

Rank-metric LCD codes

Xiusheng Liu, Hualu Liu

School of Mathematics and Physics
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the rank-metric codes which are proposed by Delsarte and Gabidulin to be complementary dual codes. We point out the relationship between Delsarte complementary dual codes and Gabidulin complementary dual codes. In finite field \mathbb{F}_q^m , we construct two classes of Gabidulin LCD MRD codes by self-dual basis (or almost self-dual basis) of \mathbb{F}_q^m over \mathbb{F}_q . Under a suitable condition, we determine a sufficient condition for Delsarte optimal anticodes to be LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

Keywords Delsarte LCD codes · Gabidulin LCD codes · MRD codes · self-dual basis

Mathematic Classifications Subject 15A03·15A99·15B99

1 Introduction

Linear complementary dual codes (which is abbreviated to LCD codes) are linear codes that meet their dual trivially. These codes were introduced by Massey in [18] and showed that asymptotically good LCD codes exist, and provided an optimum linear coding solution for the two-user binary adder channel. They are also used in counter measure to passive and active side channel analyses on embedded crypto-systems [2]. Guenda, Jitman and Gulliver investigated an application of LCD codes in constructing good entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes [10].

Yang and Massey in [24] showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code of length n over finite fields to be an LCD code is that the generator polynomial $g(x)$ is self-reciprocal and all the monic irreducible factors of $g(x)$ have the same multiplicity in $g(x)$ as in $x^n - 1$. In [22], Sendrier indicated that LCD codes meet the asymptotic Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Esmaeili and

Email address: lxs6682@163.com (Xiusheng Liu); hwlulu@aliyun.com (Hualu Liu).

Yari in [7] studied LCD quasi-cyclic codes. Necessary and sufficient conditions for certain classes of quasi-cyclic codes to be LCD codes were obtained [7]. Dougherty et al. developed a linear programming bound on the largest size of an LCD code of given length and minimum distance [6]. The parameters of several classes of LCD BCH codes were explicitly determined in [14],[15],[16]. In addition, Boonniyoma and Jitman gave a study on linear codes with Hermitian complementary dual [1], and we also in [17] studied LCD codes over finite chain rings. In recently, Jin [11] constructed several classes of LCD MDS codes by using two classes of disjoint GRS codes. The existence question about LCD MDS codes over a finite field of even characteristic has been completely addressed in [11]. Base on [11], Carlet et al. [3] completely addressed LCD MDS codes over any finite field. Some other constructions of LCD MDS codes are known (See [4],[20],[23],[27]).

Rank-metric codes were first introduced in coding theory by Delsarte in [5]. They are sets of matrices of fixed size, endowed with the rank distance. Rank-metric codes have cryptography applications and applications in tape recording. Recently it was shown how to employ them for error correct in coherent linear network coding([13],[25],[26]). Due to these applications, there is a steady stream of work that focuses on general properties of codes with rank-metric.

An MRD code is a rank-metric code which is maximal in size given the minimum distance, in other words it achieves the Singleton bound for the rank-metric distance. Delsarte [5] and independently Gabidulin [8] showed that MRD codes only exist if the size of the matrix divides the dimension of the code. More precisely, for $n \times m$ matrices with $n \leq m$, m must divide the dimension of the code. According to practical applications of LCD and rank-metric codes, we study rank-metric LCD codes in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. The necessary background materials of LCD and Delsarte rank-metric codes are given in Section 2. In Section 3, we investigate the relationship between Delsarte LCD codes and Gabidulin LCD codes. In Section 4, we construct two classes of Gabidulin LCD MRD codes by self-dual basis (or almost self-dual basis) of the finite field \mathbb{F}_q^m over base field \mathbb{F}_q . In Section 5, we provide a sufficient condition of Delsarte optimal anticodes to be LCD codes under a suitable condition, Finally, in Section 6, a brief summary of our work is described.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic concepts and results of LCD and Delsarte rank-metric codes, necessary for the development of this work. For more details, we refer to [18] and [5].

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{F}_q the finite base field with cardinality $|\mathbb{F}_q| = q = p^e$ and let \mathbb{F}_{q^m} be an extension field of degree m with respect to base field \mathbb{F}_q , where p is a prime number and e, m are positive integers. It is

well-known that \mathbb{F}_{q^m} is isomorphic (as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q) to the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^m .

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space of $n \times m$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_q . The transpose of A is A^T , while $\text{rk}(A)$ denotes the rank of A . For any $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$, we write $\text{Tra}(A)$ for the trace of A , and $A_i = (a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni})^T$ for the i -th column of A . The vector space generated by the columns of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is denoted by $\text{colsp}(A) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$.

One easily obtains the isomorphic description of matrices over the base field \mathbb{F}_q as vector space over the extension field, i.e., $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$.

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$. In [5], Delsarte introduced a kind of inner product, called trace inner product, as follows:

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tra}(AB^T).$$

It is easy to check that $\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m [A_i, B_i]$, where $[,]$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{F}_q^n .

A Delsarte code of size $n \times m$ over \mathbb{F}_q is \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$. The minimum rank of a non-zero code C is denoted and defined by $d_r = \text{minrk}(C) = \min\{\text{rk}(A) : A \in C, \text{rk}(A) > 0\}$, while the maximum rank of any code C is denoted and defined by $\text{maxrk}(C) = \max\{\text{rk}(A) : A \in C\}$. The dual of C is the Delsarte code $C^\perp = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m} : \langle A, B \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } A \in C\}$.

From the fact that trace inner product is nondegenerate, it follows immediately that $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C^\perp = mn$.

The following theorem, was first proved by Delsarte, can be found in [5].

Theorem 2.1. ([5], Theorem 5.4) *Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ be a non-zero Delsarte code with minimum rank distance d_r . Then*

$$d_r \leq \frac{mn}{\max\{m, n\}} + \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(C)}{\max\{m, n\}} + 1.$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq d_r \leq \min\{m, n\}$ there exists a non-zero Delsarte code C of minimum rank distance d_r which attains the upper bound.

Definition 2.2. *A Delsarte code C is called Delsarte LCD if $C^\perp \cap C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and a Delsarte LCD code C is called Delsarte LCD MRD if C attains the bound of $d_r \leq \frac{mn}{\max\{m, n\}} + \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(C)}{\max\{m, n\}} + 1$.*

Remark 2.3. *Note that $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a trivial example of a Delsarte LCD MRD with minimum rank 1 and dimension mn .*

To investigate LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , we need the concept and a result of LCD codes.

Let C be a code over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . If $C^\perp \cap C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, Then C is called a LCD code.

The following theorem gives a criteria of LCD codes and can be found in [18].

Theorem 2.4. *Let C be a linear code over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} with generator matrix G . Then C is LCD if and only if GG^T is nonsingular.*

3 Delsarte and Gabidulin LCD Codes

In keeping with Gabidulin's original notation, we will use $a^{[i]}$ to mean a^{q^i} for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and integer i .

Given a vector $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$, we denote by $M_k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{k \times n}$ the matrix

$$M_k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_n \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_n^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \cdots & g_n^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A different definition of rank-metric code, proposed by Gabidulin, is the following.

Definition 3.1. ([8]) *The rank of a vector $\alpha = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n), g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, denoted by $\text{rk}(\alpha)$, is defined as the maximal number of linearly independent coordinates g_i over \mathbb{F}_q , i.e., $\text{rk}(\alpha) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \rangle$. Then we have a metric rank distance given by $d_r(\alpha - \beta) = \text{rk}(\alpha - \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$. A Gabidulin (rank-metric) code of length n with dimension k over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} is an \mathbb{F}_{q^m} -linear subspace $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$. The minimum rank distance of a Gabidulin code $C \neq 0$ is*

$$d_r := \min\{\text{rk}(\alpha) : \alpha \in C, \alpha \neq 0\}.$$

The Singleton bound for codes in the Hamming metric implies also an upper bound for Gabidulin codes.

Theorem 3.2. ([8]) *Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ be a Gabidulin code with minimum rank distance d_r of dimension k . Then $d_r \leq n - k + 1$.*

A Gabidulin code attaining the Singleton bound is called a Gabidulin maximum rank distance (MRD) code.

In his seminal paper [8], Gabidulin showed the following result on MRD codes:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q , and let C be a Gabidulin code generated by matrix $M_k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$. Then Gabidulin code C is a MRD code with parameters $[n, k, n - k + 1]$.*

The following definitions and results can be found in [21]

Definition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . The matrix associated to a vector $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with respect to \mathcal{G} is the $n \times m$ matrix $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta)$ with entries in \mathbb{F}_q defined by $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^m M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta)_{ij} a_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The Delsarte code associated to a Gabidulin code $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{G} is $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) := \{M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) : \beta \in C\}$.*

Lemma 3.5. ([21], Proposition 15) *Let C be a Gabidulin code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . For any basis \mathcal{G} of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q , $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte code with*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C).$$

Moreover, if $C \neq 0$, we have $d_r(\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)) = d_r(C)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n \leq m$. Then $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is a Gabidulin MRD code if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte MRD code.*

Proof. $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is a Gabidulin MRD code if and only if $d_r(C) = n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C) + 1$, i.e., $md_r(C) = mn - m\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C) + m$.

By Lemma 3.5, One has C is a Gabidulin MRD code if and only if

$$d_r(C) = \frac{mn}{m} - \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))}{m} + 1 = \frac{mn}{\max\{m, n\}} - \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))}{\max\{m, n\}} + 1,$$

where the second equality follows from assumption $n \leq m$. Therefore, by Definition 2.2, $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is a Gabidulin MRD code if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte MRD code. \square

Lemma 3.5 shows that any Gabidulin code can be regarded as a Delsarte code with the same cardinality and rank distance. Clearly, since Gabidulin codes are \mathbb{F}_{q^m} -linear spaces and Delsarte codes are \mathbb{F}_q -linear spaces, not all Delsarte codes arise from a Gabidulin code in this way. In fact, only a few of them do. For example, a Delsarte code $C \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ cannot arise from a Gabidulin code.

In the remainder of the section we address the relationship between Delsarte LCD codes and Gabidulin LCD codes

We introduce notation and stating a few relevant preliminary results (See[19]). The trace map $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is defined as

$$\text{Tr}(a) = a + a^{[1]} + \dots + a^{[m-1]}, \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . Then there exists a unique basis $\mathcal{G}' = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_m\}$ such that $\text{Tr}(a_i a'_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, where $\delta_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is the Kronecker delta function. \mathcal{G}' is said to be the dual basis of \mathcal{G} and vice versa. \mathcal{G} is said to be a self-dual basis if $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'$.

The following results on self-dual basis is well-known.

Proposition 3.7. ([12], Theorem 1) *In \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , a self-dual basis \mathcal{G} exists if and only if q is even or both q and m are odd.*

Lemma 3.8. ([21], Theorem 21) *Let C be a Gabidulin code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , and let \mathcal{G} be a self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C^\perp) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^\perp$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let q be even or both q and m be odd, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be a self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is a Gabidulin LCD code if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte LCD code. In particular, $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is a Gabidulin LCD MRD code if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte LCD MRD code.*

Proof. We firstly prove that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}.$$

If $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp})$, then $\beta \in C \cap C^{\perp}$, i.e., $\beta \in C$ and $\beta \in C^{\perp}$.

When $\beta \in C$, we have $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$; when $\beta \in C^{\perp}$, we have $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C^{\perp})$. By Lemma 3.8, we obtain that $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}$. Thus, $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}$, i.e., $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp}) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}$. Then by $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$, we have $\beta \in C$, and by $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C^{\perp})$ we have $\beta \in C^{\perp}$. Hence $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp})$, i.e., $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp}) \supset \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}$.

This prove that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^{\perp}.$$

$C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a Gabidulin LCD code if and only if $C \cap C^{\perp} = 0$. Obviously, $C \cap C^{\perp} = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap C^{\perp}) = 0$. Using above the equation, Then $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a Gabidulin LCD code if and only if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is a Delsarte LCD code. \square

The following concept and lemma can be found in [21].

Definition 3.10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector subspace. Then the set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ with $\text{colsp}(A) \subset U$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$, and we denote the vector subspace by $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U)$.

Lemma 3.11. ([21], Lemma 26) Let $U \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector subspace. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U)) = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U).$$

Lemma 3.12. Let $U \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector subspace. Then $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^{\perp}) = (\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U))^{\perp}$.

Proof. If $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^{\perp})$, then $\text{colsp}(A) \subset U^{\perp}$. This means that $[A_i, u] = 0$ for any $u \in U$, where A_i stand for i -th column of A , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

For any $B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U)$, by $\text{colsp}(B) \subset U$, we obtain $[A_i, B_i] = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus $\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m [A_i, B_i] = 0$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^{\perp}) \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U))^{\perp}. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.11 gives

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^{\perp})) = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U^{\perp}) = m(n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U)),$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U))^{\perp} = m \cdot n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) = m(n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U)).$$

Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^{\perp})) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U))^{\perp}. \quad (2)$$

Combining Eqs.(1) and (2) one easily obtain the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector subspace. Then*

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp) = \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp).$$

Proof. By Definition 3.10, $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp) = \{W : \text{colsp}(W) \subset U + U^\perp\}$, and $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp) = \{M + N : \text{colsp}(M) \subset U, \text{colsp}(N) \subset U^\perp\}$.

Let $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_s \rangle$ and $U^\perp = \langle u'_1, \dots, u'_t \rangle$. For any $W \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp)$, by $\text{colsp}(W) \subset U + U^\perp$, there exist $p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{s,i}, l_{1,i}, \dots, l_{t,i} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $W_i = p_{1,i}u_1 + \dots + p_{s,i}u_s + l_{1,i}u'_1 + \dots + l_{t,i}u'_t$. Set $M_i = p_{1,i}u_1 + \dots + p_{s,i}u_s$ and $N_i = l_{1,i}u'_1 + \dots + l_{t,i}u'_t$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Taking $M = (M_1, \dots, M_m)$ and $N = (N_1, \dots, N_m)$, we have $\text{colsp}(M) \subset U$ and $\text{colsp}(N) \subset U^\perp$. Obviously, $W = M + N$. Thus, $W \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp). \quad (3)$$

Conversely, if $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)$, then there exist $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ such that $\text{colsp}(X) \subset U$, $\text{colsp}(Y) \subset U^\perp$, and $Q = X + Y$. It is easy to see that $\text{colsp}(X + Y) \subset \text{colsp}(X) + \text{colsp}(Y)$. Thus, we have $\text{colsp}(X + Y) \subset (U + U^\perp)$, i.e., $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp)$. This means that

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp) \supset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp). \quad (4)$$

Combining Eqs.(3) and (4) one easily obtain the lemma. \square

According to the concept and the lemmas above, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector subspace. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp) = \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U \cap U^\perp). \quad (5)$$

Further, U is LCD if and only if $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U)$ is LCD.

Proof. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)$. Then $\text{colsp}(A) \subset U$ and $\text{colsp}(A) \subset U^\perp$, i.e., $\text{colsp}(A) \subset U \cap U^\perp$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp) \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U \cap U^\perp). \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.11 and 3.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U)) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) + \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)) \\ &= m \cdot n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U + U^\perp)) \\ &= m \cdot (n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U + U^\perp)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U \cap U^\perp)) &= m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U \cap U^\perp) \\ &= m \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U^\perp) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U + U^\perp)) \\ &= m \cdot (n - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(U + U^\perp)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U \cap U^\perp)). \quad (7)$$

Combining Eqs.(6) and (7) one obtain the equation $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U) \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U^\perp) = \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}(U \cap U^\perp)$.

By Lemma 3.12, the second statement is obvious. \square

4 Gabidulin LCD MRD codes

In this section we will give two classes of Gabidulin LCD MRD codes by almost self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_q^m over \mathbb{F}_q . We first introduce the definition of almost self-dual basis and the theorem for the existence of almost self-dual basis(See[12]).

Definition 4.1. *The elements $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ form an almost self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if*

$$\text{Tr}(g_i g_j) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(g_1^2) = \dots = \text{Tr}(g_{m-1}^2) = 1, \text{Tr}(g_m^2) = a \neq 0,$$

where $a = 1$ or $a \neq 1$.

Theorem 4.2. ([12], Theorem 2) *For any odd q , \mathbb{F}_{q^m} has an almost a self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_q .*

Theorem 4.3. *Let q be even, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be a self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . If C is a Gabidulin code of length $n = m$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} generated by matrix*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix},$$

then C is a Gabidulin LCD MRD code with parameters $[n, k, d_r]$, where $d_r \leq n$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ is a self-dual basis of over \mathbb{F}_q , we have $\text{Tr}(g_i g_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_1^{[1]} & \cdots & g_1^{[m-1]} \\ g_2 & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_2^{[m-1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_m & g_m^{[1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[m-1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $AA^T = I_m$. Then we also have

$$A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q^{m-1}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q} & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{2q} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{q+q^{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q^{m-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{q+q^{m-1}} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{2q^{m-1}} \end{pmatrix} = I_m.$$

It follows that

$$GG^T = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_1^{[1]} & \cdots & g_1^{[k-1]} \\ g_2 & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_2^{[k-1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_m & g_m^{[1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} = I_k.$$

From Theorem 2.4 and 3.3, therefore, C is a Gabidulin LCD MRD code with parameters $[n, k, d_r]$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let q be odd, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be an almost self-dual basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . If C is a Gabidulin code of length $n = m$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} generated by matrix*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix},$$

then C is a Gabidulin LCD MRD code with parameters $[n, k, d_r]$, where $d_r \leq n$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ is an almost self-dual basis of over \mathbb{F}_q , we have $\text{Tr}(g_i g_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_1^{[1]} & \cdots & g_1^{[m-1]} \\ g_2 & g_2^{[1]} & \cdots & g_2^{[m-1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_m & g_m^{[1]} & \cdots & g_m^{[m-1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$AA^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we also have

$$A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q^{m-1}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q} & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{2q} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{q+q^{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{1+q^{m-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{q+q^{m-1}} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{2q^{m-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that, when $k < m$,

$$GG^T = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_1^{[1]} & \dots & g_1^{[k-1]} \\ g_2 & g_2^q & \dots & g_2^{[k-1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_m & g_m^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} = I_k;$$

when $k = m$,

$$GG^T = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_1^{[1]} & \dots & g_1^{[k-1]} \\ g_2 & g_2^q & \dots & g_2^{[k-1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_m & g_m^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Theorem 2.4 and 3.3, therefore, C is a Gabidulin LCD MRD code with parameters $[n, k, d_r]$. \square

Let C be an $[n, k, d_r]$ MRD code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} ($n \leq m$), and $C^s := C \times \dots \times C$ be the code obtained by s cartesian products of C . Then C^s is code with length $n' = ns$, dimension $k' = ks$, and minimum rank distance $d'_r = d_r = n - k + 1$. It can be proved that C^s is an MRD codes if and only if $n = m$ (See[9]). Note that Gabidulin MRD codes have length $n \leq m$, whereas the s cartesian products of MRD codes C have length $n' = sn = sm \geq m$.

In order to investigate C^s to be LCD, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let C be a linear code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . Then $(C^s)^\perp = (C^\perp)^s$.*

Proof. Assume that $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_s) \in (C^\perp)^s$. Then, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, $a_i \in C^\perp$, i.e., $[a_i, b] = 0$ for any $b \in C$. Thus, for any $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_s) \in C^s$, we have

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \sum_{i=1}^s [a_i, b_i] = 0,$$

which implies that $\alpha \in (C^s)^\perp$, i.e., $(C^\perp)^s \subset (C^s)^\perp$.

Conversely, assume that $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_s) \in (C^s)^\perp$. Then, for any $\nu = (v_1, \dots, v_s) \in C^s$, we have

$$[\omega, \nu] = \sum_{i=1}^s [w_i, v_i] = 0. \quad (8)$$

We assume that $v_0 = v_{s+1} = 0$. For $i = 1, \dots, s$, taking $v_0 = \dots = v_{i-1} = v_{i+1} = \dots = v_{s+1} = 0$ in above Eqs.(8), we get $[\omega, \nu] = [w_i, v_i] = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. This means that $w_i \in C^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, i.e., $\omega \in (C^\perp)^s$. Thus, $(C^\perp)^s \supset (C^s)^\perp$, which proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 4.6. *C^s is a LCD MRD code of length $n' = sn$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} if and only if C is a LCD MRD code of length $n = m$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} .*

Proof. Sufficient: Obviously, we only need to prove C^s is LCD. Assume that $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_s) \in C^s \cap (C^s)^\perp$. Then by Lemma 4.5, we have $a_i \in C \cap C^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since C is LCD, $a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Hence, $C^s \cap (C^s)^\perp = 0$, i.e., C^s is a LCD MRD code of length $n' = sn$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} .

Necessary: Assume that $b \in C \cap C^\perp$. Then $\beta = (b, \dots, b) \in C^s \cap (C^\perp)^s$. Since C^s is LCD, $C^s \cap (C^\perp)^s = C^s \cap (C^s)^\perp = 0$ by Lemma 4.5. Thus, $b = 0$, i.e., C is a LCD MRD code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . \square

By Theorem 3.6, 3.9, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.6, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be a self-dual basis or an almost of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q . If C is a Gabidulin code of length $n = m$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} generated by matrix*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_m \\ g_1^{[1]} & g_2^{[1]} & \dots & g_m^{[1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{[k-1]} & g_2^{[k-1]} & \dots & g_m^{[k-1]} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)^s$ are Delsarte LCD MRD codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

5 Delsarte LCD optimal anticodes

In this section, we provide a sufficient condition for Delsarte optimal anticodes to be LCD codes under a suitable condition. Let us first briefly recall the definition and results for Delsarte optimal anticodes (See [21]).

Proposition 5.1. ([21], Proposition 47) *Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ be a Delsarte code. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) \leq \max\{n, m\} \cdot \max_{\text{rk}}(C).$$

Moreover, for any choice of $0 \leq l \leq \min\{n, m\}$ there exists a code $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ with maximum rank equal to l and attaining the upper bound.

According to proposition above, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 5.2. ([21], Definition 48) *A code $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ which attains the upper bound $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) \leq \max\{n, m\} \cdot \maxrk(C)$ is said to be a Delsarte optimal abticode. Moreover, a Delsarte optimal anticode C is said to be a Delsarte LCD optimal anticode, if C is a LCD code.*

Theorem 5.3. ([21], Theorem 54) *If C is a Delsarte optimal anticode, then C^\perp is also a Delsarte optimal anticode.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let C be a Delsarte optimal anticode. If*

$$\minrk(C) > \min\{n, m\} - \maxrk(C),$$

then C is a Delsarte LCD optimal anticode.

Proof. Since C is a Delsarte optimal anticode, we know that C^\perp is also a Delsarte optimal anticode by Theorem 5.3. According to Definition 5.2, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = \max\{n, m\} \cdot \maxrk(C)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C^\perp) = \max\{n, m\} \cdot \maxrk(C^\perp)$.

On the other hand, since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C^\perp) = nm$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C^\perp) = nm - \max\{n, m\} \cdot \maxrk(C)$. Thus $\maxrk(C^\perp) = \min\{n, m\} - \maxrk(C)$. We clear have $C \cap C^\perp = \{0\}$, i.e., C is a Delsarte LCD optimal anticode. \square

6 Conclusion

First, we address the relationship between Delsarte LCD codes and Gabidulin LCD codes. Then we give two methods of constructing Gabidulin LCD MRD codes by self-dual basis (or almost self-dual basis) of the finite field \mathbb{F}_q^m over base field \mathbb{F}_q . Finally, we obtain a sufficient condition for Delsarte optimal anticodes to be LCD codes under a suitable condition. In a future work, we will discuss the conditions for generalized Gabidulin MRD codes to be LCD codes.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Research Funds of Hubei Province, Grant No. D20144401, the Educational Commission of Hubei Province, Grant No. B2015096, and Research Project of Hubei Polytechnic University, Grant No. 17xjzo3A.

References

- [1] Boonniyoma K., Jitman S.: Complementary dual subfield linear codes over finite fields, arXiv:1605.06827(2016).

- [2] Carlet C., Guilley S.: Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks, Proceedings of the 4th ICMCTA Meeting, Palmela, Portugal, (2014).
- [3] Carlet C., Mesnager S., Tang C., Qi Y.: Euclidean and Hermitian LCD MDS codes. arXiv:1702.08033v2(2017).
- [4] Chen B., Liu H.: New constructions of MDS codes with complementary duals. arXiv:1702.07831v1(2017).
- [5] Delsarte P.: Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **25**, 226-241(1978).
- [6] Dougherty S T. , Kim J L., Ozkaya B., Sok L., Solé P.: The combinatorics of LCD codes: Linear Programming bound and orthogonal matrices, arXiv:1506.01955v1(2016).
- [7] Esmaili M., Yari S.: On complementary-dual quasi-cyclic codes, Finite Field Appl., **15**, 357-386(2009).
- [8] Gabidulin E.: Theory of codes with maximum rank distance. Probl. Inf. Transm. **1**(2),1-12 (1985).
- [9] Gabidulin M., Yan Z.: Properties of codes with the rank metric. In IEEE Globtions Telecommunications Conference, 1-12 (2006).
- [10] Guenda K., Jitman S., Gulliver T A.: Constructions of Good Entanglement-Assisted Quantum Error Correcting Codes, arXiv:1606.00134v1(2015).
- [11] Jin L.: Construction of MDS codes with complementary duals. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory. DOI 10.1109/TIT.2016.2645759,(2016).
- [12] Jungnickel D., Menezes A J., Vanstone S A.: On the number of self-dual bases of $GF(q^m)$ over $GF(q)$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109**,23-29(1990).
- [13] Kötter R., Kschischang F R.: Coding for errors and erasures in random network coding, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **54**,3579-3591(2008).
- [14] Li C., Ding C., Li S.: LCD cyclic codes over finite fields, arXiv:1608.0210v1,(2016).
- [15] Li C., Ding C., Liu H.: Parameters of two classes of LCD BCH codes , arXiv:1608.02670,(2016).
- [16] Li C., Ding C., Liu H.: A family of reversible BCH codes, arXiv:1608.02169,(2016).
- [17] Liu X., Liu H.: LCD codes over finite chain rings, Finite Field Appl., **15**, 1-19(2015).

- [18] Massey J L.: Linear codes with complementary duals, *Discrete Math.*, **106/107**, 337-342(1992) .
- [19] MacWilliams F J., Sloane N JA.: *The theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North Holland Mathematical Library(1977).
- [20] Mesnager S., Tang C., Qi Y.: Complementary dual algebraic geometry codes, arXiv:1609.05649,(2016).
- [21] Ravagnani A.: Rank-metric codes and their duality theory. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **80**, 179-216 (2016).
- [22] Sendrier N.: Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound, *Discrete Math.*, **304**, 345-347(2004).
- [23] Sari M., Köroğlu.: On MDS negacyclic LCD codes. arXiv:1611.06371, 2016.
- [24] Yang X., Massey J L.: The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual, *Discrete Math.*, **126**, 391-393(1994).
- [25] Silva D., Kschischang F R.: On metris for error correction in network coding. *IEEE.Trans. Inf. Theory* **55**(12),5479-5490(2009).
- [26] Silva D., Kschischang F R.:Universal secure network coding via rank-metric codes, *IEEE.Trans. Inf. Theory* **57**(2),1124-1135(2011).
- [27] Zhu S.,Pang B., Sun Z.: The reversible negacyclic codes over finite fields. arXiv:1610.08206,(2016).
- [28] Huffman, W.C., Pless, V.: *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge(2003).