantum Discord

Geometric quantum discord is defined as[[\[12\]](#page-15-6)]:

$$
D^{geo}(\rho) = \min_{\chi} || \rho - \chi ||^2,
$$
 (2-2)

in which the minimum is taken over all the classical states χ . Here, $\|\rho - \chi\|^2 = tr(\rho - \chi)^2$ is the square norm in the Hilbert-Schmidt space.

In systems composed of qutrits, any bipartite state can be expanded as[[\[13\]](#page-15-7)]:

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{9} [I_3 \otimes I_3 + \sum_{i=1}^8 x_i \lambda_i \otimes I_3 + \sum_{j=1}^8 y_j I_3 \otimes \lambda_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^8 t_{i,j} \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_j]. \tag{2-3}
$$

In this equation λ_i s are the well known Gell-Mann matrices. x, y and t are defined as:

$$
x_i = \frac{3}{2}tr[\rho(\lambda_i \otimes I_3)] = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_A \lambda_i)
$$

\n
$$
y_j = \frac{3}{2}tr[\rho(I_3 \otimes \lambda_j)] = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_B \lambda_j)
$$

\n
$$
T = t_{i,j} = \frac{9}{4}tr[\rho(\lambda_i \otimes \lambda_j)],
$$

\n(2-4)

in which ρ_A and ρ_B are the reduced density matrices.

Lower bound for the geometric quantum discord is given by:

$$
D(\rho) \ge tr(CC^t) - \sum_{i=3}^{3} \eta_i = \sum_{i=4}^{9} \eta_i,
$$
\n(2-5)

in which η_i s are the eigenvalues of CC^t and C is given by:

$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}y^t \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}x & \frac{2}{9}T \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2-6}
$$

3 Dynamics

The dynamics of a Markovian open quantum system can be described using the following master equation which is called the Lindblad equation[[\[14\]](#page-15-8)].

$$
\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k} [2L_k \rho(t)L_k^{\dagger} - {\rho(t), L_k^{\dagger}L_k}] \equiv D\rho(t). \tag{3-7}
$$

Here, the dissipation rate has been set equal to 1 for simplicity. L_1 and L_2 are the Lindblad operators. In the case of qutrits, these operators can be expressed as:

$$
L_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{A_2}(\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)
$$

\n
$$
L_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{A_3}(\lambda_4 + i\lambda_5),
$$
\n(3-8)

where, the matrix form of the Lindblad operators is given by:

$$
L_1 = \sqrt{A_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow L_1 = \sqrt{A_2} |0\rangle\langle 1|
$$

$$
L_2 = \sqrt{A_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow L_2 = \sqrt{A_3} |0\rangle\langle 2|.
$$

(3-9)

4 The Model

Let's assume that our system is composed of n qutrits intracting with a common environment at zero temperature. Suppose that these qutrits have spontaneous emission. The transition probability from $|1\rangle$ to $|0\rangle$ is A_2 and from $|2\rangle$ to $|0\rangle$ is A_3 . We assume that no transition occurs from $|2\rangle$ to $|1\rangle$.

Figure 1: A "V" shaped 3-level atom

Using the Lindblad operators we begin to solve the problem. We make use of decoherence free subspaces. First, we investigate the two-qutrit case. Assume that initially the system is in the state $\rho(0) = |10\rangle\langle10|$. We apply the superoperator D on this state and all of the subsequent states.

$$
D|10\rangle\langle10| = 2L|10\rangle\langle10|L^{\dagger} - L^{\dagger}L|10\rangle\langle10| - |10\rangle\langle10|L^{\dagger}L,\tag{4-10}
$$

in which

$$
L = L_1 \otimes I + I \otimes L_1 + L_2 \otimes I + I \otimes L_2
$$

$$
L^{\dagger} = L_1^{\dagger} \otimes I + I \otimes L_1^{\dagger} + L_2^{\dagger} \otimes I + I \otimes L_2^{\dagger}.
$$

(4-11)

Doing so, we get

$$
D|10\rangle\langle10| = 2A_2|00\rangle\langle00| - 2A_2|10\rangle\langle10| - [|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|))
$$

+ $(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]$

$$
D|00\rangle\langle00| = 0
$$

$$
D[(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle)) (A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|))]
$$

= $2A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)^2|00\rangle\langle00| - 2(A_2 + 2A_3)[(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))$
 $(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|))]$ – $A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)[|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)) + (A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]$

$$
D[|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)) + (A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]
$$

= $4A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)|00\rangle\langle00| - 2A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)|10\rangle\langle10|$
- $2[(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02\rangle + |20\rangle)) (A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|))]$
- $2(A_2 + A_3)[|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)) + (A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]$.

(4-12)

Therefore, the decoherence free subspace is obtained as:

$$
H_{DFS} = span\{|00\rangle\langle00|, |10\rangle\langle10|, [(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))
$$

$$
(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|))], [|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)) +
$$

$$
(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]\}.
$$

Now, we can expand the density matrix at time t using these basis:

$$
\rho(t) = a_0(t)|00\rangle\langle00| + a_1(t)|10\rangle\langle10| + a_2(t)[(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))
$$

$$
(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)\rangle) + a_3(t)[|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)\rangle) +
$$

$$
(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]\}.
$$

Then, the following coupled equations are obtained:

$$
\dot{a}_0 = 2A_2a_1 + 2A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)^2a_2 + 4A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)a_3
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_1 = -2A_2a_1 - 2A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)a_3
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_2 = -2A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)a_2 - 2a_3
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_3 = -a_1 - A_2(A_2 + 2A_3)a_2 - 2(A_2 + A_3)a_3.
$$
\n(4-15)

Considering the initial conditions $a_0(0) = a_2(0) = a_3(0) = 0, a_1(0) = 1$, analytical solution of these equations will be as:

$$
a_0(t) = \frac{A_2}{2(A_2 + A_3)} (1 - e^{-4(A_2 + A_3)t})
$$

\n
$$
a_1(t) = \frac{A_2^2}{4(A_2 + A_3)^2} (1 + e^{-4(A_2 + A_3)t}) + \frac{A_2^2 + A_2 A_3}{2(A_2 + A_3)^2} e^{-2(A_2 + A_3)t} + (1 - \frac{A_2}{A_2 + A_3})
$$

\n
$$
a_2(t) = \frac{1}{4(A_2 + A_3)^2} (1 + e^{-4(A_2 + A_3)t}) - \frac{1}{2(A_2 + A_3)^2} e^{-2(A_2 + A_3)t}
$$

\n
$$
a_3(t) = \frac{A_2}{4(A_2 + A_3)^2} (1 + e^{-4(A_2 + A_3)t}) + \frac{A_3}{2(A_2 + A_3)^2} e^{-2(A_2 + A_3)t} - \frac{1}{2(A_2 + A_3)}.
$$
\n(4-16)

Using these coefficients the density matrix can be determined at any arbitrary time. For *n* qutrits we assume that the initial state is $\rho(0) = |k\rangle\langle k|$. By $|k\rangle$, we mean that the kth qutrit is in the excited state $|1\rangle$ and all of the other qutrits are in the ground state. Applying

(4-14)

D on the initial state and the subsequent states, we obtaain

$$
D|k\rangle\langle k| = 2A_2|G\rangle\langle G| - 2A_2|k\rangle\langle k| - (|E_{k}\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_{k}|)
$$

\n
$$
D|G\rangle\langle G| = 0
$$

\n
$$
D|E_{k}\rangle\langle E_{k}| = 2A_2((n - 1)A_2 + nA_3)^2|G\rangle\langle G| - 2((n - 1)A_2 + nA_3)|E_{k}\rangle\langle E_{k}|
$$

\n
$$
- A_2((n - 1)A_2 + nA_3)(|E_{k}\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_{k}|)
$$

\n
$$
D(|E_{k}\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_{k}|) = 4A_2((n - 1)A_2 + nA_3)|G\rangle\langle G| - 2A_2((n - 1)A_2 + nA_3)|k\rangle\langle k|
$$

\n
$$
- 2|E_{k}\rangle\langle E_{k}| - n(A_2 + A_3)(|E_{k}\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_{k}|)
$$

\n(4-17)

In these equations $|G\rangle$ corresponds to the state in which all qutrits are in ground state and

$$
|E_{\mathcal{Y}}\rangle := A_2 \sum_{i \neq k}^{n} |i\rangle + \sqrt{A_2 A_3} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} |\mu\rangle. \tag{4-18}
$$

Here, $|i\rangle$ and $|\mu\rangle$ are the states in which the *i*th and μ th qutrit is in the excited states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ respectively and all of the other qutrits are in ground state.

Then, the corresponding decoherence free subspace is given by:

$$
H_{DFS} = span\{|G\rangle\langle G|, |k\rangle\langle k|, |E_{k}\rangle\langle E_{k}|, (|E_{k}\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_{k}|)\}.
$$
\n(4-19)

The density matrix can be expanded in terms of the basis of the decoherence free subspace:

$$
\rho(t) = a_0(t)|G\rangle\langle G| + a_1(t)|k\rangle\langle k| + a_2(t)|E_k\rangle\langle E_k| + a_3(t)(|E_k\rangle\langle k| + |k\rangle\langle E_k|)
$$
\n(4-20)

Using [\(3-7\)](#page-4-0), we get this set of equations:

$$
\dot{a}_0 = 2A_2[a_1 + ((n-1)A_2 + nA_3)^2 a_2 + 2((n-1)A_2 + nA_3)a_3
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_1 = -2A_2[a_1 + ((n-1)A_2 + nA_3)a_3]
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_2 = -2((n-1)A_2 + nA_3)a_2 - 2a_3
$$

\n
$$
\dot{a}_3 = -a_1 - A_2((n-1)A_2 + nA_3)a_2 - n(A_2 + A_3)a_3
$$

(4-21)

Solving the above equations, expressions for the coefficients are obtained as:

$$
a_0(t) = \frac{A_2^2}{n(A_2 + A_3)} (1 - e^{-2n(A_2 + A_3)t})
$$

\n
$$
a_1(t) = \frac{A_2}{n^2(A_2 + A_3)^2} (1 + e^{-2n(A_2 + A_3)t}) + \frac{2(n-1)A_2^2 + 2nA_2A_3}{n^2(A_2 + A_3)^2} e^{-n(A_2 + A_3)t}
$$

\n
$$
+ (1 - \frac{2A_2}{n(A_2 + A_3)})
$$

\n
$$
a_2(t) = \frac{1}{n^2(A_2 + A_3)^2} \{e^{-2n(A_2 + A_3)t} - 2e^{-n(A_2 + A_3)t} + 1\}
$$

\n
$$
a_3(t) = \frac{A_2}{n^2(A_2 + A_3)^2} (1 + e^{-2n(A_2 + A_3)t}) + \frac{(n-2)A_2 + nA_3}{n^2(A_2 + A_3)^2} e^{-n(A_2 + A_3)t} - \frac{1}{n(A_2 + A_3)}.
$$

(4-22)

Then, the reduced density matrix of the 2-qutrit subsystem is given by:

$$
\rho_{k,l}(t) = [a_0(t) + \{(n-2)A_2(A_2 + A_3)\}a_2(t)]|00\rangle\langle00| + a_1(t)|10\rangle\langle10|
$$

+ $a_2(t)[(A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3(|02\rangle + |20\rangle)}) + (A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3(\langle02| + \langle20|)})]$
+ $a_3(t)[|10\rangle(A_2\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(\langle02| + \langle20|)) + (A_2|01\rangle + \sqrt{A_2A_3}(|02\rangle + |20\rangle))\langle10|]$

(4-23)

Now, we use negativity as the entanglement measure

$$
N(\rho_{k,l}) = \frac{\|\rho^{T_k}\|_1 - 1}{2}.\tag{4-24}
$$

Taking partial trace with respect to the kth qutrit, we get:

$$
\rho^{T_k}(t) = [a_0(t) + \{(n-2)A_2(A_2 + A_3)\}a_2(t)]|00\rangle\langle00| + a_1(t)|10\rangle\langle10| + A_2a_3(t)[|00\rangle\langle11| + |11\rangle\langle00|] + A_2^2a_2(t)|01\rangle\langle01| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}a_3(t)[|00\rangle\langle12| + |12\rangle\langle00| + |10\rangle\langle20| + |20\rangle\langle10|] + A_2\sqrt{A_2A_3}a_2(t)[|00\rangle\langle21| + |21\rangle\langle00| + |01\rangle\langle02| + |02\rangle\langle01|] + A_2A_3a_2(t)[|00\rangle\langle22| + |22\rangle\langle00| + |02\rangle\langle02| + |20\rangle\langle20|].
$$

In Figure 2 the time variation of negativity is plotted for the two-qutrit case.

Figure 2: Time variation of negativity for two-qutrit case using different A_2 and A_3

It is concluded that in a two-qutrit system with one qutrit in the ground state and the other in excited state, the interaction of system with environment induces entanglement between qutrits. The amount of entanglement reaches a steady value after a certain amount of time. Increasing the difference between A_2 and A_3 reduces the amount of entanglement and decreases the time at which entanglement reaches to the steady value.

Figure 3 shows the time variation of the negativity for multi-qutrit case.

(4-25)

Figure 3: Time variation of negativity for n-qutrit case

We see that increasing the number of qutrits decreases the amount of entanglement and causes the system to reach its maximum negativity in a shorter time. Now, we want to calculate the discord for a two-qutrit subsystem containing kth and lth qutrits. To do so, we will use the lower bound for quantum discord defined in equation [\(2-5\)](#page-3-0). We need to compute the matrix C and therefore according to $(2-6)$ the matrices x, y and T should be calculated. To calculate the elements of these matrices we need to trace over the kth and lth qutrits in equation [\(4-23\)](#page-9-0).

$$
\rho_k(t) = tr_l(\rho_{k,l}(t)) = [a_0(t) + a_1(t) + \{(n-2)A_2^2 + (n-1)A_2A_3\}A_2(t)]|0\rangle\langle0|
$$

+ $A_2^2 a_2(t)|1\rangle\langle1| + A_2\sqrt{A_2A_3}a_2(t)(|1\rangle\langle2| + |2\rangle\langle1|) + A_2A_3a_2(t)|2\rangle\langle2|.$ (4-26)

Therefore, xs are obtained as:

$$
x_1 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_1) = 0, \quad x_2 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_2) = 0
$$

\n
$$
x_3 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_3) = \frac{3}{2}[a_0(t) + a_1(t) + \{(n-3)A_2^2 + (n-1)A_2A_3\}a_2(t)]
$$

\n
$$
x_4 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_4) = 0, \quad x_5 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_5) = 0
$$

\n
$$
x_6 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_6) = 3A_2\sqrt{A_2A_3}a_2(t), \quad x_7 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_7) = 0
$$

\n
$$
x_8 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_k \lambda_8) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}[a_0(t) + a_1(t) + \{(n-1)A_2^2 + (n-3)A_2A_3\}a_2(t)].
$$

\n(4-27)

Similarly:

$$
\rho_l(t) = tr_k(\rho_{k,l}(t)) = [a_0(t) + \{(n-1)(A_2^2 + A_2A_3)\}a_2(t)]|0\rangle\langle0|
$$

+ $a_1(t)|1\rangle\langle1| + \sqrt{A_2A_3}a_3(t)||1\rangle\langle2| + |2\rangle\langle1|| + A_2A_3a_2(t)|2\rangle\langle2|.$ (4-28)

The expressions for ys are:

$$
y_1 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_1) = 0, \quad y_2 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_2) = 0
$$

\n
$$
y_3 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_3) = \frac{3}{2}[a_0(t) - a_1(t) + \{(n-1)(A_2^2 + A_2A_3)\}a_2(t)]
$$

\n
$$
y_4 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_4) = 0, \quad y_5 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_5) = 0
$$

\n
$$
y_6 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_6) = 3\sqrt{A_2A_3}a_3(t), \quad y_7 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_7) = 0
$$

\n
$$
y_8 = \frac{3}{2}tr(\rho_1\lambda_8) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}[a_0(t) + a_1(t) + \{(n-2)A_2^2 + (n-4)A_2A_3\}A_2(t)]
$$

\n(4-29)

The elements of matrix T are also calculated according to equation [\(2-4\)](#page-3-1).

In Figure 4 the time variation of geometric quantum discord is plotted for the two-qutrit case.

Figure 4: Time variation of discord for 2-qutrit case

The time variation of geometric quantum discord for multi-qutrit case is plotted in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Time variation of discord for n-qutrit case

In figure [\(4\)](#page-13-0), we see that discord is generated between two initially uncorrelated qutrits. Increasing the difference between A_2 and A_3 results in decreased geometric quantum discord.

Figure [\(5\)](#page-13-1) shows that increasing the number of qutrits, decreases geometric quantum discord between the initially excited qutrit and the qutrits initially in the ground state. However, decreases the time at which discord reaches to the steady value.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have studied the correlation dynamics of a system composed of arbitrary number of qutrits dissipating into a common environment. We found that quantum entanglement and discord is created and persists at steady state between two initially uncorrelated qutrits. Increasing the difference between the coefficients for spontaneous emission results in decreasing entanglement and discord. moreover, increasing the number of qutrits decreases the amount of entanglement and discord and causes the system to reach the steady state for these values in a shorter time.

References

- [1] W. K. Wootters, Quantum entanglement as a quantifiable resource, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 1998 356 1717-1731;
- [2] J. Gruska, Quantum entanglement as a new information processing resource, New Gener Comput (2003);
- [3] M. Gu, H. M. Chrzanowski, S. M. Assad, Discord as a consumable resource, Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics Pacific Rim (CLEOPR), Kyoto, 2013, pp. 1-2.;
- [4] S. Pirandola, Quantum discord as a resource for quantum cryptography, Scientific Reports 4, Article number: 6956 (2014)
- [5] B. Ghosh, A. S. Majumdar, and N. Nayak, Phys. Rev. A 74, 052315 (2006);
- [6] L. Memarzadeh and S. Mancini, ibid. 83, 042329 (2011);
- [7] D. Braun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 277901 (2002);
- [8] F. Benatti, R. Floreanini, and M. Piani, ibid. 91, 070402 (2003).;
- [9] Ji-Bing Yuan, Le-Man Kuang, Jie-Qiao Liao, Amplification of quantum discord between two uncoupled qubits in a common environment by phase decoherence, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 43 165503 (2010).
- [10] L. Memarzadeh and S. Mancini, Phys. Rev. A 87, 032303 (2013).
- [11] G. Vidal, R.F. Werner, A computable measure of entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 65, 032314 (2002).
- [12] B. Dakic, V. Vedral, C. Brukner, Necessary and sufficient condition for non-zero quantum discord, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 190502 (2010).
- [13] Y. Yang and W. A. Min, Quantum Discord for a Qutrit-Qutrit System under Depolarizing and Dephasing Noise, Chin. Phys. Lett. 30, 080302 (2013) .
- [14] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, The Theory of Open Quantum Systems (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).