

Anomalous diamagnetic response in multi-band superconductors with time-reversal broken symmetry.

Yuriy Yerin

*B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering,
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 47 Lenin Ave., 61103, Kharkiv, Ukraine and
Institute for Physics of Microstructures, Russian Academy of Sciences, 603590 Nizhny Novgorod, GSP 105, Russia*

Alexander Omelyanchouk

*B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering,
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 47 Lenin Ave., 61103, Kharkiv, Ukraine*

Stefan-Ludwig Drechsler, Dmitri V. Efremov, and Jeroen van den Brink

*Institute for Theoretical Solid State Physics, Leibniz-Institut für Festkörper- und Werkstofforschung IFW-Dresden,
D-01169 Dresden, Helmholtzstraße 20, Germany*

(Dated: November 10, 2018)

Within a Ginzburg-Landau formalism we establish analytically the necessary and sufficient conditions to realize a doubly degenerate superconducting ground state with broken time-reversal symmetry (BTRS) in a multi-band superconductor. Using these results we analyze the ground state of a three band superconductor in the cylindrical geometry in an external magnetic field. We show that depending on the interband coupling constants, a magnetic flux can induce current density jumps in such superconducting geometries that are related to adiabatic or non-adiabatic transitions from BTRS to time-reversal symmetric states and vice versa. This unusual current induced magnetic flux response can in principle be used experimentally to detect superconducting BTRS ground states as well as corresponding metastable excited states.

I. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of superconductivity based is characterized by the spontaneous breaking of a gauge symmetry. But in some cases simultaneously time-reversal symmetry (TRS) can be broken as well. Because of their unusual properties such superconductors (SC) with broken time-reversal symmetry (BTRS) are attracting a lot of attention. For instance recently the formation of new collective modes^{1,2} (similarly to the occurrence of Leggett modes in two-band SC) and new topological excitations in the form of phase kinks, domains and vortices that carry fractional magnetic flux values³⁻¹² have been discussed.

So far, BTRS superconductivity has been clearly detected in few cases, only. The most frequently cited example is Sr_2RuO_4 in which the order parameter was identified to be triplet chiral ($\Delta \propto p_x + ip_y$)^{13,14}. Furthermore, evidence for BTRS superconductivity was reported for the low- T phase of Th-doped UBe_{13} ¹⁵, UPt_3 ¹⁶, and in SrPtAs based on muon measurements¹⁷. Theoretically superconductivity with BTRS is also been proposed for other compounds such as cuprate SC at low-temperature^{18,19}, transition metal dichalcogenides^{20,21}, $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ²², strongly doped graphene²³, and in some recently discovered Fe-based superconductors (FeSC).

The FeSC are of particular interests as BTRS superconductivity is anticipated for several dopings as a result of the multiband electron structure and strong repulsive interband couplings. Typically the Fermi-surface of the non-SC parent compound consists of two or three hole-

like pockets at $\Gamma = (0,0)$ point and two electron-like pockets around $M = (\pi, \pi)$ point. The resulting nesting at the vector $Q = (\pi, \pi)$ connecting the Γ and M points drives the system to a spin-density wave (SDW) state. With doping the SDW state melts, giving space for superconductivity. The natural symmetry of the order parameter in such a situation is given by the so called s_{\pm} one, which causes a gap function with opposite signs at the electron and the hole pockets, respectively. In $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ the hole doping by K-substitution leads at x close to 1 to the vanishing of the electrons pockets and a change of the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter to nodal d -wave symmetry for pure KFe_2As_2 ²⁴⁻²⁷. A BTRS state was proposed for two dopings: for $x \sim 0.7$, when the electron pockets vanish, and for $x \sim 1$, when a transition from s to d -wave superconductivity is expected. The proposed intermediate pairing symmetries are $s + is$ and $s + id$ correspondingly^{28,29}.

However, as stressed above, still there are only few compounds where superconductivity with BTRS was unambiguously observed. One of the reasons actually is the lack of simple experimental tools to identify it. The most common techniques to establish BTRS in superconductors are μSR and NMR both suffer from restrictions related to the presence of impurities and other defects even in high-quality single crystals. In addition NMR requires considerable magnetic fields which may themselves significantly affect the superconducting GS, especially for low-temperature SC by paramagnetic pair-breaking effects and field induced coexisting magnetic phases.

Here we first study in Sect. II the nature of possible SC ground states in zero magnetic field and absence

of currents. Within a Ginzburg-Landau approach we clarify the conditions for BTRS and explicitly show the two-fold degeneracy of the corresponding ground states. We adopt the simplest model when the BTRS superconductivity, namely a three-band SC, is described approximately within a Ginzburg-Landau approach^{30–35}. For two-band model BTRS superconductivity is possible only in special cases like dirty materials in the vicinity of the $s_{\pm} \rightarrow s_{++}$ transition²⁹.

In Sect. III we investigate the magnetic field response of superconducting cylinders with a BTRS order parameter and introduce it as a new tool to identify superconductivity with BTRS. In the three-band framework we investigate the homogeneous current states in such a mesoscopically one-dimensional system and show that the diamagnetic i.e. an orbital dominated response depends directly on the nature of the underlying order parameter. Experimental verification of characteristics that we predicted here could be used to identify multiband BTRS-superconductivity.

II. GINZBURG-LANDAU APPROACH TO SUPERCONDUCTIVITY WITH BTRS

To describe the multiband superconductors we employ a general Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional, which has been used previously for particular cases (e.g. for two-bands or three equivalent bands,^{36,37} see the reviews Refs. [6], [7] and references therein), only. We will provide a rather general solution for three *non-equivalent* bands with repulsive interband interactions being the most relevant case for BTRS-physics in these systems. For the sake of simplicity we address only homogeneous states and isotropic order parameters. However, inhomogeneous states containing different topological defects and explicit account of spin states can be treated straightforwardly within the same formalism³⁸. The Ginzburg-Landau (GL) Gibbs energy density and the current density for three-band superconductors can be written in the following form

$$\Delta G = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \left\{ \frac{1}{4m_i} |(-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e}{c}\mathbf{A})\psi_i|^2 + a_i|\psi_i|^2 + \frac{b_i}{2}|\psi_i|^4 - F_{int} \right\} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int (\text{rot}\mathbf{A} - H)^2 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{j} = - \sum_i \frac{ie\hbar}{m_i} (\psi_i^* \nabla \psi_i - \psi_i \nabla \psi_i^*) - \frac{4e^2}{c} \mathbf{A} \sum_i \frac{|\psi_i|^2}{m_i}. \quad (2)$$

Here and below we consider the temperature regime below T_c for the GL approach is valid, i.e. we ignore the region of strong fluctuations in the very vicinity of T_c or the case of very low temperatures. In the first integral in Eq.(1) the integration is performed over the superconductive region whereas in the second integral over the cylinder volume. The term F_{int} describes the phase sen-




FIG. 1. The surface (a) and the contour plot (b) of the dependence of the interband interaction term F_{int} of the GL free energy functional as a function of the phase differences for the fixed set $G_1 = 1$, $G_2 = -1$, $G_3 = 1$. Black dashed square limits intervals of consideration of phase differences. Crosses indicate global maximum values of F_{int} within these intervals. The parameters are $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ and $\theta = \phi_1 - \phi_3$. Further details are provided in Supplement, Figs. S4.

sitive Josephson-like interband coupling:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{int} &= \gamma_{12}\psi_1^*\psi_2 + \gamma_{23}\psi_2^*\psi_3 + \gamma_{31}\psi_1^*\psi_3 + c.c. \\ &= 2\gamma_{12}|\psi_1||\psi_2|\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \\ &\quad + 2\gamma_{23}|\psi_2||\psi_3|\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_3) \\ &\quad + 2\gamma_{31}|\psi_1||\psi_3|\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here the order parameter is in general complex, i.e. $\psi_i = |\psi_i|e^{i\phi_i}$. In contrast, the interaction coefficients γ_{ij} are real and can be positive or negative. It was shown for two-band superconductors, that the sign of γ_{12} fully determines the symmetry of the order parameter in the clean case. A repulsive interband interaction constant $\gamma_{12} < 0$ leads to unconventional symmetry and a ground state with π -phase difference between the two bands (denoted as s_{\pm} -symmetry), while attractive interband interactions $\gamma_{12} > 0$ stabilize a ground state with a zero-phase difference between their gap functions (denoted as s_{++} -symmetry). We keep the same sign-convention in the case of three-band SCs considered here.

As a first step we examine the ground state in the absence of external magnetic fields. Then eq. (4) can be rewritten as $F_{int} = \text{sgn}(\gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31})(F_x^2 + F_y^2 - \gamma_1^2|\psi_1|^2 - \gamma_2^2|\psi_2|^2 - \gamma_3^2|\psi_3|^2)$, where $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{|\gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31}|}/\gamma_{23}$ and the other γ_i are obtained by a cycle permutation. The introduced two functions $F_x = \gamma_1|\psi_1|\cos\phi_1 + \gamma_2|\psi_2|\cos\phi_2 + \gamma_3|\psi_3|\cos\phi_3$ and $F_y = \gamma_1|\psi_1|\sin\phi_1 + \gamma_2|\psi_2|\sin\phi_2 + \gamma_3|\psi_3|\sin\phi_3$, do absorb the complete phase shift dependencies.

Now the minimization of the GL-functional with respect to the phases is reduced to the maximization/minimization of $F_x^2 + F_y^2$ depending on the sign of $(\gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31})$. The geometric meaning of $F_x^2 + F_y^2$ is the absolute value of a sum of three vectors in a 2D space $\mathbf{a}_1 = \gamma_1|\psi_1|(\cos\phi_1, \sin\phi_1)$, $\mathbf{a}_2 = \gamma_2|\psi_2|(\cos\phi_2, \sin\phi_2)$ and $\mathbf{a}_3 = \gamma_3|\psi_3|(\cos\phi_3, \sin\phi_3)$: $F_x^2 + F_y^2 = (\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3)^2$. One can immediately note that BTRS state corresponds to noncollinear vectors \mathbf{a}_i , while TRS to collinear ones. For $(\gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31}) > 0$ the minimum of GL corresponds to the maximum of the $F_x^2 + F_y^2$, which is reached when




FIG. 2. Regions of existence of a BTRS ground state in terms of the two phase variables for ϕ (upper panel) and θ (lower panel) of a three-band SC. The BTRS ground states are realized in the narrow "tilted x-like" regions with inhomogeneous colors ($\theta, \phi \neq 0, \pi$), only, distinct from the large homogeneous regions corresponding to TRS ground states. The parameters are $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ and $\theta = \phi_1 - \phi_3$. For more details see Supplement, Figs. S2 and S3.

the vectors \mathbf{a}_i are collinear. It corresponds to the TRS phase. For $(\gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31}) < 0$ the minimum of GL corresponds to the minimum of the $F_x^2 + F_y^2$. The minimum $F_x^2 + F_y^2 = 0$ can be reached for noncollinear vectors, satisfying the triangle rule. With this the BTRS GS is realized. If the length of vectors \mathbf{a}_i does not satisfy the triangle rule the minimum is reached for collinear vectors \mathbf{a}_i , or TRS state. For the BTRS state the free energy ΔF_{BTRS} can be rewritten as:

$$\Delta F_{BTRS} = \sum_i [(a_i - \gamma_i^2)|\psi_i|^2 + \frac{b_i}{2}|\psi_i|^4]. \quad (4)$$

Its minimum corresponds to the order parameter:

$$|\psi_i|^2 = (-a_i + \gamma_i^2)/b_i \quad (5)$$

and

$$\cos \theta = \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1) = \frac{\gamma_3^2|\psi_3|^2 - \gamma_1^2|\psi_1|^2 - \gamma_2^2|\psi_2|^2}{2\gamma_{12}|\psi_1||\psi_2|},$$

$$\cos \phi = \cos(\phi_3 - \phi_1) = \frac{\gamma_2^2|\psi_2|^2 - \gamma_1^2|\psi_1|^2 - \gamma_3^2|\psi_3|^2}{2\gamma_{13}|\psi_1||\psi_3|}.$$

The dependence of the interband interaction term F_{int} of the GL free energy functional as a function of the phase differences for a fixed set G_i is given in Fig. 1. Here we have introduced convenient new variables:

$$G_1 = \frac{\gamma_{12}}{|\gamma_{23}|} \frac{|\psi_1|}{|\psi_2|}, \quad G_2 = \text{sign}(\gamma_{23}) \quad \text{and} \quad G_3 = \frac{\gamma_{13}}{|\gamma_{23}|} \frac{|\psi_3|}{|\psi_2|}.$$

A BTRS state exists for zero external magnetic field only within a relative small volume in the six-dimensional parameter space ($|\psi_j, \gamma_{ij}$). The corresponding projected regions onto the planes G_1 - G_3 are shown in the form of "tilted X-like" regions in Fig. 2. The richness of these and other figures shown in the Supplement is a consequence of the high-dimensionality of the parameter space that is generic for multi-band superconductors. We note that it resembles mathematically to some extent the richness of




FIG. 3. (Color online) Sketch of a tube made from a three-band superconductor with a BTRS ground state in a parallel external magnetic field.

the 11-dimensional superstring theory manifested in the six-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds^{39,40}.

Noteworthy we have found that even in the case of an odd number of repulsive interband interactions, the degeneracy of ground states can be removed and TRS state can be stable. This means that the presence of one or three repulsive interband interactions in three-band superconductors does *not* provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the occurrence of a BTRS GS, contrary to some statements found in the literature^{31,41,42}. To get a deeper insight into the nature of the interband frustration responsible for the appearance of BTRS in three-band SCs, a rigorous and straightforward mathematical approach is necessary (for details see the calculations and results presented graphically in the Figs. S1 and S2 of the Supplement.) Correspondingly, for higher n -band frustrated superconductors one is confronted with $n(n-1)/2 - 1$ mutual phase differences, which can be considered within the proposed geometrical interpretation. However, in contrast to the three band case the bilinear interaction between the superconducting band order parameters is not enough for unambiguous determination of the phase differences and higher order terms have to be considered.

III. BTRS AND TRS SUPERCONDUCTORS ON A CYLINDER AND IN MAGNETIC FIELD

In order to distinguish readily a three-band SC with a BTRS ground state from those traditional SCs with a TRS ground state, we propose to apply a magnetic flux to a (topologically) doubly-connected system. In particular, we consider a long and thin tube approximated by two concentric cylinders with the inner and outer radii R_1 and R_2 , respectively, (see Fig. 3). Its symmetry axis is denoted as the z axis in cylindrical coordinates (r, ϑ, z) . An external constant magnetic field H is thought to be applied along the symmetry axis of such a cylinder: $H = (0, 0, H)$, where the vector-potential gauge is chosen as $A = (0, A_\vartheta(r), 0)$, $A_\vartheta(r) = \frac{Hr}{2}$. We

assume that the radius of the tube R and the thickness d satisfy the following conditions: $R \gg \lambda, \xi$ and $d \ll \lambda, \xi$, where $\lambda(T)$ and $\xi(T)$ are the London penetration depth and the coherence length respectively. The first condition precludes the formation of magnetic vortices or any domains in the cylinder, while due the second one the self-induced magnetic fields are small and can therefore be ignored in our calculations. It means that we study only homogeneous solutions $|\psi_i| = \text{const}$, while the phase depends on the polar angle ϑ , only. In the considered geometry the phase must fulfil the quantization condition $\oint_{\Gamma} \nabla \phi_i = 2\pi n_i$, where the integral is taken over an arbitrary closed continuous contour Γ lying inside the cylinder and $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ are the phase winding (topological) numbers. Here we assume these winding numbers to be equal: $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$.

It is interesting to note that a similar experimental setup was proposed with the aim to detect a fractional flux plateau in the magnetization curve of a superconducting loop that is topologically the same as the one

$$\frac{\Delta F}{\pi R^2 L} = \sum_i \left(a_i |\psi_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_i |\psi_i|^4 + \bar{\kappa}_i |\psi_i|^2 q^2 \right) - 2\gamma_{12} |\psi_1| |\psi_2| \cos \phi - 2\gamma_{13} |\psi_1| |\psi_3| \cos \theta - 2\gamma_{23} |\psi_2| |\psi_3| \cos(\theta - \phi), \quad (6)$$

and the current density is:

$$j = \sum_i \bar{\kappa}_i |\psi_i|^2 q. \quad (7)$$

Further we will use $\kappa_i = \bar{\kappa}_i / \bar{\kappa}_1$. The superfluid momentum q depends on the winding number n and the magnetic flux Φ as $q = \frac{1}{R} (n - \Phi / \Phi_0)$ with $\Phi_0 = \pi \hbar c / e$ being the flux quantum. One sees that Eq. (6) can be obtained from the corresponding equation in zero magnetic field by substituting $a_i \rightarrow a_i + \kappa_i q^2$, i.e. an increase of q acts in the same way as an increase of temperature. With this remark we can apply the considered above results for zero magnetic field.

To demonstrate the induced transition from a BTRS to a TRS state by an external magnetic field we consider a particular case of equal $a_i = a$, $b_i = b$, but keeping the κ_i different and $\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{23} = -\gamma_{13} = \gamma$. At zero q a doubly degenerate BTRS state with $\phi = 2\pi - \theta = 5\pi/3$ and $\phi = 2\pi - \theta = \pi/3$ is realized. With increase q first at q_c , which is the solution of the equation $\cos \phi_c, \theta_c = 1$, we get transitions to TRS states (see Supplement). In the TRS state the minimization of the GL energy can not be done analytically. In this case a numerical procedure must be applied. The dependence of the GL free energy Eq.(6) on the applied magnetic flux for different ratios of κ_i is presented in Fig. 5. We track the evolution with magnetic flux of one of the ground states, namely for $\phi = 5\pi/3$, $\theta = \pi/3$. The full procedure can be found in the Supplement. We find that for a given value of $\tilde{\gamma}$ the ground state

considered here⁴³. These authors investigate numerically metastable phase kinks protected by a large energy barrier within a GL functional adopting certain special parameter values. The excited states with BTRS discussed in the following differ significantly from those solitonic states.

To illustrate the principle of identifying BTRS for a given three-band SC, we consider a simple case and assume firstly that the equilibrium values of the order parameters are given but without adopting thereby the equality for the moduli of the interband interactions. Secondly, the strengths of the interband interactions coincide but, for instance, at least one of these interactions is repulsive. Since we are interested in a three-band superconductor with initial BTRS state we control the selection of parameters of interband interactions numerically in order to avoid the possible occurrence of non-frustrated ground states even for an odd number of repulsive interband interaction (see Figs. S1-S8 in the Supplemental materials). Then we can write the GL free-energy of the system in the momentum space (see the Supplemental material)




FIG. 4. Dependencies of the GL free energy on the applied magnetic flux for a three-band superconductor with $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$ for attractive interband interactions between the first and the second, the first and the third bands and a repulsive one between the second and the third bands, and for $\kappa_2 = 4$ and $\kappa_3 = 2$ (a) and $\kappa_2 = 0.25$ and $\kappa_3 = 0.5$ (b). Solid and dashed lines: GL free energies of a three-band superconductor with BTRS and without one, respectively, for different winding numbers $n = 0$ (brown), $n = 1$ (red), $n = 2$ (blue), $n = 3$ (green) etc. The filled circle markers with captions determine possible ways of evolution for the three-band superconducting long tube setup shown in Fig. 2 in an external magnetic field (for explanations, see text).

of a three-band superconductor under consideration exhibits always a BTRS. This means that despite the value of the trapped flux, by increasing the flux we will move along the bottom part of the solid curves (Fig.4), following the "route" $l0 - l1 - l2 - \dots$. But for a non-adiabatic, fast switched on magnetic flux, the three-band superconducting system can be excited and can be flipped to a




FIG. 5. Phase diagram of a three-band SC, where transitions from BTRS to TRS can occur due to an excitation by an external magnetic flux in the experimental setup shown in Fig. 2] and without any excitation along an adiabatic path of changing the GS (pink region). The inset shows the evolution of the GL free energy in dependence on the applied magnetic flux for a three-band superconductor with $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$ for attractive interband interactions between the first and the second bands, the first and the third bands and a repulsive one between the second and the third bands, and for $\kappa_2 = 15$ and $\kappa_3 = 1.5$. Black circles: critical (final) points for BTRS states (solid lines).

metastable states with TRS. For instance, the previous ground state "route" $l_0 - l_1 - l_2 - l_3 - \dots$ can be replaced by the path $l_0 - l_1 - l_1' - l_2' - l_2 - l_3 - \dots$, where the dashed part $l_1' - l_2'$ corresponds to the mentioned above metastable state with TRS of the three-band superconducting tube, or to a more complicated "route", which will involve more excited states with TRS. Also we found that if $q_c < 1/2$ then the transitions between BTRS and TRS states can occur without any excitation by an external magnetic flux. This means that solid (BTRS) and dashed (TRS) lines cross before $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 1/2$ (see inset in Fig. 5). The phase diagram for a three-band superconductor, which determines the intervals of the parameters κ_i for the transitions from a BTRS to a TRS state with an excitation and without one is given in Fig. 5.

Further numerical examination give that, if $\kappa_i > 1$ ($i \geq 2$), a non-adiabatic switching on the increase of the magnetic flux can lead to a transformation of a three-band SC with a BTRS GS into an excited state with TRS and an s_{+++} order parameter (see Fig. 6a and 6c) and then it relaxes again to a BTRS GS. If one or both $\kappa_i < 1$ ($i \geq 2$), then the increasing magnetic flux can transform a three-band SC with BTRS into an $s_{+\pm}$ three-band SC and finally again to a BTRS state (see Fig. 6b).

From the experimental point of view transitions from BTRS state to TRS ones and vice versa can be detected following the response of the current density on an applied magnetic flux (see Fig. 7). We revealed appropriate jumps on the $j(\Phi/\Phi_0)$ dependencies (see Figs. 7b, 7d and 7e) induced by these transitions. Since in the present geometry changing of the magnetic field is equivalent to changing temperature, we expect also special features for the specific heat related to these BTRS to




FIG. 6. (Color online) Possible evolution of the phase differences ϕ (blue) and θ (green) for a three-band superconductor with non-adiabatic (a, b) and adiabatic (c) transitions between BTRS and TRS states. The parameters are $\kappa_2 = 4$ and $\kappa_3 = 2$ (a), $\kappa_2 = 0.25$ and $\kappa_3 = 0.5$ (b) and $\kappa_2 = 15$ and $\kappa_3 = 1.5$ (c).




FIG. 7. (Color online) Current densities vs. the applied magnetic flux in the setup shown in Fig. 3 made employing a three-band SC with $\gamma_{12} = 1$, $\gamma_{23} = -1$, $\gamma_{13} = 1$ (black line) and with $\gamma_{12} = 1.1$, $\gamma_{23} = -1$, $\gamma_{13} = 1.2$ (blue line) and for $\kappa_2 = 4$ and $\kappa_3 = 2$ (a, b), $\kappa_2 = 0.25$ and $\kappa_3 = 0.5$ (c, d) and $\kappa_2 = 15$ and $\kappa_3 = 1.5$ (e). The curves (a) and (c) correspond to a three-band SC without transitions between BTRS and TRS states. The plots (b), (d) and (e) are for a three-band SC with transitions between BTRS and TRS states with and without excitation by an external magnetic field, respectively.

TRS transition. Hence accompanying thermodynamic measurements might provide further support for identification of the BTRS states.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on these analytical and numerical calculations it is natural to suggest that such a behavior remains on a qualitative level the same also for other possible sets of interband interaction coefficients which admit the existence of frustrated states in the equilibrium state (see supplemental materials).⁴⁴ In other words jumps in the current dependencies in the magnetic flux driven regime can be expected for any three-band superconductor with a primordial (before switching on a magnetic field) BTRS state. Moreover with some restrictions it is reasonable to expect the same behavior also for other BTRS multi-band superconductors, whose electronic structure and physical properties are described by more than three order parameters and where frustrated states are global ground states. Restrictions of the application of such method are connected with the special case of multi-band superconductors with even number of bands and all equal repulsive interband interactions, where BTRS and TRS states have the same energy.^{45–47} We believe that the presence of such jumps can be considered as an experimental proof for the detection of BTRS and frustration in unconventional three- and multi-band superconductors. It is important to note that the detection method proposed here compares favorably with surface-sensitive techniques (interference or proximity based contacts) for the detection of properties related to the symmetry of the order parameter, because it probes the entire volume of the superconductor under examination. Based on our results we propose to detect the presence of frustration and BTRS in experiments with mesoscopic thin rings or tubes made from unconventional three-band superconductors, by measuring a generic current response on the applied magnetic flux.

It should be noted that currently the exact location of the soliton states on the energetic scale of a three-band superconductor is not known. Knowledge of all possible topological defects and their energies in case of three- and other multi-band superconductors is very important for the detection of the BTRS phenomenon in order to distinguish the jumps, connected with the presence of BTRS to TRS transitions and from the transitions from

a BTRS ground state to excited soliton states. If the energy of phase-inhomogeneous solutions is higher than the BTRS and TRS states then during the excitation one can in principle observe additional jumps on the current-magnetic flux dependencies due to relaxation processes from higher energetic levels (soliton states) to the ground state via metastable TRS states. Another situation is realized for solitons, whose energy is within the interval between BTRS and TRS states. In this case during the excitation process a three-band superconductor can be promoted to a TRS state as an intermediate state and then relax to the ground state via other intermediate states of solitonic nature. So also in this case additional jumps will also appear on the experimental dependencies. The last possibility can occur if the BTRS state is not a globally stable state and the ground state of a three-band superconductor already contains solitons. The realization of such a scenario was predicted recently for a three-band superconductor⁴⁸ based on non-rigorous stability considerations of phase kinks for an infinitely extended superconducting system. To the best of our knowledge a study of topological defects in three-band superconductors for a doubly-connected finite superconducting system as considered here is still lacking. And it is not clear whether such solitons in a restricted geometry can also occur as globally stable phenomena. We will study this interesting but complex problem in more detail in the future. Also the cases of imperfect three-band superconductors with impurities as well as inhomogeneous states due to the presence of solitonic nonlinear excitations mentioned above requires a special analysis outside of the scope of the present paper and be left for future study.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge M. Kiselev, O. Dolgov, M. Zhitomirsky, N.M. Plakida J. Schmalian, and A. Chubukov for helpful and critical discussions. Y.Y. thanks the ITF at the IFW-Dresden for hospitality and financial support where large parts of the present work has been performed. D.E. and S.-L.D. acknowledge the VW-foundation for partial support within the trilateral grant "Synthesis, theoretical examination and experimental investigation of emergent iron-based superconductors". This work was supported by the DFG-RSF grant and SFB1143. Y.Y. is grateful for support by the Russian Scientific Foundation Grant No 15-12-10020.

¹ M. Marciani, L. Fanfarillo, C. Castellani, and L. Benfatto, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 214508 (2013).

² J. Carlstrom, J. Garaud, and E. Babaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 197001 (2011).

³ T. Bojesen, E. Babaev, and A. Sudbø, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 220511 (2013).

⁴ S. Lin and X. Hu, N. J. of Phys. **14**, 063021 (2012).

⁵ T. Yanagisawa, Y. Tanaka, I. Hase, and K. Yamaji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **81**, 024712 (2012).

⁶ S.-Z. Lin, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **26**, 493202 (2014), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/26/i=49/a=493202>.

- ⁷ Y. Tanaka, *Superconductor Science and Technology* **28**, 034002 (2015), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0953-2048/28/i=3/a=034002>.
- ⁸ S.-Z. Lin, S. Maiti, and A. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 064519 (2016), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.064519>.
- ⁹ Z. Huang and X. Hu, *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism* **29**, 597 (2016), ISSN 1557-1947, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10948-015-3309-x>.
- ¹⁰ J. Garaud, M. Silaev, and E. Babaev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 097002 (2016), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.097002>.
- ¹¹ T. Koyama, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **85**, 064715 (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.064715>, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.064715>.
- ¹² V. Stanev, *Superconductor Science and Technology* **28**, 014006 (2015), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0953-2048/28/i=1/a=014006>.
- ¹³ A. P. Mackenzie and Y. Maeno, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 657 (2003).
- ¹⁴ G. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. Kojima, M. Larkin, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. Uemura, Y. Maeno, Z. Mao, Y. Mori, et al., *Nature* **394**, 558 (1998).
- ¹⁵ R. H. Heffner, J. L. Smith, J. O. Willis, P. Birrer, C. Baines, F. N. Gyax, B. Hitti, E. Lippelt, H. R. Ott, A. Schenck, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2816 (1990), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.2816>.
- ¹⁶ G. M. Luke, A. Keren, L. P. Le, W. D. Wu, Y. J. Uemura, D. A. Bonn, L. Taillefer, and J. D. Garrett, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1466 (1993), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.1466>.
- ¹⁷ P. K. Biswas, H. Luetkens, T. Neupert, T. Stürzer, C. Baines, G. Pascua, A. P. Schnyder, M. H. Fischer, J. Goryo, M. R. Lees, et al., *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 180503 (2013), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.180503>.
- ¹⁸ R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5188 (1998).
- ¹⁹ K. Krishana, N. Ong, Q. Li, G. D. Gu, and N. Koshizuka, *Science* **277**, 83 (1997), ISSN 0036-8075, <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/277/5322/83.full.pdf>, URL <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/277/5322/83>.
- ²⁰ R. Ganesh, G. Baskaran, J. van den Brink, and D. V. Efremov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 177001 (2014), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.177001>.
- ²¹ R. Nandkishore, R. Thomale, and A. Chubukov, *PhysRevB* **89**, 144501 (2014).
- ²² M. Kiesel, C. Platt, W. Hanke, and R. Thomale, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 097001 (2013).
- ²³ R. Nandkishore, L. Levitov, and A. Chubukov, *Nature Physics* **8**, 158 (2012).
- ²⁴ F. Tafti, A. Juneau-Fecteau, M. Delage, S. Ren de Cotret, J.-P. Reid, A. Wang, X.-G. Luo, X. Chen, N. Doiron-Leyraud, and L. Taillefer, *Nature Physics* **9**, 349 (2013).
- ²⁵ T. Terashima, N. Kurita, M. Kimata, M. Tomita, S. Tsuchiya, M. Imai, A. Sato, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, H. Kito, et al., *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 224512 (2013).
- ²⁶ M. Abdel-Hafiez, V. Grinenko, S. Aswartham, I. Morozov, M. Roslova, O. Vakaliuk, S. Johnston, D. Efremov, J. van den Brink, H. Rosner, et al., *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 180507(R) (2013).
- ²⁷ V. Grinenko, D. Efremov, S.-L. Drechsler, S. Aswartham, G. D., R. M., I. Morozov, K. Nenkov, S. Wurmehl, A. Wolter, et al., *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 060504(R) (2014).
- ²⁸ W.-C. Lee, S.-C. Zhang, and C. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 217002 (2009).
- ²⁹ V. Stanev and A. Koshelev, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 100505 (2014).
- ³⁰ X. Hu and Z. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 064516 (2012).
- ³¹ R. Dias and A. Marques, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **24**, 085009 (2011).
- ³² B. Wilson and M. Das, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **25**, 425702 (2013).
- ³³ Y. Tanaka, T. Yanagisawa, and T. Nishio, *Physica C: Superconductivity* **485**, 64 (2013), ISSN 0921-4534, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092145341200370X>.
- ³⁴ V. Stanev and Z. Tešanović, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 134522 (2010), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.134522>.
- ³⁵ Y. Yerin, S.-L. Drechsler, and G. Fuchs, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **173**, 247 (2013), ISSN 1573-7357, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10909-013-0903-9>.
- ³⁶ M. Zhitomirsky and V. Dao, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 054507 (2004).
- ³⁷ A. Moor, A. Volkov, and K. Efetov, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 224513 (2013).
- ³⁸ For two-band superconductors it was proven[?] that non-trivial topological states, which arise exactly for $n_1 \neq n_2$ are thermodynamically metastable and don't correspond to the ground state of the superconducting system. Hence, it is reasonable to apply such a type of condition also to the case of three-band superconductivity considered here and put $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n$. Since the subject of our consideration is solely a homogeneous current state, here we don't consider the presence of topological defects like phase solitons. In fact, solitonic solutions can be studied also within our approach. But as yet as the question about of their local or global thermodynamic stability is still under debate (unfortunately, the arguments provided in Ref. 48 are mostly heuristic in nature and related to system with open boundary conditions). A consideration of such topological defects in the case of periodic boundary conditions is out of scope of the present paper.
- ³⁹ A. Hanson, *Not. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41**, 1156 (1994).
- ⁴⁰ P. Candelas, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, *Nuclear Physics B* **258**, 46 (1985).
- ⁴¹ Y. Tanaka and T. Yanagisawa, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **79**, 114706 (2010).
- ⁴² Y. Tanaka, *Solid State Comm.* **150**, 1980 (2010).
- ⁴³ Z. Huang and X. Hu, *PhysRev. B* **92**, 214516 (2015).
- ⁴⁴ We note that the different behavior of the current density is not connected solely with the coinciding strength of the interband interactions. According to our analysis it holds for different values of the interband interaction coefficients, too, except the case where these parameters vanish.
- ⁴⁵ Y. Tanaka, T. Yanagisawa, A. Crisan, P. Shirage, A. Iyo, X. Tokiwa, T. Nishio, A. . Sundaresan, and N. Terada, *Physica C* **471**, 747 (2011).
- ⁴⁶ T. Yanagisawa and I. Hase, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **87**, 124704 (2013).
- ⁴⁷ D. Weston and E. Babaev, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 214507 (2013).
- ⁴⁸ S.-Z. Lin and X. Hu, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 063021 (2012).