

HITCHIN AND CALABI–YAU INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS VIA VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURES

FLORIAN BECK

ABSTRACT. Since its discovery by Hitchin in 1987, G -Hitchin systems for a reductive complex Lie group G have extensively been studied. For example, the generic fibers are nowadays well-understood. In this paper, we show that the smooth parts of G -Hitchin systems for a simple adjoint complex Lie group G are isomorphic to non-compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems extending results by Diaconescu–Donagi–Pantev. Moreover, we explain how Langlands duality for Hitchin systems is related to Poincaré–Verdier duality of the corresponding families of quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefolds. Even though the statement is holomorphic-symplectic, our proof is Hodge-theoretic. It is based on polarizable variations of Hodge structures that admit so-called abstract Seiberg–Witten differentials. These ensure that the associated Jacobian fibration is an algebraic integrable system.

1. INTRODUCTION

An *algebraic integrable system* is defined as a proper morphism $\pi : (M, \omega) \rightarrow B$ between a holomorphic symplectic manifold (M, ω) and a complex manifold B with the following property: There exists a Zariski-open and dense subset $B^\circ \subset B$ such that the restriction

$$\pi^\circ := \pi|_{M^\circ} : M^\circ \rightarrow B^\circ, \quad M^\circ := \pi^{-1}(B^\circ)$$

admits a relative polarization and has connected Lagrangian fibers. The complex version of the Arnold–Liouville theorem implies that these fibers are torsors for abelian varieties.

Two very important and prominent example classes are Hitchin systems and Calabi–Yau integrable systems. The total space of the former is the moduli space $\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)$ of semistable G -Higgs bundles on a compact Riemann surface Σ of genus ≥ 2 of degree 0 where G is any simple (more generally reductive) complex Lie group. The Hitchin map¹

$$h_G : \mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^r H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^{\otimes d_j})$$

which is a global version of the adjoint quotient² $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$, endows $\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)$ with the structure of an algebraic integrable system. It has been and still is extensively studied, see [20], [21], [14], [9] for the pioneering articles. One cornerstone of G -Hitchin systems is the determination of the generic fibers up to isomorphism, ultimately settled

Date: October 4, 2019.

¹Here $d_j = e_j + 1$ for the exponents e_j of the Lie algebra of G .

²Here $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra with Weyl group W .

by [11] and based on the earlier works [8], [25]. The isomorphism classes of the generic fibers depend subtly on the type of G , whereas the isogeny classes only depend on the Lie algebra of G .

Another cornerstone is Langlands duality for G -Hitchin systems ([18],[13]). It implies an isomorphism of the Hitchin bases $\mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G) \cong \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, {}^L G)$ for the Langlands dual group ${}^L G$ of G together with an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)^\vee \cong \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G)$$

of smooth algebraic integrable systems over a Zariski-open and dense $\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G) \subset \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$. Here the superindex $^\vee$ stands for the dual torus fibration.

The origin of Calabi–Yau integrable systems is in contrast very different: Their total spaces are the total spaces of the intermediate Jacobian fibrations $J^2(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B$ (up to a base change) of smooth families $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of compact or quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefolds satisfying certain conditions. The fibers are by definition Griffiths’ intermediate Jacobians

$$J^2(X_b) = H^3(X_b, \mathbb{C}) / (F^2 H^3(X_b, \mathbb{C}) + H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})), \quad b \in B$$

of the members X_b of the family.

There is a discrepancy between compact and non-compact Calabi–Yau threefolds: First of all, $J^2(X)$ is *not* an abelian variety if X is a non-rigid, compact Calabi–Yau threefold³ but is a non-degenerate complex torus of index 1. Moreover, $J^2(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B$ carries the structure of a complex integrable system (up to a base change) if the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is a *complete* family of compact Calabi–Yau threefolds, see [12, Section 2].

On the other hand, the intermediate Jacobians $J^2(X)$ of quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefolds⁴ X are more ‘flexible’: Depending on the mixed Hodge structure on $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $J^2(X)$ might not even be a generalized complex torus or it might be an abelian variety, cf. [5, Section 2.b.]. However, even if all $J^2(X_b)$ are abelian varieties for a non-trivial family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of smooth quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefolds, there are no uniform conditions on $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ which guarantee the existence of the structure of an algebraic integrable system on $J^2(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B$. One reason is that the deformation theory of quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefolds is in general more complicated than in the compact case.

The major goal of this article and its companion [3], is to deepen the understanding of the relationship between G -Hitchin systems and Calabi–Yau integrable systems. Such a relationship has first been observed in [6] and [7] if G is a simple adjoint complex Lie group of type A_1 and ADE respectively. Our first main result extends this relationship to *all* simple adjoint complex Lie groups:

Theorem 1.0.1 (= Theorem 5.2.1). *Let Δ be any irreducible Dynkin diagram and $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ the corresponding simple adjoint complex Lie group. Further let (Δ_h, \mathbf{C}) be the unique pair consisting of an ADE-Dynkin diagram such that $\Delta = \Delta_{h, \mathbf{C}}$ for a subgroup $\mathbf{C} \subset \text{Aut}(\Delta_h)$. Then there exists a family $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ of quasi-projective*

³Here a compact Calabi–Yau threefold is by definition a complex threefold with trivializable canonical bundle and $H^{1,0}(X) = 0$.

⁴A quasi-projective Calabi–Yau threefold is a smooth quasi-projective threefold with trivializable canonical bundle.

Gorenstein threefolds endowed with a \mathbf{C} -action and \mathbf{C} -trivial canonical classes *satisfying the following*: Over a Zariski-open and dense subset $\mathbf{B}^\circ \subset \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ there is an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$$

of algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° . Here $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \subset J^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ)$ is determined by the \mathbf{C} -invariants in cohomology.

The procedure to go from a pair (Δ_h, \mathbf{C}) of an irreducible ADE-Dynkin diagram Δ_h and a non-trivial subgroup $\mathbf{C} \subset \text{Aut}(\Delta_h)$ to the irreducible Dynkin diagram $\Delta = \Delta_{h, \mathbf{C}}$ is known as *folding* in Lie theory ([28, Chapter 10.3.], [27, Chapter 6]). The subscript stands for taking \mathbf{C} -coinvariants in the root system corresponding to Δ_h , see Appendix A for details. The dual process of taking \mathbf{C} -invariants is depicted as follows explaining the name folding:




FIGURE 1. Folding of $\Delta_h = A_5$ to $\Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}} = \Delta = B_3$.

We emphasize that our approach works for all irreducible Dynkin diagrams at the same time and employs Slodowy slices ([27]). In [3] we show that taking \mathbf{C} -invariants is the same as working with the global orbifold stacks $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{C}] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, see Remark 5.2.2.

Another goal of this article is to explain deeper aspects of G -Hitchin systems through Calabi–Yau integrable systems. We achieve this by recovering the Langlands duality statement (1) as Poincaré–Verdier duality for the corresponding family $\mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$. More precisely, we construct the algebraic integrable system

$$J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$$

which is defined by taking \mathbf{C} -coinvariants in the homology/compactly supported intermediate Jacobian fibration $J_2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$. If $G \neq SO(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. the Dynkin diagram of the simple adjoint complex Lie group is not B_n , then we prove in Section 6.2 that Poincaré–Verdier duality induces the isomorphism

$$(3) \quad J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \cong J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ)^\vee$$

of algebraic integrable systems. This is equivalent to the Langlands duality isomorphism (1), in particular $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G)$ over \mathbf{B}° if ${}^L G \neq Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The exceptional case $G = SO(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$, hence ${}^L G = Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$, is not too surprising: The determination of the generic fibers of $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Hitchin systems is more subtle than for the other cases, see [11, Remark 4.2], [13, Section 3]. However, we show that $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b)$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, Sp(n, \mathbb{C}))$, is isomorphic to an abelian variety $P^\circ(b)$ which is isogenous to $P(b) \cong \mathfrak{h}_{Sp(n, \mathbb{C})}^{-1}(b)$. It plays a crucial role in determining the precise isomorphism class of $P(b)$. Hence we give a geometric meaning to the algebraic integrable system with

generic fibers $P^\circ(b)$ if ${}^L G = Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$. Note that as a corollary, we understand how G -Hitchin systems for any simple *simply-connected* complex Lie group is related to non-compact (homology) Calabi–Yau integrable systems.

Even though our main results are holomorphic-symplectic statements, our proofs are mainly Hodge-theoretic. To motivate this, observe that the smooth part $\pi^\circ : M^\circ \rightarrow B^\circ$ of an algebraic integrable system is a family of abelian varieties if it admits a section. Such a family is uniquely determined by the associated polarizable integral variation of Hodge structures (\mathbb{Z} -VHS) $\mathbf{V}(\pi^\circ)$ of weight 1 on B° . We prove in Proposition 2.0.2 a partial converse of this procedure: If a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V} of weight 1 with Gauß–Manin connection ∇ admits a global section $\lambda \in H^0(B^\circ, \mathbf{V})$ such that

$$TB^\circ \rightarrow F^1\mathbf{V}, \quad v \mapsto \nabla_v \lambda,$$

is an isomorphism, then the family $J(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{V}_\mathcal{O}/(F^1 + \mathbf{V}_\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B^\circ$ of abelian varieties has the structure of an algebraic integrable system. A section λ with this property is called an *abstract Seiberg–Witten differential*, see Section 2 for an explanation of this terminology. From there we proceed as follows:

- i) Determine the \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V}_G of weight 1 on $\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ induced by $\mathbf{h}_G^\circ : \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ for a simple adjoint or simply-connected complex Lie group G . Moreover, we show that it admits an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ which determines \mathbf{h}_G° as an algebraic integrable system. This is achieved in Section 3.6.
- ii) Construct an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential ρ for the \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V}_G^{CY} with underlying \mathbb{Z} -local system $(R^3\pi^\circ\mathbb{Z})_{\text{lf}}^{\mathbf{C}}$. Here $\pi^\circ : \mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ is a family as in Theorem 1.0.1. In particular $J_G^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) = J(\mathbf{V}_G^{CY}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ is an algebraic integrable system, see Section 4).
The proofs of the properties of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ are contained in [3]. They are not needed to understand this article.
- iii) Construct an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathbf{V}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_G^{CY}$ of \mathbb{Z} -VHS and show that it satisfies $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \rho$. In particular, it implies the isomorphism (2). This is achieved in Section 5 by using the Leray spectral sequence and a careful study how cohomology interacts with \mathbf{C} -invariants.

Let us comment on the not yet mentioned sections: In Section 2 we fix the notation of variations of Hodge of weight 1 and introduce abstract Seiberg–Witten differentials. The first parts of Section 3 review generic Hitchin fibers and their Hodge structures focusing on the case of simple adjoint and simply-connected complex Lie groups. In these cases some of the general arguments simplify so that we give an almost self-contained account. Section 3.5 shows that the corresponding Hodge structures are determined by Zucker’s Hodge structures ([31]). This is crucial and eventually guarantees that the isomorphism Ψ in iii) respects Hodge filtrations. The final Section 6 explains the relation between Poincaré–Verdier and Langlands duality.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank my advisors Katrin Wendland and Emanuel Scheidegger for suggesting this topic to me and all their support. Special thanks go to Ron Donagi and Tony Pantev. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for very helpful comments. This work was supported by the DFG Graduiertenkolleg 1821

”Cohomological Methods in Geometry” and through the DFG Emmy-Noether grant on ”Building blocks of physical theories from the geometry of quantization and BPS states”, number AL 1407/2-1.

2. ABSTRACT SEIBERG–WITTEN DIFFERENTIALS

We fix our notation for variations of Hodge structures by recalling the correspondence between families of abelian varieties and polarizable integral variations of Hodge structures (\mathbb{Z} -VHS) of weight 1. A \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 is denoted by $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\bullet}\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}})$ where $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_B$ and F^{\bullet} is the Hodge filtration. The dual of \mathbf{V} is defined by

$$\mathbf{V}^{\vee} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{VHS}}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{Z}_B)(-1).$$

The Tate twist (-1) guarantees that \mathbf{V}^{\vee} is a \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1.

To any polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V} of weight 1 we associate the family

$$J(\mathbf{V}) := \mathrm{tot}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/(F^1\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})) \rightarrow B$$

of polarizable abelian varieties, the (intermediate) Jacobians of \mathbf{V} , over B . Here tot stands for the total space of the corresponding fiber bundle.

Conversely, if $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$ is any family of polarizable abelian varieties, then polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS $\mathbf{V}(\pi)$ of weight 1 with $\mathbf{V}(\pi)_{\mathbb{Z}} = R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $J(\mathbf{V}(\pi)) \cong \mathcal{A}$ over B . The dual family $\pi^{\vee} : \mathcal{A}^{\vee} \rightarrow B$ is determined by $\mathbf{V}(\pi)^{\vee}$. Both π and π^{\vee} are fiberwise isogenous to each other. On the level of \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 this corresponds to the following: Two polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}' of weight 1 isogenous to each other, $\mathbf{V} \sim \mathbf{V}'$, if $J(\mathbf{V})$ is fiberwise isogenous to $J(\mathbf{V}')$ or equivalently $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbf{V}' \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as polarizable \mathbb{Q} -VHS of weight 1.

Of particular interest for us are families of abelian varieties which come from algebraic integrable systems.

Definition 2.0.1. Let (M, ω) be a holomorphic symplectic manifold and B a complex manifold. An algebraic integrable system is a proper holomorphic map $\pi : (M, \omega) \rightarrow B$ with the following property: There is a Zariski-open dense subset $B^{\circ} \subset B$ such that the restriction

$$\pi^{\circ} := \pi_{M^{\circ}} : M^{\circ} \rightarrow B^{\circ}, \quad M^{\circ} = \pi^{-1}(B^{\circ}),$$

has connected Lagrangian fibers and admits a relative polarization.

It follows as in the C^{∞} -case (the Arnold-Liouville theorem, see [17, Chapter IV]) that the fibers of π are torsors for abelian varieties. More precisely, π° is a torsor for the family $J(\mathbf{V}(\pi^{\circ}))$ of polarizable abelian varieties over B° . In particular, if π° admits a section, then $M^{\circ} \cong J(\mathbf{V}(\pi^{\circ}))$ over B° .

The holomorphic symplectic form ω further induces an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \iota : \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^1 \rightarrow T^*B.$$

Indeed, ω induces the isomorphism $\ker d\pi^{\circ} \cong (\pi^{\circ})^*T^*B^{\circ}$, $v \mapsto \omega(v, -)$. The adjunction formula yields $\pi_*^{\circ}(\pi^{\circ})^*T^*B^{\circ} \cong T^*B$ because the fibers of π° are connected. Moreover, $\pi_*^{\circ}\ker d\pi^{\circ} \cong \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^1$ by the previous discussion. These isomorphisms combine to give (4).

We now address the converse and give a sufficient condition on a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V} of weight 1 such that $J(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow B$ carries the structure of an algebraic integrable system.

Proposition 2.0.2. *Let \mathbf{V} be a \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 over B , Q a polarization on $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and ∇ the Gauß-Manin connection on $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Assume there is a global section $\lambda \in \Gamma(B, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}})$ such that*

$$(5) \quad \phi_{\lambda} : TB \rightarrow F^1\mathbf{V}, \quad X \mapsto \nabla_X \lambda,$$

*is an isomorphism. Further let $\iota : \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^1 \rightarrow T^*B$ be the isomorphism induced by (5) and the polarization Q . Then the family $J(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow B$ of abelian varieties carries a unique Lagrangian structure⁵ ω_{λ} which makes the zero section Lagrangian and induces ι . It is independent of Q up to symplectomorphisms. Moreover, the same results hold true for $J(\mathbf{V}') \rightarrow B$ where \mathbf{V}' is any VHS in the isogeny class of \mathbf{V} , in particular for $\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}^{\vee}$.*

Proof. We begin by recalling how $\iota : \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^1 \rightarrow T^*B$ is constructed. To this end, observe that the polarization Q induces an isomorphism

$$\phi_Q : \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^1 \rightarrow (F^1)^*.$$

Then ι is the composition $\iota = \phi_{\lambda}^{\vee} \circ \phi_Q$. These isomorphisms further induce isomorphisms (denoted by the same symbols)⁶

$$J(\mathbf{V}) \xrightarrow{\phi_Q} (F^1)^*/\phi_Q(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\lambda}^{\vee}} T^*B/\Gamma, \quad \Gamma := \phi_{\lambda}^*(\phi_Q(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})).$$

If we show that $\Gamma \subset T^*B$ is Lagrangian, then the canonical symplectic structure η on T^*B descends to a symplectic structure $\hat{\eta}$ on T^*B/Γ . The induced symplectic structure on $J(\mathbf{V})$ will satisfy all the claimed properties, in particular the zero section will be Lagrangian.

To show that $\Gamma \subset T^*B$ is Lagrangian, we have to prove that the image of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in T^*B under ι consists of closed (local) 1-forms. If γ is a local section of $\phi_Q(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset (F^1)^*$, then its image is the local 1-form

$$\phi_{\lambda}^{\vee}(\gamma)(X) = \langle \gamma, \nabla_X \lambda \rangle, \quad X \in TB,$$

where the brackets are the duality pairing between $(F^1)^*$ and F^1 . Since $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee}$ is a local system and ∇ is flat, we can represent γ around $b \in U \subset B$ as some fixed element $\gamma_0 \in \phi_{\lambda}^{\vee}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})_b$, ∇ as d and λ as a map $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_b$. In particular, $v = \nabla_X \lambda \in \mathbf{V}$ is represented by $df(X)$ where $X \in TU$. It then follows that $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g(b) = \langle \gamma_0, f(b) \rangle$,

⁵A Lagrangian structure on a map $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic symplectic structure ω on M such the smooth part of the fibers of π are Lagrangian with respect to ω .

⁶If Q is not defined over \mathbb{Z} , then $\phi_Q(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is *not* contained in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$. In any case, $\phi_Q(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a local system of lattices.

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} dg(X) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} g(\alpha(t)) \\ &= \langle \gamma_0, df(X) \rangle \\ &= \phi_\lambda^\vee(\gamma)(v). \end{aligned}$$

Here α is a curve representing the tangent vector X . Hence $\phi_\lambda^\vee(\gamma)$ is locally exact and therefore closed.

Now let Q' be another polarization. Then the previous construction can be performed for Q' as well and we denote by ω and ω' the corresponding Lagrangian structures. Moreover, it follows that there is an automorphism $\psi : T^*B \rightarrow T^*B$ such that $\psi(\Gamma) = \Gamma' = \phi_\lambda^\vee \circ \phi_{Q'}(\mathbf{V}_\mathbb{Z})$. It induces a symplectomorphism $\psi : (T^*B/\Gamma, \hat{\eta}) \rightarrow (T^*B/\Gamma', \hat{\eta}')$. Since ω and ω' on $J(\mathbf{V})$ are pull backs of $\hat{\eta}$ and $\hat{\eta}'$ respectively, it follows that ω and ω' are symplectomorphic to each other.

The last statement is immediate because if $\mathbf{V} \sim \mathbf{V}'$ are isogenous, then \mathbf{V}' admits a section $\lambda \in \Gamma(B, \mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{O}})$ with the same properties as well. \square

Definition 2.0.3. A section $\lambda \in \Gamma(B, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}})$, such that

$$TB \rightarrow F^1\mathbf{V}, \quad X \mapsto \nabla_X \lambda,$$

is an isomorphism as above, will be called an *abstract Seiberg–Witten differential*.

Remark 2.0.4. a) The previous definition is motivated by Seiberg–Witten differentials of Seiberg–Witten integrable systems, cf. [10].

b) If (\mathbf{V}, λ) is a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1, then it is shown in [1, Section 2.2.4] that $J(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow B$ satisfies the cubic condition of Donagi–Markman ([12]). This gives an alternative proof of Proposition 2.0.2.

c) It is not difficult to generalize Proposition 2.0.2 to \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V} of weight 1 which admit a polarization with index $k > 0$, i.e. the associated Hodge metric has index k . In this case, $(J(\mathbf{V}), \omega_\lambda) \rightarrow B$ is a complex integrable system of index k . For example, compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems ([12]) are examples of complex integrable systems of index 1. We refer the reader to [2, Section 2] for details where it is further shown that compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems admit abstract Seiberg–Witten differentials.

3. VHS OF HITCHIN SYSTEMS

In this section, we determine the \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 corresponding to the smooth part of G -Hitchin systems. Moreover, we determine the Lagrangian structure in terms of an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential. One observation is that the Hodge filtration is given by Zucker’s ([31]), see Lemma 3.5.1 which is crucial in Section 5.2. In Section 3.4, we specialize to simple adjoint complex Lie groups which are either of *adjoint type* ($G = G_{ad}$) or *simply connected* ($G = G_{sc}$). These are the relevant cases for us. Even though these cases could be extracted from [13, Section 3], we give essentially self-contained proofs for the convenience of the reader.

3.1. Hitchin systems. We briefly recall the construction of G -Hitchin systems for any simple complex Lie group G and compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g(\Sigma) \geq 2$. For a more extensive treatment, see [20], [21], [14], [9], [11], [13].

A G -Higgs bundle on (P, φ) on Σ is a G -bundle P on Σ together with a section $\varphi \in H^0(\Sigma, \text{ad}(P) \otimes K_\Sigma)$ where K_Σ is the canonical bundle and $\text{ad}(P)$ is the holomorphic vector bundle associated with the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . The moduli space $\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)$ of semistable G -Higgs bundles of degree 0 on Σ is a quasi-projective variety of dimension $2 \dim(G)(g(\Sigma) - 1)$. Its smooth locus $\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)^{sm}$ carries a holomorphic symplectic form ω_H .

To construct the G -Hitchin system, fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$ with corresponding Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ of rank r , root system R and Weyl group W . If the canonical bundle K_Σ of Σ is considered as a \mathbb{C}^* -bundle, define the associated bundles

$$\begin{aligned} u : \mathbf{U} &:= K_\Sigma \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathfrak{t}/W \rightarrow \Sigma, \\ \tilde{u} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}} &:= K_\Sigma \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The adjoint quotient $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ globalizes to give a well-defined morphism

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_G : \mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, \mathbf{U}), \quad [P, \varphi] \mapsto \chi(\varphi).$$

We abuse notation and denote by \mathbf{h} the restriction of \mathbf{h} to the smooth locus $\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)^{sm}$. Then the G -Hitchin system is given by

$$\mathbf{h} : (\mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)^{sm}, \omega_H) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G) := H^0(\Sigma, \mathbf{U})$$

which is an algebraic integrable system in the sense of Definition 2.0.1.

To investigate the \mathbb{Z} -VHS determined by \mathbf{h} over an open dense subset of $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$, we introduce cameral curves. Since the W -action on \mathfrak{t} commutes with the natural \mathbb{C}^* -action, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ inherits a W -action. The quotient map $q : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ glues to the morphism $\mathbf{q} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$. The universal cameral curve is defined by the cartesian square

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Sigma} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow q \\ \Sigma \times \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{ev} & \mathbf{U} \\ \downarrow p_2 = pr & & \\ \mathbf{B} & & \end{array}$$

By construction $\tilde{\Sigma}$ inherits a W -action. The pullback $\tilde{\Sigma}_b := i_b^* \tilde{\Sigma}$ via the inclusion $i_b : \Sigma \rightarrow \{b\} \times \Sigma$ is the cameral curve $\tilde{\Sigma}_b \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ corresponding to $b \in \mathbf{B}$. We denote by

$$p_b : \tilde{\Sigma}_b \rightarrow \Sigma$$

the induced projection. For generic $b \in \mathbf{B}$ these curves are non-singular and p_b is a simply ramified W -Galois covering. More precisely, let

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{B}^\circ := \{b \in \mathbf{B} \mid b \text{ transversal to } \text{discr}(\mathbf{q})^{sm}\}$$

where $\text{discr}(\mathbf{q})^{sm}$ denotes the smooth locus of the discriminant $\text{discr}(\mathbf{q})$ of \mathbf{q} . Then $\mathbf{B}^\circ \subset \mathbf{B}$ is a non-empty Zariski-open subset and comprises the locus of smooth cameral

curves with simple Galois ramification ([25, Section 1]). Moreover, $\mathbf{B}^\circ \subset \mathbf{B}$ is contained in the smooth locus of $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and the restriction satisfies

$$\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G) := \mathbf{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{B}^\circ) \subset \mathbf{Higgs}(\Sigma, G)^{sm}.$$

3.2. Stratifications. In the following we work with \mathbf{B}° rather than the full Hitchin base \mathbf{B} . It is therefore useful to restrict \mathbf{U} to a smaller open subset $\mathbf{U}^1 \subset \mathbf{U}$ through which the evaluation map ev factorizes. Consider the open subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}^1 &= \mathfrak{t} - \bigcup_{\alpha \neq \beta} \mathfrak{t}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{t}_\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{t}, \\ \mathfrak{t}^1/W &:= q(\mathfrak{t}^1) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}/W. \end{aligned}$$

They are stratified by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}^1 &= \mathfrak{t}^\circ \cup D := \mathfrak{t}^\circ \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{t}_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta \neq \gamma} \mathfrak{t}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{t}_\gamma \right), \\ \mathfrak{t}^1/W &= \mathfrak{t}^\circ/W \cup (D/W), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{t}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{t}$ are the regular elements. Note that $D = \mathfrak{t}^1 - \mathfrak{t}^\circ$ decomposes into $D = D^s \cup D^l$ where D^s and D^l corresponds to short and long roots respectively. Of course, \mathfrak{t}^1 and \mathfrak{t}^1/W together with their stratifications can be glued to give

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^1 = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\circ \cup \mathbf{D} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\circ \cup \mathbf{D}^s \cup \mathbf{D}^l,$$

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{U}^1 = \mathbf{U}^\circ \cup (\mathbf{D}/W) = \mathbf{U}^\circ \cup (\mathbf{D}^s/W) \cup (\mathbf{D}^l/W)$$

and $\text{discr}(q_{i\tilde{U}_1}) = \mathbf{D}$. Hence \mathbf{B}° are precisely the sections $b : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^1$ that intersect \mathbf{D} transversally. Note that every $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$ necessarily intersects \mathbf{D} by the compactness of Σ .

The restriction $\mathbf{p}^\circ : \tilde{\Sigma}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ of the universal cameral curve to the preimage of \mathbf{B}° factorizes as $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_2^\circ \circ \mathbf{p}_1^1$. Here $\mathbf{p}_2^\circ = pr : \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ is the projection and $\mathbf{p}_1^1 : \tilde{\Sigma}^\circ \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ$ is obtained by restricting the cartesian square in (6).

3.3. Generic Hitchin fibers. We next express the fiber $\mathbf{h}^{-1}(b)$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$, in terms of the smooth cameral curves $\tilde{\Sigma}_b$ following [11], [13]. To do so, consider the sheaf

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}(b) := \overline{\mathcal{T}} := p_{b,*}^W(\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_b}^*),$$

on Σ for $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$. Here $\Lambda_G = \Lambda = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, T)$ is the cocharacter lattice of G and

$$p_{b,*}^W = (\cdot)^W \circ p_{b,*}$$

is the equivariant direct image for the diagonal W -action. If $D^\alpha \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_b$ is the ramification divisor corresponding to $\alpha \in R$, then we define the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{T}(U) := \{t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(U) \mid \alpha(t)|_{D^\alpha} = +1 \ \forall \alpha \in R\}$$

of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. Here we consider each root $\alpha \in R$ as a homomorphism $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and use the canonical identification $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}^* = T$. Further let $\mathcal{T}^\circ \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the connected component of \mathcal{T} . By definition, these three sheaves satisfy

$$\mathcal{T}^\circ \subset \mathcal{T} \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Corollary 3.3.2. *Let $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$. The finitely generated abelian groups*

$$(12) \quad H_{G,\mathbb{Z}}^\circ = H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_G), \quad \overline{H}_{G,\mathbb{Z}} = H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_G^\vee)^\vee$$

carry polarizable Hodge structures H_G° and \overline{H}_G of weight 1 such that there are canonical isomorphisms $J(H^\circ) \cong P_G^\circ$, $J(\overline{H}) = \overline{P}_G$.

Proof. As real tori, the abelian varieties $Q = P_G^\circ, \overline{P}_G$ are determined by $\text{cochar}(Q) \otimes S^1$. The cocharacter lattice of Q has been determined in [13, Claim 3.6] as in (12). The complex structure is recovered by Proposition 3.3.1 which identifies the universal covering of Q with $H^1(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, \mathfrak{t})^W$. In particular, the corresponding Hodge structure $H_Q = (H_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^\bullet H_{\mathbb{C}})$ of weight 1 with $J(H_Q) \cong Q$ is given by

$$(13) \quad H_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{cochar}(Q), \quad F^\bullet H_{\mathbb{C}} = F^\bullet H^1(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, \mathfrak{t})^W.$$

□

3.4. Adjoint and simply-connected groups. Let $G_{ad} = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ and $G_{sc} = G_{sc}(\Delta)$ be the simple adjoint and simply-connected complex Lie group respectively with fixed Dynkin diagram Δ . The considerations of the previous section simplify as we explain next.

The cocharacter lattices $\Lambda_{ad} = \Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ and $\Lambda_{sc} = \Lambda_{G_{sc}}$ satisfy

$$(14) \quad \text{coroot}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Lambda_{sc} \subsetneq \Lambda_{ad} = \text{coweight}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{t}^\vee$$

Here $\text{coroot}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle R^\vee \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the coroot lattice and $\text{coweight}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the coweight lattice of \mathfrak{g} . The corresponding character lattices Λ_{ad}^\vee and Λ_{sc}^\vee satisfy

$$(15) \quad \text{root}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Lambda_{ad}^\vee \subsetneq \Lambda_{sc}^\vee = \text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{t}$$

We denote by $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : \mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the natural pairing.

Since the Hitchin base only depends on the common Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we canonically identify $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G_{ad}) = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G_{sc})$ and similarly for \mathbf{B}° , cf. (7). Let $P_{ad}^\circ, P_{ad}, \overline{P}_{ad}$ and $P_{sc}^\circ, P_{sc}, \overline{P}_{sc}$ be the abelian varieties of Lemma 3.3.1 at $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$ for the structure groups G_{ad} and G_{sc} respectively.

Proposition 3.4.1. *With the previous notation there are the following canonical isomorphisms*

$$(16) \quad P_{ad}^\circ \cong P_{ad}, \quad P_{sc} \cong \overline{P}_{sc}$$

of abelian varieties. Additionally, there are the special cases

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{ad}^\circ &= P_{ad} = \overline{P}_{ad} && \text{if } \Delta \neq B_k, \\ P_{sc}^\circ &= P_{sc} = \overline{P}_{sc} && \text{if } \Delta \neq C_k. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4.2. By Corollary 3.3.2, the \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structure H_G of weight 1 with $P_G \cong J(H_G)$ is H_G° or \overline{H}_G in case G is of adjoint type or simply-connected.

Proof. We first prove (16). This follows if $H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{ad}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{ad}^\circ)$ and $H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{T}_{sc}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{sc})$ respectively.

To this end, it is convenient to introduce the real versions $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}^\circ, \mathcal{T}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ (dropping *ad* or *sc* from the notation if unnecessary). These are defined by replacing

$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_b}^*$ by the constant sheaf for the circle group S^1 in their definition. Explicitly, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\circ = (p_*^W \mathbf{\Lambda}) \otimes S^1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{R}} = p_*^W (\mathbf{\Lambda} \otimes S^1)$. As in the complex case, we canonically identify $\mathbf{\Lambda} \otimes S^1 = T_{\mathbb{R}}$, the compact real torus inside the maximal torus T .

The relevance of the real versions $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ comes from the fact that the natural morphism $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(18) \quad H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}),$$

see [13, Lemma 3.2]. Let $s \in \Sigma$ be a branch point of p and α a root in the W -orbit corresponding to s . Then the stalks of these sheaves are easily computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{R},s} &= \{\lambda \otimes z \mid \alpha^\vee(z^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}) = 1 \in T_{\mathbb{R}}\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R},s} &= \{\lambda \otimes z \mid z^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} = 1 \in S^1\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R},s}^\circ &= \{\lambda \otimes z \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the adjoint case, let $T_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \otimes S^1 \ni \lambda \otimes z \neq 1$ with $z^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} = 1$ and denote $k = \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (14), there exists λ_0 such that $\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle = 1$ and $\lambda = k\lambda_0$. Since $z^k = 1$ by assumption, we conclude a contradiction

$$\lambda \otimes z = k\lambda_0 \otimes z = \lambda_0 \otimes z^k = 1 \in T_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{T}_{ad,\mathbb{R}}^\circ = \mathcal{T}_{ad,\mathbb{R}}$ so that $P_{ad}^\circ \cong P_{ad}$ as real tori. But both are isogenous to $J(H^1(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{ad})^W)$ by Lemma 3.3.1 so that $P_{ad}^\circ \cong P_{ad}$ as abelian varieties.

In the simply connected case, observe that each coroot α^\vee , seen as a cocharacter $\alpha^\vee : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T \subset G_{sc}$, must be injective: By (15) there exists a character $\mu \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_{sc}^\vee$ such that $\mu \circ \alpha^\vee(t) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Hence $P_{sc} \cong \overline{P}_{sc}$ as real tori by (18). As before, it follows that this is in fact an isomorphism of abelian varieties.

To prove the first equalities in (17), note that each coroot is injective, i.e. there exists a root β such that $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 1$, if the Dynkin diagram $\Delta \neq B_k$ so that $\mathcal{T}_{ad,\mathbb{R}} = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{ad,\mathbb{R}}$. Interchanging roots with coroots, the same argument gives $\mathcal{T}_{sc,\mathbb{R}}^\circ = \mathcal{T}_{sc,\mathbb{R}}$ if $\Delta \neq C_k$. \square

For the next corollary, let ${}^L G$ be the Langlands dual of a simple complex Lie group G . By definition, we have $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{[{}^L G]} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_G^\vee$, $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{[{}^L G]}^\vee = \mathbf{\Lambda}_G$. As shown in [13, Theorem A (1)], there is an isomorphism $l : \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, {}^L G)$. It is unique up to multiplication with \mathbb{C}^* and satisfies $l(\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)) = \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G)$. Moreover, it lifts to an isomorphism of universal cameral curves. We therefore make no notational distinction between the Hitchin base and the cameral curves for G and ${}^L G$ in the following.

The next corollary is a special case of [13, Theorem A (2)].

Corollary 3.4.3. *Let $G = G_{ad}$ or G_{sc} . Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$P_{{}^L G} \cong P_G^\vee.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case $P = P_{ad} = P_{ad}^\circ$. Let $G = G_{ad}$ be the simple adjoint complex Lie group with Dynkin diagram Δ so that ${}^L G = G_{sc}({}^L \Delta)$. Since $\mathbf{\Lambda}_G^\vee = \mathbf{\Lambda}_{{}^L G}$, Corollary 3.3.2 and Proposition 3.4.1 imply

$$\text{cochar}({}^L P_G) = H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_{{}^L G}^\vee)^\vee = H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_G)^\vee = \text{cochar}(P_G)^\vee.$$

\square

3.5. Relation to Zucker's Hodge structure. In Section 5 we need an alternative construction of the Hodge structure on $H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{A})_{\text{tf}}$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$. This construction is based on Zucker's results [31]. The general setup is the following:

Let C be any compact Riemann surface and $j : C^\circ \hookrightarrow C$ the complement of finitely many points. If \mathbf{V} is a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight m on C° , then Zucker ([31, Theorem 7.12]) constructed a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structure of weight $k + m$ on the sheaf cohomology groups $H^k(C, j_* \mathbf{V})_{\text{tf}}$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. It is compatible with Tate twists and functorial with respect to morphisms of Riemann surfaces and morphisms of \mathbb{Z} -VHS.

The next lemma determines the Hodge structure if \mathbf{V} is of Tate type.

Lemma 3.5.1. *Let $j : C^\circ \hookrightarrow C$ be as before and \mathbf{V} a polarized \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight $m = 2k$ and Tate type over C° . Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{C}^\circ & \xleftarrow{\hat{j}} & \hat{C} \\ f^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ C^\circ & \xleftarrow{j} & C \end{array}$$

where f is a branched Galois covering and C° the complement of its branch divisor. Zucker's Hodge structure on $H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathbf{V})_{\text{tf}}$ is isogenous to $H^1(\hat{\Sigma}^\circ, \hat{j}_* \mathbf{V}_0)^W = H^1(\hat{\Sigma}, \mathbf{V}_0)^W$ where W is the covering group of f° and \mathbf{V}_0 the typical stalk of $\mathbf{V}_\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathbf{V})_{\text{tf}}$ only has types $(k + 1, k)$ and $(k, k + 1)$.

Proof. Up to a Tate twist, the \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V} consists of a local system $\mathbf{V}_\mathbb{Z}$ of positive definite lattices so that we only write $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that its monodromy group W has to be finite and we obtain an unbranched Galois covering $f^\circ : \hat{C}^\circ \rightarrow C^\circ$ with covering group W . Since f° is locally given by $z \mapsto z^k$, it uniquely completes to a branched covering $f : \hat{C} \rightarrow C$ yielding (19).

By construction $(f^\circ)^* \mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{V}_0$ so that $\mathbf{V} \cong (f_* \mathbf{V}_0)^W$. The inclusion $i : (f_* \mathbf{V}_0)^W \hookrightarrow f_* \mathbf{V}_0$ is obviously a morphism of VHS. Moreover, the natural morphism

$$\phi : H^1(C^\circ, f_* \mathbf{V}_0)_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\hat{C}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_0)_{\text{tf}},$$

induced by the Leray spectral sequence, is a morphism of Hodge structures. As f° is finite, ϕ is an isomorphism. By the W -equivariance of f° , these morphisms fit into the commutative diagram

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(C^\circ, f_* \mathbf{V}_0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^1(\hat{C}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_0) \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow \\ H^1(C^\circ, \mathbf{V}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^W} & H^1(\hat{C}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_0)^W \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_c^1(C^\circ, \mathbf{V}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^W} & H_c^1(\hat{C}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_0)^W. \end{array} \quad \mathbf{V} = (f_* \mathbf{V}_0)^W$$

Here ψ^W is the natural morphism $H_c^1(C^\circ, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow H_c^1(\hat{C}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_0)^W$. Arguing as above, we see that it is compatible with mixed Hodge structures. Thus (20) is a commutative

diagram of mixed Hodge structures. Further ψ^W and ϕ^W are isomorphisms over \mathbb{Q} because we can then split off $(f_*\mathbf{V})^W$. But the lower square in (20) factorizes over

$$H^1(C, j_*\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow H^1(\hat{C}^\circ, j_*\mathbf{V}_0)^W = H^1(\hat{C}, \mathbf{V}_0)^W$$

which thus has to be an isogeny as well. \square

This lemma fits precisely in the previous situation: Let $j : \Sigma^\circ \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ be the complement of the branch divisor of the cameral curve $p_b : \tilde{\Sigma}_b \rightarrow \Sigma$ for $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ and any simple complex Lie group G . Then $\mathbf{V} = (p_b^\circ)_*^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_G$ is a \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 0 and Tate type. Moreover, the adjunction morphism

$$p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_G \rightarrow j_* j^* p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_G = j_* \mathbf{V}$$

is an isomorphism. Hence $H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda})_{\text{tf}}$ is endowed with Zucker's Hodge structure of weight 1. By Lemma 3.5.1 and Corollary 3.3.2, it coincides with the Hodge structure H_G° determined by $P_G^\circ(b)$. Replacing $\mathbf{\Lambda}_G$ with $\mathbf{\Lambda}_G^\vee$ and taking duals, we obtain the same statement for \overline{H}_G and $\overline{P}_G(b)$.

Summarizing we obtain:

Proposition 3.5.2. *The polarizable \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structures H_G° and \overline{H}_G of weight 1 on $H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda})_{\text{tf}}$ and $H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \mathbf{\Lambda}_G^\vee)^\vee$ respectively coincide with Zucker's Hodge structures.*

In particular, if G is either a simple adjoint or simply-connected complex Lie group, then the Hodge structure H_G with $J(H_G) \cong P_G$ is determined by Zucker's Hodge structure, cf. Remark 3.4.2.

3.6. VHS and abstract Seiberg–Witten differential. We next determine the holomorphic symplectic form ω_H on $\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ in terms of an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential.

Let $\mathbf{V}_G = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{h}_G^\circ)$ be the \mathbb{Z} -VHS determined by $\mathbf{h}^\circ : \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$. Lemma 3.3.1 implies $\mathbf{V}_{G,\mathbb{C}} \cong (R^1 \mathbf{p}_* \otimes \mathfrak{t})^W$. Hence the section

$$(21) \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow F^1 \mathbf{V}_{G,\mathbb{C}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}(b) = \lambda_b \in H^0(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, K_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \otimes \mathfrak{t})^W$$

is well-defined.

Proposition 3.6.1. *The section $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in H^0(\mathbf{B}^\circ, \mathbf{V}_G)$ is an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential. Any Lagrangian section s of $\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(22) \quad (\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G), \omega_H) \cong (J(\mathbf{V}_G), \omega_\lambda)$$

as algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° which sends s to the zero section.

Proof. It is proven in [19, Proposition 8.2.] that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential, i.e.

$$\phi_\lambda : T\mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow F^1 \mathbf{V}_G, \quad X \mapsto \nabla_X \boldsymbol{\lambda},$$

is an isomorphism. Hence $J(\mathbf{V}_G) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ carries a Lagrangian structure ω_λ by Proposition 2.0.2 where we use the natural polarization on \mathbf{V}_G .

Since $\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ is a torsor for $J(\mathbf{V}_G)$ over \mathbf{B}° and Lagrangian sections of \mathbf{h} exist, e.g. Hitchin sections, $\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ is isomorphic to $J(\mathbf{V}_G)$ over \mathbf{B}° . We fix such a section from now on. It induces the symplectomorphism

$$(\mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G), \omega_H) \cong (T^*\mathbf{B}^\circ/\Gamma, \hat{\eta}).$$

Here $\Gamma \subset T^*\mathbf{B}^\circ$ is a fiberwise lattice determined by the Hamiltonian flows along the fibers (see [1, Section 2] for details) and $\hat{\eta}$ is the tautological symplectic structure on $T^*\mathbf{B}^\circ$ descended to $T^*\mathbf{B}^\circ/\Gamma$.

On the other hand, ω_λ is determined by a symplectomorphism

$$(T^*\mathbf{B}^\circ/\Gamma, \hat{\eta}) \cong (J(\mathbf{V}_G), \omega_\lambda).$$

Combining these two symplectomorphisms, we arrive at (22). \square

For the next corollary, define the isogenous \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V}_G° and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_G$ of weight 1 with underlying \mathbb{Z} -local systems

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{V}_{G,\mathbb{Z}}^\circ = (R^1\mathbf{p}_{1,*}\mathbf{p}_{2,*}^W\mathbf{\Lambda}_G)_{\text{tf}}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{G,\mathbb{Z}} = (R^1\mathbf{p}_{1,*}\mathbf{p}_{2,*}^W\mathbf{\Lambda}_G^\vee)^\vee.$$

By the previous proposition, $J(\mathbf{V}_G^\circ)$ and $J(\bar{\mathbf{V}}_G)$ are algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° .

Corollary 3.6.2. *Let $G = G_{ad}$ or G_{sc} . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\ell : \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)^\vee \cong \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G)$$

of algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° .

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $G = G_{ad}$. Then $\mathbf{V}_G^\circ = \mathbf{V}_G$ by Remark 3.4.2. Corollary 3.4.3 globalizes to give $\mathbf{V}_G^\vee \cong \mathbf{V}_{LG} = \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{LG}$. Now the statement follows from Proposition 3.6.1. \square

This corollary is a special case of [13, Theorem B]. Our proof is Hodge-theoretic whereas the one in loc. cit. is moduli-theoretic. With some extra work our method of proof generalizes to other G but we only need $G = G_{ad}$ or $G = G_{sc}$ in the following.

4. NON-COMPACT CALABI–YAU INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

In this section, we construct *algebraic* integrable systems from certain families $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ of quasi-projective Gorenstein Calabi–Yau threefolds over the Hitchin base $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ of a simple complex Lie group G of adjoint type ($G = G_{ad}$). We call them *non-compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems* which (implicitly) first appeared in [7] (also see [22, Section 7]).

4.1. Slodowy slices. Let $\Delta = \Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}}$ be an irreducible Dynkin diagram where Δ_h is the corresponding irreducible ADE-Dynkin diagram with associated symmetry group $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(\Delta) \subset \text{Aut}(\Delta_h)$, cf. Appendix A. A Δ -singularity (Y, H) , see [27, Section 6.2], consists of a (germ of a) surface singularity $Y = (Y, 0)$ of type Δ_h , i.e. an ADE-surface singularity, and a subgroup $H \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$ with the following properties:

- i) $H \cong \mathbf{C}$;
- ii) the action of H on $Y - \{0\}$ is free;

iii) the induced action on the dual resolution graph of the minimal resolution $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ coincides with the \mathbf{C} -action on Δ_h .

If Λ_{G_h} is the cocharacter lattice of the simple adjoint complex Lie group with Dynkin diagram Δ_h , then the only non-trivial cohomology groups of \hat{Y} satisfy

$$(24) \quad H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_{G_h}, \quad H_c^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_{G_h}^\vee.$$

These isomorphisms are compatible with the natural duality pairings. By iii) and folding of Lie groups, see Appendix A, it follows that

$$(25) \quad H^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong \Lambda_{G^\vee}, \quad H_c^2(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathbf{C}} \cong \Lambda_{G^\vee}^\vee.$$

Here $G^\vee = G_{ad}(\Delta^\vee)$ is the simple adjoint complex Lie group *with Dynkin diagram* Δ^\vee .

Remark 4.1.1. We emphasize that to any Δ -singularity, we associate the simple adjoint complex Lie group G with Dynkin diagram Δ^\vee via (25). The apparent difference comes from the following: In his definition of Δ -singularities, Slodowy uses the folding convention for the cocharacter lattice of G_h which is given by taking *invariants*, see (25). This results in the Dynkin diagram $\Delta = \Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}}$. Moreover, we will see below that Δ -singularities are realized inside the simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\Delta)$.

On the other hand, the folding procedure of simple complex Lie groups takes *covariants* in the character lattices $\Delta_{G_h}^\vee$. Since the Dynkin diagram of the folded group G^\vee is by definition the one of its character lattice $\Lambda_{G^\vee}^\vee = \Lambda_{G_h, \mathbf{C}}^\vee$, we arrive at the dual Dynkin diagram Δ^\vee .

Every Δ -singularity (Y, H) is quasi-homogeneous, in particular, Y carries a \mathbb{C}^* -action that commutes with the H -action. A \mathbb{C}^* -deformation of (Y, H) is therefore a $\mathbb{C}^* \times H$ -deformation $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ such that H acts trivially on the base. As shown in [27, Section 2], each (Y, H) has a semi-universal \mathbb{C}^* -deformation. It is realized in the simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\Delta)$ of type Δ as follows: Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a subregular nilpotent element and (x, y, h) an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in \mathfrak{g} with semisimple h . Then the Slodowy slice associated to the triple (x, y, h) is defined by

$$S := x + \ker ad(y) \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

It carries a non-trivial action by the group $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}$ (cf. [27, Section 6]). Here the \mathbf{C} -action is defined by the action (via adjunction) of the group

$$C(x, h)/C(x, h)^\circ \cong \mathbf{C}$$

which is the group of connected components of $C(x, h) = \{g \in G_{ad} \mid g \cdot x = x, g \cdot h = h\}$. For a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, denote by $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ the adjoint quotient and

$$\sigma := \chi|_S : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$$

its restriction to the Slodowy slice S . If we let \mathbb{C}^* act on \mathfrak{t}/W with *twice* the usual weight and \mathbf{C} on \mathfrak{t}/W trivially, then σ is $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}$ -equivariant. Slodowy has shown that the fiber $(\sigma^{-1}(0), \mathbf{C})$ is a Δ -singularity and $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ with the $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}$ -action is a \mathbb{C}^* -semi-universal deformation of $(\sigma^{-1}(0), \mathbf{C})$ ([27], Section 8.7).

The stratification of $\mathfrak{t}^1/W = \mathfrak{t}^\circ \cup D^s \cup D^l$ introduced in Section 3.2 interacts with the restriction

$$(26) \quad S^1 := \sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}^1/W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^1/W$$

of $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$. It coincides with the singularity stratification of \mathfrak{t}^1/W induced by σ meaning that σ is smooth over \mathfrak{t}°/W and

$$(27) \quad \sigma^{-1}(\bar{t}) \text{ has } \begin{cases} \text{an } A_1\text{-singularity if } \bar{t} \in D^s, \\ \text{an } A_1 \times A_1\text{-singularity if } \bar{t} \in D^l \text{ and } \Delta \neq G_2, \\ \text{an } A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1\text{-singularity if } \bar{t} \in D^l \text{ and } \Delta = G_2. \end{cases}$$

Grothendieck's simultaneous resolution⁷ restricts to give a simultaneous resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S \\ \downarrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathfrak{t} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathfrak{t}/W \end{array}$$

of $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$. There is a natural \mathbf{C} -action on \tilde{S} such that all morphisms in this diagram become \mathbf{C} -equivariant. To get \mathbb{C}^* -equivariance one has to choose \mathfrak{t} appropriately ([1, Remark 1.53]).

In [3, Section 2.2], it is shown that $\psi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is even a simultaneous *symplectic* resolution. More precisely, [3, Proposition 1] shows that the Kostant–Kirillov form on \mathfrak{g} induces a nowhere-vanishing and \mathbf{C} -invariant section

$$(28) \quad \hat{\nu} \in H^0(S, K_\sigma)^{\mathbf{C}}$$

for the relative canonical class K_σ of $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$. In particular, $\psi^*\hat{\nu}_t$, $t \in \mathfrak{t}$, is a holomorphic symplectic form on \tilde{S}_t and $\psi_t : \tilde{S}_t \rightarrow S_t$ is a symplectic resolution.

4.2. Construction of threefolds. Fix an irreducible Dynkin diagram $\Delta = \Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}}$ together with a Slodowy slice $S \subset \mathfrak{g}(\Delta)$ with its $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}$ -action. Then we construct families of surfaces over $\mathbf{U} = K_\Sigma \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathfrak{t}/W$ as follows: Let $L \in \text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ be a spin bundle, i.e. $L^2 = K_\Sigma$. By the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariance⁸ of $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$, we obtain a family

$$\sigma_L : \mathcal{S}_L := L \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} S \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$$

of surfaces which are \mathbf{C} -deformations of the Δ_h -singularity. Further let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ be the Hitchin base for the simple adjoint complex Lie group $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$.

⁷Technically, this is a simultaneous alteration (in the sense of de Jong) because we have to pass to a (branched) covering. However, we keep the common term simultaneous resolution in the following.

⁸We emphasize again that here \mathbb{C}^* acts with *twice* the standard weights on \mathfrak{t}/W . With these weights on \mathfrak{t}/W , we have $\mathbf{U} \cong L \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathfrak{t}/W$.

Similarly to [30], [7], we construct a family $\pi_L : \mathcal{X}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ of threefolds via the diagram

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_L & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_L \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_L \\ \Sigma \times \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{ev} & \mathbf{U} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 = pr & & \\ \mathbf{B} & & \end{array}$$

Here the square is cartesian. Letting \mathbf{C} act trivially on \mathbf{U} and $\Sigma \times \mathbf{B}$, all morphisms in the cartesian square of (29) are \mathbf{C} -equivariant. We denote by $\pi_b : X_b \rightarrow \Sigma$ the restriction of π_1 to $\Sigma \times \{b\}$.

Analogously, the simultaneous resolution $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ can be used to construct a *smooth* family $\tilde{\pi}_L : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ of threefolds that depends on the spin bundle L as well. It factorizes over the universal cameral curve by construction,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_L \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{B},$$

$\tilde{\pi}_L$ (curved arrow from $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_L$ to \mathbf{B})

and each restriction $\tilde{\pi}_b : \tilde{X}_b \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_b$ is a simultaneous resolution of $\pi_b : X_b \rightarrow \Sigma$ for $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$.

Theorem 4.2.1. [3, Theorem 2] *Let Δ be an irreducible Dynkin diagram, $S \subset \mathfrak{g}(\Delta)$ a Slodowy slice with simultaneous resolution \tilde{S} and L a spin bundle of Σ . Then $\pi_L : \mathcal{X}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ as well as $\tilde{\pi}_L : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ are algebraic families of quasi-projective Gorenstein threefolds with \mathbf{C} -trivial canonical class. The former is smooth over $\mathbf{B}^\circ \subset \mathbf{B}$ whereas the latter is smooth over all of \mathbf{B} .*

Sketch of proof. For later purposes we briefly indicate the construction of nowhere-vanishing and \mathbf{C} -invariant sections $s_b \in H^0(X_b, K_{X_b})^{\mathbf{C}}$ (equivalently a \mathbf{C} -trivialization of K_{X_b}) and $\tilde{s}_b \in H^0(\tilde{X}_b, K_{\tilde{X}_b})^{\mathbf{C}}$ for $b \in \mathbf{B}$. In [3, Section 3.3.] we construct nowhere-vanishing and \mathbf{C} -invariant sections

$$(30) \quad \mathbf{s} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, K_{\pi_1} \otimes (pr_1 \circ \pi_1)^* K_\Sigma),$$

$$(31) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{s}} \in H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, K_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \otimes (pr_1 \circ p_1)^* K_\Sigma),$$

where $pr_1 : \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}$ is the projection to the first factor. These are glued from the section $\hat{v} \in H^0(S, K_\sigma)^{\mathbf{C}}$, see (28), and its pullback to \tilde{S} respectively. Base change and the adjunction formula imply

$$(K_{\pi_1} \otimes (pr_1 \circ \pi_1)^* K_\Sigma)|_{X_b} \cong K_{\pi_b} \otimes \pi_b^* K_\Sigma \cong K_{X_b}$$

and analogously for π_1 replaced by $\tilde{\pi}_1$. Therefore the restrictions

$$s_b := \mathbf{s}|_{X_b} \in H^0(X_b, K_{X_b}), \quad \tilde{s}_b := \tilde{\mathbf{s}}|_{\tilde{X}_b} \in H^0(\tilde{X}_b, K_{\tilde{X}_b})$$

\mathbf{C} -equivariantly trivialize K_{X_b} and $K_{\tilde{X}_b}$ respectively. □

From now on we fix a spin bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ and drop it from the notation if unnecessary. Note that the restriction $\pi^\circ : \mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$, which is smooth, factors as

$$(32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_1^\circ & & \downarrow \sigma^1 \\ \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ & \xrightarrow{ev} & \mathbf{U}^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_2^\circ & & \\ \mathbf{B}^\circ & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{S}^1 = K_\Sigma \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} S^1$ for $S^1 = \sigma^{-1}(t^1/W)$ as in (26).

4.3. Non-compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems. Let us fix a Slodowy slice $S = x + \ker \text{ad}(y) \subset \mathfrak{g}$, a spin bundle L over Σ and a family $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ as in Theorem 4.2.1. Then the cohomology sheaf

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY} := R^3 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}$$

underlies a graded-polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VMHS

$$\mathbf{V}^{CY} := (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY}, \mathbb{W}_{\bullet}^{CY}, \mathcal{F}_{CY}^\bullet)$$

by [4, Corollary 1.18] since π is quasi-projective and $R^3 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant.

Lemma 4.3.1. *Let $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$. The graded-polarizable \mathbb{Z} -mixed Hodge structure on $H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})$ is up to a Tate twist pure of weight 1 with only possibly non-zero H^{12} and H^{21} .*

Also compare with [7] for the case $\mathbf{C} \neq 1$.

Proof. Consider the Leray spectral sequence for $\pi = \pi_b : X = X_b \rightarrow \Sigma$,

$$(33) \quad H^p(\Sigma, R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $\Sigma^\circ \subsetneq \Sigma$ be the locus of smooth fibers of π . Then $\pi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow \Sigma^\circ$ is topologically locally trivial with fiber (diffeomorphic to) the minimal resolution \tilde{Y} of the Δ -singularity Y . But the latter is homotopic to a bouquet of spheres ([26, Section 4.3]) so that $(R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z})_t = 0$ for $q \neq 0, 2$.

If $t \in F := \Sigma - \Sigma^\circ$, choose a small disc D around t such that $D \cap F = \{t\}$. If D is small enough, we contract $\pi^{-1}(D)$ to the central fiber $Q_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ so that $(R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z})_t = H^q(Q_t, \mathbb{Z})^9$. But Q_t is homeomorphic to \hat{Y} with up to three exceptional curves contracted, see(27). Hence we again conclude $(R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z})_t = 0$ if $q \neq 0, 2$.

Since Σ has cohomological dimension two, it follows that the Leray spectral sequence yields an isomorphism

$$(34) \quad H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}).$$

The local system $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}$ carries a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 2 and Tate type by [1, Lemma 1.81]. If $j : \Sigma^\circ \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the open inclusion, then $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \cong j_* R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $H^1(\Sigma, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z})$ is endowed with Zucker’s \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structure of weight $1 + 2 =$

⁹Note that $\pi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is not proper so that we cannot directly apply base change to conclude the same statement.

3, see Section 3.5. The Leray spectral sequence for π is compatible with the mixed Hodge structures on both sides of 34 ([23, Corollary 14.14])¹⁰. Hence the mixed Hodge structure on $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is pure of weight 3. It can be seen as in the proof of Lemma 3.5.1 that it is effective, i.e. its only (possibly) non-zero are H^{12} and H^{21} . \square

Corollary 4.3.2. *The graded-polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VMHS \mathbf{V}^{CY} is pure of weight 3, i.e. $\mathbb{W}_{\bullet}^{CY} = 0$, and has a second-step Hodge filtration. In particular, it is an admissible VMHS ([29]).*

The upshot is that each intermediate Jacobian

$$J^2(X_b) = H^3(X_b, \mathbb{C}) / (F^2 H^3(X_b, \mathbb{C}) + H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})), \quad b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ,$$

is an abelian variety in contrast to the case of non-rigid compact Calabi-Yau threefolds. Moreover, the intermediate Jacobian fibration

$$J^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ / \mathbf{B}^\circ) := J(\mathbf{V}^{CY}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$$

over \mathbf{B}° is a family of abelian varieties. Here and in the following we often suppress the necessary Tate twist to make \mathbf{V}^{CY} into a VHS of weight 1.

In order to make the relation to BCFG-Hitchin systems, i.e. where the Dynkin diagram of the structure group is of type B_k, C_k, F_4, G_2 (BCFG for short), we need to consider the \mathbf{C} -invariants $(\mathbf{V}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}} \subset \mathbf{V}^{CY}$. This is a polarizable sub- \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 3 which again only has a two-step Hodge filtration. Hence

$$(35) \quad J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) := J((\mathbf{V}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$$

is a family of abelian varieties.

4.4. Period map and abstract Seiberg–Witten differential. The section $\mathbf{s} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, K_{\pi_1} \otimes (\text{pr}_1 \circ \pi_1)^* K_{\Sigma})$ of (30) yields the period map

$$\rho_{\mathbf{s}} : \mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}^{CY}, \quad b \mapsto [\mathbf{s}|_{X_b}]$$

on \mathbf{B}° . By the \mathbf{C} -invariance of \mathbf{s} , it maps to the \mathbf{C} -invariant part of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}^{CY}$.

Proposition 4.4.1. *The period map $\rho_{\mathbf{s}} \in H^0(\mathbf{B}^\circ, (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{O}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}})$ is an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential. In particular, $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ carries the structure of an integrable system called non-compact Calabi–Yau integrable system.*

Proof. We will see a posteriori, see Theorem 5.2.1, that $\rho_{\mathbf{s}}$ is an abstract Seiberg–Witten differential, i.e.

$$T\mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow (F_{CY}^2)^{\mathbf{C}}, \quad v \mapsto \nabla_v \rho_{\mathbf{s}},$$

is an isomorphism. Hence $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ / \mathbf{B}^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ carries the structure of an integrable system by Proposition 2.0.2. \square

¹⁰This result uses the theory of mixed Hodge modules. Note that we cannot apply [31] directly because it treats the case of projective morphisms but π is quasi-projective.

An analogous result holds for the family $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. More precisely, the methods of the proof of Lemma 4.3.1 show that $R^3\tilde{\pi}_*\mathbb{Z}$ carries the structure of a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS which is pure of weight 1 up to a Tate twist. We denote it by

$$(36) \quad \tilde{V}^{CY} = (\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY}, \tilde{F}_{CY}^{\bullet}).$$

Note that we do not have to restrict to \mathbf{B}° because $\tilde{\pi}$ is smooth.

5. ISOMORPHISM WITH THE HITCHIN SYSTEM

As before let $\Delta = \Delta_{h, \mathbf{C}}$ be any irreducible Dynkin diagram¹¹. We denote by $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ the simple adjoint complex Lie group with Dynkin diagram Δ and by \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Let ${}^L S \subset {}^L \mathfrak{g}$ be a Slodowy slice in the Langlands Lie algebra ${}^L \mathfrak{g}$ dual to \mathfrak{g} , i.e. it is a semi-universal deformation of the Δ^{\vee} -singularity. In Section 4.2 we have constructed a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ of quasi-projective Gorenstein threefolds with \mathbf{C} -trivial canonical class from ${}^L S$. As in Section 3.4 we identify $\mathbf{B}(\Sigma, {}^L G) = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ and the corresponding universal cameral curves.

In the previous section, we have seen that the \mathbf{C} -invariant intermediate Jacobian fibration $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^{\circ}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$ carries the structure of an algebraic integrable system. It is determined by the \mathbb{Z} -VHS $(V^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}$ with the abstract Seiberg–Witten differential ρ_s . On the other hand, the Hitchin system $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Higgs}^{\circ}(\Sigma, G_{ad}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$ over \mathbf{B}° is determined by the \mathbb{Z} -VHS V_G with the abstract Seiberg–Witten differential λ , see Proposition 3.6.1.

In this section, we show that

$$(37) \quad ((V^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}, \rho_s) \cong (V_G, \lambda)$$

as \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 with abstract Seiberg–Witten differentials. In particular, this implies that $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^{\circ})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Higgs}^{\circ}(\Sigma, G)$ as smooth algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° by Proposition 2.0.2. The proof of (37) is divided into two steps:

- I) There is an isomorphism $\Psi : V_{G, \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}$ of \mathbb{Z} -local systems.
- II) The isomorphism Ψ respects the Hodge filtrations and $\Psi(\lambda) = \rho_s$.

Remark 5.0.1. a) Note that we use Δ^{\vee} -singularities to realize G -Hitchin systems as non-compact Calabi–Yau integrable systems where $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$. This is not mysterious: In Remark 4.1.1 we have seen that the Δ^{\vee} -singularity yields the cocharacter lattice Λ_G of G via its minimal resolution. In turn Λ_G is a crucial input to obtain the generic Hitchin fibers for G , see Corollary 3.3.2.

- b) The step I) and the first half of II) can be completed in one go by working with mixed Hodge modules. These lift the Leray spectral sequence, essential for step I), to a spectral sequence of variations of mixed Hodge structures. Since it is more technical, we do not further pursue it here but refer to [1, Section 5.2].

5.1. Isomorphism of local systems. We show step I) by using the Leray spectral sequence.

¹¹We exclude the somewhat exceptional case $\Delta = \Delta_h = A_1$ because it has been extensively treated in [6].

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let $\pi^\circ = \pi_2^\circ \circ \pi_1^1 : \mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ be as in (32). Then the Leray spectral sequence degenerates and gives isomorphisms of abelian sheaves*

$$(38) \quad R^3 \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z} \cong R^1 \pi_{2*}^\circ R^2 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z},$$

Moreover, it yields the natural morphism

$$(39) \quad \iota : R^1 \pi_{2*}^\circ ((R^2 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow (R^3 \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Proof. We first consider the case without taking \mathbf{C} -invariants. The Leray spectral sequence for $\pi^\circ = \pi_2^\circ \circ \pi_1^1$ reads as

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p \pi_{2*}^\circ (R^q \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow R^{p+q} \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4.3.1 that $R^q \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z} = 0$ for $q \notin \{0, 2\}$. This implies that $d_2 = 0$ on the E_2 -page. To see that the higher differentials d_r , $r \geq 3$, also vanish, observe that the projection $\pi_2^\circ = pr : \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ is proper. Hence for any sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ$, the stalks of $R^p \pi_{2!}^\circ \mathcal{F} = R^p \pi_{2*}^\circ \mathcal{F}$ are given by

$$R^p \pi_{2*}^\circ \mathcal{F}_b \cong H^p(\Sigma, i_b^* \mathcal{F}).$$

But the cohomological dimension of Σ is 2, so that $R^p \pi_{2*}^\circ \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $p > 2$. This not only implies $d_r = 0$ for $r \geq 3$ but also $R^3 \pi_{2*}^\circ (R^0 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence the Leray spectral sequence degenerates and

$$R^3 \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z} \cong R^1 \pi_{2*}^\circ R^2 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z}.$$

The morphism (39) is induced by the inclusion $(R^2 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \hookrightarrow R^2 \pi_{2*}^\circ \mathbb{Z}$ together with the compatibility of the Leray spectral sequence with the \mathbf{C} -action. \square

The next proposition shows that the natural morphism (39) is an isomorphism in the case $\mathbf{C} \neq 1$ as well. It is non-trivial because cohomology does not commute with taking invariants in general.

Proposition 5.1.2. *The natural morphism*

$$\iota : R^1 \pi_{2*}^\circ ((R^2 \pi_{1*}^1 \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow (R^3 \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}$$

of Lemma 5.1.1 is an isomorphism.

The proof is postponed to Section 5.3. Together with the next lemma it establishes the link to the VHS V_G determined by the Hitchin system.

Lemma 5.1.3. *Let $\tilde{U}^1 \subset \tilde{U}$ and $U^1 \subset U$ be as in (8), (9) and $\mathcal{S}^1 := \sigma^{-1}(U^1) \subset \mathcal{S}$. Further denote by $\mathbf{q}^1 : \tilde{U}^1 \rightarrow U^1$ and $\sigma^1 : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow U^1$ the restrictions of \mathbf{q} and σ respectively. Then there are isomorphisms of constructible sheaves*

$$(40) \quad R^2 \sigma_*^1 \mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbf{q}_*^1 \Lambda_h)^W, \quad (R^2 \sigma_*^1 \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong (\mathbf{q}_*^1 \Lambda)^W$$

Here $\Lambda_h = \Lambda_{G_h}$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda_G = \Lambda_{G_h}^{\mathbf{C}}$ for the simple adjoint complex Lie group $G_h = G_{ad}(\Delta_h)$ and $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ with Dynkin diagram Δ_h and $\Delta = \Delta_{h, \mathbf{C}}$ respectively.

Proof. First of all we consider the fiberwise case, i.e. $\sigma^1 : S^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^1/W$ and $\mathbf{q}^1 : \mathfrak{t}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^1/W$. The monodromy group of the Δ -singularity $((\sigma_1^{-1}(\bar{0}, x), \mathbf{C}))$ coincides with the

Weyl group $W(\Delta)$, see [26, Section 4.3]. This group is the monodromy group of the local system $R^2\sigma_*\mathbb{Z}$. The stalk of this local system is Λ_h so that

$$R^2\sigma_*\mathbb{Z} \cong (q_*\Lambda_h)^W.$$

Since the W -action commutes with the \mathbf{C} -action and $\Lambda_h^{\mathbf{C}} = \Lambda$, see (25), we obtain the second isomorphism in (40) over \mathfrak{t}°/W .

To obtain the isomorphism over \mathfrak{t}^1/W , let $j : \mathfrak{t}^\circ/W \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^1/W$ be the open inclusion. Using the fact that the complement of \mathfrak{t}°/W in \mathfrak{t}^1/W is smooth, it is not difficult to check that the adjunction morphism

$$a : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{F}$$

is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{F} = R^2\sigma_*\mathbb{Z}$ or $(q_*\Lambda_h)^W$ (see [1], Chapter 1.6).

For the global case, note that Σ is covered by open subsets $D \subset \Sigma$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}|_D & \xrightarrow{\cong} & D \times S \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow id \times \sigma \\ \mathcal{U}|_D & \xrightarrow{\cong} & D \times \mathfrak{t}/W \\ \mathbf{q} \uparrow & & \uparrow id \times \mathbf{q} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{U}}|_D & \xrightarrow{\cong} & D \times \mathfrak{t}. \end{array}$$

The fiberwise considerations imply

$$(41) \quad R^2(id \times \sigma^\circ)_*\mathbb{Z} \cong ((id \times q^\circ)_*\Lambda_G)^W.$$

These are local models for $R^2\sigma_*\mathbb{Z}$ and $(q_*\Lambda)^W$ respectively (over $\mathcal{U}|_D$). Since $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathbf{q} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ are glued with the same cocycle (which is uniquely determined by the spin bundle L), the isomorphism (41) glues to an isomorphism

$$R^2\sigma_*\mathbb{Z} \cong (q_*\Lambda_h)^W.$$

By pushing forward with $j : \mathcal{U}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^1$ and arguing as in the local case gives (40). \square

Theorem 5.1.4. *The isomorphisms of Lemma (5.1.1), Proposition and Lemma 5.1.3 combine to give an isomorphism*

$$(42) \quad \Psi : (\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{G,\mathbb{Z}}$$

of \mathbb{Z} -local systems over \mathbf{B}° .

Proof. Since $\pi_1^1 : \mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ$ and $\mathbf{p}_1^1 : \tilde{\Sigma}^\circ \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbf{B}^\circ$ is obtained as the pullback of $\sigma^1 : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^1$ and $\mathbf{q}^1 : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^1$ respectively, Lemma 5.1.3 implies

$$R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbf{p}_*\Lambda_h)^W, \quad (R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong (\mathbf{p}_*\Lambda)^W$$

as constructible sheaves. Hence Lemma 5.1.1 yields the morphism

$$(43) \quad \Psi^{-1} : \mathbb{V}_{G,\mathbb{Z}} = R^1\mathbf{p}_{2*}(\mathbf{p}_*\Lambda)^W \xrightarrow{\cong} R^1\pi_{2*}^\circ(R^2\pi_{1*}\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \xrightarrow{\iota} (R^3\pi_*\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} = (\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}$$

Here ι is the morphism (39) which is an isomorphism by Proposition 5.1.2. \square

The isomorphism Ψ induces the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{cochar}(J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(X_b)) = H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda) = \mathrm{cochar}(P_G(b))$$

of cocharacter lattices for every $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$, in particular an isomorphism of real tori. We next show that it is even an isomorphism of abelian varieties.

5.2. Isomorphism of algebraic integrable systems. We next show that the isomorphism $\Psi : (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{G, \mathbb{Z}}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 which intertwines the abstract Seiberg–Witten differentials. By Proposition 2.0.2 it induces an isomorphism of algebraic integrable systems.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let G be a simple adjoint complex Lie group. The isomorphism $\Psi : (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{G, \mathbb{Z}}$ of Theorem 5.1.4 is an isomorphism of polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 1 (up to a Tate twist) such that*

$$(44) \quad \Psi(\rho_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \lambda.$$

In particular, we obtain an isomorphism

$$J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$$

of algebraic integrable systems over $\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$.

Remark 5.2.2. In [3] we consider the family of orbifold stacks $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{C}] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ associated with $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and its \mathbf{C} -action. Moreover, we construct the family

$$J^2([\mathcal{X}^\circ/\mathbf{C}]) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$$

of orbifold intermediate Jacobian in terms of the group cohomologies $H_{\mathbf{C}}^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$ and show that $J^2([\mathcal{X}^\circ/\mathbf{C}]) \cong J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ)$ over \mathbf{B}° ([3, Theorem 6]). In this way \mathbf{C} -invariants are already ‘built in’.

Proof. For each $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$, the isomorphism Ψ_b is the composition

$$(45) \quad H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, R^2 \pi_{b,*} \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda).$$

These isomorphisms are isomorphisms of Hodge structures if the middle and right-hand term are endowed with Zucker’s Hodge structure, cf. the proof of Lemma 4.3.1. But this Hodge structure on $H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda)$ coincides with $\mathbf{V}_{G, b}$ by Proposition 3.5.2. Hence Ψ_b is an isomorphism of Hodge structures.

Since Ψ is an isomorphism of local systems, Ψ_b is in particular compatible with the monodromy action of $\pi_1(\mathbf{B}^\circ, b)$. Therefore the Rigidity Theorem for VHS ([24, Theorem 7.24]) implies that Ψ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -VHS.

We next prove (44). Observe that $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY}$ of (36) is given by

$$(46) \quad R^3 \tilde{\pi}_* \mathbb{C} \cong R^1 \mathbf{p}_* R^2 \tilde{\pi}_{1,*} \mathbb{C} \cong R^1 \mathbf{p}_* \mathfrak{t}_h.$$

The first isomorphism follows from the Leray spectral sequence as in Lemma 4.3.1. The second one is a consequence of the fact that $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ is C^∞ -trivial ([26, Section 4.2]) and $H^2(\tilde{S}_t, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{t}_h$. The section $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \in H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, K_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \otimes (\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{p}_1)^* K_\Sigma)$ from (31) is \mathbf{C} -invariant and induces the period map

$$\rho_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}} : \mathbf{B}^\circ \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY})^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

It is related to $\rho_{\mathbf{s}}$ as follows: The natural map $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ induces a \mathbf{C} -equivariant morphism

$$(47) \quad \Phi^* : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY} \cong R^1 \mathbf{p}_*^{\circ} \mathfrak{t}.$$

It coincides with the natural inclusion $(R^1 \mathbf{p}_*^{\circ} \mathfrak{t})^W \hookrightarrow R^1 \mathbf{p}_*^{\circ} \mathfrak{t}$ under the isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY} \cong (R^1 \mathbf{p}_*^{\circ} \mathfrak{t})^W$. The last isomorphism in (47) is a direct consequence of the fiberwise natural isomorphism $H^1(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, \mathfrak{t}_h)^{\mathbf{C}} \cong H^1(\tilde{\Sigma}_b, \mathfrak{t})$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$.

From the construction of \mathbf{s} and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$, it follows that $\Phi^* \circ \rho_{\mathbf{s}} = \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}$ (after tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{B}^{\circ}}$). Then the equality

$$\rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} = \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in H^0(\mathbf{B}^{\circ}, \mathbf{V}_G)$$

holds which makes sense because $\mathbf{V}_{G, \mathcal{O}} \subset R^1 \mathbf{p}_* \mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{B}^{\circ}}$. This equality follows from the construction of the Leray spectral sequence for the composition $\tilde{\pi} = \mathbf{p} \circ \tilde{\pi}_1$ of *submersions* (cf. [15, Chapter 3.5]) and the fact that both $\rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are obtained by a base change from the tautological section $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \tilde{u}^* \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. Translating back to \mathbf{V}^{CY} gives $\Psi(\rho_{\mathbf{s}}) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. \square

5.3. Proof of Proposition 5.1.2. In this section we prove that the natural morphism

$$\iota : R^1 \pi_{2*}^{\circ} ((R^2 \pi_{1*}^{\circ} \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow (R^3 \pi_*^{\circ} \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}},$$

see (39), is an isomorphism. Let $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$ and denote $\pi := \pi_b : X_b \rightarrow \Sigma$ as well as

$$\mathcal{F} := R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \cong (p_{b,*}^W \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_h),$$

see Lemma 5.1.3. Then the map ι_b induced by ι between the stalks at $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$ is the natural morphism

$$\iota_b : H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{C}}) \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

By abuse of notation, we denote the natural morphism $H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})^{\mathbf{C}}$ by ι_b as well. Hence it remains to show that ι_b is an isomorphism for each $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\circ}$.

In order to do so, we explicitly compute $H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$: Let $j : \Sigma^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ be the open inclusion of the smooth locus of $\pi : X_b \rightarrow B$. Then $\mathcal{F} = j_* \mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ for the local system

$$\mathcal{F}^{\circ} = R^2 \pi_*^{\circ} \mathbb{Z} \cong (p_{b,*}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_h)^W$$

with finite monodromy. This explains the relevance of the following two results.

Lemma 5.3.1. *Let $j : \Sigma^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ be the complement of finitely many points in a compact Riemann surface Σ and \mathcal{L} a local system on Σ° . Then the following holds*

$$H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathcal{L}) \cong \ker[H^1(\Sigma^{\circ}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, R^1 j_* \mathcal{L})]$$

Proof. The first isomorphism is a consequence of the five-term exact sequence coming from the Leray spectral for the open inclusion $j : \Sigma^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$. Its first three (non-trivial) terms are given by

$$(48) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma^{\circ}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^0(\Sigma, R^1 j_* \mathcal{L})$$

yielding the first description. \square

Remark 5.3.2. Clearly, $R^1 j_* \mathcal{L}$ is a skyscraper sheaf supported on $Br = \Sigma - \Sigma^\circ$. If $D_j \subset \Sigma$ is a small disc around $b_j \in Br$, then a local computation shows that $H^1(D_j, \mathcal{L}) = L_{\rho_j}$ are the coinvariants in L . Taking the limit over all such discs yields

$$R^1 j_* \mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^m (R^1 j_* \mathcal{L})_{y_k} \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^m L_{\rho_k}.$$

The morphism $\beta : H^1(\Sigma^\circ, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_k L_{\rho_k}$ in (48) associates to a class its values at the stalks. In particular, β is \mathbf{C} -equivariant so that the \mathbf{C} -action on $H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathcal{L}) = \ker \beta$ is induced by the one on $H^1(\Sigma^\circ, \mathcal{L})$.

Hence as a first step to compute $H^1(\Sigma, j_* \mathcal{L})$ for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}^\circ$ we have to determine $H^1(\Sigma^\circ, \mathcal{L})$. Let

$$\Sigma - \Sigma^\circ = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma$$

be the closed embedding. As in [13] it is convenient to add an extra point y_0 to Br . To give a presentation of $\pi_1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathfrak{o})$ for a base point \mathfrak{o} , choose an arc system $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2g}, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2g}$ give generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{o})$ and γ_j are homotopic to loops around \mathfrak{o} . Then we have the well-known presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathfrak{o}) &= \left\langle \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2g}, \gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_m \mid \gamma_0 = \prod_{i=1}^g [\delta_i, \delta_{i+g}] \prod_{j=0}^m \gamma_j \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2g}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

We now fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_\circ \cong L$ once and for all and denote by $\rho_i = \text{mon}(\gamma_i)$, $w_j = \text{mon}(\delta_j) \in \text{Aut}(L)$ the monodromy transformation corresponding to γ_i and δ_j respectively. Note that $\rho_0 = \text{mon}(\gamma_0) = \text{id}_L$.

The next proposition is a generalization of Proposition 6.5 in [13] where the case $\mathcal{L} = (p_*^\circ \mathbf{\Lambda})^W$ is discussed. Its proof is omitted because it works similarly as the one in loc. cit. We need a more general statement because we work with $R^2 \pi_*^\circ \mathbb{Z} \cong (p_*^\circ \mathbf{\Lambda}_h)^W$, i.e. W acts on the larger cocharacter lattice $\mathbf{\Lambda}_h = \mathbf{\Lambda}_{G_h}$ for $G_h = G_{ad}(\Delta_h)$ not just $\mathbf{\Lambda}_G = \mathbf{\Lambda}_h^\mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 5.3.3. *Let $p : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a smooth cameral curve. Further let \mathcal{L} be a local system over Σ° with stalk $L \cong \mathcal{L}_\circ$ and assume $(p^\circ)^* \mathcal{L} \cong L_{\tilde{\Sigma}^\circ}$. Then there is a non-canonical isomorphism*

$$H^1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathcal{L}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, L) \oplus \frac{L^m}{(1 - \rho_1, \dots, 1 - \rho_m)L}.$$

With these results at hand, we finish the proof of Proposition 5.1.2:

End of proof of Proposition 5.1.2. Lemma 5.3.1 and Remark 5.3.2 imply that it is sufficient to show that the map

$$H^1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathcal{L}^\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathcal{L})^\mathbf{C} \subset H^1(\Sigma^\circ - \{y_0\}, \mathcal{L}),$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{L}^\mathbf{C} = (j^* \mathcal{F})^\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} = j^* \mathcal{F}$, is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{F} = R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \cong (p_* \mathbf{\Lambda}_h)^W$. By Proposition 5.3.3 this amounts to showing that the natural

map
(49)

$$\tau : H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{\Lambda}) \oplus \frac{\mathbf{\Lambda}^m}{(1 - \rho_1, \dots, 1 - \rho_m)\mathbf{\Lambda}} \longrightarrow \left(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{\Lambda}_h) \oplus \frac{\mathbf{\Lambda}_h^m}{(1 - \rho_1, \dots, 1 - \rho_m)\mathbf{\Lambda}_h} \right)^{\mathbf{C}}$$

is an isomorphism. Here we have fixed isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_o \cong \mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ and $\mathcal{L}_o^{\mathbf{C}} \cong \mathbf{\Lambda}$ as before. Since $p_b : \tilde{\Sigma}_b \rightarrow \Sigma$ has only simple ramification, $\rho_j = s_{\alpha_j} \in W$ for roots α_j . Of course, τ preserves the respective first factors in (49) giving an isomorphism

$$H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{\Lambda}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{\Lambda}_h)^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

So it remains to check the second factors. For injectivity, assume $\tau([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]) = 0$. This happens iff there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ such that

$$\lambda_i = (1 - \rho_i)\mu = \langle \alpha_i, \mu \rangle \alpha_i^{\vee} \in \mathbf{\Lambda} \subset \mathbf{\Lambda}_h, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

We have to exclude the case that $\langle \alpha_i, \mu \rangle \notin \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{\Lambda} \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$. However, this is impossible because $1 \in \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{\Lambda} \rangle$, since G is adjoint. Hence $\tau([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]) = 0$ implies $[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]$.

For the surjectivity of τ , assume $[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]_h \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_h^m / (1 - \rho_1, \dots, 1 - \rho_m)\mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]_h &= [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]_h \\ \Leftrightarrow c \cdot \lambda_i - \lambda_i &= \langle \alpha_i, \mu \rangle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ and all $c \in \mathbf{C}$. For the moment assume $\mathbf{C} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then using $\alpha_i^{\vee} \in \mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_h^{\mathbf{C}}$ we have

$$\sigma \cdot (\sigma \cdot \lambda_i - \lambda_i) = \lambda_i - \sigma \cdot \lambda_i = \sigma \cdot \lambda_i - \lambda_i \Leftrightarrow 2(\sigma \cdot \lambda_i - \lambda_i) = 0.$$

Hence $\lambda_i = \sigma \cdot \lambda_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ so that $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_h^{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$. In other words, $[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]_h$ is in the image of τ . The case $\mathbf{C} = S_3$ works similarly by taking generators of order 2 and 3. Therefore τ is an isomorphism in all cases so that we obtain an isomorphism $H^1(\Sigma, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}} \cong H^1(\Sigma, (p_*\mathbf{\Lambda})^W)$ and hence $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(X_b) \cong P_b$ as real tori.

The functoriality of Zucker's Hodge structure applied to the inclusion $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ (of polarized \mathbb{Z} -VHS of weight 2 over Σ°) implies that the induced monomorphism from above,

$$H^1(\Sigma, (j_*\mathcal{L})^{\mathbf{C}}) \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, j_*\mathcal{L})^{\mathbf{C}}$$

is a monomorphism of polarized \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structures of weight $2 + 1 = 3$. Therefore

$$H^1(\Sigma, (p_*\mathbf{\Lambda})^W) \cong H^1(\Sigma, (R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{C}}$$

as polarizable \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structures of weight 1 showing that $J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(X_b) \cong P_b$ as abelian varieties. \square

6. POINCARÉ-VERDIER AND LANGLANDS DUALITY

Langlands duality of Hitchin systems ([13]) states, among other things, that every G -Hitchin system is the dual torus fibration of the corresponding ${}^L G$ -Hitchin system over the smooth locus, cf. Corollary 3.4.3. In this section, we show that this statement is equivalent to Poincaré-Verdier duality for the families of quasi-projective Calabi-Yau threefolds of Theorem 4.2.1 if the simple adjoint complex Lie group $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ does

not have Dynkin diagram $\Delta = B_k$. Since many of the arguments work analogously as in Section 5, we are brief and omit details.

6.1. Homology intermediate Jacobian. As before let $\Delta = \Delta_{h,\mathbf{C}}$ be any irreducible Dynkin diagram and $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ the corresponding simple adjoint complex Lie group. Further let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\Sigma, G)$ be a family of Gorenstein threefolds with \mathbf{C} -trivial canonical class constructed from a Δ^\vee -singularity, see Theorem 4.2.1. If $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ$, then Poincaré duality implies

$$(50) \quad (H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Q}))^\vee := \text{Hom}_{MHS}(H^3(X_b, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}(-3)) \cong H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{Q})$$

as mixed Hodge structures. By Lemma 4.3.1, the mixed Hodge structure on $H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})$ is a Tate twist of a pure Hodge structure of weight 1. Hence the \mathbf{C} -coinvariant homology intermediate Jacobian $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b)$

$$(51) \quad J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b) := H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{C}} / (F^2 H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{C}} + H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathbf{C}, \text{tf}})$$

is an abelian variety. The natural map

$$(52) \quad J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b) \rightarrow J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(X_b)^\vee$$

induced by (50) is an isogeny. To obtain a relative statement, observe that π° induces a variation of mixed Hodge structures $\mathbf{V}_{CY}^{\mathbf{C}}$ with underlying local system

$$\mathbf{V}_{CY, \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbf{C}} = (R^3 \pi_!^\circ \mathbb{Z})_{\mathbf{C}, \text{tf}}.$$

It is pure of weight 1 (up to a Tate twist). Poincaré-Verdier duality applied to π° gives the isogeny

$$(53) \quad j : J(\mathbf{V}_{CY}^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow J(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY})^\vee$$

of families of abelian varieties over \mathbf{B}° , globalizing (52). In particular, $\mathbf{V}_{CY}^{\mathbf{C}}$ and $(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}^{CY})^\vee$ are isogenous to each other. Hence

$$J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) := J(\mathbf{V}_{CY}^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$$

carries the structure of an algebraic integrable system which is governed by the abstract Seiberg–Witten differential ρ_s , see Section 4.4.

6.2. Relation to Langlands duality. For the next statement, recall that we introduced the \mathbb{Z} -VHS \mathbf{V}_G° for any simple complex Lie group in (23). If $G = G_{ad}$, then $J(\mathbf{V}_G^\circ) \cong \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ as algebraic integrable systems. If $G = G_{sc}$, then $J(\mathbf{V}_G^\circ)$ is not in general the G -Hitchin system. However, we next show the relation to non-compact homology Calabi–Yau integrable systems and Langlands duality.

Theorem 6.2.1. *Let $G = G_{ad}$ be a simple adjoint complex Lie group. The Leray spectral sequence induces the isomorphism*

$$(54) \quad J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \cong J(\mathbf{V}_{LG}^\circ)$$

of algebraic integrable systems over $\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$. In particular, the morphism $j : J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \rightarrow J_{\mathbf{C}}^2(\mathcal{X}^\circ)^\vee$ is an isomorphism of algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° if the Dynkin diagram Δ of G is not of type B_k . In these cases we further obtain the isomorphism

$$(55) \quad J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G)$$

of algebraic integrable systems over \mathbf{B}° .

Proof. We focus on the fiberwise isomorphism. The global isomorphism works as in Section 5. The Leray spectral sequence for compactly supported cohomology, the analogue of Lemma 5.1.3 together with (24) give the isomorphism

$$(56) \quad H_c^3(X_b, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_{G_h}^\vee).$$

Recall that the \mathbf{C} -coinvariants satisfy $\Lambda_{G_h, \mathbf{C}}^\vee \cong \Lambda_G^\vee = \Lambda_{LG}$. As in the case of \mathbf{C} -invariants, see Section 5.3, one proves that

$$H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_{G_h, \mathbf{C}}^\vee) \cong H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_{G_h, \mathbf{C}}^\vee) = H^1(\Sigma, p_{b,*}^W \Lambda_{LG}).$$

In particular, $\text{cochar}(J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b)) \cong \text{cochar}(P_{LG}^\circ(b))$ and as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1 it follows that $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b) \cong P_{LG}^\circ$ as abelian varieties.

For the last two statements, recall that $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b) \cong P_G(b)$ and $P_{LG}(b) = P_{LG}^\circ(b)$ if $\Delta \neq \mathbf{B}_k$, see (17). In these cases, the morphism $j_b : J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b) \rightarrow J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(X_b)^\vee$ coincides with the canonical isomorphism $P_G(b) \cong P_{LG}^\circ$ of Corollary 3.4.3. \square

Remark 6.2.2. In particular, (the smooth locus of) every G -Hitchin system, where G is a simple adjoint or simply-connected complex Lie group, is isomorphic to a non-compact cohomology or homology Calabi–Yau integrable system except for $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{C})$. In this case the Hitchin fiber $P_G(b)$, $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$, is $\overline{P}_G(b) \neq P_G^\circ(b)$, see Proposition 3.4.1. However, the algebraic integrable system $J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$ gives a geometric meaning to the fibers $P_G^\circ(b)$ for $G = Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$.

If $G = G_{ad}(\Delta)$ is the simple adjoint complex Lie group G with Dynkin diagram Δ , the previous theorem and Theorem 5.2.1 yield the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, {}^L G) \\ \downarrow j|_2 & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ J_2^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}^\circ)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{Higgs}^\circ(\Sigma, G)^\vee \end{array}$$

of algebraic integrable systems over $\mathbf{B}^\circ(\Sigma, G)$. Here \sim stands for isogenous algebraic integrable systems. If $\Delta \neq \mathbf{B}_k$, then these arrows are isomorphisms. In that sense, Poincaré–Verdier duality applied to the family $\mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\circ$ recovers the Langlands duality statement of Corollary 3.6.2.

APPENDIX A. FOLDING

Let Δ be an irreducible Dynkin diagram. We define the associated symmetry group of Δ by

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{C} := \mathbf{C}(\Delta) := \begin{cases} 1, & \Delta \text{ is of type ADE,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & \Delta \text{ is of type } \mathbf{B}_k, \mathbf{C}_k, \mathbf{F}_4, \\ S_3, & \Delta \text{ is of type } \mathbf{G}_2, \end{cases}$$

for $k \geq 2$. There is a unique irreducible ADE-Dynkin diagram Δ_h with $\mathbf{C} \subset \text{Aut}(\Delta_h)$ and $\Delta = \Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}}$. Here $\Delta_h^{\mathbf{C}}$ stands for the Dynkin diagram which is obtained by taking

\mathbf{C} -invariants in the root space associated with Δ_h . Dually, taking \mathbf{C} -coinvariants gives the Dynkin diagram $\Delta^\vee = \Delta_{h,\mathbf{C}}$. Both procedures are called *folding*, cf. Figure 1.

On the level of simple complex Lie groups, folding goes as follows ([28, Chapter 10.3.]): Let G_h be a simple complex Lie group with character and cocharacter lattice $\Lambda_{G_h}^\vee \subset \text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}_h}$ and $\Lambda_{G_h} \subset \text{coweight}_{\mathfrak{g}_h}$ respectively. The subgroup $\mathbf{C} \subset \text{Aut}(\Delta_h)$ yields the outer automorphism group $\mathbf{C} \subset \text{Aut}(G_h)$. Then the folded group $G := (G_h^\mathbf{C})^\circ$, i.e. the connected component of the fixed point group $G_h^\mathbf{C}$, is uniquely determined by the character and cocharacter lattice

$$\Lambda_G^\vee = \Lambda_{G_h,\mathbf{C}}, \quad \Lambda_G = \Lambda_{G_h}^\mathbf{C}.$$

Note that the Dynkin diagram of Λ_G^\vee , hence the one of G , is $\Delta^\vee = \Delta_{h,\mathbf{C}}$ whereas Λ_G has Dynkin diagram $\Delta = \Delta_h^\mathbf{C}$.

For convenience, we summarize how all irreducible Dynkin diagram of type B_k , C_k , F_4 , G_2 (BCFG-*Dynkin diagrams for short*) are obtained via folding:

Δ_h	\mathbf{C}	$\Delta^\vee = \Delta_{h,\mathbf{C}}$	$\Delta = \Delta_h^\mathbf{C}$
A_{2k-1}	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	C_k	B_k
D_{k+1}	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	B_k	C_k
E_6	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	F_4	F_4
D_4	S_3	G_2	G_2

REFERENCES

- [1] Florian Beck. Hitchin and Calabi-Yau integrable systems. PhD thesis, Albrecht-Ludwigs Universität Freiburg, 2016, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6094/UNIFR/11668>, 2016.
- [2] Florian Beck. Aspects of Calabi-Yau integrable and Hitchin systems, 2018. To appear in the *SIGMA* special issue on *Geometry and Physics of Hitchin systems*. (arXiv:1809.05736).
- [3] Florian Beck. Calabi-Yau orbifolds over Hitchin bases. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 136:14–30, 2019. (arXiv:1807.05134).
- [4] Patrick Brosnan and Fouad El Zein. Variations of mixed Hodge structure. In *Hodge theory*, volume 49 of *Math. Notes*, pages 333–409. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [5] James A. Carlson. Extensions of mixed Hodge structures. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pages 107–127. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.
- [6] D.-E. Diaconescu, R. Dijkgraaf, R. Donagi, C. Hofman, and T. Pantev. Geometric transitions and integrable systems. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 752(3):329–390, 2006.
- [7] D.-E. Diaconescu, R. Donagi, and T. Pantev. Intermediate Jacobians and ADE Hitchin systems. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 14(5):745–756, 2007.
- [8] R. Donagi. Decomposition of spectral covers. *Astérisque*, (218):145–175, 1993. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992).
- [9] R. Donagi. Spectral covers. In *Current topics in complex algebraic geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1992/93)*, volume 28 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 65–86. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] R. Donagi. Seiberg-Witten integrable systems. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 3–43. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [11] R. Donagi and D. Gaitsgory. The gerbe of Higgs bundles. *Transform. Groups*, 7(2):109–153, 2002.
- [12] R. Donagi and E. Markman. Cubics, integrable systems, and Calabi-Yau threefolds. In *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993)*, volume 9 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 199–221. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.

- [13] R. Donagi and T. Pantev. Langlands duality for Hitchin systems. *Invent. Math.*, 189(3):653–735, 2012.
- [14] Gerd Faltings. Stable G -bundles and projective connections. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 2(3):507–568, 1993.
- [15] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.
- [16] Alexander Grothendieck. Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 9:119–221, 1957.
- [17] Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg. *Symplectic techniques in physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1990.
- [18] Tamás Hausel and Michael Thaddeus. Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system. *Invent. Math.*, 153(1):197–229, 2003.
- [19] Claus Hertling, Luuk Hoevenaars, and Hessel Posthuma. Frobenius manifolds, projective special geometry and Hitchin systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 649:117–165, 2010.
- [20] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [21] N. J. Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [22] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Wall-crossing structures in Donaldson–Thomas invariants, integrable systems and mirror symmetry. In *Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry*, volume 15 of *Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital.*, pages 197–308. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [23] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge structures*, volume 52 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [24] Wilfried Schmid. Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping. *Invent. Math.*, 22:211–319, 1973.
- [25] Renata Scognamillo. An elementary approach to the abelianization of the Hitchin system for arbitrary reductive groups. *Compositio Math.*, 110(1):17–37, 1998.
- [26] Peter Slodowy. *Four lectures on simple groups and singularities*, volume 11 of *Communications of the Mathematical Institute, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht*. Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht, Mathematical Institute, Utrecht, 1980.
- [27] Peter Slodowy. *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, volume 815 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [28] T. A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2009.
- [29] Joseph Steenbrink and Steven Zucker. Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I. *Invent. Math.*, 80(3):489–542, 1985.
- [30] Balázs Szendrői. Artin group actions on derived categories of threefolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572:139–166, 2004.
- [31] Steven Zucker. Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. L_2 cohomology in the Poincaré metric. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(3):415–476, 1979.

FB MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, BUNDESSTRASSE 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: florian.beck@uni-hamburg.de